# **Mechanical Stretch Up-regulates MicroRNA-26a and Induces Human Airway Smooth Muscle Hypertrophy by Suppressing Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3\***

Received for publication, January 5, 2010, and in revised form, May 28, 2010 Published, JBC Papers in Press, June 3, 2010, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M110.101147

**Junaith S. Mohamed, Michael A. Lopez, and Aladin M. Boriek**<sup>1</sup>

*From Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030*

**Airway smooth muscle hypertrophy is one of the hallmarks of airway remodeling in severe asthma. Several human diseases have been now associated with dysregulated microRNA (miRNA) expression. miRNAs are a class of small non-coding RNAs, which negatively regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. Here, we identify** *miR-26a* **as a hypertrophic miRNA of human airway smooth muscle cells (HASMCs). We show that stretch selectively induces the transcription of** *miR-26a* **located in the locus 3p21.3 of human chromosome 3. The** transcription factor CCAAT enhancer-binding protein  $\alpha$ **(C/EBP**-**) directly activates** *miR-26a* **expression through the transcriptional machinery upon stretch. Furthermore, stretch or enforced expression of** *miR-26a* **induces HASMC hypertrophy, and miR-26 knockdown reverses this effect, suggesting that** *miR-26a* **is a hypertrophic gene. We identify glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3), an anti-hypertrophic protein, as a target gene of** *miR-26a***. Luciferase reporter assays demonstrate that** *miR-26a* **directly interact with the 3-untranslated repeat of the GSK-3 mRNA. Stretch or enforced expression of** *miR-26a* **attenuates the endogenous GSK-3 protein levels followed by the induction of HASMC hypertrophy. miR-26 knockdown reverses this effect, suggesting that** *miR-26a***-induced hypertrophy occurs via its target gene GSK-3. Overall, as a first time, our study unveils that** *miR-26a* **is a mechanosensitive gene, and it plays an important role in the regulation of HASMC hypertrophy.**

MicroRNAs (miRNAs)<sup>2</sup> are an evolutionarily conserved novel class of small non-coding RNAs that have achieved status as potent regulators of gene expression. According to their genomic location relative to protein-coding gene locus,

miRNAs can be divided into intergenic miRNAs and intragenic miRNAs. The former have independent transcriptional units, including promoter, transcript sequence, and terminator; therefore, they do not overlap with other genes (1, 2). The later are found in the introns of protein-coding host genes, and they generally share the same regulatory motifs with their host genes (3–5). Most of the miRNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II as primary miRNAs (1, 2) and processed by the RNase III enzymes Drosha and Dicer to produce 21- to 23-nucleotide double-stranded RNA duplexes (6, 7). These smaller RNAs are then exported to the cytoplasm by Exportin 5 (8, 9), where they are subsequently processed into mature miRNAs by Dicer. The mature miRNAs are loaded into the miRNA-induced silencing complex (7), where they recognize their target protein-coding mRNAs to inhibit mostly mRNA translation or degradation (10) by base pairing to complementary sequences within the 3'-untranslated region (3' UTR). With respect to miRNAs functions, they play pivotal roles in the pathophysiological processes such as apoptosis, cell differentiation, cell proliferation, and organ development (4, 11). Functionally speaking, several human diseases have now been associated with dysregulated miRNAs expression.

Airway remodeling is a characteristic feature observed in the airways of patients having severe asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Clinical studies have shown that both hypertrophy and hyperplasia of human airway smooth muscle cells (HASMCs) play key roles in airway remodeling (12–16). Many lines of evidence have demonstrated that hypertrophy in cardiomyocytes, skeletal myotubes, and smooth muscle cells is induced by various hypertrophic stimuli, including mechanical stretch (17–27). Recently, it has been shown that miRNAs play important roles in the induction of cardiac hypertrophy as well as response to hypertrophic stimuli (28–32). However, the role of miRNAs in the regulation of smooth muscle hypertrophy is completely unknown. Furthermore, the induction of specific miRNAs in response to hypertrophic stimuli, including stretch, is also lacking in these cells.

The present study was aimed to investigate whether stretch can induce miRNAs expression, and whether miRNAs are involved in the regulation of airway smooth muscle hypertrophy. Our results show that stretch induces HASMC hypertrophy through *miR-26a* up-regulation. Promoter analysis of the  $miR-26a$  gene reveals that  $C/EBP\alpha$  directly binds to the promoter of *miR-26a* and activates its expression. In addition, we identify glycogen synthase kinase-3 $\beta$  (GSK-3 $\beta$ ) as a target gene of *miR-26a*. Luciferase reporter assay demonstrate that *miR-*



<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grants HL63134 and HL-072839 from NHLBI. This work was also supported

by a grant from the National Science Foundation. <sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030. Tel.: 713-798-6091; Fax: 713-798-

 $2$ The abbreviations used are: miRNA, microRNA; HASMC, human airway smooth muscle cell; C/EBP- $\alpha$ , CCAAT enhancer-binding protein  $\alpha$ ; GSK- $3\beta$ , glycogen synthase kinase-3 $\beta$ ; UTR, untranslated region; RT, reverse transcription; *CTDSPL*, C-terminal domain, RNA polymerase II, polypeptide A small phosphatase-like; *CTDSP2*, C-terminal domain, RNA polymerase II, polypeptide A small phosphatase 2; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; GAPDH, glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; MHC, myosin heavy chain; CMV, cytomegalovirus; qPCR, quantitative PCR; RNAi, RNA interference; EdU, 5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine; HPG, L-homopropargylglycine.





 $26a$  directly interacts with the  $3'UTR$  of the GSK-3 $\beta$  mRNA. Consequently, *miR-26a* is able to convey the hypertrophic signal by suppressing the translation of  $GSK-3\beta$  mRNA. These findings reveal that *miR-26a* is a novel regulator in airway smooth muscle hypertrophy.

## **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Cell Culture and Stretch*—Primary HASMCs (obtained from Lonza, Walkersville, MD) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum,  $1\times$  non-essential amino acids, 2 mm L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin in a humidified incubator containing 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at 37 °C. Prior to experiments,  $2 \times 10^5$  cells at passage 7 were plated on type I collagencoated Bioflex 6-well plates or normal cell culture 6-well plates in the above growth medium. All experiments were conducted after the cells were serum-deprived for 24 h. For stretch stimulation, cells grown on Bioflex plates were stimulated with a 1-h cyclic strain at 1 Hz (0.5 s of deformation alternating with 0.5 s of relaxation) for every 12 h or unless otherwise stated using a computer-controlled vacuum strain apparatus (Flexercell Strain Unit, FlexCell International, Hillsborough, NC) with a vacuum pressure that is sufficient to generate 12% strain. Cells grow in normal cell culture plates were used for non-stretch experiments.

*miRNA Microarray Analysis*—After stretch, total RNA samples were isolated by TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). Ten micrograms of total RNA was size-fractionated with YM-100 Microcon centrifugal filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA), and then used for miRNA expression analysis with miRNA microarray (LC Sciences, Houston, TX).

*Construction of Expression Plasmids*—*miR-26a* precursor DNA containing 77-bp stem-loop sequence and 100-bp native flank sequence to both upstream and downstream of the stem loop (as shown in Fig. 4*A*) was synthesized with *miR-26a*-C-F and *miR-26a*-C-R primers, and cloned into pSilencer 4.1-CMV vector (Ambion, Austin, TX) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. GSK-3 $\beta$  cDNA with or without 3'UTR was synthesized and cloned into pcDNA 3.1D/V5-His-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.  $GSK-3\beta$ -F1 and  $GSK-3\beta$ -R1 primers were used to synthesize  ${\rm GSK}$ -3 $\beta$  cDNA with 3'UTR. GSK-3 $\beta$  cDNA without the 3'UTR was synthesized using GSK-3 $\beta$ -F1 and GSK-3 $\beta$ -R2 primer sets. To generate reporter vector bearing miR-23a-binding sites, a 650-bp human GSK-3 $\beta$  3'UTR sequence was synthesized and cloned into pmirGLO vector (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer's instructions.  $GSK-3\beta-3'UTR-F$  and GSK-3ß-3'UTR-R primers were used. To generate reporter vector bearing  $C/EBP\alpha$ -binding elements, a 750-bp and a 1000-bp human *CTDSPL/miR-26a* 5'UTR DNA (as shown in Fig. 4) were synthesized and cloned into pGL4.1 luciferase reporter vector (Promega). The following primer pairs were used:  $miR-26a$  5'UTR-F1 and  $miR-26a$  5'UTR-R1 (for 1000 bp), and  $miR-26a$  5'UTR-F2 and  $miR-26a$  5'UTR-R2 (for 750 bp). PCRs were performed to synthesis inserts with AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase according to the manufacturer's protocols (Invitrogen). Primers information was detailed in Table 1. Constructs were sequenced by the DNA Sequence Core Facility of Baylor College of Medicine to verify insert identities.

*Transfection and Luciferase Assays*—Cells were grown in Opti-MEM I medium (Invitrogen) for 24 h before transfection. Cells were transfected with 2.2  $\mu$ g of expression vector bearing has*-miR-26a* precursor, GSK-3β cDNA, or GSK-3β cDNA without 3'UTR, or 2.4  $\mu$ g of reporter vector bearing GSK-3 $\beta$  $3'UTR$  or  $C/EBP\alpha$ -binding elements by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The *Renilla* luciferase vector pGL4.74 was co-transfected with firefly luciferase vectors as a normalizer. For *miR-26a* inhibitor assays, cells were transfected with 400 ng of has-*miR-26a* miRCURY LNA knockdown probe (antagomir) or scrambled probe (Exiqon, Woburn, MA). For small interference RNA-mediated knockdown studies, 500 pmol of small interference RNA specific for human  $C/EBP\alpha$  or nonspecific small





FIGURE 1. **Stretch alters micro-RNAs expression in HASMCs.** *A*, HASMCs were stimulated with stretch for 1 h. Total RNA was isolated after 12 h and used to conduct a microarray analysis to determine the expression levels of human miRNAs. Data are presented on a scatter plot showing log 10-transformed signal intensities for each probe on both channels for the Cy3-labeled controls (no stretch) and Cy5-labeled stretch stimulated samples. Each *dot*represents one miRNA probe. *B* and *C*, RNA used in *A*was analyzed by solution hybridization technique with 5-biotin-labeled *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140* and small nuclear RNA U6 (*B*) and, in a separate experiment, by qPCR to assay the expression of *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, *miR-140*, and U6 under the same conditions (*C*). U6 served as both loading control and normalizer. \*, *p* 0.05 *versus* corresponding control without stretch (*white bar*). Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. ( $n = 3$ ).

interference RNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was used to transfect HASMCs. RNA transfection studies were performed with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 8 h, the transfection medium was replaced with the growth medium. Subsequent assays were made after 24- to 48-h transfection. Luciferase activity was measured with the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

*ChIP Assays*—Cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min and washed with ice-cold 1x phosphate-buffered saline. After cells were scraped off in buffer I (0.25% Triton X-100/10 mM EDTA/0.5 mM EGTA/10 mM Hepes, pH 6.5), cell were pelleted by centrifugation and washed in buffer II (200 mm NaCl/1 mm EDTA/0.5 mm EGTA/10 mm Hepes, pH 6.5). Two hundred-microliter cell pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of lysis buffer (0.5% SDS/10 mM EDTA/50 mM Tris, pH  $8.1/1\times$  protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN)/1 mg/ml 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride) and sonicated four times for a 30-s interval of 0.5-s pulses (Fisher, model 550 Sonic Dismembrator). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation, and the chromatin solutions were diluted  $5\times$  with dilution buffer (1% Triton X-100/2  $mm$  EDTA/150  $mm$  NaCl/20  $mm$  Tris, pH 8.1/1 $\times$  protease inhibitor mixture). Chromatin fragments were immunoprecipitated with specific antibodies overnight at 4 °C. For a 5-ml diluted chromatin solution, the following amount of antibodies was used:  $5 \mu$ g of C/EBP $\alpha$  (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY), 5  $\mu$ g of RNA polymerase II or 4  $\mu$ g of non-immune serum. The purified DNA was used as template and quantified using qPCR system with ChIP-F1000 and ChI-R1000, and ChIP-F750 and ChIP-R750 primer sets (Table 1). The amplified PCR products were resolved by using 1% agarose gel.

*Solution Hybridization Detection Analysis*—The expression levels of mature miRNAs were measured by solution hybridization detection method with mirVana miRNA and BrightStar BioDetect Kits (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 5  $\mu$ g of total RNA was incubated with 5'-biotin end-labeled *miR-26a* probes, which were obtained from Exiqon, at 42 °C for overnight. Unhybridized RNA and excess probe were removed by a rapid ribonuclease digestion step. The hybridized RNA fragments were resolved by 15% denaturing polyacrylamide gel, transferred to positively charged nylon membrane, and detected by using a chemiluminescent detection system.

*RT-qPCR Expression Analysis*— All RNAs were treated with TURBO DNase (Ambion). RT-qPCRs for miRNA expression were performed by using the mirVana RT-qPCR miRNA detection kit (Ambion) following the manufacturer's instructions. Fifty nanograms of RNA and 10  $\mu$ m of miRCURY LNA PCR Primer Sets (Exiqon) specific for hsa*miR-26a* or U6 small nuclear RNA

(Ambion) as a sample normalizer were used in each reaction. RT-qPCRs for mRNA expression were performed by using the following method. One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed by using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis Super Mix according to the manufacturer's protocols (Invitrogen). PCRs were performed by using Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following primer sets were used in PCRs: human GAPDH primers were used as a sample normalizer. RT-qPCRs were performed on an Mx 3005p Real Time PCR system (Stratagene). The temperature cycle profile for the qPCR reactions was 95 °C for 15 min and 40 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Melting curve analysis was also included at one cycle of 95 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 30 s, and 95 °C for 30 s to verify the specificity of the amplified PCR products. The amount of amplified transcripts (2 -  $\Delta^{\text{CT}}$ ) was estimated by the comparative CT  $(\Delta^{\text{CT}})$  method and normalized to an endogenous reference (GAPDH) relative to a calibrator. All PCR products were verified on agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide to discriminate between the correct amplification products and the potential primer dimers.

*Western Blot*—Cell lysates were isolated by using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Pierce) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Forty micrograms of proteins was resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membrane was blocked with 5% fat-free milk for 1 h and probed with mouse anti- $\alpha$ smooth muscle (SM) actin, anti-SM22, anti-smMHC (myosin heavy chain), anti-GSK-3β (glycogen synthase kinase-3β), or anti-tubulin. Antibody binding was detected with a peroxidaseconjugated goat anti-mouse IgG and chemiluminescence (Pierce).

*DNA and Protein Synthesis Analyses*—We used Click-iT EdU (5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine, a thymidine analog) and Clic-iT HPG (L-homopropargylglycine, a glycine analog) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Briefly, after treatments, cells were incubated with 10  $\mu$ M EdU or HPG for the indicated periods, harvested, washed, and fixed with Click-iT fixative for 15 min. Cells were permeabilized with  $1\times$ saponin-based permeabilization and wash buffer for 30 min.



After washing, the incorporation of EdU or HPG was detected by using Click-iT Cell Reaction Buffer Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Flow cytometry was used to estimate the fluorescence intensity of Alexa Fluor-488 bound EdU or HPG.



FIGURE 2. **Stretch induces HASMC hypertrophy and hyperplasia.** HASMCs were stimulated with a 1-h stretch for every 12-h period, and then cell size, DNA synthesis, protein synthesis (*A*), protein/DNA ratio (*B*), and cell count (*C*) were analyzed for the indicated periods.  $*, p < 0.05$  versus control without stretch (*white bar*) for the indicated time point. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E.  $(n = 3)$ .



with anti-*miR-26a*, anti-*miR-16*, anti-*miR-140*, or nonspecific miRNA (*NS-miR*). Twenty-four hours after transfection cells were stimulated with a 1-h stretch for every 12 h. *A*, cell size, DNA synthesis, and protein synthesis were analyzed after 72 h. *B*, a portion of cells used in *A* was analyzed to show contractile proteins such as  $\alpha$ -actin, smooth muscle 22 (*SM22*), and myosin heavy chain (*MHC*) expressions by Western blot. *C*, cells were transfected with anti-*miR-26a*, anti-*miR-16*, anti-*miR-140*, or NS-miR for 24 h followed by a 1-h stretch. Total RNA was isolated after 36 h, and the levels of miRNAs were quantified by RT-qPCR. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. \*,  $p < 0.05$  *versus* stretch. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. ( $n = 3$ ).

*Cell Size Analysis*—Cells were stained for  $\alpha$ -actin fibers, and cell size was determined by computer-assisted planimetry. 100–200 cells in 20–30 fields were examined in each experiment.

*Statistical analysis*—The results are expressed as means S.E. of at least three independent experiments. The comparison among different groups was performed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni test using SigmaStat 3.5 software. Paired data were evaluated by Student's  $t$  test.  $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

#### **RESULTS**

*Mechanical Stretch Up-regulates miRNAs Expression*— Others and we have previously shown that stretch can induce HASMCs gene expressions through mechanosignal transduction pathways (33–38). To explore whether stretch can induce the expression of miRNAs in HASMCs, we performed a microRNA array screen using total RNA isolated from HASMCs 12 h after stretch. Among 837 mRNAs, the array uncovered the induction of 50 differentially regulated mechanosensitive miRNAs, and this was based on a *p* value of 0.01. Among those, 28 miRNAs were up-regulated, including the highly up-regulated miRNAs *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140* (Fig. 1*A*), and 22 miRNAs were down-regulated. To confirm the validity of *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140* up-regulations by stretch, a portion of the RNA used for the microarray was converted into cDNA and subjected to qPCR

> or solution hybridization analysis. Consistent with the microarray findings, *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140* were strongly up-regulated by stretch (Fig. 1, *B* and*C*). The small nuclear RNA U6, a control and normalizer for miRNAs, was relatively unchanged by stretch. These results indicate that HASMCs respond to stretch by strongly up-regulating *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140*, and the genes that transcribe these miRNAs are mechanosensitive.

> *Stretch Induces HASMCs Hypertrophy*—Accumulating evidences have demonstrated that stretch can induce cardiac, skeletal, and smooth muscle cell hypertrophy (17–27). To test this in HASMCs, we stimulated the cells with a 1-h stretch for every 12 h up to 3 days. Our data showed that cells stimulated with stretch displayed an increase in cell size (Fig. 2*A*). Changes in cell size were accompanied by an increase in protein synthesis, because the HPG incorporation was enhanced. Stretching of HASMCs also increased DNA synthesis as evidenced by higher incorporation of EdU (Fig.





contains pre-*miR-26a*, cytomegalovirus promoter (*CMV*), simian virus 40 (*SV40*), and neomycin. The *blue letters*represent two restriction sites BamHI and HindIII. The *red letters* indicate 22 bases of mature *miR-26a* sequence. The *underlined letters*represent 77-bp stem-loop sequence, and the *green letters*indicate 100-bp native flank sequence to both upstream and downstream of the stem-loop sequence. *B–E*, cells were transfected with pSilencer or pSilencer-*miR-26a* and/or anti-*miR-26a* for 24 h followed by a 1-h stretch for every 12 h. *B*, 36 h after transfection, the overexpression of pre-*miR-26a* and mature *miR-26a* was confirmed by RT-qPCR (*upper panel*) and solution hybridization methods (lower panel), respectively. Cell size, DNA synthesis, protein synthesis, and cell count (C), and  $\alpha$ -actin, SM22, and MHC expressions (*D*) were analyzed 72 h after transfection. Protein/DNA ratio (*E*) was also analyzed. β-Actin served as a loading control. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. <sup>δ</sup>, *p* < 0.05 *versus* control and  $*$ ,  $p < 0.05$  *versus* pSilencer-*miR-26a*. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. (*n* = 3).

2*A*). The increase in DNA synthesis was accompanied by an increase in proliferation (Fig. 2*B*). The protein synthesis was much higher than the DNA synthesis as evidenced by the increased protein/DNA ratio (Fig. 2*C*). All these changes were effective from 48 h after initiation of stretch. These data provide experimental evidences demonstrating that stretch can induce both hypertrophy and hyperplasia of HASMCs, but the former is more dominant response than the later one.

*Stretch-induced miR-26a Participates in Initiating Hypertrophy*—Recent studies have shown that miRNAs play important roles in cardiac hypertrophy (28–32). Induction of miRNAs and hypertrophy by stretch led us to consider whether the above stretch-induced miRNAs may participate in conveying the hypertrophic effect of stretch. To this end,

we used *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and*miR-140* antagomirs (anti-miR) to knock down their endogenous expressions in the stretch-induced hypertrophic cascade. Interestingly, *miR-26a* knockdown attenuated the stretch-induced hypertrophic responses as evidenced by decrease in cell size, HPG incorporation (Fig. 3*A*), and contractile proteins such as  $\alpha$ -smooth muscle actin, SM22, and smooth muscle MHC expressions (Fig. 3*B*). In contrast, neither *miR-16* nor *miR-140* had a role in any of the above stretch-induced hypertrophic responses. These results indicate that *miR-26a* unlike *miR-16* and *miR-140* is involved in mediating the hypertrophic effects of stretch. In addition, *miR-26a*, *miR-16*, and *miR-140* did not attenuate the EdU incorporation, which was increased by stretch (Fig. 3*A*), suggesting that these miRNAs are unable to inhibit the stretch-induced hyperplasia. We tested whether transfection of HASMCs with the antagomir of *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, or *miR-140* could influence their endogenous levels of expression. As expected, *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140* antagomirs inhibited their endogenous levels of expressions, suggesting the specificity of the antagomirs (Fig. 3*C*).

*Enforced Expression of miR-26a Induces Hypertrophy*—We overexpressed *miR-26a* in HASMCs to evaluate whether *miR-26a* itself induces hypertrophy in the absence of stretch. We engineered an *miR-26a*-expressing construct in an expression vector (Fig. 4*A*). Expression of the pre-*miR-26a* and mature *miR-26a* were observed in

HASMCs stably transfected with the construct (Fig. 4*B*). Our results showed that *miR-26a* alone could induce HASMC hypertrophy as evidenced by increased cell size, HPG incorporation (Fig. 4*C*), contractile proteins expressions (Fig. 4*D*), and protein-DNA ratio (Fig. 4*E*). Introduction of anti-*miR-26a* blocked the *miR-26a*-induced hypertrophic effects. Surprisingly, *miR-26a* inhibited cell proliferation, because the Edu incorporation and total number of cells were reduced (Fig. 4*C*). Overall, regardless of stretch, *miR-26a* up-regulation induces HASMC hypertrophy. Furthermore, *miR-26a* inhibits the proliferation of HASMCs, and such inhibitory effect occurs only in the absence of stretch.

GSK-3β Is a Target of miR-26a-To find out the molecular target of *miR-26a*, we searched for predicted *miR-26a* tar-





FIGURE 5. GSK-3 $\beta$  is a target of *miR-26a*. A, sequence alignment of putative *miR-26a* targeting site in 3'UTR of GSK-3β shows a high level of complementarily and sequence conservation. *B*, HASMCs were stimulated with a 1-h stretch. Total and phosphorylated  $(p)$  GSK-3 $\beta$  protein levels were analyzed by Western blot for the indicated periods. *C*, HASMCs were transfected with pSilencer or pSilencer-*miR-26a* expression construct. GSK-3 mRNA levels were analyzed 24 h after transfection by RT-qPCR (left panel), and total GSK-3β protein levels were analyzed 48 h after transfection by Western blot (*right panel*). *D*, cells were transfected with pcDNA-GSK-3 $\beta$ construct, and overexpressions of GSK-3 $\beta$  mRNA (after 36 h) and protein (after 48 h) were confirmed by RT-PCR (*upper panel*) and Western blot (*lower panel*) methods, respectively. *E*, cells were transfected with pcDNA-GSK-3 $\beta$  construct, along with indicated amount of pSilencer-*miR-26a* construct. GSK-3 $\beta$  protein expression was analyzed by Western blot 48 h after transfection. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. ( $n = 3$ ).

gets, focusing our attention on the regulators of hypertrophy. In agreement with this, the public data base of animal miRNA miRGen (available on-line) has listed GSK-3 $\beta$  as one of the potential targets of *miR-26a*. GSK-3β has a conservative *miR-26a* seed sequence in its 3UTR (Fig. 5*A*). Recent studies have shown that GSK-3 $\beta$  is an anti-hypertrophic molecule and negatively regulates hypertrophy in airway smooth muscle, cardiac, and skeletal muscles  $(39-47)$ . These data provided a strong rationale to test our hypothesis of whether  $GSK-3\beta$  is functionally a downstream target of *miR-26a* in the hypertrophic pathway of HASMCs. First, we detected the expression levels of GSK-3 $\beta$  in the hypertrophic model of stretch. Both total and phosphorylated GSK-3ß protein levels were decreased in a time-dependent manner upon stretch (Fig. 5*B*). Second, we tested whether *miR-26a* transcriptionally or posttranscriptionally suppresses the endogenous  $GSK-3\beta$  expression. To test this, we overexpressed *miR-26a* in HASMCs using the pSilencer-*miR-26a* construct. The enforced expression of *miR-26a* significantly decreased  $GSK-3\beta$  protein but not mRNA levels, (Fig. 5*C*), suggesting that *miR-26a* predominantly suppresses  $GSK-3\beta$  translation. To confirm whether *miR-26a* influences the protein translation of  $GSK-3\beta$ , we analyzed the protein levels of  $GSK-3\beta$  in cells that overexpress both *miR-26a* and GSK-3β. We cloned GSK-3β full-length cDNA in the mammalian expression vector pcDNA 3.1. Expression of GSK-3 $\beta$ mRNA and protein were observed in HASMCs stably transfected with the construct (Fig. 5D). GSK-3 $\beta$ expression was suppressed by *miR-26a* in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5*E*).

Subsequently, we analyzed the effect of *miR-26a* on GSK-3β translation. To do this, a reporter construct containing the luciferase gene fused to the GSK-3 $\beta$ -3'UTR (luc- $GSK-3\beta-3'UTR$ ) was transfected into HASMCs with or without *miR-26a* overexpression vector. As shown in Fig. 6*A*, cells transfected with luc-GSK-3ß-3'UTR alone had luciferase activity, and cells cotransfected with pSilencer-*miR-26a* significantly reduced the luciferase activity. Introduction of *miR-26a* antagomir was reverted to the inhibitory activity of *miR-26a*. SC*miR-26a* antagomir was also able to rescue luc-GSK-3β-3′UTR luciferase activity (Fig. 6*A*). Finally, we tested whether *miR-26a* suppresses  $GSK-3\beta$  by base pairing on the

 $3'$ UTR of GSK-3 $\beta$  mRNA. To do this, we cloned GSK-3 $\beta$ cDNA without 3'UTR in the pcDNA 3.1 vector, and the expression of GSK-3 $\beta$  mRNA without the 3'UTR in HASMCs stably transfected with the construct was confirmed by RT-qPCR and Western blot (Fig. 6*B*). As shown in Fig. 6*C*, *miR-26a* was unable to influence the expression of  $GSK-3\beta$  without its  $3'$ UTR, suggesting that the GSK-3 $\beta$   $3'$ UTR contains an active seed of *miR-26a*. Overall, these data provided experimental evidences demonstrating that GSK-3 $\beta$  is a target gene of *miR-26a*.

To understand if enforced expression of  $GSK-3\beta$  can influence the hypertrophic cascades induced by stretch or *miR-26a* we used cells that overexpress GSK-3β from Fig. 5D. Transfection of *miR-26a* induced HASMC hypertrophy, and such hypertrophy was inhibited by the ectopic expression of GSK-3 $\beta$ (Fig.  $6D$ ). Ectopic expression of GSK-3 $\beta$  also was able to attenuate the stretch-induced hypertrophy (Fig. 6*E*). These data suggest that *miR-26a* and GSK-3β are both involved in the regulation of HASMC hypertrophy. Overall, our data support a model





FIGURE 6. *miR-26a* **directly binds on the 3UTR of the GSK-3 mRNA.** *A*, HASMCs were transfected with the GSK-3 $\beta$ -3'UTR-luciferase construct, along with pSilencer-*miR-26a* construct. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were collected and then firefly luciferase activities were estimated and normalized to *Renilla* luciferase activities. \*, *p* < 0.05 *versus* GSK-3*β*-3′UTR-luciferase construct alone. *B*, cells were transfected with pcDNA or pcDNA-GSK-3 $\beta$  without 3' UTR. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were collected for the analysis of GSK-3 $\beta$  mRNA and protein expressions by RT-PCR (upper panel) and Western blot (lower panel) methods, respectively. C, cells were co-transfected with pcDNA or pcDNA-GSK-3 $\beta$  without 3' UTR and/or pSilencer-miR-*26a.* Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were collected for the analysis of GSK-3 $\beta$  protein expression by Western blot method. *D*, cells were transfected with pcDNA or pcDNA-GSK-3 $\beta$  construct (with 3'UTR), and cell size and protein synthesis were determined 72 h after transfection. \*,  $p < 0.05$  versus miR-26a alone. E, a portion of cells used in *C* was stimulated with a 1-h stretch every 12 h. Cell size and protein synthesis were measured 72 h after transfection. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. (*n* = 3).

in which *miR-26a* participates in HASMC hypertrophy by suppressing GSK-3 $\beta$  protein expression, which in turn triggers the expression of smooth muscle-specific markers and hypertrophy (see Fig. 10).

*Stretch Selectively Transcribes miR-26a*—Two loci align with *miR-26a* in the human genome (48). *miR-26a*-1 is located in an intron of C-terminal domain RNA polymerase II polypeptide A small phosphatase-like (*CTDSPL*) at chromosome 3 (Fig. 7*A*), and *miR-26a*-2 is located in an intron of C-terminal domain RNA polymerase II polypeptide A small phosphatase 2 (*CTDSP2*) at chromosome 12 (figure not shown). To understand whether the stretch-induced *miR-26a* transcription occurs via the activation of *CTDSPL* and/or *CTDSP2* gene(s) we performed qPCR with primers specific for *CTDSPL* and *CTDSP2*. Surprisingly, stretch increased the mRNA levels of *CTDSPL* (Fig. 7*B*), but not *CTDSP2* (Fig. 7*C*), in a time-dependent manner. More precisely, *CTDSPL* mRNA was detectable at 1 h, elevated at 12 h, suddenly reduced at 24 h, and was reduced at 48 h after stretch. To monitor the kinetics of *miR-26a* induction, mature *miR-26a* was assayed over a 48-h time course after stretch. *miR-26a* induction by stretch followed a similar pattern of expression as *CTDSPL*, reaching its highest levels at 12 h and slowly decreasing at 24 and 48 h after stretch (Fig. 7*D*). In these experiments, the levels of U6 and GAPDH, a control and normalizer for *miR-26a* and *CTDSPL*, respectively, were relatively unchanged by stretch. These findings provide evidence that 1) human *CTDSPL* is expressed at lower levels, and its levels increases by stretch, 2) most of the stretch-induced *miR-26a* transcribes from the miR located on chromosome 3, and 3) the expression of *miR-26a* is not correlated with the expression of *CTDSP2* in response to stretch.

*miR-26a Is under the Control of C/EBP in Stretch-induced Hypertrophy*—To determine the specific transcription factor by which primary *miR-26a* was induced by stretch we first analyzed the *miR-26a* promoter region using the public software PATCH (available online), focusing on mechanosensitive  $AP-1$  and  $C/EBP\alpha$  transcription factors that are activated by stretch in HASMCs (34). A scan of 1.8 kb genomic sequence located upstream of the ATG of *CTDSPL* gene identified eight putative AP-1 and

three  $C/EBP\alpha$  consensus binding sites in the first 750 bp. In addition to this, two AP-1 and  $C/EBP\alpha$ -binding sites were identified between 750 and 1800 bp upstream of the ATG (Fig. 8*A*). The presence of AP-1 and  $C/EBP\alpha$  binding elements on the *CTDSPL* 5UTR (*miR-26a* promoter) led us to consider whether *miR-26a* is a transcriptional target of AP-1 and/or  $C/EBP\alpha$ . First, using ChIP assays, we tested whether these transcription factors could directly bind to the promoter region of the *miR-26a*. As shown in Fig. 8 (*B* and *C*), activation of *miR-26a* promoter by stretch was completely dependent on the  $C/EBP\alpha$ -binding sites as evidenced by qPCR (Fig. 8*B*) and the visualization of PCR products on 1% agarose gel (Fig. 8*C*). In contrast, stretch had no effect on c-fos activity on the *miR-26a* promoter. Second, we tested whether  $C/EBP\alpha$  could influence the promoter activity of *miR-26a*. We generated two promoter constructs by cloning the first 750 bp, and a 1000 bp region (from  $-800$  to  $-1800$ ) upstream of the ATG (Fig. 8*D*) into





FIGURE 7. **Kinetics of stretch induction of** *CTDSPL* **mRNA and mature** *miR-26a***.** *A*, depiction of the genomic structure of the human *CTDSPL* coding RNA genes in chromosome 3p and location of *miR-26a* in introns 5 (*CTDSPL 201*) and 4 (*CTDSPL 202*). *B* and *D*, HASMCs were stimulated with a 1-h stretch, and total RNA was isolated over a 48-h period. The levels of *CTDSPL* (*B*) and *CTDSP2* (*C*) mRNAs were analyzed by RT-qPCR (data for *CTDSPL* mRNA levels by qPCR are not shown) and resolved in 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Primers were designed to target *CTDSPL* (identical for *CTDSPL 201* and *202*) and *CTDSP2* sequences extending outside of *miR-26a*. GAPDH served as both loading control and normalizer. The levels of *CTDSPL* were presented relatively to that of GAPDH level.  $*$ ,  $p$   $\leq$  0.05 *versus* corresponding control without stretch for the indicated time points. RNA used in the above experiments was also analyzed by solution hybridization technique with 5-biotin-labeled *miR-26a* and small nuclear RNA U6 and in a separate experiment by RT-qPCR to assay expression of *miR-26a* and U6 under the same conditions (D). U6 served as both loading control and normalizer. \*,  $p < 0.05$  versus corresponding control without stretch for the indicated time points. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. Each *bar* indicates mean S.E. (*n* 3).

luciferase reporter plasmid, named pGL750 and pGL1000, and tested them in a luciferase reporter assay 48 h after transfection. Cells transfected with the pGL1000 construct had higher luciferase activities than control cells upon stretch (Fig. 8*E*). In contrast, stretch did not activate the luciferase gene in cells transfected with the pGL750 construct. Finally, we tested whether the endogenous  $C/EBP\alpha$  is able to influence the promoter activity of  $miR-26a$ . To achieve this,  $C/EBP\alpha$  RNAi was produced, and they could decrease their endogenous expression levels both in total and phosphorylated states (Fig. 9*A*). The promoter of *miR-26a* was activated upon stretch, but knockdown of  $C/EBP\alpha$  by RNAi abolished the stretch-induced miR-26 promoter activation (Fig. 9*B*). We next explored the

relationship between  $C/EBP\alpha$  and  $miR-26a$  in the hypertrophic cascades of stretch. To do this, we carried out the above RNAi strategy. Knockdown of  $C/EBP\alpha$  inhibited the hypertrophic responses (Fig. 9*C*) of stretch. Taken together, these data suggest that  $miR-26a$  is a direct transcriptional target of  $C/EBP\alpha$ during stretch-induced HASMC hypertrophy.

# **DISCUSSION**

Many lines of evidence demonstrate that physical forces elicit a number of biologically relevant signals in the human body. The best characterized system responses to mechanical stimuli are the cardiovascular (17–21), musculoskeletal





FIGURE 8. **miR-26a is a C/EBP<sub>a</sub>-dependent gene.** A, schematic representation of the 5'UTR of the human *CTDSPL* gene (*miR-26a* promoter). Region between 1800 and 1 bp contains putative binding elements for AP-1 (*bottom*) and C/EBP $\alpha$  (*top*). *B* and *C*, HASMCs were stimulated with a 1-h stretch, and then the chromatin was isolated and precipitated with anti-c-Fos, anti-C/EBP $\alpha$ , anti-RNA Poly II, or nonspecific IgG. qPCRs were performed with two sets of primers, as shown in *A*, specific for *miR-26a* promoter to identify the specific transcription factor and its region of binding to the *miR-26a* promoter (*B*) and resolved in 1% agarose gel (*C*). *D* and *E*, a 750-bp (pGL750) and 1000-bp (pGL1000) promoter region was synthesized and linked to luciferase (*Luc*) reporter gene (*D*). Cells were transfected with empty vector or the pGL750 or pGL1000 *miR-26a* promoter region. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were stimulated with a 1-h stretch, and then firefly luciferase activities were estimated and normalized to *Renilla* luciferase activities (*E*). \*, *p* 0.05 *versus* pGL alone. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. ( $n = 3$ ).

changes to airway smooth muscle, due to hypertrophy and/or hyperplasia, are a well known feature of chronic airway diseases, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cystic fibrosis (12–16). Alterations in the regulation of gene expression by mechanical stimuli play an important role in the thickening and remodeling of the airway wall in subjects with the above airway diseases (25). In the last few years, miRNAs have been recognized as potent regulators of gene expression, and as a key modulator of variety of biological processes (4, 11). More recently, several studies have demonstrated the role of miRNAs in cardiac hypertrophy (28– 32). Thus, it is plausible that miRNAs may be involved in the regulation of airway smooth muscle hypertrophy. In this study, we have investigated the potential involvement of a specific miRNA in the regulation of HASMC hypertrophy by evaluating the expression profile of miRNAs in response to stretch. Our microarray and RT-qPCR data showed that *miR-16*, *miR-26a*, and *miR-140* were the only miRNAs highly up-regulated in HASMCs (more than 6-fold) by stretch. Recent studies have shown that these miRNAs inhibit proliferation of tumor cells by targeting the regulators of the cell cycle  $(49-61)$ , suggesting

that these miRNAs may have a role in the regulation of HASMC hypertrophy rather than hyperplasia. Consistent with these findings, our results showed that *miR-26a*, but neither *miR-16* nor *miR-140*, induced HASMC hypertrophy regardless of the hypertrophic stimuli stretch. Our results also demonstrated that these miRNAs could not inhibit HASMCs proliferation, which was induced by stretch. In contrast, enforced expression of *miR-26a* in HASMCs inhibited cell proliferation, and then transforms them to hypertrophic phenotype in the absence of stretch. One possible explanation is that some other signaling pathway(s) that might regulate the stretch-induced proliferation may overdominate the inhibitory role of *miR-26a* in cell proliferation. To our knowledge, the identification of *miR-26a* as a stretch-responsive or mechanosensitive miRNA in primary HASMCs represents the first evidence linking miRNA to airway smooth muscle hypertrophy.

Like *miR-26a*, many proteins have emerged to regulate hypertrophy and promote disease upon their dysregulated expression. Among those, one of the potential proteins that regulates hypertrophy is GSK- $3\beta$ . GSK- $3\beta$  is constitutively active in unstimulated cells and inacti-

vated upon phosphorylation at Ser $9(62)$ . Although initially described as an inhibitor of glycogen synthesis through phosphorylation of glycogen synthase (63),  $GSK-3\beta$  was later revealed as a key signaling molecule regulating many aspects of cellular function, including protein synthesis, cytoskeletal integrity, and gene expression (64). More importantly, GSK-3 $\beta$ negatively regulates cardiac  $(39 - 43)$ , skeletal  $(44, 45)$ , and airway smooth muscle (44, 47) hypertrophy as evidenced by the finding that GSK-3 $\beta$  overexpression inhibits the hypertrophic phenotype, protein synthesis, and hypertrophic genes expression. In this study, we determined the expression of  $\alpha$ -actin, SM22, and smMHC genes as the indicators of airway smooth muscle hypertrophy. Many studies have shown the up-regulation of these proteins occurs when airway smooth muscle cells enter into the hypertrophic cascade upon  $GSK-3\beta$  activation  $(46-47)$ . Interestingly, our results showed that stretch decreased  $GSK-3\beta$  phosphorylation due to the inhibition of total protein production in a time-dependent manner, suggesting that the stretch-induced hypertrophy in HASMCs could be mediated through the suppression of GSK-3 $\beta$  gene expression. Interestingly, our data show that  $GSK-3\beta$  is a downstream tar-





FIGURE 9. **Knockdown of endogenous C/EBP**- **expression reduces** *miR-***26a promoter activity.** A, HASMCs were transfected with  $C/EBP\alpha$  RNAi or its scramble form (SC-C/EBP $\alpha$  RNAi). Forty-eight hours after transfection, total and phosphorylated ( $p$ ) C/EBP $\alpha$  levels were detected by Western blots.  $B$ , in a separate experiment, forty-eight hours after RNAi transfection, cells were transfected with the empty vector (*pGL*) or the constructs of the pGL1000 vector. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were stimulated with a 1-h stretch, and then firefly luciferase activities were estimated and normalized to *Renilla* luciferase activities. *C*, cells were transfected as shown in *A*. Twentyfour hours after transfection, cells were stimulated with a 1-h stretch for every 12 h. Cell size and protein synthesis were measured 72 h after transfection.  $*$ ,  $p$  < 0.05 *versus* stretch alone. Gel pictures are representative of three separate experiments. Each *bar* indicates mean  $\pm$  S.E. (*n* = 3).

get of *miR-26a*, which down-regulates GSK-3β expression. The following bioinformatics and experimental evidences confirm the molecular mechanism by which *miR-26a* suppresses  ${\rm GSK}$ -3 $\beta$  gene expression. 1)  ${\rm GSK}$ -3 $\beta$  has a conservative miR26a seed sequence in its 3'UTR, suggesting that *miR-26a* may inhibit GSK-3β expression; 2) overexpression of *miR-26a* sig $n$ ificantly suppressed GSK-3 $\beta$  protein but not mRNA levels, indicating that *miR-26a* predominantly suppresses GSK-3 $\beta$ translation; 3) *miR-26a* suppressed GSK-3β expression in a dose-dependent manner; 4) *miR-26a* could not inhibit GSK-3 expression when the GSK-3 $\beta$  was overexpressed without its  $3'$ UTR, indicating that GSK-3 $\beta$   $3'$ UTR contains an active seed of miR-26a; and 5) HASMCs transfected with luc-GSK-3ß-3UTR construct had higher luciferase activity than when those cells were co-transfected with *miR-26a* expression vector, and introduction of *miR-26a* antagomir could revert this effect, confirming the presence of *miR-26a* active seed on the GSK-3β 3UTR.

In addition, *miR-26a* overexpression abolished the hypertrophic effect of GSK-3 $\beta$ , and enforced expression of GSK-3 $\beta$ inhibited the stretch-induced hypertrophy. This provides a molecular link between miR-26a and GSK-3 $\beta$  in HASMC hypertrophy. In this study, the GSK-3*β-miR-26a-*induced hypertrophy was documented by the increased cell size and relative expression of specific contractile proteins as well as a global increase in protein synthesis. A similar finding has been reported in HASMCs, in which suppression of  $GSK-3\beta$  by RNAi increased cell size and protein synthesis (46). In addition,

we found that the *miR-26a-*GSK-3β-mediated signaling pathway was not involved in the stretch-induced proliferation of HASMCs. This is also consistent with the previous finding demonstrating that  $GSK-3\beta$  does not participate in HASMC hyperplasia (46). Our search for GSK-3 $\beta$  targets provided only five miRNAs (has-miR-199a, -199b, -26a, -26b, and -302a\*). Because miR-199a, miR-199b, miR-26b, and miR-302a\* were not induced by stretch we cannot rule out the possibility that these miRNAs are not upstream targets of GSK-3 $\beta$ . It is well recognized that miRNAs may function according to a "combinational circuitry model," whereby a single miRNA targets multiple mRNAs and several miRNAs may target a single mRNA (65). Thus, further studies are required to elucidate specifically whether hypertrophic stimuli other than stretch can induce the expression of these predicted miRNAs, and whether *miR-26a* can regulate proteins that are involved in the hypertrophic cascade of HASMCs other than  $GSK-3\beta$ .

Gene expression-modulating miRNAs are encoded in diverse genomic locations, including intergenic regions, introns of protein-coding genes, and introns/exons of noncoding RNA genes (66). Most human miRNAs lie between protein-coding genes, whereas about one-third is within the introns of annotated mRNAs (3, 67). In the human genome, two distinct genes, *CTDSPL* and *CTDSP2*, encode different pri-*miR-26a* (*miR-26a*-1 and *miR-26a*-2) leading to the generation of identical mature *miR-26a*. *miR-26a*-1 is located in an intron of *CTDSPL* at chromosome 3, and *miR-26a*-2 is located in an intron of *CTDSP2* at chromosome 12. It is likely that the intronic miRNAs are processed from the same primary transcript as the precursor mRNAs, and thus, their expression levels are regulated by the expression of the host mRNA (68). We examined the correlation between the *miR-26a* expression profile and the expression profile of the host genes by RT-qPCR in response to stretch. Surprisingly, in a time-dependent manner, stretch increased up-regulation of *CTDSPL* mRNA levels, but had no effect on *CTDSP2* mRNA levels. As anticipated, *miR-26a* induction by stretch followed a similar pattern of the expression of *CTDSPL* levels. These results indicate that most of the *miR-26a* in HASMCs is *miR-26a*-1 in response to stretch, and is processed from the same primary transcript as its host gene *CTDSPL*. In contrast, in the absence of stretch, most of the *miR-26a* transcripts are *miR-26a*-2 that occurs by the activation of the host gene*CTDSP2*. Moreover, our study also showed that, in the absence of stretch, the basal *CTDSPL* mRNA levels were barely detectable, unlike *CTDSP2* mRNA levels, and the basal *miR-26a* levels were high. This suggests the existence of a strong correlation between the expression of *miR-26a* and *CTDSP2* mRNA, consistent with previous finding (48). We also determined the specific transcription factor by which pri-*miR-26a* is induced by stretch. Using ChIP assay, we found that activation of *miR-26a* promoter by stretch was completely dependent on the  $C/EBP\alpha$ -binding sites. The luciferase reporter assays demonstrated that the stretch-induced activation of  $miR-26a$  promoter by  $C/EBP\alpha$  was effective only in the presence of the distal *miR-26a* promoter region (1000 bp), which contains two  $C/EBP\alpha$ -binding sites. Moreover, the small interference RNA-mediated knockdown of  $C/EBP\alpha$  experiment confirmed the requirement of  $C/EBP\alpha$  for the activation





FIGURE 10. *miR-26a* **pathway in the initiation of HASMC hypertrophy.** Up-regulation of *miR-26a* by stretching of HASMCs or enforced expression of mir-26a suppresses GSK-3 $\beta$  protein expression that triggers global cellular protein synthesis. As a result, smooth muscle hypertrophic marker proteins ( $\alpha$ -actin, SM22, and MHC) are up-regulated, which, in turn, initiates hypertrophy.

of *miR-26a* promoter by stretch. The present study also corroborated the necessity of  $C/EBP\alpha$  for the induction of HASMC hypertrophy by stretch. Our study indicates that  $C/EBP\alpha$  plays a key role in the induction of HASMC hypertrophy via *miR-26a* up-regulation in response to stretch.

Taken together, our data demonstrate that *miR-26a* is a mechanosensitive and hypertrophic miRNA of HASMCs. Stretch activates the  $miR-26a$  promoter through  $C/EBP\alpha$  transcription factor, which leads to the up-regulation of *miR-26a*. In addition, stretch selectively induces the transcription of *miR-26a* located in the locus 3p21.3 of human chromosome 3. Molecular functional analyses indicate that *miR-26a*-induced hypertrophy is mediated through the suppression of its target gene GSK-3 $\beta$  expression (Fig. 10). We anticipate our study can serve as a model system for studying gene regulation by miRNAs in the development of gene therapy for airway diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Cai, X., Hagedorn, C. H., and Cullen, B. R. (2004) *RNA* **10,** 1957–1966
- 2. Lee, Y., Kim, M., Han, J., Yeom, K. H., Lee, S., Baek, S. H., and Kim, V. N.

(2004) *EMBO J.* **23,** 4051–4060

- 3. Rodriguez, A., Griffiths-Jones, S., Ashurst, J. L., and Bradley, A. (2004) *Genome Res.* **14,** 1902–1910
- 4. Bartel, D. P. (2004) *Cell* **116,** 281–297
- 5. Lin, S. L., Miller, J. D., and Ying, S. Y. (2006) *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* **4,** 1–13
- 6. Gregory, R. I., Yan, K. P., Amuthan, G., Chendrimada, T., Doratotaj, B., Cooch, N., and Shiekhattar, R. (2004) *Nature* **432,** 235–240
- 7. Chendrimada, T. P., Gregory, R. I., Kumaraswamy, E., Norman, J., Cooch, N., Nishikura, K., and Shiekhattar, R. (2005) *Nature* **436,** 740–744
- 8. Yi, R., Qin, Y., Macara, I. G., and Cullen, B. R. (2003) *Genes Dev.* **17,** 3011–3016
- 9. Han, J., Lee, Y., Yeom, K. H., Nam, J. W., Heo, I., Rhee, J. K., Sohn, S. Y., Cho, Y., Zhang, B. T., and Kim, V. N. (2006) *Cell* **125,** 887–901
- 10. Valencia-Sanchez, M. A., Liu, J., Hannon, G. J., and Parker, R. (2006) *Genes Dev.* **20,** 515–524
- 11. Plasterk, R. H. (2006) *Cell* **124,** 877–881
- 12. Ebina, M., Takahashi, T., Chiba, T., and Motomiya, M. (1993) *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* **148,** 720–726
- 13. Jeffery, P. K. (2001) *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **164,** S28–S38
- 14. Benayoun, L., Druilhe, A., Dombret, M. C., Aubier, M., and Pretolani, M. (2003) *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **167,** 1360–1368
- 15. Hays, S. R., Ferrando, R. E., Carter, R., Wong, H. H., and Woodruff, P. G. (2005) *Thorax* **60,** 226–228
- 16. Regamey, N., Ochs, M., Hilliard, T. N., Mühlfeld, C., Cornish, N., Fleming, L., Saglani, S., Alton, E. W., Bush, A., Jeffery, P. K., and Davies, J. C. (2008) *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **177,** 837–843
- 17. Komuro, I. (2000) *Jpn. Heart J.* **41,** 117–129
- 18. Komuro, I., Katoh, Y., Kaida, T., Shibazaki, Y., Kurabayashi, M., Hoh, E., Takaku, F., and Yazaki, Y. (1991) *J. Biol. Chem.* **266,** 1265–1268
- 19. Sadoshima, J., and Izumo, S. (1993) *J. Recept. Res.* **13,** 777–794
- 20. Ruwhof, C., and van der Laarse, A. (2000) *Cardiovasc. Res.* **47,** 23–37
- 21. Sugden, P. H. (2001) *Circulation* **103,** 1375–1377
- 22. Adachi, R., Yabusaki, K., and Obinata, T. (2003) *Zool Sci.* **20,** 557–565
- 23. Vandenburgh, H., and Kaufman, S. (1979) *Science* **203,** 265–268
- 24. Sasai, N., Agata, N., Inoue-Miyazu, M., Kawakami, K., Kobayashi, K., Sokabe, M., and Hayakawa, K. (2010) *Muscle Nerve* **41,** 100–1406
- 25. Tschumperlin, D. J., and Drazen, J. M. (2001)*Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **164,** S90–94
- 26. Galvin, D. J., Watson, R. W., Gillespie, J. I., Brady, H., and Fitzpatrick, J. M. (2002) *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* **283,** F1192–1199
- 27. Hellstrand, P., and Albinsson, S. (2005) *Can J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **83,** 869–875
- 28. van Rooij, E., Sutherland, L. B., Liu, N., Williams, A. H., McAnally, J., Gerard, R. D., Richardson, J. A., and Olson, E. N. (2006) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103,** 18255–18260
- 29. Carè, A., Catalucci, D., Felicetti, F., Bonci, D., Addario, A., Gallo, P., Bang, M. L., Segnalini, P., Gu, Y., Dalton, N. D., Elia, L., Latronico, M. V., Høydal, M., Autore, C., Russo, M. A., Dorn, G. W., 2nd., Ellingsen, O., Ruiz-Lozano, P., Peterson, K. L., Croce, C. M., Peschle, C., and Condorelli, G. (2007) *Nat. Med.* **13,** 613–618
- 30. Sayed, D., Hong, C., Chen, I. Y., Lypowy, J., and Abdellatif, M. (2007) *Circ. Res.* **100,** 416–424
- 31. Lin, Z., Murtaza, I., Wang, K., Jiao, J., Gao, J., and Li, P. F. (2009) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106,** 12103–12108
- 32. Callis, T. E., Pandya, K., Seok, H. Y., Tang, R. H., Tatsuguchi, M., Huang, Z. P., Chen, J. F., Deng, Z., Gunn, B., Shumate, J., Willis, M. S., Selzman, C. H., and Wang, D. Z. (2009) *J. Clin. Invest.* **119,** 2772–2786
- 33. Smith, P. G., Moreno, R., and Ikebe, M. (1997) *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* **272,** L20–L27
- 34. Kumar, A., Knox, A. J., and Boriek, A. M. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 18868–18876
- 35. Hasaneen, N. A., Zucker, S., Cao, J., Chiarelli, C., Panettieri, R. A., and Foda, H. D. (2005) *FASEB J.* 04–3350fje.
- 36. Ito, S., Kume, H., Oguma, T., Ito, Y., Kondo, M., Shimokata, K., Suki, B., and Naruse, K. (2006) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **552,** 135–142
- 37. Kanefsky, J., Lenburg, M., and Hai, C. M. (2006) *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **34,** 417–425
- 38. Ito, S., Kume, H., Naruse, K., Kondo, M., Takeda, N., Iwata, S., Hasegawa,



Y., and Sokabe, M. (2008) *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **38,** 407–413

- 39. Haq, S., Choukroun, G., Kang, Z. B., Ranu, H., Matsui, T., Rosenzweig, A., Molkentin, J. D., Alessandrini, A., Woodgett, J., Hajjar, R., Michael, A., and Force, T. (2000) *J. Cell Biol.* **151,** 117–130
- 40. Morisco, C., Seta, K., Hardt, S. E., Lee, Y., Vatner, S. F., and Sadoshima, J. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276,** 28586–28597
- 41. Antos, C. L., McKinsey, T. A., Frey, N., Kutschke, W., McAnally, J., Shelton, J. M., Richardson, J. A., Hill, J. A., and Olson, E. N. (2002) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **99,** 907–912
- 42. Badorff, C., Ruetten, H., Mueller, S., Stahmer, M., Gehring, D., Jung, F., Ihling, C., Zeiher, A. M., and Dimmeler, S. (2002) *J. Clin. Invest.* **109,** 373–381
- 43. Hardt, S. E., and Sadoshima, J. (2002) *Circ. Res.* **90,** 1055–1063
- 44. Vyas, D. R., Spangenburg, E. E., Abraha, T. W., Childs, T. E., and Booth, F. W. (2002) *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **283,** C545–551
- 45. Rochat, A., Fernandez, A., Vandromme, M., Molès, J. P., Bouschet, T., Carnac, G., and Lamb, N. J. (2004) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **15,** 4544–4555
- 46. Deng, H., Dokshin, G. A., Lei, J., Goldsmith, A. M., Bitar, K. N., Fingar, D. C., Hershenson, M. B., and Bentley, J. K. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 10198–10207
- 47. Bentley, J. K., Deng, H., Linn, M. J., Lei, J., Dokshin, G. A., Fingar, D. C., Bitar, K. N., Henderson, W. R., Jr., and Hershenson, M. B. (2009) *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* **296,** L176–L184
- 48. Calin, G. A., Sevignani, C., Dumitru, C. D., Hyslop, T., Noch, E., Yendamuri, S., Shimizu, M., Rattan, S., Bullrich, F., Negrini, M., and Croce, C. M. (2004) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101,** 2999–3004
- 49. Kashuba, V. I., Li, J., Wang, F., Senchenko, V. N., Protopopov, A., Malyukova, A., Kutsenko, A. S., Kadyrova, E., Zabarovska, V. I., Muravenko, O. V., Zelenin, A. V., Kisselev, L. L., Kuzmin, I., Minna, J. D., Winberg, G., Ernberg, I., Braga, E., Lerman, M. I., Klein, G., and Zabarovsky, E. R. (2004) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101,** 4906–4911
- 50. Bottoni, A., Piccin, D., Tagliati, F., Luchin, A., Zatelli, M. C., and degli Uberti, E. C. (2005) *J. Cell Physiol.* **204,** 280–285
- 51. Tuddenham, L., Wheeler, G., Ntounia-Fousara, S., Waters, J., Hajihosseini, M. K., Clark, I., and Dalmay, T. (2006) *FEBS Lett.* **580,** 4214–4217
- 52. Xi, Y., Formentini, A., Chien, M., Weir, D. B., Russo, J. J., Ju, J., Kornmann, M., and Ju, J. (2006) *Bio. Insight* **1,** 113–121
- 53. Chang, T. C., Yu, D., Lee, Y. S., Wentzel, E. A., Arking, D. E., West, K. M.,

Dang, C. V., Thomas-Tikhonenko, A., and Mendell, J. T. (2008) *Nat. Genet.* **40,** 43–50

- 54. Linsley, P. S., Schelter, J., Burchard, J., Kibukawa, M., Martin, M. M., Bartz, S. R., Johnson, J. M., Cummins, J. M., Raymond, C. K., Dai, H., Chau, N., Cleary, M., Jackson, A. L., Carleton, M., and Lim, L. (2007) *Mol. Cell Biol.* **27,** 2240–2252
- 55. Visone, R., Pallante, P., Vecchione, A., Cirombella, R., Ferracin, M., Ferraro, A., Volinia, S., Coluzzi, S., Leone, V., Borbone, E., Liu, C. G., Petrocca, F., Troncone, G., Calin, G. A., Scarpa, A., Colato, C., Tallini, G., Santoro, M., Croce, C. M., and Fusco, A. (2007) *Oncogene* **26,** 7590–7595
- 56. Bonci, D., Coppola, V., Musumeci, M., Addario, A., Giuffrida, R., Memeo, L., D'Urso, L., Pagliuca, A., Biffoni, M., Labbaye, C., Bartucci, M., Muto, G., Peschle, C., and De Maria, R. (2008) *Nat. Med.* **14,** 1271–1277
- 57. Nicolas, F. E., Pais, H., Schwach, F., Lindow, M., Kauppinen, S., Moulton, V., and Dalmay, T. (2008) *RNA* **14,** 2513–2520
- 58. Bandi, N., Zbinden, S., Gugger, M., Arnold, M., Kocher, V., Hasan, L., Kappeler, A., Brunner, T., and Vassella, E. (2009) *Cancer Res.* **69,** 5553–5559
- 59. Guo, C. J., Pan, Q., Jiang, B., Chen, G. Y., and Li, D. G. (2009) *Apoptosis* **14,** 1331–1340
- 60. Kota, J., Chivukula, R. R., O'Donnell, K. A., Wentzel, E. A., Montgomery, C. L., Hwang, H. W., Chang, T. C., Vivekanandan, P., Torbenson, M., Clark, K. R., Mendell, J. R., and Mendell, J. T. (2009) *Cell* **137,** 1005–1017
- 61. Song, B.,Wang, Y., Xi, Y., Kudo, K., Bruheim, S., Botchkina, G. I., Gavin, E., Wan, Y., Formentini, A., Kornmann, M., Fodstad, O., and Ju, J. (2009) *Oncogene* **28,** 4065–4074
- 62. Cohen, P., and Frame, S. (2001) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2,** 769–776
- 63. Parker, P. J., Caudwell, F. B., and Cohen, P. (1983) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **130,** 227–234
- 64. Grimes, C. A., and Jope, R. S. (2001) *Prog. Neurobiol.* **65,** 391–426
- 65. He, L., Thomson, J. M., Hemann, M. T., Hernando-Monge, E., Mu, D., Goodson, S., Powers, S., Cordon-Cardo, C., Lowe, S. W., Hannon, G. J., and Hammond, S. M. (2005) *Nature* **435,** 828–833
- 66. Griffiths-Jones, S., Saini, H. K., van Dongen, S., and Enright, A. J. (2008) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36,** D154–D158
- 67. Saini, H. K., Griffiths-Jones, S., and Enright, A. J. (2007) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104,** 17719–17724
- 68. Cullen, B. R. (2004) *Mol. Cell* **16,** 861–865

