Molecular Cloning, Chromosomal Localization, and Functional Characterization of a Human Liver Na⁺/Bile Acid Cotransporter

Bruno Hagenbuch and Peter J. Meier

Division of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Department of Medicine, University Hospital, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract

We have used a cDNA probe from a cloned rat liver Na⁺/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (Ntcp) to screen a human liver cDNA library. A 1,599-bp cDNA clone that encodes a human Na⁺/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP) was isolated. The human NTCP consists of 349 amino acids (calculated molecular mass of 38 kD) and exhibits 77% amino acid homology with the rat Ntcp. In vitro translation experiments indicate that the protein is glycosylated and has a molecular weight similar to the rat Ntcp. Injection of in vitro transcribed cRNA into Xenopus laevis oocytes resulted in the expression of Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake. Saturation kinetics indicated that the human NTCP has a higher affinity for taurocholate (apparent $K_m = 6 \ \mu M$) than the previously cloned rat protein (apparent $K_m = 25 \ \mu M$). NTCP-mediated taurocholate uptake into oocytes was inhibited by all major bile acid derivatives (100 μ M), bumetanide (500 μ M), and bromosulphophthalein (100 μ M). Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA from a panel of human/hamster somatic cell hybrids mapped the human NTCP gene to chromosome 14. (J. Clin. Invest. 1994. 93:1326-1331.) Key words: bile salts • hepatocytes • organic anion transport • sinusoidal • taurocholate

Introduction

Bile formation is an important function of hepatocytes and involves transport of bile acids and other organic anions from portal blood into bile. Conjugated bile acids such as taurocholate or glycocholate enter hepatocytes predominantly via a sodium-dependent cotransport system. Phenomenologicaly, this secondary active bile acid uptake system has been well characterized in a variety of experimental systems including the perfused rat liver, isolated rat hepatocytes, isolated rat, and human sinusoidal membrane vesicles and *Xenopus laevis* oocytes (1– 5). Using functional expression cloning in *Xenopus laevis* oo-

© The American Society for Clinical Investigation, Inc. 0021-9738/94/03/1326/06 \$2.00 Volume 93, March 1994, 1326–1331 cytes, a rat liver Na⁺-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide $(Ntcp)^1$ has been cloned (6). In this study, we have used an Ntcp-derived cDNA probe to screen a human liver cDNA library. We report the isolation and functional characterization of the human hepatocellular Na⁺-dependent taurocholate cotransporter and its chromosomal localization.

Methods

Cloning of a human Na⁺/bile acid cotransporter cDNA. Total human liver RNA was prepared from pieces of frozen liver (obtained from kidney donors and kindly provided by U. A. Meyer, Biozentrum Basel, Switzerland) using a single-step acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenolchloroform extraction method (7). mRNA was isolated using oligo(dT)-cellulose chromatography (8). A cDNA library was constructed from total poly(A)⁺ RNA (Superscript kit; Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD). The cDNA was unidirectionally ligated into NotI/SalI cut pSPORT1, and recombinant plasmids were introduced into Escherichia coli WM1100 by electroporation (Gene Pulser; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Replica filters containing 2×10^5 recombinants of the cDNA library were screened using an EcoRI fragment (nucleotides 261-1,187 of the rat Ntcp cDNA) (6) that was labeled with 3,000 Ci/mmol [a-32P]dCTP (Amersham International, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) using the Random Primed DNA Labeling Kit (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). After 2 h of prehybridization at 42°C in 50% formamide, 0.75 M NaCl/0.075 M sodium citrate at pH 7.0 (5× SSC), 5× Denhardt's solution, 0.5% SDS, and 200 μ g/ml of denatured salmon sperm DNA, the filters were hybridized for 16 h at 42°C in the same solution containing, in addition, the labeled probe (2×10^6 cpm/ml). After hybridization, the filters were washed twice for 15 min at room temperature in $2 \times$ SSC, 0.1% SDS, followed by one wash for 1 h at 42°C in 1× SSC, 0.1% SDS, and one final wash for 15 min at 50°C in $0.1 \times$ SSC, 0.1% SDS. Washed filters were exposed to x-ray film at -70°C overnight. After two rounds of screening, single positive colonies, which were functionally tested using Xenopus laevis oocytes, were obtained. 5 ng of in vitro-transcribed cRNA were injected into Xenopus laevis oocytes and Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake was measured as described (5). Sequence analysis was performed using double-stranded cDNA as template and the T7 Sequencing kit (Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Piscataway, NJ). Human liver Na⁺/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP) cDNA was sequenced in both directions using either unidirectionally deleted clones (Erase-a-base; Promega Corp., Madison, WI) or especially synthesized oligonucleotide primers. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence analyses were performed with the DNA and protein sequence analysis program DNASIS/PROSIS (Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Piscataway, NJ). Putative membrane spanning domains were de-

Address correspondence to Bruno Hagenbuch, Ph.D., Division of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland.

Received for publication 27 July 1993 and in revised form 22 October 1993.

J. Clin. Invest.

^{1.} Abbreviations used in this paper: BSP, bromosulfophthalein; Ntcp, rat liver Na⁺/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide; NTCP, human liver Na⁺/taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide.

termined according to Klein et al. (9). The GCG software package (Genetics Computer Group, Inc., Madison, WI) (10) was used to perform sequence comparison and multiple alignments.

Transport assays in oocytes. Oocytes were maintained in culture and uptake of 2.1 Ci/mmol $[G-{}^{3}H]$ taurocholic acid (Du Pont-New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) was determined as described (5).

Chromosomal localization of NTCP. Southern blots containing genomic DNA samples from 26 individual somatic cell hybrids digested with PstI were obtained from BIOS Corp. (New Haven, CT). After 1.5 h of prehybridization at 42°C in 50% formamide, $5 \times SSC$, $5 \times Denhardt's$ solution, 0.5% SDS, and 200 μ g/ml of denatured salmon sperm DNA the filters were hybridized for 16 h at 42°C in the same solution containing, in addition, 2×10^6 cpm/ml of labeled probe (a PflMI/ EcoRI fragment corresponding to nucleotides -8 to 987 encompassing most of the coding region of NTCP). After hybridization, the filters were washed twice for 5 min at room temperature in 2× SSC, 0.1% SDS, followed by one wash for 15 min at 63°C in 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS. Filters were exposed to x-ray film at -70° C.

Rat V V S G H A K Human 1 M E A H A S A P F N F T L P P F G K R P T D D L Rat I K G G C C T M E A F K K A C C C T M E F K K A C C T M E F F I M L S L G C T M E K A C C C T M E K A C C C T M L S C C C C C C C C C A C L L L L C C C C C C C C <	L S 24 A CTG AGC 164	L	A		K		_	A		H			c				s							v			v			Rat	
Human 1 H E A H A S A P F N F T L P P F G K R P T D L R3 ATG GAG GCC CAC AAC GCG TT GCC CAT TTC AAC TTC ACC CTG CA CCC AAC TTT GGC AAG GCG CCC AAC AG GCC CTG AAG GCG CCC CAC ACG GCG CCC AAC AGG GCC CTG AGG GCG CTG CACC CTG GGC GCC CTG CTG CTG CTG CTG CTG C	L S 20 A CTG AGC 160	L	A	1	n	-	_						u				-														
83 ATG GAG GCC CAC ACC GCG TCT GCC CAT TTC ACC TCT GCC CCC ACC ACC CCC ACC AC	A CTG AGC 16			-			Р	R	κ	G	F	N	Ρ	Ρ	L	т	F	N	F	Ρ	A	s	A	N	H	A	Ε	м	n 1	Huma	
Rat I L L L L L L K K K K K A Human 29 V I L V F N L F I N L S L G C T N E F N L F A L K A A C T A F A L K A G I N P L A A L K A G I N P L A F A L K A I L K A I K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L K A I L		A CT	GC GC	: ста	GAC	ACA	ССС	CGC	AAG	GGC	TTT	AAC	CCC	CCA	сто	ACC	: TTC	AAC	TTC	CCA	GCC	тст	GCG	AAC	CAC	GCC	GAG	ATO	83		
Human 29 V I L V F M L F I M L S L G C T M E F S K I K A 167 GTC ATC CTG GTG TTC ATC TTG TTC TTC ATC TTG TTC TTC ATC TTG TTC TTC TTC ATC TTG TTG TTC TTC TTC TTC ATC TTG TTC TTC TTC ATC																				L	L			L				I		Rat	
167 GTC ATC CTG GTG TTC ATG TTC ATG TTC ATG TTC ATG TTC ATG TTC ATG A	L W 50	L	H	A	κ	I	κ	S	F	Ε	M	T	С	G	L	S	L	M	I	F	F	L	M	F	v	L	I	v	n 29	Human	
Rat V I V F A L Humen 57 K P G L N P L V A Q Y G I M P L T A F V L G K 251 AAG CCT AAA GGC ATC GCC ATG ATG C	TTA TGG 250	C TT	CA	i GCT	AAG	ATC	AAG	AGC	TTC	GAG	ATG	ACC	TGC	GGC	i CTG	тса	стс	ATG	ATC	TTC	TTC	TTG	ATG	TTC	GT G	CTO	ATC	GTC	167		
Human 57 K P K G L A L V A Q Y G I H P L T A F V L G K 251 AAG GCT AAA GGG CT GC CT GC ATG GCT AAA GGG CT GC CT ATG CT AAG GCT AAA GGC ATG GCT ATG GCT ATG GCT ATG GCT ATG GCT ATG GCT ATG <	н		I				L			A						F						v	I	v						Rat	
251 AAG CCT AAA GGG CTG GCC ATC GCC CTG GTG GCC CTG GTG GCA CAG TAT GGC ATC ATG CCC CTC ACG GCC CTT GTG CTG GCC ATG GCC	FR 84	F	۷	κ	G	L	۷	F	A	Т	L	Ρ	M	I	G	Y	Q	A	v	L	A	I	A	L	G	κ	Ρ	κ	n 57	Human	
Rat S I I V C G C S P G G N L S N V F S 335 CTG AAG AAT GGG GCA ATT GGC ATC TG GCC TG GGG CTG AAG GGG AAC ATT GGG GC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGG GG AAC CTG GGC ATT GGC GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC TG GGC GG AAC TG AAG GGG GGC ATT ATT <t< th=""><th>TTC CGG 334</th><th>C TT</th><th>GT</th><th>AAG</th><th>GGC</th><th>CTG</th><th>GTG</th><th>TTT</th><th>GCC</th><th>ACG</th><th>стс</th><th>ССС</th><th>ATG</th><th>ATC</th><th>GGC</th><th>TAT</th><th>CAG</th><th>GCA</th><th>GTG</th><th>CTG</th><th>GCC</th><th>ATC</th><th>GCC</th><th>CTG</th><th>GGG</th><th>AAA</th><th>ССТ</th><th>AAG</th><th>251</th><th></th></t<>	TTC CGG 334	C TT	GT	AAG	GGC	CTG	GTG	TTT	GCC	ACG	стс	ССС	ATG	ATC	GGC	TAT	CAG	GCA	GTG	CTG	GCC	ATC	GCC	CTG	GGG	AAA	ССТ	AAG	251		
Human 85 L K N I E A L A I L V C G C S N L S N V F S 335 CTG AAG AAC ATT GAG GCA CTG GCC ATC TTG GCC TTG GGC TGC TGC TGC CTG GGA GGG AGC CTG TCC ACT GTC AAT GTC ATG GTC TTC AGT S S S Rat S G D M N L S I V M T T C S T F C A L G M M P L L 419 AAG GGG GAC ATG AAC CTC AGC ATT GTG ATG ACC ACC CTG AGC ACC TGC TCC ACC TTC TGC ATT GAT GAG GAC AGG GTG CTC TAC ACC TTC TGC ATT GAT GTG GCC TT M N P L L 633 TAC TCC AGG GG ATC TAT GAT GAG GGA CTG AAG AGC GTG CTG AAG GAC AGG GTG CCC TAT AAA GGC ATC ATA TAC CTG GTG CTG G A V N R Y V I K G G M I L L L L L K S K R P Y M R Y V				т		L												I									s			Rat	
335 CTG AAG AAC ATT GAG GCA CTG GCC ATC TTG GCC TTG GCC TGC TCA CCT GGA GGG AAC CTG TCC AAT GTC TTC AGT Rat S Numan 113 K G ACC ATG AAC CTC AGC ATT GTG GTC TTG GC TG TCA CCT GCA CC TGC TG GC ATG ATG ATG CCT CTC CTC AAG GGG GAC ATG AAC CTC AGC ATG ATG GTG ATG ACC ACC TGC TGC TGC GCC TT GCC CTG GCA ATG ATG CTC CTG CT M N P C ATG ATG GAC CTC AGC ATT GTG GAC ACC TGC TC CTC GCC CTT GCC ATG ATG CTG GT CTC CTG GC Rat K M N P V I C CT TG GG GAC CTG AGG GGG ATG GAC GG GA GG CT AT AAA GGC CT TG GCC TAT AAA GGC ATC GTG GA CT CTG GC M V P V I L L M C T I V I C CT I V I C CT I V I <th col<="" th=""><th>A M 112</th><th>A</th><th>L</th><th>s</th><th>F</th><th>۷</th><th>N</th><th>S</th><th>L</th><th>N</th><th>G</th><th>G</th><th>Ρ</th><th>S</th><th>С</th><th>G</th><th>С</th><th>v</th><th>L</th><th>I</th><th>A</th><th>L</th><th>A</th><th>Ε</th><th>I</th><th>N</th><th>κ</th><th>L</th><th>n 85</th><th>Humar</th></th>	<th>A M 112</th> <th>A</th> <th>L</th> <th>s</th> <th>F</th> <th>۷</th> <th>N</th> <th>S</th> <th>L</th> <th>N</th> <th>G</th> <th>G</th> <th>Ρ</th> <th>S</th> <th>С</th> <th>G</th> <th>С</th> <th>v</th> <th>L</th> <th>I</th> <th>A</th> <th>L</th> <th>A</th> <th>Ε</th> <th>I</th> <th>N</th> <th>κ</th> <th>L</th> <th>n 85</th> <th>Humar</th>	A M 112	A	L	s	F	۷	N	S	L	N	G	G	Ρ	S	С	G	С	v	L	I	A	L	A	Ε	I	N	κ	L	n 85	Humar
Rat S S Human 113 K G D M N L S I V M T T C S T F C A L G M P L L 419 AAG GGG GAC ATG AAC CTC AGC ATG ACC TC AGC TC TGT GC CTT GG ATG ATG ACC CTC AGC ACC TGT GTG TC TGT GTG CTT GTG ACC TGT GTG TC ATG ATG CTC AGC AGC TTG TGT GTG TC TC TGT TC TC <td< th=""><th>GCC ATG 418</th><th>GC</th><th>CT</th><th>AGT</th><th>TTC</th><th>GTC</th><th>AAT</th><th>TCC</th><th>CTG</th><th>AAC</th><th>GGG</th><th>GGA</th><th>ССТ</th><th>TCA</th><th>TGC</th><th>GGC</th><th>TGT</th><th>GTC</th><th>TTG</th><th>ATC</th><th>GCC</th><th>CTG</th><th>GCA</th><th>GAG</th><th>ATT</th><th>AAC</th><th>AAG</th><th>CTG</th><th>335</th><th></th></td<>	GCC ATG 418	GC	CT	AGT	TTC	GTC	AAT	TCC	CTG	AAC	GGG	GGA	ССТ	TCA	TGC	GGC	TGT	GTC	TTG	ATC	GCC	CTG	GCA	GAG	ATT	AAC	AAG	CTG	335		
Human 113 K G D M N L S I V M T T C S T F C A L G M M P L L 419 AAG GGG GAC ATG AAC CTC AGC ATG AGG GAC ATG ATG ATG AGG GAC ATG GTG CTC ATG ATG GGG GAC ATG GTG CTC ATG ATG GGG GAC ATG GTG CTC ATG ATG GTG CTC ATG ATG ATG CTC ATG ATG ATG CTC T <t< td=""> ATG GTG ATG ATG ATG CT ATG ATG ATG ATG</t<>	v											S		s																Rat	
419 AAG GGG GAC ATG AAG CTC AGG ATG A	Y I 140	Y	L	L	L	Ρ	M	M	G	L	A	С	F	т	s	C	Т	T	M	v	I	S	L	N	M	Ð	G	κ	n 113	Humar	
Rat K I Human 141 Y S R G I Y D G D L K D K V P Y K G I V I S L V L V L V L V L V L V L V L V L V L V L V L V L K S K R P V V I K G G N I I V L K S K R P Q Y M R Y V I K G G N I <td< th=""><th>TAC ATC 502</th><th>TA</th><th>сто</th><th>CTC</th><th>стс</th><th>сст</th><th>ATG</th><th>ATG</th><th>GGC</th><th>стт</th><th>GCC</th><th>TGT</th><th>TTC</th><th>ACC</th><th>TCC</th><th>TGC</th><th>ACC</th><th>ACC</th><th>ATG</th><th>GTG</th><th>ATT</th><th>AGC</th><th>стс</th><th>AAC</th><th>ATG</th><th>GAC</th><th>GGG</th><th>AAG</th><th>419</th><th></th></td<>	TAC ATC 502	TA	сто	CTC	стс	сст	ATG	ATG	GGC	стт	GCC	TGT	TTC	ACC	TCC	TGC	ACC	ACC	ATG	GTG	ATT	AGC	стс	AAC	ATG	GAC	GGG	AAG	419		
Human 141 Y S R G I Y D G D L K D K V P Y K G I V I S L V L 503 TAC TCC AGG GGG ATC TAT GAT GGG GGG GGG GGG GGG ATC TAT GAT GGG				I					M																	κ				Rat	
503 TAC TCC AGG GGG ATC TAT GAT GAT GG GAC CTG AAG GAC AAG GTG CCC TAT AAA GGC ATC GTG ATA TCA CTG GTC CTG I Rat Human 169 P C T I G I V L K S K R P Q Y M R Y I K G G M I 587 CCT TGC ACC ATA GGG ATC GTC CTC AAA TCC AAA TCC AAA CGG CCA CAA TAC ATG CGC TAT GTC ATC AAG GGA GGA GGA GG ATG ATC ATC A V N V I K G G M I Rat L A V V N V I K G GA ATC AA CG CAA ATC ATG GGC ATG ATC AAA CG CAA ATC AAG GG CAA ATC AAA CG CAA AT V N N N N N CA CA	L I 168	L	v	L	v	L	S	I	۷	I	G	κ	Y	Ρ	۷	κ	D	κ	L	D	G	D	Y	I	G	R	s	Y	141	Human	
Rat I V P I L Human 169 P C T I G I V L K S K R P Q Y M R Y V I K G G M I S87 CCT TGC ACC ATA GGG ATC CTC CAA TCC AA CGC CAA TA G G G M I Rat L L C S V T V L S A I N V G K S I M F A M T P L Rat L C S V T V L S A I N V G K S I M T P L L S A I F A I I S I I I I I <	CTC ATT 586	CTO	GTT	CTG	GTC	CTG	TCA	ATA	GTG	ATC	GGC	AAA	TAT	ссс	GTG	AAG	GAC	AAG	CTG	GAC	GGG	GAT	TAT	ATC	GGG	AGG	TCC	TAC	503		
Human 169 P C T I G I V L K S K R P Q Y M R Y V I K G G M I 587 CCT TGC ACC ATA GGG ATC GTC CTC AAA CCG CAA TAC ATG CGC TAT GTC ATC ATC AAA CGG CAA TAC ATG CGC TAT GTC ATC AAA CGG CAA TAC ATG CGC TAT GTC ATC AAA CGG CAA TAT ATT V AAA CGG CAA TAT ATT V ATT V AAA V N V N V H H ATT P L C AAA TAT V GT ATT V A ATT V N N N N N N N N N N N N	TF	т							L	I		Ρ	۷		н															Rat	
587 CCT TGC ACC AT GGG AT CT AA CGG CGA CAA TG CGA TA GGC TA GGA GGA AGG GGA GGA GGA GGA AGG GGA AGG GGA GGA GGA AGG GGA GGA GGA AGG AGG AGG GGA GGA GGA AGG AGG AGG GGA GGA GGA AGG GGA AGG GGA AGG GGA AGG GGA GGA GGA AGG GGA AGG GGA GGA GGA GGA AGG GGA AGG	I L 196	I	I	I	M	G	G	κ	I	۷	Y	R	M	Y	Q	Ρ	R	κ	S	κ	L	۷	I	G	I	T	С	Ρ	169	Human	
Rat L A V N V I N F A M T P L Human 197 L C S V A V T V L S A I N V G K S I M F A M T P L 671 TTG TGC AGT GTG GCC GTC ACA GTT CTC TC ACT AAT GTG AGG AGC ATG TT GCC ATC AAT GTG GGG AAT AGG AGG ATC ATG TT GCC ATC AT GTG GGG AAT AGG AGG ATC ATG TT GCC AT GTG AGG AT TT GGG AAT GTG GGT TT TT GTC TT TT <th>ATT CTC 670</th> <th>ATI</th> <th>ATC</th> <th>ATC</th> <th>ATG</th> <th>GGG</th> <th>GGA</th> <th>AAG</th> <th>ATC</th> <th>GTC</th> <th>TAT</th> <th>CGC</th> <th>ATG</th> <th>TAC</th> <th>CAA</th> <th>CCA</th> <th>CGG</th> <th>AAA</th> <th>TCC</th> <th>AAA</th> <th>стс</th> <th>GTC</th> <th>ATC</th> <th>GGG</th> <th>ATA</th> <th>ACC</th> <th>TGC</th> <th>ССТ</th> <th>587</th> <th></th>	ATT CTC 670	ATI	ATC	ATC	ATG	GGG	GGA	AAG	ATC	GTC	TAT	CGC	ATG	TAC	CAA	CCA	CGG	AAA	TCC	AAA	стс	GTC	ATC	GGG	ATA	ACC	TGC	ССТ	587		
Human 197 L C S V A V T V L S A I N V G K S I M F A M T P L 671 TTG TGC AGT GTG GCC GTC ACA GTT CTC TC GCC AAT GTG GGG AAG AGC ATC ATG TT GC ATG GTG ATG AT AT GTG GGG AAG AGC ATC AT AT GTG GGG AAG AGC AT AT GTG GGG AAG AGC AT AT GTG GGG AAG AGC AT AT GGG AAG AGC AT T P L L C A L F C L N V L C A L F T T P P C A T T T T T T T	L	L		н				v					N					v			A						L			Rat	
671 TTG TGC AGT GTG GCC GTC ACA GTT CTC TCT GCC ATC AAT GTG GGG AAG AGC ATC ATG TTT GCC ATG ACA CCA CTC 1 Rat S M I Q P S Human 225 T S L M P F I G F L L G Y V L S A L F C L N G R 1 755 ACC TCC TCC CTG ATG CCT TTT ATT GGC TTT CTG CTG GGT TAT GTT CTC TCT GCT CTC TCT GC T T T T Rat T T GGA CGG T T T T GGA CGG T T T T GGA CGG T T T GGA CGG T T T T T GGA CGG T T	I A 224	I	L	L	Ρ	T	M	A	F	M	I	S	κ	G	۷	N	I	A	S	L	v	Т	۷	A	۷	s	С	L	197	Human	
Rat S M I Q P S Human 225 T S S L M P F I G F L L G Y V L S A L F C L N G R 755 ACC TCC TCC CTG ATG CCT TT ATT GGC TT CTG CTG GCT TT T GG T T GG T T GTG CTC TCT TGC CTC AT GG T T GG T T CTG TCT GCT TT T GG T T CTG TCT GCT TT T GG T T CTG TCT TT T GG T	ATT GCC 754	ATT	TTG	стс	CCA	ACA	ATG	GCC	TTT	ATG	ATC .	AGC	AAG	GGG	GTG	AAT	ATC	GCC	TCT	CTC	GTT	ACA	GTC	GCC	GTG	AGT	TGC	TTG	671		
Human 225 T S S L M P F I G F L G Y V L S A L F C L N G R 755 ACC TCC TCC CTG ATG CCT TT ATT GGC TT CTG GGT TAT GTT CTC TCC TCC TCC AAT GGA CGG T Rat I F I F I T T T T Human 253 T V S M E T G C Q N V Q L C S T I N V A F P P E 839 ACT GC AGGA ACT GGA ACT GGA ACT GGA G				s	Ρ			Q						I			M				s									Rat	
755 ACC TCC TCC CTG ATG CCT TTT ATT GGC TTT CTG CTG GGT TAT GTT CTC TCT GCT CTC TTC TGC CTC AAT GGA CGG T Rat I F I T Human 253 T V S M E T G C Q N V Q L C S T I L N V A F P P E 839 ACT GTC AGC ATG GAG ACT GGA TGC CAA AAT GTC CAA CTC TGT TCC ACC ATC CTC AAT GTG GCC TTT CCA CCT GAA G Rat A I I R Human 281 P L F F F P L L Y M I F Q L G E G L L L I A I F W 923 CCA CTT TTC TTT CTTC TTT CCC CTC CTC TAC ATG ATT TTC CAG CTT GGA GAA GGG CTT CTC CTC ATT GCC ATA TTT TGG T Rat I P Q I T K A D A T A	R R 252	R	С	R	G	N	L	С	F	L	A	s	L	v	Y	G	L	L	F	G	I	F	Ρ	M	L	s	S	т	225	Human	
Rat I F I T Human 253 T V S M E T G Q N V Q L C S T L N V A F P P 839 ACT GTC AGC ATG GGA TGC CAA AAT GTC CAA CTC TT L N V A F P P E Rat A G G A A G G CA CTC TT CA CT GA G Rat I F F F P L Y M I F Q L G E G L L I A I F W 923 CCA CTT TTC CTC CTC CTC TT K A D A T A	AGA CGC 838	AGA	TGC	CGG	GGA	AAT	стс	TGC	TTC	CTC	GCT	TCT	стс	GTT	TAT	GGT	CTG	CTG	TTT	GGC	ATT	TTT	CCT	ATG	CTG	TCC	TCC	ACC	755		
Human 253 T V S M E T G C Q N Q L C S T L N V A F P E 839 ACT GTC AGC AGC GGA AGC GGA T C A AT GTC CAA AT GTC ACT GTC GAA GTC GAA A T T CCA CCT GAA AT GTC GAA A T T R Human 281 P L F F P L Y M T F Q L L L L L I A T F W 923 CCA CTT TTC TTC CTC CTC CTC AT T A D A T A D A T A D A T A D A T A D A T <								т										I			F						I			Rat	
839 ACT GTC AGC ATG GAG ACT GGA TGC CAA AAT GTC CAA CTC TGT TCC ACC ATC CTC AAT GTG GCC TTT CCA CCT GAA G Rat A I I R Human 281 P L F F F P L L Y M I F Q L G E G L L L I A I F W 923 CCA CTT TTC TTC TTT CCC CTC CTC TAC ATG ATT TTC CAG CTT GGA GAA GGG CTT CTC CTC ATT GCC ATA TTT TGG T Rat I P Q I T K A D A T A	I G 280	I	۷	E	Ρ	Ρ	F	A	۷	N	L	I	T	S	C	L	Q	۷	N	Q	С	G	T	Ε	M	S	۷	Т	253	Human	
Rat A I I R Human 281 P F F P L Y M I F Q L L L I A I F W 923 CCA CTT TTT CTC CTC CTC TAC ATG ATT TTT CTC CTC ATT A D A T A	ATT GGA 922	ATT	GTC	GAA	ССТ	CCA	TTT	GCC	GTG	AT	CTC /	ATC (ACC	тсс	TGT	стс	CAA	GTC	AAT	CAA	TGC	GGA	ACT	GAG	ATG	AGC	GTC	ACT	839		
Human 281 P L F F F P L L Y M I F Q L G E G L L L I A I F W 923 CCA CTT TTC TTC TTT CCC CTC CTC TAC ATG ATT TTC CAG CTT GGA GAA GGG CTT CTC CTC ATT GCC ATA TTT TGG T Rat I P Q I T K A D A T A				R			I		I					A																Rat	
923 CCA CTT TTC TTC TTC CCC CTC CTC TAC ATG ATT TTC CAG CTT GGA GAA GGG CTT CTC CTC ATT GCC ATA TTT TGG T Rat I P G I T K A D A T A	Y E 308	Y	С	W	F	I	A	I	L	L	L	G	Ε	G	L	Q	F	I	M	Y	L	L	Ρ	F	F	F	L	Ρ	281	Human	
Rat I P Q I T K A DATA	TAT GAG 1006	TAT	TGC	TGG	TTT	ATA	GCC	ATT	CTC	CTC (TT (GGG (GAA (GGA	CTT	CAG	TTC	ATT	ATG	TAC	СТС	стс	CCC	TTT	TTC	TTC	CTT	CCA	923		
	ЕК	Ε			A		т	A	D			A			κ		T	I			Q				Ρ		I			Rat	
Human 309 K F K T P K D K T K M I Y T A A T T E E T I P G A	G N 336	G	L	A	G	Ρ	I	T	Ε	Ε	T	T	A	A	T	Y	I	M	κ	T	κ	D	κ	Ρ	T	κ	F	κ	309	Human	
1007 AAA TTC AAG ACT CCC AAG GAT AAA ACA AAA ATG ATC TAC ACA GCT GCC ACA ACT GAA GAA ACA ATT CCA GGA GCT C	GGA AAT 1090	GGA	CTG	GCT	GGA	CCA	ATT	ACA	GAA /	GAA (CT C	ICA A	GCC /	GCT	ACA	TAC	ATC	ATG	AAA	ACA	AAA	GAT	AAG	CCC	ACT	AAG	TTC	AAA	1007		
Rat HN NIPPLQPGPSPNGLNSGQMA			N	A	M	Q	G	S	N	L	G	N	Ρ	S	Ρ	G	Ρ	Q	L	Ρ	Ρ	I	N		N	H				Rat	
Human 337 G T Y K G E D C S P C T A	350															A	T	С	Ρ	S	С	D	Ε	G	κ	Y	T	G	337	Human	
1091 GGC ACC TAC AAA GGG GAG GAC TGC TCC CCT TGC ACA GCC TAG CCC TTC CCC TGG TGG CCT GGA TTC TGG TCC CAA A	AAT TCT 1174	AAT	AGC	CAA	TCC	TGG	TTC	GGA 1	CTO	GG (GG T	CC 1	TC (200	TAG	GCC	ACA	TGC	CCT	rcc (ICC 1	GAC	GAG (GGG	AAA	TAC	ACC	GGC	1091		
1175 GAA AGC CAG TGT GGT AAA CTA GAG AGA GCA GCA AAA ACA CCA GTC TTG CCT GAG TCT TTC TCC AGC ATT TCC AGT A	TCT ATC 1258	тст	ACA	AGT /	TCC	ATT	AGC /	rcc /	TC 1	ст т	AG T	CT G	TG C	TC	CCA	ACA	AAA	GCA .	GCA	AGA (GAG /	CTA (AAA (GGT	TGT	CAG	AGC	GAA	1175		
1259 AGA ATC ATC AAG TCT TGG CCG GGA ACA CAG ACA GGG TGT CTA CCC AAG AAG CCT CAC CTA TCC CCA ACT TAG AAT T	CTA CTT 1342	CTA	TTG	AAT	TAG	ACT	CA	100	TA 1	AC C	CT C	AG C	AG A	CC /	CTA	TGT	GGG	ACA	CAG	ICA (GA /	CCG (rgg (ТСТ	AAG	ATC	ATC /	AGA	1259		
	TGT CTG 1426	TGT	AAG	TAA	CTC	GAT	GG (IGC 1	CT 1	CC T	CT G	AA T	000	GAA /	CCA	AAA	ATG /	ICT /	AAC '	STA /	ACT C	GTG /	ICA (TGT	ACT	AAG	TTA /	ATT	1343		
1343 ATT TTA AAG ACT TGT TCA GTG ACT GTA AAC TCT ATG AAA CCA GAA ACC GAA TCT GCC TCT TGC TGG GAT CTC TAA A		ITC	CCA	ATC (CAA	ACC	AT /	CA /	AC 1	AT T	CC C	TA A	GT 1	ITA '	TAT	CAA	AAT (ACT /	IGA /		IAT 1		ICA (AAG	TTA .	ATC	AGC /	ATA	1427		
1343 ATT TTA AAG ACT TGT TCA GTG ACT GTA AAC TCT ATG AAA CCA GAA ACC GAA TCT GCC TCT TGC TGG GAT CTC TAA AJ 1427 ATA AGC ATC TTA AAG TCA CTC AAT TCC TGA ACT CA AAT CAA TAT ATGT TTA ACC CAT TAC TCA AAT ACC CAA ATC CC	TTC CAA 1510				TAA -	CCT (TTC 7							TCT	TCC .					_										

Figure 1. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of NTCP cDNA. Amino acid residues that differ between the human and the rat protein are shown above the amino acid sequence. (The sequence reported in this paper has been submitted to the GenBank Data Bank with the accession number L21893.)

Results and Discussion

Nucleotide and amino acid sequences of NTCP. To clone the NTCP, we screened $\sim 200,000$ colonies of a cDNA library prepared from human liver $poly(A)^+$ RNA using as a probe a ³²P-labeled EcoRI fragment complementary to the major part of the coding region of the rat Ntcp cDNA (6). After two rounds of screening, five single clones were identified. cDNA sequence analysis revealed that four of them were identical. mRNA was synthesized in vitro from these four clones and injected into Xenopus laevis oocytes. Indeed, all four cRNAs led to the expression of Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake. One of these functionally active clones was sequenced and the cDNA and deduced amino acid sequences are shown in Fig. 1. The total cDNA insert of the human NTCP consists of 1,599 nucleotides. Starting with the initiation site at nucleotide 83, an open reading frame extends over the next 1,047 nucleotides coding for a protein of 349 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of ~ 38 kD. Although the presence of a polyadenylation signal ~ 40 bases upstream of the poly(A) tail together with hybridization of the cDNA to a 1.6-kb human liver mRNA on a Northern blot (data not shown) indicate the isolation of a full-length clone, additional primer extension experiments shall reveal the location of the cap site and thus establish the real length of the 5' untranslated sequence. The amino acid sequence of the human and the rat Na⁺/bile acid cotransporters is compared in Figs. 1 and 2. There is 88% similarity between the human and the rat amino acid sequences, 77% of the residues being identical and another 11% being conservative replacements. Whether the clustering of nonconservative amino acid replacements at the COOH-terminal end of the protein has any functional significance is not yet known. At the DNA level, the lowest identities were found within the 5'-noncoding region (70%) and the highest identities within the coding and the 3'-noncoding regions (83%). The overall identity of only 78% with the rat cDNA explains the weak signal previously obtained on Northern blots (6, 11). Based on hydrophobicity analysis (9) and in analogy to the rat Ntcp, we propose the secondary structure model with seven transmembrane domains as depicted in Fig. 2. In vitro translation experiments performed as described (6) resulted in the synthesis of an unglycosylated 34-kD polypeptide on SDS-PAGE in the absence of a glycosylated 40-kD polypeptide in the presence of dog pancreatic microsomes (data not shown). The 6-kD difference is compatible with two sites being glycosylated. Experiments using site-directed mutagenesis to determine the natural glycosylation sites of the rat Ntcp revealed that Asn5 and Asn11 of the rat protein are glycosylated (Hagenbuch, B., and P. J. Meier, manuscript in preparation). In addition, immunostaining of primary rat hepatocytes with a polyclonal antibody against the COOH-terminal end of the rat Ntcp was only achieved in the presence of detergents, suggesting an intracellular localization of the COOH-terminal domain (unpublished observation). These data support the predicted model shown in Fig. 2, but additional experiments are required to definitively determine the exact location of all cytoplasmic loops and of the number



Figure 2. Proposed secondary structure model of the human NTCP. The protein is depicted with seven transmembrane segments (see text) of 21 residues each. Glycosylation at Asn5 and Asn11 is indicated. *Closed circles*, nonconservative amino acid replacements between the human and the rat transporters.

and location of the transmembrane domains. The differences in the amino acid sequence between the human and the rat Na⁺/bile acid cotransporting polypeptides together with their different affinities for taurocholate (see below) might be a good starting point for mutational analysis to define functionally important amino acids (Fig. 2, *closed circles*).

Functional characterization of NTCP. As demonstrated in Fig. 3, injection of 5 ng of NTCP-cRNA resulted in Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake that was \sim 20-fold above the background of noninjected oocytes. Interestingly, injection of the same amount of rat Ntcp-cRNA resulted in \sim 10-fold higher expression of Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake, suggesting that the human transporter might exhibit a much lower bile acid transport capacity as compared to the rat system. Alternatively, the expression of the human NTCP-cRNA may be less efficient in oocytes as compared to the rat Ntcp-cRNA, as it was also the case for the human and rabbit intestinal Na⁺-dependent glucose cotransporters (12, 13) and the human and canine cardiac Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchangers (14, 15).

To further characterize the human NTCP, we next investigated its transport kinetics and its substrate specificity. As demonstrated in Fig. 4, the cloned human Na⁺/bile acid cotransport system showed clear saturability with an apparent K_m for taurocholate of $6.3\pm2.4 \ \mu$ M. This value is significantly lower than the previously reported 25 μ M for the rat transporter (6). This higher affinity of the human NTCP would allow more efficient extraction of bile acids at low plasma concentrations and might help to keep the human systemic bile acid concentrations at the known physiological low levels (16).

To compare the substrate inhibition pattern of NTCP with the rat Ntcp, as well as with reported studies performed with human basolateral membrane vesicles (3), we measured Na⁺dependent taurocholate uptake into oocytes in the presence of the major bile acid derivatives and some other organic anions. Table I summarizes these inhibition studies and demonstrates



Figure 3. Functional expression of NTCP cRNA in oocytes. Xenopus laevis oocytes were either not injected or were injected with 5 ng of in vitro synthesized human (NTCP) or rat (Ntcp) cRNA. Oocytes were cultured for 2 d and 2-h taurocholate (17 μ M) uptake values were determined in the presence of either 100 mM NaCl (hatched bars) or choline chloride (open bars) as described (5). Values represent mean±SE of 12–15 determinations in one of four oocyte preparations.



Figure 4. Kinetics of taurocholate uptake by NTCP cRNA-injected oocytes. Xenopus laevis oocytes were injected with 5 ng of cRNA. After 2 d in culture, 1-h uptake of taurocholate $(2.5-150 \ \mu M)$ was determined. Values represent means of 12-15 determination from two out of three experiments performed with different batches of oocytes. Na⁺-dependent taurocholate transport was calculated as the difference between the uptake in the presence of 100 mM NaCl (closed circles) and uptake in the presence of 100 mM choline chloride (open circles). The curves were fitted by nonlinear regression analysis assuming Michaelis-Menten kinetics.

that similar to previous observations for the rat Ntcp (6) and experiments in intact hepatocytes (17) the synthetic keto-bile acid taurodehydrocholate had no inhibitory effects. All major physiological bile acids on the other hand inhibited the cloned human NTCP by 40–90%. Unconjugated bile acids exhibited a less pronounced inhibition as compared to the taurine or glycine conjugates with the exception of chenodeoxycholate

Table I. Effect of Various Organic Anions on Na⁺-dependent Taurocholate Uptake into NTCP-cRNA-Injected Oocytes

Inhibitor	Taurocholate uptake (mean±SE)	Percent of control
	pmol/oocyte per h	
None	1.175±0.114	100 ± 10
Taurodehydrocholate	1.066 ± 0.080	91±7
Cholate	0.675±0.089	57±8
Taurocholate	0.351±0.036	30±3
Glycocholate	0.522 ± 0.046	44±4
Chenodeoxycholate	0.156 ± 0.022	13±2
Taurochenodeoxycholate	0.223±0.035	19±2
Glycochenodeoxycholate	0.161±0.020	14±2
Ursodeoxycholate	0.531±0.067	45±6
Tauroursodeoxycholate	0.090±0.010	8±1
Lithocholate	0.737±0.090	63±8
Taurolithocholate	0.389 ± 0.073	33±6
Glycolithocholate	0.279±0.051	24±4
Taurodeoxycholate	0.116±0.009	10 ± 1
Probenecid (1 mM)	1.194±0.152	101±13
Bumetanide (0.5 mM)	0.831±0.087	71±7
BSP (0.1 mM)	0.483 ± 0.052	41±4

Oocytes were injected with 5 ng of NTCP-cRNA and then cultured for 3 d. 1-h uptake of 10 μ M taurocholate was measured in the presence of 100 μ M bile acids or the indicated concentrations of other organic anions.

TCF	-	7	3	4	s	و	7	8	6	10	Π	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	×
I	I	I	Ι	I	Dq	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł	I	I	I	ł	I	I	I
l	I	I	I	I	ł	I	I	I	I	I	ł	I	I	ł	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	I	I
I	I	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	ł	I	I	I	I	I	ł	1	1	I	I	ł	I	I	I
+	I	I	+	I	+	I	I	I	i	I	T	+	I	65	I	I	I	I	I	40	I	25	I
+	I	ļ	ł	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	ı	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	+	I	+	+	I
I	I	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	+	ŧ	ł	I	I	ł	ł	1	1	+	I	I	I	1	I
+	I	I	I	ł	D	I	I	I	ł	I	I	I	+	+	+	ł	ł	ł	+	ł	I	I	I
+	I	ł	I	I	D	+	+	I	I	I	I	+	65	45	I	I	I	I	+	+	+	I	1
I	I	ł	I	+	÷	I	1	+	I	I	15	ł	ł	ł	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	+
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	ł	ł	ł	I	I	١	ŀ	I	65	65	ł	I	I	1	1
ł	I	+	Ι	I	t	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł	Ι	I	I	ł	ł
I	I	1	I	ł	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł	I	I	ł	ł	ł	I	ì
I	I	ł	30	I	+	+	i	ł	I	15	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	35	I	+	I	I
I	I	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	I	i	I	ł	I	I	I	I	I	I	1
+	90	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł	+	+	I	I	I	+	+	I	I	I	Ι
I	I	1	1	Ι	۵	+	I	I	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	5	I	I	I	Ι	+	I	I
+	I	I	ł	I	۵	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	+
ł	+	I	I	I	+	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł	+	١	I	+	I	I	I	+	Ι	I
I	I	I	ł	ł	+	I	I	ł	I	ł	ł	1	I	I	I	ł	I	ł	I	+	ł	1	I
I	1	I	ł	I	+	Ι	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	ł
I	ł	I	I	I	+	I	I	ł	+	I	1	ł	+	I	I	I	I	I	ł	I	1	ł	65
I	I	١	I	I	+	ł	I	I	I	15	I	I	I	I	I	ł	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
T	I	I	I	55	+	Ι	+	+	I	I	I	I	+	ł	+	I	I	I	+	I	+	I	I
I	I	I	I	ł	+	I	I	I	I	I	+	I	I	I	ł	ł	I	I	I	I	1	I	I
I	I	I	+	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	10	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
I	65	I	I	I	D	I	1	ł	I	I	ı	i	+	I	ł	I	I	I	40	I	+	+	F
no.																							
ds	7	7	×	×	16	9	9	6	6	×	×	4	9	-	٢	6	~	×	S	Ś	6	9	7

Table II. Segregation of the NTCP Gene with Human Chromosome 14 in Human-Hamster Cell Hybrids

which was as potent an inhibitor as its taurine and glycine conjugates. Probenecid and bumetanide did not or only slightly inhibited NTCP mediated Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake, while bromosulfophthalein (BSP) exerted a stronger inhibitory effect. This *cis*-inhibition pattern of NTCP is similar to the one previously observed with rat Ntcp (6), which is also inhibited by BSP, but does not transport this nonbile acid organic anion (18). Whether or not the inhibitory conjugated bile acids are in fact transported by NTCP is currently under further investigation.

Chromosomal localization of NTCP. To determine the chromosomal location of the human NTCP gene, we used Southern blot analysis of a DNA panel of human-hamster somatic cell hybrids. PstI-digested DNA samples of 26 human-hamster cell hybrids were analyzed by hybridization with a PflMI/EcoRI fragment of the cloned human NTCP. The results of this hybridization analysis are summarized in Table II. Correlation of the presence of a human specific hybridization signal with the human chromosome content of the hybrid cell lines showed that the NTCP gene is located on chromosome 14. The single discordance with hybrid 937 could be explained by a possible small deletion of chromosome 14 in this hybrid, which is not detected in karyotype analysis.

In conclusion, we have cloned a human Na⁺/bile acid cotransporter that has a significantly higher affinity for taurocholate than the rat Ntcp. The protein showed a 77% amino acid homology to rat Ntcp and the gene could be localized to chromosome 14. These studies provide the basis for future investigation and characterization of the human NTCP gene in various physiological and pathophysiological situations.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. U. A. Meyer, Biozentrum Basel, Switzerland for supplying us with frozen human liver tissue, Ani Ohannessian for excellent technical assistance, and Prof. B. F. Scharschmidt for critical reading of the manuscript.

This study was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 32-29878.90. Dr. Hagenbuch is a recipient of a Cloetta Foundation fellowship.

References

1. Van Dyke, R. W., J. E. Stephens, and B. F. Scharschmidt. 1982. Bile acid transport in cultured rat hepatocytes. *Am. J. Physiol.* 243:G484-G492.

2. Frimmer, M., and K. Ziegler. 1988. The transport of bile acids in liver cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 947:75-99.

3. Novak, D. A., F. C. Ryckman, and F. J. Suchy. 1989. Taurocholate transport by basolateral plasma membrane vesicles isolated from human liver. *Hepatology*. 10:447-453.

 Zimmerli, B., J. Valantinas, and P. J. Meier. 1989. Multispecificity of Na⁺-dependent taurocholate uptake in basolateral (sinusoidal) rat liver plasma membrane vesicles. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 250:301-308.

5. Hagenbuch, B., H. Lübbert, B. Stieger, and P. J. Meier. 1990. Expression of the hepatocyte Na⁺/bile acid cotransporter in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 265:5357–5360.

6. Hagenbuch, B., B. Stieger, M. Foguet, H. Lübbert, and P. J. Meier. 1991. Functional expression cloning and characterization of the hepatocyte Na⁺/bile acid cotransport system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 88:10629-10633.

7. Chomczynski, P., and N. Sacchi. 1987. Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. *Anal. Biochem.* 162:156-159.

8. Jacobson, A. 1987. Purification and fractionation of poly(A)⁺ RNA. *Methods Enzymol.* 152:254–261.

9. Klein, P., M. Kanehisa, and C. DeLisi. 1985. The detection and classification of membrane-spanning proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 815:468-476.

10. Devereux, J., P. Haeberli, and O. Smithies. 1984. A comprehensive set of sequence analysis programs for the VAX. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 12:387-395.

11. Boyer, J. L., B. Hagenbuch, M. Ananthanarayanan, F. Suchy, B. Stieger, and P. J. Meier. 1993. Phylogenic and ontogenic expression of hepatocellular bile acid transport. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 90:435–438.

12. Hediger, M. A., M. J. Coady, T. S. Ikeda, and E. M. Wright. 1987. Expression cloning and cDNA sequencing of the Na⁺/glucose co-transporter. *Nature* (Lond.). 330:379-381.

13. Hediger, M. A., E. Turk, and E. M. Wright. 1989. Homology of the human intestinal Na⁺/glucose and *Escherichia coli* Na⁺/proline cotransporters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 86:5748-5752.

14. Nicoll, D. A., S. Longoni, and K. D. Phillipson. 1990. Molecular cloning and functional expression of the cardiac sarcolemmal Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger. *Science (Wash. DC)*. 250:562–565.

15. Komuro, I., K. E. Wenninger, K. D. Philipson, and S. Izumo. 1992. Molecular cloning and characterization of the human cardiac Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger cDNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 89:4769–4773.

16. Carey, M. C., and M. J. Cahalane. 1988. Enterohepatic circulation. In The Liver: Biology and Pathobiology. I. M. Arias, W. B. Jakoby, H. Popper, D. Schachter, and D. A. Shafritz, editors. Raven Press Ltd., New York. pp. 573–616.

17. Hardison, W. G. M., P. J. Lowe, and E. Gosink. 1988. Nature of taurodehydrocholic acid uptake in rat hepatocytes. *Am. J. Physiol.* 254:G269-G274.

18. Jacquemin, E., B. Hagenbuch, B. Stieger, A. W. Wolkoff, and P. J. Meier. 1991. Expression of the hepatocellular chloride-dependent sulfobromophthalein uptake system in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. J. Clin. Invest. 88:2146-2149.