Transcriptomic Analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and K-12 Cultures Exposed to Inorganic and Organic Acids in Stationary Phase Reveals Acidulant- and Strain-Specific Acid Tolerance Responses †

Thea King,^{1*} Sacha Lucchini,² Jay C. D. Hinton,^{2,3} and Kari Gobius¹

*CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences, Brisbane, Queensland 4108, Australia*¹ *; Institute of Food Research, Norwich Research Park, Colney, Norwich NR4 7UA, United Kingdom*² *; and Department of Microbiology, Moyne Institute of Preventive Medicine, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland*³

Received 2 October 2009/Accepted 1 August 2010

The food-borne pathogen *Escherichia coli* **O157:H7 is commonly exposed to organic acid in processed and preserved foods, allowing adaptation and the development of tolerance to pH levels otherwise lethal. Since little is known about the molecular basis of adaptation of** *E. coli* **to organic acids, we studied K-12 MG1655 and O157:H7 Sakai during exposure to acetic, lactic, and hydrochloric acid at pH 5.5. This is the first analysis of the pH-dependent transcriptomic response of stationary-phase** *E. coli.* **Thirty-four genes and three intergenic regions were upregulated by both strains during exposure to all acids. This universal acid response included genes involved in oxidative, envelope, and cold stress resistance and iron and manganese uptake, as well as 10 genes of unknown function. Acidulant- and strain-specific responses were also revealed. The acidulant-specific response reflects differences in the modes of microbial inactivation, even between weak organic acids. The two strains exhibited similar responses to lactic and hydrochloric acid, while the response to acetic acid was distinct. Acidulant-dependent differences between the strains involved induction of genes involved in the heat shock response, osmoregulation, inorganic ion and nucleotide transport and metabolism, translation, and energy production.** *E. coli* **O157:H7-specific acid-inducible genes were identified, suggesting that the enterohemorrhagic** *E. coli* **strain possesses additional molecular mechanisms contributing to acid resistance that are absent in K-12. While** *E. coli* **K-12 was most resistant to lactic and hydrochloric acid, O157:H7 may have a greater ability to survive in more complex acidic environments, such as those encountered in the host and during food processing.**

Some strains of *Escherichia coli* are capable of surviving in environments more suited to acidophiles than enterics and possess acid resistance systems that rival those of *Helicobacter pylori*, a species that has evolved to live in the stomach (for a review, see reference 25). The capacity to survive acid stress is an important property of *E. coli* as it determines its ability to survive in acidic foods and in animal or human host gastrointestinal tracts. Consequently, the acid resistance properties of this organism also influence its ability to cause disease. Acidification of food by the addition of organic acid is the primary means of preventing the growth of human pathogens in a wide range of fermented and acidified ready-to-eat foods (14). Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC), a pathotype which can cause potentially lethal sequelae, has been implicated in food-borne outbreaks involving a variety of acidic foods, such as apple cider (10), fermented sausage (15), yoghurt (62), and mayonnaise (82).

Outbreaks involving acidic foods have drawn attention to the acid tolerance response (ATR) of EHEC, in particular O157: H7. The ATR is a process induced by exposure to levels of acidity that habituates the organism and allows it to withstand lethal levels of acid (31). This response increases the ability of

the pathogen to survive in acidic foods (58) and can also induce cross-protection against other environmental stresses encountered during food processing (28). Although a number of studies have investigated the molecular response of this organism to sublethal levels of acidity, they have typically focused on the use of buffering agents (morpholineethanesulfonic acid [MES]) or inorganic acids, such as hydrochloric acid (51, 61, 73, 77, 79, 83). While both inorganic and organic acids acidify the internal pH of the cell, weak organic acids also accumulate as an intracellular anion (for a review, see reference 72). Weak organic acids, being uncharged in their undissociated form, readily diffuse across the cell membrane and dissociate in the higher-pH environment of the cytosol, generating protons and the acid anion. The acid anion accumulates intracellularly, as, being charged, it cannot readily diffuse from the cell. This high anion accumulation may generate high turgor pressure and can influence free radical production, leading to severe oxidative stress. It is unlikely though that this represents the complete explanation of the mode of action of weak organic acids or that all weak organic acids operate identically to inhibit growth (68). Indeed, proteomic studies have revealed that *E. coli* has a unique expression profile during exposure to benzoic acid (56), lactic acid (43), and acetate and formate (54) stress. A transcriptomic study on the acetate-induced ATR has also revealed a unique gene expression signature in *E. coli* (5).

A deeper understanding of organic acid tolerance in *E. coli* would provide fundamental insight into how this organism survives a stress routinely used by the food industry and may

Corresponding author. Mailing address: P.O. Box 745, Archerfield BC, QLD 4108, Australia. Phone: 61 7 3214 2051. Fax: 61 7 3214 2062. E-mail: Thea.King@csiro.au.

[†] Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://aem .asm.org/. ∇ Published ahead of print on 13 August 2010.

enable control strategies to be devised. It may be possible to identify environmental conditions which prevent the expression of protective proteins, rendering the bacteria sensitive to acid.

We used a transcriptomic approach to investigate and compare the ATR of *E. coli* during exposure to organic lactic (L-ATR) or acetic (A-ATR) acid to that of inorganic hydrochloric acid (H-ATR). With the knowledge that strains may respond differently to environmental conditions, the gene expression responses of the laboratory strain K-12 MG1655 (11) and pathogenic strain O157:H7 Sakai (35) were investigated. The O157:H7 strain carries 1.4 Mb of sequence that is absent from the K-12 strain, most of which is horizontally transferred foreign DNA (35). We hypothesized that the EHEC pathotype may possess novel molecular mechanisms that contribute to acid resistance. Acid tolerance is strongly dependent on growth phase. Stationary-phase cultures are more acid tolerant than their exponential-phase counterparts (6, 7). In order to ensure that the response of *E. coli* was characterized during maximal acid resistance, and because stationary-phase bacteria are particularly significant for food microbiology (70), experiments were conducted with stationary-phase cultures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. The strains used in this study were *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 (11) (obtained from Mark Schembri, Brisbane, Australia) and O157:H7 Sakai (35) (obtained from Carlton Gyles, Guelph, Canada), designated EC2940 and EC2941 in our culture collection, respectively. Overnight cultures (18 h) were grown at 37°C in 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml of brain heart infusion broth (BHI) broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) buffered with 50 mM Tris. Media were buffered to the required pH range using Tris (and MES [see below]) in accordance with a modification to the method employed by Antón et al. (3). All cultures were tested with a model WP80 pH meter fitted with a combination pH sensor (TPS, Australia) after 18 h of growth, and only those within the pH range of 7 ± 0.2 were used in downstream experiments.

Determination of conditions required to maximally induce the stationaryphase acid tolerance response. The conditions required to maximally induce the ATRs of each strain were determined as previously described (32). Briefly, the pH of an overnight culture was adjusted by the addition of either DL-lactic acid, acetic acid, or hydrochloric acid to an adaptation pH value of either 5.0, 5.5, or 5.8 ± 0.1 units and maintained for either 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 h. In total, for each strain, 18 combinations of adaptation pHs and incubation times were tested. The level of resistance afforded during adaptation under each of the 18 conditions was assessed using an acid survival assay, and the results were compared to each other and to that of an acid-shocked overnight culture. The method of the acid survival assay was as follows. After the required incubation time, cells were resuspended to yield viable counts of approximately 2×10^8 CFU/ml in 100 ml of fresh BHI broth buffered with 50 mM MES and acidified to a pH of 3.5 ± 0.1 with the appropriate test acidulant at 37°C. Cultures were challenged at pH 3.5, as this mimics a typical pH level for low-pH food environments. At intervals throughout incubation, samples were removed and numbers of viable bacteria determined by spread plating serial dilutions onto tryptone soya agar (TSA; Oxoid) supplemented with 0.2% (wt/vol) yeast extract and 0.2% (wt/vol) glucose (TYSG). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h before enumeration of cells. All experiments were performed in triplicate from a separate overnight culture. Data at each time point were analyzed by Minitab software (Minitab 15; Minitab Inc., Minneapolis, MN) using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a post hoc Tukey test. A P value of <0.05 was assumed to be the significance level. For both strains, the conditions required to afford maximum protection against all acids was incubation at pH 5.5 for 3 h and 2 h for K-12 and O157:H7, respectively (results not shown). The final concentrations of acids required to adjust cultures of *E. coli* to pH 5.5 were 0.03 M acetic acid, 0.03 M DL-lactic acid, and 0.02 M hydrochloric acid.

RNA isolation and processing. A 10-ml sample was removed from an overnight culture (18 h) to represent the reference condition. After incubation at pH 5.5 for the time required to maximally induce the ATR with the test acid, a further 10-ml sample was removed from the same culture. One-fifth of the

culture volume of ice-cold phenol-ethanol solution (5:95) was added to the culture to stabilize the RNA and prevent degradation. The culture was immediately transferred to ice prior to RNA extraction. RNA samples were prepared using a Promega SV total RNA purification kit. RNA concentration was determined using a NanoPhotometer (Implen Pty.). RNA quality was determined by 16S and 23S rRNA peak examination with a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) using an RNA nano chip. cDNA synthesis, labeling, and hybridization to GeneChip *E. coli* Genome 2.0 arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) were performed by CSIRO Molecular and Health Technologies (Sydney, Australia).

Microarray data analysis. The Affy package (47) of the Bioconductor software (29) was used to process raw CEL files using the robust multiarray average algorithm (RMA) (48) for normalization, background correction, and expression value calculation. Expression levels obtained from four independent biological replicates of every condition were compared using the Limma package (76) of the Bioconductor software. Elements with expression levels \geq 2-fold higher or lower than the reference level and that were statistically significant (after *P* value adjustment by the Benjamini and Hochberg method, with an adjusted *P* value of ≤ 0.01 , an average expression [*A* value] of ≥ 2 , and a log odds [*B* value] of ≥ 0) were selected. However, it should be noted that <2-fold changes can also be biologically significant (44, 45). A *P* value of ≤ 0.01 was considered significant, which corresponds to a false-positive rate of 1 in 100 genes. Those genes and intergenic regions passing an even stricter *P* value cutoff ($P \le 0.001$) are highlighted in Table S1 of the supplemental material. A functional grouping of genes was made according to the data from the NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov /COG/). Analysis of the differentially expressed intergenic regions was conducted using sRNAMap (42).

All genes identified as differentially transcribed, along with their fold change value and a comparison to previously published microarray data, are presented in Table S1 of the supplemental material.

Validation of microarray data by qRT-PCR. Four genes that showed significant (P value ≤ 0.01) upregulation or downregulation in the microarray experiments were selected for analysis by quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). The 16S rRNA gene, *rrsA*, was also included for normalization within samples. Forward and reverse PCR primers for *gadE* were designed using Primer3 software (http://primer3.sourceforge.net/), and primer sets for *oxyS* (19), *rpoH* (16), *znuA* (53), and *rrsA* (55) were from previously published papers (see Table S2 of the supplemental material). cDNA was produced from the RNA of three biological replicates used for microarray analysis by reverse transcription of 1 µg of purified total RNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Tenfold dilutions (from 10^{-3} to 10^{-5}) of the template cDNA were made for use in qRT-PCRs. qRT-PCR mixes contained a total volume of 25 µl consisting of 12.5 µl IQ SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad), 1 µl MgCl₂ (50 mM stock; Bio-Rad), 2 μ l diluted cDNA, 0.5 μ l each forward and reverse primer (25 μ M stock), and 8.5 μ l nuclease-free water (Ambion, Austin, TX). Real-time PCR was performed on the iCycler iQ5 multicolor real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) under the following reaction conditions: 95°C for 3 min, 45 cycles consisting of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. Melting curve analysis (55 to 81°C, 0.5°C increments for 30 s) was performed to ensure PCR specificity. The method described by Pfaffl (66) was employed to determine the expression fold changes of the target gene in cultures at the time of incubation that corresponded to maximal induction of the ATR, compared to that of the corresponding overnight culture.

Acid resistance in the presence of protein synthesis inhibitor. In order to determine whether the increased survival of acid-adapted cultures after challenge at pH 3.5 was the result of new proteins synthesis, we compared the levels of resistance of cultures adapted in the presence and absence of a protein synthesis inhibitor. Chloramphenicol was added to a final concentration of 200 g/ml to overnight cultures (18 h) at 37°C 10 min prior to acid adaptation. Cultures were acid adapted and challenged as described above.

Heat shock assay. The levels of thermal tolerance of HCl-adapted cultures of K-12 and O157:H7 were determined and compared at 50°C. HCl-adapted cultures of K-12 and O157:H7 were harvested by centrifugation, washed once in an equal volume of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7), centrifuged, and resuspended in 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cell suspension was added to 100 ml of PBS preheated to 50°C to yield viable cell counts of approximately 2×10^8 CFU/ml. During incubation at 50°C, the percentage of survivors was determined at time intervals by plating dilutions directly onto TYSG plates. Viable cell counts were determined after overnight incubation at 37°C.

Microarray data accession number. The microarray transcriptomic data were deposited at ArrayExpress (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/miamexpress/) under accession number E-TABM-912.

FIG. 1. Acid resistance of K-12 (\bullet , \circ) and O157:H7 (\blacksquare , \Box) to BHI acidified to pH 3.5 with acetic, lactic, or hydrochloric acid. The percentages of surviving cells of cultures incubated at pH 7 (acid shock) are represented by dashed lines. The percentages of surviving cells of cultures incubated at pH 5.5 (acid adapted; 3 h for K-12 and 2 h for O157:H7) in the absence and presence of chloramphenicol are represented by solid and dotted lines, respectively. The percentages of survivors were determined by plating cells on TYSG agar. Error bars represent standard errors of the means based on counts from three replicate populations; in most cases, their size was smaller than the symbol.

RESULTS

Resistance of acid-shocked and acid-adapted cultures of *E. coli* **K-12 and O157:H7 to acetic, lactic, and hydrochloric acid.** The conditions required to maximally induce the ATRs of the strains to all acidulants was experimentally determined. This involved incubation at pH 5.5 for 3 h (K-12) and for 2 h (O157:H7) (Fig. 1) and generated acid-adapted cultures that were more resistant to acid challenge at pH 3.5 than bacteria that had been grown at neutral pH prior to acid shock (Fig. 1). The acid sensitivities of the two strains were compared under these conditions. *E. coli* K-12 showed the greatest resistance to lactic and hydrochloric acid, while O157:H7 was most resistant to acetic acid.

Comparison of the levels of resistance of cultures adapted in the presence and absence of a protein synthesis inhibitor (Fig. 1) revealed that the increased survival of acid-adapted cultures to challenge at pH 3.5 was the result of new proteins synthesis.

E. coli **K-12 and O157:H7 show a universal gene expression response that involves upregulation of the oxidative, envelope, and cold shock stress responses and genes involved in iron and manganese uptake.** To determine the transcriptomic response of K-12 and O157:H7 to each of the three acids, RNA was extracted from cultures at the time of incubation corresponding to maximal induction of the ATR and from the corresponding overnight culture to serve as a reference. qRT-PCR experiments confirmed the trend observed in the differential expression reflected in the microarray data of four candidate genes (Table 1). The fold change detected by qRT-PCR was generally more pronounced than that detected by microarray analysis, in line with the fact that microarrays are generally less sensitive than qRT-PCR for quantification of gene expression (81). The numbers of genes and intergenic regions induced or repressed by each of the strains during exposure to each of the acids in comparison to the number induced or repressed by the reference (unadapted) culture are shown in Fig. 2.

Surprisingly, the acid-adapted stationary-phase cultures did not show significant upregulation of acid fitness island (AFI) genes in K-12 or O157:H7. Similarly, among the four known acid resistance systems of *E. coli* (25), we observed only upregulation of the lysine-dependent acid resistance system (*cadB*) during exposure of O157:H7 to HCl.

Under the conditions employed in this study, we identified gene expression signatures characteristic of both K-12 and O157:H7 during induction of the A-ATR, L-ATR, and H-ATR. Thirty-four genes were upregulated, including 10 FUN genes (of unknown function [41]), the small RNA *oxyS*, and two intergenic regions not corresponding to known sRNAs (42) (Table 2). A number of genes that protect against oxidative damage were upregulated, including *katG*, *trxC*, *ahpF*, *grxA*, and the small regulatory RNA (sRNA) *oxyS*. The positive modulator of RpoE envelope stress response sigma factor activity (*rseC*) and a poorly defined regulator of the envelope stress response (*ydcQ*) were upregulated, consistent with previous reports that showed acid induction of RpoE (36). Acidic pH also enhanced the expression of genes involved in iron (*exbD*, *fepD*, *ydiE*, *hemF*) and manganese (*mntH*) uptake and acquisition. A number of cold shock-inducible genes were induced, including *cspA*, encoding the major cold shock protein of *E*. *coli*, *lpxP*, and *csdA*. For both O157:H7 and K-12, *oxyS*, *grxA*, *mntH*, and the FUN gene *yfiP* were among the genes most highly expressed during adaptation to all acids.

Membrane-bound systems for electron transport were

TABLE 1. Gene expression fold changes generated by microarray analysis and qRT-PCR for four selected genes

Gene	Strain	Acidulant	Microarray fold change ^{a}	qRT-PCR fold change
gadE	$K-12$	HCl	NDE	0.4 ± 0.1
		Acetic acid	-4.9	-6.4 ± 1.2
		Lactic acid	-3.9	-5.1 ± 0.7
	O157:H7	HCl	NDE	0.7 ± 0.1
		Acetic acid	NDE	0.8 ± 0.1
		Lactic acid	NDE	1.6 ± 0.4
oxyS	$K-12$	HCl	17.1	4.3 ± 0.1
		Acetic acid	10.5	2.2 ± 0.2
		Lactic acid	18.5	4.8 ± 0.6
	O157:H7	HCl	12.1	3.4 ± 1.1
		Acetic acid	7.4	2.6 ± 0.1
		Lactic acid	13.8	2.4 ± 0.3
rpoH	$K-12$	HCl	NDE	1.8 ± 0.4
		Acetic acid	NDE	0.6 ± 0.1
		Lactic acid	NDE	0.8 ± 0.2
	O157:H7	HCl	3.2	11.8 ± 0.6
		Acetic acid	NDE	1.5 ± 0.3
		Lactic acid	2.8	10.5 ± 0.4
znuA	$K-12$	HCl	NDE	0.3 ± 0.1
		Acetic acid	NDE	0.5 ± 0.2
		Lactic acid	NDE	1.9 ± 1.5
	O157:H7	HCl	4.3	9.7 ± 1.5
		Acetic acid	NDE	0.4 ± 0.2
		Lactic acid	3.6	7.8 ± 0.2

^a NDE, not differentially expressed.

FIG. 2. Comparison of levels of genome-wide gene expression in K-12 and O157:H7 after induction of the A-ATR, L-ATR, or H-ATR. Bacteria were adapted for 3 h (K-12) and 2 h (O157:H7) in BHI acidified to pH 5.5. The numbers of differentially expressed genes (i.e., genes with a \geq 2-fold difference in expression from that of the reference culture) are shown as a Venn diagram. The first two Venn diagrams compare the gene expression responses of K-12 and O157:H7 during adaptation with each of the test acidulants. In the third Venn diagram, labeled "universal acid response," numbers of genes which are upregulated or downregulated by both strains during adaptation with each acid are compared. Numbers of upregulated genes are shown in bold, and numbers of downregulated genes are shown in italics.

downregulated by both strains during exposure to all acids and included most members of the *atp* operon (*atpEFHAG*), encoding F_1F_0 ATP synthase (32), which imports H^+ during oxidative respiration. In the present study, *frdB* is universally downregulated by both strains, as are other members of the operon during induction of the A-ATR, L-ATR, and/or H-ATR (see Table S1 of the supplemental material). Previous studies have also reported a downregulation of genes at low pH encoding components of fumarate reductase (61), in line with the fact that mixed-acid fermentation leads to the accumulation of a mixture of acidic end products.

In summary, under the conditions employed in this study, we identified a universal acid response which was characterized by upregulation of genes involved in the oxidative, envelope, and cold shock stress responses and in iron and manganese uptake.

E. coli **K-12 and O157:H7 elicit acidulant-specific gene expression responses during induction of the A-ATR, L-ATR, and H-ATR.** Apart from the universal acid response elicited by both strains during exposure to all acids, an acidulant-specific response was observed. An additional 62 genes and intergenic regions were upregulated by both strains during induction of the H-ATR (Table 2). Genes involved in functions previously described as part of the universal acid response were upregulated, including genes involved in oxidative stress resistance (*soxR*, *nrdH*, *iscR*), maintenance of the integrity of the cell membrane (*yciM*), and iron uptake and acquisition (*tonB*, *efeU*, *hemA*). We also observed increased expression of genes involved in zinc uptake (*znuB*), multidrug efflux (*mdlA*, *mdlB*), and DNA damage repair (*ruvA*, *recF*) and genes encoding protein chaperones (*hscB*, *iscS*, *iscU*, *iscA*, *gntY*/*yhgI*). Both strains also upregulated the housekeeping sigma factor (*rpoD*) and the RpoE-negative regulator, *rseB*.

The responses of *E. coli* **K-12 and O157:H7 to lactic and hydrochloric acid show a high degree of overlap.** The L-ATR and H-ATR of O157:H7 showed some similarities, and this overlap was also observed for K-12 (Fig. 3). An additional 73 genes and intergenic regions were upregulated during exposure of both strains to lactic acid, and of these, 53% (39/73) were also upregulated during adaptation of both strains to HCl (Table 2). Among those genes commonly upregulated during induction of the L-ATR and H-ATR were those involved in

the universal acid response, including oxidative stress resistance, iron uptake and acquisition, multidrug efflux, and DNA damage repair, those encoding protein chaperones, and those encoding sigma factors and their regulators (*rpoD*, *rseB*). Genes upregulated during induction of the L-ATR and not the H-ATR included those involved in similar physiological functions, such as iron acquisition and utilization (*fhuA*, *fhuF*, *hemH*), multidrug resistance (*marR*, *macB*/*ybjZ*), and DNA damage repair (*xseA*, *yebG*). A number of genes that were uniquely expressed during induction of the L-ATR are known to be induced at low pH. These include *zntR*, the activator of zinc export (52), the predicted permease *bcsE* (52, 61), and the predicted DNA-binding transcriptional regulator *yieP* (36). We also observed upregulation of *aaeA* and *nlpE*, which are involved in aromatic carboxylic acid efflux and protection of the outer membrane, respectively.

The high degree of overlap in the gene expression responses elicited by *E. coli* during induction of the H-ATR and L-ATR suggests that similar mechanisms are responsible for adaptive tolerance to these acids.

Only a small amount of universal acid resistance genes are unique to the A-ATR. Induction of the A-ATR resulted in increased transcript levels of a small number of genes in both strains (Fig. 2). The universal acid response included the upregulation of six genes and three intergenic regions, including genes involved in multidrug (*yojI*) and aromatic carboxylic acid (*aaeA*) efflux (Table 2).

Strain-specific responses to acetic, lactic, and hydrochloric acid stress. In addition to the 37 genes and intergenic regions upregulated by both strains during exposure to all acids that defined the universal acid response, a strain-specific response was observed with a further 50 and 99 genes and intergenic regions upregulated by K-12 (87 total) and O157:H7 (136 total), respectively (Fig. 2). Importantly, most of the acid-regulated genes belong to the same functional categories as the universal acid response (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The transcriptomic data indicate that both strains experience oxidative stress during exposure to the three acids. The acid-induced oxidative stress generated a strain-specific response, with O157:H7 increasing the transcript levels of a number of genes not upregulated by K-12 (*gor*, *yhjA*, *ahpC*,

b3242 ECs4115 *yhcR* Hypothetical protein 3.4 6.7 3.0 3.3 5.3 5.2 H-ATR Amino acid transport and metabolism b2530 ECs3396 *iscS* (*yfhO*) Cysteine desulfurase 3.0 4.2 Carbohydrate transport and metabolism b0124 ECs0128 *gcd* Glucose dehydrogenase 2.3 3.2 Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis b2466 ECs3328 *ypfG* Hypothetical protein 2.0 2.7 protein
Hypothetical protein
Predicted disrupted hemin or colicin receptor,
interrupted by IS2 and C-terminal deletion b1995 ECs2792 *yoeA* Predicted disrupted hemin or colicin receptor, interrupted by IS*2* and C-terminal deletion Hypothetical protein
Putative multidrug transporter membrane
ATD tadindrug transporter membrane Glucose dehydrogenase Cysteine desulfurase 2 intergenic regions 2 intergenic regions iscS (yfhO)
gcd
ypfG
mdlB $yhcR$ yoeA ECs4115
ECs2792 ECs3396
ECs0128
ECs3328
ECs0503 b3242
b1995 **b230**
b01246
b2466
b0449 Amino acid transport and metabolism
Carbohydrate transport and metabolism
Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis
Defense mechanisms $H-ATR$

Defense mechanisms b0449 ECs0503 *mdlB* Putative multidrug transporter membrane

ATP-binding component

ATP-binding component N -Ethylmaleimide reductase

Energy production and conversion b1650 ECs2359 *nemA N*-Ethylmaleimide reductase 2.5 6.8

nemA

ECs2359

b1650

Energy production and conversion

3.3
2.9

onoo n
ninini ni

6.8

 4.0179
 4.0179

Poorly characterized or not present in b0459 ECs0512 *maa* (*ylaD*) Maltose

COGs b4458 ECs5566 *oxyS* RNA 17.1 18.5 10.5 12.1 13.8 7.4

b0255 ECs0252 *yafQ* Predicted toxin of the YafQ-DinJ toxin-

tus
yafQ

b1610 ECs2316 *tus* DNA-binding inhibitor of DNA replication 2.4 6.0 3.4 8.6 10.7 8.9

RNA
DNA-binding inhibitor of DNA replication
Predicted toxin of the YafQ-DinJ toxin-
antitoxin system

b0802 ECs0880 *ybiJ* Hypothetical protein 4.3 5.0 3.4 6.3 5.4 2.5 b0847 ECs0927 *ybjL* Predicted transporter protein 3.9 4.3 2.6 3.4 3.3 2.4

antitoxin system
Hypothetical protein
Predicted transporter protein
Predicted DNA-binding transcriptional
regulator
Putative ATP-binding component of a

2.4 2.8 2.3 3.8 3.7 2.0

 230
 230

 5.37

 $3,48$
 $0,48$

 3.402

 5.98

 4.04
 4.04

2.1 2.4 2.2 2.4 2.9 2.3

 2.2

 2.4

 $\overline{2.1}$

 2.4

 2.3

2.9

2.3 3.5 2.4 3.2 3.4 2.2

 3.50000

 7.8
 0.4

 0.902

 4.004

 7.45

3.9 8.1 4.0 5.8 5.7 4.1

 $5.2 + 1$

5.3

 $3.\overline{3}$

 3.0

 6.7

 3.4

b1438 ECs2042 *ydcQ* Predicted DNA-binding transcriptional

Tigh
Tigh
Mac

ECs0880
ECs0927
ECs2042

b0802
b0847
b1438

b2190 ECs3081 *yejO* Putative ATP-binding component of a

yejO

ECs3081

b2190

transport system

b2583 ECs3449 *yfiP* Hypothetical conserved protein 6.9 7.1 4.9 6.9 7.8 8.9 b2603 ECs3466 *yfiR* Hypothetical protein 3.2 4.4 2.9 2.6 2.9 2.5

transport system
Hypothetical conserved protein
Hypothetical protein
Hypothetical conserved inner membrane

b3009 ECs3893 *yghB* Hypothetical conserved inner membrane

yfiR
YfiR
YshB

ECs3449
ECs3466
ECs3893

b2583
b2603
b3009

edicted toxin of the YafQ-DinJ toxin-
antitoxin system

O-acetyltransferase 2.9 3.9 2.5 2.2 2.5 2.9

 $\overline{}$

a For more detail, refer to Table S1 in the supplemental material.

⁶ Genes and intergenic regions were upregulated during induction of the specified ATRs by both K-12 and O157:H7. For example, genes and intergenic regions listed under "H-ATR plus L-ATR" were upregulated
by K-12 and O157 *b* Genes and intergenic regions were upregulated during induction of the specified ATRs by both K-12 and O157:H7. For example, genes and intergenic regions listed under "H-ATR plus L-ATR" were upregulated

by K-12 and O157:H7 during induction of the H-ATR and L-ATR. *c* COG, cluster of orthologous groups. COG, cluster of orthologous groups

d Genes in parentheses are alternate names. Genes in parentheses are alternate names

 e H, H-ATÈ; L, L-ATÈ; A, A-ATÈ; THEI, hydroperoxidase I.
 e CoA, coenzyme A. *e* H, H-ATR; L, L-ATR; A, A-ATR.

f HPI, hydroperoxidase I.

g CoA, coenzyme A.

VOL. 76, 2010 ACID TOLERANCE RESPONSE OF *ESCHERICHIA COLI* 6521

nrdH, *trxB*). Similarly, we observed a strain-specific response in the upregulation of genes involved in DNA damage repair and protein misfolding in K-12 (*pphB*) and O157:H7 (*xseA*, ECs1953, *ydjQ*, *xthA*, *degP*, *ibpB*). Interestingly, we observed an induction of iron and zinc acquisition and storage genes in response to the three acids in O157:H7 (*fhuA*, *fepB*, *entC*, *entE*, *fes*, *fitA*, ECs5531, *znuB*, *znuC*) that was stronger than in K-12.

Eighteen of the elements that were uniquely upregulated by K-12 are termed K-12 specific, as they were absent from the O157:H7 genome and include genes involved in iron uptake and homeostasis (*fecI*, *ryhB*) and DNA damage repair (*cho*) and genes encoding predicted and hypothetical proteins (*ybbC*, *ybfB*, *yfcO*, *yfjL*, *ymgD*). Seventeen of the elements uniquely upregulated by O157:H7 are defined as unique to O157:H7 and are absent from the K-12 genome; they include genes involved in protection against oxidative damage (ECs1120), iron transport and metabolism (ECs3917, ECs4380), and DNA damage repair (ECs2447, ECs5242) and FUN genes (ECs0239, ECs0549, ECs1067, ECs1068, ECs1317, ECs1815). In total, O157:H7 upregulated 30 FUN genes, some of which have previously been reported to be upregulated at low pH. These include *yejG* (61), *yebF* (83, 86), *yheO* (36, 61), and *yhcN*, which has been reported to be one of the most strongly induced genes at acidic pH (52, 61). However, the majority have not previously been associated with acid conditions.

The *E. coli* **O157:H7-specific H-ATR involved upregulation of RpoH- and RpoE-dependent stress response genes and virulence genes.** In addition to the universal acid response elicited by both strains during induction of the H-ATR, a strain-specific response was observed (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The O157:H7-specific H-ATR included upregulation of genes encoding the heat shock sigma factor (*rpoH*) and the extracytoplasmic stress response sigma factor (*rpoE*), which responds to the effects of heat shock and other stresses that impact membrane and periplasmic proteins. Transcript levels increased for 35 genes belonging to the RpoH regulon (64) and 10 genes belonging to the RpoE regulon (20, 71). In addition, genes involved in functions in line with those of the heat shock and extracytoplasmic stress responses were upregulated, including those involved in the maintenance of cell envelope integrity (*tolQ*, *tolR*), DNA damage repair (*uvrA*, *uvrB*, *uvrC*, *uvrY*, *ruvB*, *mfd*), and protein turnover and those encoding chaperones (*msrB*/*yeaA*, *grpE*, *ybbN*, *ybiY*, *hslO*, *clpS*, *clpA*). Interestingly, HCl-adapted cultures of O157:H7 also survived heat shock challenge at 50°C better than K-12 HCl-adapted cultures (Fig. 4).

The O157:H7-specific response also involved upregulation of the expression of genes involved in lysine-dependent acid resistance system 4 (*cadB*), oxidative-stress resistance (*soxS*), osmoregulation (*proP*, *proB*), and multidrug efflux (*amiD*/*ybjR*, *macB*/*ybjZ*, *marR*, *marA*, *marB*, *mdtH*/*yceL*, *mdlB*). Adaptation of O157:H7 to HCl resulted in increased transcript levels of 55 genes unique to O157:H7, including a number of virulence genes, namely, those associated with Shiga toxin production (*stx1A*), hemolysin expression (*hha*), and O-antigen production (*wzy*).

The O157:H7-specific H-ATR also involved upregulation of a number of genes encoding predicted and putative regulatory proteins (ECs1087, ECs1069, ECs1556, *ydhB*, *ycfQ*, *ydfH*, ECs1941, *feoC*/*yhgG*, *yggD*, *ychA*, *ybaQ*, *yfeR*, ECs4598). Strain

FIG. 4. Resistance of K-12 (\bullet , \circ) and O157:H7 (\blacksquare , \Box) to heat shock challenge at 50°C. The percentages of survivors of cultures incubated at pH 7 (acid shock) and at pH 5.5 (acid adapted; 3 h for K-12 and 2 h for O157:H7) are represented by dashed lines and solid lines, respectively. The percentages of survivors were determined by plating cells on TYSG agar. Error bars represent standard errors of the means based on counts from three replicate populations.

differences were observed in transcript levels of major regulators of metabolism. *E. coli* O157:H7 upregulated the DNAbinding transcriptional regulator required for fermentation and anaerobic respiration (*fnr*) and the sucrose operon repressor (ECs3244), while K-12 upregulated the transcriptional repressor of D-galactose metabolism (*galR*).

Comparison of the O157:H7-specific response to hydrochloric and lactic acid. *E. coli* K-12 and O157:H7 also displayed a strain-specific response to lactic acid (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The strain-specific L-ATR of O157:H7 involved the upregulation of 79% (249/317) of those genes and intergenic regions upregulated during induction of the H-ATR (Fig. 2). In keeping with the trend observed in the transcriptomic response of O157:H7 to hydrochloric acid, we observed upregulation of the *rpoH*-encoded heat shock response sigma factor, genes for major regulators of metabolism, genes encoding chaperones, and genes involved in oxidativestress resistance, osmoregulation, multidrug efflux, DNA damage repair and protein turnover, Shiga toxin production, and maintenance of cell envelope integrity. Of the genes unique to O157:H7 which were upregulated during induction of the L-ATR, 59% (23/39) were also upregulated during induction of the H-ATR. Fifty-one genes and intergenic regions were uniquely upregulated by O157:H7 during induction of the L-ATR (see Table S1 of the supplemental material). Genes involved in functions distinct from those of the H-ATR included those encoding predicted diguanylate cyclases (*yneF*, *yeaJ*) and components of two independent glutathione-regulated potassium efflux systems (*kefB*, *kefG*) which play a role in protecting the cell from electrophile toxicity. The extent of overlap in the strain-specific gene expression responses of K-12 to HCl and

FIG. 3. Hierarchical cluster analysis of gene expression in K-12 and O157:H7 after induction of the A-ATR, L-ATR, or H-ATR. The hierarchical cluster analysis was performed in GeneSpringGX with the Pearson correlation. Green and red indicate decreased and increased RNA levels, respectively, relative to that of the reference culture.

FIG. 5. Functional groups of *E*. *coli* genes that are differentially expressed during induction of the A-ATR, L-ATR, or H-ATR. Bars indicate percentages of genes in each group that showed significant changes in expression in K-12 and O157:H7 after adaptation for 3 and 2 h, respectively, in BHI, acidified to pH 5.5 with acetic, lactic, or hydrochloric acid (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The white bars show the percentages of genes upregulated, and the black bars show the percentages of genes downregulated. Genes were divided into functional categories according to the NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/). Functional categories are abbreviated as follows: J, translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis; A, RNA processing and modification; K, transcription; L, replication, recombination, and repair; D, cell cycle control, cell division, and chromosome partitioning; V, defense mechanisms; T, signal transduction mechanisms; M, cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; N, cell motility; U, intracellular trafficking and secretion; O, posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperoning; C, energy production and conversion; G, carbohydrate transport and metabolism; E, amino acid transport and metabolism; F, nucleotide transport and metabolism genes; H, coenzyme transport and metabolism; I, lipid transport and metabolism; P, inorganic ion transport and metabolism; and Q, secondary-metabolite biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism.

lactic acid was not quite as marked as that for O157:H7, with 45% (76/168) of those genes and intergenic regions that were upregulated during induction of the H-ATR also upregulated in the L-ATR. Surprisingly, the K-12-specific L-ATR included upregulation of the transcriptional repressor of the GAD system (*gadW*) and the downregulation of two genes under its regulation (*gadA*, *gadB*).

The *E. coli* **K-12-specific A-ATR involved decreased expression of genes involved in nucleotide transport and metabolism, translation, energy production, and stress protection.** The number of genes and intergenic regions downregulated by K-12 during induction of the A-ATR was greater than double that downregulated by O157:H7 (Fig. 2; see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In comparison to that of O157:H7, the A-ATR of K-12 included the downregulation of a large percentage of genes involved in nucleotide transport and metabolism, translation, and energy production (Fig. 5). Interestingly, K-12 downregulated a number of genes within the AFI (*slp*, *hdeB*, *hdeA*, *gadE*, *mdtE*, *gadA*). However, a K-12 *gadE* mutant was observed to be more sensitive than the wild type during acetic acid challenge at pH 3.5 (results not shown), indicating that gene products of the AFI are required under these conditions.

E. coli K-12 also downregulated genes involved in conferring protection against oxidative stress (*katE*, *sodB*, *sodC*, *soxS*, *pqiB*), osmotic stress (*osmE*), and DNA damage (*dps*) and genes encoding global stress response regulators (*rpoS*, *uspA*), protein chaperones (*groS*, *groL*, *skp*/*hlpA*, *cbpA*, *hchA*/*yedU*), and multidrug efflux proteins (*mdtE*). Moreover, the *rpoS* transcript was downregulated in K-12 by 3- and 2-fold during induction of the A-ATR and L-ATR, respectively.

Acid induction of intergenic regions. The GeneChip *E. coli* Genome 2.0 array includes probe sets for intergenic regions of the K-12 MG1655 genome. Intergenic regions can encode

sRNAs (1, 4, 60). We observed the upregulation of intergenic regions by both strains (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). *E. coli* K-12 upregulated a number of intergenic regions during induction of the H-ATR (35), L-ATR (53), and A-ATR (24). Of these, 15 were universally upregulated by all three acids. *E. coli* O157:H7 upregulated intergenic regions during induction of the H-ATR (31), L-ATR (33), and A-ATR (18). Seven O157:H7-induced intergenic regions were universally upregulated by all three acids. A BLAST search of all intergenic regions upregulated in this study against identified sRNAs from 70 microbial genomes contained within the sRNAMap database identified intergenic regions that contained sRNAs. During induction of the H-ATR in O157:H7 and the A-ATR, L-ATR, and H-ATR in K-12, intergenic regions highly homologous to the *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 sRNA C0362 were upregulated. The H-ATR and L-ATR of O157:H7 included upregulation of an intergenic region with a sequence match to the 64-bp *E. coli* K-12 sRNA *rydC*.

DISCUSSION

All whole-genome profiling studies that have investigated the effect of sublethal pH on *E. coli* have focused on the response of exponential-phase cultures to acid (61, 73, 77, 79, 83). However, in the natural environment, bacteria are normally in stationary phase (70), and stationary-phase cells exhibit pH-dependent acid tolerance, which further increases acid resistance (12, 13). Our study is unique in characterizing the whole-genome responses of stationary-phase *E. coli* during adaptation to organic and inorganic acids, reflecting physiological states of bacteria in food systems or food manufacturing or processing environments.

We have discovered that *E. coli* shows an acidulant and strain-specific ATR to acetic, lactic, and hydrochloric acids. Our data revealed a high level of similarity in the L-ATR and H-ATR of K-12, while the A-ATR was quite distinct. This was also the case for O157:H7, with approximately 70% of the genes that are upregulated in response to lactic acid also induced by HCl. This trend in the expression response to acetic, lactic, and hydrochloric acids has also been observed in the ATR of *Salmonella* and the response of acid-adapted and -shocked cultures of *E. coli* when challenged at pH 3.5 (unpublished data). The most likely reason for the similarity in the responses elicited by *E. coli* to lactic and hydrochloric acid is that these treatments merely result in acidification of the cytoplasm through the accumulation of protons, whereas treatment with acetic acid also results in intracellular accumulation of the anion. The distinct changes in gene expression observed during induction of the A-ATR reflect the additional changes required to remove this anion, such as those involved in the "acetate switch" (85). While there was not a heavy representation of genes involved in the "acetate switch" upregulated by either strain during induction of the A-ATR, these elements are already highly expressed in stationary phase (85).

Although the mechanisms of microbial inactivation by inorganic and organic acids are different (72), we discovered a core set of 34 genes, plus the *oxyS* sRNA, and two other intergenic regions that showed a universal acid response in both strains during adaptation to all acids. The identification of this universal response suggests physiological changes that are caused

by mildly acidic pH, irrespective of acidulant type. Upregulated genes included those involved in protection against envelope and oxidative stress, consistent with the interaction of several stress responses with pH stress and pH resistance (26, 75). Low pH is predicted to amplify the toxicity of oxygen radicals, and a strong connection between acid and oxidative stress has previously been reported for the gene expression response of *E. coli* grown at pH 5 (61). Corresponding with previous reports in which acidic pH enhanced the expression of transporters, particularly for metal cations, such as iron (36), both strains increased transcript levels of genes involved in manganese and iron transport. Iron is an essential cofactor for the functions of several enzymes involved in alternative energetic pathways and may play a role in the antioxidative response (23). The coregulation of genes involved in manganese and iron transport with those involved in acid resistance may relate to the requirement for both elements by bacterial pathogens once inside the host body, where manganese and iron are in limiting amounts. A number of cold shock-associated genes were acid inducible, suggesting an association between the acid and cold shock responses. During low-temperature stress, *csdA* (50) and *cspA* (49) play important roles in protein synthesis, and the palmitoleoyl acyltransferase encoded by *lpxP* has been suggested to confer a selective advantage by making the outer membrane a more effective barrier to harmful chemicals (80). However, further studies are required to determine the biological significance of this finding, as the activities of these elements may be regulated at several levels. For example, *cspA* mRNA rapidly degrades at temperatures greater than 30°C (33). Another universal response of K-12 and O157:H7 to acid stress was the enhanced expression of multidrug transporters which have previously been reported to be acid inducible in *E. coli* (36). It is now understood that these efflux pumps play a role in physiological functions apart from drug efflux (67). Indeed, a multidrug resistance transporter confers extreme alkaline pH resistance to *E. coli* (57), and multidrug transporters may play a role in acid stress resistance (36). Interestingly, certain drug efflux pumps showed acidulant-specific upregulation. *E. coli* possesses 5 families of translocases which mediate drug extrusion with different specificities (63). Both K-12 and O157:H7 expressed only *macB*, a member of the ABC (ATPbinding cassette) family, during exposure to lactic acid but not to other acids. *E. coli* O157:H7 expressed only *yjiO*, a member of the MF (major facilitator) family, during induction of the L-ATR and A-ATR. This suggests that drug efflux pumps with certain substrate specificities were upregulated; it does not simply reflect a general response to stress.

The universal acid response of K-12 and O157:H7 involved upregulation of a number of genes involved in DNA damage repair and encoding protein chaperones, reflecting the fact that DNA damage and protein misfolding can occur as a result of oxidative and acid stress (27). It is possible that the link between acid and oxidative stress observed in the transcriptomic responses of both strains may contribute to the disparity in their acid resistance phenotypes. It has previously been reported that the O157:H7 Sakai strain is significantly more sensitive than K-12 MG1655 to oxidative stress (M. Goldberg, personal communication). A major part of the toxicity of oxidative stress can be attributed to DNA and protein damage caused by generation of OH radicals through the iron-mediated Fenton reaction (46). *E. coli* O157:H7 Sakai has been shown to possess an intrinsically higher level of intracellular iron than K-12 MG1655, and it was hypothesized that this renders O157:H7 Sakai more sensitive to oxidative stress due to the higher level of OH radicals generated via the Fenton reaction (M. Goldberg, personal communication).

We have identified a number of interesting strain differences. However, when considering the basis of strain variation in acid resistance, we note that our transcriptomic approach does not highlight genes which are constitutively expressed and are not acid regulated. During induction of the A-ATR, and to a lesser extent the L-ATR, the K-12-specific response involved downregulation of a large percentage of genes involved in nucleotide transport and metabolism, translation, and energy production and conversion. This pattern resembles the profile of a population of persister cells. Persister cells are dormant cells that have a low level of translation and exhibit increased tolerance to antibiotics, toxic metal ions, and other antimicrobial agents (74). This low level of translation conserves energy and amino acids under stress conditions (30). Toxin-antitoxin systems in *E. coli* (78) are predicted to participate actively in the persister phenotype, and K-12 increases expression of a number of genes encoding components of toxin-antitoxin modules during induction of the A-ATR (*yafQ*, *yafO*) and L-ATR (*yafQ*, *yafO*, *yoeB*, *yefM*, *chpA*).

During induction of the A-ATR, the K-12-specific response also involved downregulation of genes involved in protection against acid stress, oxidative stress, osmotic stress, and DNA damage and genes encoding global stress response regulators, protein chaperones, and multidrug efflux proteins. Further work is required to determine whether these acetic acidtreated cultures are in a persister-type state and whether they exhibit increased resistance to other environmental stresses. This general decrease in gene expression also led to a decrease in expression of *rpoS* during induction of the A-ATR (3-fold) and L-ATR (2-fold). RpoS is the master regulator of the general stress response in *E. coli* and is believed to be the most important sigma factor for adaptation to, and survival under, nonoptimal conditions (37). However, the significance of this finding remains to be determined, as the cellular levels of RpoS are regulated at the level of transcription, translation, and posttranslational processing (38).

The O157:H7-specific response involved the upregulation of a number of elements involved in stress resistance and ancillary functions during induction of the H-ATR and L-ATR, including upregulation of the genes encoding heat shock response sigma factors, osmoregulatory genes involved in proline accumulation, and a Shiga toxin production gene. This indicates that O157:H7 may possess a greater capacity than K-12 to survive acidic environments in which low pH is associated with other environmental stresses. Indeed, in this study, we have demonstrated that HCl-adapted O157:H7 is more resistant to heat shock challenge than HCl-adapted K-12. It has been suggested that EHEC strains have greater acid resistance than other *E. coli* strains (6, 9, 13). However, the present study and previous reports (8, 22) indicate that EHEC strains are no more acid resistant than generic *E. coli* strains. An intriguing possibility is that the enhanced ability of this pathotype to survive acid stress in the food processing and host body environments may reflect a better ability to combat more-complex acidic environments than nonpathogenic *E. coli*.

Distinct differences were also noted between the strains in their modes of osmoregulation. Trehalose is as an important osmoprotectant and stress protectant in *E. coli*. Upregulation of the trehalose biosynthetic operon (*otsBA*) during exposure to HCl at acidic pH has previously been described (52, 83). During acid exposure, neither K-12 nor O157:H7 upregulated the *otsBA* operon, probably because the operon is already highly induced in stationary phase (39). Accumulation of the osmoprotectant trehalose has been reported for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during exposure to organic acid (18). Our data showed a K-12-specific increase in expression of the repressor (*treR*) of the trehalose degradative enzymes (*treB*, *treC*) during exposure to all acids. In contrast, induction of the L-ATR and H-ATR caused O157:H7-specific upregulation of the major facilitator superfamily transporter involved in accumulation of the osmoprotectant proline (*proP*).

Another interesting O157:H7 strain-specific difference was the increased expression of transporters for zinc during exposure to all acids. Of those O157:H7 Sakai genes encoding zinc-containing proteins (34), *yodA* was upregulated during adaptation of O157:H7 to all acids. YodA is induced under conditions of cadmium or oxidative stress and is proposed to be a generalized stress factor and a periplasmic partner of an unknown ABC transporter in *E. coli* (21). The observed increase in *yodA* expression in O157:H7 Sakai during induction of the ATR may explain the concomitant increase in expression of zinc transporters.

Many of the metabolic rearrangements triggered by acidic pH are consistent with previous reports. The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex encoded by *pdhR* is upregulated by O157:H7 during exposure to all acids and during induction of the L-ATR in K-12. PdhR plays a key role in the metabolic interconnection between glycolysis and the citric acid cycle and is an important regulator for the steady-state maintenance of the central metabolism for energy production in response to changes in external environmental conditions (65). PdhR has also been identified as a positive regulator of the *fecA* iron import operon (24), and iron uptake was a function universally upregulated by both strains during exposure to all acids. Our findings fit with previous studies which showed that several components of the citric acid cycle of *E. coli* were acid repressed (36, 61, 83). Because sugar fermentation generates short-chain acids that lead to further acidification of the cell (61), it was not surprising that both strains upregulated transcriptional repressors of genes involved in sugar metabolism. Comparably with previous reports that members of the maltose regulon are strongly repressed by acid, during exposure of exponential-phase bacteria to all acids, we observed a K-12-specific upregulation of the transcriptional repressor of the maltose operon (MalI) at stationary phase. Similarly, induction of the H-ATR and L-ATR induced K-12-specific upregulation of a repressor of D-galactose metabolism and O157: H7-specific upregulation of a repressor of sucrose metabolism.

A number of genes involved in amino acid biosynthesis were acid induced, perhaps reflecting the limiting levels of amino acids in stationary-phase cultures requiring *de novo* synthesis of amino acids. In addition, environmental stress can trigger the production of amino acids, as is the case with arginine where acid stress, oxidative damage, and growth under other suboptimal conditions can trigger the synthesis and transport of this amino acid (17, 59, 69, 84). Previous studies have shown that stressed cells may decrease aerobic respiration in favor of a more fermentative and/or anaerobic respiration-based energy metabolism (83), and we observed an O157:H7-specific increase in transcript levels of *fnr* during exposure to lactic and hydrochloric acid.

Our experiments with stationary-phase *E. coli* at pH 5.5 identified a large number of genes not previously known to be regulated by pH, many of which were FUN. In addition, we observed O157:H7-specific upregulation of a large number of poorly characterized genes unique to O157:H7 Sakai, raising the exciting possibility that these genes encode additional molecular mechanisms which contribute to the relative acid resistance of this EHEC strain. Further characterization of these genes may reveal proteins required for acid resistance. These may be potential targets for novel interventions or may shed light on the physiology of *E. coli* by conferring novel abilities or previously unsuspected properties (41).

In addition, a large number of intergenic regions were observed to be differentially expressed by both strains, a few of which encode or are highly homologous to identified sRNAs. While little is known about the sRNA C0362 since it was identified in 2003 (40), *rydC* is involved in the repression of the *yejABEF*-encoded ABC permease and is thought to contribute to optimal adaptation of some enterobacteria to environmental conditions (2). sRNAs are important regulators of bacterial expression, and identification and investigation of the significance of the intergenic regions which display differential expression are likely to provide insight into how *E. coli* survives acid stress.

This study is the first to demonstrate and characterize the acidulant- and pathotype-specific transcriptomic responses of *E. coli* to organic and inorganic acids during stationary phase and to identify a universal acid response. The discovery of a strain-specific response may shed light on the observed differences in strain prevalence and persistence in certain foodrelated environments. Further characterization of the role of some of the most highly expressed FUN genes and intergenic regions in the ATR of *E. coli* K-12 and O157:H7 will also be important. Future work will aid in identifying those systems specifically involved in mounting the stationary-phase ATR by deciphering whether each of the observed transcriptional responses is part of a considered programmed response to acid stress or more akin to a panic attack that is induced regardless of the stress experienced. We anticipate that this information will facilitate the knowledge-based enhancement of current interventions or the development of new means by which the food industry can eliminate or control this pathogen.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mark Schembri and Carlton Gyles for provision of strains and Martin Goldberg for help with analysis of the COG (cluster of orthologous groups) functional categories. We also thank Scott Chandry, Belinda Chapman, and anonymous reviewers for constructive comments.

We acknowledge funding from a BBSRC Core Strategic Grant to Jay C. D. Hinton.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Altuvia, S.** 2004. Regulatory small RNAs: the key to coordinating global regulatory circuits. J. Bacteriol. **186:**6679–6680.
- 2. **Antal, M., V. Bordeau, V. Douchin, and B. Felden.** 2005. A small bacterial RNA regulates a putative ABC transporter. J. Biol. Chem. **280:**7901–7908.
- 3. **Anto´n, J., A. Oren, S. Benlloch, F. Rodríguez-Valera, R. Amann, and R. Rossello´-Mora.** 2002. *Salinibacter ruber* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel, extremely halophilic member of the *Bacteria* from saltern crystallizer ponds. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **52:**485–491.
- 4. **Argaman, L., R. Hershberg, J. Vogel, G. Bejerano, E. G. H. Wagner, H.** Margalit, and S. Altuvia. 2001. Novel small RNA-encoding genes in the intergenic regions of *Escherichia coli*. Curr. Biol. **11:**941–950.
- 5. **Arnold, C. N., J. McElhanon, A. Lee, R. Leonhart, and D. A. Siegele.** 2001. Global analysis of *Escherichia coli* gene expression during the acetate-induced acid tolerance response. J. Bacteriol. **183:**2178–2186.
- 6. **Arnold, K. W., and C. W. Kaspar.** 1995. Starvation- and stationary-phaseinduced acid tolerance in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**2037–2039.
- 7. **Benjamin, M. M., and A. R. Datta.** 1995. Acid tolerance of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**1669–1672.
- 8. **Berry, E. D., G. A. Barkocy-Gallagher, and G. R. Siragusa.** 2004. Stationary phase acid resistance and injury of recent bovine *Escherichia coli* O157 and non-O157 biotype I *Escherichia coli* isolates. J. Food Prot. **67:**583–590.
- 9. **Berry, E. D., and C. N. Cutter.** 2000. Effects of acid adaptation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on efficacy of acetic acid spray washes to decontaminate beef carcass tissue. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66:**1493–1498.
- 10. **Besser, R. E., S. M. Lett, J. T. Weber, M. P. Doyle, T. J. Barrett, J. G. Wells, and P. M. Griffin.** 1993. An outbreak of diarrhea and hemolytic uremic syndrome from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in fresh-pressed apple cider. JAMA **269:**2217–2220.
- 11. **Blattner, F. R., G. Plunkett III, C. A. Bloch, N. T. Perna, V. Burland, M. Riley, J. Collado-Vides, J. D. Glasner, C. K. Rode, G. F. Mayhew, J. Gregor, N. W. Davis, H. A. Kirkpatrick, M. A. Goeden, D. J. Rose, B. Mau, and Y. Shao.** 1997. The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Science **277:**1453–1462.
- 12. **Buchanan, R. L., and S. G. Edelson.** 1996. Culturing enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in the presence and absence of glucose as a simple means of evaluating the acid tolerance of stationary-phase cells. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **62:**4009–4013.
- 13. **Buchanan, R. L., and S. G. Edelson.** 1999. pH-dependent stationary-phase acid resistance response of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in the presence of various acidulants. J. Food Prot. **62:**211–218.
- 14. **Buchanan, R. L., S. G. Edelson, and G. Boyd.** 1999. Effects of pH and acid resistance on the radiation resistance of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. J. Food Prot. **62:**219–228.
- 15. **Cameron, S., C. Walker, and M. Beers.** 1995. Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* outbreak in South Australia associated with the consumption of Mettwurst. Commun. Dis. Intell. **19:**70–71.
- 16. **Carruthers, M. D., and C. Minion.** 2009. Transcriptome analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 EDL933 during heat shock. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **295:**96–102.
- 17. **Castanie-Cornet, M.-P., T. A. Penfound, D. Smith, J. F. Elliott, and J. W. Foster.** 1999. Control of acid resistance in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **181:**3525–3535.
- 18. **Cheng, L., J. Moghraby, and P. W. Piper.** 1999. Weak organic acid treatment causes a trehalose accumulation in low-pH cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, not displayed by the more preservative-resistant *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **170:**89–95.
- 19. Crépin, S., M. G. Lamarche, P. Garneau, J. Séguin, J. Proulx, C. M. Dozois, **and J. Harel.** 2008. Genome-wide transcriptional response of an avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) *pst* mutant. BMC Genomics **9:**568.
- 20. **Dartigalongue, C., D. Missiakas, and S. Raina.** 2001. Characterization of the *Escherichia coli* sigma E regulon. J. Biol. Chem. **276:**20866–20875.
- 21. **David, G., K. Blondeau, M. Schiltz, S. Penel, and A. Lewit-Bentley.** 2003. YodA from *Escherichia coli* is a metal-binding, lipocalin-like protein. J. Biol. Chem. **278:**43728–43735.
- 22. **Duffy, L. L., F. H. Grau, and P. B. Vanderlinde.** 2000. Acid resistance of enterohaemorrhagic and generic *Escherichia coli* associated with foodborne disease and meat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. **60:**83–89.
- 23. **Echave, P., J. Tamarit, E. Cabiscol, and J. Ros.** 2003. Novel antioxidant role of alcohol dehydrogenase E from *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. **278:**30193– 30198.
- 24. **Faith, J. J., B. Hayete, J. T. Thaden, I. Mogno, J. Wierzbowski, G. Cottarel, S. Kasif, J. J. Collins, and T. S. Gardner.** 2007. Large-scale mapping and validation of *Escherichia coli* transcriptional regulation from a compendium of expression profiles. PLoS. Biol. **5:**e8.
- 25. **Foster, J. W.** 2004. *Escherichia coli* acid resistance: tales of an amateur acidophile. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **2:**898–907.
- 26. **Foster, J. W.** 2000. Microbial responses to acid stress, p. 99–115. *In* G. Storz and R. Hengge-Aronis (ed.), Bacterial stress responses. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 27. **Foster, J. W., and B. Bearson.** 1994. Acid-sensitive mutants of *Salmonella typhimurium* identified through a dinitrophenol lethal screening strategy. J. Bacteriol. **176:**2596–2602.
- 28. **Garren, D. M., M. A. Harrison, and S. M. Russell.** 1998. Acid tolerance and acid shock response of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and non-O157:H7 isolates provide cross protection to sodium lactate and sodium chloride. J. Food Prot. **61:**158–161.
- 29. **Gentleman, R., V. Carey, D. Bates, B. Bolstad, M. Dettling, S. Dudoit, B. Ellis, L. Gautier, Y. Ge, J. Gentry, K. Hornik, T. Hothorn, W. Huber, S. Iacus, R. Irizarry, F. Leisch, C. Li, M. Maechler, A. Rossini, G. Sawitzki, C. Smith, G. Smyth, L. Tierney, J. Yang, and J. Zhang.** 2004. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol. **5:**R80.
- 30. **Gerdes, K., S. K. Christensen, and A. Lobner-Olesen.** 2005. Prokaryotic toxin-antitoxin stress response loci. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **3:**371–382.
- 31. **Goodson, M., and R. J. Rowbury.** 1989. Habituation to normally lethal acidity by prior growth of *Escherichia coli* at a sub-lethal acid pH value. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. **8:**77–79.
- 32. **Greenacre, E. J., T. F. Brocklehurst, C. R. Waspe, D. R. Wilson, and P. D. G. Wilson.** 2003. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Listeria monocytogenes* acid tolerance response induced by organic acids at 20°C: optimization and modeling. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **69:**3945–3951.
- 33. **Hankins, J. S., C. Zappavigna, A. Prud'homme-Genereux, and G. A. Mackie.** 2007. Role of RNA structure and susceptibility to RNase E in regulation of a cold shock mRNA, *cspA* mRNA. J. Bacteriol. **189:**4353–4358.
- 34. **Hantke, K.** 2005. Bacterial zinc uptake and regulators. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **8:**196–202.
- 35. **Hayashi, T., K. Makino, M. Ohnishi, K. Kurokawa, K. Ishii, K. Yokoyama, C.-G. Han, E. Ohtsubo, K. Nakayama, T. Murata, M. Tanaka, T. Tobe, T. Iida, H. Takami, T. Honda, C. Sasakawa, N. Ogasawara, T. Yasunaga, S. Kuhara, T. Shiba, M. Hattori, and H. Shinagawa.** 2001. Complete genome sequence of enterohemorrhagic *Eschelichia coli* O157:H7 and genomic comparison with a laboratory strain, K-12. DNA Res. **8:**11–22.
- 36. **Hayes, E. T., J. C. Wilks, P. Sanfilippo, E. Yohannes, D. P. Tate, B. D. Jones, M. D. Radmacher, S. S. Bondurant, and J. L. Slonczewski.** 2006. Oxygen limitation modulates pH regulation of catabolism and hydrogenases, multidrug transporters, and envelope composition in *Escherichia coli* K-12. BMC Microbiol. **6:**89.
- 37. **Hengge-Aronis, R.** 1996. Regulation of gene expression during entry into stationary phase, p. 1458–1496. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 38. **Hengge-Aronis, R.** 2002. Signal transduction and regulatory mechanisms involved in control of the σ^S (RpoS) subunit of RNA polymerase. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **66:**373–395.
- 39. **Hengge-Aronis, R., W. Klein, R. Lange, M. Rimmele, and W. Boos.** 1991. Trehalose synthesis genes are controlled by the putative sigma factor encoded by *rpoS* and are involved in stationary-phase thermotolerance in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **173:**7918–7924.
- 40. **Hershberg, R., S. Altuvia, and H. Margalit.** 2003. A survey of small RNAencoding genes in *Escherichia coli*. Nucleic Acids Res. **31:**1813–1820.
- 41. **Hinton, J. C. D.** 1997. The *Escherichia coli* genome sequence: the end of an era or the start of the FUN? Mol. Microbiol. **26:**417–422.
- 42. **Huang, H.-Y., H.-Y. Chang, C.-H. Chou, C.-P. Tseng, S.-Y. Ho, C.-D. Yang, Y.-W. Ju, and H.-D. Huang.** 2009. sRNAMap: genomic maps for small non-coding RNAs, their regulators and their targets in microbial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. **37:**D150–D154.
- 43. **Huang, Y.-J., T.-Y. Tsai, and T.-M. Pan.** 2007. Physiological response and protein expression under acid stress of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 TWC01 isolated from Taiwan. J. Agric. Food Chem. **55:**7182–7191.
- 44. **Hughes, T. R., C. J. Roberts, H. Dai, A. R. Jones, M. R. Meyer, D. Slade, J. Burchard, S. Dow, T. R. Ward, M. J. Kidd, S. H. Friend, and M. J. Marton.** 2000. Widespread aneuploidy revealed by DNA microarray expression profiling. Nat. Genet. **25:**333–337.
- 45. **Ichikawa, J. K., A. Norris, M. G. Bangera, G. K. Geiss, A. B. van 't Wout, R. E. Bumgarner, and S. Lory.** 2000. Interaction of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with epithelial cells: identification of differentially regulated genes by expression microarray analysis of human cDNAs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **97:**9659–9664.
- 46. **Imlay, J. A.** 2003. Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **57:**395–418.
- 47. **Irizarry, R. A., L. Gautier, and L. M. Cope.** 2003. An R package for analyses of Affymetrix oligonucleotide arrays, p. 102–119. *In* G. Parmigiani, E. S. Garrett, R. A. Irizarry, and S. L. Zeger (ed.), The analysis of gene expression data: methods and software. Springer-Verlag London, London, United Kingdom.
- 48. **Irizarry, R. A., B. Hobbs, F. Collin, Y. D. Beazer-Barclay, K. J. Antonellis, U. Scherf, and T. P. Speed.** 2003. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics **4:**249–264.
- 49. **Jiang, W., Y. Hou, and M. Inouye.** 1997. CspA, the major cold-shock protein of *Escherichia coli*, is an RNA chaperone. J. Biol. Chem. **272:**196–202.
- 50. **Jones, P. G., M. Mitta, Y. Kim, W. Jiang, and M. Inouye.** 1996. Cold shock induces a major ribosome-associated protein that unwinds double-stranded RNA in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **93:**76–80.
- 51. **Jordan, K. N., L. Oxford, and C. P. O'Byrne.** 1999. Survival of low-pH stress by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7: correlation between alterations in the cell envelope and increased acid tolerance. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **65:**3048– 3055.
- 52. **Kannan, G., J. C. Wilks, D. M. Fitzgerald, B. D. Jones, S. S. BonDurant, and J. L. Slonczewski.** 2008. Rapid acid treatment of *Escherichia coli*: transcriptomic response and recovery. BMC Microbiol. **8:**37.
- 53. **Kershaw, C. J., N. L. Brown, C. Constantinidou, M. D. Patel, and J. L. Hobman.** 2005. The expression profile of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in response to minimal, optimal and excess copper concentrations. Microbiology **151:**1187– 1198.
- 54. **Kirkpatrick, C., L. M. Maurer, N. E. Oyelakin, Y. N. Yoncheva, R. Maurer, and J. L. Slonczewski.** 2001. Acetate and formate stress: opposite responses in the proteome of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **183:**6466–6477.
- 55. **Kobayashi, A., H. Hirakawa, T. Hirata, K. Nishino, and A. Yamaguchi.** 2006. Growth phase-dependent expression of drug exporters in *Escherichia coli* and its contribution to drug tolerance. J. Bacteriol. **188:**5693–5703.
- 56. **Lambert, L. A., K. Abshire, D. Blankenhorn, and J. L. Slonczewski.** 1997. Proteins induced in *Escherichia coli* by benzoic acid. J. Bacteriol. **179:**7595– 7599.
- 57. **Lewinson, O., E. Padan, and E. Bibi.** 2004. Alkalitolerance: a biological function for a multidrug transporter in pH homeostasis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **101:**14073–14078.
- 58. **Leyer, G. J., L. L. Wang, and E. A. Johnson.** 1995. Acid adaptation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 increases survival in acidic foods. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**3752–3755.
- 59. **Lin, J., I. S. Lee, J. Frey, J. L. Slonczewski, and J. W. Foster.** 1995. Comparative analysis of extreme acid survival in *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Shigella flexneri*, and *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **177:**4097–4104.
- 60. **Masse, E., N. Majdalani, and S. Gottesman.** 2001. Regulatory roles for small RNAs in bacteria. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **6:**120–124.
- 61. **Maurer, L. M., E. Yohannes, S. S. BonDurant, M. Radmacher, and J. L. Slonczewski.** 2005. pH regulates genes for flagellar motility, catabolism, and oxidative stress in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. **187:**304–319.
- 62. **Morgan, D., C. P. Newman, D. N. Hutchinson, A. M. Walker, B. Rowe, and F. Majid.** 1993. Verotoxin producing *Escherichia coli* O157 infections associated with consumption of yogurt. Epidemiol. Infect. **111:**181–187.
- 63. **Nishino, K., and A. Yamaguchi.** 2001. Analysis of a complete library of putative drug transporter genes in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **183:**5803– 5812.
- 64. **Nonaka, G., M. Blankschien, C. Herman, C. A. Gross, and V. A. Rhodius.** 2006. Regulon and promoter analysis of the *E. coli* heat-shock factor, σ^{32} , reveals a multifaceted cellular response to heat stress. Genes Dev. **20:**1776– 1789.
- 65. **Ogasawara, H., Y. Ishida, K. Yamada, K. Yamamoto, and A. Ishihama.** 2007. PdhR (pyruvate dehydrogenase complex regulator) controls the respiratory electron transport system in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **189:**5534–5541.
- 66. **Pfaffl, M. W.** 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. **29:**e45.
- 67. **Piddock, L. J. V.** 2006. Multidrug-resistance efflux pumps—not just for resistance. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. **4:**629–636.
- 68. **Piper, P., C. Ortiz Calderon, K. Hatzixanthis, and M. Mollapour.** 2001. Weak acid adaptation: the stress response that confers yeasts with resistance to organic acid food preservatives. Microbiology **147:**2635–2642.
- 69. **Price, S. B., C. M. Cheng, C. W. Kaspar, J. C. Wright, F. J. DeGraves, T. A. Penfound, M. P. Castanie-Cornet, and J. W. Foster.** 2000. Role of *rpoS* in acid resistance and fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66:**632–637.
- 70. **Rees, C. E. D., C. E. R. Dodd, P. T. Gibson, I. R. Booth, and G. S. A. B. Stewart.** 1995. The significance of bacteria in stationary phase to food microbiology. Int. J. Food Microbiol. **28:**263–275.
- 71. **Rezuchova, B., H. Miticka, D. Homerova, M. Roberts, and J. Kormanec.** 2003. New members of the *Escherichia coli* σ^E regulon identified by a twoplasmid system. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **225:**1–7.
- 72. **Russell, J. B.** 1992. Another explanation for the toxicity of fermentation acids at low pH: anion accumulation versus uncoupling. J. Appl. Microbiol. **73:**363–370.
- 73. Šeputienė, V., K. Sužiedėlis, and E. Sužiedelienė. 2004. Gene expression profiling of the low-pH response in *Escherichia coli*. Biologija **3:**6–12.
- 74. **Shah, D., Z. Zhang, A. Khodursky, N. Kaldalu, K. Kurg, and K. Lewis.** 2006 Persisters: a distinct physiological state of *E. coli*. BMC Microbiol. **6:**53.
- 75. **Slonczewski, J. L., and J. W. Foster.** 1996. pH-related genes and survival at extreme pH, p. 1539–1552. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- 76. **Smyth, G. K.** 2004. Linear models and empirical Bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol. **3:**Article3.
- 77. **Stancik, L. M., D. M. Stancik, B. Schmidt, D. M. Barnhart, Y. N. Yoncheva, and J. L. Slonczewski.** 2002. pH-dependent expression of periplasmic proteins and amino acid catabolism in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **184:**4246– 4258.
- 78. **Tsilibaris, V., G. Maenhaut-Michel, N. Mine, and L. Van Melderen.** 2007. What is the benefit to *Escherichia coli* of having multiple toxin-antitoxin systems in its genome? J. Bacteriol. **189:**6101–6108.
- 79. **Tucker, D. L., N. Tucker, and T. Conway.** 2002. Gene expression profiling of the pH response in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **184:**6551–6558.
- 80. **Vorachek-Warren, M. K., S. Ramirez, R. J. Cotter, and C. R. H. Raetz.** 2002. A triple mutant of *Escherichia coli* lacking secondary acyl chains on lipid A. J. Biol. Chem. **277:**14194–14205.
- 81. **Wang, S., K. Deng, S. Zaremba, X. Deng, C. Lin, Q. Wang, M. L. Tortorello, and W. Zhang.** 2009. Transcriptomic response of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to oxidative stress. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **75:**6110–6123.
- 82. **Weagant, S. D., J. L. Bryant, and D. H. Bark.** 1994. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in mayonnaise-based sauces at room and refrigerated temperatures. J. Food Prot. **57:**629–631.
- 83. **Weber, H., T. Polen, J. Heuveling, V. F. Wendisch, and R. Hengge.** 2005. Genome-wide analysis of the general stress response network in *Escherichia* coli: σ ^S-dependent genes, promoters, and sigma factor selectivity. J. Bacteriol. **187:**1591–1603.
- 84. **Weerasinghe, J. P., T. Dong, M. R. Schertzberg, M. G. Kirchhof, Y. Sun, and H. E. Schellhorn.** 2006. Stationary phase expression of the arginine biosynthetic operon *argCBH* in *Escherichia coli*. BMC Microbiol. **6:**1471–2180.
- 85. **Wolfe, A. J.** 2005. The acetate switch. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **69:**12–50. 86. **Zhang, G., S. Brokx, and J. H. Weiner.** 2005. Extracellular accumulation of recombinant proteins fused to the carrier protein YebF in *Escherichia coli*. Nat. Biotechnol. **24:**100–104.