

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Nat Prod. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 July 22

Published in final edited form as:

J Nat Prod. 2006 December ; 69(12): 1715–1720. doi:10.1021/np060278q.

Sodwanone and Yardenone Triterpenes from a South African Species of the Marine Sponge *Axinella* Inhibit Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 (HIF-1) Activation in both Breast and Prostate Tumor Cells

Jingqiu Dai, James A. Fishback, Yu-Dong Zhou^{*}, and Dale G. Nagle^{*}

Department of Pharmacognosy, Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677-1848

Abstract

Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is a transcription factor that promotes tumor cell adaptation and survival under hypoxic conditions. HIF-1 is currently recognized as an important molecular target for anti-cancer drug discovery. A T47D breast tumor cell-based reporter assay was used to evaluate the NCI Open Repository of marine invertebrates and algae lipid extracts for HIF-1 inhibitory activity. Bioassay-guided fractionation and isolation of an active extract from Axinella sp. yielded seven new sodwanone triterpenoids [3-epi-sodwanone K (1), 3-epi-sodwanone K 3acetate (2), 10,11-dihydrosodwanone B (4), sodwanones T-W (3, 7, 8, 9), the new yardenone triterpene 12R-hydroxyyardenone (10), and the previously reported compounds sodwanone A (5), sodwanone B (6), and vardenone (11). The structures and relative configurations of these Axinella metabolites were determined spectroscopically. The absolute configuration of 1 was determined by the modified Mosher ester procedure. Sodwanone V (8) inhibited both hypoxia-induced and iron chelator (1,10-phenanthroline)-induced HIF-1 activation in T47D breast tumor cells (IC₅₀ 15 μ M) and 8 was the only sodwanone that inhibited HIF-1 activation in PC-3 prostate tumor cells $(IC_{50} 15 \,\mu\text{M})$. Compounds 1, 3, 4, and 5 inhibited hypoxia-induced HIF-1 activation in T47D cells (IC₅₀ values 20-25 μ M). Compound **2** was cytotoxic to T47D cells (IC₅₀ 22 μ M) and **8** showed cytotoxicity to MDA-MB-231 breast tumor cells (IC₅₀ 23 µM).

Intratumoral hypoxia (a reduced state of oxygen tension) is a common feature of solid tumors.^{1,2} The extent of tumor hypoxia correlates with advanced disease stages, malignant progression, poor prognosis, and is a significant contributor to radiation and chemotherapy treatment resistance.¹⁻³ Current approaches to overcome tumor hypoxia include those that increase oxygenation during radiotherapy and those that develop hypoxia-activated prodrugs such as radiation sensitizers and hypoxia-activated cytotoxins.¹⁻³ No small molecule drug that specifically targets tumor hypoxia is in clinical use and there are only two hypoxic cytotoxins (tirapazamine and AQ4N) in clinical trials.¹ The promising results from tirapazamine clinical trials (phase II and III) have validated the feasibility of small molecule drugs that target tumor hypoxia.⁴ However, the application of tirapazamine for cancer treatment is limited by toxicity.

^{*}Joint Corresponding Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: Yu-Dong Zhou: Tel. (662) 915-2012. Fax. (662) 915-6975. ydzhou@olemiss.edu. Dale G. Nagle: Tel. (662) 915-7026. Fax. (662) 915-6975. dnagle@olemiss.edu..

Supporting Information Available: Table with the IC₅₀ values of **1-11** on HIF-1 activation in T47D and PC-3 cells, and cell proliferation/viability in T47D, MDA-MB-231, PC-3, and DU145 cells. Photograph of the *Axinella* sponge collection C020287. The material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

To discover potential drug leads that target tumor hypoxia, our research efforts focus on the discovery of natural product-derived inhibitors of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1). First identified as a transcription factor that is activated by hypoxia,⁵ HIF-1 has been shown to be a major regulator of oxygen homeostasis.^{2,6-8} Extensive studies indicate that HIF-1 plays an important role in cancer biology by regulating the expression of hundreds of genes.^{2,6-8} The processes that are regulated by HIF-1 target genes range from tumorigenesis, angiogenesis, glycolysis, survival, growth, invasion, metastasis, to treatment resistance.^{2,6-8} In addition to hypoxia, the activation of oncogenes and/or inactivation of tumor suppressor genes can also lead to HIF-1 activation.⁶⁻⁸ Over-expression of the oxygen-regulated HIF-1 α subunit is associated with advanced disease stages and poor prognosis in many forms of cancer.⁹ In animal models, HIF-1 inhibition suppresses tumor angiogenesis and retards tumor growth.¹⁰ Since HIF-1 inhibitors represent potential tumor-selective drugs with low side effects on the well-oxygenated normal tissues, intensive research efforts are currently underway to discover HIF-1 inhibitors.^{7,8,11} The majority of the known small molecule HIF-1 inhibitors are natural products or derived from natural products.¹¹ Most of these compounds are also known to regulate other molecular targets at the concentrations required to inhibit HIF-1.

Using established human breast carcinoma cells as *in vitro* models, we have developed a T47D cell-based reporter assay for HIF-1 inhibitors and examined over 10,000 natural product-rich plant, marine invertebrate, and microbe extracts.¹² Potent HIF-1 inhibitors such as manassantin B were subsequently identified from these studies.^{12,13} Iron chelators like desferrioxamine and 1,10-phenanthroline activate HIF-1 by inhibiting the hydroxylases that promote the degradation and inactivation of the oxygen-regulated HIF-1a subunit.^{12,14} Manassantin B and related compounds selectively inhibited HIF-1 activation by hypoxia, in contrast to their relatively weak ability to inhibit 1,10-phenanthroline-induced HIF-1 activation of eleven sodwanone/yardenone triterpenoids from an active marine sponge *Axinella* sp. extract, that inhibited HIF-1 activation.

Results and Discussion

In a T47D breast tumor cell-based reporter assay,¹² the crude extract from a South African collection of the marine sponge *Axinella* sp. (at 5 μ g mL⁻¹) inhibited hypoxia (1% O₂)-induced HIF-1 activation by 90% without pronounced cytotoxicity (<50%). At 5 μ g mL⁻¹, this extract also inhibited an iron chelator (1,10-phenanthroline at 10 μ M)-induced HIF-1 activation by 50% in T47D cells. Five grams of the active extract were obtained from the NCI open repository. Examination of a freshly prepared sample of the extract revealed that it inhibited hypoxia-induced HIF-1 activation by 67% and 82% at the concentrations of 1 and 5 μ g mL⁻¹, respectively. Bioassay-guided fractionation yielded eight new sodwanone/ yardenone triterpenoids and three previously reported sodwanones/yardenones.

The first compound isolated was a colorless oil with the molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}O_5$, as deduced from HRESIMS spectrometric and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data. The IR spectrum showed a strong absorption (3412 cm⁻¹) that indicated the presence of hydroxyl groups. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) exhibited the presence of seven methyl groups ($\delta = 0.65$, 0.80, 1.02, 1.04, 1.14, 1.18 and 1.21 ppm) and three protons attached to oxygenated carbons at $\delta = 3.39$, 3.60, and $\delta = 3.73$ ppm, respectively. The ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 2) contained 30 carbon resonances, and the ¹³C DEPT spectrum indicated the presence of seven methyl groups, eleven methylenes, five methines and seven quaternary carbon atoms.

Analysis of the ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ COSY and ${}^{1}H-{}^{13}C$ HMQC spectra suggested the presence of five clear ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ spin systems: -CH(3)-CH₂(4)-CH₂(5)-, -CH(7)-CH₂(8)-CH₂(9)-, -CH(11)-CH₂(12)-CH₂(13)-, -CH₃(28)-CH(15)-CH₂(16)-CH₂(17)-CH(18)-, and a -CH₂(20)-

CH₂(21)- system. Long-range ¹H-¹³C correlations were observed by ¹H-¹³C HMBC (Figure 1) between C-2 and H-3, H-4, H-24, H-25; from C-6 to H-4, H-5, H-7, H-11, H-26; from C-10 to H-9, H-11, H-27; C-14, H-13, H-29, H-15, H-28; from C-19 to H-20, H-18, H-29; from C-22 to H-21, H-30, H-31; as well as between C-23 and H-21, H-30, H-31, to establish the carbon skeleton and the substitution pattern of functional groups that was identical to that of sodwanone K, a triterpenoid previously isolated from the marine sponge Axinella weltneri.¹⁵ Comparison of the ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data of **1** with those of sodwanone K, showed nearly complete analogy of the chemical shifts, except for C-2 and C-3. Clear NOESY correlations between H-24 and H-7; and between H-25 and H-26 suggested that the relative configuration of this portion of 1 was similar to that of sodwanone K, except that a NOE between H-3 and H-24 indicated that 1 was the C-3 epimer of sodwanone K. The absolute configuration of C-3 was determined using the modified Mosher ester method.¹⁶ Two separate samples of 1 were each treated with (R)-(+)- and (S)-(-)- α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride (MTPA) in anhydrous pyridine, respectively, to yield the (S)- and (R)-MTPA ester derivatives 1s and 1r. The ¹H NMR chemical shift values of the (R)-MTPA ester derivative ($\mathbf{1r}$) were subtracted from the values of the (S)-MTPA ester (1s) $[\Delta \delta = \delta (S)$ -MTPA – $\delta (R)$ -MTPA]. The distribution of positive and negative $\Delta \delta$ values around the MTPA esters (Figure 2) indicated an *R*-configuration for C-3. Therefore, 1 was deduced to be 3-epi-sodwanone K (1).

In contrast to 1, compound 2 contained one acetate ester. The 1D (¹H, ¹³C and ¹³C DEPT) and 2D (COSY, HMQC and HMBC) NMR spectra of 2 were similar to those of 1, except for the presence of the acetate ester and the H-3 proton resonance. The chemical shift observed for the H-3 resonance in 1 was shifted downfield from $\delta = 3.73$ to $\delta = 4.94$ ppm with respect to the resonance observed for H-3 in 2 and were consistent with a proposed structure with a C-3-substituted acetate ester. Therefore, compound 2 was deduced to be 3-epi-sodwanone K 3-acetate (2).

Compound **3** was obtained as a colorless resin with the molecular formula $C_{30}H_{48}O_4$, as deduced from HRESIMS data. Its IR spectrum possessed a strong hydroxyl absorption at 3425 cm⁻¹. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **3** (Tables 1, 2) with those of **1** revealed that **3** was a structurally related sodwanone triterpene. However, the presence of olefinic resonances in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3**, the downfield chemical shift of the H₃-28 methyl group at δ 1.65 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum, and the additional methyl group (at δ 1.63 ppm), indicated that **3** was consistent with a proposed structure with double bonds located at C-10,11 and C-14,15. Long-range ¹H-¹³C HMBC couplings between both the H-9 and H-27 resonances and C-10 (δ 126.8) further supported the location of the double bonds. In addition, the proton resonances at H-12, H-26 and H-27 were coupled to C-11 (δ 137.9); H-13, H-29 and H-28 were coupled to C-14 (δ 137.0); and the H-16 and H-28 proton resonances were coupled to C-15 (δ 127.2). Comparison of the ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data with those of sodwanone O¹⁷ revealed that the chemical shifts of the bicyclic C2 – C11 portions of the structures were analogous. This suggested that **3** is a structurally related new *Axinella* triterpene, herein referred to as sodwanone T.

The molecular formula of **4** was determined to be $C_{30}H_{42}O_5$ by HRESIMS and ¹³C NMR data. Analysis of the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 2) revealed the presence of 30 carbons and the ¹³C DEPT experiment indicated the presence of eight methyl groups, eight methylenes, one methine and 13 quaternary carbons atoms. Portions of the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) were similar to those of the previously reported compound sodwanone A (**5**).¹⁸ The major differences in the spectra were that **5** contained two additional olefinic resonances in the ¹³C NMR. The remaining ¹³C resonances, the proton chemical shifts, and coupling constants were consistent with most of the spectrum of **5**. Long-range ¹H-¹³C HMBC couplings were observed in **4** (Figure 3) between the H-9 and H-27 proton resonances and C-10 (δ 129.0),

and the H-12, H-26 and H-27 proton resonances were coupled to C-11 (δ 135.5). The ¹H NMR resonances and the ¹H-¹H coupling patterns observed for the bicyclic C-14 – C-23 portions of **4** were identical to that of **5**. This indicated that **4** is a new unsaturated version of the previously identified compound sodwanone B (**6**)¹⁸ that can be referred to as 10,11- dihydrosodwanone B (**4**).

The molecular formula of **7** was determined to be the same as that of 10,11dehydrosodwanone B (**4**) ($C_{30}H_{42}O_5$) by HRESIMS and analysis of the ¹³C NMR spectrum. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **7** (Tables 1 and 2) with those of **4** indicated that the bicyclic C-2–C-11 portion of the structures were analogous in both compounds. Long-range ¹H-¹³C HMBC couplings (Figure 4) were observed between the H-20 and H-13 proton resonances and the C-19 resonance at δ 46.1; the H-28 and H-29 proton resonances were coupled to C-15 (δ 127.8); and H-28, H-29 and OH-17 were coupled to C-16 (δ 179.5). Thus **7** possess a new carbon skeleton where the two separate bicyclic portions of the structure are connected at the bridgehead carbon C-19 by the C-12 – C-13 linker. This new sodwanone analog was assigned the trivial name sodwanone U (**7**). The occurrence of **7** presents a biogenetic problem. If a divergence in the biosynthetic pathways for the formation of most sodwanones is responsible for the production of **7**, it would appear likely that alternate ring formation would result in a significantly different functional group substitution pattern. Therefore, the occurrence of **7** appears most likely to result from an acid-catalyzed rearrangement of **4**, as in Figure 5.

The molecular formula of **8** was determined to be $C_{30}H_{50}O_5$ by a combination of HRESIMS and ¹³C NMR data. Comparison of the NMR spectra of **8** (Table 1 and 2) with those of the known sodwanones suggested that **8** possessed the same tricyclic C-2 – C-11 system as previously reported for sodwanone F¹⁹ and the same bicyclic C-14 – C-23 system as reported in the structure of sodwanone S.²⁰ This was confirmed by evaluation of the COSY, HMQC, and HMBC spectra. Additional analysis of the COSY and HMQC spectra of **8** suggested the presence of five partial structures: [-CH₂(4)-CH₂(5)-, -CH(7)-CH₂(8)-CH₂(9)-CH(10)-CH₃(27), -CH₂(12)-CH₂(13)-, -CH₃(28)-CH(15)-CH₂(16)-CH₂(17)-CH(18)- and -CH(20)-CH₂(21)-CH(22)-]. Connection of the partial structures was facilitated by interpretation of the ¹H⁻¹³C HMBC spectrum. Specifically, long-range ¹H-¹³C couplings were observed between C-3 and H-4, H-5, H-24, H-25; from C-6 to H-4, H-5, H-7, H-8, H-26; from C-11 to H-5, H-7, H-10, H-12, H-26; from C-14 to H-13, H-15, H-18, H-20, H-28, H-29, as well as between C-19 and H-18, H-20, H-29. Therefore, compound **8** was deduced to be sodwanone V.

The molecular formula of **9** was deduced to be $C_{30}H_{50}O_4$ by interpretation of the HRESIMS and ¹³C NMR data. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **9** (Table 1 and 2) with those of known sodwanones suggested that **9** had the same tricyclic C-2 – C-11 system as in sodwanone S²⁰ and the C14-C18 epoxide-bridged C14-C19 cyclohexane ring and C-19 substituted side chain (C20 – C31) that were previously reported for the structure of sodwanone I.²¹ Analysis of the COSY and HMQC spectra of **9** confirmed these partial structures and facilitated the deduction of the six partial structures: [-CH(3)-CH₂(4)-CH(5)-, -CH(7)-CH₂(8)-CH₂(9)-CH(10)-CH₃(27), -CH₂(12)-CH₂(13)-, -CH₃(28)-CH(15)-CH₂(16)-CH₂(17)-CH(18)-, -CH₂(20)-CH₂(21)- and -CH₃(30)-CH(23)-CH₃(31)-]. As in **8**, the partial structures of **9** were readily connected by interpretation of long-range ¹H⁻¹³C HMBC couplings from C-6 to H-4, H-5, H-7, H-8, H-26; from C-11 to H-5, H-7, H-10, H-12, H-26, H-27; from C-14 to H-13, H-15, H-18, H-20, H-28, H-29, and between C-23 and H-21, H-23, H-30, H-31. In this new sodwanone, trivially named sodwanone W (**9**), the ether link of the seven-membered cyclic ether (C18 – C23) was opened and an additional ether bridge was formed between C14 and C18. The relative configuration of **9** was deduced from the

NOESY spectrum, where NOE interactions were observed between H-7/H-25, H-3/H-24, H-10/H-26, H-18/H-29 and H-28/H-29.

Compound 10 was obtained as colorless needles with the molecular formula $C_{30}H_{48}O_6$, as deduced by HRESIMS. The IR spectrum suggested the presence of hydroxyl (3438 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl (1712 cm⁻¹) groups. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **10** (Table 2) showed carbon resonances for two keto-carbonyls ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 217.9 and 216.0) and seven other oxygen-substituted carbon resonances, three of which were quaternary carbons (δ_{C} 92.5, 82.6, 81.6) and four were methines (δ_{C} 82.8, 81.9, 77.5, 74.4). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **10** (Table 1) showed the presence of seven tertiary methyl groups and one methyl resonance at δ_H 1.08 (d, J = 6.5Hz). The ¹³C NMR resonances observed in **10** were very similar to those of the previously reported compound yardenone²² (11) except for the chemical shift of C-12 (Table 2). As the major difference, the C-12 methylene resonance observed in 11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 28.5) was replaced with a downfield methine resonance at δ_C 74.4, typical for an oxygen-bearing carbon. Analysis of the ¹H-¹H COSY and ¹H-¹³C HMQC spectra suggested the presence of five distinct spin systems: [-CH₂(4)-CH₂(5)-, -CH(7)-CH₂(8)-CH₂(9)-CH (10)-CH₃(27)-, -CH (12)-CH₂(13)-CH(14)-, -CH₂(16)-CH₂(17)-CH(18)- and -CH₂(20)-CH₂(21)-]. Long-range couplings were observed in the ¹H-¹³C HMBC spectrum (Figure 6) between C-11 and H-10, H-12, H-26, H-27, and from C-15 to H-14, H-16, H-28, H-29, suggesting that the structure contained a hydroxyl group at C-12. The relative configuration of 10 was assigned to be the same as in 11 since both have nearly identical NOESY correlations and J_{H-H} coupling constants. However, a clear NOE was observed in the NOESY spectrum between H-12 and H-26, while there was no NOE observed between H-12 and H-27. Therefore, this metabolite is a C-12 hydroxylated form of the previously reported compound yardenone²² (11) and is trivially named 12*R*-hydroxyyardenone (10).

Three previously reported *Axinella* triterpenes were also isolated and identified as sodwanone A (4), sodwanone B (6), and yardenone (11) by comparison of their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra with those reported in literature.^{18,22}

Concentration-response studies were performed to determine the effects of 1-11 on HIF-1 activation and the data are shown in the Supporting Information. In T47D breast tumor cells, the most active compound $\boldsymbol{8}$ inhibited both hypoxia (1% $O_2)\text{-}$ and an iron chelator (10 μM 1,10-phenanthroline)-induced HIF-1 activation with an IC₅₀ of 15 µM. The IC₅₀ values for 1-5 to inhibit hypoxia-induced HIF-1 activation range from 20 to 25 μ M in a T47D cellbased reporter assay. Compounds 3 and 4 also inhibited 1,10-phenanthroline-induced HIF-1 activation with comparable IC₅₀ values (20 and 30 µM, respectively). The remaining compounds inhibited by less than 50% at the highest concentration tested (30 μ M). Among the compounds examined, only 8 inhibited hypoxia (1% O₂)-induced HIF-1 activation with an IC₅₀ of 15 μ M in PC-3 prostate tumor cells. Under experimental conditions (hypoxia for 16 h), no statistically significant cytotoxicity was observed in T47D cells. In the T47D cellbased primary screening assay, the crude extract from this Axinella sp. inhibited hypoxiainduced HIF-1 activation by 90% at 5 μ g mL⁻¹. If we assume that the compounds responsible for the HIF-1 inhibitory activity in the crude extract had a molecular weight of 500 and the yield was 1%, then the anticipated IC_{50} value of the purified active compound should be sub-micromolar. However, none of the compounds (1-11) displayed this level of expected potency. One possible explanation is that the potent HIF-1 inhibitory activity exhibited by the crude extract was caused by a synergistic effect of the compounds identified. To test this hypothesis, a mixture of 1-8 and 10 was prepared and the effect of the mixture on HIF-1 activation examined. Compounds 1-8 and 10 were mixed at the ratio of 10:1:5:2.5:7:1:2:1:5, based on the yield of each respective compound from the extract. In a T47D cell-based reporter assay, the mixture (5 μg mL⁻¹) inhibited hypoxia-induced HIF-1 activation by $41 \pm 6\%$ and 1,10-phenanthroline-induced HIF-1 activation by $37 \pm 3\%$ (n =

3). Therefore, significant synergistic HIF-1 inhibitory activity does not appear to exist between these compounds. The most active constituents may have been lost in the separation. It is noted that considerable mass from each of the active fractions irreversibly bound to the CC Si gel during the isolation process. Certain sodwanones have been proposed to be generated via cyclization of unstable epoxide intermediates.²⁰ It remains possible that a such structurally related unstable analog(s) is responsible for the potent HIF-1 inhibitory activity and that, many if not most, of the sodwanone triterpenes isolated from various collections of *Axinella* species actually represent degradation artifacts.

Cell line-dependent cytotoxicity has been reported for sodwanones A, G, H, and S.^{15,20-22} The effect of **1-11** on tumor cell proliferation/viability was examined in T47D and MDA-MB-231 breast tumor cells and in PC-3 and DU145 prostate tumor cells. Following 48 hr incubation under normoxic conditions (95% Air:5% CO₂), **2** inhibited T47D cell proliferation/viability with an IC₅₀ of 22 μ M and **8** inhibited MDA-MB-231 cells with an IC₅₀ of 23 μ M. None of the other compounds inhibited by greater than 50% at the highest concentration tested (30 μ M). A previous study indicated that sodwanone A is cytotoxic to DU-145 cells (IC₅₀ 3.6 μ M).²⁰ In our study, the IC₅₀ for sodwanone A (**5**) to inhibit DU-145 cell proliferation/viability was greater than 30 μ M. This variation in cytotoxic activities may be caused by the extremely poor solubility of sodwanone A in aqueous solution. In fact, crystals were observed in the tissue culture medium that contained sodwanone A at 30 μ M.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotation was measured on an Autopol IV Automatic Polarimeter. The IR spectrum was obtained using a Genesis Series FTIR. The NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on Bruker AMX-NMR spectrometers operating at either 400 or 500 MHz for ¹H and either 100 or 125 MHz for ¹³C, respectively. The NMR spectra were recorded running gradients and using residual solvent peaks (δ 7.27 for ¹H) and (δ 77.0 for ¹³C) as internal references. The HRESIMS spectra were measured using a Daltonic micro TOF with electrospray ionization. Silica gel (200-400 mesh) was used for column chromatography. TLCs were run on Merck Si₆₀F₂₅₄ or Si⁶⁰RP₁₈F₂₅₄ plates and visualized under UV at 254 nm or by heating after spraying with a 1% anisaldehyde solution in acetic acid:H₂SO₄ (50:1).

Sponge Material

The sponge material was obtained from the National Cancer Institute's Open Repository Program. A new species of *Axinella* (Axinellidae) was collected (collection C020287) at a depth of -16 M off the southeastern coast of South Africa on March 22, 2000 (photograph in Supporting Information). While the complete taxonomic characterization of this species has not been fully completed, the sample was identified to be a new *Axinella* species by Dr. Michele Kelly (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Limited, Auckland, New Zealand). The sample was frozen at -20° C and ground in a meat grinder. A voucher sample was deposited in the Natural History Museum, Washington, D.C. in the Department of Worms under the collection number 0CDN7239.

Extraction and Isolation

Ground sponge material was extracted with H_2O . The residual sample was then lyophilized and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 :MeOH (1:1), residual solvents were removed under vacuum, and the crude extract stored at -20° C in the NCI repository at the Frederick Cancer Research and Development Center (Frederick, Maryland). The crude extract of the marine sponge *Axinella* sp. was subjected to a process of bioassay-guided fractionation. The crude extract (5 g) was separated into five fractions by CC on Si gel (50 g), using gradients of hexanes and EtOH (85:15, 50:50, 0:100). The active fractions 1-5 (0.5 mg mL⁻¹ HIF-1 assay inhibition values 46%, 74%, 86%, 44%, and 81%, respectively) were further separated by a second level of CC to produce compounds **1** (10.0 mg, 0.2% yield), **2** (1.0 mg, 0.02% yield), **3** (5.0 mg, 0.1% yield), **4** (2.5 mg, 0.05% yield), **5** (7.0 mg, 0.14% yield), **6** (1.0 mg, 0.02% yield), **7** (2.0 mg, 0.04% yield), **8** (1.0 mg, 0.02% yield), **9** (5.0 mg, 0.1% yield), **10** (5.0 mg, 0.1% yield), and **11** (10.0 mg, 0.2% yield).

3-epi-Sodwanone K (1)

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +6.5 (*c* 0.20, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) v_{max} 3412, 2935, 1709 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HREIMS: *m/z* 490.3636 (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₅ 490.3658).

Preparation of (R)- and (S)-MTPA esters of 3-epi-sodwanone K (1)

Compound **1** (2.0 mg, 4.0 µmol) was dissolved in pyridine- d_5 (180 µL) and treated with 10 µL (53.4 µmol) of (*R*)-(-)-MTPA chloride at room temperature in a NMR tube for 12 h to yield the (*S*)-MTPA ester **1s**. The (*R*)-MTPA ester (**1r**) was prepared from **1** (2.0 mg, 4.0 µmol) and (*S*)-(+)-MTPA chloride (53.4 µmol) using the same procedure as described for **1s**.

3-epi-Sodwanone K, (S)-MTPA ester (1s)

¹H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 400 MHz) 0.69 (s, H-26), 1.17 (s, H-24), 1.04 (s, H-25), 2.00 (m, H-4β), 1.88 (m, H-4α), 1.54 (m, H-5β), 1.41 (m, H-5α), 1.50 (m, H-11), 1.537 (m, H-8β), 1.23 (m, 8α), 2.202 (m, H-9β), 1.88 (m, 9α), 3.53 (dd, 11.2, 4.9, H-7).

3-epi-Sodwanone K, (R)-MTPA ester (1r)

¹H NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 400 MHz) δ 0.70 (s, H-26), 1.18 (s, H-24), 1.08 (s, H-25), 1.99 (m, H-4β), 1.87 (m, H-4α), 1.54 (m, H-5β), 1.38 (m, H-5α), 1.44 (m, H-11), 1.538 (m, H-8β), 1.22 (m, 8α), 2.204 (m, H-9β), 1.93 (m, 9α), 3.52 (dd, 11.2, 4.9, H-7).

3-epi-Sodwanone K 3-acetate (2)

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +5.2 (*c* 0.15, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film): v_{max} 3410, 2089, 1644 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS: *m/z* 532.3731 (calcd for C₃₂H₅₂O₆ 532.3764).

Sodwanone T (3)

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -78.7 (*c* 0.14, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) ν_{max} 3425, 2976, 2939, 1713, 1645 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS: *m/z* 472.3559 (calcd for C₃₀H₄₈O₄ 472.3553).

10,11-Dihydrosodwanone B (4)

White powder: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -8.9 (*c* 0.06, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) v_{max} 3413, 1713, 1625 cm⁻¹; UV (CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} (log ε) 262 (3.58), 300 (3.23); ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS: *m/z* 482.3053 (calcd for C₃₀H₄₂O₅ 482.3032).

Sodwanone U (7)

White powder: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +6.0 (c 0.13, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) ν_{max} 3436, 1641 cm⁻¹; UV (CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} (log ε) 256 (3.66), 300 (3.33); ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HREIMS: *m/z* 482.3031 (calcd for C₃₀H₄₂O₅ 482.3032).

Sodwanone V (8)

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +1.3 (c 0.30, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) v_{max} 3442, 2360, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HREIMS: *m/z* 490.3676 (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₅ 490.3658).

Sodwanone W (9)

Colorless oil: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -9.8 (c 0.30, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) ν_{max} 3411, 2974, 2360, 1633, 1585 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HREIMS: *m/z* ₄₇4.3707 (calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₄ 474.3709).

12R-Hydroxyyardenone (10)

White powder: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +74.7 (c 0.35, CH₂Cl₂); IR (film) v_{max} 3438, 2976, 1712, 1442, 1031 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS: *m*/*z* 504.3442 (calcd for C₃₀H₄₈O₆ 504.3451).

Cell-Based Reporter Assay for HIF-1 Activity

The transfection, compound treatment, exposure to hypoxic conditions (1% O_2 :5% CO_2 :94% N_2), normoxic conditions (5% CO_2 :95% Air), and a hypoxia mimetic (10 μ M 1,10-phenanthroline), and luciferase activity determination were performed as previously described.¹² The compounds were prepared as 10 mM stock solutions in isopropanol and stored at -80° C.

Neutral Red Assay for Cell Proliferation/Viability

The T47D, MDA-MB-231, PC-3, and DU145 tumor cells were purchased from ATCC and maintained in DMEM/F12 medium (JRH Biosciences) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (Hyclone) and antibiotics (50 U mL⁻¹ penicillin G sodium, and 50 μ g mL⁻¹ streptomycin, GIBCO) under humidified atmosphere (5% CO₂:95% Air) at 37° C. Exponentially grown cells were plated at the density of 30,000 cells per well in a volume of 100 μ L DMEM/F12 medium with 10% FBS and antibiotics into 96-well plates (Corning). After 24 h, test compounds were diluted in serum-free DMEM/F12 with antibiotics and added to the wells in a volume of 100 μ L (n = 3). The incubation continued for another 48 h at 37° C. Cell viability was determined by the Neutral Red method²⁴ with modifications described earlier. ²⁵ The following formula was used to calculate % inhibition of cell proliferation/viability: % Inhibition = 1 - OD₅₄₀(treated)/OD₅₄₀(control).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Natural Products Branch Repository Program at the National Cancer Institute for providing marine extracts from the NCI Open Repository used in these studies, T. Smillie (NCNPR, University of Mississippi) for coordinating sample acquisition from the NCI, D.K. Jones (NCNPR, University of Mississippi) for screening NCI samples in the HIF-1 assay, S.L. McKnight (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas) for providing the pTK-HRE3-luc construct, M.T. Davies-Coleman (Dept. of Chemistry, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa) for providing a photograph of the *Axinella* sp., and F.T. Wiggers (NCNPR, University of Mississippi) for obtaining some NMR spectra. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health-NCI CA 98787-03 (DGN/YDZ), the DOD-Prostate Cancer Research Program PC040931 (DGN), and NOAA NURP/ NIUST NA16RU1496. This investigation was conducted in a facility constructed with support from Research Facilities Improvement Grant No. C06 RR-14503-01 from the National Institutes of Health. The U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity, 820 Chandler Street, and Fort Detrick MD 21702-5014 is the awarding and administering acquisition office for the DOD support. The content herein reported does not necessarily reflect the position or the policy of the Government, and no official endorsement should be inferred.

References

- 1. Brown JM, Wilson WR. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2004;4:437-447. [PubMed: 15170446]
- 2. Harris AL. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2002;2:38-47. [PubMed: 11902584]
- 3. Weinmann M, Welz S, Bamberg M. Curr. Med. Chem. Anti-Cancer Agents 2003;3:364-374.
- 4. a Rischin D, Peters L, Fisher R, Macann A, Denham J, Poulsen M, Jackson M, Kenny L, Penniment M, Corry J, Lamb D, McClure B. J. Clin. Oncol 2005;23:79–87. [PubMed: 15625362] b
 Williamson SK, Crowley JJ, Lara PN Jr, McCoy J, Lau DH, Tucker RW, Mills GM, Gandara DR. J. Clin. Oncol 2005;23:9097–9104. [PubMed: 16361616] c Rischin D, Hicks RJ, Fisher R, Binns D, Corry J, Porceddu S, Peters LJ. J. Clin. Oncol 2006;24:2098–2104. [PubMed: 16648512]
- 5. Semenza GL, Wang GL. Mol. Cell. Biol 1992;12:5447-5454. [PubMed: 1448077]
- 6. Semenza GL. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003;3:721–732. [PubMed: 13130303]
- 7. Giaccia A, Siim BG, Johnson RS. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov 2003;2:1-9.
- 8. Semenza GL. Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 2006;10:267-280. [PubMed: 16548775]
- Zhong H, De Marzo AM, Laughner E, Lim M, Hilton DA, Zagzag D, Buechler P, Isaacs WB, Semenza GL, Simons JW. Cancer Res 1998;59:5830–5835. [PubMed: 10582706]
- a Maxwell PH, Dachs GU, Gleadle JM, Nicholls LG, Harris AL, Stratford IJ, Hankinson O, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 1997;94:8104–8109. [PubMed: 9223322] b Ryan HE, Poloni M, McNulty W, Elson D, Gassmann M, Arbeit JM, Johnson RS. Cancer Res 2000;60:4010–4015. [PubMed: 10945599] c Kung AL, Wang S, Klco JM, Kaelin WG, Livingston DM. Nat. Med 2000;6:1335–1340. [PubMed: 11100117]
- 11. Nagle DG, Zhou Y-D. Curr. Drug Target 2006;7:355–369.
- Hodges TW, Hossain CF, Kim Y-P, Zhou Y-D, Nagle DG. J. Nat. Prod 2004;67:767–771. [PubMed: 15165135]
- Hossain CF, Kim Y-P, Baerson SR, Zhang L, Bruick RK, Mohammed KA, Agarwal AK, Nagle DG, Zhou Y-D. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm 2005;333:1026–1033. [PubMed: 15967416]
- 14. Wang GL, Semenza GL. Blood 1993;82:3610-3615. [PubMed: 8260699]
- 15. Rudi A, Aknin M, Gaydou EM, Kashman Y. J. Nat. Prod 1997;60:700-703. [PubMed: 9249974]
- 16. Ohtani I, Kusumi T, Kashman Y, Kakisawa H. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1991;113:4902–4906.
- 17. Rudi A, Yosief T, Schleyer M, Kashman Y. Tetrahedron 1999;55:5555-5566.
- Rudi A, Goldberg I, Stein Z, Benayahu Y, Schleyer M, Kashman Y. Tetrahedron Lett 1993;34:3943–3944.
- 19. Rudi A, Kashman Y, Benayahu Y, Schleyer M. J. Nat. Prod 1994;57:1416–1423.
- 20. Funel-Le Bon C, Berrué F, Thomas OP, Reyes F, Amade P. J. Nat. Prod 2005;68:1284–1287. [PubMed: 16124780]
- Rudi A, Goldberg I, Stein Z, Kashman Y, Benayahu Y, Schleyer M, Gravalos MDG. J. Nat. Prod 1995;58:1702–1712. [PubMed: 8594147]
- 22. Carletti I, Long C, Funel C, Amade P. J. Nat. Prod 2003;66:25-29. [PubMed: 12542339]
- 24. Borenfreund E, Puerner JA. Toxicol. Lett 1985;24:119-124. [PubMed: 3983963]
- Zhou Y-D, Kim Y-P, Mohammed KA, Jones DK, Muhammad I, Dunbar DC, Nagle DG. J. Nat. Prod 2005;68:947–950. [PubMed: 15974627]











Figure 3. Selected ¹H-¹H COSY (bold solid bars) and ¹H-¹³C HMBC (arrows) correlations of **4**.



Figure 4. Selected ¹H-¹H COSY (bold solid bars) and ¹H-¹³C HMBC (arrows) correlations of **7**.



Figure 5. Proposed mechanism for the formation of **7**.



Ο

Н

ОН

3



Н









Ó

"Н



C

Н

0

26

Table 1

CDCl ₃).
(400 MHz,
- 10
and 7
1 – 4
ta for
al Dai
Spectra
H NMR
\mathbf{F}

Proton	1	2	3	4	7	8	6	10
3	3.73 (d, 6.0)	4.94 (d, 6.0)	3.79 (d, 6.0)				3.72 (d, 6.0)	
4a	1.86 m	2.05 m	1.95 m	3.23 (t, 11.6)	3.14 (t, 12.0)	1.88 m	3.01 m	3.05 m
q	1.68 m	1.81 m	1.72 m	2.18 m	2.15 m	1.50 m	2.31 m	2.20 m
5a	1.55 m	1.65 m	1.70 m	1.97 m	1.94 m	2.36 m	5.21 brs	1.77 m
q	1.35 m	1.50 m	1.50 m	1.42 m	1.35 m	1.12 m		1.32 m
7	3.60 (dd, 11.3, 4.9)	3.55 (dd, 11.3, 4.9)	3.69 (dd, 11.3, 4.9)	3.09 (dd, 12.0, 4.1)	3.01 (dd, 11.2, 4.1)	4.19 brs	4.13 brs	3.05 (dd, 11.3, 5.4)
8a	1.56 m	1.56 m	1.70 m	1.75 m	1.66 m	1.80 m	1.83 m	2.00 m
q	1.24 m	1.24 m		1.65 m	153 m	1.49 m	1.40 m	1.70 m
9a	2.14 m	2.11 m	1.90 m	2.16 m	2.07 m	1.32 m	1.40 m	1.48 m
q	1.85 m	1.88 m		1.97 m	1.86 m			
10						1.75 m	1.37 m	1.91 m
11	1.49 m	1.49 m						
12a	1.45 m	1.45 m	2.03 m	2.20 m	2.20 m	2.38 m	1.50 m	4.41
q	1.35 m	1.35 m	1.88 m	1.90 m	1.70 m	1.88 m	1.22 m	
13a	1.80 m	1.80 m	2.03 m	2.64 m	2.65 m	1.50 m	1.72 m	1.93 m
q	1.03 m	1.10 m		2.35 m	2.35 m	1.22 m	1.20 m	1.65 m
15	1.72 m	1.72 m				1.39 m	1.35 m	4.07
16	1.26 m	1.26 m	1.90 m			1.50 m	1.84 m	1.55 m
16							1.69 m	1.45 m
17	1.43 m	1.43 m	1.70 m			1.83 m	1.50 m	1.62 m
17			1.61 m			1.40 m		1.48 m
18	3.39 (dd, 10.4, 5.2)	3.45 (dd, 10.4, 5.2)	3.06 (dd, 9.6, 4.9)			4.01 m	3.96 brs	3.84 (dd, 9.6, 4.9)
20a	1.87 m	1.87 m	2.03 m	2.47 m	2.63 m	5.23 brs	2.48 m	1.74 m
q	1.50 m	1.55 m	1.48 m	1.77 m	1.70 m		2.30 m	1.30 m
21a	3.08 m	3.11 m	3.19 m	2.80 m	2.68 m	3.04 m	1.50 m	3.04 m
q	2.05 m	2.05 m	2.18 m	2.62 m	2.59 m	2.25 m		2.22 m
22						3.74 (d, 5.6)		
23								

Ζ	
Ŧ	
'	
\geq	
≥	
Ē	
Ы	
1	
\leq	
n	
S	
ğ	
D.	
-	

Dai	et	al.	

1.20 s

1.26 s 1.19 s

1.45 s 1.30 s

1.28 s 1.24 s 1.05 s

10

6

×

~

1.19 s 0.95 s 1.08 (d, 6.5)

> 1.18 (d, 6.5) 0.88 (d, 6.5) 1.02 s

1.49 s 1.94 s 1.24 s 1.53 s

> 2.05 s 1.17 s 1.56 s 1.50 s

1.65 s 1.12 s 1.29 s

1.73 s

1.63 s

4.90 s, 4.48 s 0.80 (d, 6.5) 1.04 s

4.80 s, 4.46 s 0.80 (d, 6.5) 1.04 s 1.21 s

1.02 s 0.86 s

0.86 s

1.24 s

1.02 s

0.70 s

0.65 s

1.03 s

2 1.17 s

> 1.18 s 1.02 s

1

Proton 24 25 26 27 27 28 29

1.30 s

4 1.34 s

> 1.25 s 1.16 s

e

0.70 s 1.09 s 1.20 s 1.20 s

0.88 s

1.27 s 1.12 s 1.10 s

1.48 s

1.25 s

1.21 s

1.13 s

1.14 s

30

1.24 s 1.10 s

Page 18

J	Nat	Prod.	Author	manuscript:	available i	in PMC	2010 July 2	22.

Dai et al.

¹³ C NMF	Spec	tral Da	ta for]	l – 4 ai	- 1 pr	10 (100) MHz,	CDCl ₃
Carbon	1	7	3	4	٢	8	6	10
2	76.5	79.1	<i>77.9</i>	82.7	82.7	77.8	77.5	81.6
3	76.2	78.3	77.0	218.0	217.8	104.2	77.8	217.9
4	25.8	23.5	25.9	35.6	35.6	23.8	30.4	34.8
5	33.5	33.5	32.6	38.1	37.7	32.9	115.3	31.8
9	43.2	43.3	43.0	42.3	42.3	88.7	149.0	45.3
7	75.4	76.1	74.9	7.97	79.5	71.3	71.9	77.5
8	32.6	32.8	27.4	31.1	31.7	26.8	36.1	30.2
6	35.3	35.3	32.1	26.9	26.9	28.8	28.7	29.7
10	147.0	146.9	126.8	129.0	129.0	33.5	42.2	32.7
11	54.7	55.0	137.9	135.5	135.0	41.4	42.9	92.5
12	19.7	19.7	29.2	28.6	23.7	26.4	25.8	74.4
13	35.9	35.6	29.2	32.2	35.4	30.5	29.6	39.6
14	77.8	77.8	137.0	163.4	160.0	43.7	86.5	82.8
15	33.2	33.2	127.2	129.5	127.8	42.1	51.1	48.2
16	27.9	28.2	32.0	181.4	179.5	29.4	26.2	26.1
17	29.8	30.1	27.1	141.9	145.0	36.0	38.0	28.5
18	75.9	76.2	79.9	137.6	137.5	71.7	82.0	81.9
19	45.0	45.3	42.2	43.0	46.1	149.0	49.5	48.8
20	32.4	32.4	37.9	27.0	26.7	116.0	36.1	31.6
21	34.9	34.9	35.8	32.5	37.6	30.1	24.8	35.5
22	218.0	218.0	218.0	214.0	213.0	78.7	215.0	216.0
23	81.4	81.8	82.6	48.1	48.1	78.7	40.6	82.6
24	21.0	21.0	21.7	20.8	20.7	18.7	19.5	20.2
25	28.7	28.9	29.0	26.4	26.9	24.5	29.8	26.9
26	11.8	12.0	18.3	17.9	17.6	17.5	22.7	15.2
27	106.9	107.1	20.5	20.8	19.7	15.8	16.4	21.4
28	15.8	15.8	20.5	12.5	11.9	16.5	13.5	20.2
29	14.1	14.0	17.8	21.6	24.2	20.2	18.1	15.8

_
_
_
_
~
-
~
-
_

_
_
\mathbf{n}
_
~
<
01
<u>u</u>
-
5
_
_
S
S
SO
SCI
SCr
scri
scrip
scrip
script

Dai et al.

10 22.1 26.1

9 18.3 18.3

8 20.2 30.5

~

0

-

Carbon

22.1 24.8

4 20.5

3 20.8

> 20.0 26.5

> 30 31

24.3

26.7

20.0 26.9