

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*Science*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2010 June 4; 328(5983): 1278–1281. doi:10.1126/science.1185640.

# **Meiotic recombination provokes functional activation of the p53 regulatory network**

# **Wan-Jin Lu**1, **Joseph Chapo**1, **Ignasi Roig**2,\*, and **John M. Abrams**1

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cell Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390, USA

<sup>2</sup>Molecular Biology Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021, USA

# **Abstract**

The evolutionary appearance of p53 likely preceded its role in tumor suppression, suggesting that there may be unappreciated functions for this protein. Using genetic reporters as proxies to follow *in vivo* activation of the p53 network in *Drosophila*, we discovered that the process of meiotic recombination instigates programmed activation of p53 in the germline. Specifically, doublestranded breaks in DNA generated by the topoisomerase Spo11 provoked functional p53 activity, which was prolonged in cells defective for meiotic DNA repair. This intrinsic stimulus for the p53 regulatory network is highly conserved because Spo11-dependent activation of p53 also occurred in mice. Our findings establish a physiological role for p53 in meiosis and suggest that tumor suppressive functions may have been co-opted from primordial activities linked to recombination.

> The p53 gene family mediates adaptive responses to genotoxic stress  $(1-3)$  and is broadly conserved (4,5). It is widely accepted that the p53 regulatory network is generally compromised in human cancers but several lines of evidence indicate that during evolution, animals rarely lived long enough to experience cancer (6,7). Therefore, tumor suppressive functions associated with p53 were likely derived from primordial activities that are poorly understood. We used *Drosophila* to investigate physiological properties of this network because, in this organism, a single p53 member exists and, like its mammalian counterparts, it coordinates stress responses and promotes genome stability (8–12).

> We constructed transgenes (fig. S1) that place green fluorescence protein (GFP) under the control of an enhancer taken from sequences upstream of the *Drosophila reaper* (*rpr*) locus, which includes a p53 consensus binding site (13). Two transgenic lines were produced and designated as "p53Rps" for p53 reporter strains. One strain produces nuclear localized GFP (p53R-GFPnls) and the other does not (p53R-GFPcyt).

> Ionizing radiation (IR) leads to DNA damage and activates p53 in various model systems. Therefore, we exposed p53Rps transgenic embryos to IR and followed GFP expression by time-lapse live imaging. GFP was observed as early as 70min after exposure to IR, and was prominent at 180min in virtually all embryos (Fig. 1A and movie S1). p53Rps expression was not observed in unirradiated embryos nor was it observed in flies lacking *p53* or *chk2* (Fig. 1B), its upstream activating kinase (14). To confirm that damaged DNA is responsible for activation, we also observed induction of GFP in response to UV radiation and injected

<sup>\*</sup>Current address, Dept. Biologia Cellular, Fisiologia i Immunologia, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona 08193, Spain

Supporting Online Materials Materials and Methods fig. S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 table S1, S2 movie S1

DNA fragments (fig. S2). Thus, the p53Rps reporters serve as authentic proxies that enable us to monitor p53 activation in live animals in real time.

We surveyed reporter activity throughout development and found little or no evidence for unstimulated expression but, surprisingly, transient p53Rps expression was observed in germline precursors of all females. Activity was localized in region 2a and 2b of the germarium and was absent beyond region 3 and in all egg chambers (Fig. 2). As in somatic tissues, p53Rps activity was absent in the germaria of *p53*−/− or *chk2*−/− animals (Fig. 2B, fig. S4). Because p53 is present in this tissue, the pulse of p53Rps activity in oocyte precursors reflects the "on" state of this regulatory network, rather than the presence or absence of protein.

Meiotic recombination is initiated in region 2a and 2b by Spo11 (also known as *mei-W68*), a topoisomerase that generates DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) needed for strand exchange (15). Therefore, we tested p53Rps activity in germaria lacking Spo11. Activation of p53 in regions 2a and 2b was absent in *spo11*−/− ovaries (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, DSBs introduced by IR were sufficient to restore p53Rps activity in regions 2a and 2b of *spo11*−/− germaria (Fig. 2D). Thus, DSBs formed during the initiating steps of meiotic recombination provoke activation of p53.

Flies lacking p53 are viable and fertile. Therefore, the gene does not exert essential functions needed for gametogenesis or proper chromosome segregation (table S2). To test whether meiotic recombination frequencies were altered in *p*53<sup>−/−</sup> animals, we assayed three distinct  $p53$  alleles in trans-allelic combinations for meiotic exchange frequencies. Across all intervals, reduced crossover rates, ranging from 21% to 54%, were observed (Fig. 3A, table S1).

To understand the function of p53 during meiotic recombination, we monitored p53 activity in mutants defective for proper meiosis. Rad54 is required to properly resolve DNA crossovers and in the germaria of *rad54* (*okra*) mutants, unrepaired DNA breaks abnormally persist (16). In such animals, we found persistent activation of p53 beyond region 2 (Fig. 3B,C), as indicated by p53Rps expression in region 3 of the germarium and later-staged egg chambers, where a substantial increase in the incidence of reporter activation was observed (Fig. 3C). To test whether persistent p53 activation is functionally relevant, we examined animals doubly mutated for p53 and *rad54. p53*−/−,*rad54AA/RU* females were sterile despite the fact that corresponding trans-allelic combinations of the single gene mutants were fertile (see methods for allele descriptions). Furthermore, severe oogenesis defects, not seen in single mutants, were evident in double mutants, including abnormal numbers of nurse cell nuclei (Fig. 3D) and shortened egg lengths (fig. S5). To test whether genetic interactions between p53 and *rad54* were instigated by meiotic recombination, we created *spo11,p53,rad54* triple mutants. In these animals, fertility and normal nurse cell numbers was restored (Fig. 3D and E). Defects in egg length were also suppressed (fig. S5). Hence, genetic interactions between p53 and *rad54* required the action of *spo11*. Furthermore, these results indicate that failure to properly resolve meiotic recombination can lead to sustained and functionally relevant p53 activity.

To determine whether activation of p53 by meiotic recombination is conserved, we examined mouse testes with antibodies that specifically detect phosphorylated-p53 at Ser15 (17). In seminiferous tubules from wild-type animals, p53 was transiently activated in early spermatocytes (Fig. 4, A and B). On the basis of nuclear morphology (described in supplemental methods), staining appeared to peak between leptotene and zygotene stages and disappeared after early pachytene stage. This pattern is consistent with earlier studies on Spo11 activity (18) and p53 promoter driven-chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT)

transgenes in this tissue (19). Staining for phospho-p53 (Ser15) was absent from testes of Spo11-deficient mice (Fig. 4, B and C), where spermatocytes survive through the leptotene and zygotene stage (20). Therefore, we can exclude cell loss as a reason for the absence of signal and, as in flies, meiotic recombination is necessary to provoke p53 activation.

We demonstrate that meiosis, a defining step in sexual reproduction, signals a programmed burst of p53 activation. This activity is stimulated by the action of Spo11 in *Drosophila* females (recombination does not occur in males) and in mice. In flies, activation requires the kinase *chk2* (which also has roles later in oogenesis (21)) but appears to be independent of the ATM and ATR kinases (fig. S3), suggesting that alternative transducers could mediate Spo11-dependent activation of p53.

In mice (Fig. 4), potential functions of Spo11-mediated activation of p53 are indicated by altered kinetics of gametogenesis in p53-deficient mice (22,23) as well as giant-cell degenerative syndrome in the testes of p53-deficient males (24), and implantation defects in females (25). Additional layers of complexity or redundant activities conferred by the p63 and p73 paralogs (26,27), could obscure conserved functions since recombination appeared normal without p53 (28). Nevertheless, these findings raise the possibility that the act of recombination during meiosis may have been an intrinsic primordial stimulus that shaped ancestral features of the p53 regulatory network. Future studies could elucidate whether p53 directly impacts crossover reactions (29) or imposes quality control on selected gametes.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank S. Keeney for helpful discussions and reading of the manuscript, and the following individuals, laboratories or facilities for helpful discussions, access to instruments, or providing reagents: S. Barolo, M. Buszczak, D. Castrillon, O. Cleaver, K. Hamra, J. Maines, D. McKearin, T. Schupbach, Y. Rong, J. Shelton, T. Wilkie, R. Bachoo, T. Mashimo, B. Thaden, Live Cell Imaging Facility (UTSW), Bloomington Stock Center (Indiana University), Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (University of Iowa); A. Ali, A. D'Brot and A. Olivo for assisting experiments. This work was supported by NIGMS (R01GM072124) and NIAAA (R01AA017328). I. Roig was supported by NIH grant R01 HD040916 (to M. Jasin and S. Keeney).

### **References**

- 1. Brown CJ, Lain S, Verma CS, Fersht AR, Lane DP. Nat Rev Cancer Dec;2009 9:862. [PubMed: 19935675]
- 2. Levine AJ, Oren M. Nat Rev Cancer Oct;2009 9:749. [PubMed: 19776744]
- 3. Vousden KH, Prives C. Cell May;2009 137:413. [PubMed: 19410540]
- 4. Lu W-J, Amatruda JF, Abrams JM. Nat Rev Cancer Oct;2009 9:758. [PubMed: 19776745]
- 5. Nedelcu AM, Tan C. Dev Genes Evol Dec;2007 217:801. [PubMed: 17924139]
- 6. Aranda-Anzaldo A, Dent MAR. Mech Ageing Dev Apr;2007 128:293. [PubMed: 17291568]
- 7. Junttila MR, Evan GI. Nat Rev Cancer Nov;2009 9:821. [PubMed: 19776747]
- 8. Akdemir F, Christich A, Sogame N, Chapo J, Abrams JM. Oncogene Aug;2007 26:5184. [PubMed: 17310982]
- 9. Brodsky MH, et al. Mol Cell Biol Feb;2004 24:1219. [PubMed: 14729967]
- 10. Jin S, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA Jun;2000 97:7301. [PubMed: 10860994]
- 11. Lee JH, et al. FEBS Lett Aug;2003 550:5. [PubMed: 12935877]
- 12. Sogame N, Kim M, Abrams JM. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA Apr;2003 100:4696. [PubMed: 12672954]
- 13. Brodsky MH, et al. Cell Mar;2000 101:103. [PubMed: 10778860]

- 14. Peters M, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA Aug;2002 99:11305. [PubMed: 12172011]
- 15. McKim KS, Hayashi-Hagihara A. Genes Dev Sep;1998 12:2932. [PubMed: 9744869]
- 16. Klovstad M, Abdu U, Schupbach T. PLoS Genet Feb;2008 4:e31. [PubMed: 18266476]
- 17. Shieh SY, Ikeda M, Taya Y, Prives C. Cell Oct 31;1997 91:325. [PubMed: 9363941]
- 18. Mahadevaiah SK, et al. Nat Genet Mar;2001 27:271. [PubMed: 11242108]
- 19. Almon E, et al. Dev Biol Mar;1993 156:107. [PubMed: 7680625]
- 20. Baudat F, Manova K, Yuen JP, Jasin M, Keeney S. Mol Cell Nov;2000 6:989. [PubMed: 11106739]
- 21. Abdu U, Brodsky M, Schupbach T. Curr Biol Oct 1;2002 12:1645. [PubMed: 12361566]
- 22. Beumer TL, et al. Cell Death Differ Aug;1998 5:669. [PubMed: 10200522]
- 23. Ghafari F, Pelengaris S, Walters E, Hartshorne G. Hum Reprod. Feb;2009
- 24. Rotter V, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A Oct;1993 90:9075. [PubMed: 8415656]
- 25. Hu W, Feng Z, Teresky AK, Levine AJ. Nature Nov;2007 450:721. [PubMed: 18046411]
- 26. Suh E-K, et al. Nature Nov;2006 444:624. [PubMed: 17122775]
- 27. Tomasini R, et al. Genes Dev Oct;2008 22:2677. [PubMed: 18805989]
- 28. Gersten KM, Kemp CJ. Nat Genet Dec;1997 17:378. [PubMed: 9398833]
- 29. Habu T, et al. Carcinogenesis Jun;2004 25:889. [PubMed: 14764457]





**A)** Live imaging of p53R-GFPnls expression after radiation (IR) challenge at indicated time points (also see movie S1). Autofluorescent yolk material is marked with dotted lines. Note that IR challenge did not interfere with germ band retraction (white arrow). **(B)** shows stimulus induced p53R-GFPnls expression seen in wild-type, is not seen in *p53*−/<sup>−</sup> *or chk2*−/− animals. Scale bar, 10μm.

Lu et al. Page 6



#### **Fig. 2. Meiotic recombination instigates programmed activation of p53 in the** *Drosophila* **female germline**

**(A)** Schematic illustration of female germarium in *Drosophila* ovary. Region 1 contains germline stem cells (GSC) and their progeny, cystoblasts (CB). Cysts in region 2a and 2b initiate meiosis and further develop into egg chambers in region 3. Regions in the germarium are visualized using immunostaining for *hu-li tai shao* (HTS) protein. **(B)** and **(C)**: Genotypes as indicated were stained for GFP (green) and HTS (red). Animals in (B) carry p53R-GFPnls, or p53R-GFPcyt in (C). Stereotyped GFP activation in region 2 is indicated by solid arrows and the absence of staining with open arrows. **(D)** Percentage of germarium with p53R-GFPcyt expression in regions 2a and 2b. Means  $\pm$  standard deviations

from at least three independent trials are plotted and sample size is denoted within parenthesis. Scale bars, 10 μm.



#### **Fig. 3. Functions of p53 activity during meiosis**

**(A)** Meiotic recombination frequency in wild-type and *p53*−/− flies. Recombination frequency is reduced in all p53 transallelic combinations when compared to wild-type (*Canton S* and *yw*) at all three intervals. Arrows show expected frequencies (FlyBase). **(B)** Immunostainings of GFP in p53R-GFPcyt animals that are wild-type (*WT*) or *rad54* mutants. Persisting GFP expression beyond region 2 (white dotted circle) is observed in *rad54* mutants (noted by red arrows). **(C)** The incidence of p53Rps expression was quantified in Region 3 (noted by yellow dotted circle) and in stage 2 to 8 egg chambers (yellow dotted line) as indicated. **(D)** Images of egg chambers stained with DAPI from indicated genotypes. Red stars mark individual nurse cell nuclei. **(E)** Distribution of nurse

cell nuclei number per egg chamber (nuclei/EC). Single gene mutants show 15 nuclei/EC, but *p53*−/−*,rad54AA/RU* ovaries exhibit a broad distribution, ranging from 9 to 40 nuclei/EC, which is restored to normal in *spo11*−/−*,rad54AA/RU,p53*−/− animals (blue group). Scale bars, 10μm.



#### **Fig. 4. Spo11 dependent p53 activation is conserved in the mouse**

**(A–C)** Immunostainings for phospho-Ser15 p53 in paraffin sections from wild-type (A, B) and Spo11 knockout (C) mouse testes. DAB chromogen (A, brown) and fluorescent (B,C, green) detection methods show transient activation of p53 in meiotic cells is absent in Spo11-deficient testes. DNA staining (hematoxylin or Hoechst) is in blue. B' and C' show signal without Hoechst counterstain. Insets in (B) and (C) show nuclear localized staining of Ser15-p53 under comparable magnifications. Scale bar, 100 μm (A); 10μm (B–C, insets in A).