

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Respir Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Respir Med. 2010 August ; 104(8): 1189-1196. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2009.10.030.

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Older Persons: A Comparison of Two Spirometric Definitions

Carlos A. Vaz Fragoso, $MD^{1,2}$, John Concato, MD, MS, $MPH^{1,2}$, Gail McAvay, PhD^1 , Peter H. Van Ness, PhD, MPH^1 , Carolyn L Rochester, $MD^{1,2}$, H. Klar Yaggi, MD, $MPH^{1,2}$, and Thomas M. Gill, MD^1

Carlos A. Vaz Fragoso: carlos.fragoso@yale.edu; John Concato: john.concato@yale.edu; Gail McAvay: gail.mcavay@yale.edu; Peter H. Van Ness: peter.vanness@yale.edu; Carolyn L Rochester: cr38@email.med.yale.edu; H. Klar Yaggi: henry.yaggi@yale.edu; Thomas M. Gill: thomas.gill@yale.edu

¹Yale University School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, New Haven, CT

²Veterans Affairs (VA) Clinical Epidemiology Research Center, West Haven, CT

Abstract

Background—Among older persons, we previously endorsed a two-step spirometric definition of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) that requires a ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1-second to forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC) below .70, and an FEV1 below the 5th or 10th standardized-residual percentile ("SR-tile strategy").

Objective—To evaluate the clinical validity of an SR-tile strategy, compared to a current definition of COPD, as published by the Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD-COPD), in older persons.

Methods—We assessed national data from 2,480 persons aged 65-to-80 years. In separate analyses, we evaluated the association of an SR-tile strategy with mortality and respiratory symptoms, relative to GOLD-COPD. As per convention, GOLD-COPD was defined solely by an FEV1/FVC<.70, with severity staged according to FEV1 cut-points at 80 and 50 percent-predicted (%Pred).

Results—Among 831 participants with GOLD-COPD, the risk of death was elevated only in 179 (21.5%) of those who also had an FEV1 $<5^{th}$ SR-tile; and the odds of having respiratory symptoms was elevated only in 310 (37.4%) of those who also had an FEV1 $<10^{th}$ SR-tile. In contrast, GOLD-COPD staged at an FEV1 50-79% Pred led to misclassification (overestimation) in terms of 209 (66.4%) and 77 (24.6%) participants, respectively, not having an increased risk of death or likelihood of respiratory symptoms.

Conclusion—Relative to an SR-tile strategy, the majority of older persons with GOLD-COPD had neither an increased risk of death nor an increased likelihood of respiratory symptoms. These results raise concerns about the clinical validity of GOLD guidelines in older persons.

Address correspondence to: Carlos A. Vaz Fragoso, MD at the Clinical Epidemiology Research Center, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, Mailcode 151B, West Haven, CT. USA. carlos.fragoso@yale.edu Telephone: (203) 688-9423 Fax: (203) 688-4209.

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions: Dr. Fragoso had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors made substantial contributions to study concept and design, to data acquisition, analysis and interpretation, and to drafting the submitted article.

Role of the Sponsors: The investigators retained full independence in the conduct of this research.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

COPD; spirometry; respiratory symptoms; mortality

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by airflow limitation, defined spirometrically by a reduced ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) to forced vital capacity (FVC), with severity subsequently staged according to the FEV1 expressed as percent predicted (%Pred).¹⁻⁴ In particular, the Global initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), a frequently cited spirometric guideline, establishes COPD based solely on an FEV1/FVC <.70, with severity subsequently staged according to FEV1 cut-points of 80, 50, and 30 %Pred.¹

Among older persons, GOLD guidelines are problematic, however, for at least three reasons. First, an FEV1/FVC threshold of .70 cannot distinguish clinically-significant pathology from normal age-related increases in airflow limitation.^{2,5} Developmentally, after achieving peak pulmonary function at about 20 years of age, airflow limitation increases with age, principally due to increasing rigidity of the chest wall and decreasing elastic recoil of the lung.^{6,7} Although COPD is also characterized by airflow limitation, this effect is due to small airways' disease and parenchymal destruction.^{1,8} Consequently, an FEV1/FVC <.70 may simply reflect airflow limitation that is due to normal aging, rather than COPD.^{2,5} Second, GOLD assumes incorrectly that a given FEV1 %Pred cut-point is equivalent for all persons regardless of age, height, sex and ethnicity.⁹⁻¹¹ For example, a white male of average height has a value for the FEV1 at the 5th percentile of 74% Pred at age 30 years, but only 63% Pred at age 70 years.⁹ Third, GOLD guidelines have not been validated using clinically relevant measures such as mortality and respiratory symptoms.¹ Because of these limitations, GOLD may misclassify COPD in older persons and, in turn, potentially compromise patient care.^{2,3,5,9-13}

To address the above limitations, we have proposed that COPD be defined by a two-step spirometric strategy that 1) determines a cut-point for the FEV1/FVC based on mortality risk; and 2) among persons below this FEV1/FVC threshold, determines cut-points for the FEV1, expressed as a standardized residual percentile (SR-tile) and based on the prevalence of respiratory symptoms and mortality risk.¹¹ An SR-tile is simply a Z-score that has been converted to a percentile,⁹⁻¹¹ and is analogous to results reported for bone mineral density testing.¹⁴ Importantly, the SR-tile method accounts for variability in age, height, sex, and ethnicity, whereas %Pred does not.⁹⁻¹¹ Using this approach and data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III), we have shown that, among persons aged 65-to-80 years, defining COPD based on an FEV1/FVC <.70, with FEV1 cut-points at the 5th and 10th SR-tile, identifies individuals with an increased risk of death and prevalence of respiratory symptoms, respectively.¹¹

In the current study, we evaluated the clinical validity of an SR-tile based strategy, relative to GOLD-defined COPD, using data from a large, nationally representative sample of community-living older persons, which included a large proportion of women and minorities. We postulated that GOLD guidelines would misclassify older persons who have neither an increased risk of death nor an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms.

Methods

Study Population

NHANES III is a large, nationally representative sample of Americans assembled in 1988-1994, with mortality surveillance through December 31, 2000.^{15,16} Our study population included 2,480 community-living NHANES III participants, aged 65-to-80 years, who were white, African-American, or Mexican-American, had no self-reported asthma, and had completed a health questionnaire, a brief cognitive assessment, and at least two American Thoracic Society (ATS) acceptable spirometric maneuvers.¹⁷ As per current ATS recommendations, we did not exclude participants based on spirometric reproducibility criteria.¹⁸

The Yale Human Investigation Committee approved the study, granting exemption from review and subject consent because it involved existing data that was publicly available and recorded in a manner that subjects could not be identified.

Clinical Measures

Participants were classified as having a respiratory symptom if they answered "yes" to one or more of the following four questions: "Do you usually cough on most days for 3 consecutive months or more during the year?", "Do you bring up phlegm on most days for 3 consecutive months or more during the year?", "Have you had wheezing or whistling in your chest at any time in the past 12 months?", or "Are you troubled by shortness of breath when hurrying on level ground or walking up a slight hill?".

Self-reported asthma was defined by a "yes" response to: "Has a doctor ever told you that you had asthma?" Self-reported COPD was defined by a "yes" response to: "Has a doctor ever told you that you had chronic bronchitis?" or "Has a doctor ever told you that you had emphysema?" Current smokers were defined by a "yes" response to: "Do you smoke cigarettes now?" Former smokers were defined by a "no" response to: "Do you smoke cigarettes now?" but a "yes" response to: "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes during your entire life?" Never smokers were defined by "no" responses to both questions.

To assess health status, participants were asked, "Would you say your health in general is excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?" Reduced health status was defined as a rating of "fair-to-poor." To assess comorbidity, participants were asked about the presence of several chronic conditions in addition to COPD, including hypertension, diabetes, congestive heart failure, stroke, myocardial infarction, and lung cancer. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as a ratio of the measured weight in kilograms to measured height in meters-squared. Finally, memory impairment was defined as a score <2 on delayed recall of a 3-item word list or a score <4 on delayed recall from a 6-item story. ¹⁵

Spirometry

NHANES III utilized a dry rolling seal spirometer, with each participant performing 5-8 forced vital capacity (FVC) maneuvers.¹⁵ For predicted values, we used previously published NHANES III reference equations based on age, height, sex, and ethnicity.¹¹ As described earlier, an FEV1/FVC threshold of .70 defined COPD with GOLD guidelines (i.e., GOLD-COPD),¹ but was considered only a first-step in an SR-tile based definition of COPD.¹¹

As proposed by GOLD, we expressed the measured FEV1 as %Pred, calculated as (measured predicted) $\times 100.^{1}$ Among participants with GOLD-COPD, we then staged the FEV1 at 80, 50, and 30 %Pred.¹ However, because only a small number of NHANES III participants had an FEV1 < 30% Pred (n=10), we subsequently used only the cut-points of 80 and 50 %Pred to

define three GOLD-COPD stages: Stage 1 (FEV1 ≥80%Pred), Stage 2 (FEV1 50-79%Pred), and (combined) Stage 3/4 (FEV1 <50%Pred).

As per the SR-tile strategy,¹¹ we expressed the measured FEV1 as a standardized residual (SR), calculated as [(measured minus predicted) / (standard deviation of the residuals)].⁹⁻¹¹ A "residual" is the difference between a measured and predicted value, and the standard deviation of the residuals is a constant that quantifies the spread of the reference data, based on age, height, sex, and ethnicity (i.e., derived from reference equations for the FEV1).⁹⁻¹¹ A percentile based on the SR was then computed (SR-tile), with an easy-to-interpret scale of 0-100.⁹⁻¹¹ Among participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70, we then established COPD based on the FEV1, using previously validated cut-points at the 5th and 10th SR-tiles, respectively.¹¹

Lastly, for our regression analyses (described below), we excluded persons with restrictive lung disease from the referent group (FEV1/FVC \geq .70), based on an FVC <LLN.¹⁹

Primary Outcome

Our primary outcome was all-cause mortality, ascertained from a public-use linked mortality file that contains information from the National Death Index, with follow-up through December 31, 2000.¹⁶ Vital status was available on all but one participant.¹⁶

Statistical Analysis

SUDAAN version 9.0.1 (Research Triangle Park) was used to estimate hazard ratios (from Cox proportional hazards regression) and odds ratios (from logistic regression), with a p < .05 (two-sided) denoting statistical significance.²⁰

Among participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70, we determined the independent association between FEV1 stage and death, using Cox proportional hazards analysis. Models were adjusted for age, height, sex, ethnicity, smoking history, chronic conditions, health status, BMI, and cognition. The proportional hazards assumption was tested using interaction terms crossing the time-to-event outcome with each variable in the multivariable model. If significant at the p <.05 level, these interaction terms were retained in the final model. Higher order effects were tested for the continuous covariates and were included in the final models if they met the forward selection criterion of p <.20.²¹ Participants who had not died were censored at the end of the follow-up period.

The FEV1 stages were based, in separate analyses, on an FEV1 at the 5thSR-tile or GOLD cutpoints. The groups demarcated by these cut-points were treated as nominal categories, with the referent group including participants having normal pulmonary function, defined by an FEV1/FVC \geq .70 and an FVC \geq LLN.¹⁹ Mortality risk was subsequently evaluated for GOLD stages stratified by FEV1 at the 5thSR-tile. Similarly, among participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70, we also evaluated the association between GOLD stages stratified by an FEV1 at the 10thSR-tile and the presence of respiratory symptoms, by calculating odds ratios using logistic regression. Respiratory symptoms were evaluated as a composite measure but, to enhance the interpretation of our findings, we also evaluated the symptoms of dyspnea, wheezing, and "bronchitis" (i.e., cough or sputum production) separately.

Results

The baseline characteristics of our study population are shown in Table 1. The mean age was approximately 72 years. The majority of participants were current or former smokers. The five most common self-reported chronic conditions were hypertension, arthritis, diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, and COPD. About a third of the participants had fair-to-poor health status and memory impairment, respectively. Over the 12-year follow-up period, 868 (35.0%)

Vaz Fragoso et al.

participants died, yielding a mortality rate of 4.6 per 100 person-years (95% confidence interval 4.3, 4.9).

Table 2 provides all-cause mortality and the prevalence of respiratory symptoms among participants with normal pulmonary function versus those with an FEV1/FVC <.70, stratified according to the FEV1 as defined by GOLD and SR-tile cut-points. As shown in Panel A, the highest mortality occurred among participants who had an FEV1 <50% Pred and <5thSR-tile. As shown in Panel B, the highest prevalence of respiratory symptoms occurred among participants who had an FEV1 <50% Pred and <10thSR-tile.

Table 3 provides unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) for all-cause mortality among participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70, stratified according to GOLD and SR-tile cut-points, relative to a reference group with normal pulmonary function. As shown in Panel A, the unadjusted HR was significantly elevated among participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70, regardless of FEV1 cut-point. After adjustment for potential confounders, however, as shown in Panel B, the adjusted HR was significantly elevated only at an FEV1 <5thSR-tile, regardless of GOLD staging. Consequently, of the 831 participants who had GOLD-COPD (FEV1/FVC <.70), the risk of death was elevated only in 179 (21.5%) of those who also had an FEV1 <5thSR-tile; and, of the 314 participants with GOLD-COPD Stage 2 (FEV1 50-79% Pred), the risk of death was misclassified in 209 (66%) of those who also had an FEV1 \ge 5thSR-tile.

Table 4 provides unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (OR) for having respiratory symptoms among participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70, stratified to GOLD and SR-tile cut-points, relative to a reference group with normal pulmonary function. As shown in Panel A, the unadjusted odds ratios were significantly elevated among participants with an FEV1/FVC <. 70, regardless of FEV1 cut-point. After adjustment for potential confounders, however, as shown in Panel B, the adjusted OR was significantly elevated only at an FEV1 <10thSR-tile, regardless of GOLD staging. Consequently, of the 829 participants who had GOLD-COPD (FEV1/FVC <.70), the odds of having respiratory symptoms was elevated only in 310 (37.4%) of those who also had an FEV1 <10thSR-tile; and, of the 313 participants with GOLD-COPD Stage 2 (FEV1 50-79% Pred), the likelihood of having respiratory symptoms was misclassified in 77 (24.6%) of those who also had an FEV1 ≥10thSR-tile. Similar results were obtained when each of the respiratory symptoms was analyzed separately (data not shown).

Discussion

In a large, nationally representative sample of community-living older persons, the majority of participants with GOLD-defined COPD had neither an increased risk of death (78.5%) nor an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms (62.5%), relative to a two-step, SR-tile based spirometric definition of COPD. In addition, the risk of death and likelihood of having respiratory symptoms were misclassified in 209 (66.4%) and 77 (24.6%) participants, respectively, who had GOLD-COPD Stage 2 (FEV1 50-79% Pred). These results raise concerns about the clinical validity of GOLD guidelines in older persons.

Our two-step, SR-tile based spirometric strategy for defining COPD in older persons offers three major advantages over GOLD guidelines. First, unlike GOLD, we have previously established spirometric cut-points that are associated with important clinical measures.¹¹ All-cause mortality is an objective and definitive health outcome that is resistant to miscoding and has been the primary endpoint in landmark studies of oxygen therapy in COPD.²² In addition, respiratory symptoms are the most distressing feature of COPD and can lead to disability and increased healthcare utilization. ^{22,23}

Second, we have evaluated the FEV1 as an SR-tile rather than as %Pred, which is the practice espoused by GOLD and others.¹⁻⁴ Prior work has shown that reporting the FEV1 as %Pred is

seriously flawed, because it does not account for differences in the variability of the reference group across the lifespan.⁹⁻¹¹ In contrast, because it considers the spread of the reference data, the SR-tile method yields a value that is applicable to all persons.^{9,10}

Third, contrary to GOLD, our spirometric strategy posits that the FEV1/FVC is insufficient to establish a diagnosis of COPD. As discussed earlier, an FEV1/FVC threshold at a fixed-ratio of .70 cannot distinguish clinically-significant pathology from normal age-related increases in airflow limitation.^{2,5} To better make this distinction, it is necessary to also consider the FEV1, because it is the primary determinant of a reduced FEV1/FVC, is a more robust predictor of adverse outcomes (than the FEV1/FVC), and is associated with COPD-related airway inflammation.^{2,8,11,24} Nonetheless, the first step in the diagnostic sequence for establishing COPD still requires the FEV1/FVC, because a reduced FEV1 may be also due to restrictive lung physiology.^{1,2,11}

Using our spirometric strategy and data from NHANES III, we have previously shown that 7.7% of persons aged 65-to-80 years had a severe form of COPD, defined by an FEV1/FVC <.70 and an FEV1 <5thSR-tile, which conferred an increased risk of death and an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms; this subgroup had the highest prevalence of smoking exposure and reduced health status.¹¹ Participants with an FEV1/FVC <.70 and an FEV1 at the 5th to 9th SR-tile, representing 5.7% of the study population, had a milder form of COPD, which conferred an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms but not an increased risk of death; this subgroup had the second highest prevalence of smoking exposure and reduced health status.¹¹ Because neither the risk of death nor prevalence of respiratory symptoms was elevated, we would suggest that an FEV1/FVC <.70 with an FEV1 \geq 10thSR-tile is insufficient to establish COPD. Although longitudinal studies are needed, this latter group may be heterogeneous, including persons who simply have normal age-related increases in airflow limitation and those who will experience declines in pulmonary function over time (i.e., transition to COPD).²⁵

The ATS and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) have recommended an alternative strategy for establishing COPD, based on the lower limit of normal (LLN) for the FEV1/FVC, defined as the 5th percentile of the frequency distribution.² This strategy, however, may not be viable in older persons. Because aging is associated with an increase in the variability of pulmonary function, there is substantial scatter of the reference data, particularly for a ratio of two different spirometric measures.^{6,26} Specifically, among older persons, normal values for the FEV1/FVC range widely and are highly skewed, in comparison to the FEV1 and FVC alone.^{6,26} Consequently, a threshold based on the LLN for the FEV1/FVC may have limited diagnostic accuracy in an older population. To illustrate, prior work has shown that elderly persons with an FEV1/FVC <.70 but \geq LLN have an increased risk of death and COPD-related hospitalization.¹⁹

An alternative strategy for calculating the LLN of the FEV1/FVC, which accounts for the increase in variability of pulmonary function with advancing age, has been recently proposed by Stanojevic and colleagues.^{6,26} Although this approach offers promise, reference values are currently available for white persons only and the clinical validity of this approach has not yet been established in older persons.^{6,26} Other investigators have reported that the BODE Index, which includes dyspnea, body mass index, 6-minute walking distance, and FEV1, is a better predictor of mortality than the FEV1 alone, in patients with COPD. By defining COPD based solely on an FEV1/FVC <.70 and expressing the FEV1 as %Pred, however, the BODE Index suffers from similar limitations as GOLD.

We recognize potential limitations to our study. Because cause of death in NHANES III was based only on information from death certificates, we evaluated all-cause mortality as an

outcome rather than COPD-specific mortality. Prior work has demonstrated that COPD is commonly underreported as a cause of death, even among patients with symptomatic COPD. ²⁷ Furthermore, COPD increases the risk of death from cardiovascular disease and lung cancer, and the number of deaths from these causes is much greater than those from respiratory disease among patients with COPD.²⁸⁻³⁰ Nonetheless, our findings should be validated in cohorts that include adjudicated data on cause of death.

Whether our results are applicable to middle-aged persons is uncertain, but should be the focus of future research. The variability of pulmonary function (i.e., the spread of the reference data) is less pronounced in middle-aged persons than in older persons,^{6,26} which may attenuate the bias introduced by age-related changes on %Pred. Lastly, because spirometry in NHANES III was not obtained after a bronchodilator, we could not assess reversibility of airflow limitation, a recommended criterion for defining COPD.^{1,2} It is unlikely, however, that the absence of information on "reversibility" had a meaningful effect on our results, for at least three reasons. First, prior work has shown that reversibility, when defined by an FEV1/FVC that normalizes to >.70, is observed in persons who have a minimally reduced pre-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC (i.e., mean value of .68).³² In contrast, our spirometric definition of mild and severe COPD yielded a mean FEV1/FVC of .60 and .54, respectively.¹¹ Second, reversibility as defined by the FEV1 response is neither a sufficient criterion to exclude COPD nor an independent predictor of mortality.^{33,34} Third, persons with self-reported asthma were excluded from our study population. Although asthma may have been underreported,³⁵ we would argue that NHANES III participants who had airflow limitation defined by an FEV1/FVC <.70 and an FEV1 cut-points at the 5th and 10th SR-tile, respectively, were much more likely to have had COPD than asthma given their high prevalence of smoking exposure.

In conclusion, relative to our evidence-based, two-step spirometric definition of COPD, the majority of older persons with GOLD-defined COPD had neither an increased risk of death nor an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms. These results raise concerns about the clinical validity of GOLD guidelines in older persons.

Acknowledgments

The work for this report was supported by the Atlantic Philanthropies, and the ASP/CHEST and Hartford Foundations. The study was conducted at the Yale Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center (P30AG21342). Dr. Fragoso is currently a recipient of an ASP/CHEST Foundation Geriatric Research Development Award and of a Career Development Award from the Department of Veterans Affairs. Dr. Concato is supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program. Dr. Yaggi is supported by a career development transition award from the Department of Veterans Affairs Clinical Science Research and Development Service. Dr. Gill is the recipient of an NIA Midcareer Investigator Award in Patient-Oriented Research (K24AG021507).

References

- GOLD executive summary. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;176:532–55. [PubMed: 17507545]
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J 2005;26:948–68. [PubMed: 16264058]
- Qaseem A, Snow V, Shekelle P, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline from the American college of physicians. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:633–8. [PubMed: 17975186]
- 4. Mannino DM, Doherty DE, Buist AS. Global initiative on obstructive lung disease (GOLD) classification of lung disease and mortality: findings from the atherosclerotic risk in communities (ARIC) study. Respir Med 2006;100:115–22. [PubMed: 15893923]
- Hansen JF, Sun XG, Wasserman K. Spirometric criteria for airway obstruction. Chest 2007;131:349– 55. [PubMed: 17296632]

- Stanojevic S, Wade A, Stocks J, et al. Reference ranges for spirometry across all ages. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:253–60. [PubMed: 18006882]
- 7. Meyer KC. Aging. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2005;2:433-9. [PubMed: 16322596]
- Hogg JC. Pathophysiology of airflow limitation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lancet 2004;364:709–721. [PubMed: 15325838]
- 9. Miller MR, Pincock AC. Predicted values: how should we use them? Thorax 1988;43:265–7. [PubMed: 3406912]
- Official statement of the European Respiratory Society. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. Report working party standardization of lung function tests European community for steel and coal. Eur Respir J 1993;6(S16):5–40. [PubMed: 8381090]
- Fragoso CAV, Concato J, McAvay G, et al. Defining chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in older persons. Resp Med 2009;103:1468–1476.
- Singh S, Loke YK, Furberg CD. Inhaled anticholinergics and risk of major adverse cardiovascular events in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2008;300:1439–50. [PubMed: 18812535]
- Drummond MB, Dasenbrook EC, Pitz MW, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2008;300:2407–2416. [PubMed: 19033591]
- Cummings SR, Bates D, Black DM. Clinical use of bone densitometry: scientific review. JAMA 2002;288:1889–97. [PubMed: 12377088]
- 15. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Center for Health Statistics. Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-94, NHANES III Laboratory Data File (CD-ROM), Public Use Data File Documentation Number 76200. Hyattsville, Maryland: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1996. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA
- 16. Wheatcroft, G.; Cox, CS.; Lochner, KA. National Center for Health Statistics. Comparative analysis of the NHANES III public-use and restricted-use linked mortality files. Hyattsville, Maryland: 2007. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/datalinkage/h3_mort_compare_2007_final.pdf
- 17. American Thoracic Society. Lung function testing: selection of reference values and interpretative strategies. American Thoracic Society. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;144:1202–18. [PubMed: 1952453]
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J 2005;26:319– 38. [PubMed: 16055882]
- 19. Mannino DM, Buist AS, Vollmer WM. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the older adult: what defines abnormal lung function? Thorax 2007;62:237–41. [PubMed: 17090573]
- Research Triangle Institute. SUDAAN Language Manual, Release 9.0 Research. Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute; 2004.
- Peduzzi P, Concato J, Feinstein AR, Holford TR. Importance of events per independent variable in proportional hazards regression analysis. II. Accuracy and precision of regression estimates. J Clin Epidemiol 1995;48:1503–10. [PubMed: 8543964]
- 22. Gross NJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease outcome measurements. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2005;2:267–71. [PubMed: 16267347]
- 23. Cherry DK, Burt CW, Woodwell DA. National ambulatory medical care survey: 1999 summary. Advanced Data from Vital and Health Statistics (CDC) 2001;322:1–36.
- Thomason MJ, Strachan DP. Which spirometric indices best predict subsequent death from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? Thorax 2000;55:785–788. [PubMed: 10950899]
- Burrows B, Knudson RJ, Camilli AE, Lyle SK, Lebowitz MD. The "horse-racing effect" and predicting decline in forced expiratory volume in one second from screening spirometry. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;135:788–93. [PubMed: 3565926]
- 26. Spirometry. [April 24, 2009]. http://www.ich.ucl.ac.uk/ich/academicunits/growinglungs/Homepage
- Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, et al. The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. NEJM 2004;350:1005–12. [PubMed: 14999112]

- Huiart L, Ernst P, Suissa S. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in COPD. Chest 2005;128:2640–
 [PubMed: 16236937]
- 29. Nishimura K, Tsukino M. Clinical course and prognosis of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2000;6:127–132. [PubMed: 10741772]
- 30. Anthonisen NR, Skeans MA, Wise RA, et al. The effects of a smoking cessation intervention on 14.5year mortality. Ann Intern Med 2005;142:233–9. [PubMed: 15710956]
- 31. Lakkireddy DR, Gowda MS, Murray CW, et al. Death certificate completion: How well are physicians trained and are cardiovascular causes overstated? Am J Med 2004;117:492–498. [PubMed: 15464706]
- Johannessen A, Omenaas, Bakke PS, Gulsvik A. Implications of reversibility testing on prevalence and risk factors for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a community study. Thorax 2005;60:842– 7. [PubMed: 16085729]
- 33. Vestbo J, Hansen EF. Airway hyperresponsiveness and COPD mortality. Thorax 2001;56(Suppl 2): 11–14.
- Hansen EF, Vestbo J. Bronchodilator reversibility in COPD. Eur Respir J 2005;26:6–7. [PubMed: 15994382]
- 35. Enright PL, McClelland RL, Newman AB, et al. Underdiagnosis and undertreatment of asthma in the elderly. Chest 1999;116:603–613. [PubMed: 10492260]

Baseline characteristics of the study population

Characteristic	N = 2,480		
Age, mean (SD), years	71.7 (4.5)		
Females, No. (%)	1,252 (50.5)		
Ethnicity, No. (%)			
White	1,497 (60.4)		
African-American	517 (20.8)		
Mexican-American	466 (18.8)		
Education, mean (SD), years	9.7 (4.4)		
Smoking status, No. (%)			
Never	1,108 (44.7)		
Former	1,001 (40.4)		
Current	371 (15.0)		
Self-reported chronic conditions, No. (%)			
Hypertension	1,194 (48.3)		
Arthritis	1,107 (44.6)		
Diabetes mellitus	407 (16.4)		
Myocardial infarction	272 (11.1)		
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	214 (8.6)		
Congestive heart failure	205 (8.3)		
Cancer *	199 (8.0)		
Stroke	173 (7.0)		
Fair-to-poor self-reported health, No. (%)	824 (33.3)		
Memory impairment, No. (%) †	859 (34.9)		

SD = standard deviation; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; %Pred = percent predicted; LLN = lower limit of normal.

* Minor skin cancers are not included.

 † A score < 2 on delayed recall of a 3-item word list or a score < 4 on delayed recall from a 6-item story.

All-cause mortality and prevalence of respiratory symptoms, according to GOLD and SR-tile cut-points

A. All-cause mortality:						
Spirometric Group	No. (%) of deaths among participants *					
Normal Pulmonary Function †	429/1,488 (28.8)					
FEV1/FVC < .70: FEV1 Stage	GOLD Stage $1 \ge 80\%$ Pred	GOLD Stage 2 50-79% Pred	GOLD Stage 3/4 < 50% Pred	Total		
\geq 5 th SR-tile	163/443 (36.8)	90/209 (43.1)	0	253/652 (38.8)		
< 5 th SR-tile	0	55/105 (52.4)	56/74 (75.7)	111/179 (62.0)		
Total	163/443 (36.8)	145/314 (46.2)	56/74 (75.7)	364/831 (43.8)		
B. Respiratory symptoms:						
Spirometric Group	No. (%) of participants with respiratory symptoms ${}^{\$}$					
Normal Pulmonary Function †	614/1,483 (41.4)					
FEV1/FVC < .70: FEV1 Stage	GOLD Stage $1 \ge 80\%$ Pred	GOLD Stage 2 50-79% Pred	GOLD Stage 3/4 < 50% Pred	Total		
$\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ SR-tile	172/442 (38.9)	40/77 (52.0)	0	212/519 (40.8)		
< 10 th SR-tile	1/1 (100)	141/236 (59.8)	59/74 (79.7)	201/311 (64.6)		
Total	173/443 (39.0)	181/313 (57.8)	59/74 (79.7)	413/830 (49.8)		

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; % Pred = percent predicted; SR-tile = standardized residual percentile; GOLD = Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease; LLN = lower limit of normal.

*74 participants (3.6%) were excluded because of missing covariates and 87 (3.5%) were excluded because of restrictive pulmonary physiology, i.e., FEV1/FVC \geq .70 and FVC < LLN.

[†]Defined by an FEV1/FVC \geq .70 and FVC \geq LLN.

 \ddagger Included cough or sputum production, wheezing, or exertional dyspnea - see methods.

\$ 80 participants (3.2%) were excluded because of missing covariates or missing respiratory symptoms (n=6), and 87 (3.5%) were excluded because of restrictive pulmonary physiology, i.e., FEV1/FVC \ge .70 and FVC < LLN.

Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for all-cause mortality, according to GOLD and SR-tile cut-points *

A. Unadjusted hazard ratios:						
Spirometric Group	Unadjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) for mortality					
Normal Pulmonary Function [†]	1.00					
FEV1/FVC < .70: FEV1 Stage	GOLD Stage $1 \ge 80\%$ Pred	GOLD Stage 2 50-79% Pred	GOLD Stage 3/4 < 50% Pred	Total		
\geq 5 th SR-tile	1.25 (1.04, 1.50)	1.59 (1.29, 1.96)	NA	1.35 (1.14, 1.61)		
< 5 th SR-tile	NA	2.27 (1.83, 2.81)	3.94 (3.08, 5.03)	2.85 (2.36, 3.45)		
Total	1.25 (1.04, 1.50)	1.81 (1.51, 2.16)	3.93 (3.08,5.02)	1.62 (1.37, 1.91)		
B. Adjusted hazard ratios:						
Spirometric Group	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) for mortality ‡					
Normal Pulmonary Function †	1.00					
FEV1/FVC < .70: FEV1 Stage	GOLD Stage $1 \ge 80\%$ Pred	GOLD Stage 2 50-79% Pred	GOLD Stage 3/4 < 50% Pred	Total		
\geq 5 th SR-tile	1.03 (0.85, 1.26) n = 443	1.11 (0.91, 1.36) n = 209	$\mathbf{NA}\\\mathbf{n}=0$	1.06 (0.89, 1.25) n = 652		
< 5 th SR-tile	$NA \\ n = 0$	1.83 (1.41, 2.37) n = 105	2.24 (1.65, 3.04) n = 74	2.01 (1.60, 2.54) n = 179		
Total	1.04 (0.85, 1.27) n = 443	1.31 (1.08, 1.59) n = 314	2.24 (1.65, 3.03) n = 74	1.24 (1.04, 1.47) n = 831		

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; % Pred = percent predicted; SR-tile = standardized residual percentile; GOLD = Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease; LLN = lower limit of normal; CI = confidence interval; NA = not applicable.

 $^{\circ}$ 74 participants (3.6%) were excluded because of missing covariates and 87 (3.5%) were excluded because of restrictive pulmonary physiology, i.e., FEV1/FVC \geq .70 and FVC < LLN.

^{\dagger} Defined by an FEV1/FVC \geq .70 and FVC \geq LLN.

^{\ddagger}Covariates included age, age², height, sex, ethnicity, smoking history, BMI, BMI², BMI³, memory impairment, self-reported health status by time interaction, and chronic conditions. All covariates were significant at the *p* <.05 level, except age² at a p value of .196 but this was kept in the model because we used the .20 level for higher order terms.

Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios for having respiratory symptoms, according to GOLD and SR-tile cut-points $*^{\dagger}$

A. Unadjusted odds ratios:					
Spirometric Group	Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) for respiratory symptoms				
Normal Pulmonary Function [‡]	1.00				
FEV1/FVC < .70: FEV1 Stage	GOLD Stage $1 \ge 80\%$ Pred	GOLD Stage 2 50-79% Pred	GOLD Stage 3/4 < 50% Pred	Total	
$\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ SR-tile	0.90 (0.75-1.09)	1.53 (0.96-2.45)	NA	0.98 (0.82-1.16)	
< 10 th SR-tile	NA	2.10 (1.50-2.94)	5.57 (3.13-9.89)	2.57 (1.91-3.48)	
Total	0.90 (0.75-1.09)	1.94 (1.43-2.63)	5.57 (3.13-9.89)	1.40 (1.18-1.66)	
B. Adjusted odds ratios:					
Spirometric Group	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) for respiratory symptoms $\$$				
Normal Pulmonary Function \ddagger	1.00				
FEV1/FVC < .70: FEV1 Stage	GOLD Stage $1 \ge 80\%$ Pred	GOLD Stage 2 50-79% Pred	GOLD Stage 3/4 < 50% Pred	Total	
$\geq 10^{\text{th}}$ SR-tile	1.08 (0.88-1.33) n=442	1.41 (0.84-2.38) n=77	NA n=0	1.12 (0.92-1.35) n=519	
< 10 th SR-tile	NA n=1	2.06 (1.44-2.93) n =236	5.04 (2.88-8.83) n =74	2.45 (1.80-3.34) n=310	
Total	1.08 (0.88-1.33) n=442	1.88 (1.34-2.63) n=313	5.05 (2.88-8.85) n=74	1.48 (1.23-1.80) n=829	

FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; %Pred = percent predicted; SR-tile = standardized residual percentile; GOLD = Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease; LLN = lower limit of normal; CI = confidence interval.

* Respiratory symptoms included cough or sputum production, wheezing, or exertional dyspnea – see methods.

 † 80 participants (3.2%) were excluded because of missing covariates or missing respiratory symptoms (n=6), and 87 (3.5%) were excluded because of restrictive pulmonary physiology, i.e., FEV1/FVC \geq .70 and FVC < LLN. In addition, we excluded from analysis the single participant who had an FEV1/F VC < .70, with an FEV1 \geq 80% Pred but < 10thSR-tile (cell size too small).

^{\ddagger} Defined by an FEV1/FVC \ge .70 and FVC \ge LLN.

 $^{\$}$ Covariates included age, age², age³, height, sex, ethnicity, smoking history, BMI, memory impairment, self-reported health status, and chronic conditions. Of these, age², ethnicity, smoking, BMI, self-reported health status, and chronic conditions were significant at the *p*<.05 level. The non-significant variables were kept in the model to have a consistent set of predictors, as in the mortality analysis.