

Prevalence of malnutrition in Saudi children: a community-based study

Mohammad I. El Mouzan,^a Peter J. Foster,^b Abdullah S. Al Herbish,^a Abdullah A. Al Salloum,^a Ahmad A. Al Omar,^c Mansour M. Qurachi^d

From the ^aDepartment of Pediatrics, King Saud University, Riyadh, ^bSchool of Mathematics, Manchester University, Manchester, UK ^cThe Children's Hospital, Riyadh Medical Complex, ^dDepartment of Pediatrics, Al Yamama Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence: Mohammad I El Mouzan, MD · Department of Pediatrics, King Saud University, PO Box 2925, Riyadh 11461, Saudi Arabia · T: +966-1-467-0807 F: +966-1-467-9463 · drmourzan@gmail.com · Submitted: November 2009 Accepted: February 2010

Ann Saudi Med 2010; 30(5): 381-385

PMID: 20697172 DOI: 10.4103/0256-4947.67076

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE: There is no published information on the prevalence of malnutrition in Saudi Arabia. The objective of this study was to establish the prevalence data.

METHODS: The prevalence of nutritional indicators in the form of underweight, stunting, and wasting in a national sample of children younger than 5 years of age was calculated using the new WHO standards as reference. Calculations were performed using the corresponding WHO software. The prevalence of moderate and severe underweight, wasting and stunting, was defined as the proportion of children whose weight for age, weight for height, and height for age were below -2 and -3 standard deviation scores, respectively.

RESULTS: The number of children younger than 5 years of age was 15 516 and 50.5% were boys. The prevalence of moderate and severe underweight was 6.9% and 1.3%, respectively. The prevalence of moderate and severe wasting was 9.8% and 2.9%, respectively. Finally, the prevalence of moderate and severe stunting was 10.9% and 2.8%, respectively. The prevalence was lower in girls for all indicators. Comparison of the prevalence of nutritional indicators in selected countries demonstrates large disparity with an intermediate position for Saudi Arabia.

CONCLUSION: This report establishes the national prevalence of malnutrition among Saudi children. Compared to data from other countries, these prevalence rates are still higher than other countries with less economic resources, indicating that more efforts are needed to improve the nutritional status of children.

The nutritional status of children is one of the well-known indicators of economic development. This has been stressed as one of the eighth Millennium Development Goals adopted by the United Nations in 2000.¹ Growth indices in the form of weight for age, weight for height, and height for age are important tools for the assessment of nutritional status of children.² The prevalence of nutritional indicators in the form of underweight, stunting, and wasting in children under 5 years of age is one of the ways of assessment of nutritional status of the population. Surveillance of these indicators is commonly practiced regularly in many countries as a measure of public health status. The available data indicate that the prevalence of nutritional indicators is lowest in Western countries such as the United States and highest in much less developed countries such as Bangladesh and Yemen, with

an increasing number of countries called "transitional countries" such as Chile and Brazil in which gradual economic development resulting in steady improvement of health has been reflected in marked reduction of the prevalence of nutritional indicators.³

In Saudi Arabia, despite previous publications of anthropometry in children,⁴ data reflecting the national prevalence of malnutrition in Saudi children are not available in the World literature. The objective of this report is therefore to establish the national prevalence of nutritional indicators (underweight, wasting and stunting) in Saudi children.

METHODS

The prevalence of underweight, stunting, and wasting in Saudi children from birth to 5 years was calculated using data sets from the 2005 growth charts for

Saudi children and adolescent survey. The design and methodology of that study have been reported in detail elsewhere.⁵ In summary, the sample size was calculated according to standard guidelines and criteria.⁶ The study sample was selected by the multistage probability sampling procedure from a stratified listing based on the population census in Saudi Arabia. Accordingly, the sample is representative of all the socioeconomic strata and consists of a majority of children with mixed breast milk and artificial formula feeding. Data collection was made by house-to-house visits where a survey questionnaire, clinical examination, and body measurements were completed by primary care physicians and nurses. Data were analyzed using the new Lambda, Mu, Sigma (LMS) Methodology.⁷⁻⁹ The prevalence of underweight, stunting, and wasting in infant and children under 5 years of age was calculated using the published World Health Organization (WHO) software.¹⁰ The prevalence of moderate and severe underweight was defined as the proportion of children whose weight for age was below -2 and -3 standard deviation scores (SDS), respectively. Similarly, the prevalence of moderate and severe wasting and stunting was defined as the proportion of children with weight for height (wasting) or height for age (stunting) were below -2 and -3 SDS, respectively. All calculations were performed using the new WHO child growth standards.¹¹ The term height will be used throughout the manuscript to include length/height for simplification.

RESULTS

The number of children younger than 5 years of age was 15 516 and 50.5% were boys. The prevalence of moderate (6.9%) and severe underweight (1.3%) is presented in Table 1 and indicates a progressive increase in the prevalence of underweight with advancing age, with girls consistently having lower prevalence than boys. Table 2 depicts a prevalence of wasting of 9.8% and 2.9% for

moderate and severe wasting, respectively, with an inconsistent pattern according to age, but with a consistently lower prevalence of wasting in girls. Finally, Table 3 shows a prevalence of stunting of 10.9% and 2.8% for moderate and severe degrees, respectively. Although the overall prevalence was lower in girls, this pattern was not consistent according to age. Table 4 summarizes a comparison of the prevalence of nutritional indicators among selected countries from most continents displaying the disparity between children in other countries and Saudi children.

DISCUSSION

Previous estimates of the prevalence of malnutrition in the world used older references such as the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)/World Health Organization (WHO) based on the population from the United States and adopted by the WHO,^{12,13} and the 2000 Center for Disease Control (CDC) growth charts based on a more representative US population.¹⁴ However, the release of the new WHO child growth standards in 2006, which are based on selected multinational samples of children with minimal constraints to growth, provided a better standard for the growth of children below 5 years of age.¹¹ Subsequently, the WHO recommended that this new standards be used for the surveillance of nutritional status in all countries and offered assistance for statistical analysis of data collected before the release of the new standards.

In this study, and in accordance with the WHO recommendation, the WHO standards were used as a reference to calculate the prevalence of nutritional indicators in Saudi children. In addition, comparison with the prevalence data from other countries was made only with those using the same reference (WHO child growth standards) in a similar age group of children (birth to 5 years). The effect of the type of reference on the prevalence of nutritional indicators is well known

Table 1. Prevalence of underweight by age and gender.

Age (years)	Total number (%) <-2 SD			Total number (%) <-3 SD		
	Boys	Girls	Combined	Boys	Girls	Combined
<1	4343 (5.1)	4141 (2.3)	8484 (3.7)	4343 (1.1)	4141 (0.5)	8484 (0.8)
1 to < 2	1283 (5.5)	1228 (2.9)	2511 (4.2)	1283 (0.8)	1228 (0.4)	2511 (0.6)
2 to < 3	703 (7.8)	727 (7.2)	1430 (7.5)	703 (1)	727 (1.5)	1430 (1.3)
3 to < 4	716 (7.8)	787 (8.8)	1503 (8.3)	716 (2)	787 (1.5)	1503 (1.8)
4 to < 5	845 (10.3)	828 (11.1)	1677 (10.7)	845 (2.1)	828 (1.6)	1673 (1.9)
Overall	7890 (7.3)	7711 (6.5)	15601 (6.9)	7890 (1.4)	7711 (1.1)	15601 (1.3)

Table 2. Prevalence of wasting by age and gender.

Age (years)	Total number (%) <-2 SD			Total number (%) <-3 SD		
	Boys	Girls	Combined	Boys	Girls	Combined
<1	4290 (12.3)	4109 (10.8)	8399 (11.6)	4290 (5.6)	4109 (4.4)	8399 (5)
1 to < 2	1282 (6.9)	1227 (4.5)	2509 (5.7)	1282 (1.9)	1227 (1.6)	2509 (1.8)
2 to < 3	698 (12.3)	727 (8.4)	1425 (10.4)	698 (3.2)	727 (2.8)	1425 (3)
3 to < 4	714 (10.5)	785 (9)	1499 (9.8)	714 (2.5)	785 (1.9)	1499 (2.2)
4 to < 5	893 (12.6)	826 (10.8)	1719 (11.7)	893 (3.5)	826 (1.9)	1719 (2.7)
Overall	7877 (10.9)	7674 (8.7)	15551 (9.8)	7877 (3.3)	7674 (2.5)	15551 (2.9)

Table 3. Prevalence of stunting by age and gender.

Age (years)	Total number (%) <-2 SD			Total number (%) <- 3 SD		
	Boys	Girls	Combined	Boys	Girls	Combined
<1	4336 (10.5)	4123 (5.2)	8459 (7.9)	4336 (3.3)	4123 (1.5)	8459 (2.4)
1 to < 2	1278 (12.5)	1225 (11.1)	2503 (11.8)	1278 (3.8)	1225 (3.3)	2503 (3.6)
2 to < 3	703 (14.1)	726 (10.1)	1429 (12.1)	703 (3.4)	726 (3.4)	1429 (3.4)
3 to < 4	716 (11.7)	787 (12.8)	1503 (12.3)	716 (3.4)	787 (2.5)	1503 (3.0)
4 to < 5	845 (10.1)	829 (10.3)	1674 (10.2)	845 (1.8)	829 (1.9)	1674 (1.9)
Overall	7878 (11.8)	7690 (9.9)	15568 (10.9)	7878 (3.1)	7690 (2.5)	15568 (2.8)

Table 4. Comparative national prevalence of nutritional indicators in selected countries.

Country	Study years	Sample size	Underweight (%)		Wasting (%)		Stunting (%)	
			<-2 SD	<-3 SD	<-2 SD	<-3 SD	<-2 SD	<-3 SD
Bangladesh ¹⁹	2006	24 302	39.8	10.3	12.4	1.6	47	15.5
Yemen ²⁰	2003	12 364	43.1	18.5	15.2	6.3	57.7	35.8
Brazil ²¹	2006-07	4415	2.2	0.3	4.0	1.6	7.1	1.5
USA ¹⁷	1999-04	3920	1.3	0.2	0.6	0.1	3.9	0.5
Egypt ²²	2005	12 830	5.4	1.7	5.3	2.5	23.8	10.3
Nigeria ²³	2003	5407	27.9	11.9	11.2	4.8	43	23.7
Indonesia ²⁴	2007	77 808	19.6	5.1	14.8	6.8	40.1	21
Oman ²⁵	1999	14 076	11.3	1.6	7.3	1.1	12.9	2.4
Present study	2004-05	15 601	6.9	1.3	9.8	2.9	10.9	2.8

and may be considerable. For example, the prevalence of underweight was higher in children under 2 years of age when using the WHO standards than when using the 1990 United Kingdom reference.¹⁵ Another report comparing WHO standards with the NCHS/WHO reference using data from the Dominican Republic, Bangladesh and pooled data from the United States and Europe found higher rates of underweight, stunting, and wasting when the WHO standards were used as reference.¹⁶ In addition, comparison between the WHO standards and the CDC reference revealed heavier and shorter children in the CDC sample.¹⁷

This report establishes the prevalence of underweight, wasting, and stunting in a representative national sample of Saudi children under 5 years of age and should serve as baseline for the surveillance of nutritional status in this population. The finding of a lower prevalence of all nutritional indicators in girls is consistent with results of all studies summarized in Table 4. This universal finding is most probably related to the different pattern of growth between boys and girls in this age group, characterized by lower growth chart curves (-2 and -3 SD) for girls for all growth indices resulting in a smaller proportion of girls below the cut offs (-2 SDS or -3 SDS), leading to a lower prevalence for all indicators.¹⁸

The countries selected for the comparison in Table 4 are representative of economically developed, less developed (also called transitional), and least developed countries, so as to demonstrate that a huge disparity still persist in the 21st Century with about 40% prevalence

of moderate underweight in Bangladesh¹⁹ and Yemen²⁰ to 2.2% in Brazil²¹ and 1.3% in the USA.¹⁷ This large gap closely reflects economic development and resources. The prevalence of moderate underweight in Saudi children of 6.9% is closer to the 5.4% reported from Egypt²² indicating an intermediate position. The 9.8% prevalence of wasting in Saudi children, however, is closer to 11.2% rates reported from Nigeria²³ and very far from rates reported from Indonesia.²⁴ The 10.9% prevalence of moderate stunting is slightly lower than the 12.9% reported from Oman, while the prevalence of severe stunting is similar.²⁵ The sources for the comparisons between countries were either the original data or a re-analysis according to WHO standards as presented in the WHO website Global Data.

In conclusion, the prevalence of underweight, wasting, and stunting in Saudi children is intermediate between socioeconomically developed and developing countries. However, these prevalence rates are still higher than “transitional” countries such as Brazil, indicating that more efforts are needed to improve child health in general and nutritional status in particular. Accordingly, it is recommended that regular surveillance in the form of nutritional surveys be conducted at the national level to monitor the nutritional health of Saudi children.

Acknowledgment

This study was funded by King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (grant no. AR-20-63).

REFERENCES

1. Todaro MP, Smith SC. Economic development. 9th ed. Boston: Pearson-Addison Wesley; 2005.
2. Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1995;854:161-2.
3. de Onis M, Monteiro C, Akre J, Glugston G. The worldwide magnitude of protein-energy malnutrition: An overview from the WHO Global Database on Child Growth. Bull World Health Organ 1993;71:703-12.
4. Al-Amoud MM, Al-Mazrou YY, El-Gizouli SE, Khoja TA, Al-Turki KA. Clinical growth charts for pre-school children. Saudi Med J 2004;25:1679-82.
5. El-Mouzan MI, Al-Herbish AS, Al-Salloum AA, Qurachi MM, Al-Omar AA. The growth charts for Saudi children and adolescents. Saudi Med J 2007;28:1555-68.
6. Waterlow JC, Buzina R, Keller W, Lane JM, Nichaman MZ, Tanner JM. The presentation and use of height and weight data for comparing the nutritional status of groups of children under the age of ten years. Bull World Health Organ 1977;55:489-98.
7. Cole TJ, Green PJ. Smoothing reference percentile: The LMS method and penalized likelihood. Stat Med 1992;11:1305-19.
8. Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA. British 1990 growth reference centiles for weight, height, body mass index and head circumference fitted by maximum penalized likelihood. Stat Med 1998;17:407-29.
9. Rigby RA, Stasinopoulos DM. Generalized additive models for location, scale and shape. Appl Stat 2005;64:507-54.
10. WHO Anthro for personal computers, version 3. Software for assessing growth and development of the world's children. Geneva: WHO; 2009. Available from: <http://www.who.int/childgrowth/software/en/> [last accessed on 2009 Nov 15]
11. Mercedes de Onis, et al. The WHO Child Growth Standard: Methods and development. Department of Nutrition for Health and Development. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2006.
12. Hamill PV, Drizd TA, Johnson CL, Reed RB, Roche AF, Moore WM. Physical growth: National Center for Health Statistics percentiles. Am J Clin Nutr 1979;32:607-29.
13. World Health Organization. A growth chart for international use in maternal and child care: Guideline for primary health care personnel. Geneva: WHO; 1978.
14. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, et al. The 2000 CDC growth charts for the United States: Methods and development. Vital Health Stat 11 2002;246:1-190.
15. The Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition. Application of WHO growth standards in the UK; 2007.
16. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Garza C, Yang H; WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. Comparison of the World Health Organization (WHO) Child Growth Standards and the National Center for Health Statistics/WHO international growth reference: implications for child health programmes. Public Health Nutr 2006;9:942-7.
17. Mei Z, Ogden CL, Flegal KM, Grummer-Strawn LM. Comparison of the prevalence of shortness, underweight, and overweight among US children aged 0 to 59 months by using the CDC 2000 and the WHO 2006 growth charts. J Pediatr 2008;153:622-8.
18. El Mouzan MI, Al Herbish AS, Al Salloum AA, Foster PJ, Al Omar AA, Qurachi MM, et al. Pattern of gender difference in growth of Saudi children and adolescents. Gender Medicine 2010. In press.
19. Child malnutrition estimates in Bangladesh. Geneva. The World Health Organization Database on child growth and malnutrition. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/database/countries/who_standards/bgd.pdf [cited 2009 Sept 23].
20. Child malnutrition estimates in Yemen. Geneva. The World Health Organization Database on child growth and malnutrition. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/database/countries/who_standards/yem.pdf [cited 2009 Sept 23].
21. Child malnutrition estimates in Brazil. Geneva. The World Health Organization Database on child growth and malnutrition. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/database/countries/who_standards/bra_ref.pdf [cited 2009 Sept 23].
22. Child malnutrition estimates in Egypt. Geneva. The World Health Organization Database on child growth and malnutrition. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/database/countries/who_standards/egy.pdf [cited 2009 Sept 23].
23. Child malnutrition estimates in Nigeria. Geneva. The World Health Organization Database on child growth and malnutrition. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/database/countries/who_standards/nga.pdf [cited 2009 Sept 23].
24. Child malnutrition estimates in Indonesia. Geneva. The World Health Organization Database on child growth and malnutrition. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/database/countries/who_standards/idn.pdf [cited 2009 Sept 23].
25. Alasfoor D, Elsayed MK, Al-Qasbi AM, Malankar P, Sheth M, Prakash N. Protein-energy malnutrition among preschool children in Oman: Results of a national survey. East Mediter Health J 2007;13:1022-30.