

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 August 27

Published in final edited form as:

Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2009; 2009: 3849–3852. doi:10.1109/IEMBS.2009.5332570.

Visual Exploration of Genetic Association with Voxel-based Imaging Phenotypes in an MCI/AD Study

Sungeun Kim^{1,2}, Li Shen^{1,2}, Andrew J. Saykin^{1,3}, and John D. West¹

Sungeun Kim: sk31@iupui.edu; Li Shen: shenli@iupui.edu; Andrew J. Saykin: asaykin@iupui.edu; John D. West: jdwest@iupui.edu

¹ Center for Neuroimaging, Division of Imaging Sciences, Department of Radiology, Indiana University School of Medicine, 950 W Walnut St, R2 E124, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

² Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Indiana University School of Medicine, 950 W Walnut St, R2 E124, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

³ Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, 950 W Walnut St, R2 E124, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

Abstract

Neuroimaging genomics is a new transdisciplinary research field, which aims to examine genetic effects on brain via integrated analyses of high throughput neuroimaging and genomic data. We report our recent work on (1) developing an imaging genomic browsing system that allows for whole genome and entire brain analyses based on visual exploration and (2) applying the system to the imaging genomic analysis of an existing MCI/AD cohort. Voxel-based morphometry is used to define imaging phenotypes. ANCOVA is employed to evaluate the effect of the interaction of genotypes and diagnosis in relation to imaging phenotypes while controlling for relevant covariates. Encouraging experimental results suggest that the proposed system has substantial potential for enabling discovery of imaging genomic associations through visual evaluation and for localizing candidate imaging regions and genomic regions for refined statistical modeling.

Index Terms

Imaging genomics; voxel-based morphometry; genome-wide association study; visual exploration

I. INTRODUCTION

Neuroimaging genomics [1], [2] has recently emerged as a transdisciplinary research field where new strategies are examined to evaluate genetic effects on brain structure and function through joint analysis of imaging and genomic data. Although genome-wide association studies have been actively performed [3], it remains a highly challenging issue to effectively relate high throughput genotyping data to large scale image data. As pointed out by Glahn et. al. [1], in prior imaging genomics studies, researchers often reduce the image data to a small number of variables (e.g., nine imaging measures used in [2]) or focus on a single SNP or gene (e.g., [4]), to limit the number of statistical tests, control Type I error, and make computation feasible. However, significant reduction in one or both data types greatly limits our capability of identifying important relationships. We report our recent work on developing an imaging genomic browsing system that allows for whole genome and entire brain analyses via visual exploration. An initial prototype of this system was reported in [5], where one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to measure the associations between brain imaging phenotypes and genotypes and was tested on a synthetic data set. In this paper, we extend this system and apply it to a real data set focusing on amnestic mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and early

Alzheimer's disease (AD) [6]. Besides ANOVA, in this work, we extend this system to include analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) so that we can not only examine the associations between imaging phenotypes and genotypes, but also study the main effects of genotypes and diagnosis and their interaction effects in relation to imaging phenotypes while controlling for covariates such as age and gender.

II. METHODS

This imaging genomic analysis was performed on an existing MCI/AD cohort [6]. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data and genotype data were available for the cohort and subsequent imaging genomic analysis with these two types of data was performed using an imaging genomic browsing system [5]. Further information about the data and the analysis using the imaging genomic browsing system with advanced features is explained in Section II and Section III.

A. Data preprocessing

Participants of this study were selected from an existing MCI/AD cohort [6], including all the subjects in the cohort who have both imaging and genomic data available. These participants included 39 healthy older adults (HC), 36 euthymic older adults with cognitive complaints (CC), 34 older adults with amnestic MCI, and 6 adults with AD. Table I shows several participant characteristics. Structural MRI data were acquired on a 1.5 Tesla General Electric (GE) LX Horizon scanner using a T1-weighted Spoiled Gradient Recalled (SPGR) coronal series with 1.5 mm slice thickness. Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) [7] was employed for extracting gray matter (GM) maps of all participants and the SPM5 software package [8] was used for this purpose. Initial GM maps were extracted by segmenting the T1-weighted SPGR volumes after resampling them to 1 mm³ isotropic voxels. A 12-parameter model was used to spatially normalize the GM maps to the GM prior probability template. The normalized GM maps were smoothed using an isotropic spatial filter with full width half maximum of 10mm to help increase signal-to-noise ratio and account for individual differences in gyral anatomy. The smoothed normalized GM maps were used as imaging phenotypes in the subsequent analyses, where each voxel location corresponded to an imaging variable.

Genotype data was acquired from a custom Affymetrix single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) panel that included 3300 common SNPs in 1100 candidate genes selected from candidate molecular pathways for age-related memory decline [9]. These candidate genes/pathways were selected based on (1) a detailed search using Medline/PubMed and multiple databases of known or suspected genetic associations with neurological, psychiatric, neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders of central nervous system and (2) a detailed on-line and manual search for known or hypothesized genes involved in cognition and memory in humans and animal models. SNP values were coded as follows: 0 for AA, 1 for Aa, 2 for aa, and 5 for missing data. Before the imaging genomic analyses, a quality check for genotype data was performed using PLINK [3] and the results were briefly described below: Total genotyping rate was 0.99102. No individual was removed for low genotyping (missing rate per individual MIND > 0.05). 46 SNPs failed missingness test (missing rate per marker GENO > 0.1), 41 SNPs failed frequency test (minor allele frequency MAF < 0.01), and they were excluded from the analysis. All the SNPs passed Hardy-Weinberg Test ($p \le 10^{-6}$) and none was excluded for this reason. This procedure also identified one pair of participants among 115 subjects as a sibling pair. One sibling was randomly selected and included in the analysis and the other was excluded. Thus the total number of subjects involved in the study became 114.

B. Data analysis

Genome-wide association studies are very computationally intensive tasks partially due to a large number of genomic variables involved. In an imaging genomic analysis, the number of imaging variables is very large as well. Therefore, the computational bottleneck becomes the major challenge that such a study faces. To expedite the procedure of this imaging genomic study, the following two features were incorporated into our imaging genomic system: selection of regions of interest (ROIs), selection of candidate genes, highlighted with red rectangles in Fig. 1. To reduce the amount of computation, instead of conducting the whole brain analysis, in this study, hippocampus, amygdala, and the entire temporal lobe in both hemispheres were chosen as ROIs because these ROIs included brain structures most affected by MCI/AD. In addition to reducing the number of imaging variables, instead of scanning 3300 SNPs, we selected a subset of SNPs known to be related to AD. These SNPs were determined based on a search on the Alzheimer Research Forum

(http://www.alzforum.org/res/com/gen/alzgene/default.asp) [10]. From this search, 16 genes were found (see Table II) in common to our 1100 candidate genes and 137 SNPs were selected.

This imaging genomic analysis was designed to find interaction effects of diagnosis and SNP on imaging phenotypes. The imaging phenotypes (GM maps) could be affected by other factors, such as age, gender, and intracranial volume (ICV, calculated from the FreeSurfer imaging analysis suite [11]). To remove the effect of additional factors, multi-factor ANCOVA was intended to be performed. Partially due to functional limitations of Matlab (2007b, The MathWorks, Natick, MA), multi-factor ANOVA with continuous and categorical factors was performed for each selected imaging variable instead of multi-factor ANCOVA in this study.

III. RESULTS

A. Performance of imaging genomic system

Fig. 1 shows the graphical user interface of our imaging genomic browsing system, which was used to conduct imaging genomic analyses via visual exploration. The current version of the program can perform multi-factor ANOVA with multiple categorical and continuous factors to look for main and interaction effects of factors on imaging phenotypes. In addition, it has functions to reduce search ranges in imaging and genomic domains and for users to interactively change viewing conditions such as view direction, threshold, and colormap. This system is based on Matlab, so that it can run on multiple platforms. If we run this imaging genomic system on a single machine, its performance in terms of computational time for calculating a statistical map of multi-factor ANOVA between one image and one SNP is acceptable for moderately sized data sets. With our data, it took about 2 to 4 seconds to calculate one statistical map, depending on the number of imaging variables within each image slice.

B. Statistical analysis

In this analysis, we focused on examining the interaction effect of genotypes and diagnosis on imaging phenotypes in order to localize ROIs in the imaging domain and candidate SNPs in the genomic domain for refined statistical modeling. We grouped all the subjects into three diagnostic groups: HC, CC, and MCIAD (i.e., MCI or AD). For each SNP, we had three genotypes: AA, Aa, and aa. Thus the interaction of genotype by diagnosis could take nine possible values. For simplicity, we defined these nine values (G1–G9) as follows: G1=AA*HC, G2=Aa*HC, G3=aa*HC, G4=AA*CC, G5=Aa*CC, G6=aa*CC, G7=AA*MCIAD, G8=Aa*MCIAD, and G9=aa*MCIAD. Given a SNP location, each subject could take one of the above nine values. Note that we only had 114 subjects but needed to divide them into nine groups for each SNP. This would result in very small groups (e.g., those containing only one or two subjects) in many cases. Clearly, performing statistical analysis on these cases would not derive meaningful results. Therefore, we added a constraint to our analysis, requiring the

minimum number of subjects among these nine groups to be be equal to or greater than 5. Fig. 2 and Table III show all the results that meet this requirement. In Fig. 2, colored associations are significant at the level of p < 0.05 for visualization purpose and in each panel, at least one voxel within the selected ROIs was significantly correlated with one SNP among 137 SNPs at the level of $p < 10^{-4}$. At this significance level ($p < 10^{-4}$) and minimum number of subjects (≥ 5), 4 genes (ACE, APP, CST3, and PSEN1) among 16 candidate genes, listed in Table II, contained SNPs that were significantly associated with some imaging variables within the selected ROIs (hippocampus, amygdala, and the entire temporal lobe in both hemispheres).

APP, PSEN1, and CST3 genes are known to contribute to the early-onset of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [12], [13], [14] and ACE is associated with AD [15]. The APOE gene, which is widely established as a major genetic risk factor for the development of AD, failed genotyping on the targeted array and so was not included in this study.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We presented our initial efforts toward developing an imaging genomic browsing system. This system was applied to an existing MCI/AD cohort and produced very encouraging results, consistent to the findings from other studies. These results support the usefulness of the imaging genomic browsing system as an analysis tool for refining results from genome-wide association studies and localizing brain regions, associated with specific genes and/or SNPs. To extend the effectiveness of the system, further refined statistical modeling will be employed for the localized imaging ROIs and candidate SNPs. In addition to confirming these results, development of new computational and algorithmic methods are under consideration to improve computational performance. The current computational performance is acceptable for moderately sized data but not fast enough to explore large-scale data. Therefore, a future plan includes further improvement of performance by developing more efficient algorithms or employing parallel computing systems.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIA R01 AG19771, NIBIB R03 EB008674, NIA P30 AG10133, and NCI R01 CA101318 from the NIH, Foundation for the NIH, an Indiana CTSI CBR/CTR award, and grant #87884 from the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC).

References

- Glahn DC, Thompson PM, Blangero J. Neuroimaging endophenotypes: Strategies for finding genes influencing brain structure and function. Hum Brain Mapp 2007;28:488–501. [PubMed: 17440953]
- Seshadri S, DeStefano A, Au R, Massaro J, Beiser A, et al. Genetic correlates of brain aging on MRI and cognitive test measures: a genome-wide association and linkage analysis in the framingham study. BMC Med Genet 2007;8:S15. [PubMed: 17903297]
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:559– 75. [PubMed: 17701901]
- Ahmad RH, Emily MD, Daniel RW. Imaging genetics: Perspectives from studies of genetically driven variation in serotonin function and corticolimbic affective processing. Biol Psychiatry 2006;59:888– 897. [PubMed: 16442081]
- Kim S, Shen L, Saykin AJ, West JD. Data synthesis and tool development for exploring imaging genomic patterns. IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Bioinformatics and Computational Biology 2009:298–305.
- Saykin AJ, Wishart HA, Rabin LA, et al. Older adults with cognitive complaints show brain atrophy similar to that of amnestic MCI. Neurology 2006;67:834–842. [PubMed: 16966547]

- 7. Ashburner J, Friston K. Voxel-based morphometry-the methods. NeuroImage 2000;11:805–821. [PubMed: 10860804]
- 8. Wellcome Dept. of Imaging Neuroscience. The statistical parametric mapping software package. London, UK: [accessed on March 31, 2008.]. Available at http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/
- Saykin AJ, Sloan CD, Wishart HA, Flashman LA, et al. A genome wide association study using mri and a targeted pathway array to examine medial temporal lobe morphology in older adults at-risk for alzheimer's disease. World Cong of Psychiatric Genetics 2007:868.
- Bertram, L.; McQueen, M.; Mullin, K.; Blacker, D.; Tanzi, R. Alzheimer research forum. [accessed on Jan 25, 2009.]. Available at http://www.alzgene.org
- 11. Athinoula, A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging. FreeSurfer imaging analysis suite. [accessed on Jan 25, 2009.]. Available at http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/
- 12. Cruts M, Hendriks L, Van Broeckhoven C. The presenilin genes: a new gene family involved in alzheimer disease pathology. Hum Mol Genet 1996;5:1449–1455. [PubMed: 8875251]
- 13. Ancolio K, Dumanchin C, Barelli H, Warter JM, Brice A, et al. Unusual phenotypic alteration of beta amyloid precursor protein (betaAPP) maturation by a new Val-715 → Met betaAPP-770 mutation responsible for probable early-onset alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96(7):4119– 4124. [PubMed: 10097173]
- Beyer K, Lao JI, Gomez M, Riutort N, Latorre P, et al. Alzheimer's disease and the cystatin c gene polymorphism: an association study. Neurosci Lett 2001;23(315):17–20. [PubMed: 11711204]
- 15. Narain Y, Yip A, Murphy T, Brayne C, Easton D, et al. The ACE gene and Alzheimer's disease susceptibility. J Med Genet 37

SNP Assay Inx: 177 Chrom Name: 21				Underlay
Chrom Pos: 26172668 Gene: APP				Overlay
RS Num: rs8127927				Load ROIs
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	A TOP IN THE OWNER			Reset Gene Filter
11 1 1 1 1 1 1	10000			
A 19350	ELSY/T		Thres	Group Analysis
1 153(59	Laza M. Ca		0.05	Show Overlay
0.0000				Group Analysis Output
	A STATISTICS			SNP*DX
1 1 C	and the	125		Screen
 Giller 				Color Map
10 0	10000	15	MAX	Jet 🗸
Martin .	16.5238° 74		- 1	Change CND Info
Slice				Show SMP IIIIo
Saggital 🖌 🔹		•	143	Capture Screen
	Prev Next		Whole Brain	Animation
ISNP				Animauon
Assay 🗸 📢		•	2	Sten

Fig. 1.

Graphical user interface of the imaging genomic browser, displaying a resultant statistical map on top of an anatomical underlay. Kim et al.



Fig. 2. Imaging genomic patterns.

TABLE I

Participant characteristics

	Age (mean±std)	Sex (M, F)
HC	71±5.1 years	12, 27
CC	73±5.6 years	13, 23
MCI	73±6.7 years	19, 15
AD	71±9.2 years	4, 2
ALL	72±6.0 years	48, 67

TABLE II

Symbols and official full names of 16 selected genes.

Gene Symbol	Official Full Name
ACE	angiotensin I converting enzyme (peptidyl-dipeptidase A) 1
APOE	apolipoprotein E
APP	amyloid beta (A4) precursor protein
BDNF	brain-derived neurotrophic factor
CHRNB2	cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, beta 2 (neuronal)
CST3	cystatin C
IL1B	interleukin 1 beta
MAPT	microtubule-associated protein tau
MTHFR	5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (NADPH)
NEDD9	neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally down-regulated 9
PRNP	prion protein (p27–30)
PSEN1	presenilin 1
PSEN2	presenilin 2
SORL1	sortilin-related receptor, L(DLR class) A repeats-containing
TF	transferrin
TFAM	transcription factor A, mitochondrial

TABLE III

row is 114 or less, since the genotyping missing rates vary among these SNPs. Resultant map of each RefSNP corresponds to each panel in Fig. 2 from left Number of subjects in each SNP by diagnosis group. Subject groups (G1–G9) were defined by SNP values and diagnoses. The number of subjects in each to right and top to bottom.

Kim et al.

RefSNP	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	68	69
rs177415	7	23	8	9	14	15	6	18	Ξ
rs2424577	17	16	9	17	12	9	14	19	9
rs4311	13	17	6	11	18	7	12	18	6
rs4344	8	19	12	7	18	11	11	19	6
rs165935	12	19	8	11	20	5	12	16	Ξ
rs2242682	13	19	7	13	18	5	13	19	2
rs4295	15	18	9	17	14	5	16	18	5
rs1800764	11	16	12	10	14	12	5	19	15