

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Hepatology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 June 1

Published in final edited form as: *Hepatology*. 2010 June ; 51(6): 2152–2161. doi:10.1002/hep.23530.

S-Adenosylmethionine Regulates Dual-Specificity Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Phosphatase Expression in Mouse and Human Hepatocytes

Maria Lauda Tomasi^{1,2}, Komal Ramani¹, Fernando Lopitz-Otsoa³, Manuel S. Rodríguez^{3,4}, Tony W. H. Li¹, Kwangsuk Ko¹, Heping Yang¹, Fawzia Bardag-Gorce⁵, Ainhoa Iglesias-Ara¹, Francesco Feo², Maria Rosa Pascale², José M. Mato³, and Shelly C. Lu¹

¹Division of Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, USC Research Center for Liver Diseases, The Southern California Research Center for Alcoholic and Pancreatic Diseases & Cirrhosis, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

²Division of Experimental Pathology and Oncology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy

³Cooperative Research Center in Biosciences, CIBERehd, Technology Park of Bizkaia, Derio, Bizkaia, Spain

⁴Biochemistry Department, University of the Basque Country, Leioa, Bizkaia, Spain

⁵LA BioMed, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA

Abstract

Increased mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activity correlates with a more malignant hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) phenotype. There is a reciprocal regulation between p44/42 MAPK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase [ERK]1/2) and the dual-specificity MAPK phosphatase MKP-1/DUSP1. ERK phosphorylates DUSP1, facilitating its proteasomal degradation, whereas DUSP1 inhibits ERK activity. Methionine adenosyltransferase 1a (Mat1a) knockout (KO) mice express hepatic S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) deficiency and increased ERK activity and develop HCC. The aim of this study was to examine whether DUSP1 expression is regulated by SAM and if so, elucidate the molecular mechanisms. Studies were conducted using Mat1a KO mice livers, cultured mouse and human hepatocytes, and 20S and 26S proteasomes. DUSP1 messenger RNA (mRNA) and protein levels were reduced markedly in livers of Matla KO mice and in cultured mouse and human hepatocytes with protein falling to lower levels than mRNA. SAM treatment protected against the fall in DUSP1 mRNA and protein levels in mouse and human hepatocytes. SAM increased DUSP1 transcription, p53 binding to DUSP1 promoter, and stability of its mRNA and protein. Proteasomal chymotrypsin-like and caspase-like activities were increased in Mat1a KO livers and cultured hepatocytes, which was blocked by SAM treatment. SAM inhibited chymotrypsin-like and caspase-like activities by 40% and 70%, respectively, in 20S proteasomes and caused rapid degradation of some of the 26S proteasomal subunits, which was blocked by the proteasome inhibitor MG132. SAM treatment in Mat1a KO mice for 7 days raised SAM, DUSP1, mRNA and protein levels and lowered proteosomal and ERK activities.

copyright © 2010 by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.

Address reprint requests to: Shelly C. Lu, Division of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases; Department of Medicine, HMR Bldg. 415, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 2011 Zonal Ave., Los Angeles, CA, 90033. shellylu@usc.edu; fax: 323-442-3234.

Potential conflict of interest: Nothing to report.

Conclusion—DUSP1 mRNA and protein levels are lower in *Mat1a* KO livers and fall rapidly in cultured hepatocytes. SAM treatment increases DUSP1 expression through multiple mechanisms, and this may suppress ERK activity and malignant degeneration.

Human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is associated with increased expression and activity of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling intermediates. MAPKs are a family of serine/threonine kinase with three main components: extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), cjun NH2-terminal kinase, and p38.1·2 MAPK dephosphorylation and inactivation is carried out by several phosphatases, including the dual-specificity MAPK phosphatases (MKPs or DUSPs), which simultaneously dephosphorylate both serine/threonine and tyrosine residues.3·4 DUSP1 is the first member of this family that has been characterized. It is controlled by an early response gene, which is transiently induced by mitogens and stress signals such as serum, cytokines, ultraviolet radiation, heat shock, and hypoxia.5

Prolonged activation of ERK promotes phosphorylation at the Ser296 residue of its inhibitor, DUSP1.6^{,7} Phosphorylation of this specific residue renders the DUSP1 protein susceptible to proteasomal degradation by two substrate recognition protein belonging to a large S-phase kinase-associated protein/cullin/F box ubiquitin ligase: the S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 (SKP2) and CDC28 protein kinase b1 complex. In contrast, transient activation of ERK leads to catalytic activation of DUSP1 followed by inactivation of ERK.⁷ This body of evidence indicates that DUSP1 feedback inhibits ERK and that DUSP1 might be a crucial regulation of ERK activity in the cell.

Proteasome inhibitors act in part through down-regulation of MAPK signaling cascade. The eukaryotic proteasome is a large multicatalytic, multisubunit proteasome complex possessing at least three distinct activities, which are associated with three different β -subunits, respectively: chymotrypsin-like activity (with the β_5 subunit), trypsin-like activity (with the β_2 subunit), and peptidyl-glutamyl peptide-hydrolyzing-like (caspase-like) activity (with the β_1 subunit).⁸ Inhibition of the chymotrypsin-like activity, but not of the trypsin-like activity, of the proteasome has been associated with induction of tumor cell apoptosis.⁹ In HCC cell lines, ERK or MAPK kinase inhibitors increased apoptosis and inhibited tumorigenicity and cell cycle progression.¹⁰

Patients with cirrhosis of various etiologies have decreased hepatic methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT) activity and *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) biosynthesis. ¹¹ SAM, which is generated in the first reaction in the metabolism of methionine, catalyzed by MAT, is the principal biological methyl donor and a precursor for glutathione and polyamines. The catalytic subunit of MAT is the product of two different genes: *MAT1A*, which is expressed mainly in the adult liver, and *MAT2A*, which is widely distributed, expressed in the fetal liver, and replaces *MAT1A* in HCC.¹¹ Consequences of hepatic SAM deficiency as illustrated by the *Mat1a* knockout (KO) mouse model include increased susceptibility to steatosis and oxidative liver injury, spontaneous development of steatohepatitis and HCC.^{12,}13 In addition, ERK is hyperphosphorylated and cyclin D1 expression higher in *Mat1a* KO mice at baseline.¹⁴ Given the known reciprocal regulation of ERK and DUSP1, the aims of the present study were to investigate whether DUSP1 expression is altered when SAM is depleted and if so, elucidate the molecular mechanisms.

Materials and Methods

Primary Cultures and Reagents

Mouse hepatocytes from 2- to 3-month-old male C57/B6 mice were isolated by way of collagenase perfusion as described¹⁵ by the Cell Culture Core of the USC Research Center for Liver Diseases. Primary human hepatocytes were obtained in suspension culture in cold

preservation medium (24 hours after livers were harvested) from CellzDirect (Pittsboro, NC). Cells were plated at a density of 0.5×10^6 cells per well in 6-well plates. Cultures were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 50 mM penicillin, and 50 mg/mL streptomycin sulfate. After 2 hours, the medium was changed to contain 2.5% fetal bovine serum.¹⁶ SAM in the form of disulfate p-tolue-nesulfonate dried powder was generously provided by Gnosis SRL (Cairate, Italy). All other reagents were of analytical grade and were obtained from commercial sources.

Cell Treatments

Hepatocytes were treated with SAM (1 or 2 mM) for different time points. When indicated, cells were pretreated with actinomycin D (5 μ g/mL) for 5 minutes or MG132 (20 μ M) for 1 hour. Medium was changed after MG132 pretreatment. Treatments with actinomycin D and MG132 under these conditions did not cause any toxicity as evaluated by way of trypan blue exclusion.¹⁷

Mat1a KO Mice and Treatment

The husbandry of male *Mat1a* KO and wild-type (WT) littermates has been described.¹² Mice were given 100 mg/kg/day SAM dissolved in water or equal volume of water by way of oral gavage and were sacrificed after 7 days. Liver tissues were removed, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C until analysis. Animals were treated humanely and all procedures were in compliance with institutional guidelines for the use of laboratory animals.

RNA Extraction and Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis

Total RNA isolated from hepatocytes and livers as described¹⁸ was subjected to reversetranscription using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). One microliter of reverse transcription product was subjected to quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis. The primers and TaqMan probes for *DUSP1*, *Skp2*, and Universal PCR Master Mix were purchased from ABI (Foster City, CA). 18SrRNA was used as a housekeeping gene as described.¹⁹ The Δ Ct obtained was used to find the relative expression of genes according to the following formula:

relative expression= $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$

where $\Delta\Delta Ct = \Delta Ct$ of respective genes in experimental groups $-\Delta Ct$ of the same genes in control group.

Protein Extraction and Western Blot Analysis

Protein extracts from primary hepatocytes and liver samples were prepared as described¹⁵ and resolved by electrophoresis on 12%–13% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gels. Western blotting was performed following standard protocols (Amersham BioSciences, Piscataway, NJ) using primary antibodies for DUSP1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA), phosphorylated DUSP1 (Ser359), ERK, phosphorylated ERK, actin (from Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA), and SKP2 (from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed according to the ChIP assay kit protocol provided by Upstate with antibodies against p53 (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) and actin (Cell Signaling). PCR of the DUSP1 promoter region across one relevant p53 site within -476 to -188^{20} was performed with the forward primer 5'-CAGGGCAGGTGTCCTCAG-3' (bp -476 to -458 [all sequences are relative to the ATG start codon]) and the reverse primer

5'-CGCGGTTTTATGTAGCCTCT-3' (bp -208 to -188). All PCR products were run on 2% (wt/vol) agarose gels prestained with ethidium bromide.

Proteasome Chymotrypsin-Like and Caspase-Like Activity Assays

Cells and mouse liver tissues were harvested, washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline, and homogenized in a lysis buffer [50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine ethanesulfonic acid (pH 7.5), 5 mM ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, and 2 mM adenosine triphosphate]. After 30 minutes of rocking at 4°C, the mixtures were centrifuged at 12,000*g* for 15 minutes and the supernatants were collected. Mouse 20S proteasome (Boston Biochem, Cambridge, MA) was diluted in 10 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine ethanesulfonic acid (pH 7.6) and incubated with SAM (1 or 2 mM) and MG132 (20 μ M) for different durations. Chymotrypsin-like activity and caspase-like activity were measured in whole-cell (5 μ g) and mouse liver tissue extracts (2 μ g) or mouse 20S proteasome using a Proteasome-Glo assay system (Promega, Madison, WI) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Human 26S Proteasome Stability Assay

Human 26S proteasomes were extracted from HeLa cells as described.²¹ 26S proteasomes were incubated with SAM (1 or 2 mM) and MG132 (250 or 500 μ M) for different durations. The samples are resolved by way of electrophoresis on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gels. Western blotting was performed following standard protocols (Amersham BioSciences) using antibodies to proteasomal subunits α 4, Rpn10/S5a, Rpn7/S10a, β 2, and β 3 (ENZO Life Sciences, Switzerland).

Determination of SAM Levels

SAM levels were measured from liver samples using high-performance liquid chromatography as described. $^{15}\,$

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed using analysis of variance and Fisher's exact test. For messenger RNA (mRNA) and protein levels, ratios of genes and proteins to respective housekeeping densitometric values were compared. Significance was defined by P < 0.05.

Results

DUSP1 Expression Is Decreased While ERK Activity Is Increased in Mat1a KO Mice Livers

DUSP1 mRNA and protein levels were decreased by 35% and 55%, respectively, in livers of 4- to 6-month-old *Mat1a* KO mice compared with age-matched WT controls (Fig. 1A,B). This correlated with an increase in ERK activity as indicated indirectly by the amount of phosphorylated ERK as well as phosphorylated DUSP-1 (Fig. 1C).

Effect of SAM on DUSP1 Expression in Primary Cultures of Mouse and Human Hepatocytes

Hepatocytes in primary culture rapidly lose *MAT1A* expression and SAM levels fall.²² Figure 2 shows that 12 hours after culture of mouse hepatocytes, DUSP1 mRNA levels fell by 60% (Fig. 2A) and protein levels fell by 90% (Fig. 2B). Adding SAM (2 mM) to culture medium blunted the fall in DUSP1 mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 2A,B). DUSP1 mRNA levels fell very rapidly, and by 2 hours after culture were already 35% lower (Fig. 2A). Treatment with actinomycin D for 2 hours reduced DUSP1 mRNA levels to less than 6% of baseline, but SAM addition blunted the effect of actinomycin D slightly (Fig. 2A). Loss of DUSP1 protein can be significantly prevented by pretreatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132, which exerted

an effect comparable to that of SAM (Fig. 2B). Similar to mouse hepatocytes, human hepatocytes in primary culture also rapidly lose DUSP1 expression at both mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 2C,D). SAM treatment protected against decreases in both levels, but protection against decreased DUSP1 protein levels was greater (Fig. 2C,D).

Effects of SAM on Proteasomal Activity

Because SAM protected against decreases in DUSP1 protein levels much more than in mRNA levels, and that the magnitude of protection is similar to MG132, a well-known inhibitor of proteasomal activity, we next investigated whether proteasomal activity (measured by chymotrypsin-like and caspase-like activities) was altered during the culture of hepatocytes. Figure 3A shows that 12 hours after culturing, chymotrypsin-like activity increased, and this can be blocked completely by either SAM or MG132. Similarly, caspase-like activity also increased, and this was partially blocked to equal extent by SAM and MG132 (Fig. 3B). To make sure that SAM's inhibitory effect is direct, we measured proteasomal activity using 20S proteasomes. Figure 3C shows that SAM was able to inhibit both chymotrypsin-like (Fig. 3C) and caspase-like (Fig. 3D) activities in 20S proteasomes. Inhibition was maximal after a 1-hour incubation with 20S proteasomes (data not shown).

Effects of SAM on Human 26S Proteasome Stability

We next examined whether SAM can affect proteosomal stability. Figure 4 shows that the stability of purified human 26S proteasome *in vitro* is compromised after treatment with SAM. This effect can be easily observed by Coomassie Blue staining of 26S proteasome treated 1 hour at 37°C with SAM (Fig. 4A). Subunits of the 20S and 19S subunits appear to be affected. To better estimate the effect of SAM on the stability of the various subunits of the proteasome, western blot detection was performed using antibodies specifically recognizing subunits of both 20S and 19S (Fig. 4B). The α 4 and β 2 subunits of the 20S and Rpn10/S5a of 19S are severely destabilized after 4 hours of 2 mM SAM treatment, with a decrease of up to 60% (Fig. 4D–F). Effects on stability of these subunits can already be seen after 1 hour (data not shown). In contrast, the stability of Rpn7/S10a is only partially affected, and β 3 is unaffected. Degradation of these proteasome subunits can be prevented by MG132 in a concentration-dependent manner as reported.²³

Effects of SAM Treatment on Proteasomal Activity, DUSP1 Degradative Pathway, and DUSPs Expression in Mat1a KO Mice

To see if SAM treatment in *Mat1a* KO mice can restore DUSP1 expression, we treated KO mice with oral SAM administered daily for 7 days. This dose was based on our recent work showing that it was well tolerated and led to a change in gene expression and glutathione levels. ²⁴ Figure 5A shows that hepatic SAM levels, which were 55% lower in untreated KO mice, normalized after 1 week of SAM treatment. Chymotrypsin-like (Fig. 5B) and caspase-like (Fig. 5C) activities were higher in untreated KO mice compared with WT, and this was nearly corrected by SAM treatment.

In addition to proteasomal activity, enhanced activity of SKP2, an E3 ligase responsible for DUSP1 ubiquitination, can also increase DUSP1 degradation.⁶ To examine this possibility, SKP2 expression was measured in *Mat1a* KO livers with and without SAM treatment. Figure 6 shows that while *Skp2* mRNA levels were unchanged (Fig. 6A), SKP2 protein levels were higher in *Mat1a* KO livers, and this was partially corrected after SAM treatment (Fig. 6B).

DUSP1 mRNA levels, which were about 40% lower in untreated KO mice, normalized after SAM treatment (Fig. 7A). Similarly, SAM treatment also restored DUSP1 protein levels in *Mat1a* KO mice, to even higher than WT levels (Fig. 7B). Because p53 can *trans*-activate the regulatory region of *DUSP1*,²⁰ it is possible that SAM can influence this interaction *in vivo*.

Consistent with this, ChIP assay showed that binding of p53 to the *DUSP1* promoter region was lower in untreated KO mice compared with WT, and this was partially corrected by SAM treatment (Fig. 7C).

Effects of SAM Treatment on ERK Activity in Mat1a KO Mice

One week of SAM treatment resulted in lowering of ERK activity as indicated by phosphorylated ERK and phosphorylated DUSP1 levels (Fig. 8).

Discussion

DUSP1 encodes a dual threonine/tyrosine phosphatase that specifically dephosphorylates and inactivates MAPKs.^{5,20} DUSP1, also referred to as MKP-1, CL100, or 3CH134, is a prototypic member of DUSPs family.²⁵ Thus far, at least 10 family members have been cloned.⁵ Whereas the expression of some DUSPs is tissue-specific, DUSP1 is widely distributed, and its expression is responsive to various environmental stimuli, including mitogens, oxidative stress, heat shock, ultraviolet radiation, high osmotic pressure, and hormones.²⁶ DUSP1 is encoded by an immediate-early gene, and its transcription is rapidly induced in response to many of the stimuli that activate MAPKs, which is a key mechanism to control the activity of MAPKs.^{5, 27} After induction, DUSP1 is in turn rapidly degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway.⁶

MAPKs are components of intracellular signaling pathways that control a vast array of cellular processes such as cell growth, immune response, infection, and injury. The ERK pathway is phosphorylation cascades, in which the activation of each MAPK requires dual phosphorylation by specific MAPK kinase at the threonine and tyrosine residues of the T *x* Y sites (where *x* represents glutamate in ERK) in the activation loop, and dephosphorylation of these residues by DUSP1 terminates such activation.^{25–27} Each activated MAPK specifically targets a variety of proteins such as downstream kinases and transcription factors that regulate expression of particular genes, many of which serve to inhibit MAPK feedback.²⁸ In addition, physical interaction of MAPKs with DUSP1 activates the phosphatase activity to inhibit MAPKs.⁶ These are some of the regulatory mechanisms to keep MAPK activity in check.

In many human cancers, DUSP1 expression was found to be increased in the early carcinogenic stage but fell progressively with higher histologic grade and metastasis.²⁹ In human HCC, DUSP1 expression has been reported to be inversely correlated with proliferation index and microvessel density, and directly correlated with apoptotic index and survival.³⁰ Decreased DUSP1 expression in HCC was one of the independent prognosticators for the survival of HCC patients.³¹ The down-regulation of DUSP1 occurs predominantly as the tumor progresses from localized to advanced disease. However, the mechanisms by which DUSP1 expression decreases are not entirely clear.³⁰

Recently, we reported that the protein level of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APEX1), a key protein involved in DNA repair, is lower in *Mat1a* KO livers and cultured hepatocytes. ²² We further showed that SAM treatment stabilized APEX1 protein in vitro.²² These results support the notion that SAM regulates proteasomal activity, but proof is lacking. Because DUSP1 is a protein well known to undergo proteasomal degradation, which is induced with sustained ERK activation,⁷ and the observation that ERK1 activity is increased in *Mat1a* KO livers,¹⁴ we anticipated lower levels of DUSP1 protein in *Mat1a* KO livers and that SAM is likely to regulate its expression. In the present study, we confirmed our speculation and provided definitive proof that SAM can stabilize proteins by inhibiting proteasomal activity. Furthermore, our data support the scenario that a chronically decreased SAM level can lower DUSP1 expression and allow higher ERK activity to persist.

We found that hepatic DUSP1 expression is lower at both the mRNA and protein levels in *Matla* KO mice. We confirmed higher ERK activity as we have reported previously.¹⁴ Using cultured hepatocytes as an in vitro model for loss of MATIA and SAM depletion, we observed the same changes in DUSP1, though with an even more profound depletion in its protein level compared with Matla KO livers. DUSP1 mRNA is very labile, because its levels fell by 94% in 2 hours when de novo RNA synthesis was inhibited. SAM treatment in cultured hepatocytes and in Mat1a KO mice protected against decreased mRNA and protein levels. SAM exerts its protective effect on the DUSP1 mRNA at the transcriptional as well as posttranscriptional levels. SAM is likely to increase DUSP1 transcription by way of p53, which is a transcriptional activator of DUSP1.²⁰ This is because SAM stabilizes APEX1, which in turn enhances p53dependent transcriptional activity.^{22,32} This notion is further supported by the ChIP findings, because p53 binding to the DUSP1 promoter region containing the p53 element was lower in Matla KO livers and partially corrected after SAM treatment. SAM treatment also increased mRNA stability slightly but the molecular mechanism remains to be elucidated. SAM had a more protective effect on the DUSP1 protein level, which was equal to that of the proteasomal inhibitor MG132. Indeed, proteasomal activity was higher in Matla KO livers and in cultured hepatocytes, and SAM treatment largely prevented or corrected these changes. The evidence that SAM can directly inhibit proteasomal activity is its ability to inhibit both chymotrypsinlike and caspase-like activities in pure 20S proteasomes in as little as 1 hour. In addition, incubating human 26S proteasome with SAM led to a rapid (1-hour) degradation of some but not all of the subunits, and this effect was blocked by MG132. This result is consistent with one of two possibilities: either SAM activates the intrinsic protease activity of the proteasome, leading to degradation of some of its subunits, or it modifies the proteasome posttranslationally (such as methylation), which makes the protein more susceptible to protease degradation. These possibilities will be investigated in the future.

In addition to enhanced proteasomal degradation, chronic SAM depletion also resulted in increased protein levels of SKP2, an E3 ligase responsible for ubiquitination of DUSP1. This increase can further contribute to destabilization of DUSP1 and can be partially corrected by SAM treatment. How SAM level regulates SKP2 protein level is unclear at the present time and will require additional study.

Because DUSP1 and ERK exert reciprocal regulation on each other, it is possible that SAM protects DUSP1 protein degradation by inhibiting ERK activity. However, SAM treatment for up to 27 hours had no influence on ERK activity in cultured hepatocytes, which makes it unlikely.³³ We also did not observe a change in ERK activity after SAM treatment in cultured hepatocytes (data not shown). However, SAM treatment in *Mat1a* KO mice reduced ERK activity, which likely reflects different durations of treatment (7 days versus 12 hours in culture). With lowering of ERK activity, there is less phosphorylation of DUSP1 and less proteasomal degradation. This can then feed forward to further reduce ERK.

Our findings have clear relevance to many chronic human liver diseases that are associated with increased risk for HCC. Most chronic liver diseases have lower *MATIA* expression and decreased MAT activity, culminating in reduced SAM biosynthesis.¹¹ Results from the present study suggest that chronic SAM depletion facilitates DUSP1 proteasomal degradation and allows ERK (and other MAPK) activation to persist. SAM has been demonstrated to exert chemopreventive effect in several rodent models of HCC.^{34,35} There are many potential mechanisms, including preventing hypomethylation and increased expression of protooncogenes, 34 exerting a selectively proapoptotic effect in liver cancer cells36 and inhibiting angiogenesis.³⁵ With the new finding that SAM can stabilize proteins such as APEX1 and DUSP1 by inhibiting the proteasomal pathway, it greatly widens our understanding of how SAM can influence so many cellular activities.

In conclusion, DUSP1 expression falls when SAM is depleted and SAM treatment can increase DUSP1 transcription and stabilize its mRNA and protein. SAM stabilizes DUSP1 protein by inhibiting the proteasomal pathway. Given that patients with chronic liver disease have impaired hepatic SAM biosynthesis, our findings are highly relevant to the molecular mechanisms of the predisposition to HCC and how SAM may function as a chemopreventive agent.

Abbreviations

| APEX1 | apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| ChIP | chromatin immunoprecipitation |
| ERK | extracellular signal-regulated kinase |
| HCC | hepatocellular carcinoma |
| KO | knockout |
| MAPK | mitogen-activated protein kinase |
| MAT | methionine adenosyltransferase |
| mRNA | messenger RNA |
| PCR | polymerase chain reaction |
| RLU | relative light units |
| SAM | S-adenosylmethionine |
| SEM | standard error of the mean |
| SKP2 | S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 |
| WT | wild type |

Acknowledgments

Supported by National Institutes of Health Grants DK51719 (to S. C. Lu) and AT1576 (to S. C. Lu and J. M. Mato); the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science Ramón y Cajal Program Grant BFU 2008-01108/BMC (to M. S. Rodríguez); and the Spanish National Plan for Research, Development, and Innovation Grants SAF 2005-00855 and HEPADIP-EULSHM-CT-205 (to J. M. Mato). Primary hepatocytes were provided by the Cell Culture Core of the USC Research Center for Liver Diseases (DK48522). CIBERehd is funded by the Carlos III Health Institute. M. L. Tomasi is a postdoctoral fellow partly funded by the University of Sassari.

References

- Su B, Karin M. Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades and regulation of gene expression. Curr Opin Immunol 1996;8:402–411. [PubMed: 8793994]
- Cano E, Mahadevan LC. Parallel signal processing among mammalian MAPKs. Trends Biochem Sci 1995;20:117–122. [PubMed: 7709430]
- Tonks NK, Neel BG. From form to function: signaling by protein tyrosine phosphatases. Cell 1996;87:365–368. [PubMed: 8898190]
- Neel BG, Tonks NK. Protein tyrosine phosphatases in signal transduction. Curr Opin Cell Bil 1997;9:193–204.
- Sun H, Charles CH, Lau LF, Tonks NK. MKP-1 (3CH134), an immediate early gene product, is a dual specificity phosphatase that dephosphorylate MAP kinase in vivo. Cell 1993;75:487–493. [PubMed: 8221888]
- 6. Lin YW, Yang JL. Cooperation of ERK and SCFSkp2 for MKP-1 destruction provides a positive feedback regulation of proliferating signaling. J Biol Chem 2006;281:915–926. [PubMed: 16286470]

- Li YW, Chuang SM, Yang JL. ERK1/2 achieves sustained activation by stimulating MAPK phosphatase 1 degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. J Biol Chem 2003;278:21534– 21541. [PubMed: 12676937]
- Groll M, Ditzel L, Löwe J, Stock D, Stock D, Bochtler M, Bartunik HD, et al. Structure of 20S proteasome from yeast at 2.4 A resolution. Nature 1997;368:463–471. [PubMed: 9087403]
- An B, Goldfarb RH, Siman R, Dou QP. Novel dipeptidyl proteaseome inhibitors overcome Bcl-2 protective function and selectively accumulate the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 and induce apoptosis in transformed, but not normal, human fibroblast. Cell Death Differ 1998;5:1062–1075. [PubMed: 9894613]
- Wiesenauer CA, Yip-Schneider MT, Wang Y, Schmidt CM. multiple anticancer effects of blocking MEK-ERK signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Am Coll Surg 2004;198:410–421. [PubMed: 14992744]
- Mato JM, Lu SC. Role of S-adenosyl-L-methionine in liver health and injury. Hepatology 2007;45:1306–1312. [PubMed: 17464973]
- Lu SC, Alvarez L, Huang ZZ, Chen L, An W, Corrales FJ, et al. Methionine adenosyltransferase 1A knockout mice are predisposed to liver injury and exhibit increased expression of genes involved in proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:5560–5565. [PubMed: 11320206]
- Martínez-Chantar ML, Corrales FJ, Martínez-Cruz LA, García-Trevijano ER, Huang ZZ, Chen L, et al. Spontaneous oxidative stress and liver tumors in mice lacking methionine adenosyltransferase 1A. FASEB J 2002;16:1292–1294. [PubMed: 12060674]
- Chen L, Zeng Y, Yang H, Lee TD, French SW, Corrales FJ, et al. Impaired liver regeneration in mice lacking methionine adenosyltransferase 1A. FASEB J 2004;18:914–916. [PubMed: 15033934]
- Ramani K, Yang H, Xia M, Ara AI, Mato JM, Lu SC. Leptin's mitogenic effect in human liver cancer cells requires induction of both methionine adenosyltransferase 2A and 2beta. Hepatology 2008;47:521–531. [PubMed: 18041713]
- 16. García-Trevijano ER, Latasa MU, Carretero MV, Berasain C, Mato JM, Avila MA. Sadenosylmethionine regulates MAT1A and MAT2A gene expression in cultured rat hepatocytes: a new role for S-adenosylmethionine in the maintenance of the differentiated status of the liver. FASEB J 2000;14:2511–2518. [PubMed: 11099469]
- 17. Freshney, R. Culture of Animal Cells: A manual of Basic Technique. NewYork: Alan R. Liss Inc.; 1987.
- Yang H, Ara AI, Magilnick N, Xia M, Ramani K, Chen H, et al. Expression pattern, regulation, and functions of methionine adenosyltransferase 2beta splicing variants in hepatoma cells. Gastroenterology 2008;134:281–291. [PubMed: 18045590]
- Veal N, Hsieh CL, Xiong S, Mato JM, Lu S, Tsukamoto H. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharidestimulated TNF-alpha promoter activity by S-adenosylmethionine and 5'-methylthioadenosine. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2004;287:G352–G362. [PubMed: 15064230]
- 20. Liu YX, Wang J, Guo J, Wu J, Lieberman HB, Yin Y. DUSP1 is controlled by p53 during the cellular response to oxidative stress. Mol Cancer Res 2008;6:624–633. [PubMed: 18403641]
- Coux O, Goldberg AL. Enzymes catalyzing ubiquitination and proteolytic processing of the p105 precursor of nuclear factor kappaB1. J Biol Chem 1998;273:8820–8828. [PubMed: 9535861]
- Tomasi ML, Iglesias-Ara A, Yang H, Ramani K, Feo F, Pascale MR, et al. S-adenosylmethionine regulates apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 stability: implication in hepatocarcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 2009:1025–1036. [PubMed: 18983843]
- Hjerpe R, Aillet F, Lopitz-Otsoa F, Lang V, England P, Rodriguez MS, et al. Efficient protection and isolation of ubiquitylated proteins using tandem ubiquitin-binding entities. EMBO Rep 2009;10:1250–1258. [PubMed: 19798103]
- Yang HP, Ramani K, Xia M, Ko KS, Li TWH, Oh P, et al. Dysregulation of glutathione synthesis during cholestasis in mice: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic implications. Hepatology 2009;49:1982–1991. [PubMed: 19399914]
- 25. Patterson KI, Brummer T, O'Brien PM, Daly RJ. Dual-specificity phosphatases: critical regulators with diverse cellular targets. Biochem J 2009;418:475–489. [PubMed: 19228121]

Page 9

- 26. Franklin CC, Kraft AS. Conditional expression of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphatase MKP-1 preferentially inhibits p38 MAPK and stress-activated protein kinase in U937 cells. J Biol Chem 1997;272:16917–16923. [PubMed: 9202001]
- 27. Keyse SM, Emslie EA. Oxidative stress and heat shock induce a human gene encoding a proteintyrosine phosphatase. Nature 1992;359:644–647. [PubMed: 1406996]
- Marshall CJ. Specificity of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling: transient versus sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. Cell 1995;80:179–185. [PubMed: 7834738]
- 29. Magi-Galluzzi C, Mishra R, Fiorentino M, Montironi R, Yao H, Capodieci P, et al. Mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1 is overexpressed in prostate cancers and is inversely related to apoptosis. Lab Invest 1997;76:37–51. [PubMed: 9010448]
- Calvisi DF, Pinna F, Meloni F, Ladu S, Pellegrino R, Sini M, et al. Dual-specificity phosphatase 1 ubiquitination in extracellular signal-regulated kinase-mediated control of growth in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Res 2008;68:4192–4200. [PubMed: 18519678]
- Tsujita E, Taketomi A, Gion T, Kuroda Y, Endo K, Watanabe A, et al. Suppressed MKP-1 is an independent predictor of outcome in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncology 2005;69:342– 347. [PubMed: 16293973]
- Gaiddon C, Moorthy NC, Prives C. Ref-1 regulates the transactivation and pro-apoptotic functions of p53 in vivo. EMBO J 1999;18:5609–5621. [PubMed: 10523305]
- Garcia-Trevijano ER, Martínez-Chantar ML, Latasa MU, Mato JM, Avila MA. NO sensitizes rat hepatocytes to hepatocyte growth factor-induced proliferation through the modulation of Sadenosylmethionine levels. Gastroenterology 2002;122:1355–1363. [PubMed: 11984522]
- 34. Pascale RM, Simile MM, De Miglio MR, Nufris A, Daino L, Seddaiu MA, et al. Chemoprevention by S-adenosyl-L-methionine of rat liver carcinogenesis initiated by 1,2-dimethylhydrazine and promoted by orotic acid. Carcinogenesis 1995;16:427–430. [PubMed: 7859377]
- Lu SC, Ramani K, Ou XP, Lin M, Yu V, Ko K, et al. S-adenosylmethionine in the chemoprevention and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in a rat model. Hepatology 2009;50:462–471. [PubMed: 19444874]
- Yang H, Sadda MR, Li M, Zeng Y, Chen L, Bae W, et al. S-adenosylmethionine and its metabolite induce apoptosis in HepG2 cells: role of protein phosphatase 1 and Bcl-x_S. Hepatology 2004;40:221– 231. [PubMed: 15239106]

Tomasi et al.



Fig. 1.

DUSP1 expression is reduced while ERK is activated in *Mat1a* KO mice. (A) *DUSP1* mRNA levels as measured by way of real-time PCR. Results are expressed as fold of age-matched WT mice (mean \pm SEM) from four WT and nine KO mice. **P* < 0.05 versus WT. (B,C) DUSP1 protein levels as measured by western blot analysis. Results are expressed as fold of age-matched WT mice (mean \pm SEM) from three WT and four KO mice. **P* < 0.001 versus WT.

Tomasi et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Fig. 2.

Effect of SAM treatment on DUSP1 expression in cultured hepatocytes. Mouse (A, B) or human (C, D) hepatocytes were treated with SAM (2 mM) for 2 or 12 hours. Where indicated, cells were pretreated with actinomycin D (Ac.D, 5 µg/mL) for 5 minutes. (A) Effect of SAM and actinomycin D treatment on *DUSP1* mRNA levels in mouse hepatocytes measured by way of real-time PCR. Cells were pretreated with Ac.D for 5 minutes followed by Ac.D alone or with SAM (A+S) for another 2 hours. Results are expressed as fold of T0 cells (mean ± SEM) from three to five independent experiments. **P* < 0.01 versus T0; ***P* < 0.001 versus T0 and UT at 2 or 12 hours; [†]*P* < 0.05 versus Ac.D alone. (B) Effect of SAM treatment on DUSP1 protein levels in cultured mouse hepatocytes on western blot analysis. Mouse hepatocytes were

cultured and treated with SAM (2 mM) for 12 hours. When indicated, cells were pretreated with MG132 (20 µM) for 1 hour. Results are expressed as fold of T0 cells (mean ± SEM) from three independent experiments. **P* < 0.001 versus T0; [†]*P* < 0.002 versus UT at 12 hours. (C) Effect of SAM treatment on *DUSP1* mRNA levels in human hepatocytes. Results are expressed as fold of T0 cells (mean ± SEM) from three independent donors. **P* < 0.0001 versus T0; [†]*P* < 0.02 versus UT at 12 hours. (D) Effect of SAM treatment on DUSP1 protein levels in human hepatocytes. Results are expressed as fold of T0 cells (mean ± 0.002 versus UT at 12 hours.) (D) Effect of SAM treatment on DUSP1 protein levels in human hepatocytes. Results are expressed as fold of T0 cells (mean ± 0.001 versus T0; [†]*P* < 0.02 versus UT at 12 hours.) (D) Effect of SAM treatment on DUSP1 protein levels in human hepatocytes. Results are expressed as fold of T0 cells (mean ± 0.0001 versus T0; [†]*P* < 0.02 versus UT at 12 hours.)

Tomasi et al.

В Α Chymotrypsin-like activity 1000 Caspase-like activity 2500 800 t 2000 ** (RLU) ****** 600 (RLU) 1500 400 1000 200 500 0 0 UT SAMe MG132 UT SAMe MG132 T0 12h T0 12h D Chymotrypsin-like activity 1600 1600 Caspase-like activity 1200 1200 (RLU) (RLU) 800 800 400 400 ** 0 0 SAMe SAMe Control MG132 Control SAMe SAMe MG132 (2 mM) (1 mM) (2 mM) (1 mM)

Fig. 3.

Effect of SAM treatment on proteasomal chymotrypsin-like and caspase-like activity in cultured mouse hepatocytes (A, B) and 20S proteasome (C, D). Mouse hepatocytes were cultured and treated with SAM (2 mM) for 12 hours. When indicated, cells were pretreated with MG132 (20 μ M) for 1 hour. (A) Effect of SAM treatment on proteasomal chymotrypsin-like activity. Results are expressed as relative light units (RLU) (mean ± SEM) from three independent experiments. **P* < 0.001 versus T0; †*P* < 0.0001 versus UT at 12 hours. (B) Effect of SAM treatment on proteasomal caspase-like activity. Results are expressed as RLU (mean ± SEM) from three independent experiments. **P* < 0.0001 versus T0; **P* < 0.001 versus T0; **P* < 0.001 versus T0; †*P* < 0.0001 versus T0; **P* < 0.001 versus T0; †*P* < 0.0001 versus T0; **P* < 0.001 versus VT at 12 hours. (C, D) Effect of SAM treatment on proteasomal chymotrypsin-like (C) or caspase-like (D) activities in 20S proteasome. Five µg of 20S proteasome treated with SAM (1 or 2 mM) or MG132 (20 µM) for 12 hours were analyzed. Results are expressed as RLU (mean ± SEM) from three independent experiments. **P* < 0.001 versus control; ***P* < 0.001 versus control.



Fig. 4.

In vitro effects of SAM on 26S proteasome stability. (A) Purified human 26S proteasomes were treated with SAM at the indicated times and concentrations. Reactions were analyzed by Coomassie Blue staining of acrylamide gels. (B) Similar reactions in the presence or absence of 2 mM SAM with or without MG132 at the indicated concentrations for 4 hours were analyzed by way of western blotting using antibodies specifically recognizing the α 4, β 2, and β 3 subunits of the 20S and Rpn10/S5a and Rpn7/S10a of the 19S. (C-F) Quantitative results on the subunits from four different experiments using the Quantity One program (Bio-Rad). Results are expressed as fold of T0 (mean ± SEM). (C) **P* < 0.002; ***P* < 0.03; [†]*P* < 0.004 versus T0. (D)

*P < 0.0001; **P < 0.05 versus T0. (E) *P < 0.0001; **P < 0.003 versus T0. (F) *P < 0.002; **P < 0.0001 versus T0.



Fig. 5.

Effect of SAM treatment on SAM levels, proteasomal chymotrypsin-like and caspase-like activity in *Mat1a* KO mice. Protein extracts from livers of 4- to 6-month old *Mat1a* KO, *Mat1a* KO treated with SAM (100 mg/kg by gavage once daily for 7 days) or age-matched WT mice were analyzed for SAM levels and proteasomal chymotrypsin-like and caspase-like activity in tissue extracts as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Effect of SAM treatment on hepatic SAM levels in *Mat1a* KO mice. Results are expressed as nmol of SAM per milligrams of protein (mean \pm SEM) from four to nine mice per group. **P* < 0.01 versus WT; [†]*P* < 0.001 versus KO. (B) Effect of SAM treatment on proteasomal chymotrypsin-like activity in *Mat1a* KO mice. Results are expressed as RLU (mean \pm SEM) from four to nine

mice per group. *P < 0.01 versus WT; $^{\dagger}P < 0.001$ versus KO. (C) Effect of SAM treatment on proteasomal caspase-like activity. Results are expressed as RLU (mean ± SEM) from four to nine mice per group. *P < 0.016 versus WT; $^{\dagger}P < 0.02$ versus KO.



Fig. 6.

Effects of SAM treatment on SKP2 expression in *Mat1a* KO mice. (A) Real-time PCR analysis of *Skp2* mRNA levels. Results are expressed as fold of age-matched WT mice (mean \pm SEM) from three to four mice in each group. (B) SKP2 protein levels measured by way of western blot analysis. Results are expressed as fold of the data from age-matched WT mice (mean \pm SEM) from three WT, four untreated, and four SAM-treated KO mice. **P* < 0.001 versus WT; ***P* < 0.03 versus WT; [†]*P* < 0.02 versus KO.

Tomasi et al.



Fig. 7.

Effects of SAM treatment on DUSP1 expression in *Mat1a* KO mice. (A) Real-time PCR analysis measured *DUSP1* mRNA levels. Results are expressed as fold of age-matched WT mice (mean ± SEM) from four to nine mice per group. **P* < 0.001 versus WT; [†]*P* < 0.01 versus KO. (B) DUSP1 protein levels measured by way of western blot analysis. Results are expressed as fold of WT mice (mean ± SEM) from three to nine mice per group. **P* < 0.001 versus WT; [†]*P* < 0.001 versus KO. (C) SAM increased the physical association of p53 with chromatin containing the regulatory region of DUSP1. Thirty milligrams of tissue from livers of 4- to 6-month-old untreated, SAM-treated *Mat1a* KO, or age-matched WT mice were used for ChIP assay. Input genomic DNA (Input) was used as a loading control, and immunoprecipitation

with an antibody against actin was used as a negative control (not shown). Results were normalized to the input and expressed as fold of control binding to the DUSP1 promoter (mean \pm SEM) from three WT, four untreated, and four SAM-treated mice. **P* < 0.001 versus WT; [†]*P* < 0.02 versus KO.



Fig. 8.

Effects of SAM treatment on activity of ERK in *Mat1a* KO mouse livers. Phosphorylation of ERK and DUSP1 was used as an indirect measure of ERK activity using western blot analyses for phosphorylated ERK, phosphorylated DUSP1, total ERK, DUSP1, and actin as described in Materials and Methods in untreated mice, SAM-treated *Mat1a* KO mice, and WT controls. Results are expressed as fold of WT mice (mean \pm SEM) from three to nine mice per group. **P* < 0.001 versus WT; [†]*P* < 0.001 versus KO.