Design and Characterization of an HIV-Specific Ribonuclease Zymogen

Rebecca F. Turcotte¹ and Ronald T. Raines²

Abstract

Ribonucleases are evoking medical interest because of their intrinsic cytotoxic activity. Most notably, ranpirnase, which is an amphibian ribonuclease, is in advanced clinical trials as a chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of cancer. Here, we describe a strategy to create a novel antiviral agent based on bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A), a mammalian homologue of ranpirnase. Specifically, we have linked the N- and C-termini of RNase A with an amino acid sequence that is recognized and cleaved by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease. This linkage obstructs the active site, forming an HIV-specific RNase A zymogen. Cleavage by HIV-1 protease increases ribonucleolytic activity by 50-fold. By relying on the proper function of HIV-1 protease, rather than its inhibition, our approach will not engender known mechanisms of resistance. Thus, we report an initial step toward a new class of agents for the treatment of HIV/AIDS.

Introduction

S INCE THE FIRST CASES OF HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV) were described in the early 1980s,¹ much has been done to improve survival. Four classes of antiretroviral drugs are now in clinical use, with protease inhibitors and two types of reverse-transcriptase inhibitors comprising the three major classes.² In addition, the first fusion inhibitor was approved in 2003.^{3,4} A combination of these chemotherapeutic agents, known as highly active antiretroviral therapy, or HAART, has led to a significant decline in the morbidity and mortality of HIV patients.^{5,6} Although the number of deaths among persons with AIDS in the United States declined substantially during the late 1990s, the rate of decline has since decreased significantly. Declines in the numbers of new cases have also leveled off.⁷

This slowing of progress against HIV/AIDS, combined with several major problems with HIV/AIDS therapy, continues to motivate the design of new drugs.^{8,9} Side effects, especially disturbances in lipid metabolism, are an increasing problem as patients are living longer and developing cardiac disease.^{2,10} Rapid viral replication and the high error rate of reverse transcriptase have led to high rates of resistance to HAART,⁵ with about 10% of newly acquired infections in the United States and Europe being resistant to at least one of the three major drug classes.¹¹ The rapid development of resistance necessitates strict patient compliance with therapy. Finally, AIDS remains a chronic disease due to the existence of latent HIV infection in memory T cells and other cells that are not pharmacologically accessible.^{12,13}

One strategy for slowing viral progression and eliminating latent viral infection is to kill those cells that are infected with HIV. Although cytotoxic cancer drugs could be used, there is a risk of serious side effects that would compound the side effects of HAART.14 To circumvent this problem, toxins that are specific for HIV-infected cells have been designed. One approach has been to use toxins that will be activated by the HIV-1 protease (HIV PR), a virally encoded protein that cleaves the viral polyprotein late in the life cycle of HIV.15 In one case, an HIV PR-activated variant of caspase-3 was constructed and its ability to kill HIV-infected cells was demonstrated.¹⁶ In another case, diphtheria toxin was modified such that a degradation signal was removed by cleavage with HIV PR.17 These "pro-drug" strategies have the potential to reduce the problems with both side effects and drug resistance.

Bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A) has been studied intensely by biochemists for decades.^{18,19} Recently, interest in RNase A has resurged with the discovery of the facinating biological properties of ranpirnase, angiogenin, and bovine seminal ribonuclease, which are homologues of RNase A.^{20–25} For example, ranpirnase²⁶ is toxic to cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* in a manner that is dependent on its catalytic activity,²⁷ and is currently in Phase IIIb clinical tri-

¹Medical Scientist Training Program and Biophysics Graduate Program and ²Departments of Biochemistry and Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706.



FIG. 1. Design of an HIV-specific ribonuclease A zymogen. Circular permutation was used to block access to the active site until activation by HIV PR.

als for the treatment of malignant mesothelioma.²⁸ In addition, RNase A and other ribonucleases are known to inhibit HIV replication.^{29,30}

Here, we describe the creation of an HIV-specific zymogen from RNase A. Inspired by the design of zymogens specific for malaria and hepatitis C,^{31,32} we sought to exploit the activity of HIV PR to activate a cytotoxin. The N- and C-termini of RNase A were joined by circular permutation, thereby occluding the active site with an amino acid sequence containing a cleavage site for HIV PR (Fig. 1). In the presence of HIV PR, this sequence is cleaved and the catalytic activity is unmasked. It is our hope that because a chemotherapeutic strategy based on a ribonuclease zymogen would rely on catalysis by HIV PR rather than merely an affinity for that enzyme, the development of resistance would be unlikely.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Escherichia coli strain BL21(DE3) was from Novagen (Madison, WI). K-562 cells,³³ which are human erythroleukemia cells, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). HIV PR expression vector pET-HIVPR³⁴ was a kind gift from J. Tang (Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation).

Enzymes were obtained from Promega (Madison, WI). Protein purification columns and resin were from Amersham Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). Synthetic oligonucleotides, including the ribonuclease substrate 6-FAM-dArU(dA)₂-6-TAMRA,³⁵ were from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Poly(cytidylic acid) [poly(C)] was from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and was precipitated with ethanol before use to remove short RNA fragments. [*methyl*- ³H]Thymidine was from Perkin–Elmer (Boston, MA). MES buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was purified by anion-exchange chromatography to remove any contaminating oligo(vinylsulfonic acid) (OVS).³⁶ All other chemicals were of commercial grade or better, and were used without further purifications.

Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) contained (in 1 liter) NaCl (8.0 g), KCl (2.0 g), Na₂HPO₄ \cdot 7H₂O (1.15 g), and KH₂PO₄ (2.0 g), and had a pH of 7.4.

Zymogen expression and purification

Plasmids used to direct the production of HIV RNase A zymogens were derived from the plasmid pET22b(+)/19N.³¹ The region of the plasmid that encoded the linker was replaced with DNA encoding the sequence GSTATIM-MQRGNAG (Zymogen 1) or GGSTATIMMQRGNAG (Zymogen 2) using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Zymogens were expressed and purified as described previously.³² Zymogens were ~95% pure according to SDS–PAGE, and zymogen identity was confirmed by MALDI–TOF (Table 1).

Protease expression and purification

The substitutions Q7K/L33I/L63I37 and C67A/C95A38 were made with the Quikchange mutagenesis kit to improve protease stability. The resulting plasmid, pET-HIVPRV, was transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) cells for expression. Transforming, plating, and subculturing were done in Luria-Bertani medium containing glucose (1% w/v) to reduce leaky expression of HIV PR, which is toxic to E. coli.39 Protease expression was induced in Terrific Broth containing isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactoside (1 mM). The protease was purified from inclusion bodies by methods described previously,40 with the following modifications. Following two passes through a French pressure cell (>16,000 psi), inclusion bodies were collected by centrifugation at 16,000 \times g for 45 min, washed with extraction buffer, resuspended in extraction buffer, and again collected by centrifugation. Ionexchange columns were poured from resin and run by gravity at $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}$ C.

Zymogen cleavage

Zymogens were activated by mixing them with 0.04–0.2 M equivalents of HIV PR in reaction buffer, which was 100

	TL 0	T h		m/z ^d	
Ribonuclease	\prod_{m}^{a} (°C)	(nM)	(μM)	Expected	Observed
Wild type	64 ^e	$44 imes 10^{-6 \mathrm{f}}$	>25	13,682	13,692
Zymogen 1	46	150 ± 10	>25	15,165	15,142
Zymogen 2	49	—	>25	15,222	15,208

TABLE 1. BIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF UNACTIVATED RIBONUCLEASE A ZYMOGENS

^aValues of $T_{\rm m}$ were determined in PBS by UV spectroscopy.

^bValue of K_d (± SE) was determined for the complex with hRI at (23 ± 2)°C.

^cValues of IC₅₀ are for the incorporation of [*methyl*-³H]thymidine into the DNA of K-562 cells.

^eFrom Rutkoski *et al.*⁴²

^fFrom Lee *et al.*⁵³

^dValues of m/z were determined by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.0, containing NaCl (100 mM), EDTA (1 mM), glycerol (5% v/v), and PEG-8000 (0.1% w/v), and incubating the resulting solution at 37°C for 1 h. Activation was stopped by dilution into gel-loading buffer to a final composition of 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, containing DTT (100 mM), SDS (0.2% w/v), glycerol (10% v/v), and bromophenol blue (0.75 mM), or by dilution (by 100-fold) into 0.10 M MES–NaOH, pH 6.0, containing NaCl (0.10 M). Following dilution, reaction mixtures were placed on ice. SDS–PAGE in the presence of DTT was used to assess zymogen cleavage (Fig. 2).

Ribonucleolytic activity

The ability of zymogens to catalyze the cleavage of the fluorogenic substrate 6-FAM-dArU(dA)₂-6-TAMRA, which exhibits a 180-fold increase in fluorescence (excitation at 493 nm; emission at 515 nm) upon cleavage,³⁵ was assessed. Assays were carried out at (23 ± 2)°C in 2.0 ml of 0.10 M MES–NaOH (OVS-free), pH 6.0, containing NaCl (0.10 M), substrate (20 nM), and zymogen (5 pM–1 nM). Values of k_{cat}/K_{M} were obtained with Eq. (1):

$$k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M} = \left(\frac{\Delta I/\Delta t}{I_{\rm max} - I_0}\right) \frac{1}{[{\rm ribonuclease}]} \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta I/\Delta t$ represents the initial reaction velocity, I_{max} is the fluorescence following complete cleavage of the substrate by excess RNase A, and I_0 is the fluorescence prior to addition of ribonuclease.

In addition, the ability of zymogens to cleave poly(C) ($\varepsilon_{268nm} = 6200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ per nucleotide) was monitored by the change in UV absorption, which increases upon cleavage ($\Delta \varepsilon_{250 \text{ nm}} = 2380 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Assays were carried out at (23 ± 2)°C in 0.10 M MES–NaOH (OVS free), pH 6.0, containing NaCl (0.10 M), poly(C) (10 μ M–1.5 mM), and zymogen (20 nM–1 μ M). Initial velocity data were used to calculate values of k_{cat} , K_{M} , and $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ with the program Prism 4 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).



FIG. 2. Activation of zymogens by HIV PR. Activation at 37°C was monitored by SDS–PAGE in the presence of DTT. (**A**) Activation of zymogen **1** after addition of HIV PR at a 1:5 (protease:zymogen) molar ratio. (**B**) Activation of zymogen **2** after addition of HIV PR at a 1:25 (protease:zymogen) molar ratio. M is the protein molecular weight marker, p is HIV PR, and z2 is zymogen **2**.

Conformational stability

The value of $T_{\rm m}$, which is the temperature at the midpoint of the thermal transition between the folded and unfolded states, of each zymogen was determined by monitoring its UV absorption at 287 nm. Zymogen solutions (~25 μ M in PBS) were heated incrementally (0.15°C/min from 25 to 75°C). Data were collected and analyzed with the program THERMAL from Varian Analytical Instruments (Walnut Creek, CA).

Inhibition by the ribonuclease inhibitor protein

Binding of unactivated zymogen **1** to the ribonuclease inhibitor protein was assessed via a microplate assay as described previously.⁴¹

Cytotoxic activity

K-562 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μ g/ml). The effect of zymogens on K-562 proliferation was measured by the incorporation of [*methyl*-³H]thymidine as described previously.⁴²

Results

Design of HIV-specific zymogen

The p2/NC recognition sequence of HIV PR (TATIM/ MQRGN) was chosen because it is cleaved initially and efficiently *in vitro* and *in vivo*.^{43,44} It is desirable for a zymogen to contain a linker that is long enough to allow for the flexibility necessary to be cleaved by the protease, but also short enough to prevent indiscriminate ribonucleolytic activity prior to activation by the specific protease. We designed two HIV-specific RNase zymogens, one with a 14-residue linker (zymogen 1) and another with a 15-residue linker (zymogen 2). The 10-residue cleavage sequence was extended by a linker designed for solubility and flexibility, containing glycine and serine residues.

Circular permutation was done to produce new N- and Ctermini at residues 89 and 88 of RNase A (Fig. 1). These two residues were linked by a new disulfide bond.³¹ In addition, residues 4 and 118 (RNase A numbering) were replaced with cysteine residues and linked by a disulfide bond in order to improve stability.⁴⁵

Zymogen activation

Zymogens 1 and 2 were incubated with protease in a 1:25 to 1:5 (PR:zymogen) ratio at 37°C. Activation was monitored by SDS–PAGE. Following reduction with DTT, zymogen fragments of 10,548 Da and 4635 Da are formed from zymogen 1. The formation of a 10.5-kDa fragment from a 15.2-Da fragment can be followed by SDS–PAGE. (The 4.6-kDa fragment runs off the gel and was not observed.) Both zymogens 1 and 2 were more than 95% cleaved by HIV PR after an incubation of 60 min at 37°C (Fig. 2). Control incubations of zymogens 1 and 2 without protease for 1 h did not result in any cleavage (data not shown). Native RNase A has been shown not to be a substrate of HIV PR.⁴⁶

The ability of zymogens to cleave two RNA substrates was measured (Tables 2 and 3). The shorter substrate was a fluorogenic tetranucleotide that increases in fluorescence upon

Ribonuclease	$({ m k}_{cat}/{ m K}_M)_{unactivated}$ $10^3~M^{-1}~s^{-1}$	${({ m k}_{cat}/{ m K}_M)_{activated} \over 10^6~M^{-1}~s^{-1}}$	$\frac{(k_{cat}/K_M)_{activated}}{(k_{cat}/K_M)_{unactivated}}$
Wild type	N/A ^b	$52 \pm 4^{\circ}$	N/A
Zymogen 1	290 ± 40	14 ± 1	48
Zymogen 2	870 ± 220	7.6 ± 5	9

TABLE 2. KINETIC PARAMETERS OF RIBONUCLEASE A ZYMOGENS WITH AN OLIGONUCLEOTIDE SUBSTRATE^a

^aValues of K_{cat}/K_M (± SE) were determined for catalysis of 6-FAM-dArU(dA)₂-6-TAMRA cleavage at 25°C

in 0.10 M MES-NaOH buffer (OVS free), pH 6.0, containing 0.10 M NaCl.

^bN/A, not applicable. ^cFrom Rutkoski *et al.*⁴²

cleavage (Table 2).³⁵ The catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) of zymogen 1 increases from 2.9×10^5 to 1.4×10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ upon activation, an increase of 48-fold. The k_{cat}/K_{M} value of the activated zymogen is nearly that of wild-type RNase A. For zymogen 2, the increase is more modest, from 8.7×10^5 to $7.6 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, or 9-fold.

This sensitive assay for ribonucleolytic activity might not be an accurate reflection of the ability of ribonucleases to cleave therapeutically relevant substrates.47 Hence, we measured the ribonucleolytic activity of zymogen 1 with a longer polynucleotide substrate, poly(C) (Fig. 3 and Table 3). This assay also allows for delineation of the contributions of the k_{cat} and K_M values to catalytic efficiency. Upon activation, the k_{cat} value increased from 3.2 to 38 s⁻¹. The $K_{\rm M}$ value decreased from 1.2×10^{-4} to 6×10^{-5} M, resulting in a change in $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M}$ of 24-fold, from 2.7 \times 10⁴ to 6.5 \times $10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Conformational stability of zymogens

The ability of a cytotoxic ribonuclease to retain its conformation at physiological temperatures is an important factor in determining its potential as a chemotherapeutic agent.⁴⁵



FIG. 3. Enzyme kinetics of unactivated zymogen 1 (O; 1.0 μ M) and activated zymogen 1 (\bullet ; 20 nM). Initial velocity data (\pm SE) at increasing amounts of poly(C) are normalized for zymogen concentration. Data were used to determine values of k_{cat} , K_{M} , and k_{cat}/K_{M} (Table 3).

Zymogens 1 and 2 are stable above physiological temperature (37°C). Both, however, are less stable than wild-type RNase A (Table 1).

Binding of ribonuclease inhibitor protein

The ability of a ribonuclease to evade binding by the cytoplasmic ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI), which binds RNase A with femtomolar affinity, is essential for its cytotoxicity.42,48,49 The active site of the ribonuclease comprises a large portion of the buried surface area in the ribonuclease-inhibitor complex.^{50,51} We would expect that the unactivated RNase A zymogen would have diminished affinity for RI, and indeed the K_d value for the ribonuclease–RI complex with the unactivated zymogen is $>10^{6}$ -fold greater than that for wild type RNase A (Table 1).

Cytotoxicity of unactivated zymogen

A cytotoxic therapeutic agent must have little or no toxicity to healthy cells-those that are not infected with HIV. To assess this attribute, unactivated zymogens were assayed for their ability to inhibit the proliferation of K-562 cells, which are human cells that are not infected with HIV. Neither zymogen 1 nor zymogen 2 was toxic to K-562 cells up to a concentration of 38 μ M (Table 1).

Discussion

We have created two HIV-specific RNase A zymogens. Their activation by HIV PR is 95% complete following a 1-h incubation with a substoichiometeric amount of HIV PR (Fig. 2). One factor that determines the efficiency of this cleavage reaction is the ability of the protease to bind to the zymogen. HIV PR and zymogens 1 and 2 are highly cationic proteins, having theoretical isoelectric points of pI = 9.3, 8.8, and 8.8, respectively.⁵² Coulombic repulsion between HIV PR and a zymogen could diminish the efficiency of activation, a problem that could be overcome by additional mutagenesis.

The two zymogens differ as catalysts of RNA cleavage. The ribonucleolytic activity of zymogens 1 and 2 toward a tetranucleotide substrate was measured before and after activation with HIV PR (Tables 2 and 3). Prior to activation, both zymogens had low catalytic efficiency $(10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$, with zymogen 2 having 3-fold higher activity than zymogen 1. This difference is likely due to the longer length of the linker of zymogen 2, which could alter the ability of sub-

Ribonuclease	$(\mathbf{k}_{cat})_{unactivated}$	$(\mathbf{k}_{cat})_{activated}^{activated}$	$(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}})_{unactivated}$ $10^{-6}~M$	$({ m K}_{{\cal M}})_{activated}$ $10^{-6}~M$	$(k_{cat}/K_M)_{unactivated}$ $10^3 M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$(k_{cat}/K_M)_{activated} = 10^3 M^{-1} s^{-1}$	$\frac{(k_{cat}/K_{\mathcal{M}})_{activated}}{(k_{cat}/K_{\mathcal{M}})_{unactivated}}$
Wild type	N/A	$\begin{array}{c} 280 \pm 29\\ 38 \pm 2 \end{array}$	N/A^{c}	3.3 ± 0.2	N/A	83 ± 7	N/A
Zymogen 1	3.2 ± 0.1		120 ± 16	60 ± 10	27 \pm 3	0.65 \pm 0.1	24

Table 3. Kinetic Parameters of Ribonuclease A Zymogens with a Polynucleotide Substrate^a

^aValues of k_{cat} , K_{M} , and k_{cat}/K_{M} (\pm SE) were determined for catalysis of poly(C) cleavage at 25°C in 0.10 M MES–NaOH buffer (OVS free), pH 6.0, containing 0.10 M NaCl. Initial velocity data were used to calculate values of k_{cat} , K_{M} , and k_{cat}/K_{M} with the program Prism 4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). ^bFrom Johnson *et al.*³² k_{M} , and k_{cat}/K_{M} with the program Prism 4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

The use of a longer RNA substrate revealed additional information. Using poly(C) as a substrate, unactivated zymogen **1** has a K_M value that is 36-fold higher than that of wild-type RNase A, confirming that the linker inhibits the binding of RNA substrates (Table 3). Yet, the k_{cat} value of the unactivated zymogen is also decreased by 88-fold, suggesting that the linker also prevents the turnover of RNA substrates by disturbing active-site residues.³² Upon activation of zymogen **1** with HIV PR, the K_M value decreases by 2-fold and the k_{cat} value increases by 10-fold. Neither value was similar to that of wild-type RNase A, suggesting that, after cleavage, the linker continues to interfere with the binding and turnover of a longer RNA substrate.

The development of a therapy that kills HIV-infected cells is highly attractive. Such a therapy could eradicate completely the viral reservoir in patients, a challenge that remains in the era of HAART.¹³ Drugs that are used to kill cancer cells could be used for this purpose but would result in the side effects typical of cancer chemotherapy, including bone marrow suppression. Any potential for decreasing white blood cells in a patient with a weakened immune system should be avoided. As an alternative, our strategy seeks the selective destruction of HIV-infected cells.

Ribonuclease zymogens have other desirable attributes. Ribonucleases that evade the endogenous ribonuclease inhibitor protein are known to be potent cytotoxins.49 In contrast, we have shown that ribonuclease zymogens have no observable toxicity toward a human cell line that does not contain HIV PR (Table 1). This absence of cytotoxicity suggests that a drug based on a ribonuclease zymogen could have a high therapeutic index. Another attractive feature is the potential difficulty in the development of viral resistance to a ribonuclease zymogen. Rapid viral replication and the high error rate of reverse transcriptase have led to the rapid development of resistance to reverse transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors, small-molecule drugs that rely on binding to these enzymes. It is reasonable to anticipate that resistance to a drug that requires the catalytic activity of HIV PR toward a native substrate would be significantly more difficult for a virus to develop. Moreover, resistance derived from a protease variant with altered specificity could be countered by replacing the linker sequence with one that is recognized by the protease variant. Finally, we note that a chemotherapeutic agent based on a ribonuclease zymogen would not be used concurrently with protease inhibitor therapy, which would likely diminish zymogen activation and hence efficacy.

In conclusion, we have described the creation of an HIVspecific RNase A zymogen that increases in catalytic efficiency by approximately 50-fold upon activation with HIV PR, is stable at physiological temperature, and is not toxic to uninfected cells. These data establish a proof of principle for a new class of agents for the treatment of HIV/AIDS. We anticipate testing our strategy with human cells containing HIV PR.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to J.F. May and S.M. Fuchs for assistance with cloning, L.D. Lavis for the diethylfluorescein derivatives, used in the microplate assay, and M.N. Levine, S.M. Fuchs, K.A. Dickson, and R.J. Johnson for contributive discussions. This work was supported by Grants 51670 (Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation) and CA073808 (NIH). R.F.T. was supported by a Wisconsin Distinguished Rath Graduate Fellowship. The University of Wisconsin–Madison Biophysics Instrumentation Facility was established with grants BIR-9512577 (NSF) and RR13790 (NIH). The Keck Center for Chemical Genomics was established with a grant from the W.M. Keck Foundation.

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- 1. Hymes KB, Cheung T, Greene JB, *et al*.: Kaposi's sarcoma in homosexual men—a report of eight cases. Lancet 1981;2: 598–600.
- Carr A: Toxicity of antiretroviral therapy and implications for drug development. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2003;2:624–634.
- 3. Matthews T, Salgo M, Greenberg M, Chung J, DeMasi R, and Bolognesi D: Enfuvirtide: The first therapy to inhibit the entry of HIV-1 into host CD4 lymphocytes. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2004;3:215–225.
- Hanson K and Hicks C: New antiretroviral drugs. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2006;3:93–101.
- Barbaro G, Scozzafava A, Mastrolorenzo A, and Supuran CT: Highly active antiretroviral therapy: Current state of the art, new agents and their pharmacological interactions useful for improving therapeutic outcome. Curr Pharm Des 2005;11:1805–1843.
- Temesgen Z, Warnke D, and Kasten MJ: Current status of antiretroviral therapy. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2006;7: 1541–1554.
- Kellerman S, Begley E, Boyett B, Clark H, and Schulden J: Changes in HIV and AIDS in the United States: Entering the third decade. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2005;7:138–143.
- 8. Weiss RA: HIV and AIDS: Looking ahead. Nat Med 2003;9:887–891.
- 9. Flexner C: HIV drug development: The next 25 years. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2007;6:959–966.
- Agrawal L, Lu X, Jin Q, and Alkhatib G: Anti-HIV therapy: Current and future directions. Curr Pharm Des 2006;12: 2031–2055.
- Shafer RW: Genotypic testing for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 drug resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002; 15:247–277.
- 12. Simon V and Ho DD: HIV-1 dynamics *in vivo*: Implications for therapy. Nat Rev Microbiol 2003;1:181–190.
- Yang QE: Human immunodeficiency virus reservoir might be actively eradicated as residual malignant cells by cytotoxic chemotherapy. Med Hypotheses 2004;62:358–363.
- Ravot E, Lisziewicz J, and Lori F: New uses for old drugs in HIV infection: The role of hydroxyurea, cyclosporin and thalidomide. Drugs 1999;58:953–963.
- Kohl NE, Emini EA, Schleif WA, et al.: Active human immunodeficiency virus protease is required for viral infectivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1988;85:4686–4690.
- Vocero-Akbani AM, Heyden NV, Lissy NA, Ratner L, and Dowdy SF: Killing HIV-infected cells by transduction with

an HIV protease-activated caspase-3 protein. Nat Med 1999;5:29–33.

- 17. Falnes PO, Welker R, Krausslich HG, and Olsnes S: Toxins that are activated by HIV type-1 protease through removal of a signal for degradation by the N-end-rule pathway. Biochem J 1999;343(Pt 1):199–207.
- 18. Raines RT: Ribonuclease A. Chem Rev 1998;98:1045-1065.
- 19. Marshall GR, Feng JA, and Kuster DJ: Back to the future: Ribonuclease A. Biopolymers 2008;90:259–277.
- 20. D'Alessio G and Riordan JF, eds.: *Ribonucleases: Structures and Functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1997.
- 21. Matousek J: Ribonucleases and their antitumor activity. Comp Biochem Physiol 2001;129C:175–191.
- 22. Leland PA and Raines RT: Cancer chemotherapy—ribonucleases to the rescue. Chem Biol 2001;8:405–413.
- 23. Makarov AA and Ilinskaya ON: Cytotoxic ribonucleases: Molecular weapons and their targets. FEBS Lett 2003;540:15–20.
- 24. Benito A, Ribó M, and Vilanova M: On the track of antitumor ribonucleases. Mol Biosyst 2005;1:294–302.
- 25. Arnold U and Ulbrich-Hofmann R: Natural and engineered ribonucleases as potential cancer therapeutics. Biotechnol Lett 2006;28:1615–1622.
- Lee JE and Raines RT: Ribonucleases as novel chemotherapeutics: The ranpirnase example. BioDrugs 2008;22:53–58.
- Ardelt W, Mikulski SM, and Shogen K: Amino acid sequence of an anti-tumor protein from *Rana pipiens* oocytes and early embryos. J Biol Chem 1991;266:245–251.
- Pavlakis N and Vogelzang NJ: Ranpirnase—an antitumour ribonuclease: Its potential role in malignant mesothelioma. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2006;6:391–399.
- 29. Youle RJ, Wu YN, Mikulski SM, *et al.*: RNase inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus infection of H9 cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994;91:6012–6106.
- 30. Bedoya VI, Boasso A, Hardy AW, Rybak S, Shearer GM, and Rugeles MT: Ribonucleases in HIV type 1 inhibition: Effect of recombinant RNases on infection of primary T cells and immune activation-induced RNase gene and protein expression. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2006;22:897–907.
- Plainkum P, Fuchs SM, Wiyakrutta S, and Raines RT: Creation of a zymogen. Nat Struct Biol 2003;10:115–119.
- Johnson RJ, Lin SR, and Raines RT: A ribonuclease zymogen activated by the NS3 protease of the hepatitis C virus. FEBS J 2006;273:5457–5465.
- Lozzio CB and Lozzio BB: Human chronic myelogenous leukemia cell-line with positive Philadelphia chromosome. Blood 1975;45:321–334.
- Ido E, Han HP, Kezdy FJ, and Tang J: Kinetic studies of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease and its activesite hydrogen bond mutant A28S. J Biol Chem 1991; 266:24359–24366.
- Kelemen BR, Klink TA, Behlke MA, Eubanks SR, Leland PA, and Raines RT: Hypersensitive substrate for ribonucleases. Nucleic Acids Res 1999;27:3696–3701.
- Smith BD, Soellner MB, and Raines RT: Potent inhibition of ribonuclease A by oligo(vinylsulfonic acid). J Biol Chem 2003;278:20934–20938.
- Mildner AM, Rothrock DJ, Leone JW, *et al.*: The HIV-1 protease as enzyme and substrate: Mutagenesis of autolysis sites and generation of a stable mutant with retained kinetic properties. Biochemistry 1994;33:9405–9413.
- Davis DA, Dorsey K, Wingfield PT, et al.: Regulation of HIV-1 protease activity through cysteine modification. Biochemistry 1996;35:2482–2488.

- Pan SH and Malcolm BA: Reduced background expression and improved plasmid stability with pET vectors in BL21 (DE3). Biotechniques 2000;29:1234–1238.
- 40. Todd MJ, Semo N, and Freire E: The structural stability of the HIV-1 protease. J Mol Biol 1998;283:475–488.
- 41. Lavis LD, Rutkoski TJ, and Raines RT: Tuning the pK_a of fluorescein to optimize binding assays. Anal Chem 2007;79: 6775–6782.
- Rutkoski TJ, Kurten EL, Mitchell JC, and Raines RT: Disruption of shape-complementarity markers to create cytotoxic variants of ribonuclease A. J Mol Biol 2005; 354:41–54.
- 43. Pettit SC, Moody MD, Wehbie RS, et al.: The p2 domain of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Gag regulates sequential proteolytic processing and is required to produce fully infectious virions. J Virol 1994;68:8017–8027.
- 44. Pettit SC, Lindquist JN, Kaplan AH, and Swanstrom R: Processing sites in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) Gag-Pro-Pol precursor are cleaved by the viral protease at different rates. Retrovirology 2005;2:66.
- Klink TA and Raines RT: Conformational stability is a determinant of ribonuclease A cytotoxicity. J Biol Chem 2000;275:17463–17467.
- Hui JO, Tomasselli AG, Zurcher-Neely HA, and Heinrikson RL: Ribonuclease A as a substrate of the protease from human immunodeficiency virus-1. J Biol Chem 1990;265:21386– 21389.
- 47. Suhasini AN and Sirdeshmukh R: Transfer RNA cleavages by onconase reveal unusual cleavage sites. J Biol Chem 2006;281:12201–12209.
- Leland PA, Schultz LW, Kim B-M, and Raines RT: Ribonuclease A variants with potent cytotoxic activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;98:10407–10412.
- Rutkoski TJ and Raines RT: Evasion of ribonuclease inhibitor as a determinant of ribonuclease cytotoxicity. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2008;9:185–199.
- 50. Kobe B and Deisenhofer J: Mechanism of ribonuclease inhibition by ribonuclease inhibitor protein based on the crystal structure of its complex with ribonuclease A. J Mol Biol 1996;264:1028–1043.
- 51. Johnson RJ, McCoy JG, Bingman CA, Phillips GN Jr, and Raines RT: Inhibition of human pancreatic ribonuclease by the human ribonuclease inhibitor protein. J Mol Biol 2007;367:434–449.
- 52. Bjellqvist B, Basse B, Olsen E, and Celis JE: Reference points for comparisons of two-dimensional maps of proteins from different human cell types defined in a pH scale where isoelectric points correlate with polypeptide compositions. Electrophoresis 1994;15:529–539.
- Lee FS, Shapiro R, and Vallee BL: Tight-binding inhibition of angiogenin and ribonuclease A by placental ribonuclease inhibitor. Biochemistry 1989;28:225–230.

Address reprint requests to: Ronald T. Raines Department of Biochemistry University of Wisconsin–Madison 433 Babcock Drive Madison, Wisconsin 53706–1544

E-mail: rtraines@wisc.edu