# Pleiotropic Effects of Atorvastatin and Fenofibrate in Metabolic Syndrome and Different Types of Pre-Diabetes

Robert Krysiak, md, phd<sup>1</sup> Anna Gdula-Dymek, md<sup>1</sup> Ryszard Bachowski, md, phd<sup>2</sup> Bogusław Okopień, md, phd<sup>1</sup>

**OBJECTIVE** — To compare extra-lipid effects of statins and fibrates in relation to the baseline metabolic status of patients.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS** — The study involved a group of 242 metabolic syndrome patients with or without pre-diabetes and randomized to atorvastatin, fenofibrate, or placebo.

**RESULTS** — Compared with matched healthy subjects, metabolic syndrome patients exhibited higher plasma levels/activities of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), fibrinogen, factor VII, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1, and enhanced monocyte cytokine release. These abnormalities were alleviated by both atorvastatin and fenofibrate treatment. CRP-lowering and monocyte-suppressing actions were more pronounced for atorvastatin in subjects with impaired fasting glucose and for fenofibrate in patients with impaired glucose tolerance.

**CONCLUSIONS** — The presence of pre-diabetes potentiates metabolic syndrome–induced abnormalities in plasma markers of inflammation and hemostasis and in monocyte secretory function. Both atorvastatin and fenofibrate exhibit multidirectional pleiotropic effects in subjects with metabolic syndrome, the strength of which seem to be partially determined by the type of pre-diabetes.

he anti-inflammatory, endothelialprotective, antioxidant, and antithrombotic actions of statins and fibrates are observed not only in patients with dyslipidemia (1-5) but also in subjects with early and late glucose metabolism abnormalities (6-8). This suggests that metabolic syndrome (MS) patients may receive more benefits from statin or fibrate treatment than individuals suffering from isolated lipid or glucose metabolism disturbances. No previous study has examined whether the presence and type of pre-diabetes determines cardiovascular risk factor concentrations and the extra-lipid effects of lipid-lowering agents in MS patients.

Diabetes Care 33:2266–2270, 2010

### **RESEARCH DESIGN AND**

**METHODS** — The study included 242 patients with recently diagnosed and previously untreated MS. MS was diagnosed using National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria. The exclusion criteria and power calculations are described in the online appendix (available at http:// care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/ full/dc10-0272/DC1). The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee. All enrolled MS patients were given detailed advice on how to achieve the goals of lifestyle modification: a reduction in weight of 7% or more if necessary; total fat intake less than 30% of total energy intake; satu-

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical University of Silesia,

Katowice, Poland; and the <sup>2</sup>Second Department of Cardiac Surgery, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland.

Corresponding author: Robert Krysiak, r.krysiak@interia.pl.

© 2010 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

rated fat intake less than 7% of energy consumed; cholesterol intake less than 200-mg per day; an increase in fiber intake to 15-g per 1,000 kcal; and moderate-to-vigorous exercise for at least 30 min per day. On the basis of fasting plasma glucose, MS patients were allocated into one of the two groups: patients with pre-diabetes (n = 183) and patients with normal glucose tolerance (NGT) (n = 59) (online appendix). The former group was additionally divided into three subgroups: patients with isolated fasting glucose (IFG) (n = 61), patients with isolated impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) (n = 62), and patients with concomitant IFG and IGT (IFG + IGT) (n = 60). The patients in each group were randomized in a doubleblind fashion to micronized fenofibrate (200 mg), atorvastatin (40 mg), or placebo, which were administered once daily for 90 days. MS patients were compared with age- and sex-matched healthy subjects without lipid and glucose metabolism abnormalities (n =48). Plasma lipid/lipoprotein profile, total free fatty acids, fasting and 2-h postchallenge glucose levels, A1C, homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) index, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), fibrinogen, factor VII, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), and monocyte production of tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 were determined before and after 30 and 90 days of therapy (4,6,9). Statistical analysis was performed as previously described (4,6).

**RESULTS** — Apart from disturbances in lipid profile and glucose metabolism markers, the presence of MS was associated with higher plasma levels/activity of hs-CRP, fibrinogen, factor VII, and PAI-1, and increased monocyte release of tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (online appendix Table 1). No serious adverse effects were observed throughout the study, and 234 patients completed the study (online appendix).

In pre-diabetic patients, only feno-

Received 11 February 2010 and accepted 26 June 2010. Published ahead of print at http://care. diabetesjournals.org on 29 June 2010. DOI: 10.2337/dc10-0272.

MS	
ytes in	
nonoc	
lated 1	
stimu	
ion by	
secret	
tokine	
and cy	
stasis,	
hemo	
nation,	
nflamn	
grade inf	
, low-g	
bolism	
e meta	
glucos	
profile,	
otein p	
lipopr	
n lipid	
fects o	NGT
rate eff	tes or l
fenofib	-diabe
in and	ith pre
vastati	sting w
-Ator	coexis
able 1-	atients
Γ	р

	CUIIIUI		T			T	
	subjects	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Fenofibrate	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Fenofibrate
u	48	18	19	19	56	61	61
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$\pm 1$	+1	$234.5 \pm 5.0^{*}$	$231.2 \pm 5.8^*$	$234.4 \pm 3.8^*$	$229.1 \pm 5.1^{*}$	$233.5 \pm 6.0^{*}$
After 30 days	+1		$145.1 \pm 4.3$ †	+1	+1	$149.2 \pm 4.6 \ddagger$	+1
After 90 days	$155.1 \pm 3.9$	238.2 ± 4.2*	$141.1 \pm 4.0 \dagger$	$195.2 \pm 6.2^{+*}$	$230.1 \pm 4.4^*$	143.3 ± 4.2†∥	$199.7 \pm 7.0^{+*}$
LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$104.8 \pm 3.0$	$146.2 \pm 3.4^*$	$145.0 \pm 3.1^{*}$	$142.5 \pm 5.6^{*}$	$138.4 \pm 3.6^*$	$142.1 \pm 2.9^{*}$	$137.9 \pm 4.5$
After 30 days	$103.9 \pm 3.2$	$144.1 \pm 4.5^*$	$104.2 \pm 3.4$	$123.1 \pm 4.2^{+*}$	$142.1 \pm 4.0^{*}$	$107.7 \pm 4.1 \ddagger$	$121.2 \pm 4.1^{+*}$
After 90 days	$103.1 \pm 2.9$	$145.6 \pm 2.2^{*}$	$101.1 \pm 3.2 \dagger$	$119.1 \pm 4.1^{+*}$	$140.4 \pm 3.8^{*}$	$103.2 \pm 3.6 \pm$	$116.2 \pm 3.9^{+*}$
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)			=			=	
Baseline	$53.0 \pm 0.8$	$34.1 \pm 0.7^{*}$	$34.4 \pm 0.9^{*}$	$33.0 \pm 1.4^{*}$	$33.2 \pm 0.9^{*}$	$34.7 \pm 0.6^{*}$	$33.4 \pm 1.1^{*}$
After 30 days	$53.4 \pm 0.9$	$35.2 \pm 0.8^{*}$	$37.2 \pm 0.9^*$	$37.5 \pm 1.3^{*}$		$38.8 \pm 1.0^{+*}$	$37.9 \pm 1.2^{+*}$
After 90 days	$53.9 \pm 1.2$	$34.6 \pm 1.1^{*}$	$38.5 \pm 0.5^{+*}$	$39.0 \pm 1.1^{+*}$	+1	$39.7 \pm 0.6^{+*}$	$39.2 \pm 1.0^{+*}$
Triglycerides (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$112.7 \pm 3.5$	$264.2 \pm 8.0^{*}$	$256.9 \pm 11.1^{*}$	$265.4 \pm 9.8^{*}$	$249.2 \pm 9.8^{*}$	$253.9 \pm 7.5^{*}$	$260.3 \pm 11.2^{*}$
After 30 davs	$108.4 \pm 3.2$	$267.1 \pm 7.3^{*}$	+	$180.5 \pm 10.1^{+*}$	+1	$220.5 \pm 8.6^{+*}$	$191.0 \pm 10.4^{+*}$
After 90 davs	$106.0 \pm 4.2$	+	+	$175.7 \pm 8.6^{+*}$	+	$221.7 \pm 8.2^{+*}$	$177.3 \pm 9.9^{+*}$
Free fatty acids (11mol/l)				-			
Pocolino	ז 11 + כ 200	4103 + 341*	300 3 + 32 3*	*C CC + 2 90C	454.1 + 30.1*	4660 + 300 366	4571+34.7*
	C + 1 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 7 + 7		7700 - 0.060 *+7 00 - 0.200	7:70 - C.060 *+3 20 + C 300	1.70 - 1.		.7.1C - 1.2CF
Aller JU days	210.4 - 12.0	12.00 - 2.02+	-   -	-   -	-   -	-   -	10.01 - 0.610
Alter 90 days	218.4 ± 14.2	431.1 ± 34.0°	334.2 ± 32.17°	320.42 ± 1.625	440.0° ± 440.0°	384.4 ± 22.01*	304.4 ± 43.57°
UXIGIZED LULS (U/I)							
Baseline	$31.3 \pm 3.2$	$70.2 \pm 8.1^{*}$	+1	$65.2 \pm 7.9^{*}$	+1	+1	$78.2 \pm 7.5^{*}$
After 30 days	$30.2 \pm 3.1$	$72.3 \pm 6.9^{*}$		+1	+1	+1	+1
After 90 days	$32.0 \pm 3.7$	$74.0 \pm 6.8^{*}$	$32.2 \pm 7.8 \dagger \ $	$47.2 \pm 5.2^{+*}$	$71.1 \pm 6.1^{*}$	$33.1 \pm 6.0 \ddagger$	$49.2 \pm 5.4^{+*}$
Apoprotein A-I (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$178.5 \pm 14.6$	$128.3 \pm 11.9^{*}$	$129.1 \pm 9.2^{*}$	$132.5 \pm 10.3^{*}$	$131.1 \pm 12.0^{*}$	$128.0 \pm 10.1^{*}$	$128.6 \pm 10.5^{*}$
After 30 days	$176.1 \pm 13.6$	$130.1 \pm 10.9^*$	$132.2 \pm 10.2^{*}$	$145.5 \pm 9.5^{*}$		$129.1 \pm 11.3^*$	$146.3 \pm 10.1^{*}$
After 90 days	$175.0 \pm 14.0$	$126.7 \pm 10.6^{*}$	$140.2 \pm 9.8^*$	$152.1 \pm 8.6^{+}$	$131.5 \pm 13.6^*$	$138.1 \pm 12.4^*$	$155.2 \pm 9.5^{+}$
Apoprotein B (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$101.5 \pm 9.6$	$153.2 \pm 14.1^{*}$	$156.4 \pm 11.0^{*}$	$152.2 \pm 12.2^*$	$148.4 \pm 13.5^*$	$151.9 \pm 10.7^*$	$155.9 \pm 12.9^*$
After 30 days	$103.5 \pm 10.0$		$114.1 \pm 9.8^{\dagger *}$	$129.0 \pm 10.6^{*}$	$144.7 \pm 12.7^*$	$115.3 \pm 8.8^{+*}$	$133.0 \pm 14.5^{+*}$
After 90 days	$105.0 \pm 12.1$	$156.4 \pm 13.2^*$	$108.3 \pm 9.2 \ddagger$	$122.5 \pm 6.2^{+*}$	$143.2 \pm 11.0^{*}$	$101.1 \pm 8.6 \dagger$	$124.2 \pm 8.3^{+*}$
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$86.1 \pm 2.3$	$91.3 \pm 2.4$	$89.9 \pm 1.8$	$89.2 \pm 2.2$	$104.3 \pm 3.8^{*}$ §	$108.1 \pm 2.0^{*}$ §	$109.2 \pm 1.9^{*}$ §
After 30 days	$87.2 \pm 2.1$	$89.4 \pm 2.5$	$86.5 \pm 2.8$	$87.2 \pm 2.1$	$100.1 \pm 4.4^*$	$106.2 \pm 2.1^*$	$104.1 \pm 2.2^{\dagger *}$
After 90 days	$85.2 \pm 1.8$	$89.0 \pm 2.9$	$88.7 \pm 2.2$	$87.0 \pm 2.0$	$102.3 \pm 4.2^*$	$107.7 \pm 2.2^*$	$103.1 \pm 1.8^{+*}$
2-h postglucose load plasma glucose							
levels (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$118.2 \pm 3.6$	$123.1 \pm 3.8$	+1	$\pm 1$	$149.9 \pm 4.2$ *8	$152.8 \pm 5.5^{*}$ §	$156.1 \pm 6.4$ *§
After 30 days	$116.1 \pm 3.2$	$119.2 \pm 2.9$		+1	+1	149.4 ± 4.4*	
After OD dave	0 c + α c l l	7170 + 35	100 3 + 0 元	1180 + 60	144 1 + 2.6*	152 0 + 4 1*	143 0 + 3 0+*

## Krysiak and Associates

ntinued
S.
Ĭ
le
<u> </u>
Ta

2268	DIABETES CARE, VOLUME 33, NUMBER 10, OCTOBER 2010

# Atorvastatin and fenofibrate in metabolic syndrome

	CUIIIUI	man and manual and					
	subjects	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Fenofibrate	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Fenofibrate
HOMA							
Baseline	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.3^{*}$	$3.5 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$3.7 \pm 0.2^*$	$4.7 \pm 0.3$ *§	$4.9 \pm 0.2$ *§	$4.9 \pm 0.3$ *§
After 30 davs	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$3.5 \pm 0.2^{*}$	4	$2.5 \pm 0.2^{+*}$	$4.5 \pm 0.3^{*}$	$5.1 \pm 0.3^{*}$	$3.8 \pm 0.3^{+*}$
After 90 davs	$1 3 \pm 0 1$		+	$2 2 \pm 0.2 + $	$45 \pm 0.2^{*}$		+
A1C.(%)							
Bacolino	C O + O 7	$4.0 \pm 0.1$	C C + C 7	с + 0 х 1 0 + 0 1	с – с л с – с л	C C + 7 v	и о н о о С н о о
	1.0 - 0.1		•	1			
After 30 days	+1		$4.9 \pm 0.2$	+1	+1	$5.4 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$
After 90 days	$4.7 \pm 0.1$	$4.8 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$	$5.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.6 \pm 0.2 \dagger$
hs-CRP (mg/l)							:
Baseline	0.0 + 0.1	17 + 03*	+ v - L	17 + 0.0*	0 7 + 0 4*8	ο τ + Ο 3*8	3 4 + 0.3 * 8
	1 -				1.   0 0 - 0   - 0 - 0		
After 30 days	+1		$1.1 \pm 0.17^{*}$	$1.2 \pm 0.27^{*}$	+1	$1.8 \pm 0.37^{*}$	+1
After 90 days	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$0.8 \pm 0.2 \ddagger$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{*}$	$1.4 \pm 0.3^{+}$	$1.2 \pm 0.1^{++}$
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)							
Baseline	$3.0 \pm 0.1$	$4.2 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$4.0 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$4.2 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$4.4 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$4.5 \pm 0.3^{*}$	$4.6 \pm 0.3$
After 30 davs	2 0 + 0 1		4 2 ± 0 3*	$3 5 \pm 0.04 *$	44+01*	$^{+}$	$36 \pm 0.2^{+*}$
After OD dorre		40 + 0.0	+		+ C + C + C +	+	+0.0 + 4.6
Eactor VIII activity (%)	7.7 - 7.7	1.0 - 0.1		$  _{1} = 0.000$			$  _{1} = 0.00$
actual VIII activity (10)							
Baseline	$98.2 \pm 3.2$	$126.0 \pm 4.4^{*}$	124.8 ± 4.6*	+1	155.1 ± 4.5*8	$155.3 \pm 3.9^{*8}$	153.1 ± 4.98
After 30 days	+1		$100.2 \pm 5.2 \ddagger$	$101.1 \pm 6.2^{\dagger}$	$154.1 \pm 5.1^*$	$115.6 \pm 4.2 \ddagger$	$112.9 \pm 5.2^{+*}$
After 90 days	$95.8 \pm 4.2$	$125.0 \pm 5.1^{*}$	$96.2 \pm 4.9^{\circ}$	$98.2 \pm 6.1$ †	$157.4 \pm 4.8^{*}$	$93.3 \pm 5.0^{++}$	$99.9 \pm 4.8^{\dagger \ddagger}$
PAI-1 (ng/ml)							
Baseline	$42.2 \pm 4.1$	$89.2 \pm 3.5^{*}$	$92.1 \pm 4.0^{*}$	$83.8 \pm 5.1^{*}$	$118.4 \pm 7.9^{*8}$	$120.1 \pm 6.0^{*}$	$118.6 \pm 5.5^{*8}$
After 30 days	43.4 ± 3.6	$86.4 \pm 3.1^{*}$	$67.4 \pm 4.4^{+*}$	$62.2 \pm 6.4^{+*}$	$116.5 \pm 7.2^*$	$75.2 \pm 4.8^{+*}$	$86.4 \pm 6.0^{+*}$
After 90 davs	$44.5 \pm 4.0$		$40.3 \pm 3.5 \pm 1$		$121.1 \pm 3.2^*$	$52.1 \pm 3.4 \pm \parallel$	$69.6 \pm 5.9^{+*}$
TNF-w release (no/ml)							
Deciliano	C C C F + C C 20	* - - - - - - - - - - - - -	יר כאר + ס ככא ר אכ	*COOL + COFA L	3*2 921 + 1 VOC C	3*C CZ I + O CYC C	+
baseline	C.201 I C.200	$1,090.2 \pm 104.2$	T,220.5 ± 0.02C,1		8./.OCI I T.NO./2		
After 30 days	$830.1 \pm 78.5$	$1,370.1 \pm 132.9^{*}$	$1,112.1 \pm 135.0^{+*}$		149.2	$1,521.1 \pm 134.0^{+*}$	+1
After 90 days	$804.0 \pm 81.3$	$1,298.8 \pm 111.2^{*}$	798.2 ± 122.2†‡	$781.1 \pm 99.17$	$2,142.4 \pm 160.1^{*}$	$1,155.1 \pm 133.5^{\ddagger *}$	$1,168.8 \pm 87.8^{\ddagger*}$
IL-1 <b>B</b> release (pg/ml)							
Baseline	$71.4 \pm 9.0$	$123.1 \pm 10.9^*$	$118.9 \pm 7.5^{*}$	$119.8 \pm 12.5^{*}$	$198.3 \pm 14.3$ *§	$201.0 \pm 11.2$ *§	$208.2 \pm 14.1^{*}$ §
After 30 days	$69.0 \pm 8.3$	$120.1 \pm 9.8^*$	$88.2 \pm 7.1^{+*}$	$89.1 \pm 10.1^{+*}$	$193.1 \pm 12.9^{*}$	$154.2 \pm 8.7^{+*}$	$154.7 \pm 12.2^{+*}$
After 90 days	$70.8 \pm 7.5$	$119.6 \pm 8.5^*$	$62.9 \pm 6.41$	$64.2 \pm 11.1^{\dagger \ddagger}$	$199.1 \pm 13.8^*$	$106.1 \pm 9.1^{\ddagger *}$	$112.2 \pm 10.5^{\ddagger*}$
IL-6 release (ng/ml)							
Baseline	$5.9 \pm 0.4$	$8.2 \pm 0.9^{*}$	$8.2 \pm 0.8^{*}$	$8.0 \pm 0.7^{*}$	$12.4 \pm 0.3$ *8	$13.1 \pm 0.9^{*}$ §	$12.9 \pm 0.5^{*8}$
After 30 davs	$6.2 \pm 0.5$	$8.1 \pm 0.7^{*}$		$6.4 \pm 0.5 \ddagger$	$12.5 \pm 0.5^{*}$	9.6 ± 0.9+*	$8.9 \pm 0.4^{+*}$
After 90 days	$6.0 \pm 0.4$		$5.7 \pm 0.6 \pm$	+1	$12.8 \pm 0.3^{*}$	77 ± 07+‡*	$7.5 \pm 0.67$
MCP-1 release (nø/ml)							
Baseline	104 + 08	$160 + 20^{\circ}$	164+14*	166+16*	200+14*	212 + 12	207 + 1 1*8
After 30 days	10.0 + 0.7	16.5 + 0.0*	13 1 + 1 6+*	13.3 + 1.4 + *	10.3 + 2.0*		10.0 + 1.0 + *
After 90 davs	11 1 + 0.6	$160 + 10^{*}$	10.1 + 1.5	10.0 + 1.5	20.4 + 1.5		$12.4 + 1.4^{+\pm}$
TILLI JU UASS	0.0 - 1.11	7.7 - 7.7	IC.T - T.OT	ICT - 7.0T	-1.1 - 1.02	1   T T T T T T T T	1   I - T - I - T - T   I

fibrate decreased fasting and postchallenge plasma glucose, HOMA index, and A1C (Table 1). In MS patients with NGT or pre-diabetes, atorvastatin and fenofibrate improved lipid/lipoprotein profile, reduced monocyte cytokine release, and decreased plasma levels/ activity of hs-CRP, factor VII, and PAI-1. Fenofibrate also decreased plasma fibrinogen. In MS patients with either pre-diabetes or NGT, atorvastatin stronger than fenofibrate reduced plasma levels of total and LDL cholesterol, apoprotein B, oxidized LDLs, and PAI-1, while fenofibrate to a greater extent than atorvastatin affected triglycerides, apoprotein A-I, and fibrinogen. In MS patients with NGT and, when analyzed together, also in MS patients with pre-diabetes, both drugs were equipotent in their effect on plasma hs-CRP and monocyte cytokine release.

Fenofibrate was superior to atorvastatin in reducing fasting and postglucose load plasma glucose in IGT and IFG + IGT patients, as well as in reducing HOMA index and A1C in all subgroups of pre-diabetic patients (online appendix Table 2). Fenofibrate more markedly decreased fasting plasma glucose in IFG + IGT patients than in the remaining groups of pre-diabetic subjects. Atorvastatin action on hs-CRP and monocyte cytokine release was stronger in IFG and IFG+ IGT patients than in IGT patients. In turn, fenofibrate action on these markers was more pronounced in IGT and IFG + IGT patients than in IFG patients. In IFG subjects, atorvastatin stronger than fenofibrate reduced plasma hs-CRP and monocyte cytokine release, whereas the opposite relationship was found in IGT patients. In IFG + IGT subjects, the effect of both drugs on hs-CRP and cytokine release was similar to each other, and their post-treatment values remained higher than in control subjects. The atorvastatin- or fenofibrate-induced reduction in IL-1 $\beta$  release reached the highest degree in patients with concomitant IFG and IGT. Correlations are presented in the online appendix Supplemental Results.

**CONCLUSIONS** — This prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study has shown that cytokine release, low-grade inflammation, coagulation, and fibrinolysis were more profoundly disturbed in pre-diabetic patients, particularly in those with concomitant presence of IFG and IGT than in MS subjects with NGT. Considering that the assessed variables are proven vascular risk factors (2,10–12), the obtained results suggest the earlier development and faster progression of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disorders if MS is accompanied by pre-diabetes and partially explain the differences in the clinical course between IFG and IGT (13–15). Coexistence of both pre-diabetic conditions seems to be associated with a greater cardiovascular and cerebrovascular risk than the presence of only one of them.

The study has documented the superiority of the fibrate over the statin treatment in influencing glucose homeostasis and has revealed that fenofibrate action on glycemic control was pre-diabetes– type dependent. This indicates that only fibrates may delay the development of diabetes in MS patients, particularly in individuals with either isolated IGT or with coexisting IFG and IGT.

The magnitude of the reduction in monocyte cytokine release and plasma levels/activities of factor VII and hs-CRP was similar for both agents. Although fenofibrate was superior to atorvastatin in reducing fibrinogen, the latter drug more markedly decreased PAI-1. These results indicate that both fibrates and statins effectively reduce vascular risk in MS patients. Although the global antiinflammatory and monocyte-suppressing effect was similar in magnitude for atorvastatin and fenofibrate in the entire population of pre-diabetic patients, the strength of this action depended on the patients' metabolic profiles. This finding suggests that IGT patients may benefit more from fibrate treatment, whereas IFG subjects may be better candidates for statin therapy.

Acknowledgments— This work was supported by the scientific Grant No. N N402 300836 of the Committee of Scientific Research.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

R.K. researched the data and wrote the manuscript. A.G.-D. researched the data and contributed to the discussion. R.B. researched the data and contributed to the discussion. B.O. researched the data and reviewed and edited the manuscript.

We are indebted to Jaroslawa Sprada, Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland, for her excellent technical support.

#### References

- Athyros VG, Kakafika AI, Tziomalos K, Karagiannis A, Mikhailidis DP. Pleiotropic effects of statins: clinical evidence. Curr Pharm Des 2009;15:479–489
- Krysiak R, Okopień B, Herman Z. Effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors on coagulation and fibrinolysis processes. Drugs 2003;63:1821–1854
- 3. Keating GM, Ormrod D. Micronised fenofibrate: an updated review of its clinical efficacy in the management of dyslipidaemia. Drugs 2002;62:1909–1944
- Okopień B, Krysiak R, Kowalski J, Madej A, Belowski D, Zieliński M, Herman ZS. Monocyte release of tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-1beta in primary type IIa and IIb dyslipidemic patients treated with statins or fibrates. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2005;46:377– 386
- Okopień B, Haberka M, Madej A, Belowski D, Labuzek K, Krysiak R, Zieliński M, Basiak M, Herman ZS. Extralipid effects of micronized fenofibrate in dyslipidemic patients. Pharmacol Rep 2006;58: 729–735
- 6. Okopień B, Krysiak R, Herman ZS. Effects of short-term fenofibrate treatment on circulating markers of inflammation and hemostasis in patients with impaired glucose tolerance. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;91:1770–1778
- 7. Pruski M, Krysiak R, Okopien B. Pleiotropic action of short-term metformin and fenofibrate treatment, combined with lifestyle intervention, in type 2 diabetic patients with mixed dyslipidemia. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1421–1424
- 8. Bulcão C, Ribeiro-Filho FF, Sañudo A, Roberta Ferreira SG. Effects of simvastatin and metformin on inflammation and insulin resistance in individuals with mild metabolic syndrome. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs 2007;7:219–224
- Okopien B, Krysiak R, Haberka M, Herman ZS. Effect of monthly atorvastatin and fenofibrate treatment on monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 release in patients with primary mixed dyslipidemia. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2005;45:314– 320
- Tedgui A, Mallat Z. Cytokines in atherosclerosis: pathogenic and regulatory pathways. Physiol Rev 2006;86:515– 581
- Kannel WB. Overview of hemostatic factors involved in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Lipids 2005;40: 1215–1220
- 12. Ridker PM. Inflammatory biomarkers and risks of myocardial infarction, stroke, diabe-

### Atorvastatin and fenofibrate in metabolic syndrome

tes, and total mortality: implications for longevity. Nutr Rev 2007;65:S253–S259

- Petersen JL, McGuire DK. Impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose: a review of diagnosis, clinical implications and management. Diab Vasc Dis Res 2005;2:9–15
- 14. Twigg SM, Kamp MC, Davis TM, Neylon EK, Flack JR, Australian Diabetes Society, Australian Diabetes Educators Association. Prediabetes: a position statement from the Australian Diabetes Society and Australian Diabetes Educators Association. Med J Aust 2007;186:461–465
- Milicevic Z, Raz I, Beattie SD, Campaigne BN, Sarwat S, Gromniak E, Kowalska I, Galic E, Tan M, Hanefeld M. Natural history of cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes: role of hyperglycemia. Diabetes Care 2008; (Suppl. 2):S155–S160