



RESEARCH

Open Access

# Blood flow shapes intravascular pillar geometry in the chick chorioallantoic membrane

Grace S Lee<sup>1</sup>, Nenad Filipovic<sup>2,4</sup>, Lino F Miele<sup>1</sup>, Miao Lin<sup>1</sup>, Dinee C Simpson<sup>1</sup>, Barry Giney<sup>1</sup>, Moritz A Konerding<sup>3</sup>, Akira Tsuda<sup>2</sup> and Steven J Mentzer\*<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

The relative contribution of blood flow to vessel structure remains a fundamental question in biology. To define the influence of intravascular flow fields, we studied tissue islands--here defined as intravascular pillars--in the chick chorioallantoic membrane. Pillars comprised 0.02 to 0.5% of the vascular system in 2-dimensional projection and were predominantly observed at vessel bifurcations. The bifurcation angle was generally inversely related to the length of the pillar ( $R = -0.47$ ,  $P < .001$ ). The pillar orientation closely mirrored the axis of the dominant vessel with an average variance of  $5.62 \pm 6.96$  degrees ( $p = .02$ ). In contrast, the variance of pillar orientation relative to nondominant vessels was  $36.78 \pm 21.33$  degrees ( $p > .05$ ). 3-dimensional computational flow simulations indicated that the intravascular pillars were located in regions of low shear stress. Both wide-angle and acute-angle models mapped the pillars to regions with shear less than  $1 \text{ dyn/cm}^2$ . Further, flow modeling indicated that the pillars were spatially constrained by regions of higher wall shear stress. Finally, the shear maps indicated that the development of new pillars was limited to regions of low shear stress. We conclude that mechanical forces produced by blood flow have both a limiting and permissive influence on pillar development in the chick chorioallantoic membrane.

## Introduction

The mechanical influence of blood flow on vessel structure is a fundamental question in developmental [1] and adaptive [2] biology. In the chick chorioallantoic membrane, a common model of microvascular network development, the extra-embryonic area undergoes limited development in the absence of blood flow [3,4]. In later embryogenesis, the onset of a heartbeat and active blood flow is associated with dramatic changes in both embryonic and extra-embryonic vessels [5-7]. In humans, physiological conditions such as growth and exercise lead to adjustments in the structural properties of the vascular network [8]. In pathologic conditions such as inflammation [9,10] and ischemia [11,12], structural adaptations appear to be essential for tissue repair and regeneration. Despite these convincing network-level observations, there is little *in vivo* data on the local interaction between blood flow and vessel structure.

Attempts to clarify the mechanical influence of flow on blood vessels have focused on endothelial cell responses

to varying flow patterns *in vitro*. Flow chamber studies have demonstrated that mechanical forces, such as wall shear stress, have a profound effect on gene transcriptional activity [13-15] and endothelial phenotype [16-18]. *In vitro* umbilical vein endothelial cells exposed to laminar shear stress reorganize and elongate their cytoskeletal axes in the direction of flow [19]. The response of cultured endothelial cells to *in vitro* shear stress can also include lamellipodial protrusion and mechanotaxis in the direction of flow [20,21]. The translation of these *in vitro* endothelial cell observations to *in vivo* structural change is less clear.

To define the local influence of intravascular flow fields on vessel structure, we have studied 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> order extra-embryonic microvessels in the chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM). The 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> order CAM vessels are part of an experimentally accessible planar network that, in contrast to the complex gas exchange and nutrient function of the CAM capillaries, has a simple transport function. More importantly, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> order CAM vessels have a unique morphologic feature; namely, intravascular tissue islands or "pillars" [22]. Discrete structures within the blood stream, pillars have sev-

\* Correspondence: smentzer@partners.org

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Adaptive and Regenerative Biology, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



eral potential advantages in evaluating the effect of blood flow on local vessel development: 1) pillars are lined with normal-appearing endothelium [23] suggesting a normal responsiveness to intraluminal flow fields, 2) pillars are discrete structures indicating that local changes in pillar geometry have a minimal effect on global blood flow, and 3) pillars can be identified by time-series intravital 2D imaging providing a simultaneous assessment of pillar geometry and surrounding blood flow.

In this report, we used geometry and blood flow measurements derived from intravital microscopy imaging to map the mechanical forces within the CAM vessels--including wall shear stress and blood pressure--using 3D computational flow simulations. Pillar geometry suggested the spatial constraint of high wall shear stress. Further, the development of new pillars was limited to regions with low shear stress. The result suggests both a limiting and permissive influence of wall shear stress on pillar development in the CAM.

## Methods

### Eggs

Specific pathogen-free, fertilized White Leghorn chicken eggs (*G. gallus domesticus*) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Franklin, CT). The care of the animals was consistent with guidelines of the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (Bethesda, MD).

### Ex ovo culture

For all experiments, a modified, ex ovo (shell-less) culture method was used [24]. Briefly, the eggs were kept in an R-COM 20 digital incubator (GimHae, Korea) at 37.5°C and 70% humidity with automatic turning for 3 days. On embryonic development day (EDD) 3, the eggs were sprayed with 70% ethanol, air-dried in a laminar flow hood and explanted into a 20 × 100 mm Petri dish (Falcon, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The ex ovo cultures were maintained in a humidified 2% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37.5°C. To optimize the selective examination of the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> order conducting, as well as facilitate intravital microscopy identification of the intravascular pillars, intravital microscopy was performed on EDD 13-16.

### Intravital microscopy system

The CAM was imaged using a Nikon Eclipse TE2000 inverted epifluorescence microscope using Nikon Plan Apo 10x and Plan Fluor 20x objectives. The microscope was custom-fitted with an insulated 37°C convective warming unit with moderate relative humidity [25]. An X-Cite (EXFO, Vanier, Canada) 120 watt metal halide light source and a liquid light guide were used to illuminate the CAM. Excitation and emission filters (Chroma, Rockingham, VT) in separate LEP motorized filter

wheels were controlled by a MAC5000 controller (Ludl) and MetaMorph software 7.5 (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA). The 14-bit fluorescent images were digitally recorded with an electron multiplier CCD (EMCCD) camera (C9100-02, Hamamatsu, Japan). Images were routinely obtained at frame rates exceeding 50 fps with 2 × 2 binning. The images were recorded in image stacks comprising 100 to 500 frames of video sequences on a Dell Precision workstation (3.06 Ghz dual Xeon processors, 15,000 rpm ultra-SCSI hard drives, 4 gb RAM and an Nvidia Quadro 3450 graphics card with 512 mb memory). The CAMs at EDD13 thru EDD16 were imaged with intermittent time-lapse videos over 6 to 24 hour time period. Selection of vessels was based on an initial visual survey; identified intravascular pillars were then studied in detail. There was no attempt at uniform or random sampling.

### Fluorescent tracers

The fluorescent plasma marker used for intravital imaging was a 5% fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran (2,000,000 MW; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis MO) solution prepared in normal saline immediately prior to injection. In some intravital microscopy experiments, green fluorescent (ex 430 nm; em 510), neutrally-charged, polystyrene spheres (10<sup>8</sup> beads/ml) were injected with the plasma marker [26]. The 0.5 μm microspheres were labeled with derivatives of the BODIPY fluorochrome (ex 488 nm, em 510 nm) using organic solvents (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR). The plasma marker and intravascular tracer solution were injected into the CAM circulation using a micro-fine 0.3 ml insulin syringe with a 30G needle (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ).

### Image analysis

Analysis of video images was performed with MetaMorph (Molecular Devices). Image stacks were created from the 100 to 500 frame sequences. The image stacks were processed with standard MetaMorph filters. After routine thresholding, the image sequences were measured using MetaMorph's integrated morphometry applications. Morphometric measurements such as area, length, orientation, perimeter, hole area and prolate volume were routinely obtained.

### Time-series flow visualization

The stream-acquired images were stacked to create a time series of 100 or 500 consecutive frames. The stacks were systematically analyzed to ensure the absence of motion artifact. The stack "maximum" operation selected the highest intensity value for each pixel location throughout the time series. Conversely, the stack "minimum" operation selected the lowest intensity value for each pixel location. Other filters such as the "median"

operation were similarly applied. The resultant image produced a time series reconstruction of the vessel during the time interval of the image stack.

### Time-series analysis

Flow patterns were demonstrated using time-series plots created by measuring the intensity values of a region of interest through a time-based series of images. The time-series plots were constructed using the MetaMorph kymograph application [27]. The application was used to create a cross-sectional view of grayscale intensity values along a region or "transept" drawn on the image stack (Figure 1)[28]. The average pixel intensity across the vessel width was used to track movement in the time-series. The image stack was preprocessed with minimal background subtraction to improve both the signal-to-noise ratio and the sensitivity of motion detection. The resulting kymograph image was analyzed with a line tool to define the vessel segment distance, as well as the time and velocity data for the region. By convention, the transept distance was plotted on the X-axis and the descending time-series was plotted on the Y-axis. The designation of

dominant and nondominant vessels was determined by volumetric flow calculations at the bifurcation.

### Image stitching

Video mapping was performed by the serial acquisition of  $3 \times 3$  image stacks. The image stacks were acquired using MetaMorph to control of an LEP XY motorized stage (Ludl); stage accuracy and computer control minimized the time interval between image stacks (<2 sec). After the image stacks at the grid positions were acquired, the images were stitched together for a panoramic video, using the MetaMorph stack montage application.

### Measurement of vessel-pillar angles


Similar to other branch angle studies emphasizing localized geometry [29], the method of branch angle morphometry was designed to be sensitive to variation at the apex of the bifurcation. In layered images, maximally-sized spheres were inscribed in each vessel at the bifurcation. Sequential spheres within each vessel were inscribed so that the surface of the sphere intersected the center-point of the preceding sphere. The centerline track of the first two spheres was used to define the vessel coordinates. After routine calibration and thresholding, the pillar axis was determined by the MetaMorph integrated morphometry application. The bifurcation angle was measured as the angle between the pillar and vessel axis.

### Finite element mesh (FEM) of vessel bifurcation

To develop a finite element mesh of the vessel bifurcation, models were constructed with and without the pillar. The construction of 3D FEM for non-symmetrical, irregularly shaped 3D bifurcating vessel was based on geometry derived from intravital microscopy. Further, the transition at the pillar-wall interface required curved distortion of the mesh to match the morphology of the images from intravital microscopy [30]. Otherwise, geometric measurements provided by intravital microscopy and digital image analysis were represented as faithfully as possible in the FEM model. As automation of the FEM generation was not possible at this stage, a customized approach was required for each model. Segmentation was performed on the original 2D intravital microscopy image to obtain 2D polylines of the contours. Subsequently, 2D splines were constructed from the polylines. Finally, a 3D FEM model made of 6 blocks for smooth mesh continuity was created using 3D NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Spline) [31]. In addition, two blocks of finer mesh were used around the pillar region to detect subtle changes in wall shear stress.

### Flow solver

The modeling was performed using a continuum approach. Governed by the Navier- Stokes equations and



**Figure 1** The digital images were acquired at a single wavelength (ex 430 nm; em 510 nm). The recorded image stacks were analyzed for flow velocity (B) and recombined into a composite time-series image (C). A,B) A line of selectable orientation and width was drawn along the vessel axis. The distance-time plane (B) provided a longitudinal view over the selected length of the vessel. Cells or particles were tracked through multiple planes of the stack permitting a visual correlation in each plane. The white object (arrow) represents a fluorescent particle; the slope of the diagonal line represents the velocity of the particle in the flow stream. Note the different slope of the background speckle pattern—an observation suggesting the particle is near to, or interacting with, the vessel wall. A,C) The image stack was digitally recombined and pseudocolored for presentation as a time-series image (C). The region within the vessel demonstrating no detectable fluorescence (arrow) was defined as an intravascular pillar.

the continuity equation, the three-dimensional flow of a viscous incompressible fluid was expressed:

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} \right) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v} \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0 \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{v}$  was the blood velocity in three different directions  $v_x, v_y,$  and  $v_z,$   $\rho$  was the fluid density (1.05 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and  $p$  was pressure,  $\mu$  was the dynamic viscosity (0.03675 g/cm/sec). Equation (1) represented the balance of linear momentum, while equation (2) expressed the incompressibility condition. The code was validated using the analytical solution for shear stress and velocities through a straight expanding tube [32].

### Boundary conditions

A parabolic velocity profile was prescribed for all calculations at the inlet of the proximal vessel; the inlet was more than 10 diameters away from the bifurcation region. A zero free traction boundary condition was kept at the outlet sections of distal limbs. This assumption was based on a steady flow condition and the parabolic velocity profile at the inlet and outlet cross-section. Reynolds number (Re) was defined as

$$Re = \frac{\bar{V}D}{\mu/\rho} \quad (3)$$

where  $\bar{V}$  was the mean velocity of the prescribed inlet parabolic velocity profile, and the vessel diameter  $D$  was measured by intravital microscopy. Resulting Re was always less than 1.0, suggesting that the blood flow modeled here was in a viscous flow regime [33].

### Wall shear stress

The wall shear stress is calculated as  $\vec{\tau} = -\mu \frac{\partial \vec{v}_t}{\partial \vec{n}}$ , where  $\vec{v}_t$  denotes the tangential velocity immediately to the walls, and  $\vec{n}$  is the normal direction at the vessel wall. We first calculate the tangential velocity at the integration points near the wall surface, and then numerically evaluate the velocity gradient  $\frac{\partial \vec{v}_t}{\partial \vec{n}}$ ; finally, we obtained 3 components ( $\tau_x, \tau_y, \tau_z$ ) of the wall shear stress vector  $\vec{\tau}$  by multiple the velocity gradient by the viscosity coefficient  $\mu$ . The effective value of the wall shear stress  $\tau_{eff}$  at the finite element mesh nodes on the surface was calculated as  $\tau_{eff} = \sqrt{\tau_x^2 + \tau_y^2 + \tau_z^2}$ .

### Computational flow dynamics

The numerical model was developed using custom code using the C++ object oriented programming language and OpenGL graphic library [32,34-36]. The brick finite elements with 8 nodes used for our numerical simulation was more efficient than 4 node linear tetrahedral elements [37]. The code was validated using the analytical solution for shear stress and velocities through a straight tube [32,35]. The system of equations (1) was nonlinear due to the convective term and an unsymmetric Gaussian solver was implemented. An eight node finite element was employed with eight unknown velocities and constant pressure over the element which was recovered in the postprocessing calculation. Mesh independence was reached at 70,000 to 80,000 finite elements with error less than 0.1% for shear stress distribution. A smooth boundary surface was created to maintain a high resolution finite element mesh. For each calculation, a parallel version of the solver [38] required 2 hours on 10 parallel processors with 2 GB RAM.

### Statistical analysis

Significance estimates were based on multiple comparisons of paired data by Student-Newman-Keuls or Mann-Whitney test for non-parametric analysis of variance. The values for vessel and pillar orientation for each bifurcation were exported from MetaMorph and plotted in Excel 2007 (Microsoft, Redmond WA). Pearson correlations to the unamplified control were determined using Systat 12 statistical software (Chicago, IL). The significance level for the sample distribution was defined as  $P < .05$ .


## Results

### Spatial distribution of pillars

The spatial distribution of pillars in the maturing CAM, EDD 13-16, was assessed by intravital microscopy. Using digitally recombined time-series image stacks (>100 images), intravascular pillars were defined as intraluminal structures with no detectable plasma marker fluorescence. Mapping of the CAM microcirculation demonstrated that the pillars had varied shapes and were distributed over multiple generations of the vascular tree (Figure 2). The majority of pillars (83%) were located within one vessel diameter of a bifurcation. Based on spatial maps of 64.2 mm<sup>2</sup> of CAM in 41 different ex ovo cultures, the pillars comprised 0.02 to 0.5% of the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> order conducting vessels in 2-dimensional projection.

### Blood flow and pillar geometry

The relationship of pillar geometry to intravascular blood flow was analyzed by time-series intravital microscopy (Figure 3). Visual inspection of the pillar shape suggested that 1) narrow angle bifurcations were associated with elongated pillars, and 2) pillars were consistently oriented







parallel to the dominant vessel streamline. To quantify the relationship between vessel angle and pillar length, morphometric analysis of 84 bifurcations was performed. The bifurcation angle was generally inversely related to the length of the pillar suggesting a relationship with intraluminal blood flow ( $R = -0.47$ ,  $P < .001$ ; Figure 4A). To analyze this relationship in detail, the pillar axis relative to the bifurcating vessels was examined by digital morphometry. The axis of the dominant vessel at a bifur-

cation was arbitrarily assigned an orientation of 0 degrees. When the axis of the pillar was determined by morphometry, the pillar orientation closely mirrored the axis of the dominant vessel with an average variance of  $5.62 \pm 6.96$  degrees ( $p = .02$ ; Figure 4B). In contrast, the variance of the pillar orientation with the nondominant vessels was  $36.78 \pm 21.33$  degrees ( $p > .05$ ). Further, there was a trend for the longer pillars to more closely reflect the orientation of the flow stream (Figure 4B).

### Mechanical forces and pillar shape

The mechanical forces potentially shaping pillar geometry were studied by 3D computational flow modeling; convergent flow models were constructed to reflect the flow conditions observed in 78% (78/101) of bifurcations containing a pillar. In the wide-angle flow models (Figure 5), a region of low shear stress--less than  $0.5 \text{ dyn/cm}^2$ --was observed near the bifurcation (Figure 5B). This region of low shear stress persisted in models without the pillar (not shown). Neighboring these low shear stress regions were areas of higher shear stress indicating that the pillar was constrained, and potentially shaped, by these lateral forces (Figure 5B, inset). In the acute-angle flow models (Figure 6), a relatively low shear area--less than  $0.8 \text{ dyn/cm}^2$ --was demonstrated both upstream and downstream from the bifurcation (Figure 6B). Similar to the wide-angle models, the regions of low shear stress





persisted in models without an intravascular pillar (not shown). In contrast to wall shear stress, the distributions of flow velocity and pressure were not spatially related to pillar geometry (Figure 5C, D; Figure 6C, D).

### Mechanical forces and pillar induction

The effect of mechanical forces in shaping pillar geometry suggested a potential role in initiating pillar formation. Most pillars, particularly at vessel bifurcations, demonstrated only small changes in pillar geometry during culture. Occasionally, rapid development of intravascular pillars was observed; these pillars typically formed downstream of pre-existing pillars (Figure 7A, B). Flow modeling indicated that new pillars formed in regions of relatively low shear stress (Figure 7C)--a finding suggesting a permissive relationship between wall shear stress and pillar formation.

### Discussion


In this report, we studied the geometry of intraluminal tissue islands--here referred to as intravascular pillars--and their surrounding blood flow in the CAM. Intravital microscopy of vessel bifurcations demonstrated marked pillar anisotropy with pillars orienting along the stream-

lines of the dominant vessel. Mechanical forces potentially influencing pillar geometry were mapped using 3D computational simulations. Shear maps demonstrated that pillars were predictably constrained by regions of high wall shear stress. Further, the development of new pillars was limited to regions with low shear stress. We conclude that mechanical forces have both a limiting and permissive influence on pillar development in the CAM.

Defining the relationship between mechanical forces and blood flow is experimentally challenging. Focal structural changes can be difficult to recognize in 2D intravital imaging [33]. Definitive structure can be revealed by techniques such as corrosion casting and 3D scanning electron microscopy [39], but static imaging cannot provide a simultaneous assessment of blood flow. Global morphologic alterations, more readily identified by intravital microscopy, are confounded by the inter-relationship between blood flow and structure. In contrast, intravascular pillars provide a unique opportunity to assess both structure and blood flow. Pillars are discrete structures within the blood stream, relatively isolated from extravascular soluble tissue factors, that are not only identifiable by intravital 2D imaging, but amenable to detailed morphometric analysis. The pillars are lined with normal-appearing endothelium [23] suggesting a normal responsiveness to intraluminal flow fields.

Computational flow modeling provides important insights into flow-associated mechanical forces. The mechanical forces associated with blood flow include wall shear stress (the frictional force tangential to the vessel wall) and circumferential strain (a blood pressure-related force perpendicular to the direction of flow); wall shear stress being the dominant mechanical force in the smooth and continuous flow of the peripheral CAM microcirculation. Although wall shear stress cannot be directly measured, numerical simulations enable not only the calculation of wall shear stress, but the mapping of these mechanical forces to the vessel wall. The spatial relationships revealed by these maps provide important insights into the role of mechanical forces in shaping vessel structure and the contribution of mechanical forces in localized disease processes such as atherosclerosis.

Despite the value of a numerical analysis, there were also limitations of our computational approach. First, our computational simulation treated the blood as a Newtonian fluid and discounted the mechanical effects of blood cells. We have used a continuum approach in this study, because the chick chorioallantoic membrane has a blood cell concentration that is significantly lower, and more variable, than adults [40]. This observation suggests that the structural modification is relatively insensitive to blood cell concentration. An alternative to continuum modeling is a discretized approach such as discrete particle dynamics (DPD) [41-43]. We have successfully applied



DPD to the analysis of blood components in a parallel-plate flow chamber [44]; however, the computational demands of this promising technique currently preclude its application to the complex geometry of the CAM. Despite the theoretical limitations of a continuum approach, we suspect that our computational model closely approximates the distribution of forces in vivo.

A second limitation of our computational approach is that we do not consider extravascular tissue resistance. Since tissue viscoelasticity can neither be derived from first principles nor measured in vivo, our simplifying assumption is that the vessels function as rigid tubes. Our rationale is that we are modeling smooth and continuous flow in the peripheral extra-embryonic vascular network; not the pulsatile flow in the peri-embryonic vessels. Furthermore, we are not attempting to define the overall energetics of the extra-embryonic tissues, but rather describe the spatial distribution of the mechanical forces within the microvessels. The value of defining force distribution is that it can provide not only a mechanical explanation for structural changes, but a predictive map of endothelial changes. We anticipate that shear maps will facilitate metabolic and transcriptional studies both in vitro (e.g. flow chambers) and in vivo (e.g. laser capture microdissection).

The spatial relationship of pillar anisotropy and wall shear stress suggests that blood flow shapes pillar geometry. The pillar axis was almost uniformly oriented along the streamlines of the dominant vessel in a bifurcation. Consistent with these findings, Thoma observed more than 100 years ago the importance of the "stromrichtung" in determining vessel structure [5]. The relevance of wall shear stress in influencing pillar structure is underscored by the absence of any comparable spatial relationship: the pillars were oriented randomly with respect to 1) different levels of the microcirculation, 2) the longitudinal axis of the embryo, 3) the vitelline (omphalomesenteric) vessels of the yolk sac and 4) the flow streamlines of neighboring nondominant vessels. The strong spatial coincidence of the streamlines and wall shear stress suggests that mechanical forces shape intravascular pillars in the CAM. We speculate that this observation may reflect a more general influence of mechanical forces on all microvessel endothelium.

The influence of blood flow on the lining of CAM microvessels is consistent with many observations of endothelial cells in vitro and intravascular pillars in vivo. The orientation and elongation of the intravascular pillars observed in our study is consistent with the sensitivity of endothelial cells to the direction of flow. Our observations are compatible with the cytoskeletal rearrangement, lamellipodial protrusion and mechanotaxis observed in culture [19-21]. The influence of intravascular pillars is also consistent with the branch angle remodeling and vascular pruning observed in vivo [45]. In each

case, the elongation of the pillar likely reflects the dominant streamlines in the flow field. A notable exception is the observation of pillars in divergent flow streams [46]. In our study, new pillars were commonly observed at convergent flow streams or in locations "downstream" from pre-existing pillars. One explanation is simply sampling and/or technical limitations of our study. Another explanation is that pillars are typically formed at convergent bifurcations but are later observed at divergent flow streams after spontaneous flow reversal--an infrequent, but real, observation in the CAM microcirculation.

Because new pillars were not predictable from our shear maps, we suspect that mechanical forces have a permissive role in pillar development; that is, regions of low shear stress permit pillar development stimulated by other growth or developmental signals [47]. A diffusible endothelial activation signal provides one explanation for the vessel irregularities observed during pillar development. A competing hypothesis is that mechanical forces stimulate new pillar formation and may even initiate the related process of intussusceptive angiogenesis [48]. These are both intriguing and plausible possibilities that deserve further study.

### Authors' contribution

All authors participated in design and conception of the study. GL was lead investigator in the intravital microscopy assisted by LM and ML. BG and DS contributed data analysis and study design. Flow dynamics simulations were performed by NF and AK. MK performed the corrosion casting and 3D scanning electron microscopy. SM contributed to study design and manuscript preparation. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

### Abbreviations

2D: 2-dimensional; 3D: 3-dimensional; CAM: chorioallantoic membrane; EDD: embryonic development day; FEM: finite element model, FITC: fluorescein isothiocyanate

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Mr. Zarko Milosevic for his expert technical assistance. Supported in part by NIH Grant HL47078, HL75426 and HL94567

### Author Details

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Adaptive and Regenerative Biology, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Molecular and Integrative Physiological Sciences, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Institute of Functional and Clinical Anatomy, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Germany and <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

Received: 30 March 2010 Accepted: 7 July 2010

Published: 7 July 2010

### References

1. Jones EAV, le Noble F, Eichmann A: What determines blood vessel structure? Genetic prespecification vs. hemodynamics. *Physiology* 2006, **21**:388-395.



2. Pries AR, Secomb TW, Gaetgens P: **Structural adaptation and stability of microvascular networks: theory and simulations.** *Am J Physiol* 1998, **275**:H349-360.
3. Manner J, Seidl W, Steding G: **Formation of the cervical flexure: An experimental study on chick embryos.** *Acta Anat* 1995, **152**:1-10.
4. Wakimoto K, Kobayashi K, Kuro-o M, Yao A, Iwamoto T, Yanaka N, Kita S, Nishida A, Azuma S, Toyoda Y, et al.: **Targeted disruption of Na<sup>+</sup>/Ca<sup>2+</sup> exchanger gene leads to cardiomyocyte apoptosis and defects in heartbeat.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:36991-36998.
5. Thoma R: **Allgemeine histomechanik des Gefäßsystems.** In *Untersuchungen über die Histogenese und Histomechanik des Gefäßsystems* Stuttgart: Verlag; 1893:24-51.
6. Sabin FR: **Development of the primitive vessels of the chick and of the pig.** *Contributions to Embryology* 1917, **6**:63-96.
7. Romanoff AL: **The hematopoietic, vascular and lymphatic systems.** In *The avian embryo: structural and functional development* New York: Macmillan; 1960:571-678.
8. Pries AR, Secomb TW: **Modeling structural adaptation of microcirculation.** *Microcirculation* 2008, **15**:753-764.
9. Konerding MA, Turhan A, Ravnic DJ, Lin M, Fuchs C, Secomb TW, Tsuda A, Mentzer SJ: **Inflammation-induced intussusceptive angiogenesis in murine colitis.** *Anat Rec* 2010, **293**:849-857.
10. Ravnic DJ, Konerding MA, Tsuda A, Jiang X, Huss HT, Pratt JP, Mentzer SJ: **Structural adaptations in the murine colon microcirculation associated with hapten-induced inflammation.** *Gut* 2007, **56**:518-523.
11. Pries AR, Secomb TW: **Origins of heterogeneity in tissue perfusion and metabolism.** *Cardiovasc Res* 2009, **81**:328-335.
12. Gruionu G, Hoying JB, Pries AR, Secomb TW: **Structural remodeling of mouse gracilis artery after chronic alteration in blood supply.** *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2005, **288**:H2047-2054.
13. Skalak TC, Price RJ: **The role of mechanical stresses in microvascular remodeling.** *Microcirculation* 1996, **3**:143-165.
14. Nagel T, Resnick N, Dewey CF Jr, Gimbrone MA Jr: **Vascular endothelial cells respond to spatial gradients in fluid shear stress by enhanced activation of transcription factors.** *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999, **19**:1825-1834.
15. Chien S: **Mechanotransduction and endothelial cell homeostasis: the wisdom of the cell.** *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2007, **292**:H1209-1224.
16. Lehoux S, Castier Y, Tedgui A: **Molecular mechanisms of the vascular responses to haemodynamic forces.** *J Intern Med* 2006, **259**:381-392.
17. Rizzo V: **Lights, camera, actin! The cytoskeleton takes center stage in mechanotransduction. Focus on "Mapping the dynamics of shear stress-induced structural changes in endothelial cells".** *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2007, **293**:C1771-1772.
18. Patwari P, Lee RT: **Mechanical control of tissue morphogenesis.** *Circ Res* 2008, **103**:234-243.
19. Franke RP, Grafe M, Schnittler H, Seiffge D, Mittermayer C, Drenckhahn D: **Induction of human vascular endothelial stress fibers by fluid shear stress.** *Nature* 1984, **307**:648-649.
20. Li S, Butler P, Wang YX, Hu YL, Han DC, Usami S, Guan JL, Chien S: **The role of the dynamics of focal adhesion kinase in the mechanotaxis of endothelial cells.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002, **99**:3546-3551.
21. Walker GM, Sai JQ, Richmond A, Stremel M, Chung CY, Wikswo JP: **Effects of flow and diffusion on chemotaxis studies in a microfabricated gradient generator.** *Lab Chip* 2005, **5**:611-618.
22. Caduff JH, Fischer LC, Burri PH: **Scanning electron microscope study of the developing microvasculature in the postnatal rat lung.** *Anat Rec* 1986, **216**:154-164.
23. Djonov V, Schmid M, Tschanz SA, Burri PH: **Intussusceptive angiogenesis: its role in embryonic vascular network formation.** *Circ Res* 2000, **86**:286-292.
24. Tufan AC, Satiroglu-Tufan NL: **The chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane as a model system for the study of tumor angiogenesis, invasion and development of anti-angiogenic agents.** *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 2005, **5**:249-266.
25. Jones EA, Crotty D, Kulesa PM, Waters CW, Baron MH, Fraser SE, Dickinson ME: **Dynamic in vivo imaging of postimplantation mammalian embryos using whole embryo culture.** *Genesis* 2002, **34**:228-235.
26. Ravnic DJ, Zhang YZ, Turhan A, Tsuda A, Pratt JP, Huss HT, Mentzer SJ: **Biological and optical properties of fluorescent nanoparticles developed for intravascular imaging.** *Microsc Res Tech* 2007, **70**:776-781.
27. Turhan A, Konerding MA, Tsuda A, Ravnic DJ, Hanidizar D, Lin MY, Mentzer SJ: **Bridging mucosal vessels associated with rhythmically oscillating blood flow in murine colitis.** *Anat Rec* 2007, **291**:74-92.
28. Ravnic DJ, Tsuda A, Turhan A, Zhang YZ, Pratt JP, Huss HT, Mentzer SJ: **Multi-frame particle tracking in intravital imaging: defining lagrangian coordinates in the microcirculation.** *BioTechniques* 2006, **41**:597-601.
29. Thomas JB, Antiga L, Che SL, Milner JS, Steinman DA, Spence JD, Rutt BK: **Variation in the carotid bifurcation geometry of young versus older adults: implications for geometric risk of atherosclerosis.** *Stroke* 2005, **36**:2450-2456.
30. Filipovic N, Tsuda A, Lee GS, Miele L, Lin M, Konerding MA, Mentzer SJ: **Computational flow dynamics in a geometric model of intussusceptive angiogenesis.** *Microvasc Res* 2009, **78**:286-293.
31. Santa-Cruz D, Ebrahimi T: **Coding of 3D virtual objects with NURBS.** *Signal Processing* 2002, **82**:1581-1593.
32. Filipovic N, Mijailovic S, Tsuda A, Kojic M: **An implicit algorithm within the arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian formulation for solving incompressible fluid flow with large boundary motions.** *Comp Methods Appl Mech Eng* 2006, **195**:6347-6361.
33. Secomb TW, Konerding MA, West CA, Su M, Young AJ, Mentzer SJ: **Microangioectasias: structural regulators of lymphocyte transmigration.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003, **100**:7231-7234.
34. Kojic M, Filipovic N, Tsuda A: **A mesoscopic bridging scale method for fluids and coupling dissipative particle dynamics with continuum finite element method.** *Comp Methods Appl Mech Eng* 2008, **197**:821-833.
35. Kojic M, Filipovic N, B S, N K: *Computer modeling in bioengineering: Theoretical Background, Examples and Software* Chichester, England: John Wiley and Sons; 2008.
36. Filipovic ND, Mijailovic S, Tsuda A, Kojic M: **An implicit algorithm within the arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian formulation for solving incompressible fluid flow with large boundary motions.** *Comp Meth Appl Mech Engng* 2003, **195**:6347-6361.
37. Polverelli P, Pinkernell D: **Procedures for cooling water flow simulation in MAN B&W medium-speed Diesel engines (Editor ed. Aeds).** In *Book Procedures for cooling water flow simulation in MAN B&W medium-speed Diesel engines* City; 2002.
38. Filipovic N, Kojic M, Ivanovic M, Tsuda A: **Blood flow and thrombosis modeling in large arteries. Parallel blood flow simulation PBFS (Editor ed. Aeds).** In *Book Blood flow and thrombosis modeling in large arteries. Parallel blood flow simulation PBFS* City; 2007.
39. Dimitropoulou C, Malkusch W, Fait E, Maragoudakis ME, Konerding MA: **The vascular architecture of the chick chorioallantoic membrane: sequential quantitative evaluation using corrosion casting.** *Angiogenesis* 1998, **2**:255-263.
40. Baumann R, Padeken S, Haller EA, Brillmayer T: **Effects of hypoxia on oxygen-affinity, hemoglobin pattern, and blood-volume of early chicken embryos.** *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 1983, **244**:R733-R741.
41. Filipovic N, Kojic M, Tsuda A: **Modelling thrombosis using dissipative particle dynamics method.** *Philos Trans R Soc A-Math Phys Eng Sci* 2008, **366**:3265-3279.
42. Filipovic N, Haber S, Kojic M, Tsuda A: **Dissipative particle dynamics simulation of flow generated by two rotating concentric cylinders. II. Lateral dissipative and random forces.** *J Phys D: Appl Phys* 2008, **41**:035504.
43. Haber S, Filipovic ND, Kojic M, Tsuda A: **Dissipative particle dynamics simulation of flow generated by two rotating concentric cylinders. Part I: Boundary conditions.** *Phys Rev E* 2006, **74**:046701.
44. Filipovic N, Ravnic D, Kojic M, Mentzer SJ, Haber S, Tsuda A: **Interactions of blood cell constituents: experimental investigation and computational modeling by discrete particle dynamics algorithm.** *Microvasc Res* 2008, **75**:279-284.
45. Burri PH, Hlushchuk R, Djonov V: **Intussusceptive angiogenesis: its emergence, its characteristics, and its significance.** *Dev Dyn* 2004, **231**:474-488.
46. Kurz H, Burri PH, Djonov VG: **Angiogenesis and vascular remodeling by intussusception: from form to function.** *News Physiol Sci* 2003, **18**:65-70.
47. Secomb TW: **Theoretical models for regulation of blood flow.** *Microcirculation* 2008, **15**:765-775.
48. Djonov VG, Kurz H, Burri PH: **Optimality in the developing vascular system: branching remodeling by means of intussusception as an efficient adaptation mechanism.** *Dev Dyn* 2002, **224**:391-402.

doi: 10.1186/2040-2384-2-11

**Cite this article as:** Lee et al., Blood flow shapes intravascular pillar geometry in the chick chorioallantoic membrane *Journal of Angiogenesis Research* 2010, **2**:11