

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Trends Endocrinol Metab. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2010 August ; 21(8): 464–472. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2010.03.004.

Neonatal Diabetes Mellitus: A Model for Personalized Medicine

Siri Atma W. Greeley1, **Susan E. Tucker**2, **Rochelle N. Naylor**1, **Graeme I. Bell**2, and **Louis H. Philipson**1,2

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, 5841 S Maryland Ave, MC 1027, Chicago, IL 60637

²Department of Medicine, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, 5841 S Maryland Ave, MC 1027, Chicago, IL 60637

Abstract

Neonatal diabetes mellitus occurs in approximately 1 out of every 100,000 live births. It can be either permanent or transient, and recent studies indicate that is likely to have an underlying genetic cause, particularly when diagnosed before 6 months of age. Permanent neonatal diabetes is most commonly due to activating mutations in either of the genes encoding the two subunits of the ATP-sensitive potassium channel. In most of these patients, switching from insulin to oral sulfonylurea therapy leads to improved metabolic control, as well as possible amelioration of occasional associated neurodevelopmental disabilities. It remains to be determined what is the most appropriate treatment of other causes. The diagnosis and treatment of neonatal diabetes, therefore, represents a model for personalized medicine.

Monogenic diabetes: an opportunity to elucidate beta-cell function

Diabetes mellitus is a heterogeneous group of disorders that can present from birth to old age (Box 1). The most common forms, type 1 and type 2 diabetes, are polygenic in origin, whereas neonatal diabetes mellitus (NDM) and maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY) are likely to have a monogenic cause (Table 1). The monogenic forms of diabetes, once thought to be rare, may represent as much as 1-2% of all cases of diabetes [1] and are primary genetic disorders of the insulin-secreting pancreatic β-cell [2]. These monogenic forms offer a unique opportunity for using genetics to improve the care and treatment of patients with diabetes [3].

Clinical and molecular heterogeneity in neonatal diabetes

Diabetes in neonates and infants has been recognized since at least 1789 [4]. In 1955, Keidan reviewed 22 NDM cases reported subsequent to 1947, including 5 cases of transient NDM diagnosed before 6 weeks of age [5]. Prior to the advent of insulin therapy, these neonates did not survive. Even after increased understanding of the autoimmune nature of the most common form of childhood diabetes (type 1 diabetes) and the advent of autoantibody testing, treatment other than insulin was very rarely used, even if clinicians may have astutely suspected a distinct underlying etiology [6,7]. Indeed, NDM cases diagnosed before 6 months of age are usually autoantibody negative and have HLA types

Corresponding Author: Greeley, SAW (sgreeley@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

similar to the general population rather than HLA types known to be associated with type 1 diabetes that are found in those diagnosed at older ages [8,9].

A genetic etiology for NDM was first discovered in transient NDM (TNDM), most cases of which were found to be due to chromosomal abnormalities of chromosome 6q24, although the role of the two candidate genes implicated in this disorder (*PLAGL1/ZAC*, pleiomorphic adenoma gene-like 1/zinc finger protein that regulates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest; and *HYMAI*, hydatidiform mole–associated and imprinted transcript) remains poorly understood [10,11].

It was subsequently found that permanent NDM (PNDM) can result from recessively inherited mutations in the genes encoding the pancreatic transcription factor insulin promoter factor (*IPF1*, also with exocrine deficiency from pancreatic agenesis; OMIM 260370) [12-15], or the glycolytic enzyme glucokinase (*GCK*, causing diabetes in isolation), a key enzyme linking glucose metabolism to insulin secretion [16]. Other causes that are associated with pancreatic atrophy and/or extra-pancreatic features have been described, including mutations in *EIF2AK3* [17,18] (termed Wolcott-Rallison Syndrome; OMIM 226980), which is the most common autosomal recessive cause of PNDM, usually involving epiphyseal dysplasia and other features; *PTF1A* [19] characterized by autosomal recessive PNDM with cerebellar hypoplasia (OMIM 609069); *HNF1B* [20,21], a rare dominant cause of TNDM with renal anomalies (the same gene causes renal cysts and diabetes syndrome, OMIM 137920); *FOXP3* [22,23], which causes the X-linked severe autoimmune condition IPEX (Immunodysregulation, Polyendocrinopathy, Enteropathy, X-Linked; OMIM 304790) syndrome; and *GLIS3*, which involves congenital hypothyroidism and other features (OMIM 610199) [24].

In 2004, Gloyn and colleagues first reported NDM to be caused by activating dominantlyinherited mutations in *KCNJ11*, which encodes the Kir6.2 subunit of the ATP-sensitive potassium (KATP) channel [25]. Activating mutations in *ABCC8*, encoding the SUR1 subunit of KATP channel, were also reported to cause both PNDM and TNDM, similar to *KCNJ11* [26-31]. Studies of the mutant proteins *in vitro* suggested that it would be possible to treat NDM due to mutations in these two genes with oral sulfonylureas rather than insulin, due to their ability to block KATP channels. This notion was subsequently confirmed in case reports and small clinical series [32-43], culminating in the groundbreaking report in 2006 that definitively showed the benefit of such treatment [44].

Recent studies have shown that misfolding mutations in proinsulin can also cause PNDM [45]. Approximately 40% of NDM diagnosed before 6 months of age continues to have no currently identifiable cause, although some patients with a rare syndrome of pancreatic hypoplasia and intestinal atresias were recently found to carry recessive mutations in the pancreatic transcription factor *RFX6* [46]. Genetic testing now allows for a molecular diagnosis in a majority of cases of NDM with the hope for the discovery of new diabetes genes in the near future.

Sulfonylurea-responsive NDM: revolutionized care through molecular genetics

PNDM had been treated indistinguishably from type 1 diabetes until elucidation of the genetic basis of NDM and identification of patients with activating mutations in *KCNJ11* or *ABCC8*. This discovery led to the radically different and successful approach of oral sulfonylureas in lieu of insulin. Case reports [47-55] and series [56-59] have confirmed the efficacy of oral sulfonylureas, with the largest series of 49 subjects in whom sulfonylureas strikingly improved metabolic control in 90% of patients [44]. Not only is treatment with

oral agents significantly easier for families, but years later patients continue to maintain normal or near normal hemoglobin A1c levels [54,60,61]. Although some patients continue to limit carbohydrate intake, it appears that many with no specific dietary plan report only transient glucose elevations that normalize without further intervention [53,59,60]. To the best of our knowledge, there has not been a single episode of severe hypoglycemia (altered mental status and/or inability to assist in care [62]) in any patient, even in those taking very high doses (>2 mg/kg/day of glyburide). However, long-term monitoring of all cases will be critical for surveillance of possible rare side effects previously associated with sulfonylurea treatment, such as liver dysfunction, dermatologic reactions, pancytopenia, hyponatremia or increased cardiovascular mortality. Although any medication may bear the risk of very rare unpredictable effects, it is worth noting that the above adverse associations were seen in the treatment of adult type 2 diabetic patients who are likely to have other medical problems and take other medications. Still, NDM patients will initiate life-long treatment at a young age and at higher doses. Before sulfonylurea initiation, clinicians should thus have a thorough discussion of benefit and risk, including unknown possible effects, such as the recent observation of tooth discoloration [63].

The minority of patients who do not readily respond to sulfonylureas tend to be either older at the time of transition, or exhibit the most severe neurodevelopmental disability, termed DEND (developmental delay, epilepsy, neonatal diabetes) syndrome [44,49,53-55,59]. In a 2006 report, two out of the five patients who failed to transition completely off of insulin (out of 49 total) were adult parents carrying the same mutations as their children who did successfully transition to exclusive sulfonylurea therapy [44]. Mouse models support the hypothesis that a decline in functional β-cell mass occurs in the absence of sulfonylurea "rescue" of healthy β-cell function [64,65]. Patients with a severe DEND phenotype, in general, seem less likely to respond to sulfonylureas [66-68]. In contrast, those with the less severe intermediate DEND phenotype, such as from the V59M mutation, exhibit good responsiveness to sulfonylureas [32,44,52,59,69-72]. The unresponsiveness seen in severe DEND cases may reflect the degree of neuronal inhibition due to impaired closure of KATP channels, which would serve to make neurons expressing open KATP channels less excitable.

In our experience, most patients whether older or with neurological features show at least partial responsiveness to sulfonylureas as indicated by improved glucose levels on reduced insulin doses, although some require a high sulfonylurea dose or additional medications. Even if complete insulin independence cannot be achieved, potential improvement in metabolic control and neurocognitive function argue strongly for consideration of sulfonylurea treatment. There are many possible modifiable and/or familial factors, such as obesity and diet, that could contribute to sulfonylurea resistance. Anecdotal reports suggest benefit from additional oral agents such as dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors but larger trials are required to confirm their efficacy. Registries will facilitate such studies.

Since sulfonylureas would be expected to maintain closure of KATP channels, it is remarkable how highly regulated insulin secretion appears to be in relation to meals in treated patients. Indeed, sulfonylurea-treated cases exhibit robust responsiveness to oral glucose or mixed meal challenge, but a lesser degree of responsiveness to intravenous glucose [44]. Thus, sulfonylurea treatment seems to allow for the effect of various possible insulin secretion modulators that likely include incretin hormones; however, other glucoseresponsive β-cell elements, or a direct effect through "rescued" KATP channels cannot be excluded.

Impact of sulfonylurea treatment on neurodevelopmental disabilities

KATP channels are widely expressed, not only in β-cells but also in brain, muscle and other tissues, where they are also metabolically responsive; however, their function in these tissues remains incompletely understood [73-75]. Although the majority of KATP-related NDM is characterized by isolated NDM, given the expression of Kir6.2 and SUR1 in the brain [76,77], it is perhaps not surprising that relatively common intermediate DEND cases have variable motor, speech or cognitive delay, while very rare severe DEND cases exhibit dysmorphic features with significant neurological impairment and seizures [40,78]. The severity of features appears to be on a phenotypic spectrum that is at least partially correlated to genotype, though a number of as yet incompletely characterized factors lead to a high degree of variability even among patients with the same mutation. Among these variables could be the age of diagnosis and level of metabolic control of the diabetes, as hyperglycemic and hypoglycemic episodes may have independent effects on neurological function.

A number of case reports have demonstrated measurable improvement in the neurodevelopmental outcome in intermediate DEND subjects, including those with *KCNJ11* mutations V59M, G53D and H46L [48,51,52,59,69,71,79]. Patients of various ages with severe motor, speech and/or cognitive delay exhibited notable but variable improvement within months of treatment; however, none had complete resolution of their disabilities. Furthermore, one case of severe DEND including intractable seizures exhibited significant neurological improvement at especially high doses (2.3 mg/kg/day of glyburide/ glibenclamide compared to typical doses of $\langle 1 \rangle$ mg/kg/day in other NDM cases, and a maximal dose of approximately 0.3 mg/kg/day in type 2 diabetes) [80].

Sulfonylurea treatment should be considered in all cases of KATP-related NDM and could arguably be continued in cases with neurodevelopmental impairment even if little reduction in insulin dose is achieved. Furthermore, since no data are available on the efficiency with which glyburide crosses the blood-brain barrier, the dose in such cases should perhaps be maximized unless significant hypoglycemia occurs. It is important to note that many factors (such as time, age at diabetes diagnosis, level of diabetes control, age at sulfonylurea initiation) may influence neurodevelopmental outcome, and negative consequences of sulfonylurea therapy are possible. Thus, such treatment should be done under careful monitoring, preferably in the context of long-term outcome studies. In this regard, treatment of patients with DEND-associated mutations soon after birth will shed light on the relative importance of very early glyburide treatment in ameliorating or even preventing neurological sequelae. Improved outcomes may provide a rationale for empiric sulfonylurea therapy, especially if there is any possibility of delay in obtaining the necessary genetic diagnosis; however, this should be considered with caution as NDM caused by *INS* mutations might be worsened by sulfonylurea therapy.

Although much attention has appropriately been focused on those patients with more obvious DEND-like impairment, it is becoming apparent that even patients with common "mild" mutations (such as R201H) may exhibit subtle problems, such as learning disorders [53]. Whether these difficulties are related to brain expression of mutated channels will become clear only through careful assessment of all patients with KATP-related NDM, to determine whether a significant percentage of patients are affected and whether improvement is observed following sulfonylurea treatment.

TNDM: a spectrum of defects cause transient neonatal, relapsing, or later onset diabetes

TNDM is most commonly (70%) due to over-expression of paternally expressed imprinted genes, including *PLAGL1* and *HYMAI*, as a consequence of paternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 6q24 (UPD6), paternal duplication of 6q24 or loss of maternal methylation [11,81]. Poor *in utero* growth, presumably resulting from insulin deficiency, leads to intrauterine growth retardation and admission to neonatology intensive care units, which is likely related to their very early diagnosis (first few days of life) compared to other causes of NDM. Despite their dramatic presentation, 6q24-related TNDM cases tend to need lower replacement doses of insulin, and the condition remits spontaneously within the first year or so of life, usually within the first few months [82,83]. Subsequently, an uncertain but estimated 2/3 of patients experience relapse of diabetes around the time of adolescence, though the reasons for the recurrence and why only in some individuals, are poorly understood, with very few patients followed longitudinally. Numerous lines of evidence suggest that β-cell mass normally expands in the postnatal period [84,85]. Although β-cell function is likely impaired in 6q24-related TNDM, it may be that neonatal β-cell expansion accounts for the early remission. Such a mechanism appears to be supported by a mouse model of the disorder in which euglycemia occurs after β-cell numbers increase; however, βcell development, not just function, also seems to be impaired [86]. In the few patients who have been studied, β-cell function as assessed by intravenous glucose tolerance testing appears to be on the lower end of normal during the remission phase [87]. Subsequent relapse may be a consequence of physiologic or acquired decrease in insulin sensitivity to which the β -cells in these patients cannot appropriately respond [88]. A single case after relapse exhibited a ready response to lower-dose monotherapy with sulfonylureas [89]. However, most cases have been treated with insulin, presumably either because of misdiagnosis as type 1 diabetes, or because other non-insulin therapies are not approved for use in children. As such, the most appropriate treatment has yet to be defined.

Thirty percent of TNDM cases have mutations in *ABCC8*, or less often *KCNJ11*, with these mutations having less effect of KATP channel function than those causing PNDM. Moreover, patients within families carrying the same mutation can exhibit an impressive heterogeneity of phenotype, including TNDM, relapsing diabetes or onset of mild diabetes much later in life without any apparent neonatal phase [26,30,31,36,55,59,90-92]. In our experience, patients with KATP-TNDM during the remission phase maintain a subclinical degree of metabolic impairment that is unmasked in times of stress or illness, consistent with underlying mild β-cell dysfunction. Cases with onset of diabetes only later in life (a MODYlike phenotype) have invariably only been recognized because of another family member with TNDM, although they may have experienced a subclinical degree of neonatal hyperglycemia that went unrecognized.

Neonatal and infancy-onset diabetes caused by mutations in the insulin gene

Genetic diagnosis has the potential to optimize treatment in NDM (Box 2). Increased awareness of the potential of genetic testing has resulted in frequent inquiries from parents (more often than physicians) about genetic testing and enrollment in registries (particularly in the US) [59]. After screening for *KCNJ11* and *ABCC8* mutations, however, a large fraction of patients remained with early diagnosis of diabetes but no underlying genetic cause. Identification of mutations in the insulin gene (*INS*) as a common cause of PNDM further decreased the fraction of cases with an unknown etiology [45]. The initial report also showed that *INS* mutations could be found in patients diagnosed with NDM after the age of

six months, thus extending the age under which screening for monogenic causes of diabetes should be considered. Further studies extended the phenotype to include rare cases of MODY or type 1B (autoantibody negative) diabetes, with variable early childhood onset as late as 2 to 6 years of age [93-99]. Recently, rare recessively-inherited mutations reducing insulin biosynthesis were discovered to cause PNDM and TNDM [100].

The *INS* mutations causing PNDM tend to affect either directly or indirectly cysteine residues critical for disulfide bridge formation, suggesting that proinsulin misfolding disrupts protein processing and secretion and leads to activation of ER stress [45,98,101,102]. In fact, the C96Y mutation in human patients is also found in the Akita non-obese mouse model of diabetes [85]. Both the Akita and Munich mouse models of insulin-related diabetes show β-cell activation of the unfolded protein response and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress [103,104]. A better understanding of the effects of misfolded proinsulin proteins on β-cell function may lead to future improved therapy [105]. Although genetic diagnosis does not currently alter treatment in these patients, they still benefit from correct diagnosis for the purpose of genetic counseling.

Conclusions and future considerations

Neonatal diabetes is a paradigm for the application of genetics for the diagnosis and treatment of other metabolic diseases, eventually including common forms of diabetes such as type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Genetic studies have greatly improved our understanding of the causes and pathophysiology of NDM, leading to improved treatment in many cases (Box 3). Further studies (Box 4) are needed to document the incidence, prevalence and natural history of the various forms of NDM, the risk for specific complications, the long-term effectiveness of sulfonylurea treatment in responsive cases, and possible treatment alternatives in non-KATP-related NDM. Patient registries will not only facilitate these studies, but they will also allow for patient and clinician support, genetic counseling and screening of family members, as well as novel opportunities for multidisciplinary efforts, including ethical and policy considerations regarding genetic testing and use of novel therapies in vulnerable populations.

Box 1. Distinguishing between diabetes types not secondary to other conditions

Type 1 diabetes: Typical onset in childhood/adolescence, rarely in infancy; autoimmune destruction of insulin-producing islets characterized by positive autoantibodies; polygenic disorder in which specific HLA haplotypes ascribe the highest risk.

Type 2 diabetes: Onset at older age, though occurring with increasing frequency in adolescent youth due to increasing obesity; characterized by relative insulin deficiency resulting from a combination of excessive obesity-related insulin resistance and a defective ability of β-cells to compensate; a complex polygenic disorder.

Monogenic diabetes: Two main clinical phenotypes are suggestive of monogenic diabetes: 1) Onset in neonates/infants; and 2) Onset of autoantibody-negative (e.g., anti-GAD65, anti-IA2, anti-insulin) diabetes in adolescence/early adulthood with diabetes often present in multiple generations. More rarely, recessive causes may also occur and often have other features as part of a syndrome (Box 2).

Box 2. When should testing for monogenic diabetes be considered?

• In any patient diagnosed with diabetes before 6 months of age:

If isolated diabetes ± neurodevelopmental disabilities: *KCNJ11, INS, ABCC8. GCK* may also be considered for rare compound heterozygous cases, especially if any family history of diabetes. Include testing of 6q24 if TNDM or still in neonatal phase before possible remission, especially if very low birth weight.

If any syndromic characteristics or suspected consanguinity: *GCK, IPF1, INS, PTF1A, EI2AK3, FOXP3*, and *GLIS3*, or if later onset *WFS1* (OMIM 606201), *DCAF17* (*C2orf37*, OMIM 241080), *TRMA* (OMIM 249270) and mitochondrial 3243A>G (OMIM 520000). Clinical features pointing to a diagnosis in the neonatal period could include symptoms of exocrine insufficiency such as diarrhea/malabsorption (*FOXP3* or *IPF1*, fecal fat or elastase may be helpful, and ultrasound may reveal pancreatic hypoplasia seen with *IPF1* or unknown causes), neurological dysfunction (*PTF1A*), liver or kidney dysfunction or epiphyseal dysplasia (*EIF2AK3*), or hypothyroidism (*GLIS3, EIF2AK3* or *FOXP3*). Other as yet unknown causes may involve intestinal atresia or cardiac defects.

- **•** If between 6-12 months of age at diagnosis or older but antibody-negative or autosomal dominant family history: *INS* or other causes may be considered, preferably in the context of research studies investigating less likely but still possible monogenic causes.
- **•** In cases with a MODY phenotype: significant family history of diabetes mellitus at younger ages, or if atypical for type 1 or type 2 diabetes, even in isolated cases (e.g., "type 1 diabetes" that is autoantibody-negative or requires a low dose of insulin even after more than a year of treatment, or "type 2 diabetes" without expected features suggestive of insulin resistance or in those who are normal weight): *HNF1A, HNF4A, GCK, HNF1B* (especially if renal cysts/pathology; analysis for deletions missed by standard sequencing should also be considered), *INS*, and rarely *IPF1, NEUROD1*, or *CEL*. Since family members of NDM probands can exhibit diabetes later in life without a neonatal phase, *ABCC8, KCNJ11* or even and arguably 6q24 could be considered, although usually at least one family member might be expected to have a history of TNDM.
- **•** In patients exhibiting consistent mild elevation of glucose levels (that may have been discovered incidentally and may be relatively unresponsive to treatment): *GCK*.

Box 3. Internet resources for information on neonatal and monogenic diabetes

• For Registries and testing on a research basis:

US Neonatal Diabetes Mellitus Registry:<http://NeonatalDiabetes.org>

UK registry in Exeter: <http://DiabetesGenes.org>

Many other well-established national registries can be found in countries around the world, including Austria, France, Israel, Italy, Japan, Norway, Slovakia, Spain, Poland, and others.

JDRF: <http://MonogenicDiabetes.org>

NIH:<http://diabetes.niddk.nih.gov/dm/pubs/mody/>

For more information and CLIA-certified commercial testing:<http://GeneTests.org>

Other resources:<http://www.DiabetesTrialNet.org>;<http://ClinicalTrials.gov>

Box 4. Outstanding Questions

- **•** Will earlier recognition and diagnosis of KATP-NDM lead to improved metabolic and neurodevelopmental outcome, and to what extent will early sulfonylurea treatment prevent neurodevelopmental disability?
- **•** What is the best therapy for other forms of NDM?
- **•** Should all newly diagnosed NDM patients be given an empiric trial on glyburide while awaiting results of necessary genetic testing?
- **•** How many other genetic causes of NDM remain to be discovered?
- **•** Will common variants in the genes responsible for NDM affect risk of type 1 or type 2 diabetes?
- What will be the cost-utility of screening for monogenic diabetes, either in those with early onset diabetes, or possibly in the population?

References

- 1. Eide SA, et al. Prevalence of *HNF1A* (MODY3) mutations in a Norwegian population (the HUNT2 Study). Diabet Med 2008;25:775–781. [PubMed: 18513305]
- 2. Murphy R, et al. Clinical implications of a molecular genetic classification of monogenic beta-cell diabetes. Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab 2008;4:200–213. [PubMed: 18301398]
- 3. Hattersley A, et al. The diagnosis and management of monogenic diabetes in children and adolescents. Pediatr Diabetes 2009;10 12:33–42. [PubMed: 19754616]
- 4. Schwartzman J, et al. Diabetes mellitus in infants under one year of age; report of a case and review of the literature. Am J Dis Child 1947;74:587–606. [PubMed: 18918280]
- 5. Keidan SE. Transient diabetes in infancy. Arch Dis Child 1955;30:291–296. [PubMed: 14388800]
- 6. Kuna P, Addy DP. Transient neonatal diabetes mellitus. Treatment with chlorpropamide. Am J Dis Child 1979;133:65–66. [PubMed: 760514]
- 7. Nielsen F. Transient neonatal diabetes mellitus in a pair of twins. Acta Paediatr Scand 1989;78:469– 472. [PubMed: 2741692]
- 8. Marquis E, et al. HLA-DRB1 and DQB1 genotypes in patients with insulin-dependent neonatal diabetes mellitus. A study of 13 cases. Tissue Antigens 2000;56:217–222. [PubMed: 11034557]
- 9. Edghill EL, et al. HLA Genotyping Supports a Nonautoimmune Etiology in Patients Diagnosed With Diabetes Under the Age of 6 Months. Diabetes 2006;55:1895–1898. [PubMed: 16731860]
- 10. Temple IK, et al. An imprinted gene(s) for diabetes? Nat Genet 1995;9:110–112. [PubMed: 7719335]
- 11. Mackay DJ, et al. Relaxation of imprinted expression of ZAC and HYMAI in a patient with transient neonatal diabetes mellitus. Hum Genet 2002;110:139–144. [PubMed: 11935319]
- 12. Stoffers DA, et al. Pancreatic agenesis attributable to a single nucleotide deletion in the human *IPF1* gene coding sequence. Nat Genet 1997;15:106–110. [PubMed: 8988180]
- 13. Schwitzgebel VM, et al. Agenesis of human pancreas due to decreased half-life of insulin promoter factor 1. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2003;88:4398–4406. [PubMed: 12970316]
- 14. Thomas IH, et al. Neonatal diabetes mellitus with pancreatic agenesis in an infant with homozygous *IPF-1* Pro63fsX60 mutation. Pediatr Diabetes 2009;10:492–496. [PubMed: 19496967]
- 15. Nicolino M, et al. A novel hypomorphic *PDX1* mutation responsible for permanent neonatal diabetes with subclinical exocrine deficiency. Diabetes 2010;59:733–740. [PubMed: 20009086]

- 16. Njolstad PR, et al. Neonatal diabetes mellitus due to complete glucokinase deficiency. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1588–1592. [PubMed: 11372010]
- 17. Delepine M, et al. *EIF2AK3*, encoding translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 3, is mutated in patients with Wolcott-Rallison syndrome. Nat Genet 2000;25:406–409. [PubMed: 10932183]
- 18. Rubio-Cabezas O, et al. Wolcott-Rallison Syndrome Is the Most Common Genetic Cause of Permanent Neonatal Diabetes in Consanguineous Families. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:4162–4170. [PubMed: 19837917]
- 19. Sellick GS, et al. Mutations in *PTF1A* cause pancreatic and cerebellar agenesis. Nat Genet 2004;36:1301–1305. [PubMed: 15543146]
- 20. Edghill EL, et al. Hepatocyte nuclear factor-1 beta mutations cause neonatal diabetes and intrauterine growth retardation: support for a critical role of HNF-1beta in human pancreatic development. Diabet Med 2006;23:1301–1306. [PubMed: 17116179]
- 21. Yorifuji T, et al. Neonatal diabetes mellitus and neonatal polycystic, dysplastic kidneys: Phenotypically discordant recurrence of a mutation in the hepatocyte nuclear factor-1beta gene due to germline mosaicism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:2905–2908. [PubMed: 15181075]
- 22. Rubio-Cabezas O, et al. Clinical heterogeneity in patients with *FOXP3* mutations presenting with permanent neonatal diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:111–116. [PubMed: 18931102]
- 23. Wildin RS, et al. X-linked neonatal diabetes mellitus, enteropathy and endocrinopathy syndrome is the human equivalent of mouse *scurfy*. Nat Genet 2001;27:18–20. [PubMed: 11137992]
- 24. Senee V, et al. Mutations in *GLIS3* are responsible for a rare syndrome with neonatal diabetes mellitus and congenital hypothyroidism. Nat Genet 2006;38:682–687. [PubMed: 16715098]
- 25. Gloyn AL, et al. Activating mutations in the gene encoding the ATP-sensitive potassium-channel subunit Kir6.2 and permanent neonatal diabetes. N Engl J Med 2004;350:1838–1849. [PubMed: 15115830]
- 26. Babenko AP, et al. Activating mutations in the *ABCC8* gene in neonatal diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 2006;355:456–466. [PubMed: 16885549]
- 27. Proks P, et al. A heterozygous activating mutation in the sulphonylurea receptor SUR1 (*ABCC8*) causes neonatal diabetes. Hum Mol Genet 2006;15:1793–1800. [PubMed: 16613899]
- 28. Ellard S, et al. Permanent neonatal diabetes caused by dominant, recessive, or compound heterozygous SUR1 mutations with opposite functional effects. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:375– 382. [PubMed: 17668386]
- 29. Flanagan SE, et al. Mutations in ATP-sensitive K+ channel genes cause transient neonatal diabetes and permanent diabetes in childhood or adulthood. Diabetes 2007;56:1930–1937. [PubMed: 17446535]
- 30. Vaxillaire M, et al. New *ABCC8* mutations in Relapsing Neonatal Diabetes and Clinical Features. Diabetes 2007;56:1737–1741. [PubMed: 17389331]
- 31. de Wet H, et al. A mutation (R826W) in nucleotide-binding domain 1 of *ABCC8* reduces ATPase activity and causes transient neonatal diabetes. EMBO Rep 2008;9:648–654. [PubMed: 18497752]
- 32. Sagen JV, et al. Permanent neonatal diabetes due to mutations in *KCNJ11* encoding Kir6.2: patient characteristics and initial response to sulfonylurea therapy. Diabetes 2004;53:2713–2718. [PubMed: 15448106]
- 33. Vaxillaire M, et al. Kir6.2 mutations are a common cause of permanent neonatal diabetes in a large cohort of French patients. Diabetes 2004;53:2719–2722. [PubMed: 15448107]
- 34. Zung A, et al. Glibenclamide treatment in permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus due to an activating mutation in Kir6.2. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:5504–5507. [PubMed: 15531505]
- 35. Codner E, et al. High-dose glibenclamide can replace insulin therapy despite transitory diarrhea in early-onset diabetes caused by a novel R201L Kir6.2 mutation. Diabetes Care 2005;28:758–759. [PubMed: 15735229]
- 36. Gloyn AL, et al. Relapsing diabetes can result from moderately activating mutations in *KCNJ11*. Hum Mol Genet 2005;14:925–934. [PubMed: 15718250]
- 37. Klupa T, et al. The identification of a R201H mutation in *KCNJ11*, which encodes Kir6.2, and successful transfer to sustained-release sulphonylurea therapy in a subject with neonatal diabetes: evidence for heterogeneity of beta cell function among carriers of the R201H mutation. Diabetologia 2005;48:1029–1031. [PubMed: 15838686]

- 38. Massa O, et al. *KCNJ11* activating mutations in Italian patients with permanent neonatal diabetes. Hum Mutat 2005;25:22–27. [PubMed: 15580558]
- 39. Flanagan SE, et al. Mutations in *KCNJ11*, which encodes Kir6.2, are a common cause of diabetes diagnosed in the first 6 months of life, with the phenotype determined by genotype. Diabetologia 2006;49:1190–1197. [PubMed: 16609879]
- 40. Gloyn AL, et al. *KCNJ11* activating mutations are associated with developmental delay, epilepsy and neonatal diabetes syndrome and other neurological features. Eur J Hum Genet 2006;14:824– 830. [PubMed: 16670688]
- 41. Yorifuji T, et al. The C42R mutation in the Kir6.2 (*KCNJ11*) gene as a cause of transient neonatal diabetes, childhood diabetes, or later-onset, apparently type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:3174–3178. [PubMed: 15784703]
- 42. Tonini G, et al. Sulfonylurea treatment outweighs insulin therapy in short-term metabolic control of patients with permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus due to activating mutations of the *KCNJ11* (KIR6.2) gene. Diabetologia 2006;49:2210–2213. [PubMed: 16816952]
- 43. Hathout E, et al. Treatment of hyperglycemia in a 7-year-old child diagnosed with neonatal diabetes. Diabetes Care 2006;29:1458. [PubMed: 16732049]
- 44. Pearson ER, et al. Switching from insulin to oral sulfonylureas in patients with diabetes due to Kir6.2 mutations. N Engl J Med 2006;355:467–477. [PubMed: 16885550]
- 45. Stoy J, et al. Insulin gene mutations as a cause of permanent neonatal diabetes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:15040–15044. [PubMed: 17855560]
- 46. Smith SB, et al. Rfx6 directs islet formation and insulin production in mice and humans. Nature 2010;463:775–780. [PubMed: 20148032]
- 47. Chan YM, Laffel LM. Transition from insulin to glyburide in a 4-month-old girl with neonatal diabetes mellitus caused by a mutation in *KCNJ11*. Pediatr Diabetes 2007;8:235–238. [PubMed: 17659066]
- 48. Gurgel LC, et al. Sulfonylrea treatment in permanent neonatal diabetes due to G53D mutation in the *KCNJ11* gene: improvement in glycemic control and neurological function. Diabetes Care 2007;30:e108. [PubMed: 17965292]
- 49. Malecki MT, et al. Transfer to sulphonylurea therapy in adult subjects with permanent neonatal diabetes due to *KCNJ11*-activating [corrected] mutations: evidence for improvement in insulin sensitivity. Diabetes Care 2007;30:147–149. [PubMed: 17192350]
- 50. Bremer AA, et al. Outpatient transition of an infant with permanent neonatal diabetes due to a *KCNJ11* activating mutation from subcutaneous insulin to oral glyburide. Pediatr Diabetes 2008;9:236–239. [PubMed: 18221420]
- 51. Koster JC, et al. The G53D mutation in Kir6.2 (*KCNJ11*) is associated with neonatal diabetes and motor dysfunction in adulthood that is improved with sulfonylurea therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:1054–1061. [PubMed: 18073297]
- 52. Mohamadi A, et al. Medical and developmental impact of transition from subcutaneous insulin to oral glyburide in a 15-yr-old boy with neonatal diabetes mellitus and intermediate DEND syndrome: extending the age of *KCNJ11* mutation testing in neonatal DM. Pediatr Diabetes. 2009 Epub: July 21, 2009. 10.1111/j.1399-5448.2009.00548.x
- 53. Monaghan MC, et al. Case Study: Transitioning From Insulin to Glyburide in Permanent Neonatal Diabetes: Medical and Psychosocial Challenges in an 18-Year-Old Male. Clinical Diabetes 2009;27:25–29.
- 54. Wambach JA, et al. Successful sulfonylurea treatment of an insulin-naive neonate with diabetes mellitus due to a *KCNJ11* mutation. Pediatr Diabetes. 2009 Epub: July 29, 2009. 10.1111/j. 1399-5448.2009.00557.x
- 55. Landau Z, et al. Sulfonylurea-responsive diabetes in childhood. J Pediatr 2007;150:553–555. [PubMed: 17452235]
- 56. Stanik J, et al. Prevalence of permanent neonatal diabetes in Slovakia and successful replacement of insulin with sulfonylurea therapy in *KCNJ11* and *ABCC8* mutation carriers. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007;92:1276–1282. [PubMed: 17213273]
- 57. Rica I, et al. The majority of cases of neonatal diabetes in Spain can be explained by known genetic abnormalities. Diabet Med 2007;24:707–713. [PubMed: 17490422]

- 58. Suzuki S, et al. Molecular basis of neonatal diabetes in Japanese patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007;92:3979–3985. [PubMed: 17635943]
- 59. Stoy J, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of neonatal diabetes: a United States experience. Pediatr Diabetes 2008;9:450–459. [PubMed: 18662362]
- 60. Begum-Hasan J, et al. Familial permanent neonatal diabetes with *KCNJ11* mutation and the response to glyburide therapy--a three-year follow-up. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2008;21:895– 903. [PubMed: 18924582]
- 61. Klupa T, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in permanent neonatal diabetes due to Kir6.2 gene mutations: the results of a minimum 2-year follow-up after the transfer from insulin to sulphonylurea. Diabet Med 2009;26:663–664. [PubMed: 19538247]
- 62. Clarke W, et al. Assessment and management of hypoglycemia in children and adolescents with diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes 2009;10 12:134–145. [PubMed: 19754624]
- 63. Kumaraguru J, et al. Tooth discoloration in patients with neonatal diabetes after transfer onto glibenclamide: a previously unreported side effect. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1428–1430. [PubMed: 19435956]
- 64. Girard CA, et al. Expression of an activating mutation in the gene encoding the KATP channel subunit Kir6.2 in mouse pancreatic beta cells recapitulates neonatal diabetes. J Clin Invest 2009;119:80–90. [PubMed: 19065048]
- 65. Remedi MS, et al. Secondary consequences of beta cell inexcitability: identification and prevention in a murine model of K(ATP)-induced neonatal diabetes mellitus. Cell Metab 2009;9:140–151. [PubMed: 19187772]
- 66. Masia R, et al. An ATP-binding mutation (G334D) in *KCNJ11* is associated with a sulfonylureainsensitive form of developmental delay, epilepsy, and neonatal diabetes. Diabetes 2007;56:328– 336. [PubMed: 17259376]
- 67. Sumnik Z, et al. Sulphonylurea treatment does not improve psychomotor development in children with *KCNJ11* mutations causing permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus accompanied by developmental delay and epilepsy (DEND syndrome). Diabet Med 2007;24:1176–1178. [PubMed: 17888143]
- 68. Della Manna T, et al. Glibenclamide unresponsiveness in a Brazilian child with permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus and DEND syndrome due to a C166Y mutation in *KCNJ11* (Kir6.2) gene. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol 2008;52:1350–1355. [PubMed: 19169493]
- 69. Slingerland AS, et al. Improved motor development and good long-term glycaemic control with sulfonylurea treatment in a patient with the syndrome of intermediate developmental delay, earlyonset generalised epilepsy and neonatal diabetes associated with the V59M mutation in the *KCNJ11* gene. Diabetologia 2006;49:2559–2563. [PubMed: 17047922]
- 70. Kim MS, et al. Sulfonylurea therapy in two Korean patients with insulin-treated neonatal diabetes due to heterozygous mutations of the *KCNJ11* gene encoding Kir6.2. J Korean Med Sci 2007;22:616–620. [PubMed: 17728498]
- 71. Slingerland AS, et al. Sulphonylurea therapy improves cognition in a patient with the V59M *KCNJ11* mutation. Diabet Med 2008;25:277–281. [PubMed: 18307455]
- 72. Ting WH, et al. Improved diabetic control during oral sulfonylurea treatment in two children with permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2009;22:661–667. [PubMed: 19774848]
- 73. Seino S, Miki T. Physiological and pathophysiological roles of ATP-sensitive K+ channels. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology 2003;81:133–176. [PubMed: 12565699]
- 74. Shi NQ, et al. Function and distribution of the SUR isoforms and splice variants. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2005;39:51–60. [PubMed: 15978902]
- 75. Yokoshiki H, et al. ATP-sensitive K+ channels in pancreatic, cardiac, and vascular smooth muscle cells. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 1998;274:C25–37.
- 76. Karschin C, et al. Overlapping distribution of K(ATP) channel-forming Kir6.2 subunit and the sulfonylurea receptor SUR1 in rodent brain. FEBS Letters 1997;401:59–64. [PubMed: 9003806]
- 77. Bryan J, et al. *ABCC8* and *ABCC9*: ABC transporters that regulate K(+) channels. Pflugers Arch 2007;453:703–718. [PubMed: 16897043]

- 78. Hattersley AT, Ashcroft FM. Activating mutations in Kir6.2 and neonatal diabetes: new clinical syndromes, new scientific insights, and new therapy. Diabetes 2005;54:2503–2513. [PubMed: 16123337]
- 79. Mlynarski W, et al. Sulfonylurea improves CNS function in a case of intermediate DEND syndrome caused by a mutation in *KCNJ11*. Nat Clin Pract Neurol 2007;3:640–645. [PubMed: 17982434]
- 80. Shimomura K, et al. A novel mutation causing DEND syndrome: a treatable channelopathy of pancreas and brain. Neurology 2007;69:1342–1349. [PubMed: 17652641]
- 81. Mackay DJ, et al. Bisulphite sequencing of the transient neonatal diabetes mellitus DMR facilitates a novel diagnostic test but reveals no methylation anomalies in patients of unknown aetiology. Hum Genet 2005;116:255–261. [PubMed: 15635480]
- 82. Temple IK, et al. Transient neonatal diabetes: widening the understanding of the etiopathogenesis of diabetes. Diabetes 2000;49:1359–1366. [PubMed: 10923638]
- 83. Metz C, et al. Neonatal diabetes mellitus: chromosomal analysis in transient and permanent cases. J Pediatr 2002;141:483–489. [PubMed: 12378186]
- 84. Bonner-Weir S. Perspective: Postnatal pancreatic beta cell growth. Endocrinology 2000;141:1926– 1929. [PubMed: 10830272]
- 85. Meier JJ, et al. Beta-cell replication is the primary mechanism subserving the postnatal expansion of beta-cell mass in humans. Diabetes 2008;57:1584–1594. [PubMed: 18334605]
- 86. Ma D, et al. Impaired glucose homeostasis in transgenic mice expressing the human transient neonatal diabetes mellitus locus, TNDM. J Clin Invest 2004;114:339–348. [PubMed: 15286800]
- 87. Shield JP, et al. An assessment of pancreatic endocrine function and insulin sensitivity in patients with transient neonatal diabetes in remission. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004;89:F341– 343. [PubMed: 15210671]
- 88. Valerio G, et al. Beta-cell dysfunction in classic transient neonatal diabetes is characterized by impaired insulin response to glucose but normal response to glucagon. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2405–2408. [PubMed: 15451908]
- 89. Schimmel U. Long-standing sulfonylurea therapy after pubertal relapse of neonatal diabetes in a case of uniparental paternal isodisomy of chromosome 6. Diabetes Care 2009;32:e9. [PubMed: 19114626]
- 90. Patch AM, et al. Mutations in the *ABCC8* gene encoding the SUR1 subunit of the KATP channel cause transient neonatal diabetes, permanent neonatal diabetes or permanent diabetes diagnosed outside the neonatal period. Diabetes Obes Metab 2007;9 2:28–39. [PubMed: 17919176]
- 91. D'Amato E, et al. Variable phenotypic spectrum of diabetes mellitus in a family carrying a novel *KCNJ11* gene mutation. Diabet Med 2008;25:651–656. [PubMed: 18544102]
- 92. Klupa T, et al. Mutations in the *ABCC8* (SUR1 subunit of the K(ATP) channel) gene are associated with a variable clinical phenotype. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2009;71:358–362. [PubMed: 19021632]
- 93. Colombo C, et al. Seven mutations in the human insulin gene linked to permanent neonatal/ infancy-onset diabetes mellitus. J Clin Invest 2008;118:2148–2156. [PubMed: 18451997]
- 94. Edghill EL, et al. Insulin mutation screening in 1,044 patients with diabetes: mutations in the INS gene are a common cause of neonatal diabetes but a rare cause of diabetes diagnosed in childhood or adulthood. Diabetes 2008;57:1034–1042. [PubMed: 18162506]
- 95. Molven A, et al. Mutations in the insulin gene can cause MODY and autoantibody-negative type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 2008;57:1131–1135. [PubMed: 18192540]
- 96. Polak M, et al. Heterozygous missense mutations in the insulin gene are linked to permanent diabetes appearing in the neonatal period or in early infancy: a report from the French ND (Neonatal Diabetes) Study Group. Diabetes 2008;57:1115–1119. [PubMed: 18171712]
- 97. Bonfanti R, et al. Insulin gene mutations as cause of diabetes in children negative for five type 1 diabetes autoantibodies. Diabetes Care 2009;32:123–125. [PubMed: 18840770]
- 98. Meur G, et al. Insulin gene mutations resulting in a MODY phenotype: marked differences in clinical presentation, metabolic status and pathogenic effect through ER retention. Diabetes 2009;59:653–661. [PubMed: 20007936]

- 99. Rubio-Cabezas O, et al. Testing for monogenic diabetes among children and adolescents with antibody-negative clinically defined Type 1 diabetes. Diabet Med 2009;26:1070–1074. [PubMed: 19900242]
- 100. Garin I, et al. Recessive mutations in the *INS* gene result in neonatal diabetes through reduced insulin biosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:3105–3110. [PubMed: 20133622]
- 101. Park SY, et al. Mutant proinsulin proteins associated with neonatal diabetes are retained in the endoplasmic reticulum and not efficiently secreted. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010;391:1449–1454. [PubMed: 20034470]
- 102. Rajan S, et al. In vitro processing and secretion of mutant insulin proteins that cause permanent neonatal diabetes. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2010;298:E403–410. [PubMed: 19952343]
- 103. Wang J, et al. A mutation in the insulin 2 gene induces diabetes with severe pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction in the Mody mouse. J Clin Invest 1999;103:27–37. [PubMed: 9884331]
- 104. Herbach N, et al. Dominant-negative effects of a novel mutated *Ins2* allele causes early-onset diabetes and severe beta-cell loss in Munich Ins2C95S mutant mice. Diabetes 2007;56:1268– 1276. [PubMed: 17303807]
- 105. Steiner DF, et al. A brief perspective on insulin production. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11 4:189– 196. [PubMed: 19817801]

Glossary

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

