

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nat Neurosci*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Neurosci. 2010 July ; 13(7): 819-821. doi:10.1038/nn.2563.

Fragile X mental retardation protein controls gating of the sodium-activated potassium channel Slack

Maile R. Brown^{1,*}, Jack Kronengold^{1,*}, Valeswara-Rao Gazula¹, Yi Chen², John G. Strumbos¹, Fred J. Sigworth², Dhasakumar Navaratnam^{3,4}, and Leonard K. Kaczmarek^{1,2,†} ¹ Department of Pharmacology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA 06520

² Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA 06520

³ Department of Neurology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA 06520

⁴ Department of Neurobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA 06520

Abstract

In humans, absence of Fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP), an RNA-binding protein, results in Fragile X syndrome (FXS), the most common inherited form of intellectual disability. Here we report through biochemical and electrophysiological studies that FMRP binds the C-terminus of the Slack sodium-activated potassium channel to activate the channel. The findings suggest that Slack activity may provide a link between patterns of neuronal firing and changes in protein translation.

The sodium-activated potassium channel (K_{Na}) Slack-B is the largest known potassium channel subunit, consisting of an extended cytoplasmic C-terminal domain containing 913 amino acids¹. To gain insight into potential functions of the Slack C-terminal domain, we carried out a yeast two-hybrid screen using this domain as bait. Several proteins that were identified repeatedly comprise components of an mRNA-protein regulatory complex that includes FMRP (Supplementary Fig. 1). FMRP is believed to exist as part of an mRNA-binding complex and is known to interact with target mRNAs, miRNAs, and other nuclear and cytosolic binding partners², ³. FMRP is, however, not known to interact with any intrinsic membrane proteins. Here we report a role for FMRP as a potent activator of Slack-B.

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

[†]Correspondence should be addressed to L.K.K. leonard.kaczmarek@yale.edu.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

Author Contributions. M.R.B., J.K., L.K.K. designed experiments and wrote the manuscript. M.R.B., J.K., V.G., Y.C., J.G.S., D.N. performed experiments. F.J.S. contributed HEK-channel cell lines. All authors discussed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

We confirmed the *in vivo* interaction of Slack with FMRP by co-immunoprecipitation of FMRP with Slack from synaptosomes prepared from olfactory bulb and brainstem regions of wild type (WT) mice (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Methods). The FMRP band that is co-immunoprecipitated with Slack is entirely absent in synaptosomal brain extracts from $Fmr1^{-/y}$ mice (lacking FMRP) (Fig. 1a). Slack-FMRP complexes also contained *Map1b* and *Arc* mRNA, known mRNA targets of FMRP⁴–⁶ that were detected by RT-PCR experiments on immunoprecipitates (Fig. 1e). No mRNA could be co-immunoprecipitated with Slack in synaptosomes from $Fmr1^{-/y}$ mice (Fig. 1e).

Specificity for interaction between Slack channels and FMRP was also demonstrated *in vitro* using FLAG-tagged Slack-B and FLAG-tagged Slo1 channels stably transfected in HEK cells, which express endogenous FMRP (Fig. 1c). When an anti-FLAG antibody was used to immunoprecipitate these FLAG-tagged channels, an FMRP immunoreactive band was present in the immunoprecipitate of Slack-B, but not Slo1 channels (Fig. 1c).

To probe the interaction between FMRP and Slack, we undertook electrophysiological studies to determine whether FMRP affects Slack-B channel activity. Single channel patchclamp recordings from *Xenopus* oocytes expressing the Slack-B channel typically show large conductance channels (~180 pS) with frequent openings to subconductance states (substates, S)¹, ⁷. Specifically, there are frequent transitions to a relatively long-lived substate with a conductance about one-third that of the fully-open state (Fig. 2a).

Due to the reported difficulties⁸, ⁹ in synthesizing full-length FMRP protein (632aa), we used a commercially available recombinant FMRP containing amino acids 1–298. Importantly, FMRP(1–298) contains the N-terminal domain (NDF; aa1–134) of FMRP which serves as a platform for protein-protein interactions¹⁰. We used only stable patches that showed no changes in channel activity or kinetics over 2 minutes. The control trace shows frequent openings to the substate from both open and closed states. Addition of FMRP(1–298) produced a dramatic effect on channel gating within 20 seconds; a near-complete elimination of the substates and a strong activation of channel activity (n=5). The effect of FMRP(1–298) was reversible on washout (Fig. 2a).

All-points amplitude histograms show that intermediate peaks representing the substates are strongly suppressed after application of FMRP(1–298) (Fig. 2b). In addition, there was an increase in channel openings evidenced by decreased closed times, and increased simultaneous openings of two or three channels, which is particularly evident when the histograms are plotted on a logarithmic scale (Fig. 2b). The actions of FMRP(1–298) on the gating of Slack channels differs from that observed on increasing cytoplasmic Na⁺ levels in that elevations of Na⁺ do not eliminate substates¹¹. Heat-inactivated FMRP(1–298) had no effect on Slack-B channel properties (Fig. 2c). The mean increase in NP_o (Number of channels × probability of opening) produced by FMRP(1–298) was $112\pm12.4\%$, (n=5, p<0.0001 compared to $-8.4\pm4.1\%$ produced by heat-inactivated FMRP(1–298)).

A peptide array assay confirmed that FMRP(1–298) binds selectively to sequences at the Slack C-terminus, whereas no binding was detected to Slo1 and Kv2.1 potassium channels (Supplementary Fig. 2). To test the hypothesis that the Slack C-terminus is responsible for

channel modulation, we constructed a Slack-B truncation mutant (Slack-B 804) lacking amino acids 804–1237 and tested whether application of FMRP altered channel activity.

While the gating behaviour of Slack-B 804 channels was more rapid than full-length Slack-B channels, substates were detected in the recordings although discrete substate peaks were attenuated in all-points amplitude histograms (Fig. 2e). In contrast to full-length Slack-B, application of FMRP(1–298) to the cytoplasmic face of Slack-B 804 channels had no effect on NP_o or the occurrence of substates (Fig. 2d) (mean change in NP_o = $8.4\pm4.0\%$, n=4).

Previous work has demonstrated that K_{Na} channels account for a major component of the total K⁺ current in principal neurons of the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body (MNTB) allowing these cells to fire at high rates with temporal fidelity¹¹. If FMRP acts as an activator of native Slack channels, the loss of FMRP, as occurs in FXS, would be predicted to reduce whole-cell K_{Na} currents. We first determined the distribution of Slack in *Fmr1*^{-/y} mice using the anti-Slack-B antibody in synaptosomes and brain sections. Synaptosomal samples prepared from the brainstem and olfactory bulb regions of either WT or *Fmr1*^{-/y} mice brains contain similar amounts of Slack channels (Fig. 3b). Slack is expressed at high levels in neurons of the lateral MNTB (Fig. 3a) and there was a small increase in Slack immunoreactivity in the MNTB of *Fmr1*^{-/y} mice compared to WT mice (Fig. 3c).

To compare the proportion of total K⁺ current that can be attributed to K_{Na} channels (I_{K(Na)}) in WT versus $Fmr1^{-/y}$ mice, we recorded whole-cell currents in lateral MNTB neurons with 20mM internal Na^{+ 11}. Cells were held at -40mV for at least 2 min to inactivate low-threshold voltage-gated Kv1 channels¹². We then applied the K⁺ channel blocker TEA (1mM) to record the I_{K(Na)}-containing component of current ¹¹(Fig. 3d). This component of current was significantly reduced in $Fmr1^{-/y}$ mice relative to WT (Fig. 3e). These results are consistent with a role for FMRP in regulating the activity of native Slack channels in the MNTB.

Loss of function of FMRP is the major cause of inherited intellectual disability. The present findings are the first demonstration of the interaction of FMRP with a membrane protein. It is known that activation of Slack channels contributes to the firing patterns of a wide variety of neurons, and, in auditory brainstem neurons may serve to maintain temporal accuracy of firing during high frequency stimulation¹¹. Thus, the present data suggest that some of the neuronal abnormalities in FXS could represent altered patterns of neuronal firing that are distinct from the role of FMRP as a regulator of mRNA localization and translation. Moreover, in analogy with Slo1 channels¹³, the C-terminus of Slack channels is likely to undergo a conformational change during channel activation in response to repetitive neuronal stimulation. This raises the possibility that the interaction of Slack channels with FMRP serves to regulate the proposed functions of FMRP in mRNA trafficking and translation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NIH grants NS61479 (M.R.B.), DC008449 (J.K.), DC01919, and the FRAXA Research Foundation (L.K.K.).

References

- 1. Bhattacharjee A, et al. J Neurosci. 2003; 23:11681-11691. [PubMed: 14684870]
- 2. Pfeiffer BE, Huber KM. Neuroscientist. 2009; 15:549-567. [PubMed: 19325170]
- 3. Zukin RS, Richter JD, Bagni C. Front Neural Circuits. 2009; 3:14. [PubMed: 19838324]
- 4. Lu R, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:15201–15206. [PubMed: 15475576]
- 5. Zalfa F, et al. Cell. 2003; 112:317-327. [PubMed: 12581522]
- 6. Zhang YQ, et al. Cell. 2001; 107:591-603. [PubMed: 11733059]
- 7. Dryer SE. Trends Neurosci. 1994; 17:155-160. [PubMed: 7517595]
- 8. Siomi H, Siomi MC, Nussbaum RL, Dreyfuss G. Cell. 1993; 74:291–298. [PubMed: 7688265]
- 9. Sjekloca L, et al. Biochem J. 2009; 419:347-357. [PubMed: 19143590]
- 10. Ramos A, et al. Structure. 2006; 14:21–31. [PubMed: 16407062]
- 11. Yang B, Desai R, Kaczmarek LK. J Neurosci. 2007; 27:2617–2627. [PubMed: 17344399]
- Wang LY, Gan L, Forsythe ID, Kaczmarek LK. J Physiol. 1998; 509(Pt 1):183–194. [PubMed: 9547392]
- 13. Wang L, Sigworth FJ. Nature. 2009; 461:292–295. [PubMed: 19718020]



Figure 1.

FMRP directly binds to Slack channel subunits. (**a**,**b**) Slack antibodies coimmunoprecipitated FMRP from WT (**a**, lane 2) but not from $Fmr1^{-/y}$ mice synaptosomal samples (**a**, lane 5). Immunoblots were performed using FMRP (**a**) or Slack (**b**) antibodies (n=4). Input synaptosomal samples from WT or $Fmr1^{-/y}$ mice were isolated prior to coimmunoprecipitation (**a**, lanes 1, 4, **b**, lanes 1, 4). (**c**) FMRP was co-immunoprecipitated using anti-FLAG antibodies from Slack-FLAG HEK cells (**c**, lane 4) but not from untransfected HEK cells (**c**, lane 3) or Slo1-FLAG cells (**c**, lane 8, n=3). (**d**) The untransfected HEK and Slack-FLAG HEK immunoprecipitates were also separately immunoblotted using anti-FLAG antibody detecting Slack-FLAG channels in transfected cells. (**e**) Slack-FMRP complexes contained known FMRP mRNA targets. *Map1b* and *Arc* mRNA were detected by isolating RNA after co-IP with anti-Slack antibodies followed by RT-PCR in WT samples (**e**, lanes 3, 4) but not $Fmr1^{-/y}$ samples (**e**, lanes 9, 10; n=3).



Figure 2.

FMRP alters gating of full-length Slack-B channels, but not Slack-B 804, in inside-out patches. (**a**) Current recordings before (control), 20 seconds after application of 100nM FMRP(1–298) to the cytoplasmic face of the patch, and after washout of FMRP(1–298). Top traces show representative 10 second examples of recording at –40mV and bottom traces show expanded time views of the same patch. The increase in channel activity (top trace) and the suppression of substates, S, (bottom trace) are evident after FMRP reactivity. Dashed lines indicate closed state and number of channels. (**b**) All-points amplitude histograms plotted on linear (top) and logarithmic scale (bottom), of control, FMRP(1–298) treated, and recovery (after washout). (**c**) Application of heat-inactivated FMRP(1–298) had no effect on Slack-B channel activity; n=5. (**d**) Top traces show representative 10 second recording at –80 mV and bottom traces show expanded time views of Slack-B 804 channel activity before (control) and after application of FMRP(1–298). There are no changes in NP_o or in the occurrence of substates, S. (**e**) All-points amplitude histograms show no significant changes in Slack-B 804 channel activity in response to FMRP(1–298).



Figure 3.

I_{K(Na)} is reduced in *Fmr1^{-/y}* MNTB neurons. (**a**) Images of Slack-B immunoreactivity in MNTB of WT and *Fmr1^{-/y}* mice (scale bar=40μm) (**b**) Immunoblots for Slack-B in synaptosomal samples of WT and *Fmr1^{-/y}* mice. As a loading control, the same samples were probed with antibodies against GAPDH (Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase) (**c**) Quantification of Slack immunoreactivity. MNTB sections (n=63) were quantified using the mean optical density of pixels above threshold (OD IHC±s.e.m.). There was a 15% increase in Slack immunoreactivity in MNTB sections from *Fmr1^{-/y}* mice compared to WT (p=0.02, n=3). Synaptosomes from WT and *Fmr1^{-/y}* mice contained similar amounts of Slack (OD IM±s.e.m.; n=3). (**d**) Representative traces of I_{K(Na)} in lateral MNTB neurons from WT and *Fmr1^{-/y}* mice (20mM internal Na⁺). Outward currents evoked by voltage steps between –80 to +60mV in 20mV increments from a holding potential of –40mV. 1μM TTX and 20μM ZD-7288 were included in the bath to block Na⁺ and hyperpolarization-activated cation currents (I_H), respectively. (**e**) I–V plots of K_{Na} as a proportion of total K⁺ currents. The K_{Na} component of current from *Fmr1^{-/y}* mice (n=5) was significantly reduced relative to WT mice (n=4, p=0.02; two-sample t-test).