



Published in final edited form as:

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2010 May 1; 77(1): 315–316. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.12.051.

In reply to Drs. Bekelman and Yahalom: Quality Of Radiotherapy Reporting In Randomized Controlled Trials Of Hodgkin's Lymphoma And Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma

Thomas J FitzGerald, MD,
QARC

Maryann Bishop-Jodoin, BS,
QARC

Allen R Chauvenet, MD,
West Virginia Univ. HSC/Charleston

M. Giulia Cicchetti, MD,
QARC

Louis S Constine, MD,
University of Rochester Medical Center

James Deye, PhD,
NCI

Debra Friedman, MD,
Vanderbilt Children's Hospital

Richard Hanusik, BA,
QARC

Sandy Kessel, BA,
QARC

Fran Laurie, BS,
QARC

Robert B Marcus Jr, MD,
University of Florida

Kathleen M McCarten, MD,
QARC

Nancy P Mendenhall, MD,
University of Florida

Janaki Moni, MD,
QARC

Richard S Pieters, MD,
QARC

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

James Purdy, PhD,
UC Davis Cancer Center

Nancy Rosen, MD,
QARC

Joel Saltz, MD, PhD,
Emory University

Cindy L Schwartz, MD,
Rhode Island Hospital

Kenneth Ulin, PhD,
QARC

Marcia Urie, PhD,
QARC

Bhadrasain Vikram, MD,
NCI

Keith S White, MD,
Primary Children's Hospital

Jon L Williams, MD, and
University of Florida

Suzanne Wolden, MD
Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

Keywords

clinical trials; radiotherapy quality assurance; systematic review; Lymphoma quality assurance; protocol compliance

Drs. Bekelman and Yahalom's (1) paper describing radiation therapy (RT) quality assurance (QA) in lymphoma clinical trials places emphasis for RT standards. Insuring study defined dose/volume constraint compliance, RTQA requires central pre-treatment diagnostic imaging and RT plan review. This letter describes Children's Oncology Group (COG) historical and current RTQA process for Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL) trials.

For 33 years the Quality Assurance Review Center (QARC) has performed RTQA on cooperative group trials. Process improvements demonstrate maturing of clinical trials QA in response to protocol needs. The increasingly crucial role of imaging in clinical trials QA is validated.

Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) protocol 8725 (intermediate/advanced staged HL) required 8 chemotherapy cycles +/- Involved Field RT. Initial publication(2) demonstrated no advantage for RT. Retrospective data review revealed 10% survival advantage for patients receiving compliant RT.(3) 30% of patients had treatment deviations including omission of RT to involved sites. To improve compliance, POG required pre-treatment RT review for next generation advanced/early stage HL studies, P9425/P9426(4,5). Strategy improved RT compliance. P9426 required post chemotherapy imaging response treatment adaptation. Retrospective response-imaging central review established that ~50% of patients had discordance between local and central review.(6) COG AHOD0031 (intermediate risk HL) included patient response-adapted therapy. QARC initiated real time response review with integrated imaging (anatomic and metabolic) and RT review prior to RT start.

Discordant local and central interpretations were resolved in real time. (7,8) 1733 patients from 251 centers worldwide were enrolled. Near uniform data submission compliance has been obtained with >95% RT compliance in ~600 cases reviewed. Process feasibility allows extension of adaptive treatments based on centrally-confirmed response for the next high risk HL study.

QARC-developed an informatics platform and processes that contribute to success of these clinical trials improvements. QARC acquires and manages imaging and RT data in several digital formats(9). The QARC database houses images and RT objects in side-by-side format, enabling remote investigator access. In collaborating with Dr. Purdy and the Advanced Technology Consortium, full digital RT files are received at QARC for review and DVH analysis. Currently strategies to incorporate Dicom compatible pathology objects into the database and use of open-source format for data sharing are being evaluated.

The objectives identified in this paper for developing consensus standards and peer-review are in place for cooperative groups. Applying these established programs at enterprise level insures the objectives of this publication are met.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by NIH/NCI U10 Grant CA29511.

References

1. Bekelman JE, Yahalom J. Quality of radiotherapy reporting in randomized controlled trials of Hodgkin's lymphoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a systematic review. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;73:492–8. [PubMed: 18804334]
2. Weiner MA, Leventhal B, Brecher ML, Marcus RB, Cantor A, Gieser PW, et al. Randomized study of intensive MOPP-ABVD with or without low-dose total-nodal radiation therapy in the treatment of stages IIB, IIIA2, IIIB, and IV Hodgkin's disease in pediatric patients: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:2769–79. [PubMed: 9256118]
3. FitzGerald TJ, Urie M, Ulin K, Laurie F, Yorty J, Hanusik R, et al. Processes for quality improvements in radiation oncology clinical trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;71(1 Suppl):S76–9. [PubMed: 18406943]
4. Schwartz CL, Constine LS, Villaluna D, London WB, Hutchison RE, Sposto R, et al. A risk-adapted, response-based approach using ABVE-PC for children and adolescents with intermediate- and high-risk Hodgkin lymphoma: the results of P9425. *Blood* 2009;114(10):2051–9. [PubMed: 19584400]
5. Tebbi CK, Mendenhall N, London WB, Williams JL, de Alarcon PA, Chauvenet AR, et al. Treatment of stage I, IIA, IIIA1 pediatric Hodgkin disease with doxorubicin, bleomycin, vincristine and etoposide (DBVE) and radiation: a Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2006;46(2):198–202. [PubMed: 16136581]
6. Mendenhall NP, Meyer J, Williams J, Tebbi C, Kessel S, Laurie F, et al. The Impact of Central Quality Assurance Review Prior to Radiation Therapy on Protocol Compliance: POG 9426, a Trial in Pediatric Hodgkin's Disease. *Blood* 2005;106:753.
7. Wolden, S.; Constine, L.; Schwartz, CL.; Friedman, D.; McCarten, K.; Laurie, F., et al. Real Time Diagnostic Imaging Central Review and Radiotherapy Interventional Review in Multicenter Cancer Trials. PROS; Montreal, Quebec: 2009.
8. McCarten, KM.; Rosen, N.; Friedman, D.; Schwartz, CL.; Voss, S.; Bishop-Jodoin, M., et al. Feasibility of Real Time Diagnostic Imaging Central Review in Multicenter Cancer Trials. ARRS; Boston, MA. 2009.
9. Laprise NK, Hanusik R, Fitzgerald TJ, Rosen N, White KS. Developing a multi-institutional PACS archive and designing processes to manage the shift from a film to a digital-based archive. *J Digit Imaging* 2009 Mar;22(1):15–24. [PubMed: 17924166]