

Published in final edited form as:

J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2010 July ; 121(1-2): 438–441. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2010.03.052.

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN POLYMORPHIC VARIATION IN *VDR* AND *RXRA* AND CIRCULATING LEVELS OF VITAMIN D METABOLITES

EA Hibler^{(1),(2)}, PW Jurutka^{(3),(4)}, JB Egan⁽²⁾, C Hu⁽¹⁾, EC LeRoy⁽²⁾, ME Martinez^{(1),(2)},
PA Thompson^{(1),(2)}, and ET Jacobs^{(1),(2)}

⁽¹⁾ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA 85724

⁽²⁾ Arizona Cancer Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA 85724

⁽³⁾ Division of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, Arizona State University, Glendale, Arizona 85069

⁽⁴⁾ Department of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Arizona College of Medicine-Phoenix, in partnership with Arizona State University, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

Abstract

The vitamin D metabolite 1,25(OH)₂D is the bioactive ligand of the vitamin D receptor (VDR). VDR forms a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptors (RXRs) that when bound to ligand influences the transcriptional control of genes that regulate circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites. Whether genetic variation in *VDR* or *RXRA* affects circulating levels of 1,25(OH)₂D or 25(OH)D has not been established. We used a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) tagging approach to evaluate the association between SNPs in *VDR* and *RXRA* and serum levels of 1,25(OH)₂D and 25(OH)D. A total of 42 tagSNPs in *VDR* and 32 in *RXRA* were analyzed in a sample of 415 participants. Principal components analyses revealed a gene-level association between *RXRA* and serum 1,25(OH)₂D concentrations ($P = 0.01$), but not 25(OH)D. No gene-level association was found for *VDR* with either serum biomarker. At the single SNP level, a significant positive trend was observed for increasing 1,25(OH)₂D levels with each additional copy of the A allele for *RXRA* SNP rs9409929 ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.003$). After a multiple comparisons adjustment, no individual SNP in *VDR* or *RXRA* was significantly associated with either outcome. These results demonstrate an association between genetic variation in *RXRA* and 1,25(OH)₂D serum concentrations.

Keywords

VDR; RXR; polymorphism; 25(OH)D; 1,25(OH)₂D; vitamin D

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Elizabeth Hibler, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, P.O. Box 245163, Tucson, AZ 85724. Phone: 520-626-0341; Fax: 520-626-9275; ehibler@email.arizona.edu..

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

The active metabolite of vitamin D, 1,25(OH)₂D, is a hormone with structural and functional similarity to the classic steroid hormones, and is produced through a series of reactions after exposure to sunlight or consumption of dietary or supplemental vitamin D. [1-4]. Upon exposure to UVB, 7-dehydrocholesterol is converted to previtamin D₃ in the epidermis.[2-4] In the liver, 25-hydroxylase (CYP2R1) acts to synthesize 25(OH)D for transport to the kidneys where it is hydroxylated by the enzyme 25-hydroxyvitamin D 1-alpha-hydroxylase (CYP27B1) to form 1,25(OH)₂D or cholecalciferol.[1-6] Circulating concentrations of 25(OH)D are used to measure vitamin D status because concentrations of 1,25(OH)₂D are tightly regulated in the body, due to its role in calcium homeostasis.[7,8] The actions of 1,25(OH)₂D are mediated by the vitamin D receptor (VDR). The VDR is a nuclear receptor that functions as a ligand-dependent transcription factor that can bind a number of vitamin D metabolites, though 1,25(OH)₂D has the highest affinity for the receptor and is the physiologically relevant ligand.[1,4] VDR must form a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) before it can exert any effect in the cell.[4,9,10] The binding of the ligand to VDR induces conformational changes that allow for protein-protein interaction, including formation of the VDR-RXR heterodimer, as well as interactions between VDR and transcriptional co-activator proteins like SRC-1.[4,9-11] The RXR is an obligatory co-receptor for VDR to mediate transcription; however, RXR can also interact with many other receptors to exert a wide range of biological effects.

RXR, like VDR, is a ligand-activated transcription factor that plays a role in cell differentiation, cell growth, apoptosis, and it is also required for proper vertebrate embryonic development.[12-14] The retinoid receptors, including the retinoic acid receptors (RARs) and RXRs, also belong to the family of nuclear hormone receptors.[12-15] There are three isoforms of RXR, α , β , and γ . [12,14,15] *RXRA* was selected for analysis of genetic variation in the parent study of colorectal lesions because functional effects of RXR in colon cells have most often focused on RXR α . [16-19] 9-*cis* retinoic acid is the primary endogenous ligand for RXR which, upon binding, induces conformational changes that lead to the formation of either homodimers or heterodimers with a variety of receptors.[12,14] The binding of 1,25(OH)₂D to VDR induces allosteric conformational changes in RXR that facilitate the recruitment of coregulators.[9,11] There are numerous genes with a variety of physiologic effects that are then targeted by the VDR/RXR heterodimer, including those involved in the regulation of vitamin D metabolite concentrations via a classical endocrine feedback loop.[1] It is not known whether genetic variation in *VDR* or *RXRA* influences circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites and through what mechanisms such polymorphisms would act. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if an association exists between polymorphisms in *VDR* and *RXRA* and circulating concentrations of 1,25(OH)₂D or 25(OH)D.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study population

These analyses were performed using data from participants from the ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) trial conducted at the Arizona Cancer Center, which has been previously described. [16] The UDCA trial was a phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted to test the effect of UDCA on recurrence of colorectal neoplasia.[16] There were 1192 participants who completed the trial; no effect of UDCA was observed. From this population a total of 568 participants were randomly selected to have serum vitamin D metabolite levels analyzed.[20] The statistical analysis for the current work included 487 participants who had both complete *VDR* and *RXRA* genotype and serum vitamin D

metabolite data. The final sample was further restricted to 415 individuals who reported their racial/ethnic group as white, in order to account for population stratification.

2.2 Genotyping and Outcome Ascertainment

Participants were genotyped using the Illumina Golden Gate platform (Illumina®, San Diego, CA) and tagSNPs were selected from Hapmap data release #16c.1, June 2005, on NCBI B34 assembly, dbSNP b124. The details of these processes have been previously described in detail.[21] The final statistical analysis included 42 *VDR* SNPs and 32 *RXRA* SNPs; seven *VDR* SNPs and nine *RXRA* SNPs were omitted for the analysis because they failed at least one of the QA/QC measures listed in Supplemental Table 1. Concentrations of vitamin D metabolites were measured at the lab of Dr. Bruce Hollis at the University of South Carolina, using established practices.[22,23]

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Vitamin D metabolites were analyzed as both continuous measures and binomial variables using common thresholds for vitamin D insufficiency including: 20 ng/ml, 25 ng/ml, and 32 ng/ml.[24] The statistical analysis included generation of principal components (PC) on the SNP data for each gene and then modeling with either linear or logistic regression models, depending upon the outcome being tested. A likelihood ratio test, comparing the model including PCs to an intercept-only model, was used for logistic regression models to generate a p-value testing the overall association between each gene and the outcome.[25] Individual SNPs were also examined in regression models and a multiple comparisons adjustment was applied.[26] Finally, the association between genotype and serum vitamin D metabolites was evaluated for any SNPs that showed a strong association prior to adjustment.

3. Results

Baseline characteristics of the participants are shown in Supplemental Table 2 and have been previously described in detail.[16] This includes mean serum concentrations for 1,25(OH)₂D and 25(OH)D, which were 35.1 ± 9.7 pg/ml and 26.2 ± 9.3 ng/ml respectively for this sample. The results of the principal components analysis are presented in Table 1. Overall, genetic variation in *VDR* was not associated with levels of 25(OH)D ($P = 0.77$) or 1,25(OH)₂D ($P = 0.97$). In contrast, genetic variation in *RXRA*, while not associated with 25(OH)D ($P = 0.42$), was significantly associated with circulating concentrations of 1,25(OH)₂D ($P = 0.001$). Further analysis of *RXRA* at the single-SNP level indicated that rs9409929 was strongly related to levels of 1,25(OH)₂D ($P = 0.003$), prior to the multiple comparisons adjustment. This led to examination of the association between genotype and vitamin D metabolite concentrations for that SNP, also presented in Table 1. A statistically significant trend for increasing 1,25(OH)₂D levels with additional copies of the A allele (p-trend=0.003) for SNP rs9409929 was observed. This SNP was not significantly associated with 25(OH)D levels (p-trend= 0.092); however, it is likely that the study was not powered to detect this small change in circulating levels. There was no association for either gene and the binomial measures of vitamin D status (Supplemental Table 3), and no other individual *RXRA* or *VDR* SNP showed strong unadjusted associations with circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites (Supplemental Tables 4-7). After the multiple comparisons adjustment, no SNPs exhibited a statistically significant association with measures of vitamin D metabolites.

4. Discussion

Polymorphic variation in *VDR* has been extensively studied for associations with vitamin D status and disease outcomes. However, there is conflicting evidence for an association between polymorphisms in *VDR* and circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites. There are several commonly studied SNPs in *VDR* that include polymorphic endonuclease sites for *TaqI*, *BsmI*, *ApaI*, *FokI*, as well as a polymorphism in a binding site for Cdx-2, a homeodomain protein.[27] A group of SNPs (*BsmI*, *ApaI*, and *TaqI*) located in the 3' region of the *VDR* gene show strong linkage disequilibrium and are often studied together in haplotypes. Earlier research showed that the *B*, *A*, and *t* alleles in these SNPs were associated, both alone and together, with increased expression of *VDR* and increased circulating levels of 1,25(OH)₂D; [28,29] although more recently, Ahn et al demonstrated that no SNPs in *VDR* were associated with levels of either 25(OH)D or 1,25(OH)₂D.[30] There is also a trend in recent studies to examine the relationship between *VDR* and circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites in association with disease outcomes. Some have found an interaction between certain polymorphisms in *VDR* and circulating levels of metabolites, but fail to show a main effects association between the gene and vitamin D metabolite concentrations.[31,32]

In contrast to *VDR*, the study of genetic variation in *RXR* has been limited, and to our knowledge only three studies have examined the association between *RXRA* SNPs and health outcomes. Wjst et al., reported significant associations between rs3132299 and 1,25(OH)₂D then rs877954 and 25(OH)D levels, however, different statistical methods were used in this study and no significant association was observed for these SNPs in the UDCA population.[33] In a study examining the risk of prostate cancer associated with variation in vitamin D pathway genes, Ahn et al. showed no association between polymorphisms in *RXRA* and circulating levels of 25(OH)D or 1,25(OH)₂D.[30] SNPs in *RXRA* were also studied for associations with insulin sensitivity and biliary tract cancers; however, this study did not examine vitamin D metabolites because *RXR* binds with *PPAR* in this metabolic pathway and not *VDR*. [34] Nonetheless, considering that a significant association between circulating 1,25(OH)₂D and *RXRA* was found in the current work, the possible mechanisms for this action should be further explored.

It is known that VDREs are present in promoter regions of genes that play a role in regulating circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites.[1,35] Two primary candidates are *CYP24A1* and *CYP27B1*. Vitamin D acts in an endocrine feedback loop to self-regulate 1,25(OH)₂D synthesis by suppressing transcription of *CYP27B1*, and also to initiate 1,25(OH)₂D catabolism by stimulating transcription of *CYP24A1*. [1] While genetic variation in *RXRA* could potentially influence *VDR*-*RXR* signaling, *RXRA* SNP rs9409929 is a *G* to *A* change in a non-coding region of chromosome 9 at position 249320, and is therefore unlikely to have functional effects.[36] However, it is possible that rs9409929 is in linkage disequilibrium with another SNP located in a region that controls expression of the gene or affects the stability of the mRNA. This SNP could thus be a marker for a different polymorphism that causes structural changes in the gene, and considering that *RXR*α is known to bind with a variety of receptors, the change could also influence competitive binding with *VDR* compared to other receptors. The partner for *RXR* helps determine which target genes are transcribed upon activation and, as a promiscuous receptor, its varied list of partners leads to a wide range of effects in the body. For example, when paired with the peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor (*PPAR*), the *RXR* has a role in insulin resistance; however, when paired with the retinoic acid receptor (*RAR*) it has been shown to induce myeloid cell differentiation.[14,34] If *VDR* were unable to bind with *RXR*, then it would be unable to stimulate transcription of *CYP24A1* and *CYP27B1*, thus interrupting the feedback loop and proper regulation of circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites. We plan

to identify any SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with rs9409929 and then examine their possible functional effects using the assay system of Jurutka et al.[37]

There are limitations of this study that should be addressed in future research. The sample for the analyses only included individuals who self-reported race/ethnicity as white and, considering that vitamin D status is known to be heavily influenced by skin pigmentation, exploring associations within other racial groups is critical.[24] There is also a possibility that this study of participants with colorectal adenoma patients may not be generalizable to the general population; however, given the relatively high prevalence of these lesions in the population it is likely that the sample is fairly representative of this particular age group. Also, a larger sample size might be necessary to detect some of the more modest associations with various SNPs, and future studies will be designed to address this issue of statistical power. Finally, the statistical adjustment used to account for multiple comparisons may have been too stringent to allow for identification of additional SNPs that affect the relationship between *RXRA* and circulating levels of vitamin D metabolites. An alternative approach could include PC analysis followed by directed examination of individual SNPs included in that PC, as opposed to examining all available SNPs in a gene individually.[25] This method could lead to less chance of a Type II error, especially for genes with a large number of SNPs (like *VDR* or *RXR*), where the increased number of statistical tests leads to a more stringent adjustment of the p-value.

5. Conclusions

The results of this analysis suggest that genetic variation in *RXRA* may influence 1,25(OH)₂D homeostasis. This is the first study to find a relationship between genetic variation in *RXRA* and levels of this hormone. Though *RXR*α is known to play an integral role in the function of *VDR*, it is unclear how allelic variation in *RXRA* effects the action of the *VDR/RXR* heterodimer at the molecular level. This analysis should be replicated in different populations that are representative of the general population and incorporate additional methods for statistical testing.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

- [1]. Anderson P, May B, Morris H. Vitamin d metabolism: new concepts and clinical implications. *Clin Biochem Rev* 2003;24(1):13–26. [PubMed: 18650961]
- [2]. Dixon KM, Mason RS. Vitamin D. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2008
- [3]. Holick MF. Sunlight and vitamin D for bone health and prevention of autoimmune diseases, cancers, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;80(6 Suppl):1678S–1688S. [PubMed: 15585788]
- [4]. Norman AW. From vitamin D to hormone D: fundamentals of the vitamin D endocrine system essential for good health. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;88(2):491S–499S. [PubMed: 18689389]
- [5]. Dusso AS, Brown AJ, Slatopolsky E. Vitamin D. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2005;289(1):F8–28. [PubMed: 15951480]
- [6]. Wolpowitz D, Gilchrest BA. The vitamin D questions: how much do you need and how should you get it? *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2006;54(2):301–317. [PubMed: 16443061]
- [7]. DeLuca HF, Cantorna MT. Vitamin D: its role and uses in immunology. *FASEB J* 2001;15(14): 2579–2585. [PubMed: 11726533]
- [8]. Hollis BW. Assessment of circulating 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)₂D: emergence as clinically important diagnostic tools. *Nutr Rev* 2007;65(8 Pt 2):S87–90. [PubMed: 17867378]

- [9]. Yee YK, Chintalacheruvu SR, Lu J, Nagpal S. Vitamin D receptor modulators for inflammation and cancer. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2005;5(8):761–778. [PubMed: 16101412]
- [10]. MacDonald, P. Molecular Biology of the Vitamin D Receptor. In: Holick, MF., editor. *Vitamin D: Physiology, Molecular Biology, and Clinical Applications*. Totowa, N.J.: 1999. p. 109–128.
- [11]. Haussler MR, Haussler CA, Bartik L, Whitfield GK, Hsieh JC, Slater S, Jurutka PW. Vitamin D receptor: molecular signaling and actions of nutritional ligands in disease prevention. *Nutr Rev* 2008;66(10 Suppl 2):S98–112. [PubMed: 18844852]
- [12]. Bastien J, Rochette-Egly C. Nuclear retinoid receptors and the transcription of retinoid-target genes. *Gene* 2004;328:1–16. [PubMed: 15019979]
- [13]. Qin S, Okawa Y, Atangan LI, Brown G, Chandraratna RA, Zhao Y. Integrities of A/B and C domains of RXR are required for retinoid-induced caspase activations and apoptosis. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2008;112(1-3):25–31. [PubMed: 18761406]
- [14]. Szanto A, Narkar V, Shen Q, Uray IP, Davies PJ, Nagy L. Retinoid X receptors: X-ploring their (patho)physiological functions. *Cell Death Differ* 2004;11(Suppl 2):S126–143. [PubMed: 15608692]
- [15]. de Lera AR, Bourguet W, Altucci L, Gronemeyer H. Design of selective nuclear receptor modulators: RAR and RXR as a case study. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2007;6(10):811–820. [PubMed: 17906643]
- [16]. Alberts DS, Martinez ME, Hess LM, Einspahr JG, Green SB, Bhattacharyya AK, Guillen J, Krutzsch M, Batta AK, Salen G, Fales L, Koonce K, Parish D, Clouser M, Roe D, Lance P. Phase III trial of ursodeoxycholic acid to prevent colorectal adenoma recurrence. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005;97(11):846–853. [PubMed: 15928305]
- [17]. Desreumaux P, Dubuquoy L, Nutten S, Peuchmaur M, Englaro W, Schoonjans K, Derijard B, Desvergne B, Wahli W, Chambon P, Leibowitz MD, Colombel JF, Auwerx J. Attenuation of colon inflammation through activators of the retinoid X receptor (RXR)/peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARgamma) heterodimer. A basis for new therapeutic strategies. *J Exp Med* 2001;193(7):827–838. [PubMed: 11283155]
- [18]. Fan YY, Spencer TE, Wang N, Moyer MP, Chapkin RS. Chemopreventive n-3 fatty acids activate RXRalpha in colonocytes. *Carcinogenesis* 2003;24(9):1541–1548. [PubMed: 12844485]
- [19]. Yamazaki K, Shimizu M, Okuno M, Matsushima-Nishiwaki R, Kanemura N, Araki H, Tsurumi H, Kojima S, Weinstein IB, Moriwaki H. Synergistic effects of RXR alpha and PPAR gamma ligands to inhibit growth in human colon cancer cells--phosphorylated RXR alpha is a critical target for colon cancer management. *Gut* 2007;56(11):1557–1563. [PubMed: 17604322]
- [20]. Jacobs ET, Alberts DS, Benezillo J, Hollis BW, Thompson PA, Martinez ME. Serum 25(OH)D levels, dietary intake of vitamin D, and colorectal adenoma recurrence. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2007;103(3-5):752–756. [PubMed: 17223551]
- [21]. Levine AJ, Figueiredo JC, Won L, Poynter JN, Conti D, Duggan DJ, Campbell PT, Newcomb P, Martinez ME, Hopper JL, Marchand LL, Baron JA, Limburg PJ, Haile RW. Genetic Variability in the MTHFR gene and colorectal cancer risk in the Colorectal Cancer Family Registry. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. (In Press).
- [22]. Hollis BW, Kamerud JQ, Selvaag SR, Lorenz JD, Napoli JL. Determination of vitamin D status by radioimmunoassay with an 125I-labeled tracer. *Clin Chem* 1993;39(3):529–533. [PubMed: 8448871]
- [23]. Hollis BW. Assay of circulating 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D involving a novel single-cartridge extraction and purification procedure. *Clin Chem* 1986;32(11):2060–2063. [PubMed: 3022966]
- [24]. Jacobs ET, Alberts DS, Foote JA, Green SB, Hollis BW, Yu Z, Martinez ME. Vitamin D insufficiency in southern Arizona. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87(3):608–613. [PubMed: 18326598]
- [25]. Gauderman WJ, Murcay C, Gilliland F, Conti DV. Testing association between disease and multiple SNPs in a candidate gene. *Genet Epidemiol* 2007;31(5):383–395. [PubMed: 17410554]
- [26]. Conneely KN, Boehnke M. So Many Correlated Tests, So Little Time! Rapid Adjustment of P Values for Multiple Correlated Tests. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007;81(6)
- [27]. Kostner K, Denzer N, Muller CS, Klein R, Tilgen W, Reichrath J. The relevance of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms for cancer: a review of the literature. *Anticancer Res* 2009;29(9):3511–3536. [PubMed: 19667145]

- [28]. Ma J, Stampfer MJ, Gann PH, Hough HL, Giovannucci E, Kelsey KT, Hennekens CH, Hunter DJ. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms, circulating vitamin D metabolites, and risk of prostate cancer in United States physicians. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1998;7(5):385–390. [PubMed: 9610787]
- [29]. Morrison NA, Qi JC, Tokita A, Kelly PJ, Crofts L, Nguyen TV, Sambrook PN, Eisman JA. Prediction of bone density from vitamin D receptor alleles. *Nature* 1994;367(6460):284–287. [PubMed: 8161378]
- [30]. Ahn J, Albanes D, Berndt SI, Peters U, Chatterjee N, Freedman ND, Abnet CC, Huang WY, Kibel AS, Crawford ED, Weinstein SJ, Chanock SJ, Schatzkin A, Hayes RB. Vitamin D-related genes, serum vitamin D concentrations and prostate cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2009;30(5):769–776. [PubMed: 19255064]
- [31]. Lowe LC, Guy M, Mansi JL, Peckitt C, Bliss J, Wilson RG, Colston KW. Plasma 25-hydroxy vitamin D concentrations, vitamin D receptor genotype and breast cancer risk in a UK Caucasian population. *Eur J Cancer* 2005;41(8):1164–1169. [PubMed: 15911240]
- [32]. Mikhak B, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Platz EA, Hollis BW, Giovannucci E. Vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms and haplotypes, interactions with plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, and prostate cancer risk. *Prostate* 2007;67(9):911–923. [PubMed: 17440943]
- [33]. Wjst M, Altmüller J, Faus-Kessler T, Braig C, Bahnweg M, Andre E. Asthma families show transmission disequilibrium of gene variants in the vitamin D metabolism and signalling pathway. *Respir Res* 2006;7:60. [PubMed: 16600026]
- [34]. Chang SC, Rashid A, Gao YT, Andreotti G, Shen MC, Wang BS, Han TQ, Zhang BH, Sakoda LC, Leitzmann MF, Chen BE, Rosenberg PS, Chen J, Chanock SJ, Hsing AW. Polymorphism of genes related to insulin sensitivity and the risk of biliary tract cancer and biliary stone: a population-based case-control study in Shanghai, China. *Carcinogenesis* 2008;29(5):944–948. [PubMed: 18375961]
- [35]. Zierold C, Darwish HM, DeLuca HF. Two vitamin D response elements function in the rat 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D 24-hydroxylase promoter. *J Biol Chem* 1995;270(4):1675–1678. [PubMed: 7829502]
- [36]. NCBI. Reference SNP(refSNP) Cluster Report: rs9409929. 2006.
- [37]. Jurutka PW, Remus LS, Whitfield GK, Thompson PD, Hsieh JC, Zitzer H, Tavakkoli P, Galligan MA, Dang HT, Haussler CA, Haussler MR. The polymorphic N terminus in human vitamin D receptor isoforms influences transcriptional activity by modulating interaction with transcription factor IIB. *Mol Endocrinol* 2000;14(3):401–420. [PubMed: 10707958]

Table 1

Association between genetic variation in *VDR* and *RXRRA* overall, and *RXRRA* rs9409929, and continuous measures of circulating 25(OH)₂D and 1,25(OH)₂D.

	25(OH)D		1,25(OH) ₂ D	
	Coefficient	95% CI	Coefficient	95% CI
<i>RXRRA</i>				
PC1	0.13	-0.262-0.20	0.18	-3.74-4.1
PC2	-0.44	-1.19-0.31	-0.37	-0.76-0.02
PC3	0.19	-0.81-1.19	0.19	-0.85-1.23
PC4 ¹	1.27	-0.04-2.58	-2.23	-3.60- -0.86
p-value ²			0.42	0.001
<i>VDR</i>				
PC1	-0.13	-1.03- -2.84	-0.14	-0.59-0.31
PC2	0.11	-0.36-0.58	0.21	-0.30-0.71
PC3	-0.24	-0.87-0.39	-0.18	-0.87-0.51
PC4	-0.23	-0.98-0.52	-0.19	-0.97-0.76
PC5	0.11	-0.91-1.13	0.30	-0.78-1.38
PC6	0.03	-1.07-1.13	0.22	-0.97-1.41
PC7 ¹	-1.06	-1.12- 1.00	-0.003	-1.35-1.35
p-value ²			0.77	0.97
<i>RXRRA</i> rs9409929				
Genotype	25(OH)D (ng/ml) mean ± sd		1,25(OH) ₂ D (pg/ml) mean ± sd	
GG	25.5 ± 9.1		34.0 ± 9.4	
AG	26.5 ± 9.6		35.5 ± 9.0	
AA	27.5 ± 9.0		38.5 ± 12.4	
P-trend	0.092		0.003	

¹ An 80% explained-variance threshold is used for including principal components (PC) in the model.

² P-value for each model is from a linear regression model with degrees of freedom equal to the number of principal components.