



Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr Nurs. 2010 October ; 25(5): 360–366. doi:10.1016/j.pedn.2009.04.008.

Food Security and Dietary Intake in Midwest Migrant Farmworker Children

Jill F. Kilanowski, Ph.D., RN, CPNP [CTSA KL2 Scholar & Assistant Professor] and
Frances Payne Bolton School of Nursing, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue,
Cleveland, OH 44106-4904, jill.kilanowski@case.edu; jfk19@case.edu, 614-793-1305, FAX
216-368-3542

Laura C. Moore, MS, RD [Research Dietitian]

Department of Clinical and Molecular Endocrinology, Case Western Reserve University, 10900
Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106-4904

Children of Latino migrant farmworkers in the U.S. are at high risk for significant health problems, including overweight, obesity and diabetes mellitus—problems compounded by nonfinancial barriers to healthcare access, according to the Latino Consortium sponsored by the American Academy of Pediatrics (Committee on Community Health Services, 2005; Flores et al., 2002). Of the estimated 3 to 5 million migrant farmworkers (MFWs) in the U.S., about 90% of those surveyed are Latino and some 61% live with their spouses and children while working in the U.S. (Carroll, Samardick, Bernard, Gabbard & Hernandez, 2005; United States Department of Labor, 2005). Large database studies show that Latino children, ages 6 to 11 years, are disproportionately obese (22%) compared to all U.S. children of the same age group (19%) (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [USDHHS], 2007). The researcher's previous study in 2005-2006 found that 41% of MFW children ages 6 to 11 years in the test group (n = 44) were obese and 18% were overweight (Kilanowski & Ryan-Wenger, 2007).

Given the high incidence of obesity in Latino MFW children, it is important to examine the influencing factors. To that end, a descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in MFW camps in Ohio, June to September 2007. The study tested the feasibility of using two survey instruments to collect information from Latino MFW parents on the levels of household food security and the food intake of their children.

Particular targets of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' *Healthy People 2010* guidelines are to decrease the overweight rate in children ages 6 to 11 years to 5%, increase food security, and increase the proportion of persons who eat adequate amounts of fruit and vegetables every day (USDHHS, 2008b). The children of MFWs are especially at risk for suboptimal health and need culturally specific intervention programs that educate and actively

This information has been presented in a poster presentation entitled, "Household Food Security and Dietary Intake in Midwest Migrant Farmworker Children" at the:

- 32nd Midwest Nursing Research Society Conference, Indianapolis, IN, March 2008
- Research Showcase, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, April 2008
- National Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners (NAPNAP) 29th Annual Conference, Nashville, TN, April 2008

There is no commercial financial support

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

promote healthy eating. First, however, it is necessary to understand factors that affect the children's weight, particularly those factors that can impede efforts to achieve a healthy body weight and healthy nutrition (Reifsnider, Keller & Gallagher, 2006).

Literature review

In a 2006 study of low-income Latino toddler children (n = 374) enrolled in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) in a large southwest city, researchers concluded that information on dietary intake, as well as the home environment and community components, were needed to tailor interventions to match client needs (Reifsnider et al., 2006).

In 2007, Langevin and colleagues used the Block Food Frequency Questionnaire for Kids 2004 to evaluate dietary intake of third- through sixth-grade children from a low socioeconomic urban area of New Jersey with an 85% African-American or Latino population. In this group, 22% of the children were classified as overweight and 36% were obese. In addition, over 90% of the children did not meet the recommended fruit and vegetable intake (Langevin et al., 2007). It should be noted that food intake may have been underestimated in this study, as surveys were completed by the children themselves, were not culturally specific, and some children had difficulty determining portion sizes from pictures used in the surveys. With pediatric subjects, parents most often complete dietary intake surveys.

Food security can provide information on well-being that cannot be inferred from income data alone and is defined as whether the household is able to obtain enough food to meet their family's needs (Bickel, Nord, Price, Hamilton & Cook, 2000; Nord, Andrews & Carlson, 2006). When one controls for household income, region of residence, and household composition, food security in Latino households has been consistently lower than national rates (82% versus 89%) (Melgar-Quinonez & Kaiser, 2004; Nord et al.). In low-income California Latino households (n = 212) for example, 45% were marginal food secure, 13% low food secure, and 3% very low food secure (Kaiser et al., 2004).

Among diverse demographic categories, households experiencing low levels of food security were associated with their children being overweight and obese (Casey et al., 2006). Studies of food security and nutritional outcomes of preschool-aged Mexican-American children (n = 211 and 256) found that rates of overweight or obese children (37%) peaked among low and very low food secure families (Kaiser et al., 2002; Kaiser et al., 2003). In very low food secure households, children were less likely to meet U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Guide Pyramid recommendations, and this was associated with fewer household supplies of both nutrient-dense (oranges, apples, bananas, carrots, beef, fish) and less nutritious foods (soda, cookies, chips, gelatin and powdered chocolate flavoring) (Kaiser et al., 2004; Quandt, Arcury, Early, Tapia & Davis, 2004). In greater than 90% of households reporting very low food security, traditional foods such as corn tortillas, white rice, onions, beans, and cooking oil remained stable food items, as well as nontraditional foods such as hot dogs, sweetened cereal, ice cream, candy and fruit-flavored punches (Kaiser et al., 2004).

Research continues to show that low-income families experience lower levels of household food security and therefore their children are at greater risk for having unbalanced diets (Alaimo, Olson & Frongillo, 2007). Given the high incidence of obesity in Latino MFW children, it is important to examine in more depth the influencing factors.

Method

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in migrant camps in Ohio during the summer months of June to September 2007, using two survey instruments to obtain information

from Latino farmworker parents on the level of household food security and food intake of their children. Participants were obtained from cluster-sampled farms that employed MFWs (n = 50). Farm A occupied 2000 acres and grew 500 acres of potatoes plus 30 other types of vegetables. Farm B occupied 2500 acres and grew over 60 types of vegetables and fruits. The farms employed MFWs who originated from Mexico, Texas, and Central America.

This study was approved by the Case Institutional Review Board of Case Western Reserve University. Inclusion criteria were: 1) MFW parents 18 years and older with children 2 to 13 years of age; 2) ability to give informed consent; and 3) ability to complete three questionnaires in Spanish or English. Participants completed: 1) a short demographic questionnaire used previously by the researcher, 2) the U.S. Household Food Security Survey short form scale, and 3) the Food Frequency Survey (a dietary food intake survey, completed by parents and designed for low-income Latinos). Placement of data collection areas partially removed participants from each other and maintained subject privacy. The proposed study also underwent a cultural assessment and review, and letters of access were obtained from farm owners. Participants were compensated for their time with a large chain store gift card.

Instruments

A 28-item demographic questionnaire developed by the researcher included typical questions asked of parents in a well-child examination and questions from the literature on dietary intake, food insecurity, and overweight children (Kilanowski, 2006; Kilanowski & Ryan-Wenger, 2007). Questions were available in both Spanish and English and had a Flesch-Kincaid grade level readability score of fifth grade. The demographic questionnaire was translated and back translated by culturally appropriate native Spanish speakers and was assessed by the Institutional Review Board for cultural appropriateness.

U.S. Household Food Security Survey (USHFSS)

The U.S. Household Food Security Survey (USHFSS) short form scale is available in English and Spanish and contains multiple indicator questions that distinguish the various levels of severity of food security (Nord, Andrews & Carlson, 2004). The USHFSS is based on data collected annually by the U.S. Census Bureau as a supplement to the monthly Current Population Survey of interviewed households (Bickel et al., 2000; Coates, Swindale & Bilinsky, 2006; Economic Research Services (ERS)/USDA, 2004; Nord et al.). Households are classified in a range from “food secure” if they report no food-insecure conditions, to “very low food secure” if food-insecure conditions are reported (ERS, 2006). Completed surveys are assigned scale scores and classified into food security levels based on standard values in total number of affirmatives: 0 = food secure; 1 = marginal food security; 2 to 4 = low food security; 5 to 6 = very low food security (Bickel et al.; Nord et al., 2006).

The USHFSS is a valid instrument used to produce prevalence estimates, and documents the presence of hunger in a community (Bickel et al., 2000; Nord, Andrews & Carlson, 2004; USDA, 1998). Non-interview data collections have successfully used the six-question version of the short form of the USHFSS with alternative language formats. Although the USHFSS has been conducted primarily by personal interview, telephone and on-site self-administration with Latino populations have also been used.

In an Iowa study (n = 3018), the USHFSS short form scale was mailed to participants in a WIC program and results showed 43% of households experienced some level of food insecurity with almost 41% of Latinos responding affirmatively (Iowa Department of Public Health, 2004). The six-question short form scale classified 95.6% of households with children correctly (n = 16,914), and underestimated the prevalence of overall food security and of hunger by only 0.3 percentage points (Blumberg, Bialostosky, Hamilton & Briefel, 1999). Sensitivity and

specificity of the short form scale for households with children to determine overall food security are 85.9% and 99.5% respectively, and for the determination of very low food security, 78.4 % and 99.2% (ERS/USDA).

The Spanish language version of the USHFSS was developed with the use of focus groups of low-income Spanish-speaking participants from Mexico, Central America, Puerto Rico and Cuba (Harrison, Stormer, Herman & Winham, 2003). Three professional translators were employed to render the English version into "standard" Spanish, and then both instruments were back translated for integrity. The instrument was pilot-tested and the resulting USHFSS Spanish language version has been well used in research. The USHFSS has shown internal consistencies of Cronbach's alpha of greater than or equal to 0.85, and accuracy for use in populations and in individuals was established by comparison of three food security measures: the USHFSS, the Radimer/Cornell and the Community Childhood Hunger Identification Project (USDA, 1998).

Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ)

The Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) is a 57-item dietary food intake survey developed during focus groups with Mexican-American parents in California and pilot-tested to measure children's dietary intake (Melgar-Quinonez & Kaiser, 2004). In this study, parents were asked to indicate how many times (frequency) food items typical to the Latino cuisine were consumed (daily, weekly or monthly) and note the portion size (small, medium, large). In its developmental testing, use of the FFQ yielded estimates of Food Guide Pyramid food group servings similar to those based on direct observations (n = 11) (Kaiser et al., 2002).

The USDA Food Guide Pyramid recommendations for children used the calculated number of servings per week from each food group, and minimum requirements for each food group were determined. Scoring is 1 = recommended requirements for each food group (dairy, fruits, vegetables, meat and grains) are achieved, 0 = recommended requirements are not achieved (Kaiser, et al.) A total score is obtained by summing the five groups, with a range of 0 to 5. The greater the score, the more food group requirements suggested by the USDA are met. The FFQ is available in both Spanish and English. The Spanish version of the FFQ was cross-checked independently by three Spanish experts from different Latin American countries and pilot-tested with Mexican-Americans (n = 11) who met inclusion criteria for the study but were not included in the final sample.

The USDA introduced the Food Guide Pyramid in 1992 as a simple illustration to help Americans choose healthy diets based on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (Gao, Wilde, Lichtenstein & Tucker, 2006). In 2005, the USDA retired the old Food Guide Pyramid and replaced it with MyPyramid, a new symbol and an "interactive food guidance system" available online at the USDA Web site. The new pyramid does not contain the number of daily servings for each food group because nutrient intake is different for each individual according to weight, gender, age, and newly added activity level (USDA, 2005). MyPyramid also emphasizes the importance of controlling weight not adequately addressed in previous versions.

In this study, the FFQ evaluated achievement of the minimum recommended daily requirements using the Food Guide Pyramid for age and gender outlined in the Current Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDHHS & USDA, 2005). The serving sizes for the Food Guide Pyramid and MyPyramid do not differ for age and gender. If a child does not meet the daily recommendations for each food group using the Food Guide Pyramid requirements, they would also not meet MyPyramid requirements.

Results

Participants

The majority of participants were married Spanish-speaking women of Latino ethnicity without a high school diploma, working full time, and with a monthly family income of less than \$1000. Two farms (n = 39, 11) were used as research sites and, although not shown here, they had similar demographic characteristics. Demographics for the entire sample (n = 50) can be seen in Table 1. The families resided in buildings provided free of cost by the farm owner, and 12% had their own garden to grow produce. The majority of families felt their house was very safe (38%) or safe (56%) and rated their children's play space as very safe (31%) or safe (56%). When asked if kitchen appliances provided were functioning, this was sometimes not true for stoves (8%), ovens (17%), or refrigerators (4%). Forty-four percent of the MFW parents reported concerns about their child's current weight (not specifically overweight), but only 18% had a scale at home to measure body weight. Forty-three percent of the parents thought their child ate a balanced diet on a daily basis, and 18% thought their children ate too many sweets. Soda pop was consumed daily by 25% of the children, and 76% drank milk daily. In food preparation, 34% of mothers used lard.

Household food security

Only 30% of MFW households scored high on food security, 18% were marginal, 44% low and 8% very low food security. However, there was a significant difference in the level of household food security, with more families on the larger farm having lower food security than families on the smaller farm ($t = 2.25, p = 0.029$).

Dietary Intake

The analysis showed that 22% of the children (unequal numbers of girls and boys) met all Food Guide Pyramid/MyPyramid daily minimum food group serving recommendations for age and gender, (13% of the girls and 35% of the boys). Daily minimum food group serving recommendations defined by the Food Guide Pyramid/MyPyramid were met by 50% of the children in the milk and dairy category (37% girls, 70% boys). However, less than half of the children met the minimum daily serving recommendations for vegetables (30%; 27% girls, 35% boys), fruit (48%; 37% girls, 65% boys), and grains (48%; 37% girls, 65% boys). In the category of meat and beans, 66% of the children, including 67% of the girls and 65% of the boys, met minimum daily serving recommendations. The level of attainment in the meat and beans category was lower among children on the larger farm ($t = 2.178, p = 0.037$). National pediatric data are analyzed by categories of age and age/gender and the age group of 6 to 11 years is often the benchmark comparison group. When examining this study's sample of 6 to 11 year old children (n = 18), 42% of girls (n = 12) and 50% of boys (n = 6) met the minimum daily serving recommendations for fruit intake and 25% of girls and 0% of boys met the minimum daily servings of vegetables recommendations.

Discussion

In this study, a majority of MFW households experienced low levels of food security and their children did not meet the recommended dietary guidelines. Table 2 compares available national data on food security to this MFW sample. However, samples from Midwest farms may not be representative of the entire region or the nation. Furthermore, information is not available on those who did not choose to participate.

There is little current information available to compare the study findings on the percent of children meeting the USDA Food Guide Pyramid/MyPyramid minimum daily serving recommendations and it often uses the 6 to 11 year old age category. When compared to the

1998 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals and the *Healthy People 2010* database, males in this small sample of 6 to 11 year old children showed a higher rate of meeting minimal dietary serving recommendations for fruit, while females had a lower rate of attainment. Males had lower rates of consuming vegetable serving recommendations, while females had a higher rate of attainment (USDHHS, 2008a; Healthy Youth, 2008; USDA, 1998). However, the sample in this age group was small, and may not be representative of the population. (See Table 3 for comparison data.)

Children from the farms with more acreage that is cultivated, more variety of crops, more employees, a more rural location, and larger migrant housing camps had lower scores on food security and a lower percentage met the serving recommendations in the meats and beans food groups. Casual conversations with MFW employees suggested that more families consistently returned to the small farm annually. The smaller farm employees were also less diverse in the location of their permanent homes, coming from fewer regions of Texas and Mexico and had Texas as their permanent home. The smaller farm also had a produce store on-site that sold fruits and vegetables to local people. In addition, employees were observed carrying ears of corn from the packing plant to their homes in the migrant camp, bypassing the produce store with permission. The larger farm was much more removed from merchants. Thus, the availability of produce may have affected food security and dietary intake.

The USHFSS instrument was easy to complete with minimal test burden to the participants. Subjects appeared to have no difficulty in its completion. The Food Frequency Questionnaire was used because of its cultural and ethnic considerations, but only 18 out of 50 surveys were accurately completed and scored with ease by a registered dietitian. An additional 25 surveys were completed in a way that made it possible, but time-consuming, to evaluate adherence to the Food Guide Pyramid serving recommendations. For example, only one of three food frequency columns (daily, weekly, monthly) was to be completed, but 12 questionnaires had multiple columns filled out. In addition, some parents neglected to choose the serving size of foods, so a medium portion was ascribed for 13 surveys. Seven surveys were lost for a variety of errors: check marks were used instead of numerical counts of times a food was consumed, frequencies of foods chosen did not add up properly, or food portions but not frequency were selected. To avoid missing data in future studies, it will be necessary to be very specific in directions, have a study coordinator help each subject fill out the questionnaire, and double-check all questionnaires after they are completed.

Conclusion

This study tested the feasibility and ease of use of two survey instruments to measure household food security and dietary intake of MFW families and their children: the U.S. Household Food Security Survey short form scale and the Food Frequency Questionnaire. Participants were successfully recruited for the study and were able to complete the research study instruments. From these instruments, the following conclusion was obtained: Migrant farmworker households reported lower than national rates of household food security and their children's diets did not meet the minimum daily serving recommendations of the U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Guide Pyramid and equivalent MyPyramid for age and gender.

Furthermore, from the literature, nutrition interventions can only expect to succeed if they are culturally appropriate and suitable to the subjects' milieu, as well as comprehensible to the participants of the intervention (Germann, Kirschbaum & Rich, 2007; Lindsay, Sussner, Kim & Gortmaker, 2006). Knowledge gained from this study will assist the development of future nutrition interventions to help Latino MFW families achieve better nutrient intakes and more efficient and cost-effective meal preparation, resulting in healthy weight, healthy nutrition, and improved health for their children.

References

- Alaimo K, Olson C, Frongilo E. Low family income and food insufficiency in relation to overweight in U.S. children. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine* 2007;155:1161–1167.
- Bickel, G.; Nord, M.; Price, C.; Hamilton, W.; Cook, J. *Guide to Measuring Household Food Security*, Revised 2000. Alexandria, VA: U.S Department of Agriculture; 2000.
- Blumberg S, Bialostosky K, Hamilton W, Briefel R. The effectiveness of a Short Form of the Household Food Security Scale. *American Journal of Public Health* 1999;89:1231–1234. [PubMed: 10432912]
- Carroll, D.; Samardick, RM.; Bernard, S.; Gabbard, S.; Hernandez, T. Findings from the National Agricultural Workers Survey (NAWS), 2001–2002: A Demographic and Employment Profile of the United States Farmworkers. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor; 2005.
- Casey P, Simpson P, Gossett J, Bogle M, Champagne C, Connell C, et al. The association of child and household food insecurity with childhood overweight status. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:e1406–e1413. [PubMed: 17079542]
- Coates, J.; Swindale, A.; Bilinsky, P. *Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for Measurement of Food Access: Indicator Guide Version 2* 2006 July 2006. Washington, D.C.: Academy for Educational Development; 2006.
- Committee on Community Health Services. Policy statement: Providing care for immigrant, homeless, and migrant children. *Pediatrics* 2005;115:1095–1100. [PubMed: 15805397]
- Economic Research Service (ERS). Briefing Room. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture; 2006. *Food Security in the United States: Household Survey Tools*.
- Economic Research Service/United States Department of Agriculture (ERS/USDA). *Household Food Security in the United States*, ERR-11. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture; 2004.
- Flores G, Fuentes-Afflick E, Barbot O, Carter-Pokras O, Claudio L, Lara M, et al. The Health of Latino Children. *JAMA* 2002;288:82–90. [PubMed: 12090866]
- Gao X, Wilde P, Lichtenstein A, Tucker K. The 2005 USDA Food Guide Pyramid Is Associated with More Adequate Nutrient Intakes within Energy Constraints than the 1992 Pyramid. *The Journal of Nutrition* 2006;136:1341–1346. [PubMed: 16614427]
- Germann J, Kirschembaum D, Rich B. Child and parental self-monitoring as determinants of success in the treatment of morbid obesity in low-income minority children. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 2007;32:111–121. [PubMed: 16775083]
- Harrison G, Stormer A, Herman D, Winham DM. Development of a Spanish-Language Version of the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module. *The Journal of Nutrition* 2003;133:1192–1197. [PubMed: 12672942]
- Healthy Youth! Nutrition. 2008. Retrieved May 1, 2008 from, <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/nutrition/guidelines/summary.htm>
- Iowa Department of Public Health, Iowa WIC Program. *Extent of Food Insecurity among Iowa WIC Participants*, August 2004. 2004. Retrieved April 5, 2009 from www.idph.state.ia.us/wic
- Kaiser LL, Martin A, Metz D, Nicholson Y, Fujii M, Lamp C, et al. Food insecurity prominent among low-income California Latinos. *California Agriculture* 2004;58:18–23.
- Kaiser LL, Melgar-Quinonez H, Lamp C, Johns M, Sutherlin J, Harwood J. Food security and nutritional outcomes of preschool-age Mexican-American children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2002;102:924–929. [PubMed: 12146552]
- Kaiser LL, Melgar-Quinonez H, Townsend M, Nicholson Y, Fujii M, Martin A, et al. Food insecurity and food supplies in Latino households with young children. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 2003;35:148–153. [PubMed: 12773286]
- Kilanowski JF, Ryan-Wenger N. Health status of an invisible population: Carnival and migrant worker children. *Western Journal of Nursing Research* 2007;29:100–120. [PubMed: 17228063]
- Kilanowski JF. Lesson learned from a pilot study on the health status of children from itinerant populations. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care* 2006;20:253–260. [PubMed: 16831633]
- Langevin D, Kwiatkowski C, McKay M, Maillet J, Tougher-Decker R, Smith, et al. Evaluation of diet quality and weight status from a low socioeconomic urban environment supports “at risk”

classification. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2007;107:1973–1977. [PubMed: 17964318]

Lindsay A, Sussner K, Kim J, Gortmaker S. The role of parents in preventing childhood obesity. *The Future of Children* 2006;16:169–186. [PubMed: 16532663]

Melgar-Quinonez H, Kaiser LL. Relationship of child-feeding practices to overweight in low-income Mexican-American preschool-aged children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2004;104:1110–1119. [PubMed: 15215770]

Nord, M.; Andrews, M.; Carlson, S. Household Food Security in the United States, 2004 Report No: 11. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture; 2004.

Nord, M.; Andrews, M.; Carlson, S. Household Food Security in the United States, 2005 Report No:29. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture; 2006.

Quandt S, Arcury T, Early J, Tapia J, Davis J. Household food security among migrant and seasonal Latino farmworkers in North Carolina. *Public Health Reports* 2004;119:568–576. [PubMed: 15504448]

Reifsnider E, Keller CS, Gallagher M. Factors related to overweight and risk for overweight status among low-income Hispanic children. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing* 2006;21:186–196. [PubMed: 16713509]

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals 1994-1996. 1998. Retrieved April 8, 2008 from, <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/nutrition/guidelines/summary.htm>

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). MyPyramid Plan. 2005. Retrieved June 8, 2008 from, <http://www.mypyramid.gov>

United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). DATA2010...the Healthy People 2010 Database March 2008 edition. 2008a. Retrieved April 9, 2008 from, <http://wonder.cdc.gov/scripts/broker.exe>

United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). Healthy People 2010: Objectives for improving health. 2008b. Retrieved June 8, 2008, <http://www.healthypeople.gov/nchs/about/otheract/hpdata2010>

United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). 2007. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Retrieved June 8, 2008 from, <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm>

United States Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture. Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDHHS & USDA). 6. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; Jan. 2005

United States Department of Labor. The National Agricultural Workers Survey 2001-2002. Washington, D.C.: Employment & Training Administration; Jul 21. 2005 Report No.: 9.2005. Retrieved July 21, 2006, from <http://www.healthypeople.gov/nchs/about/otheract/hpdata2010>

Table 1

Demographics of study participants

| Gender - Parents | | Marital Status | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---|----------|
| Men | 8% (4) | Married | 72% (36) |
| Women | 92% (46) | Living with partner | 16% (8) |
| | | Divorced | 2% (1) |
| Ethnicity | | Single parent | 6% (3) |
| Hispanic | 96% (48) | Missing | 4% (2) |
| Non-Hispanic | 2% (1) | | |
| Missing | 2% (1) | | |
| Language at home | | Work status | |
| English | 6% (3) | Full time | 76% (38) |
| Spanish | 66% (33) | Part time | 16% (8) |
| Both | 26% (13) | Not employed | 6% (3) |
| Other | 2% (1) | Missing | 2% (1) |
| Monthly family income | | Number of children in household | |
| Less than \$500 | 32% (16) | 1 | 72% (36) |
| \$500-1000 | 24% (12) | 2 | 14% (7) |
| \$1000-2000 | 38% (19) | 3 | 10% (5) |
| Prefer not to answer | 6% (3) | 4 or more | 2% (1) |
| | | Missing | 2% (1) |
| Highest parental education | | | |
| Less than 9 th | 32% (16) | | |
| 9-11 | 34% (17) | | |
| HS graduate | 24% (12) | | |
| Some college | 10% (5) | | |
| Age of Study Child | | Gender of study child for dietary intake | |
| 2 to 5 years | 34% (17) | Boys | 40% (20) |
| 6 to 11 years | 36% (18) | Girls | 60% (30) |
| 12 to 13 years | 30% (15) | | |

Table 2

Family household food security levels in sample populations

| | Food Secure * | Low Food security | Very Low Food Security |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| National Rates ¹ | 89% | 7% | 4% |
| Hispanic Families ¹ | 82% | 13% | 5% |
| Families Below Poverty Line ^{**1} | 64% | 22% | 14% |
| Sample from Migrant Farmworker Families | 48% | 44% | 8% |

* Food secure category include food secure and marginal food security

** Household income-to-poverty ration under 1.0

¹ Nord, M., Andrews, M., & Carlson, S. (2006). *Household Food Security in the United States, 2005. Report No.:29*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture.

Table 3

Attainment of the recommended Food Guide Pyramid (MyPyramid) requirements in the fruit and vegetable groups in 6 to 11 year old migrant farmworker children compared to literature data

| | <i>1998 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals¹</i> | | <i>Healthy People 2010 Database²</i> | | <i>Migrant Farmworker Children Sample</i> | |
|------------|--|-------|---|-------|---|-------|
| | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| Fruit | 23% | 24% | 37% | 66% | 50% | 42% |
| Vegetables | 18% | 19% | NA | NA | 0% | 25% |

¹ United States Department of Agriculture, (1998).

² United States Department of Health and Human Services, (2008)