A Population Genetics-Based and Phylogenetic Approach to Understanding the Evolution of Virulence in the Genus *Listeria*[∀]†

Henk C. den Bakker,* Brittany N. Bundrant, Esther D. Fortes, Renato H. Orsi, and Martin Wiedmann

Department of Food Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

Received 18 February 2010/Accepted 12 July 2010

The genus Listeria includes (i) the opportunistic pathogens L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii, (ii) the saprotrophs L. innocua, L. marthii, and L. welshimeri, and (iii) L. seeligeri, an apparent saprotroph that nevertheless typically contains the prfA virulence gene cluster. A novel 10-loci multilocus sequence typing scheme was developed and used to characterize 67 isolates representing six Listeria spp. (excluding L. grayi) in order to (i) provide an improved understanding of the phylogeny and evolution of the genus Listeria and (ii) use Listeria as a model to study the evolution of pathogenicity in opportunistic environmental pathogens. Phylogenetic analyses identified six well-supported Listeria species that group into two main subdivisions, with each subdivision containing strains with and without the prfA virulence gene cluster. Stochastic character mapping and phylogenetic analysis of hly, a gene in the prfA cluster, suggest that the common ancestor of the genus Listeria contained the prfA virulence gene cluster and that this cluster was lost at least five times during the evolution of Listeria, yielding multiple distinct saprotrophic clades. L. welshimeri, which appears to represent the most ancient clade that arose from an ancestor with a prfA cluster deletion, shows a considerably lower average sequence divergence than other Listeria species, suggesting a population bottleneck and a putatively different ecology than other saprotrophic Listeria species. Overall, our data suggest that, for some pathogens, loss of virulence genes may represent a selective advantage, possibly by facilitating adaptation to a specific ecological niche.

Population genetics-based and phylogenetic studies have greatly contributed to the understanding of the evolutionary history and ecology of bacterial pathogens. In particular, multilocus sequence analyses (MLSA) and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based population genetics research have revealed the microevolutionary patterns of species complexes like the Bacillus cereus complex (12) or the microevolution of well-known pathogens like Yersinia pestis (2), Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi (57), and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (18). One of the common findings of these studies is that obligate pathogens generally have a genetically clonal population structure as inferred by MLSA (1), while the population structure of free-living facultative pathogenic bacteria is characterized by relatively high genetic variability (12, 70). It has been hypothesized that these differences in population structure are related to the fact that some obligate pathogens represent epidemic clones (38), i.e., clonal lineages whose members have an epidemiological advantage compared to other lineages and are therefore able to quickly spread within the population. Because this dispersal of the members of an epidemic clone occurs rapidly, there is not enough time to accumulate mutations.

In this paper we present a phylogenetic and population genetics study of the genus *Listeria*. This genus consists of six closely related pathogenic (L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii) and nonpathogenic (L. innocua, L. welshimeri, L. seeligeri, and a newly described species, L. marthii) species as well as a distantly related species, L. gravi (22). Another new species, L. rocourtiae, has been recently reported (33), but isolates were not available for inclusion in the study reported here. Because of the distant phylogenetic relatedness of L. gravi to the other Listeria species, it has been suggested that this species should be put in a separate genus, Murraya (63); L. gravi was thus not included in our study reported here. L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii are facultative pathogens of warm-blooded animals and are the causative agents of a severe infectious disease, listeriosis (67). While L. monocytogenes has a wide host range, including humans, the host range of L. ivanovii seems to be largely restricted to ruminants, in particular sheep (13), even though some human listeriosis cases caused by L. ivanovii have been reported (34).

Key virulence genes in *Listeria* include (i) six genes (*prfA*, *plcA*, *hly*, *mpl*, *actA*, and *plcB*) clustered in a genomic element, designated the *prfA* virulence cluster or the *Listeria* pathogenicity island (LiPI), and (ii) members of the internalin family (61). Genes in the *prfA* cluster encode functions that that are necessary for inter- and intracellular motility and intracellular survival in the host cell. While some internalin genes encode proteins essential for host cell invasion (e.g., *inlA* and *inlB*) (3), *inlC* has recently been shown to encode a protein critical for cell-to-cell spread (52), and the functions of a number of other internalin genes are also organized in clusters, including the *inlAB* operon, the *inlGHE* operon (which can also be present as an *inlGC2DE* or as an *inlC2DE* operon), which is found in

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Food Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Phone: (607) 255-1266. Fax: (607) 254-4868. E-mail: hcd5@cornell.edu.

[†] Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://aem .asm.org/.

^v Published ahead of print on 23 July 2010.

L. monocytogenes and an L. ivanovii species-specific pathogenicity island encoding sphingomyelinase and numerous internalins (13). Importantly, the presence or absence of the prfA cluster and virulence characteristics can also be used to classify Listeria species and clades into three groups, including (i) species that do contain the prfA virulence cluster and are known pathogens, like L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii, (ii) species that lack the *prfA* virulence cluster and are nonpathogenic (L. marthii and L. welshimeri), and (iii) species in which the presence of the *prfA* virulence cluster varies by strain. The last group contains L. seeligeri, which is nonpathogenic, although the majority of strains in the population contain the prfA virulence cluster (69), and L. innocua, which is also nonpathogenic, and although most strains lack the *prfA* virulence cluster, a small proportion of strains do carry this cluster (31, 68). The facts that the genus Listeria contains closely related nonpathogenic and pathogenic species and that strains with and without the prfA cluster within the same species make this genus an interesting model system for studies on the evolution of pathogenicity in opportunistic environmental pathogens. In addition, an improved understanding of the phylogeny and evolution of pathogenic and nonpathogenic Listeria spp. will also help in the development of appropriate assays for the specific detection and identification of human and animal pathogenic Listeria strains as well as regulations and intervention strategies that specifically target pathogenic species and strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates. A total of 50 Listeria spp. isolates were selected for characterization by multilocus sequence typing (MLST), including L. monocytogenes (n = 15), L. innocua (n = 11), L. marthii (n = 3), L. welshimeri (n = 6), L. seeligeri (n = 7), and L. ivanovii (n = 8). Except for L. ivanovii, isolates were selected from the Cornell University Food Safety Laboratory (CUFSL) culture collection. As the CUFSL culture collection only included a limited number of L. ivanovii subsp. ivanovii isolates, an additional three L. ivanovii subsp. ivanovii and three L. ivanovii subsp. londoniensis isolates were obtained from ATCC (Table 1). Selection of isolates other than L. ivanovii was made using a sigB-based phylogeny (see the supplemental material for the sigB tree and phylogenetic analysis) based on sigB sequence data for 676 Listeria spp. isolates. sigB was used as a phylogenetic marker for isolate selection, as (i) it had previously been shown to provide no evidence for positive selection and recombination in L. monocytogenes (45), (ii) allows for reliable classification into Listeria spp., and (iii) sequence data for a large isolate set were available. Isolates were specifically selected to (i) represent the main genetic lineages (based on a sigB-based phylogeny) in each species and (ii) represent sigB genetic diversity within each species and lineage (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

In addition to the 50 *Listeria* spp. isolates that were characterized by MLST here, DNA sequence data for the 10 genes targeted by MLST were also obtained from genome sequences for *Listeria* spp. isolates for which appropriate genome sequence data were available (Table 1), including *L. monocytogenes* (two genome sequences [F2365 and EGD-e] from references 12, 21, and 42; genome sequences available at http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/listeria_group/), *L. innocua* (one genome [21]), and *L. welshimeri* (one genome [28]).

Selection of MLST target loci and primer design. Loci for the MLST scheme used here were selected to fulfill five criteria: (i) no evidence for positive selection, (ii) no previous evidence for homologous recombination, (iii) present in all targeted *Listeria* species (meaning that they are part of the *Listeria* core genome), (iv) a dispersed distribution of target loci on the chromosome, and (v) inter- and intraspecific variability (i.e., no extreme conservation). Positive selection and recombination analyses performed on core genes found in four *L. monocytogenes* genomes and one *L. innocua* genome by Orsi et al. (46) were used to initially identify genes that showed (i) no evidence for positive selection and (ii) no detectable homologous recombination in these genomes. As the members of the genus *Listeria* show a high level of genome synteny (27), loci were selected to be dispersed throughout the EGD-e genome (Fig. 1). For loci that

were initially selected as possible target loci for a 10-gene MLST scheme, the presence of the loci in all six targeted *Listeria* spp. was confirmed by initial PCR amplification and sequencing of the targeted loci in a subset of 16 isolates (Table 1).

PCR primers were designed with the online version of PriFi (19) and alignments of the target gene sequences, which were created using sequence data obtained from the genome sequences for *L. monocytogenes* isolates EGD-e (GenBank accession number AL591824) and F2365 (GenBank accession number AL592022), *L. innocua* CLIP 11262 (GenBank accession number AL592022), and *L. welshimeri* SLCC5334 (GenBank accession number AM263198).

PCR amplification and sequencing. PCR amplification of the targeted gene fragments was performed using either genomic DNA prepared with the QIAamp DNA minikit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) or a cell lysate; cell lysates were prepared in 100 μ l 1× PCR buffer using lysozyme (2 mg/ml final concentration) and proteinase K (200 µg/ml final concentration), similar to a procedure described by Furrer et al. (20). PCR was performed in 50-µl reaction mixtures containing 0.5 μl of template, 10 μl of 5× PCR buffer, $MgCl_2$ at a final concentration of 1.5 mM, deoxynucleotide triphosphates at a final concentration of 200 µM each, forward and reverse primers at a final concentration of 0.5 μM each, and 0.5 μl of Taq polymerase (5 U/µl; GoTaq Flexi; Promega, Madison, WI). PCR conditions for all loci were similar and included (i) one cycle at 95°C for 5 min; (ii) 20 touchdown cycles with 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 30 s with a decrease of the annealing temperature by 0.5°C per cycle, and 72°C for 1 min; (iii) 20 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min; and (iv) one cycle at 72°C for 5 min. Some loci were amplified using a hot start protocol (see Table 2 for further details and primer sequences).

Sequence alignments. Alignments were constructed in MacClade 4.08 (35). Since all of the loci are protein-coding genes without insertions or deletions, the pair-wise alignment option in MacClade was used for automatic alignment.

Descriptive analyses. DnaSP 4.90.1 (58) was used to calculate the average number of pair-wise nucleotide differences per site (π), the average number of pair-wise nucleotide differences per sequence (k), the number of polymorphic sites, the number of mutations, the number of alleles, the GC content, Tajima's D value (test for neutrality of the data [65]), the number of synonymous and nonsynonymous mutations, and the rate of nonsynonymous-to-synonymous changes with a Jukes-Cantor correction.

Recombination analyses. A Sawyer test (60), implemented in GENECONV, and the PHI (pair-wise homoplasy index) statistic (7), implemented in PhiPack, were used to test for evidence of intragenic recombination; the default settings were used for both methods. Extensive simulation studies (7, 49) have shown that these methods are less prone to type I errors, as opposed to neighbor similarity score (NSS) (29) and maximum chi-square (37) statistics.

Structure analysis. To infer the ancestry of different clades identified among the sequence types (STs) in our data set, we performed an analysis using the linkage model of the program STRUCTURE (16); these analyses were performed essentially as described previously (11).

Positive selection analyses. PAML 4.1 (75) was used to test for evidence of positive selection within the 10 loci. Specifically, two tests for positive selection were performed, including (i) a likelihood ratio test for the overall presence of positive selection within a locus, based on model M1a (nearly neutral) compared to model M2a (positive selection) (74), and (ii) the branch site test 2 as described by Zhang et al. (77), which is a likelihood ratio test for the presence of positive selection in a specific branch within a phylogeny. In most cases the branches leading to the individual species were tested; however, in cases where a species could be subdivided into several distinct branches (e.g., lineages I, II, III, and IV in *L. monocytogenes*) these branches were also tested for evidence of positive selection (branches tested in these analyses represented the actual branches found in a given gene tree; these did not necessarily always correspond to major phylogenetic lineages [see. Fig. S2 in the supplemental material]). For both tests, the gene trees used were constructed with the neighbor-joining algorithm in PAUP* 4.010b (64) using sequences for all unique allelic types.

Phylogenetic reconstruction. BEAST 1.5.2 (14, 15) was used to construct separate phylogenies with a relaxed molecular clock model for each individual locus and to infer the position of the root of the tree in the absence of a suitable (closely related) outgroup. An advantage of the use of the relaxed molecular clock model is that it gives a summary statistic of the clockliness of the data, σ_r . If σ_r is 0 then the sequence data are perfectly clocklike, i.e., there is no variation in the branch rates. Larger values of σ_r correspond to increased rates of heterogeneity among branches. If the 95% highest posterior probability density of σ_r contained 0, a comparison with a strict clock model was performed using the Bayes factor as calculated using Tracer 1.5 (available from A. Rambaut at

| TABLE | 1. | Isolates | used |
|-------|----|----------|------|
| | | | |

| Species and isolate identifier ^a | Additional information |
|---|---|
| L innocua isolates | |
| FSI S4-045 | Isolated from algae along lake shore NV |
| FSL 54-045 | Joslated from lake wotar NV |
| FSL 54-031 | Leolated from non-units NV |
| FSL 54-1/0 [°] | Isolated from pond water, NY |
| FSL 54-235 | isolated from sidewark, urban environment, NY |
| FSL 54-3/8' | Isolated from water puddle, NY |
| FSL R2-609 | Isolated from food processing plant environment, USA |
| FSL S4-846 | Isolated from sidewalk, urban environment, NY |
| FSL R2-604 | Isolated from soil, urban environment, NY |
| FSL R6-556 | Isolated from food related environment, NE |
| FSL W3-075 | Hemolytic, isolated from food, USA |
| FSL J1-023' | Hemolytic, origin unknown |
| CLIP 11262* | Isolated from food, Morocco |
| L ivanovii subsp ivanovii | |
| E. $ivanova$ subsp. $ivanova$ | Paving isolate, obtained from USDA APS IA |
| FSL C2 011 [†] | Poving fotus isolata obtained from USDA-ARS, IA |
| FSL C2-011 | ATCC 10110 shoap isolate, Bularis |
| FSL F6 500 | ATCC 19119, sheep isolate, builden and the state Spain |
| FSL F0-399 | ATCC BAA-0/8, clinical speciment, sneep tetus, Spain |
| FSL F6-397 | AICC /00402, quality control strain for API products, origin unknown |
| L. ivanovii subsp. londoniensis | |
| FSL F6-598 ^b | ATCC BAA-139, isolated from washing water, Switzerland |
| FSL F6-595 | ATCC 49953, goat isolate, Belgium |
| FSL F6-596 | ATCC 49954, food isolate, France |
| T . | |
| L. monocytogenes | |
| FSL S4-440 | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, isolated from sidewalk, urban environment NY |
| FSL C1-406' | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, isolated from food, NY |
| FSL S4-643 | Lineage I, serotype 4b, isolated from surface bench, NY |
| FSL E1-039 | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, isolated from bovine clinical specimen, NY |
| FSL J1-194* | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, isolated from human sporadic case, NY |
| FSL R2-503* | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, human isolate, human outbreak, IL |
| HPB2262* | Lineage I, serotype 4b, human isolate, human outbreak, Italy |
| FSL N1-017* | Lineage I, serotype 4b, isolated from trout brine, NY |
| FSL J1-175* | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, isolated from water, NY |
| FSL J2-064* | Lineage I, serotype 1/2b, isolated from animal clinical specimen, NY |
| CDC F2365* | Lineage I, serotype 4b, food isolate, human outbreak, CA |
| FSL F2-553 [†] | Lineage II, serotype 1/2a, isolated from human sporadic case, NY |
| FSL N4-290 | Lineage II, serotype 1/2a, isolated from animal clinical specimen, NY |
| CDC J0161* | Lineage II, serotype 1/2a, human isolate, human outbreak, USA |
| 10403S* | Lineage II, serotype 1/2a, isolated from human skin lesion USA |
| CDC 12818* | Lineage II service 1/2a food isolate human outbreak USA |
| FSI N3-165* | Lineage II service 1/2a isolated from soil farm environment NY |
| CDC F6900* | Lineage II, service 1/2a, isolated from human sporadic case USA |
| EGD-e* | Lineage II, service 1/2a, isolated from animal case. Grad Britain |
| FSI SA 465 | Lineage II, strotype 1/2a, strain derived from water puddle NV |
| FSL 54 920 | Lineage IIIA, scretche 4, isolated from sidewalk, when amironment NV |
| FSL 54-059 | Lineage IIIA, scrotpp 4c, isolated from succeals, updat environment, NT |
| FSL F2-093 ⁺ | Lineage IIIA, serotype 44, isolated from human sporadic case, N I |
| FSL F2-323 | Lineage IIIA, serotype 40, isolated from numan sporadic case, N f |
| FSL J2-0/1 ⁺ | Lineage IIIA, serotype 4c, isolated from animal clinical specimen, N |
| FSL F2-208 | Lineage IIIC, serotype 4a, isolated from numan sporadic case, OH |
| FSL WI-111' | Lineage IV, serotype 4c, origin unknown |
| FSL R2-142 | Lineage IV, serotype 4c, isolated from food, NY |
| FSL W1-112 | Lineage IV, serotype 4a, origin unknown |
| FSL M2-030 | Lineage 1V, serotype 40, origin unknown |
| L. seeligeri | |
| FSL S4-003 | |
| FSL S4-009 | |
| FSL L5-054 [†] | |
| FSL S4-171 | |
| FSL N1-067 [†] | hly positive isolated from food related environment NV |
| FSI \$4-015 | hly positive, isolated from water natural environment NV |
| FSL S4-039 | <i>hlv</i> positive, isolated from soil. urban environment. NY |
| 10201007 | manufacture positive, isolated from son, arour environment, rei |
| L. marthii | |
| FSL S4-120 | ATCC BAA-1595, isolated from soil, natural environment, NY |

Continued on following page

TABLE 1—Continued

| Species and isolate identifier ^a | Additional information |
|---|--|
| FSL S4-710 FSL S4-965 [†] | BEIR NR-9581, isolated from water, natural environment, NYBEIR NR-9582, isolated from water, natural environment, NY |
| L. welshimeri | |
| FSL S4-059 [†] | Isolated from sidewalk, urban environment, NY |
| FSL S4-126 [†] | Isolated from algae in a pond, natural environment, NY |
| FSL S4-182 | Isolated from soil, natural environment, NY |
| FSL S4-027 | Isolated from floor, urban environment, NY |
| FSL C2-006 | Isolated from food-related environment. NY |
| FSL S4-145 | Isolated from leaves/debris. natural environment. NY |
| SLCC 5334* | Isolated from decaying vegetation, USA |

^{*a*} Isolates listed included 50 isolates for which genes sequences for the 10 MLST loci were determined here as well as 16 isolates for which sequence data were derived from existing genome sequences (these isolates are marked with a *); isolates that were used for initial MLSA development are marked with a †. ^{*b*} This isolate was originally deposited with ATCC as *L. ivanovii*, and it is listed by ATCC as *L. ivanovii* subsp. *ivanovii*; trees for all 10 loci group

this isolate into the *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis* clade (see Fig. 3), and this isolate is thus listed here as *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis*. ^c hly positive and negative notations indicate *L. seeligeri* isolates that were positive or negative by PCR for the gene encoding the *L. seeligeri* hemolysin.

http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/). The analyses were performed assuming a coalescent process with a constant population as a prior for intraspecific relationships and a Yule model (76) of speciation as a prior for the interspecific phylogenetic relationships.

PHYML version 3.0 (25) was used to infer maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny and bootstrap values based on concatenated sequences for the 10 loci. The hierarchical likelihood ratio test in Modeltest 3.7 (50) was used to select the model of nucleotide evolution for this analysis; a general time-reversible nucleotide substitution model with variant sites assumed to follow a gamma distribution and a probability *I* of sites being invariant (GTR+G+I) was identified as the best model and was used. ML bootstrap support (17) was inferred from 100 bootstrap replicates.

Reconstruction of evolutionary history of the *prfA* **cluster in** *Listeria.* To infer the evolutionary history of the *prfA* virulence gene cluster in *Listeria*, we used both parsimony-based (35) and stochastic/maximum likelihood-based (36) criteria to map the character evolution of the cluster on the phylogeny of *Listeria*. To map the character evolution on the phylogeny using the parsimony criterion, MacClade 4.08 (35) was used. Stochastic character mapping was performed with Mesquite (36).

To allow for these analyses, all strains were tested for the presence or absence of hemolytic activity using the Christie, Atkins, Munch, Petersen (CAMP) test (24). Hemolytic activity is associated with the presence of the hemolysin gene, which is found in the *prfA* virulence cluster. As the CAMP test for a number of *L. seeligeri* isolates was inconclusive (due to very weak hemolysis), a PCR assay was performed to test for the presence or absence of the *L. seeligeri* hemolysin gene (forward primer, 5'-GGGATCCGCATAGGAAAAATAATGGAGTAAA CAGC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GCGGCCGCTTATTTTATGGTGTGTGTGTGTA AGCG-3'). The presence of the hemolysin gene in the atypical CAMP-positive *L. innocua* isolates (FSL W3-075 and FSL J1-023) was further confirmed by PCR and sequencing of part of the hemolysin gene, and strain identity was confirmed by resequencing part of the *sigB* locus in these isolates.

To further probe the evolutionary history of the prfA cluster, we also constructed a phylogeny for the hemolysin gene (hly, which is located in the prfA cluster) for a subset of isolates that were found to be hly positive. These analyses were performed with 10 hly gene sequences that were available from publicly available genome sequences (L. monocytogenes EGD-e, F2365, and FSL J1-072 [see Table 1 for GenBank accession numbers]) and the following individual sequences (GenBank accession numbers in parentheses): L. monocytogenes FSL F2-208 (GU810924), L. ivanovii subsp. ivanovii (AY510072), L. ivanovii subsp. londoniensis (AY510073), L. seeligeri FSL S4-039 (EU755301), L. seeligeri FSL N1-067 (GU810921), L. innocua FSL J1-023 (GU810922), L. innocua FSL W3-075 (GU810923). The coding nucleotide sequences for hly were (manually) aligned in MacClade 4.08. Maximum likelihood analyses were performed using PAUP* version 4.010b (64). The hierarchical likelihood ratio test in Modeltest 3.7 (50) identified the Tamura Nei model with variant sites assumed to follow a gamma distribution (TrN+G) as the appropriate model to infer the hly phylogeny; ML bootstrap support (17) was inferred from 100 bootstrap replicates. The resulting hly phylogenetic tree was compared to the phylogenetic tree inferred from the 10 gene MLSA for the same isolates.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Sequences and alignments for all 10 loci (*ldh*, lmo0490, *prs*, *sigB*, *polC*, *rarA*, LMO1555, *pbpA*, *addB*, and LMO2763) have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers GU475501 to GU475964).

RESULTS

Descriptive analysis of sequence data. Among the 66 isolates (including 50 isolates sequenced here and sequence data obtained from 16 genome sequences [Table 1]), the 10 MLST loci yielded between 36 (prs) and 50 (lmo0490) allelic types (Table 3). The overall divergence between allelic types, as measured by π (the average number of pair-wise nucleotide differences per site) ranged from 0.0779 for lmo2763 to 0.2457 for lmo1555 (Table 3). π was chosen as a measure of sequence diversity, as this value is less affected by gene length or the number of sequences. L. monocytogenes was the most diverse species, as it showed the highest average π value for all 10 loci (0.0484), which is likely a reflection of the fact that this species is represented in four distinct lineages (see below). L. welshi*meri* showed the lowest diversity (with an average π for all 10 loci of 0.0050), and the corresponding average π values for the other species were 0.0261 (for L. ivanovii), 0.0177 (for L. seeligeri), 0.0168 (for L. innocua), and 0.0122 (for L. marthii).

Tajima's D values for the individual loci were positive for all 10 loci for L. ivanovii (with 4 loci showing values significantly [P < 0.05] higher than 0 and the other 6 showing P values between 0.05 and 0.10) and for 9/10 loci for L. monocytogenes (with only *addB* showing values significantly [P < 0.05] higher than 0). A significantly positive value for Tajima's D is indicative of a decrease in population size, balancing selection (43), or subdivision of the population (62); these findings are consistent with the fact that both L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii contain clearly distinct subspecies and lineages. L. innocua and L. welshimeri predominantly showed Tajima's D values that were negative or positive but close to zero; none of the values for these species was significantly different from 0. For L. seeligeri, Tajima's D values for 9 of 10 genes were negative, including 1 gene (rarA) which showed a negative value that was significantly different from 0. Negative values for Tajima's D



FIG. 1. Position of 10 MLST loci on the L. monocytogenes EGD-e chromosome (GenBank accession number AL591824).

are indicative of a population bottleneck or a selective sweep (43).

Recombination. Overall, 4 of the 10 loci showed statistical evidence for intragenic homologous recombination in at least one of the two recombination tests used (i.e., Sawyer Test and PHI) (Table 4). Only one locus, Imo0490 (encoding shikimate 5-dehydrogenase), showed significant (P < 0.01) evidence of intragenic recombination with both the Sawyer test and the PHI statistic. The output for the Sawyer test indicated that two separate fragments of this sequence were involved in recombination, including (i) a 174-bp fragment near the 5' end of the

alignment, which appears to represent a recombination event between the lmo0490 allele found in the hemolytic *L. innocua* FSL J1-023 (acceptor) and the allele found in *L. marthii* FSL S4-710 (donor), and (ii) a 163-bp fragment, which appears to represent a recombination event between the lmo0490 allele found in another hemolytic *L. innocua* isolate, FSL W3-075 (acceptor), and the allele found in *L. monocytogenes* lineage IIIA isolate FSL F2-525 (donor).

The Sawyer test further found significant (P < 0.01) evidence for recombination within the *prs* locus, while the PHI statistic found no significant signal of recombination for this

6090 DEN BAKKER ET AL.

| | | | • | • | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Expecte Locus produc | | Hot start ^b | Primer name and sequence (5'-3') | | | | | | |
| Liotus | size $(bp)^a$ | The start | Forward | Reverse | | | | | |
| ldh | 921 | No | HdB35ldhF, CAAAAAATTATTTTAGTTGGC GACGGAGCAGTTGG | HdB36ldhR, TTGTTTCATTGCRTCGTCWAG | | | | | |
| lmo0490 | 791 | Yes | HdB37LMO490F, GCCACTCCAATCAGACAC AGTTTATCACCAAC | HdB38LMO490R, ACTGGCATTTCTTTGTGT GTCCAAATTTCGAAAGC | | | | | |
| prs | 809 | No | HdB40prsF, CCAAATTAACATTGAAGAAAG TATCCGTGGTTGTC | HdB41prsR, GAACTTACAGAWGCATTYTC ATGWAC | | | | | |
| sigB | 841 | Yes | Lm sigB15, AATATATTAATGAAAAGCAGG TGGAG | Lm sigB16, ATAAATTATTTGATTCAACTG CCTT | | | | | |
| polC | 968 | No | HdB14polCF, CAAGCWGCTGATTGGGGGWC AYAAAGC | HdB15polCR, GCMGCTTGCATYCCTTTTTG CATCATYGCTTCA | | | | | |
| rarA | 860 | No | HdB30rarAF, ATGGCAATTCAACCTTTAGC TTACCGRATGCG | HdB31rarAR, TCCTCATAWGCCATAACDA GCATTCTCC | | | | | |
| LMO1555 | 712 | No | HdB32LMO1555F, ATGAKTAAAAAARTTRT TTTAACAAGAGARGC | HdB33LMO1555R, CCTCCTGTATTATCAAA TCAGCTARGTGCTTCATG | | | | | |
| pbpA | 1,240 | Yes | HdB20pbpAF, TCGCAGAAGTTGGAACCGA ACGGCGGG | HdB21pbpAR, GCAGCATARGCWCCRGCCA TTTGCATTGG | | | | | |
| addB | 845 | No | HdB26addBF, TGGAAATGGGAAAAAGARG GMGAYTGGAT | HdB27addBR, GCTTGYTTAGARCGAATRT AACTACTTTGTTC | | | | | |
| LMO2763 | 1,216 | Yes | HdB39LMO2763F: GAACACGGCATGGAAC GTGTTTTAGTACCAG | HdB40LMO2763R, AGCCGACCAGAAGCGC GAGCCAGTTTCCTCCTG | | | | | |

TABLE 2. List of loci and primers used for PCR amplification

^a The expected product size was calculated based on the respective gene sequence for *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e.

^b This column indicates whether hot start PCR was performed (hot start PCR was done by manual addition of the Taq polymerase after the initial denaturation step).

gene; this may indicate that the *prs* locus has a region with significant clustering of substitutions (a signal of recombination for the Sawyer test [49]); however, these substitutions are not (phylogenetically) incompatible and are thus not considered a signal of recombination by the PHI statistic (7). The six recombinant sequence fragments in *prs* identified by the Sawyer test were located in a 176-bp section in the 5' end of the locus sequenced and likely represent a single recombination event (as these fragments share 5' and 3' breakpoints) (45); this event involved alleles found in three *L. innocua* isolates (FSL S4-045, FSL S4-176, and FSL S4-235) as possible acceptors and alleles found in two *L. monocytogenes* lineage IIIA isolates (FSL F2-525 and FSL S4-465) as donors.

The PHI statistic also found statistically significant evidence (with *P* values between 0.01 and 0.05) for recombination in *ldh* and *polC* (with no significant evidence for recombination in these genes based on Sawyer's test); as recombination tests were performed for 10 loci and no correction for multiple comparisons was performed, we deemed these findings as likely false positives (a Bonferroni corrected *P* value cutoff would have been <0.005).

Structure analysis. Structure analysis was performed to identify (i) putative recombination between different *Listeria* species and (ii) recombination between different lineages (for *L. monocytogenes* lineages I, II, III, and IV) or subspecies (for *L. ivanovii* subsp. *ivanovii* and *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis*). Structure analysis at the species level indicates very distinct allelic compositions between species, with a few notable exceptions. Specifically, the structure analysis showed that a considerable part of the loci of the three *L. marthii* isolates is derived from *L. monocytogenes* lineages I, II, and III and *L. innocua*. It appears that most of the *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* gene content in *L. marthii* represents different recombination events, including (i) acquisition, by *L. marthii*, of part of *sigB* from *L. innocua* and (ii) acquisition of a complete locus

(lmo2763) and an almost-complete locus (*pbpA*) from *L. monocytogenes* (Fig. 2B). These events would not have been detected by the Sawyer test or the PHI statistic; however, the recombination event in lmo2763 was supported by phylogenetic analyses of the individual loci (see below). Structure analysis also showed that the lmo0490 locus in *L. seeligeri* includes a sequence fragment introduced from *L. ivanovii* by homologous recombination. In addition, selected *L. innocua* sequence types showed evidence for recombination with other species, most notably the ST of the hemolytic *L. innocua* isolate FSL J1-023, which includes parts of several loci (lmo1555, *polC*, and *prs*) that appear to have been introduced into the genome from *L. monocytogenes* and *L. marthii*.

Structure analysis of the four *L. monocytogenes* lineages (72) showed a considerable amount of shared alleles as well as a considerable allelic composition that is unique to lineages III and IV (Fig. 2); lineages I and II and lineages III and IV share a considerable proportion of their alleles. In addition, lineage IV (which has also previously has been described as lineage IIIB [55]) contains some *L. innocua* alleles, which appear to largely represent horizontal gene transfer of part of *sigB* from *L. innocua* to *L. monocytogenes* lineage IV. The two *L. ivanovii* subspecies show >90% shared alleles, a considerably higher shared proportion of alleles than for the alleles shared between *L. monocytogenes* lineages I/II and lineages III/IV. *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis* shows some Imo2763 alleles that appear to be derived from *L. seeligeri* through a homologous recombination event involving this locus.

Positive selection. None of the 10 loci analyzed showed evidence for positive selection with the overall test for positive selection (75) or background on the positive selection analysis, indicating that the loci evolved neutrally or under negative selection (see File S1 in the supplemental material for results). Weak evidence for branch-specific positive selection was only found for *pbpA* in the *L. seeligeri* clade (P = 0.04); as no

TABLE 3. Descriptive analysis of nucleotide sequence data

| | Length in bp | No. of | No. of | of No. of | of GC | 1 . h | b 10 | Tajima's | No. of mutations | | JAT/ JCe |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|--------|----------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Species (<i>n</i>) and gene | (% of full ORF) | sites | mutations | alleles | content | π/site ⁰ | ĸ | D^d | Synonymous | Nonsynonymous | dN/dS ^e |
| All sequences (66) ^a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addB | 669 (20.1) | 283 | 418 | 43 | 35.10 | 0.1715 | 114.75 | NA | NA | NA | 0.08 |
| ldh | 711 (75.4) | 201 | 277 | 48 | 42.20 | 0.0970 | 68.95 | NA | NA | NA | 0.01 |
| lmo0490 | 611 (69.7) | 155 | 203 | 50 | 39.50 | 0.0810 | 49.50 | NA | NA | NA | 0.02 |
| prs | 511 (53.4) | 143 | 180 | 36 | 41.40 | 0.0808 | 46.48 | NA | NA | NA | 0.02 |
| polC | 695 (16.0) | 222 | 329 | 41 | 36.90 | 0.1312 | 90.43 | NA | NA | NA | 0.02 |
| pbpA | 871 (35.1) | 223 | 330 | 41 | 37.40 | 0.1254 | 91.32 | NA | NA | NA | 0.04 |
| LMO2763 | 958 (70.8) | 232 | 297 | 48 | 39.60 | 0.0779 | 74.40 | NA | NA | NA | 0.02 |
| lmo1555 | 495 (68.6) | 282 | 442 | 39 | 33.60 | 0.2457 | 116.15 | NA | NA | NA | 0.21 |
| rarA | 574 (44.7) | 188 | 277 | 39 | 39.60 | 0.1276 | 71.82 | NA | NA | NA | 0.03 |
| sigB | 660 (84.6) | 161 | 218 | 48 | 38.20 | 0.0866 | 57.07 | NA | NA | NA | 0.01 |
| L. monocytogenes (29) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addB | 669 (20.1) | 115 | 112 | 18 | 36.30 | 0.0716 | 47.91 | 2.09* | 97 | 18 | 0.07 |
| ldh | 711 (75.4) | 91 | 97 | 19 | 41.60 | 0.0447 | 31.77 | 1.10 | 92 | 5 | 0.01 |
| lmo0490 | 611 (69.7) | 66 | 71 | 21 | 39.80 | 0.0303 | 18.53 | 0.10 | 66 | 5 | 0.01 |
| prs | 511 (53.4) | 42 | 42 | 12 | 41.40 | 0.0240 | 13.26 | 0.89 | 42 | 0 | NA |
| polC | 695 (16.0) | 92 | 99 | 13 | 36.90 | 0.0523 | 36.25 | 1.63 | 98 | 1 | 0.00 |
| pbpA | 871 (35.1) | 115 | 130 | 13 | 38.40 | 0.0530 | 46.08 | 1.51 | 113 | 12 | 0.03 |
| LMO2763 | 958 (70.8) | 96 | 108 | 19 | 40.40 | 0.0286 | 27.28 | -0.03 | 106 | 2 | 0.00 |
| lmo1555 | 495 (68.6) | 135 | 154 | 16 | 33.90 | 0.1143 | 56.12 | 1.67 | 72 | 55 | 0.22 |
| rarA | 574 (44.7) | 51 | 56 | 13 | 40.60 | 0.0298 | 16.79 | 0.67 | 50 | 4 | 0.01 |
| sigB | 660 (84.6) | 74 | 80 | 17 | 38.80 | 0.0355 | 23.40 | 0.57 | 74 | 3 | 0.02 |
| L. innocua (12) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addB | 669 | 30 | 30 | 9 | 34.00 | 0.0120 | 8.02 | -0.87 | 37 | 2 | 0.02 |
| ldh | 711 | 55 | 57 | 10 | 43.60 | 0.0291 | 20.71 | 0.45 | 26 | 4 | 0.03 |
| lmo490 | 611 | 45 | 46 | 9 | 39.80 | 0.0214 | 13.09 | -0.64 | 54 | 3 | 0.02 |
| prs | 511 | 28 | 28 | 9 | 42.70 | 0.0126 | 6.95 | -1.12 | 45 | 1 | 0.00 |
| polC | 695 | 39 | 41 | 10 | 38.00 | 0.0150 | 10.39 | -1.07 | 28 | 0 | NA |
| pbpA | 871 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 37.20 | 0.0141 | 12.26 | -0.34 | 36 | 5 | 0.03 |
| lmo2763 | 958 | 39 | 39 | 9 | 39.30 | 0.0137 | 13.15 | 0.08 | 38 | 2 | 0.01 |
| lmo1555 | 495 | 30 | 30 | 6 | 32.80 | 0.0150 | 7.45 | -1.12 | 38 | 0 | NA |
| <i>rarA</i> | 574 | 36 | 38 | 9 | 38.70 | 0.0221 | 12.68 | 0.04 | 17 | 13 | 0.21 |
| sigB | 660 | 21 | 22 | 10 | 37.20 | 0.0128 | 8.42 | 0.69 | 19 | 3 | 0.05 |
| L. marthii (3) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addB | 669 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36.00 | 0.0010 | 0.66 | NA | 0 | 1 | NA |
| ldh | 711 | 20 | 20 | 3 | 43.70 | 0.0188 | 13.33 | NA | 19 | 1 | 0.02 |
| lmo490 | 611 | 24 | 24 | 3 | 41.20 | 0.0262 | 16.00 | NA | 21 | 3 | 0.04 |
| prs | 633 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 42.90 | 0.0109 | 6.00 | NA | 9 | 0 | NA |
| polC | 695 | 28 | 28 | 3 | 39.20 | 0.0268 | 18.67 | NA | 28 | 0 | NA |
| nbnA | 871 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 38.30 | 0.0061 | 5.33 | NA | 8 | Õ | NA |
| lmo2763 | 958 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 40.30 | 0.0091 | 8.67 | NA | 13 | Ő | NA |
| lm01555 | 495 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 33.50 | 0.0054 | 2.67 | NA | 2 | 2 | 0.26 |
| rarA | 574 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 40.20 | 0.0081 | 4.67 | NA | 5 | 2 | 0.12 |
| sigB | 660 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 37.30 | 0.0101 | 6.67 | NA | 10 | $\tilde{0}$ | NA |
| L. welshimeri (7) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addB | 669 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 33.50 | 0.0017 | 1.14 | -1.43 | 2 | 2 | 0.27 |
| ldh | 711 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 42.40 | 0.0071 | 5.05 | 0.17 | 12 | 0 | NA |
| lmo490 | 611 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 37.20 | 0.0053 | 3 24 | -1.11 | 10 | Ő | NA |
| prs | 511 | 4 | 4 | , 4 | 40.00 | 0.0024 | 1 33 | -0.88 | 4 | Ő | NA |
| pis | 695 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 36.40 | 0.0024 | 4 76 | 0.33 | 10 | 1 | 0.02 |
| pbic pbn 4 | 871 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 38 10 | 0.0009 | 4.67 | 0.55 | 8 | 1 | 0.02 |
| 1mo2763 | 058 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 30.10 | 0.0056 | 5 33 | -1.02 | 15 | 1 | 0.12 |
| lmo1555 | 405 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 20.00 | 0.0030 | 2.55 | -0.86 | 15 | 1 | 0.02 |
| 11101333 nan 4 | 495 574 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20.20 | 0.0040 | 2.30 | -0.80 | 3 | 4 | 0.40 |
| sigB | 660 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 39.20 36.90 | 0.0040 | 2.67 | -0.26 | 6 | 2 | 0.03 |
| I saaligari (7) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addR | 660 | 22 | $\gamma\gamma$ | 7 | 33 70 | 0.0110 | 7 22 | _1.02 | 15 | 7 | 0.16 |
| uuuD Idh | 711 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 33.70 42.00 | 0.0110 | 1.33 | -1.05 | 13 | / | 0.10 NTA |
| 1m o 400 | /11 | 1/ | 19 | 7 | 42.00 | 0.0118 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 19 | 0 | INA 0.02 |
| 11110490 | 011 | 08 | /4 | / | 38.00 | 0.0481 | 29.38 | -0.10 | 09 | 5 | 0.02 |
| prs malC | 333 605 | 13 | 15 | 27 | 42.20 | 0.0084 | 4.0/ | -1.31 | 15 | 0 | INA |
| poic | 093 | 30 | 32 | / | 37.00 | 0.0143 | 9.90 | -1.38 | 30 | 2 | 0.02 |

Continued on following page

| Species (u) and game | Length in bp | ength in bp No. of No. of No. of No. | No. of | o. of GC | (:. b | 16 | Taiima's | No. of mutations | | 111/100 | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Species (<i>n</i>) and gene | ORF) | sites | mutations | alleles | content | π/site" | ĸ | D^d | Synonymous | Nonsynonymous | <i>aw/as</i> - |
| pbpA | 871 | 31 | 31 | 6 | 38.10 | 0.0108 | 9.43 | -1.46 | 30 | 1 | 0.01 |
| lmo2763 | 958 | 31 | 31 | 7 | 37.70 | 0.0121 | 11.62 | -0.47 | 31 | 0 | NA |
| lmo1555 | 495 | 32 | 32 | 5 | 33.20 | 0.0204 | 10.10 | -1.30 | 22 | 10 | 0.14 |
| rarA | 574 | 43 | 45 | 5 | 38.90 | 0.0226 | 12.95 | -1.70^{*} | 37 | 8 | 0.07 |
| sigB | 660 | 30 | 31 | 7 | 38.60 | 0.0174 | 11.48 | -0.53 | 29 | 2 | 0.02 |
| L. ivanovii (8) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| addB | 669 | 33 | 33 | 3 | 36.10 | 0.0258 | 17.29 | 1.90^{+} | 27 | 6 | 0.07 |
| ldh | 711 | 33 | 33 | 4 | 40.70 | 0.0241 | 17.11 | 1.83^{\dagger} | 31 | 2 | 0.01 |
| lmo490 | 611 | 46 | 46 | 3 | 38.90 | 0.0394 | 24.07 | 1.92^{+} | 41 | 5 | 0.03 |
| prs | 553 | 30 | 30 | 3 | 40.90 | 0.0287 | 15.86 | 1.96^{+} | 30 | 0 | NA |
| polC | 695 | 26 | 26 | 2 | 37.00 | 0.0200 | 13.93 | 2.05* | 23 | 3 | 0.04 |
| pbpA | 871 | 38 | 38 | 2 | 37.20 | 0.0234 | 20.36 | 2.08* | 35 | 3 | 0.02 |
| lmo2763 | 958 | 67 | 67 | 3 | 38.80 | 0.0372 | 35.61 | 2.05* | 63 | 4 | 0.02 |
| lmo1555 | 495 | 21 | 21 | 3 | 35.10 | 0.0219 | 10.86 | 1.78^{+} | 10 | 11 | 0.31 |
| rarA | 574 | 22 | 22 | 2 | 38.50 | 0.0205 | 11.79 | 2.04* | 16 | 6 | 0.11 |
| sigB | 660 | 25 | 25 | 4 | 39.50 | 0.0197 | 13.00 | 1.83^{+} | 23 | 2 | 0.02 |

TABLE 3—Continued

^a These sequences were obtained from 50 isolates as well as 16 publicly available genomes (see Table 1 for more information).

^b Average number of pair-wise nucleotide differences per site.

^c Average number of pair-wise nucleotide differences per sequence.

^d Tajima's D was not inferred for (i) all isolates (because it is a population genetics statistic) or for (ii) L. marthii (because of the small number of isolates), and such cases are marked as not available (NA). *; P < 0.05; \dagger , 0.05 < P < 0.10.

^e If the number of synonymous or nonsynonymous mutations could not be unambigiously inferred, the result is reported as not available (NA).

correction of *P* values for multiple comparisons was performed, this is likely a false positive (the Bonferroni corrected *P* value cutoff would have been <0.007, corrected for the fact that seven branch-specific analyses were performed for *pbpA*).

Phylogenetic reconstruction. The ML analysis of the concatenated sequences of the 10 loci analyzed resulted in a wellsupported and highly resolved phylogenetic tree of *Listeria* (Fig. 3). All six species included here formed well-supported clades (bootstrap support [BS], 100%) in the ML tree based on the concatenated gene and were generally well supported by the individual gene trees. For example, the *L. innocua* and *L. welshimeri* clades received significant Bayesian support in all 10 individual gene trees, while the *L. seeligeri* and *L. marthii* clades received significant Bayesian support in 9 out of 10 gene trees. Interestingly, while isolate ATCC BAA-139, which was originally deposited with ATCC as *L. ivanovii*, is now listed by ATCC as *L. ivanovii* subsp. *ivanovii*, trees for all 10 loci group this isolate into the *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis* clade (Fig.

 TABLE 4. Recombination statistics and models of substitution nucleotides inferred for the individual loci

| Locus | Geneconv result (no. of fragments) ^{a} | PHI statistic ^b | Nucleotide substitution model |
|---------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ldh | 0.4854 | 0.0268* | GTR+I+G |
| lmo0490 | 0.0078 (2)** | 0.00106** | TrN+I+G |
| prs | 0.0019 (6)** | 0.0792 | TrN+I+G |
| sigB | 0.4262 | 0.8720 | TrN+I+G |
| polC | 0.1055 | 0.0155* | TrN+I+G |
| rarA | 0.1776 | 0.3940 | TrN+I+G |
| LMO1555 | 0.2525 | 0.5530 | HKY+I+G |
| pbpA | 0.2856 | 0.5780 | TrN+I+G |
| addB | 0.3446 | 0.1640 | TrN+I+G |
| LMO2763 | 0.1104 | 0.4810 | HKY+I+G |

 a **, P < 0.01.

 $^{b}*, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.01.$

3), suggesting that this isolate represents *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis*. The phylogenetic placement of atypical hemolytic *L. innocua* isolates in the *L. innocua* clade was confirmed by our analysis and is consistent with previous studies (31, 68).

L. monocytogenes was the species that was the least well supported by the individual gene trees; while no contradicting gene trees were found, the monophyly of this species was only significantly supported (posterior probability [PP], >95%) by 5 out of 10 gene trees (sigB, polC, rarA, lmo1555, and addB). Significant Bayesian support for branches that interrupted the monophyly of L. monocytogenes was found for two gene trees, including (i) the sigB gene tree (see Fig. S3a in the supplemental material), which places L. monocytogenes lineage IV in a sister group position to L. innocua, suggesting an ancient recombination event between the ancestor of lineage IV and the ancestor of L. innocua, and (ii) the lmo2763 gene tree (see Fig. S3b), which nested L. marthii sequences within L. monocytogenes lineage II sequences, suggesting a recent recombination event (as the divergence between the L. marthii allelic types and the phylogenetically most closely related L. monocytogenes lineage II allelic types is lower than the overall divergence between L. monocytogenes lineage II allelic types). A high bootstrap support (>85%) was found for all *L. monocytogenes* lineages (lineages I, II, III, and IV), which further supports the observation that L. monocytogenes can be subdivided into four separate evolutionary lineages (72).

In the lmo0490 tree (see Fig. S3c in the supplemental material), *L. ivanovii* subsp. *ivanovii* and *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis* form two distinct clusters which are nested between *L. seeligeri* isolates, suggesting two individual recombination events between *L. seeligeri* and *L. ivanovii*. The STRUCTURE analysis, however, suggested that only one recombination event took place between the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of *L. ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis* and *L. seeligeri*.



FIG. 2. Mixture of ancestry as inferred by the program STRUCTURE. (A) Proportions of ancestry from ancestral *L. monocytogenes* lineages II and IV population (yellow), ancestral *L. marthii* population (purple), the ancestral *L. innocua* population (red), the ancestral *L. welshimeri* population (light blue), the ancestral *L. seeligeri* population (orange), and the ancestral *L. ivanovii* population) as inferred by STRUCTURE assuming K = 8 ancestral populations. The asterisks mark hemolytic *L. innocua* isolates. Each vertical column represents an isolate and is colored according to the inferred proportion of single-nucleotide alleles that were derived from one of the ancestral subpopulations. (B to E) Posterior probabilities that an individual SNP allele is derived from one of the ancestral subpopulations. (B to E) Colors of the columns indicate the ancestral subpopulation of a given SNP (see the color legend, above); the height of the color indicates the posterior probability (indicated on the *y* axis) that a given SNP (see the color legend, numbers on the *x* axis represent the loci where these SNP alleles are found (1, *addB*; 2, *ldh*; 3, Imo0490; 4, Imo1555; 5, Imo2763; 6, *pbpA*; 7, *polC*; 8, *prs*; 9, *rarA*; 10, *sigB*).

MLST data also allowed for characterization of phylogenetic relationships between the different Listeria spp. Although the phylogram constructed (Fig. 3) is midpoint rooted and therefore may have an arbitrary rooting position, the majority of the BEAST analyses (i.e., the analyses for 6 out of 10 loci: prs, ldh, lmo0490, sigB, rarA, and lmo2763) placed the root between the L. ivanovii/L. seeligeri clade and a clade that contains the species L. welshimeri, L. innocua, L. marthii, and L. monocytogenes. The sister group relationship of L. seeligeri and L. ivanovii is well supported (100% BS), with a PP of >95% in 8 out of 10 gene trees. The L. welshimeri/L. innocua/L. marthii/L. monocytogenes clade also received high bootstrap support (100%); however, only one individual gene tree (lmo2763) showed a significant PP for this clade. The interspecific phylogenetic relationships within the L. welshimeri/L. innocua/L. marthii/L. monocytogenes clade all received high ML BSs (92 to 100%); however, significant PP values for these relationships within individual gene trees were scarce (i.e., for the sister group relationship of L. marthii and L. monocytogenes) or completely absent (i.e., for the sister group relationship of L.

innocua and the *L. marthii/L. monocytogenes* clade). While *L. grayi* was not included in our study reported here, due to its extremely distant relationship to all other *Listeria* spp., we also performed a phylogenetic analysis which used the sequence data for nine loci for all isolates included here as well as for one *L. grayi* isolate for which a genome sequence has recently become available (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material); the lmo0490 locus was not included in this analysis because it was not present in the available *L. grayi* genome sequence. The resulting phylogeny clearly showed the distant relationship between *L. grayi* and all other *Listeria* spp. (see. Fig. S3 in the supplemental material).

The σ_r statistic showed that 7 of the 10 loci did not evolve in a clocklike manner, which indicates that these genes did not evolve at the same approximate mutation rate in the entire tree (14). Three loci (*sigB*, *polC*, and *rarA*) have a posterior probability density that includes 0, which indicates that these genes possibly have a clocklike mutation rate. A clocklike mutation rate could only be confirmed, through comparison with a strict clock model using the Bayes factor,



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

FIG. 3. Phylogram inferred by the maximum likelihood based on 10 concatenated loci. Bootstrap values of >60% are indicated above the branches. The bars summarize Bayesian support for the individual branches as found with the BEAST analysis of the individual loci, depicted as individual compartments of the bar (1, *prs*; 2, *ldh*; 3, lmo490; 4, *sigB*; 5, *polC*; 6, *rarA*; 7, lmo1555; 8, *pbpA*; 9, *addB*; 10, lmo2763); white indicates <95% PP and black indiates >95% PP.

for *sigB*. A putative reason for a nonclocklike mutation rate in some loci could be the presence of (homologous) recombination in all loci but *sigB*. As only a few of the 10 loci showed evidence for recombination (e.g., lmo0490), more likely explanations for this finding include (i) differences in generation time between clades and (ii) differences in mutation rates between clades. Differences in mutation rates between clades are usually associated with changes in biological function or selective pressure (73); hence, a possible explanation for clocklike evolution of *sigB* may be that this gene is essential in the stress response and survival and thus is under negative selection in all clades (signals for positive selection may have been too weak to be detected by the analyses performed here).

Reconstruction of the evolutionary history of the *prfA* cluster in *Listeria*. The isolates tested here included 29 *L. monocytogenes* isolates (all with the *prfA* cluster), 12 *L. innocua* isolates (2 with and 10 without the *prfA* cluster), 3 *L. marthii* isolates (all without the *prfA* cluster), 7 *L. welshimeri* isolates (all without the *prfA* cluster), 7 *L. seeligeri* isolates (6 with and 1 without the *prfA* cluster), and 8 *L. ivanovii* isolates (all with the *prfA* cluster). For all *L. seeligeri* isolates, presence of the *prfA* cluster was determined using a PCR assay for the *L. seeligeri* hly gene. For the other isolates, the presence of the *hly* gene could be inferred by a positive CAMP reaction or by

examination of the genome sequence. Information on prfA cluster presence/absence and the 10-gene ML tree was used to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the prfA cluster in Listeria. Based on parsimony criteria the observed contemporary pattern of prfA cluster distribution (Fig. 4) could be explained by either five gains or five losses of this cluster. An approach using parsimony criteria did, thus, not provide an unambiguous scenario for the evolutionary history of the prfA cluster in Listeria. Stochastic character mapping (Fig. 4), an approach that takes branch lengths (an approximate of evolutionary time and therefore the probability of a character to change) into account, suggests a scenario where the MRCA of Listeria had a *prfA* cluster as the most likely scenario; in this scenario, the MRCA of L. marthii and L. welshimeri lost the prfA cluster, while the MRCA of L. innocua had the prfA cluster, which was subsequently lost at two occasions during the evolutionary history of L. innocua. Under this scenario, the nonhemolytic L. seeligeri would have lost the prfA cluster recently.

To further probe the evolution of the *prfA* cluster, we also constructed an ML tree based on the *hly* sequences available for 10 isolates included in our analyses reported here (four *L. monocytogenes* isolates, two hemolytic *L. innocua* isolates, a representative of each of the subspecies of *L. ivanovii*, and two hemolytic *L. seeligeri* isolates; these analyses resulted in a tree with a likelihood score of -ln5,702.1579 (Fig. 5). The topology



FIG. 4. Stochastic character mapping of gain/loss of the *prfA* cluster over the evolutionary history of *Listeria*. Solid branches indicate the presence of the *prfA* cluster, and white branches indicate the absence of the *prfA* cluster. This analysis shows that the most likely scenario for *Listeria* is one in which the MRCA of *Listeria* had the *prfA* cluster, and the cluster was lost on five separate occasions, including (i) once in the ancestral lineage of *L. welshimeri*, (ii) once in the ancestral lineage of *L. marthii*, (iii) twice within *L. innocua*, and (iv) a recent loss in *L. seeligeri*.

of the *hly* tree is highly similar to the 10-gene MLST tree; the *L. monocytogenes* isolates are found in a moderately supported (77% BS) clade, while the hemolytic *L. innocua* isolates form a well-supported (95% BS) clade. The *L. innocua* isolates have a well-supported (100% BS) sister group relationship to *L. monocytogenes*. The *hly* phylogeny does not support the sister group relation of *L. ivanovii* and *L. seeligeri* found in the 10-gene MLST analyses. Instead, the *hly* phylogeny places *L. ivanovii* in a sister group position to the *L. monocytogenes/L. innocua* clade, while *L. seeligeri* seems to represent an early split from the rest of the "core" *Listeria* species. This is consistent with the suggestion that the *prfA* virulence cluster in *L. seeligeri* may represent an ancestral form of this cluster

(66). Overall, these data support the hypothesis that the *prfA* cluster in the hemolytic *L. innocua* strains was not obtained through horizontal gene transfer but was instead vertically transmitted.

DISCUSSION

A new 10-gene MLST scheme was developed and applied to an initial isolate set representing the overall genetic diversity of the core species in the genus *Listeria* in order to probe the phylogeny and evolution of this genus, which contains a number of pathogenic and nonpathogenic clades. Overall, our data indicate that (i) the genus *Listeria* includes at least six phylo-



FIG. 5. Comparison of *hly* (left) and 10 concatenated loci (right) ML phylograms of a subset of isolates of species with the *prfA* cluster. Values above the individual branches are bootstrap values based on 100 ML bootstrap replicates.

genetically well-supported species, including well-supported subspecies-like clades in at least *L. ivanovii* and *L. monocyto-genes*, and (ii) while the common ancestor of the genus *Listeria* contained the *prfA* virulence gene cluster, this cluster was most likely lost at least five times during the evolution of *Listeria*, yielding multiple distinct saprotrophic clades.

The genus Listeria includes at least six phylogenetically wellsupported species, including well-supported subspecies-like clades in at least L. ivanovii and L. monocytogenes. Despite the availability of a number of MLST schemes for L. monocytogenes (45, 51), an MLST scheme that can be used on all Listeria spp. has not previously been available. While the main species in the genus Listeria (i.e., L. innocua, L. monocytogenes, L. seeligeri, L. ivanovii, and L. welshimeri) have been well defined for a few decades based on phenotypic and some genetic methods (5, 39, 56), development of the new MLST scheme reported here provided a new opportunity to further probe the phylogeny and taxonomy of the genus Listeria. Overall, our MLST-based phylogeny not only supported that the previously known Listeria species represent phylogenetically well-supported units but also provided further clear and unambiguous support that L. marthii, which was first described in 2009 (22), represents a distinct species. Our data thus showed that the MLST scheme reported here will have considerable utility by facilitating clear speciation of unusual Listeria isolates, as also supported by clear classification, into the respective species clades of hemolytic L. innocua (31, 68) and nonhemolytic L. seeligeri (69) isolates included in our isolate set. Interestingly, even though the 10 genes included in our MLST were preselected to represent genes that showed no evidence for recombination (based on genome sequence analysis for L. monocytogenes and L. innocua [46]), a few loci showed a low number of recombination events (summarized in Table 5) involving part of (lmo490, prs, and polC) or the complete locus sequenced (lmo0490, lmo2763, and sigB). We specifically found evidence for interspecific recombination between (i) L. innocua and L. monocytogenes, (ii) L. monocytogenes and L. marthii, (iii) L. innocua and L. marthii, and (iv) L. ivanovii and L. seeligeri. While bacterial species are often defined as clonal groups that show no/limited horizontal gene transfer and homologous recombination of a core gene (32), our data suggest that genetic exchange between closely related Listeria spp. still occurs, possible reflecting (i) relatively limited diversification between species (e.g., between L. seeligeri and L. ivanovii, which showed evidence for horizontal transfer) and/or (ii) overlapping or shared niches for individual Listeria species, consistent with previous studies in other pathogenic species (6).

Importantly, the MLST data and their phylogenetic analyses also allowed for further insights into the possible subspecies or subspecies-like clades within some Listeria spp. (i.e., L. ivanovii and L. monocytogenes). Our data clearly support that L. ivanovii represents two highly divergent subspecies, consistent with the initial description of this subspecies by Boerlin et al. (4), with very limited genetic variation within a given subspecies (particularly in L. ivanovii subsp. ivanovii). Limited genetic variation, based on pulsed-field gel electrophoresis patterns, in L. ivanovii subsp. ivanovii was previously reported based on 38 isolates from various sources and geographic localities from the United Kingdom (53). As L. ivanovii is characterized by an apparent stringent host specificity for ruminants, where it predominantly causes abortions, its genetically homogeneous population structure seems to point toward L. ivanovii as being a highly specialized, clonal pathogen, comparable in population structure to known obligate pathogens like Yersinia pestis and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (1). Interestingly, the genetically homogeneous population structure of L. ivanovii is in clear contrast to the genetically heterogeneous population structure of L. monocytogenes, suggesting that the pathogenic life style probably plays a greater role in the ecology of L. ivanovii than L. monocytogenes. For L. monocytogenes, our data also support previous findings that this species represents multiple distinct

| Gene | Evidence for recombination within locus identified (species or strain[s] involved) ^b | Evidence for recombination of complete $locus^{b,c}$ |
|---------|--|--|
| lmo0490 | Two events identified by GENECONV and PHI (event 1: D, L. marthii; A, L. innocua; event 2: D, L. monocytogenes; A, L. innocua) | One event identified by STRUCTURE and GENE TREE (D, L. ivanovii; A, L. seeligeri) |
| LMO2763 | | One event identified by STRUCTURE and GENE TREE (D, L. monocytogenes lineage II; A, L. marthii) |
| sigB | | One event identified by STRUCTURE and GENE TREE (D, L. innocua; A, L. monocytogenes lineage IV) |
| polC | One event identified by STRUCTURE (D, <i>L. marthii</i> ; A, <i>L. innocua</i>) | |
| prs | One event identified by GENECONV (D, <i>L. monocytogenes</i> lineage III; A, <i>L. innocua</i>); one event identified by STRUCTURE (D, <i>L. marthii</i> ; A, <i>L. innocua</i>) | |

TABLE 5. Summary of homologous recombination events identified for the 10 genes characterized in this study^a

^{*a*} Genes which showed evidence for recombination only by PHI with *P* values between 0.01 and 0.05 (Table 4) are not included here, as they likely represent false positives (due to borderline *P* values with no Bonferroni correction).

^b D, donor; A, acceptor.

^c This column lists recombination events that involved the full locus sequenced; the events were typically identified in the STRUCTURE analysis (Fig. 2) and/or through inspection of the gene trees (see Fig. S2a, b, and c in the supplemental material).

species-like lineages. In addition to L. monocytogenes lineages I and II, which have been well documented in a large number of studies (11, 45, 48, 51, 54), our data also allowed further insights into the taxonomy and evolution of L. monocytogenes strains that have previously been classified as either lineage III (including IIIA, B, and C) (30, 53, 54) or lineages III and IV (72). Strains classified into these lineages (i) are, overall, rare and appear to be associated with animal hosts, even though they are also isolated from humans (23, 30, 55); (ii) represent serotypes 4a and 4c as well as 4b (even though these strains are distinct from the more common lineage I 4b strains [44, 55]); (iii) are genetically diverse; and (iv) show evidence for more common recombination compared to lineage I and II strains. Our MLST data show that isolates previously grouped into these isolates clearly represent two distinct lineages, which were named III (representing lineages previously designated as IIIA and C [55]) and IV (previously designated as IIIB [55]), consistent with a previous study by Ward et al. (72). Our findings thus overall support that L. monocytogenes represents at least four distinct subspecies-like lineages which, based on a variety of previous studies (23, 30, 71), may also differ in their ecology. Even though isolates were selected to represent diverse and distinct strains within each species (based on sigB allelic type data for >650 isolates), no clear subspecies-like clades were identified in our study for the other Listeria spp.; characterization of larger and more geographically diverse isolate sets by MLST may reveal new clades and subspecies in other species, however, particularly if isolates are obtained from sources and hosts not represented or underrepresented in our isolate collection. For example, while we did not identify distinct lineages within L. seeligeri, a recent study on isolates obtained in Upper Franconia, Germany, identified two distinct L. seeligeri clades (41).

Our data clearly support a basal split of the core species in the genus *Listeria* into two main clades, including (i) a clade consisting of *L. seeligeri* and *L. ivanovii* and (ii) a clade consisting of *L. welshimeri*, *L. innocua*, *L. marthii*, and *L. monocytogenes*. Phylogenetic analyses based on 9 of the 10 genes analyzed here, furthermore, showed that *L. grayi* is very distinct from the other *Listeria* spp. and represents a very distant separate clade, consistent with a number of other studies (61, 69), including a proposal that L. grayi should be reclassified as a separate genus (63). While a number of previous analyses (61, 69) have supported that the core Listeria spp. represent two main clades, consistent with those defined here, most of these studies were only based on a few genes or genes that are in close chromosomal proximity (e.g., 16S and 23S, open reading frames [ORFs] of the virulence cluster, and prs and ldh) or only studied very few isolates. For example, Schmid et al. (61) only used six isolates in their study. While our analyses clearly put L. welshimeri into a clade with L. innocua, L. marthii, and L. monocytogenes and indicate that L. welshimeri represents a lineage that diverged from the common ancestor of L. innocua/L. marthii/L. monocytogenes early during the evolutionary history of Listeria, previous studies were inconclusive about the position of L. welshimeri. For example, a study reporting a 16S rRNA sequence-based phylogeny (69) showed weak support (<60%) for the grouping of L. welshimeri in the L. innocua and L. monocytogenes clade. On the other hand, a gene tree based on *iap* (69) provided strong support for placement of L. welshimeri in the L. seeligeri/L. ivanovii clade, and another study (61) also showed strong support for grouping of L. welshimeri with L. seeligeri and L. ivanovii, based on a phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA, 23S rRNA, iap, prs, vclB, and ldh. However, the majority of loci in these studies (61, 69) either flank the prfA virulence cluster (prs, vclB, and ldh) or represent a potential virulence gene (iap), which also appears to show a considerable amount of deletions (8), and thus they may not be reliable phylogenetic markers. We thus conclude that our results from a phylogeny with 10 genes with no evidence for positive selection and only a few genes with evidence of recombination likely allowed for accurate reconstruction of the phylogenetic position of L. welshimeri, particularly since the grouping of L. welshimeri found here is also supported by a 100-gene phylogeny constructed using genome sequences for six Listeria spp. isolates representing all six species studied here (unpublished data).

While the common ancestor of the genus Listeria contained the *prfA* virulence gene cluster, this cluster was lost at least five times during the evolution of Listeria, yielding multiple distinct saprotrophic clades. Construction of a robust phylogeny for the genus Listeria also allowed us to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the *prfA* pathogenicity cluster in this genus. While a parsimony criterion suggests that a scenario of five losses and a scenario of five gains of the prfA cluster are equally likely, the hypothesis that the MRCA of the contemporary core Listeria spp. already contained the prfA virulence gene cluster and that this cluster was lost in (at least) five separate events in the evolutionary history of Listeria is supported by (i) maximum likelihood-based stochastic character mapping (Fig. 4) and (ii) phylogenetic analysis of hly sequences (Fig. 5). This hypothesis of an MRCA with the *prfA* cluster is also consistent with the observation that the *prfA* cluster does not contain the features typical for classical pathogenicity islands, which generally show evidence for horizontal gene transfer (26). While features that suggest mobility of pathogenicity islands include the presence of direct repeats, association with tRNA genes, or insertion sequence elements, integrases, or transposases, and an aberrant GC content compared to the rest of the chromosomal DNA (9), the GC content of the prfA cluster is comparable to the rest of the chromosome and this cluster does not seem to be associated with mobile genetic elements. However, it cannot be excluded that the prfA cluster once was associated with mobile elements; two ORFs found in the cluster show similarity to viral proteins (66), and a putative conjugative transposon insertion junction has been reported between the prfA cluster and the adjacent prs gene (9). This suggests that the *prfA* cluster may once have been a mobile element but lost its mobility after integration into the chromosome of the ancestor of *Listeria* (66).

While the hypothesis of an MRCA that possessed the prfA cluster with subsequent losses of the cluster in nonpathogenic species has also been suggested by Schmid et al. (61), no rigorous phylogenetic tests of this hypothesis have previously been reported. Interestingly, our data also indicate that deletions of the prfA cluster occurred at different times in the evolutionary history of Listeria, yielding some monophyletic prfA cluster-negative clades that represent nonpathogenic Listeria spp. (e.g., L. welshimeri and L. marthii) as well as apparently more recent losses of this cluster, e.g., in L. seeligeri, where this loss yields a prfA cluster-negative clade within an otherwise-prfA-positive species. Interestingly, an evolutionary trend toward the loss of virulence-associated characteristics has also been observed in L. monocytogenes, where a large number of strains and clades are characterized by different premature stop codon mutations in the virulence gene inlA which yield invasion-attenuated strains (51). These observations suggested that losses of the prfA cluster and other virulence factors are not necessarily deleterious to Listeria but may even represent a selective advantage under some circumstances and/or in some lineages. As Listeria species are, even in the case of facultative pathogenic species, commonly found in the environment and considered saprotrophs (59), it is tempting to speculate that loss of virulence-associated characteristics may accompany, or even facilitate, a transition to organisms with improved environmental survival, consistent with the observation that L. innocua appears to sometimes outcompete L.

monocytogenes in non-host-associated environments, including in certain enrichment media (10, 47). Further experimental efforts are needed, however, to test this hypothesis.

Interestingly, our data indicate that L. welshimeri, which appears to represent the most ancient clade that arose from an ancestor with a *prfA* cluster deletion, shows a considerably lower average sequence divergence than other Listeria spp. Although this low within-population divergence could be explained by the small and geographically limited sample size, this seems to be unlikely, since other species in this study with a similarly limited sampling (L. innocua and L. seeligeri) showed an average sequence divergence that was approximately 3.5 times higher than that observed in L. welshimeri. Another argument against the low diversity of L. welshimeri being a sampling artifact is the fact that an extensive sampling of sigB (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) showed the same pattern of low within-population divergence in L. welshi*meri* (π , 0.00531) versus a comparably higher within-sequence divergence in L. innocua (π , 0.01213) and L. seeligeri (π , 0.01963). A putative biological explanation for this observation is either (i) a lower mutation rate or (ii) a population bottleneck. In our relaxed molecular clock analyses, no evidence was found for L. welshimeri having a lower mutation rate, as neither the median relative mutation rate nor the 95% posterior probability density of the relative mutation rate (data not shown) proved to be lower than the relative mutation rates inferred for other species/branches. The pattern observed is more consistent with a scenario of a population bottleneck or a scenario in which the contemporary population descended from a highly successful fast-spreading clone. Both scenarios leave the same population genetics fingerprint and can therefore not be distinguished from each other. A population bottleneck is generally characterized by a (significantly) negative value of Tajima's D (43). Although negative values of Tajima's D were found for 5 out of the 10 genes examined in L. welshimeri, none of these values was significantly different from 0 and thus cannot be seen as convincing evidence for the occurrence of a population bottleneck in the recent history of L. welshimeri. Regardless of the actual evolutionary or biological mechanisms that are responsible for the relatively low genetic diversity in L. welshimeri, our data do suggest that L. welshimeri has a unique natural history in the genus *Listeria*, which warrants further investigations, including additional diversity data for isolates collected from other regions.

Conclusions. Overall, our data indicate that the genus Listeria represents a group of well-supported species and also support the hypothesis that these species have evolved from an ancestor with a *prfA* virulence gene cluster. Over time these species have diversified into highly clonal pathogens (e.g., L. ivanovii), nonpathogens (L. welshimeri and L. marthii), and clades that include isolates with and without the prfA virulence cluster (L. innocua and L. seeligeri). Gene loss, lateral gene transfer, and recombination, including in housekeeping genes, as well as positive selection (46) clearly have contributed to the evolution of the genus Listeria into closely related species and clades that have adapted to different niches. Further application of the Listeria genus MLST scheme, which was developed and used here, to characterize additional isolates will not only help to further improve our understanding of the evolution and population genetics of the genus Listeria but also will help in rapid and unambiguous classification of unusual *Listeria* isolates, which are increasingly being described (31, 68, 69).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sherry Roof for her help with sequencing.

This work was supported by USDA Special Research grants 2005-34459-15625 and 2006-34459-16952.

REFERENCES

- Achtman, M. 2008. Evolution, population structure, and phylogeography of genetically monomorphic bacterial pathogens. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 62:53– 70.
- Achtman, M., G. Morelli, P. Zhu, T. Wirth, I. Diehl, B. Kusecek, A. J. Vogler, D. Wagner, C. J. Allender, W. R. Easterday, V. Chenal-Francisque, P. Worsham, N. R. Thomson, J. Parkhill, L. E. Lindler, E. Carniel, and P. Keim. 2004. Microevolution and history of the plague bacillus, *Yersinia pestis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101:17837–17842.
- Bierne, H., C. Sabet, N. Personnic, and P. Cossart. 2007. Internalins: a complex family of leucine-rich repeat-containing proteins in *Listeria mono*cytogenes. Microbes Infect. 9:1156–1166.
- Boerlin, P., J. Rocourt, F. Grimont, P. Grimont, C. Jacquet, and J. C. Piffaretti. 1992. *Listeria ivanovii* subsp. *londoniensis* subsp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 42:69–73.
- Boerlin, P., J. Rocourt, and J. C. Piffaretti. 1991. Taxonomy of the genus Listeria by using multilocus enzyme electrophoresis. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 41:59–64.
- Brown, E. W., M. K. Mammel, J. E. LeClerc, and T. A. Cebula. 2003. Limited boundaries for extensive horizontal gene transfer among *Salmonella* pathogens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100:15676–15681.
- Bruen, T. C., H. Philippe, and D. Bryant. 2006. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the presence of recombination. Genetics 172:2665– 2681.
- Bubert, A., S. Köhler, and W. Goebel. 1992. The homologous and heterologous regions within the *iap* gene allow genus- and species-specific identification of *Listeria* spp. by polymerase chain reaction. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:2625–2632.
- Cai, S., and M. Wiedmann. 2001. Characterization of the *prfA* virulence gene cluster insertion site in non-hemolytic *Listeria* spp.: probing the evolution of the *Listeria* virulence gene island. Curr. Microbiol. 43:271–277.
- Curiale, M., and C. Lewus. 1994. Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in samples containing *Listeria innocua*. J. Food Prot. 57:1048–1051.
- den Bakker, H. C., X. Didelot, E. Fortes, K. K. Nightingale, and M. Wiedmann. 2008. Lineage specific recombination rates and microevolution in Listeria monocytogenes. BMC Evol. Biol. 8:277.
- Didelot, X., M. Barker, D. Falush, and F. G. Priest. 2009. Evolution of pathogenicity in the *Bacillus cereus* group. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 32:81–90.
- Domínguez-Bernal, G., S. Müller-Altrock, B. González-Zorn, M. Scortti, P. Herrmann, H. J. Monzó, L. Lacharme, J. Kreft, and J. A. Vázquez-Boland. 2006. A spontaneous genomic deletion in *Listeria ivanovii* identifies LIPI-2, a species-specific pathogenicity island encoding sphingomyelinase and numerous internalins. Mol. Microbiol. 59:415–432.
- Drummond, A. J., S. Y. M. Ho, M. J. Phillips, and A. Rambaut. 2006. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. PLoS Biol. 4:e88.
- Drummond, A. J., and A. Rambaut. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol. Biol. 7:214.
- Falush, D., M. Stephens, and J. Pritchard. 2003. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. Genetics 164:1567–1587.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence-limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.
- 18. Filliol, I., A. S. Motiwala, M. Cavatore, W. Qi, M. H. Hazbón, M. Bobadilla del Valle, J. Fyfe, L. García-García, N. Rastogi, C. Sola, T. Zozio, M. I. Guerrero, C. I. León, J. Crabtree, S. Angiuoli, K. D. Eisenach, R. Durmaz, M. L. Joloba, A. Rendón, J. Sifuentes-Osornio, A. Ponce de León, M. D. Cave, R. Fleischmann, T. S. Whittam, and D. Alland. 2006. Global phylogeny of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* based on single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis: insights into tuberculosis evolution, phylogenetic accuracy of other DNA fingerprinting systems, and recommendations for a minimal standard SNP set. J. Bacteriol. 188:759–772.
- Fredslund, J., L. Schauser, L. H. Madsen, N. Sandal, and J. Stougaard. 2005. PriFi: using a multiple alignment of related sequences to find primers for amplification of homologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 33:W516–W520.
- Furrer, B., U. Candrian, C. Hoefelein, and J. Luethy. 1991. Detection and identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cooked sausage products and in milk by in vitro amplification of haemolysin gene fragments. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 70:372–379.
- Glaser, P., L. Frangeul, C. Buchrieser, C. Rusniok, A. Amend, F. Baquero, P. Berche, H. Bloecker, P. Brandt, T. Chakraborty, A. Charbit, F. Chetouani, E. Couve, A. de Daruvar, P. Dehoux, E. Domann, G. Dominguez-Bernal, E.

Duchaud, L. Durant, O. Dussurget, K. D. Entian, H. Fsihi, F. Garcia-del Portillo, P. Garrido, L. Gautier, W. Goebel, N. Gomez-Lopez, T. Hain, J. Hauf, D. Jackson, L. M. Jones, U. Kaerst, J. Kreft, M. Kuhn, F. Kunst, G. Kurapkat, E. Madueno, A. Maitournam, J. M. Vicente, E. Ng, H. Nedjari, G. Nordsiek, S. Novella, B. de Pablos, J. C. Perez-Diaz, R. Purcell, B. Remmel, M. Rose, T. Schlueter, N. Simoes, A. Tierrez, J. A. Vazquez-Boland, H. Voss, J. Wehland, and P. Cossart. 2001. Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species. Science 294:849–852.

- 22. Graves, L. M., L. O. Helsel, A. G. Steigerwalt, R. E. Morey, M. I. Daneshvar, S. E. Roof, R. H. Orsi, E. D. Fortes, S. R. Millilo, H. C. den Bakker, M. Wiedmann, B. Swaminathan, and B. D. Sauders. 10 August 2009, posting date. *Listeria marthii* sp. nov., isolated from the natural environment, Finger Lakes National Forest. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **60**:1280–1288.
- 23. Gray, M., R. Zadoks, E. Fortes, B. Dogan, S. Cai, Y. Chen, V. Scott, D. Gombas, K. Boor, and M. Wiedmann. 2004. *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from foods and humans form distinct but overlapping populations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**:5833–5841.
- Groves, R. D., and H. J. Welshimer. 1977. Separation of pathogenic from apathogenic *Listeria monocytogenes* by three in vitro reactions. J. Clin. Microbiol. 5:559–563.
- Guindon, S., and O. Gascuel. 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 52:696–704.
- Hacker, J., G. Blum-Ochler, I. Mühldorfer, and H. Tschäpe. 1997. Pathogenicity islands of virulent bacteria: structure, function and impact on microbial evolution. Mol. Microbiol. 23:1089–1097.
- Hain, T., C. Steinweg, and T. Chakraborty. 2006. Comparative and functional genomics of *Listeria* spp. J. Biotechnol. 126:37–51.
- Hain, T., C. Steinweg, C. T. Kuenne, A. Billion, R. Ghai, S. S. Chatterjee, E. Domann, U. Karst, A. Goesmann, T. Bekel, D. Bartels, O. Kaiser, F. Meyer, A. Puhler, B. Weisshaar, J. Wehland, C. Liang, T. Dandekar, R. Lampidis, J. Kreft, W. Goebel, and T. Chakraborty. 2006. Whole-genome sequence of Listeria welshimeri reveals common steps in genome reduction with Listeria innocua as compared to Listeria monocytogenes. J. Bacteriol. 188:7405-7415.
- Jakobsen, I. B., and S. Easteal. 1996. A program for calculating and displaying compatibility matrices as an aid in determining reticulate evolution in molecular sequences. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 12:291–295.
- Jeffers, G., J. Bruce, P. McDonough, J. Scarlett, K. Boor, and M. Wiedmann. 2001. Comparative genetic characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from human and animal listeriosis cases. Microbiology 147:1095–1104.
- 31. Johnson, J., K. Jinneman, G. Stelma, B. G. Smith, D. Lye, J. Messer, J. Ulaszek, L. Evsen, S. Gendel, R. W. Bennett, B. Swaminathan, J. Pruckler, A. Steigerwalt, S. Kathariou, S. Yildirim, D. Volokhov, A. Rasooly, V. Chizhikov, M. Wiedmann, E. Fortes, R. E. Duvall, and A. D. Hitchins. 2004. Natural atypical *Listeria innocua* strains with *Listeria monocytogenes* pathogenicity island 1 genes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**:4256–4266.
- Lan, K., and P. R. Reeves. 2001. When does a clone deserve a name? A perspective on bacterial species based on population genetics. Trends Microbiol. 9:419–424.
- 33. Leclercq, A., D. Clermont, C. Bizet, P. Grimont, A. Le Flèche-Matéos, S. Roche, C. Buchrieser, V. Cadet-Daniel, A. Le Monnier, M. Lecuit, and F. Allerberger. 13 November 2009, posting date. *Listeria rocourtiae* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. [Epub ahead of print.]
- Lessing, M. P., G. D. Curtis, and I. C. Bowler. 1994. Listeria ivanovii infection. J. Infect. 29:230–231.
- Maddison, D. R., and W. P. Maddison. 2005. MACCLADE version 4.08. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, MA.
- Maddison, W. P., and D. R. Maddison. 2009. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis, 2.72 ed.
- Maynard Smith, J. 1992. Analyzing the mosaic structure of genes. J. Mol. Evol. 34:126–129.
- Maynard Smith, J., N. H. Smith, M. O'Rourke, and B. G. Spratt. 1993. How clonal are bacteria? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90:4384–4388.
- McLauchlin, J. 1997. The identification of *Listeria* species. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 38:77–81.
- Milillo, S. R., and M. Wiedmann. 2009. Contributions of six lineage-specific internalin-like genes to invasion efficiency of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 6:57–70.
- Müller, A. A., M. W. Schmid, O. Meyer, and F. G. Meussdoerffer. 2010. Listeria seeligeri isolates from food processing environments form two phylogenetic lineages. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76:3044–3047.
- 42. Nelson, K. E., D. E. Fouts, E. F. Mongodin, J. Ravel, R. T. DeBoy, J. F. Kolonay, D. A. Rasko, S. V. Angiuoli, S. R. Gill, I. T. Paulsen, J. Peterson, O. White, W. C. Nelson, W. Nierman, M. J. Beanan, L. M. Brinkac, S. C. Daugherty, R. J. Dodson, A. S. Durkin, R. Madupu, D. H. Haft, J. Selengut, S. Van Aken, H. Khouri, N. Fedorova, H. Forberger, B. Tran, S. Kathariou, L. D. Wonderling, G. A. Uhlich, D. O. Bayles, J. B. Luchansky, and C. M. Fraser. 2004. Whole genome comparisons of serotype 4b and 1/2a strains of the food-borne pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* reveal new insights into the core genome components of this species. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:2386–2395.
- Nielsen, R. 2001. Statistical tests of selective neutrality in the age of genomics. Heredity 86:641–647.
- 44. Nightingale, K., L. Bovell, A. Grajczyk, and M. Wiedmann. 2007. Combined

sigB allelic typing and multiplex PCR provide improved discriminatory power and reliability for *Listeria monocytogenes* molecular serotyping. J. Microbiol. Methods **68**:52–59.

- Nightingale, K., K. Windham, and M. Wiedmann. 2005. Evolution and molecular phylogeny of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from human and animal listeriosis cases and foods. J. Bacteriol. 187:5537–5551.
- Orsi, R. H., Q. Sun, and M. Wiedmann. 2008. Genome-wide analyses reveal lineage specific contributions of positive selection and recombination to the evolution of *Listeria monocytogenes*. BMC Evol. Biol. 8:233.
- Petran, R., and K. Swanson. 1993. Simultaneous growth of Listeria monocytogenes and Listeria innocua. J. Food Prot. 56:616–618.
- Piffaretti, J. C., H. Kressebuch, M. Aeschbacher, J. Bille, E. Bannerman, J. M. Musser, R. K. Selander, and J. Rocourt. 1989. Genetic characterization of clones of the bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* causing epidemic disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 86:3818–3822.
- Posada, D., and K. A. Crandall. 2001. Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from DNA sequences: computer simulations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98:13757–13762.
- Posada, D., and K. A. Crandall. 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818.
- Ragon, M., T. Wirth, F. Hollandt, R. Lavenir, M. Lecuit, A. L. Monnier, and S. Brisse. 2008. A new perspective on *Listeria monocytogenes* evolution. PLoS Pathog. 4:e1000146.
- Rajabian, T., B. Gavicherla, M. Heisig, S. Müller-Altrock, W. Goebel, S. Gray-Owen, and K. Ireton. 2009. The bacterial virulence factor InIC perturbs apical cell junctions and promotes cell-to-cell spread of *Listeria*. Nat. Cell Biol. 11:1212–1218.
- Ramage, C. P., J. C. Low, J. McLauchlin, and W. Donachie. 1999. Characterisation of *Listeria ivanovii* isolates from the UK using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 170:349–353.
- 54. Rasmussen, O. F., P. Skouboe, L. Dons, L. Rossen, and J. E. Olsen. 1995. *Listeria monocytogenes* exists in at least three evolutionary lines: evidence from flagellin, invasive associated protein and listeriolysin O genes. Microbiology 141:2053–2061.
- Roberts, A., K. Nightingale, G. Jeffers, E. Fortes, J. M. Kongo, and M. Wiedmann. 2006. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* lineage III. Microbiology 152:685–693.
- Rocourt, J., F. Grimont, P. Grimont, and H. Seeliger. 1982. DNA relatedness among serovars of *Listeria monocytogenes* sensu lato. Curr. Microbiol. 7:383– 388.
- Roumagnac, P., F. X. Weill, C. Dolecek, S. Baker, S. Brisse, N. T. Chinh, T. A. Le, C. J. Acosta, J. Farrar, G. Dougan, and M. Achtman. 2006. Evolutionary history of *Salmonella typhi*. Science **314**:1301–1304.
- Rozas, J., J. Sánchez-DelBarrio, X. Messeguer, and R. Rozas. 2003. DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. Bioinformatics 19:2496–2497.
- Sauders, B. D., M. Z. Durak, E. Fortes, K. Windham, Y. Schukken, A. J. Lembo, Jr., B. Akey, K. K. Nightingale, and M. Wiedmann. 2006. Molecular characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* from natural and urban environments. J. Food Prot. 69:93–105.
- Sawyer, S. A. 1999. GENECONV: a computer package for the statistical detection of gene conversion. Department of Mathematics, Washington Uni-

versity in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO. http://www.math.wustl.edu/~sawyer/geneconv/.

- Schmid, M., E. Ng, R. Lampidis, M. Emmerth, M. Walcher, J. Kreft, W. Goebel, M. Wagner, and K. Schleifer. 2005. Evolutionary history of the genus *Listeria* and its virulence genes. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 28:1–18.
- Simonsen, K., G. Churchill, and C. Aquadro. 1995. Properties of statistical tests of neutrality for DNA polymorphism data. Genetics 141:413–429.
- Stuart, S., and H. Welshimer. 1974. Taxonomic reexamination of Listeria Pirie and transfer of Listeria grayi and Listeria murrayi to a new genus, Murraya. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 24:177–185.
- 64. Swofford, D. L. 2002. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (* and other methods), version 4.0. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, MA.
- Tajima, F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123:585–595.
- Vazquez-Boland, J. A., G. Dominguez-Bernal, B. Gonzalez-Zorn, J. Kreft, and W. Goebel. 2001. Pathogenicity islands and virulence evolution in *Listeria*. Microbes Infect. 3:571–584.
- Vazquez-Boland, J. A., M. Kuhn, P. Berche, T. Chakraborty, G. Dominguez-Bernal, W. Goebel, B. Gonzalez-Zorn, J. Wehland, and J. Kreft. 2001. *Listeria* pathogenesis and molecular virulence determinants. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 14:584–640.
- Volokhov, D., S. Duperrier, A. Neverov, J. George, C. Buchrieser, and A. Hitchins. 2007. The presence of the internalin gene in natural atypically hemolytic *Listeria innocua* strains suggests descent from *L. monocytogenes*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **73**:1928–1939.
- Volokhov, D., J. George, C. Anderson, R. Duvall, and A. Hitchins. 2006. Discovery of natural atypical nonhemolytic *Listeria seeligeri* isolates. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:2439–2448.
- Walk, S. T., E. W. Alm, L. M. Calhoun, J. M. Mladonicky, and T. S. Whittam. 2007. Genetic diversity and population structure of *Escherichia coli* isolated from freshwater beaches. Environ. Microbiol. 9:2274–2288.
- Ward, T., L. Gorski, M. Borucki, R. Mandrell, J. Hutchins, and K. Pupedis. 2004. Intraspecific phylogeny and lineage group identification based on the *prfA* virulence gene cluster of *Listeria monocytogenes*. J. Bacteriol. 186:4994– 5002.
- Ward, T. J., T. F. Ducey, T. Usgaard, K. A. Dunn, and J. P. Bielawski. 2008. Multilocus genotyping assays for single nucleotide polymorphism-based subtyping of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:7629– 7642.
- Whelan, S. 2008. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity in nucleotide sequence evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25:1683–1694.
- Wong, W. S., Z. Yang, N. Goldman, and R. Nielsen. 2004. Accuracy and power of statistical methods for detecting adaptive evolution in protein coding sequences and for identifying positively selected sites. Genetics 168: 1041–1051.
- Yang, Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24:1586–1591.
- Yule, G. U. 1925. A mathematical theory of evolution, based on the conclusions of Dr. JC Willis, FRS. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 1925:21–87.
- Zhang, J., R. Nielsen, and Z. Yang. 2005. Evaluation of an improved branchsite likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22:2472–2479.