

## NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Alcohol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 June 1

Published in final edited form as: *Alcohol.* 2010 June ; 44(4): 297–306. doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2010.02.005.

### The Prevalence and Correlates of Alcohol Use Disorders in the United States and Korea – A Cross-National Comparative Study

Hae Kook Lee, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Patricia Chou, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Maeng Je Cho, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, Jong-Ik Park, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>4</sup>, Deborah A. Dawson, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, and Bridget F. Grant, Ph.D., Ph.D.<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, U.S. National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

<sup>2</sup>Departement of Psychiatry, the Catholic Medical University of Korea, Seoul, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Institute of Behavioral Medicine, Seoul National University, College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

<sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Kangwon National University College of Medicine, Chunchon, Korea

#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to compare the prevalence rates of DSM-IV 12-month diagnoses of alcohol use disorders between the United States and South Korea using two large nationally representative surveys. Cross-tabulations were used to derive weighted prevalences of alcohol abuse and dependence, and odds ratio derived from linear logistic regression analyses were used to determine the relationships between alcohol abuse and dependence across sociodemographic characteristics of the general population samples. The prevalence of 12-month alcohol abuse was greater in the U.S. (5.3%) than Korea (2.0%) whereas the rate of alcohol dependence was greater in Korea (5.1%) compared with the U.S. (4.4%). The odds of abuse were significantly greater among men, and in the youngest age groups in both countries. There were increased odds of 12month dependence among men, and those who were employed or never married in each country. Further, the rates of abuse and dependence in the U.S. and of abuse in Korea decreased as a function of age, a result that did not generalize to dependence among Koreans. The implications of the results of this study are discussed in terms of national differences between the U.S. and Korea as the result of gender roles and drinking patterns, and the need to understand the potential influence of the cultural applicability and specificity of psychiatric assessment interviews across countries.

#### Keywords

Alcohol use disorder; cross-national comparisons; prevalence; sociodemographic correlates; United States; South Korea

Corresponding author: Bridget F. Grant, Ph.D., Ph.D. DICBR/NIAAA 5635 Fishers Lane, Room 3077 Bethesda, MD 20892, USA Tel. 301-443-7370 Fax. 301-443-1400 bgrant@mail.nih.gov.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

#### Introduction

Alcohol use disorders (alcohol abuse and dependence) are characterized by maladaptive patterns of alcohol consumption manifested by symptoms leading to clinically significant impairment or distress (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). These disorders are not only among the most prevalent mental disorders worldwide but contribute to the development of more than 60 disease conditions and account for 4% of the global burden of disease (Rodgers et al., 2004; Room et al., 2005; Rehm et al., 2006).

Current data indicate that alcohol use disorders are pervasive among Western countries (Hasin et al., 2007; Rehm et al., 2005), and maybe increasing in developing countries (Anderson, 2006; Hall and Degenhardt, 2007). Further, studies indicate that there is substantial variation in prevalence rates of alcohol use and related harm both within countries and between countries of similar socio-economic background (Maxwell et al. 2003; Teesson et al., 2006; Vega et al. 2002). Despite the importance of cross-national research in assessing impact on health care systems and in how variations in social, cultural, political, environmental and genetic factors can influence the development of alcohol use disorders, relatively few cross-national epidemiologic surveys on the prevalence and correlates of alcohol use disorders have been conducted to date.

Among recent cross-national surveys that have included comparisons of alcohol use disorders using standardized assessment instruments and official diagnostic classifications (Demyttenaere et al., 2004; Merikangas et al., 1998), few have included Asian countries, especially South Korea. The dearth of cross-national comparative research on alcohol use disorders conducted in Korea and the United States (U.S.) is striking since per capita consumption of alcohol in liters (U.S. 8.5; Korea 7.7) and percentage of abstainers (U.S. 33.9%; Korea 27.1%) are similar between the U.S. and Korea (World Health Organization, 2004), but Korea has demonstrated greater rates of alcohol use disorders relative to the United States and other Western countries in the past (Helzer et al., 1990). However, prior comparisons have been limited by either reporting lifetime rates of alcohol use disorders or failing to assess alcohol abuse and dependence separately. Cross-national comparisons using current rates indentify the magnitude of alcohol use disorders and affected subgroups of the population currently existing between countries, information of greater public health relevance than lifetime rates. Alcohol abuse and dependence rates also vary considerately between countries and failing to disaggregate these two distinct conditions may obscure cross-national differences.

To fill the gap in our cross-national knowledge of alcohol use disorders, this study compares two contemporary national surveys conducted in 2001-2002 in Korea, the Korean Epidemiologic Catchment Area (KECA: Cho et al., 2007) survey, and in the United States, the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC: Grant et al., 2003b). The major purpose of this study was to investigate country-specific differences in the prevalences and sociodemographic correlates of current (12-month) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV: American Psychiatric Association, 1994) alcohol abuse and dependence between the two countries.

#### Methods

#### Samples

The 2001-2002 NESARC is a nationally representative sample of the civilian, noninstitutionalized adult population (18 years and older) of the United States conducted by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. The NESARC sample included face-to-face interviews of persons living in households, individuals living off military base,

and residents of various group quarters: boarding or rooming houses, non-transient hotels and motels, shelters, facilities for housing personnel, and college dormitories and group homes. Details of this survey have been described elsewhere (Grant et al., 2003b).

To ensure adequate numbers of respondents for analytic purposes, oversampling of blacks and Hispanics in the NESARC was implemented at the design phase. Within each household, a respondent ages 18 or older was randomly selected. Young adults (ages 18-24 years old) were oversampled at a rate of 2.25:1.00 at this stage of sample selection to secure a greater representation of this heavier drinking subgroup of the population. The final sample (n=43,093) was weighted to adjust for oversampling and nonresponse at the individual and household levels. The weighted data were then adjusted to be representative of the noninstitutionalized population of the United States for a variety of socioeconomic variables using the 2000 Decennial Census. The response rate was 80%.

The Korean Epidemiologic Catchment Area (KECA) study is a nationally representative sample of South Korea conducted in collaboration with the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare and the Seoul National University College of Medicine. Data collection started on June 1, 2001 and ended on November 30, 2001. Sampling was carried out across 10 catchment areas, which consisted of four metropolitan districts (the western, eastern, southern, and northern district of Seoul), two districts of midsized cities (Jung-gu of Incheon and Daegu), and four rural counties (Ganghwa-gun, Cheongwon-gun, Naju, and Wonju). The target population included all eligible residents aged 18 to 64 years in South Korea who were listed in the updated 2000 population census of the Korea National Statistical Office (2000).

A stratified, multistage and cluster sampling design was adopted. The 2000 Population Census at the Korea National Statistical Office was the sampling frame. First, a random sample of 121 regions was selected, which consisted of 43 regions from the metropolitan districts, 25 regions from the midsized cities, and 53 regions from the rural counties. Second, a total of 7867 households were selected by random sampling 65 households from each region. Third, excluding institutionalized individuals, a total of 7867 persons were identified by selecting one person per household with a randomized method that chose the adult family member with the lowest day of birth without considering the month and year of his/her birthday. All interviews were conducted face-to-face and the response rate was 79.8%. The final sample was weighted and adjusted to be representative of the noninstitutinalized population of South Korea for a variety of socioeconomic variables, using the 2000 Population Census.

#### Sociodemographic characteristics

Sociodemographic characteristics were entered into the logistic regression analyses as categorical variables. In addition, to ensure the comparability between the two surveys categorical variables were created using identical cut points, i.e., age: 18-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-65; marital status: married/cohabiting, widow/divorced/separated, never married; educational attainment: < 12 years, 12 years, 13-15 years, 16+ years; income: low, moderate, high. Quartiles were generated to determine the cutoffs for low, moderate and high incomes for both countries. Low income level was set at the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile; moderate income level was at the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quartiles; and high incomes level was set at the 4th quartile. The actual cutoffs used for low, moderate and high incomes were <\$25,000, \$25,000 to \$70,000, >\$70,000 for the U.S., and <\$12,000, \$12,000 to \$36,000, >\$36,000 for Korea, respectively.

#### Assessment of DSM-IV alcohol use disorders

The Alcohol Use and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-DSM-IV Version (AUDADIS-IV, Grant et al., 2003a) was used to assess alcohol abuse and dependence. As discussed in detail elsewhere (Grant et al, 2004), a diagnosis of 12-month DSM-IV alcohol abuse required that one of the four criteria defined for alcohol abuse be met during the 12-month period preceding the interview. To meet a 12-month DSM-IV diagnosis of alcohol dependence required that at least three of the seven DSM-IV dependence criteria be met in the past year preceding the interview.

The KECA study administrated the Korean version of Composite International Diagnostic Interview 2.1(K-CIDI 2.1) to each subject (Cho et al., 2002). The K-CIDI 2.1 (World Health Organization, 1990) is a fully structured diagnostic interview designed to make DSM-IV psychiatric diagnoses. The K-CIDI 2.1 was validated according to the WHO guidelines (World Health Organization, 1997). A pilot study was conducted in a single midsized city from July 1 to August 30, 1999, including 1060 subjects with two-stage cluster sampling methods to remedy any problems inherent in this interview and to train the interviewers in the actual field settings. The reliability and the convergent, discriminant, and construct validity of the AUDADIS-IV alcohol diagnoses ranged from good to excellent (Hasin et al., 2007).

The concordance between the AUDADIS-IV and K-CIDI 2.1 diagnoses of DSM-IV alcohol use disorder were fair to good (kappa > 0.67) in an international survey conducted within the auspices of the World Health Organization/National Institute of Health Joint Project on Diagnosis and Classification (Cottler et al., 1997; Ustun et al., 1997). Within the context of this international study, the reliability and validity of the AUDADIS-IV and K-CIDI 2.1 were fair to good (Chatterji et al., 1997; Hasin et al., 1997; Pull et al., 1997).

#### Statistical analysis

NESARC respondents aged 18-to-65 were used in all analyses to be comparable to the KECA age ranges. To account for the sample design effects that did differ between the two surveys, prevalence estimates and their standard errors were first estimated separately using the weighted data from each survey with SUDAAN (Research Triangle Institute, 2006), software that accounts for complex survey design effects. Once the appropriate estimates for each country were obtained, all sociodemographic characteristics comparisons between countries were conducted on weighted data adjusted for the design effects of each survey using the t-statistic or chi-square statistic, as appropriate. Odds ratios (ORs) of alcohol use disorders for each of the countries were adjusted for all sociodemographic characteristics in a single model derived from multivariate logistic analyses.

#### Results

Table 1 presents the distributions of the NESARC and KECA respondents according to sociodemographic characteristics. There were no sex differences between the two samples. The Koreans were younger, more likely to be unemployed, of moderate income and lower educational attainment and reside in urban regions of the country compared with their U.S. counterparts. In contrast, Koreans were less likely to be widowed/separated/divorced relative to Americans. Prevalence of 12-month DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence

The overall prevalences and odds ratios of DSM-IV 12-month any alcohol use disorder, alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence are shown in Table 2. The prevalence of any DSM-IV 12-month alcohol use disorder was 9.7% in the U.S. and 7.1% in Korea. Corresponding rates DSM-IV 12-month abuse and dependence were 5.3% and 4.4% in the U.S., and 2.0% and 5.1% in Korea. The odds of alcohol abuse was significantly greater in the U.S. than in

Korea, whereas the odds of alcohol dependence was significantly greater in Korea (relative to the U.S. in models that appropriately adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics).

Table 3 shows the prevalences and odds ratios of 12-month alcohol use disorders and sociodemogaphic characteristics among NESARC and KECA respondents. The logistic regression models presented here used a single model, that is, all sociodemographic variables were entered into a single model (rather than examining univariate associations between sociodemographic variables and alcohol use disorders). The odds of any 12-month alcohol use disorder was significantly greater among men, and respondents who were employed among Koreans and Americans. In addition, the odds of any alcohol use disorder was significantly higher in the three youngest age groups relative to the oldest age group (45-to-65-year olds), but lower among those residing in urban regions among Americans, whereas no age or urbanicity effect was observed among Koreans. Further, Americans who were never married or widowed/separated/divorced had a greater odds of an alcohol use disorder relative to respondents who were married, an effect not observed among Koreans. Americans who completed 13- to-15 years of education had a greater odds of a 12-month alcohol use disorder and those with moderate incomes had a lower odds of any alcohol use disorder, but these results did not generalize to Koreans. Among Koreans, respondents with low or moderate incomes had a lower odds of having any alcohol use disorder relative to those with high incomes.

With regard to DSM-IV 12-month alcohol abuse, a greater odds was generally observed among males and the younger age groups among Americans and Koreans. Among Americans, the odds of abuse were greater among those respondents who were employed, widowed/separated/divorced and never married, and with 13-to-15 years of education, but lower among respondents with low incomes, results that did generalize to Koreans. Among Koreans, the odds of abuse were also greater among those who completed less than 12 years of education, but lower among respondents residing in urban areas.

There was an increased odds of DSM-IV 12-month dependence among men and respondents who were employed in both the U.S. and Korea. Interestingly, there was a significant and negative age gradient observed for dependence among Americans, a result not found among Koreans. Being never married increases the odds of dependence among both Americans and Koreans and a similar result was found for respondents who were widowed/separated/ divorced in the U.S. the odds of dependence were also increased among Americans who completed 13- to-15 years of education and among Koreans who completed 12 years of education. Further, the odds of dependence were significantly lower among respondents in Korea who had lower incomes.

#### Discussion

This study found no difference in the 12-month prevalence of any alcohol use disorder between the U.S. and Korea. However, the overall prevalence of any alcohol use disorder obscured differences between the prevalence of abuse and dependence observed in this study. The prevalence of alcohol abuse was significantly greater in the U.S. relative to Korea, but the odds of dependence was greater in Korea compared with the U.S., results that generalized to both men and women. The male to female ratios for abuse and dependence among Koreans (5.83, 4.87) were much greater than their American counterparts (2.57, 2.21). Consistent with these sex differentials in abuse and dependence, the greater prevalence of dependence among men in Korea relative to the U.S., may be attributed to the well documented tolerance of heavy drinking among men in Korea where heavy consumption is encouraged (Helzer et al., 1990; Yamamoto et al., 1994). In contrast, Korean culture is less tolerant of drinking among women. Although societal constraints on drinking

One prior study on trends in alcohol abuse and dependence conducted in Korea and the U.S. is relevant to understanding the sex differences found in this study. In that study (Hahm and Cho, 2005), trends in lifetime alcohol abuse and dependence (combined) in Korea were examined using surveys conducted in 1984 (Lee et al., 1990a, 1990b) using DSM, Third Edition (DSM-III: American Psychiatric Association, 1980) criteria for alcohol use disorders (Lee et al., 1990) and in 1999 using DSM-IV criteria. These authors compared lifetime rates of any alcohol use disorder (i.e., abuse and/or dependence) over this fifteen year period and found that the rates decreased among men (42.9% to 26.2%) and increased among women (2.6% to 4.5%). They attributed the decrease in the rates of any alcohol use disorder among men to recent diminution of the drinking culture forcing others to drink heavily and increased social sanctions associated with excessive drinking behaviors, especially drinking and driving. Among women, these authors highlighted the increased social status and rights of women in Korea and a decline in societal pressures to act in accordance with prescribed traditional gender roles as contributing to the increase in alcohol use disorders among women (Hahm and Cho, 2005). These findings among women are consistent with our study and with Korean national statistics that indicated an increase in drinking rate among women, from 33.3% in 1993 to 59.5% in 2001 (Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs, 2002).

Although Hahm and Cho chose to compare DSM-III and DSM-IV abuse and/or dependence diagnoses, prior methodological studies showed that the concordance between DSM-III and DSM-IV alcohol use disorder was clearly different for alcohol abuse and dependence. Specifically, these studies (Pollock et al., 2000; Schuckit et al., 1994; Cottler et al., 1995; Mikulich et al., 2001; Langenbucher et al., 1994) consistently found that DSM-III and DSM-IV diagnoses of alcohol dependence were highly concordant, whereas DSM-III and DSM-IV alcohol abuse diagnoses demonstrated little diagnostic agreement. Thus, when comparing rates over time, it is only the alcohol dependence rates that should be compared with some confidence since these diagnoses, unlike abuse diagnoses, have been found to be highly concordant across these diagnostic systems. Accordingly, comparing rates of DSM-III lifetime alcohol dependence in 1984 with the present results, we found that the rates of dependence did indeed increase among Korean women (1.0% to 4.6%) but remained relatively stable (17.2% to 16.0%) among men. In view of these results it is possible that societal changes in the way men view drinking heavily in Korea may be changing, but this shift toward greater disapproval of excessive patterns of alcohol use may be offset by rapid changes in modernization and industrialization occurring in Korea over the past seventeen years. The societal stress accompanying such rapid changes is likely to impact men more than women in this still largely male dominated society.

In contrast to changes in the rates of alcohol dependence over time in Korea, rates of dependence were found to decrease among men and remain stable among women in the U.S. between 1991-1992 and 2001-2002 when this study was conducted (Grant et al., 2004). Reductions in heavy drinking as the result of changes in heavy drinking norms had been implicated in the declining rates of dependence among men. However, the stability of the rates of dependence among men obscured subgroup differences defined by ethnicity. Specifically, rates of dependence among 18-to-44 year-old Asian males had increased over the decade examined. These increases have been attributed not to the stress related to rapid industrialization, but rather to stress associated with acculturation (Grant et al, 2004).

Consistent with previous research conducted in many countries (Hasin et al., 2007; Merikangas et al., 1998; Rehm et al., 2005) prevalences of alcohol abuse among Koreans and Americans and dependence among Americans decreased as a function of age. These

results could indicate a true cohort effect or an undercount among older adults due to differential mortality, especially from alcohol-related causes. Alternatively these results may reflect a true cohort effect, that is, rates are increasing among the younger cohorts. Longitudinal studies on trends over time in current prevalence of alcohol use disorders need to definitely address this issue. In contrast, the prevalences of alcohol dependence remained stable across age groups among Koreans. However, this effect was localized among men, with women demonstrating decreases in rates of dependence with age. Among Korean men, the rates of dependence remained stable at 8.6%, 8.5%, 8.7% and 7.6% for the 18-to-24, 25to-34, 35-to-44 and 45-to-65 year-old age groups. Among Korean women, the corresponding rates declined with age, 5.0%, 1.9%, 1.0% and 1.5%. It is entirely probable that decreases in the rate of dependence among older Korean men that would be attributable to increased mortality associated with excessive alcohol consumption accompanying dependence, was obscured because the age range was limited to 18 to 65 years. However, a recent epidemiologic survey conducted among the Korean elderly showed rates of DSM-IV 12-month alcohol dependence of 4.0% among 65-to-74 year-olds and 1.1% among respondents 75 years old and older (Kim et al., 2009). Thus, it does not appear that the restricted age range of the current survey obscured the reduction of dependence rates among 65-to-74 year-olds that may be due to increased mortality from alcohol-related causes, but a similar gradient is among Koreans 75 years old and older, can not be ruled out. Further research on these most striking findings is warranted.

With the exception of alcohol abuse among Koreans, the rates of alcohol abuse and dependence among Americans and dependence among Koreans were greater among individuals who were never married. For Americans, this result generalized to individuals who were widowed/separated/divorced. Whether one's marital status is the cause or outcome of one's excessive drinking and associated adverse consequences must await longitudinal analyses. Similarly why alcohol abuse and dependence are greatest among those with lower educational attainment among Koreans and greatest among Americans with higher level of educational attainment is equally difficult to explain, although difficult working conditions among Koreans with little education may be implicated in the high rates reported among this socioeconomic group. Although numerous epidemiologic surveys (Hasin et al., 2007) have reported greater rates of abuse and dependence among those with college education, no adequate explanation for this phenomenon has been forthcoming.

It should be importantly noted that using standardized assessment instruments to measure DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence does not ensure cultural applicability of those instruments when used in different cultures. In a seminal article by Schmidt, Room and collaborators (1999) in the Cross-Cultural Applicability (CAR) Study sponsored by the World Health Organization/U.S. National Institute of Health Joint Project on Diagnoses and Classification of Mental and Substance Use Disorders, four differences in cultural orientation were highlighted that influence how respondents in international projects interpret and answer questions on alcohol abuse and dependence appearing in diagnostic interviews like the CIDI 2.1 and AUDADIS-IV. The first is threshold of severity or variation in the point at which cultures see a given symptom as something serious. Second is problematization of abuse and dependence symptoms or whether the symptoms are viewed as problems or alternatively benign or positive states resulting from drinking. The third is causal ordering and sequence, where cultural differences exist regarding the chain of events that give rise to dependence symptoms. Lastly are culture-specific manifestations of alcohol problems and symptoms that are not adequately captured by diagnostic definitions that appear in official classifications such as the DSM-IV.

The results of the CAR study, that included a U.S. (Flagstaff) and Korean (Seoul) sites, indicated key differences in culture-specific norms related to the interpretation of alcohol

dependence symptoms. Questions related to the dependence symptom, loss of control, was interpreted by respondents in Korea in a positive light because individuals drank precisely because they wanted to lose control. In contrast, loss of control among those in the U.S. was viewed as negative, representing a powerful urge to continue to drink to the point of passing out. Similarly, respondents in Flagstaff described the dependence symptom, tolerance, as a problem indicative of alcohol addiction, whereas respondents in Soul viewed tolerance as a kind of physical immunity (myun-yok) to the adverse aftereffects of excessive drinking. These cultural differences may have contributed to differential misclassification of alcohol dependence among Koreans and Americans in this study. Future research focusing on crossnational comparisons must consider the potential influence of cultural differences along these four dimensions of cultural orientation.

In summary, this study found rather intriguing cross-national differences in the rates of 12month DSMIV alcohol abuse and dependence between Korea and the United States. The results of this study hold great promise in formulating, refining and testing hypotheses with respect to social mechanism- and biological mechanism-related cultural differences and similarities in future investigations of these highly prevalent and disabling psychiatric conditions. This study has also underscored the necessity of understanding the dimensions of cultural specificity as a means of improving the cultural applicability of our diagnostic assessment instruments and diagnostic criteria and definitions in the service of crossnational research.

#### References

- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Third Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington DC: 1980.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth Edition. American Psychiatric Association; Washington DC: 1994.
- Anderson P. Global use of alcohol, drug and tobacco. Drug Alcohol Rev 2006;25:489–502. [PubMed: 17132569]
- Chatterji S, Saunders JB, Vrasti R, Grant BF, Hasin DS, Mager D. The reliability of the Alcohol Use Disorders and Associated Disability Interview Schedule-Alcohol/Drug-Revised (AUDADIS-ADR) in India, Romania, and Australia. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997;47:171–185. [PubMed: 9306043]
- Cho MJ, Hahm BJ, Suh DW, Hong JP, Bae JN, Kim JK, Lee DW, Cho SJ. Development of a Korean version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (K-CIDI). J. Korean Neuropsychiatr. Assoc 2002;41:123–137.
- Cho MJ, Kim JK, Jeon HJ, Suh T, Chung IW, Hong JP, Bae JN, Lee DW, Park JI, Cho SJ, Lee CK, Hahm BJ. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-IV psychiatric disorders among Korean adults. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis 2007;195(3):203–210. [PubMed: 17468679]
- Cottler LB, Schuckit MA, Helzer JE, Crowley TJ, Woody G, Nathan P, Hughes J. The DSM-IV field trial for substance use disorders: major results. Drug Alcohol Depend 1995;38:59–69. [PubMed: 7648998]

Cottler LB, Grant BF, Blaine J, Mavreas V, Pull C, Hasin DS, Compton WM, Rubio-Stipec M, Mager D. Concordance of DSM-IV alcohol and drug use disorder criteria and diagnoses as measured by AUDADIS-ADR, CIDI and SCAN. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997;47:195–205. [PubMed: 9306045]

Demyttenaere K, Bruffaerts R, Posada-Villa J, Gasquet I, Kovess V, Lepine JP, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, de Girolamo G, Morosini P, Polidori G, Kikkawa T, Kawakami N, Ono Y, Takeshima T, Uda H, Karam EG, Fayyad JA, Karam AN, Mneimneh ZN, Medina-Mora ME, Borges G, Lara C, de Graaf R, Ormel J, Gureje O, Shen Y, Huang Y, Zhang M, Alonso J, Haro JM, Vilagut G, Bromet EJ, Gluzman S, Webb C, Kessler R, Merikangas KR, Anthony JC, Von Korff MR, Wang PS, Brugha TS, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Lee S, Heeringa S, Pennell BE, Zaslavsky AM, Ustun TB, Chatterji S. Prevalence, severity and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. JAMA 2004;291:2581–2590. [PubMed: 15173149]

- Grant BF, Dawson DA, Stinson FS, Chou SP, Kay W, Pickering R. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-IV (AUDADIS-IV): reliability of alcohol consumption, tobacco use, family history of depression and psychiatric diagnostic modules in a general population sample. Drug Alcohol Depend 2003a;71:7–16. [PubMed: 12821201]
- Grant, BF.; Moore, TC.; Shepard, J.; Kaplan, K. Source and Accuracy Statement: Wave 1National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; Bethesda, MD: 2003b.
- Grant BF, Dawson DA, Stinson FS, Chou SP, Dufour MC, Pickering RP. The 12-month prevalence and trends in DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence: United States, 1991-1992 and 2001-2002. Drug Alcohol Depend 2004;74:223–234. [PubMed: 15194200]
- Hahm BJ, Cho MJ. Prevalence of alcohol use disorders in a South Korean community: Changes in the pattern of prevalence over the past 15 years. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2005;40:114– 119. [PubMed: 15685402]
- Hall W, Degenhardt L. Prevalence and correlates of cannabis use in developed and developing countries. Curr. Opin. Psychiatry 2007;20:393–397. [PubMed: 17551355]
- Hasin DS, Grant BF, Cottler L, Blaine J, Towle L, Ustun B, Sartorius N. Nosological comparisons of alcohol and drug diagnoses: a multisite, multi-instrument international study. Drug Alchol Depend 1997;47(3):217–226.
- Hasin DS, Stinson F, Ogburn E, Grant BF. Prevalence, correlates, disability and comorbidity of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence in the United States. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 2007;64(7):830–842. [PubMed: 17606817]
- Helzer JE, Canino GJ, Yeh EK, Bland RC, Lee CK, Hwu HG, Newman S. Alcoholism North American and Asia: A comparison of population surveys with the Diagnostic Interview Schedule. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 1990;47:313–319. [PubMed: 2322082]
- Kim KW, Choi EA, Lee SB, Park JH, Lee J, Huh Y, Youn JC, Jnoo JH, Choo IH, Kim MH, Lee DY, Woo JI. Prevalence and neuropsychiatric comorbidities of alcohol use disorders in an elderly Korean population. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry. 2009 published online.
- Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs. Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001. Korea Ministry of Health and Welfare; Seoul, Korea: 2002.
- Korea National Statistical Office. The Report of Population and Housing Census 2000. Korea National Statistical Office; Daejeon, Korea: 2000.
- Langenbucher J, Morgenstern J, Labouvie E, Nathan PE. Diagnostic concordance of substance use disorders in DSM-III, DSM-IV and ICD-10. Drug Alcohol Depend 1994;36(3):193–203. [PubMed: 7889810]
- Lee CK, Kwak YS, Yamamoto J, Rhee H, Kim YS, Han JH, Choi JO, Lee YH. Psychiatric epidemiology in Korea, part I: Gender and age differences in Seoul. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis 1990a; 178:242–246. [PubMed: 2319232]
- Lee CK, Kwak YS, Yamamoto J, Rhee H, Kim YS, Han JH, Choi JO, Lee YH. Psychiatric epidemiology in Korea, part II: Urban and Rural differences. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis 1990b;178(4): 247–252. [PubMed: 2181056]
- Maxwell JC. Update: Comparison of drug use in Australia and the United States as seen in the 2001 National Household Surveys. Drug Alcohol Rev 2003;22:347–357. [PubMed: 15385229]
- Merikangas KR, Mehta RL, Molnar BE, Walters EE, Swendsen JD, Aguilar-Gaziolo S, Bijl R, Borges G, Caraveo-Anduaga JJ, Dewit DJ, Kolody B, Vega WA, Wittchen HU, Kessler RC. Comorbility of substance use disorder with mood and anxiety disorders: results of the international consortium in psychiatric epidemiology. Add. Beh 1998;23(6):893–907.
- Mikulich SK, Hall SK, Whitmore EA, Crowley TJ. Concordance between DSM-III-R and DSM-IV diagnoses of substance use disorders in adolescents. Drug Alcohol Depend 2001;61:237–248. [PubMed: 11164688]
- Park, P. Social-class factors in alcoholism (1983). In: Kissin, B.; Begleiter, H., editors. The Pathogenesis of Alcoholism: Psychosocial Factors. Plenum Press; New York: 1983. p. 365-404.
- Pollock NK, Martin C, Langenbucher JW. Diagnostic concordance of DSM-III, DSM-III-R, DSM-IV and ICD-10 alcohol diagnoses in adolescents. J. Stud. Alcohol 2000;61:439–446. [PubMed: 10807216]

- Pull CB, Saunders JB, Mavreas V, Cottler LB, Grant BF, Hasin DS, Blaine J, Mager D, Ustun BT. Concordance between ICD-10 alcohol and drug use disorder criteria and diagnoses as measured by the AUDADIS-ADR, CIDI and SCAN: results of a cross-national study. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997;47:207–216. [PubMed: 9306046]
- Rehm J, Room R, van den Brink W, Jacobi F. Alcohol use disorders in EU countries and Norway: an overview of the epidemiology. Europ. Neuro. Psychopharm 2005;15:377–388.
- Rehm J, Taylor B, Room R. Global burden of disease from alcohol, illicit drugs and tobacco. Drug Alcohol Depend 2006;25:503–513.
- Research Triangle Institute. Software for Survey Data Analysis (SUDAAN). Version 9.2.. Research Triangle Park; NC: 2006.
- Rodgers A, Ezzati M, Vander Hoorn S, Lopez AD, Lin RB, Murray CJ. Distribution of major health risks: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease study. PloS Medicine 2004;1(1):44–55.
- Room R, Babor T, Rejm J. Alcohol and Public Health. Lancet 2005;365:519–530. [PubMed: 15705462]
- Schmidt L, Room R, Collaborators. Cross-cultural applicability in International Classifications and Research on alcohol dependence. J Stud. Alcohol 1999;60:448–462. [PubMed: 10463800]
- Schuckit MA, Hesselbrock V, Tipp J, Anthenelli R, Bucholz K, Radziminski S. A comparison of DSM-III-R, DSM-IV and ICD-10 substance use disorders diagnoses in 1922 men and women subjects in the COGA study. Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism. Addiction 1994;89(12):1629–1638. [PubMed: 7866247]
- Teesson M, Baillie A, Lynskey M, Manor B, Degenhardt L. Substance use, dependence and treatment seeking in the United States and Australia: A cross-national comparison. Drug Alcohol Depend 2006;81:149–155. [PubMed: 16043307]
- Ustun B, Compton W, Mager D, Babor T, Baiyewu O, Chatterji S, Cottler L, Gogus A, Mavreas V, Peters L, Pull C, Saunders J, Smeets R, Stipec MR, Vrasti R, Hasin D, room R, Van den Brink W, Regier D, Blaine J, Grant BG, Sartorius N. WHO Study on the reliability and validity of the alcohol and drug use disorder instruments: overview of methods and results. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997;47(3):161–169. [PubMed: 9306042]
- Vega WA, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Andrade L, Bijl R, Borges G, Caraveo-Anduaga JJ, Dewit DJ, Heeringa SG, Kessler RC, Kolody B, Merikangas KR, Molnar BE, Walters EE, Warner LA, Wittchen HU. Prevalence and age of onset for drug use in seven international sites: Results from the international consortium of psychiatric epidemiology. DrugAlcohol Depend 2002;68:285–297.
- World Health Organization. Procedures for the Development of New Language Versions of the WHO composite International Diagnostic Interview (WHO-CIDI). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1997.
- World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Alcohol. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse; 2004.
- Yamamoto J, Rhee S, Chang DS. Psychiatric disorders among elderly Koreans in the United States. Comm. Mental Health J 1994;30(1):17–27.

Lee et al.

# Table 1

Distribution of the NESARC and KECA Respondents by Selected Sociodemographic Characteristics

Sociodemographic	NESA	NESARC (USA)	KEC	KECA (Korea)	P-value for
characteristics	z	%(SE)	z	%(SE)	differences
Total	35336	100(0.0)	6253	100(0.0)	
Sex					0.894
Male	15619	49.04(0.34)	2743	49.30(1.92)	
Female	23227	50.96(0.34)	3510	50.70(1.92)	
Age					0.000
18-24	5199	15.34(0.31)	578	13.17(1.08)	
25-34	7759	21.86(0.35)	1546	30.62(2.04)	
35-44	0606	25.00(0.32)	1758	26.72(2.15)	
45-65	13288	37.80(0.41)	2371	29.39(2.36)	
Marital Status					0.000
Married/cohabiting	18859	62.61(0.49)	4642	68.32(2.91)	
Widowed/separated/divorced	6981	13.41(0.24)	460	5.49(1.24)	
Never married	9496	23.98(0.51)	1151	26.19(2.04)	
Employment Status					
Yes	29438	84.33(0.34)	3417	55.50(1.66)	0.000
No	2836	15.67(0.34)	5898	44.50(1.66)	
Education(years)					
< 12	5310	13.37(0.54)	2237	24.64(3.03)	0.000
12	10033	28.41(0.58)	2309	35.94(1.71)	
13-15	11132	31.86(0.47)	729	15.20(1.21)	
16+	8861	15.20(1.21)	975	24.22(3.87)	
Place of Residence					0.001
Urban	29243	80.80(1.60)	4533	90.53(2.17)	
Rural	6093	19 20/1 60)	1720	(L1 C)LV 0	

_
_
_
0
~
$\sim$
~
<u> </u>
<b>_</b>
-
ho
-
_
<
_
<u></u>
<u> </u>
Janu
-
5
00
0
<u>~</u>
<u> </u>
orip
0
<b>_</b>

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Sociodemographic	NESA	NESARC (USA)	KEC	KECA (Korea)	P-value for
characteristics	Z	%(SE)	Z	%(SE)	differences
Income					
Low	11259	11259 26.76(0.60) 2110	2110	24.30(4.33)	000 0
Moderate	16448	46.45(0.50)	2981	55.35(3.16)	00000
High	7629	26.79(0.77) 1020	1020	20.35(2.09)	

N : unweighted; % : weighted

#### Table 2

Prevalence and Adjusted<sup>a</sup> Odds Ratios of 12-month DSM-IV Alcohol Use Disorders by Country

	USA (NESARC) (%, S.E.)	KOREA (KECA) (%, S.E.)	NESARC vs. KECA Crude Odd Ratio (95%CI)	NESARC vs. KECA Adjusted <sup>a</sup> Odd Ratio (95%CI) <sup>b</sup>
Alcohol Use Disorder	9.7(0.3)	7.1(0.6)	1.42[1.116-1.74] <sup>b</sup>	1.13[0.93-1.38]
Alcohol Abuse	5.3(0.2)	2.0(0.3)	2.74[1.96-3.83] <sup>b</sup>	2.16[1.52-3.09] <sup>b</sup>
Alcohol Dependence	4.4(0.2)	5.1(0.5)	0.87[0.70-1.08]	0.69[0.56-0.86] <sup>b</sup>

 $^{a}$ Adjusted for gender, age, marital status, employment status, education, urbanicity and income.

 $^{b}$ Statistical significant at  $\alpha$ <0.05.

#### Table 3

Prevalence and Adjusted<sup>a</sup> Odd Ratios of 12-month DSM-IV Alcohol Use Disorders and Sociodemographic Characteristics by Country

		Alcohol Us	se Disorder	
	NE	SARC	K	ECA
Sociodemographic characteristics	Prevalence %(SE)	Adjusted Odd Ratio <sup>a</sup> 95% CI <sup>b</sup>	Prevalence %(SE)	Adjusted Odd Ratio <sup>a</sup> 95% CI <sup>b</sup>
Sex				
Male	13.9(0.4)	2.6[2.4-2.9] <sup>b</sup>	11.8(1.0)	4.5[3.2-6.3] <sup>b</sup>
Female	5.8(0.3)	1.0[Reference]	2.5(0.3)	1.0[Reference]
Age				
18-24	18.4(0.8)	3.2[2.7-3.7] <sup>b</sup>	10.0(0.3)	1.2[0.6-2.6]
25-34	11.9(0.8)	2.3[2.0-2.6)b	6.8(1.0)	1.0[0.6-1.8]
35-44	9.2(0.5)	1.7[1.5-2.0] <sup>b</sup>	7.1(0.8)	1.0[0.7-1.6]
45-65	5.4(0.3)	1.0[Reference]	6.1(0.1)	1.0[Reference]
Marital Status				
Married/cohabiting	6.8(0.3)	1.0[Reference]	6.1(0.7)	1.0[Reference
Widowed/separated/divorced	11.8(0.7)	$2.4[2.1-2.8]^b$	6.8(1.7)	1.6[0.9-3.0]
Never married	16.3(0.6)	1.7[1.5-1.9] <sup>b</sup>	9.7(1.5)	1.4[0.9-2.1]
Employment Status				
Yes	10.8(0.3)	2.0[1.7-2.4] <sup>b</sup>	9.0(0.7)	1.7[1.1-2.4] <sup>b</sup>
No	4.2(0.3)	1.0[Reference]	4.6(0.9)	1.0[Reference
Education(years)				
< 12	9.3(0.6)	1.1[0.9-1.3]	5.1(0.9)	1.4[0.9-2.1]
12	9.7(0.4)	1.1[0.9-1.3]	8.4(1.0)	2.0[1.3-3.1] <sup>b</sup>
13-15	11.5(0.4)	1.3[1.1-1.5] <sup>b</sup>	8.3(2.2)	1.7[0.9-2.9]
16 +	7.9(0.4)	1.0[Reference]	6.2(0.9)	1.0[Reference
Place of Residence				
Urban	9.6(0.3)	0.8[0.7-0.9] <sup>b</sup>	7.0(0.7)	0.9[0.6-1.3]
Rural	10.4(0.5)	1.0[Reference]	7.6(1.1)	1.0[Reference
Income				
Low	11.3(0.5)	0.9[0.8-1.1]	5.3(0.8)	0.6[0.4-0.8] <sup>b</sup>
Moderate	9.4(0.3)	0.9[0.8-0.9] <sup>b</sup>	6.9(1.0)	0.7[0.4-0.9] <sup>b</sup>
High	8.7(0.4)	1.0[Reference]	9.8(1.6)	1.0[Reference

 $^{a}\mathrm{Adjusted}$  for gender, age, marital status, employment status, education, urbanicity and income.

<sup>b</sup>Statistical significant at  $\alpha$ <0.05

#### Table 4

Twelve-month Prevalence and Adjusted<sup>a</sup> Odd Ratios of 12-month DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Sociodemographic Characteristics by Country

		Alcoho	l Abuse	
a · 1	NE	SARC	K	ECA
Sociodemographic characteristics	Prevalence %(SE)	Adjusted Odd Ratio <sup>a</sup> 95% CI <sup>b</sup>	Prevalence %(SE)	Adjusted Odd Ratio <sup>a</sup> 95% CI <sup>b</sup>
Sex				
Male	7.7(0.3)	2.6[2.3-2.9] <sup>b</sup>	3.5(0.6)	$5.8[2.6-12.7]^b$
Female	3.0(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	0.6(0.2)	1.0[Reference]
Age				
18-24	6.7(0.5)	1.8[1.4-2.2] <sup>b</sup>	3.1(0.9)	4.9[1.2-19.6] <sup>b</sup>
25-34	7.0(0.4)	1.9[1.6-2.3] <sup>b</sup>	1.7(0.3)	1.9[0.9-3.8]
35-44	5.7(0.4)	1.5[1.3-1.8] <sup>b</sup>	2.2(0.6)	1.7[1.1-2.8] <sup>b</sup>
45-65	3.5(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	1.6(0.4)	1.0[Reference]
Marital Status				
Married/cohabiting	4.4(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	1.9(0.4)	1.0[Reference]
Widowed/separated/divorced	6.3(0.4)	1.9[1.7-2.2] <sup>b</sup>	1.6(1.1)	1.3[0.3-5.4]
Never married	7.1(0.4)	1.4[1.2-1.6] <sup>b</sup>	2.4(0.6)	0.7[0.3-1.8]
Employment Status				
Yes	6.0(0.2)	2.4[1.9-3.1] <sup>b</sup>	2.7(0.5)	1.9[0.8-4.9]
No	1.7(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	1.2(0.3)	1.0[Reference]
Education(years)				
< 12	4.0(0.4)	0.9[0.7-1.1]	2.4(0.6)	$2.5[1.2-5.3]^b$
12	5.2(0.3)	1.1[0.9-1.3]	2.2(0.5)	1.6[0.8-3.2]
13-15	6.2(0.4)	1.3(1.1-1.5] <sup>b</sup>	1.7(0.4)	1.1[0.5-2.6]
16+	5.0(0.3)	1.0[Reference]	1.5(0.4)	1.0[Reference]
Place of Residence				
Urban	5.2(0.2)	0.9[0.7-1.0]	1.8(0.3)	0.6[0.3-0.9] <sup>b</sup>
Rural	5.6(0.4)	1.0[Reference]	3.7(0.8)	1.0[Reference]
Income				
Low	4.5(0.3)	0.7[0.6-0.9] <sup>b</sup>	2.1(0.4)	1.3[0.6-2.8]
Moderate	5.6(0.3)	0.9[0.8-1.1]	2.2(0.5)	1.3[0.5-3.1]
High	5.5(0.4)	1.0[Reference]	1.7(0.5)	1.0[Reference]

 $^{a}\mathrm{Adjusted}$  for gender, age, marital status, employment status, education, urbanicity and income.

 $^b$  Statistical significant at  $\alpha\!\!<\!\!0.05$ 

#### Table 5

Prevalence and Adjusted<sup>a</sup> Odd Ratios of 12-month DSM-IV Alcohol Dependence and Sociodemographic Characteristics by Country

		Alcohol D	ependence	
G	NE	SARC	K	ECA
Sociodemographic characteristics	Prevalence %(SE)	Adjusted Odd Ratio <sup>a</sup> 95% CI <sup>b</sup>	Prevalence %(SE)	Adjusted Odd Ratio <sup>a</sup> 95% CI <sup>b</sup>
Sex				
Male	6.2(0.2)	2.3[2.0-2.6] <sup>b</sup>	8.3(0.8)	3.9[2.6-5.8] <sup>b</sup>
Female	2.8(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	1.9(0.4)	1.0[Reference]
Age				
18-24	11.6(0.6)	5.0[3.9-6.4] <sup>b</sup>	6.9(2.9)	0.7[0.2-1.9]
25-34	4.9(0.3)	$2.6[2.1-3.3]^b$	5.0(0.9)	0.8[0.4-1.5]
35-44	3.6(0.3)	2.0[1.6-2.4] <sup>b</sup>	4.9(0.7)	0.9[0.5-1.5]
45-65	1.8(0.1)	1.0[Reference]	4.4(1.0)	1.0[Reference]
Marital Status				
Married/cohabiting	2.4(0.1)	1.0[Reference]	4.2(0.4)	1.0[Reference]
Widowed/separated/divorced	5.6(0.5)	3.0(2.4-3.7] <sup>b</sup>	5.3(1.1)	1.8[0.9-3.1]
Never married	9.2(0.4)	2.0[1.6-2.3] <sup>b</sup>	7.4(1.4)	1.7[1.1-2.8] <sup>b</sup>
Employment Status				
Yes	4.8(0.2)	1.6[1.3-1.9] <sup>b</sup>	6.4(0.5)	1.5[1.1-2.2] <sup>b</sup>
No	2.5(0.3)	1.0[Reference]	3.4(0.7)	1.0[Reference]
Education(years)				
< 12	5.4(0.4)	1.3[0.9-1.7]	2.7(0.5)	1.0[0.6-1.7]
12	4.5(0.3)	1.2[0.9-1.5]	6.2(0.1)	$2.1[1.2-3.7]^b$
13-15	5.3(0.3)	1.3[1.1-1.6] <sup>b</sup>	6.7(2.2)	1.9[0.9-3.7]
16 +	2.9(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	4.8(0.7)	1.0[Reference]
Place of Residence				
Urban	4.4(0.2)	0.9[0.7-1.1]	5.2(0.5)	1.3[0.8-2.0]
Rural	4.8(0.4)	1.0[Reference]	3.9(0.6)	1.0[Reference]
Income				
Low	6.8(0.4)	1.2[0.9-1.5]	3.1(0.6)	0.4[0.3-0.7] <sup>b</sup>
Moderate	3.8(0.2)	0.9[0.7-1.1]	4.8(0.7)	$0.6[0.4-0.9]^b$
High	3.2(0.2)	1.0[Reference]	8.1(1.7)	1.0[Reference]

 $^{a}\mathrm{Adjusted}$  for gender, age, marital status, employment status, education, urbanicity and income.

<sup>b</sup>Statistical significant at  $\alpha$ <0.05