

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Magn Reson Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 November 1.

# Published in final edited form as:

Magn Reson Med. 2010 November ; 64(5): 1296–1303. doi:10.1002/mrm.22544.

# Rapid T₁ Mapping of Mouse Myocardium with Saturation Recovery Look-Locker Method

Wen Li<sup>1,4</sup>, Mark Griswold<sup>1,2,4</sup>, and Xin Yu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States

<sup>4</sup>Case Center for Imaging Research, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States

# Abstract

Dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) using gadolinium or manganese provides unique characterization of myocardium and its pathology. In the current study, an ECG-triggered saturation recovery Look-Locker (SRLL) method was developed and validated for fast cardiac  $T_1$  mapping in small animal models. By sampling the initial portion of the longitudinal magnetization recovery curve, high temporal resolution (~3 min) can be achieved at a high spatial resolution (195×390  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) in mouse heart without the aid of parallel imaging or EPI. Validation studies were performed both in vitro on a phantom and in vivo on C57BL/6 mice (n=6). Our results showed a strong agreement between  $T_1$  measured by SRLL and by the standard saturation recovery method in vitro or inversion recovery Look-Locker in vivo. The utility of SRLL in DEC-MRI studies was demonstrated in manganese-enhanced MRI experiments in mice. Our results suggest that SRLL can provide rapid and accurate cardiac  $T_1$  mapping for studies utilizing small animal models.

# Keywords

T<sub>1</sub> mapping; heart; dynamic contrast enhanced MRI; contrast agent

# INTRODUCTION

Dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) plays an increasingly important role in cardiac imaging. The dynamics of contrast agent uptake and distribution have shown high sensitivity and specificity to many pathological changes that are not detectable by anatomical imaging (1,2). Gadolinium and manganese ( $Mn^{2+}$ ) are the two most popular  $T_1$  shortening contrast agents that have been used in DCE-MRI studies for the evaluation of myocardial viability and perfusion (3,4). Typically,  $T_1$ -weighted spin-echo or gradient-echo images are acquired at high spatial and temporal resolution to track the dynamics of signal enhancement induced by contrast agent accumulation. However, the existence of  $B_1$  inhomogeneity hinders the direct quantification of contrast agent concentration from the  $T_1$ -weighted images (5).

Address correspondence to: Xin Yu, Sc.D., Wickenden 430, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, Tel: 216-368-3918, Fax: 216-368-4969, xin.yu@case.edu.

Previous research has shown a linear relationship between relaxation rate ( $R_1$ ) and contrast agent concentration in a relatively wide range (1,6). Hence, quantification of contrast agent concentration can be achieved by measuring  $T_1$  changes directly (7,8). However, the typical long data acquisition time for  $T_1$  mapping has limited its practical use in DCE-MRI studies that require high temporal resolution (9). Therefore, the development of a rapid  $T_1$  mapping method that enables quantification of the contrast agent distribution will greatly benefit the application of DCE-MRI.

Several fast T1 mapping methods have been proposed. A commonly used method acquires gradient-echo images with variable flip angles to fit for  $T_1$  (10,11). Another similar approach uses the ratio image of a proton density-weighted image and a T<sub>1</sub>-weighted image to obtain the  $T_1$  map (12). However, these techniques are susceptible to errors associated with an imperfect  $B_1$  field (13). Although substantial effort has been devoted to correct for these errors (12,14), these methods have found limited applications in the heart due to the cardiac motion and susceptibility difference between myocardium and blood. Alternatively, inversion recovery (IR), saturation recovery (SR), and Look-Locker methods track the dynamic recovery of longitudinal magnetization ( $M_2$ ) for  $T_1$  mapping and are inherently more tolerant to  $B_1$  inhomogeneity. By sampling multiple time points along the recovery curve in each phase encoding step, the Look-Locker method is the most time efficient method for T<sub>1</sub> mapping (15). With the aid of echo-planar (EPI)/segmented k-space imaging and/or parallel acquisition,  $T_1$  mapping can be achieved within 30 s (9,16–18). Recent studies using a modified IR Look-Locker method with EPI scheme was able to acquire  $T_1$ maps of human hearts at a high spatial resolution  $(2 \times 2 \text{ mm})$  within one breathhold (19,20). However, the fast heart rate in small animals renders the implementation of EPI problematic. As a result, dynamic  $T_1$  acquisition in small animal hearts remains a challenge (6).

In this study, we present a saturation recovery Look-Locker (SRLL) method that allows rapid  $T_1$  mapping of mouse myocardium within 3 minutes. In contrast to the long repetition time required by the IRLL method, a shorter repetition time (TR) was used in SRLL to reduce imaging time. Simulation studies were employed for error analysis and parameter optimization. Validation was performed on both phantom and mouse hearts in vivo. The utility of SRLL was demonstrated in an in vivo manganese-enhanced MRI (MEMRI) study using a mouse model. Our results suggest that SRLL can provide fast, accurate cardiac  $T_1$  mapping for DCE-MRI studies in small animals.

# METHODS

#### Imaging method and T<sub>1</sub> mapping

A schematic diagram of the SRLL pulse sequence is shown in Fig. 1. An ECG-triggered saturation module that consisted of three non-slice-selective 90° RF pulses was applied at the beginning of each phase encoding step, followed by ECG-triggered acquisition of k-space lines of N (N=9~11) images using FLASH. This acquisition scheme yielded a TR of ~2.4 s for each phase-encoding step, which rendered a ~85% recovery of the longitudinal magnetization for a typical myocardium T<sub>1</sub> value (~1.2 s) before contrast agent injection (6,21).

The signal evolution in Look-Locker acquisition has been derived in previous studies (9,22). The recovery of the longitudinal magnetization before each excitation pulse can be described by an exponential function,

$$M(n) = M^* - (M^* - M(0))\exp(-\frac{n\tau}{T_1^*}), n = 1, 2, 3...N$$
[1]

Li et al.

where M(0) is the initial longitudinal magnetization immediately after the saturation pulses and is approximately zero.  $T_1^*$  is the effective longitudinal relaxation time constant and is related to  $T_1$ , flip angle  $\alpha$  and the interval  $\tau$  by

$$\frac{1}{T_1^*} = \frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{\ln(\cos \alpha)}{\tau}$$
[2]

 $M^*$  is the effective equilibrium longitudinal magnetization and is related to the equilibrium longitudinal magnetization  $M_0$  by

$$M^* = M_0 \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau/T_1)}{1 - \exp(-\tau/T_1^*)}$$
[3]

The first-order Taylor expansion of  $\exp(-\tau/T_1)$  and  $\exp(-\tau/T_1^*)$  leads to the following relationship between T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, M<sup>\*</sup> and M<sub>0</sub> (18).

$$T_1 = \frac{M_0}{M^*} \times T_1^* \tag{4}$$

In the current study,  $M_0$  was obtained by acquiring a gradient-echo image with a TR at least 5 times of  $T_1$ . Since  $M_0$  is an intrinsic tissue characteristic that does not vary with contrast agent accumulation, a single measurement at baseline is sufficient for the entire DCE-MRI study. From Eq. 1,  $T_1^*$ ,  $M^*$ , and M(0) can be obtained by a three-parameter fitting to the measured signal M(n). Consequently, Eq. 4 allows the determination of  $T_1$ .

#### Error analysis and parameter optimization

While a short TR is desirable for fast  $T_1$  mapping, insufficient coverage of the recovery curve leads to increased fitting errors (17). To evaluate the impact of reduced TR on  $T_1$  estimation, simulation studies were performed on three different TR values (1.2, 2.4 and 6 s). A set of recovery curves with  $T_1$  ranging from 0.2 s to 1.7 s was generated according to Eqs. 1–3, with a flip angle of 10° and a  $\tau$  of 120 ms (comparable to the R-R interval in a mouse heart). A 5% random noise, similar to the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in vivo, was added to the data.  $T_1^*$  and  $M^*$  were determined by fitting Eq. 1 to the partial recovery data within 1.2 s, 2.4 s and 6 s. This process was performed 25 times for each curve. Consequently,  $T_1$  was determined from Eq. 4. The differences between the theoretical  $T_1$  values and the estimated  $T_1$  values were analyzed using Bland-Altman method.

Further simulation studies were performed to evaluate the effects of the duration of  $\tau$  on  $T_1$  estimation. For a fixed TR value of 2.4 s, another data set was generated with  $\tau = 240$  ms (comparable to 2 R-R intervals in a mouse heart) and used to derive  $T_1$  as described above. The results were analyzed and compared to the above estimation with  $\tau = 120$  ms.

The effects of heart rate fluctuation on the accuracy of  $T_1$  estimation were also evaluated. Simulated recovery curves that reflected varied data sampling intervals were generated. Specifically, the signal intensity at the *n*th data point was calculated using a time value that deviated randomly from the theoretical value of  $n\tau$  by 5%, 10%, and 15%, respectively. A 5% random noise was also added to the simulated data. Curve fitting and comparison analysis were conducted as described above. To further evaluate the accuracy of  $T_1$  estimation at the presence of beat-to-beat variation, a simulation model was developed using a donut-shaped digital phantom. The phantom has four segments with  $T_1$  values of 1, 1.2, 1.4, and 1.2 s, respectively (Fig. 4a). A random rotation of  $0.2^{\circ}$  was applied to the phantom image to simulate the variation in twist motion of the heart. Variation in myocardial displacement was simulated by applying a random 25  $\mu$ m translation. To generate the saturation recovery images, each k-space line was simulated sequentially with rotation or displacement applied randomly to the digital phantom. The composite k-space images were Fourier transformed, and pixel-by-pixel curve fitting was performed to determine the  $T_1$  values. The theoretical T1 maps were subtracted from the estimated T1 maps to evaluate the estimation errors.

#### **Phantom studies**

A multi-compartment phantom that consisted of distilled water dissolved with MnCl<sub>2</sub> at various concentrations ranging from 30 to 1000  $\mu$ M was used for validation studies (Fig. 4A). The MR imaging experiments were performed on a horizontal 9.4T Bruker Biospec scanner (Bruker Biospin Co. Billerica, MA) equipped with a 35-mm birdcage coil. To evaluate the effect of flip angle on T<sub>1</sub> estimation, SRLL experiments were performed with a series of flip angles ranging from 6° to 18°. Other imaging parameters were: TR, 2.5 s; TE, 1.9 ms; number of averages, 1; number of FLASH acquisitions, 10;  $\tau$ , 245 ms; slice thickness, 1 mm; field of view (FOV), 3×3 cm<sup>2</sup>; matrix size, 128×64. Images were zero-filled to 128×128 during reconstruction. M<sub>0</sub> was measured with a long TR of 10 s. Standard spin-echo saturation recovery (SR) experiments were also performed for data comparison and validation (23). Various TR values (50, 90, 140, 200, 500, 800, 1100, 1700, 2400, 3350, 5000, 12500 ms) were used to sample the data on the entire longitudinal recovery curve. Image acquisition time was 3 min and 85 min for SRLL and SR methods, respectively.

#### In vivo studies

Four-month-old C57BL/6 mice (n=6) were used to validate the current method for in vivo manganese-enhanced MRI (MEMRI) studies. The animals were prepared as described previously (24). Briefly, animals were anesthetized with 1% isoflurane and placed in prone position. Heart rate was maintained at ~500 bpm with 0.8–1.8% isoflurane. Hot air was blown to the mouse with a blow dryer to keep body temperature at around 34°C. ECG, respiration, and body temperature were monitored and recorded by a physiological monitoring system (SA Instruments, Billerica, MA). MR images were acquired using the same coil as the phantom studies. The Mn<sup>2+</sup> infusion protocol consisted of a 30-min intraperitoneal infusion of 126 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> solution at a rate of 0.2 ml/hr, followed by a 15-mins wash-out period. The animal protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Case Western Reserve University.

The myocardium  $T_1$  changes during the  $Mn^{2+}$  infusion protocol were tracked by ECGtriggered SRLL method with the following imaging parameters: TE, 1.9 ms; flip angle, 10°; slice thickness, 1 mm; number of averages, 1; FOV, 2.5×2.5 cm<sup>2</sup>; matrix size, 128×64. Images were zero-filled to 128×128 during reconstruction. The FLASH acquisitions were triggered every two heart beat, equivalent to a  $\tau$  ranging from 200 to 270 ms. Based on the heart rate during each experiment, 9 to 11 images were acquired, leading to a TR of ~2.5 s. For data validation, inversion recovery Look-Locker (IRLL) method was also applied before  $Mn^{2+}$  infusion and at the end of wash-out period (6). A 1 ms hyperbolic sech-shaped adiabatic pulse was employed to provide the 180° inversion. For each phase encoding step, 35 data points (TR ≈ 8 s) were acquired to cover the entire longitudinal recovery curve. Other imaging parameters were the same as those for SRLL scans. Total imaging time was 3 and 9 minutes for SRLL and IRLL, respectively.

#### Statistic analysis

All results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Paired student's *t*-test and Bland-Altman (25) analysis were performed to compare T<sub>1</sub> values measured by SRLL to those measured by standard SR method for in vitro studies or IRLL for in vivo studies, respectively. P<0.05 was considered statistical significant.

# RESULTS

#### Simulation and error analysis

Figure 2 shows the effects of incomplete coverage of the recovery curve on the accuracy of  $T_1$  estimation. For all 3 choices of TR, the estimated  $T_1$  values exhibited a strong agreement with the theoretical value. Linear regression analysis showed a slope of 0.95, 0.99 and 1 for TR equals 1.2 s, 2.4 s and 6 s, respectively ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) (Fig. 2a–c). SD for all  $T_1$  values was <12% with a moderate (2.4 s) or long (6 s) TR (Fig. 2f & 2g). However, due to limited coverage of the recovery curve with a short TR (1.2 s), estimated  $T_1$  values showed large variations (SD>20%) for  $T_1$  longer than 1.1 s (Fig. 2e). These results suggested that 2.4 s TR can provide sufficient coverage of the magnetization recovery for accurate estimation of  $T_1$  changes in DCE-MRI experiments. Therefore, a TR of 2.4 s was used in all subsequent studies.

The rapid switching of imaging gradients during data acquisition interfered with the ECG signal, rendering ECG triggering less reliable. Alternatively, triggering on every two heart beats enabled unambiguous detection of the QRS complex. However, it also led to doubled sampling interval and less data points for curve fitting. To evaluate the accuracy of doubling sampling interval,  $T_1$  was estimated using a TR of 2.4 s and a  $\tau$  of 240 ms that is comparable to two R-R intervals in mice (Fig. 2d&h). Compared with the  $T_1$  estimated with a  $\tau$  of 120 ms (Fig. 2b&f), similar accuracy and SD were observed. Therefore, a two-beat triggering scheme was used in the in vivo studies to ensure consistent data sampling intervals.

The robustness of SRLL method to heart rate fluctuation is shown in Fig. 3. For heart rate variation of up to 15%, there was a strong agreement between the estimated and theoretical T<sub>1</sub> values (Fig. 3a–c). Bland-Altman analysis further showed a less than 5% (P > 0.1) deviation from the theoretic values for all three conditions (Fig. 3d–f). Since heart rate fluctuation is normally within 10% during the acquisition of a T<sub>1</sub> map (< 3 min), these data suggested that SRLL was capable of providing reliable T<sub>1</sub> estimation for most in vivo studies.

Estimation errors associated with beat-to-beat variations in translational and rotational motion are illustrated in Fig. 4. Displacement of up to 25  $\mu$ m showed minimal effects on T<sub>1</sub> estimation (Fig. 4b&e). Errors due to random rotation (0.2°) were slightly larger (~ 5%), with the largest errors occurred at the border zone of 0.8 s and 1.2 s segments (Fig. 4c&f). With both rotational and translational motion present, estimation error further increased to 6% (Fig. 4d&g). Part of the estimation errors can also arise from a non-physiologic phantom with sharp changes in T<sub>1</sub> values.

#### Phantom study

The  $T_1$ -weighted image and the  $T_1$  map of the phantom are shown in Fig. 5. Regression analysis showed a linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) between  $R_1$  and  $Mn^{2+}$  concentration of up to 1000  $\mu M$ , with a slope (relaxivity) of 6.4 (s mM)^{-1}. To investigate the effect of flip angle on  $T_1$  estimation, SRLL measurement was performed with flip angles ranging from 6° to 18° (Fig. 5d). For phantoms with 100  $\mu M$  or higher  $Mn^{2+}$  concentration ( $T_1 < 1.1$  s), all flip angles yielded similar  $T_1$  estimation compared with those measured by the standard SR

method (Fig. 5d, horizontal dashed lines). SRLL estimated  $T_1$  showed greater deviation from that measured by SR for 30  $\mu$ M Mn<sup>2+</sup> solution with a  $T_1$  of 2 s. The largest deviation (9.6 %) occurred when flip angle was 18°. While a larger flip angle provided better SNR, it also led to greater estimation error. A flip angle of 10° provided the best tradeoff between SNR and  $T_1^*$  contrast, leading to accurate  $T_1$  estimation with small SD. Therefore, it was chosen for the in vivo experiments.

#### In vivo study

Fig. 6a–c shows representative FLASH images acquired at  $2\tau$ ,  $4\tau$ , and  $10\tau$  after the saturation module. The progressive increase in myocardial signal intensity reflected the recovery of longitudinal magnetization and was used to derive the T<sub>1</sub> maps. A quantitative comparison of the T<sub>1</sub> maps showed no difference in T<sub>1</sub> estimation between SRLL and IRLL methods both before and after MnCl<sub>2</sub> injection (Fig. 6d–e).

Representative  $T_1$  maps before and after  $Mn^{2+}$  infusion and the dynamics of  $R_1$  changes are shown in Fig. 6. Compared with the  $T_1$  maps at baseline (Fig. 7a),  $T_1$  reduction over the whole LV area was observed at the end of 30-mins  $Mn^{2+}$  infusion (Fig. 7b). Correspondingly,  $R_1$  increased from the baseline value of  $0.84 \pm 0.07 \text{ s}^{-1}$  to  $2.01 \pm 0.33 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , with the half maximum  $R_1$  reached at 23 min after  $Mn^{2+}$  infusion started (Fig. 7c).

# DISCUSSION

Previously, inversion recovery Look-Locker method has been developed for fast  $T_1$  mapping in vivo (9). Accurate  $T_1$  mapping using inversion recovery can only be achieved with either complete recovery of the longitudinal magnetization via a long TR (>5T<sub>1</sub>), or by establishing a steady-state such that the longitudinal magnetization immediately before the inversion pulse is the same for each phase-encoding step. While acquisition with long TR suffers from low temporal resolution, the requirements of cardiac triggered acquisition and variations in heart rate prevent the establishment of a steady-state in a mouse heart. By using robust saturation pulses, our current method is equivalent to establishing an initial "steady-state" longitudinal magnetization. By sampling only the initial portion of the recovery curve, the SRLL method can greatly improve the temporal resolution for DCE-MRI studies.

Both SNR and the dynamic range of the signal can affect the accuracy of  $T_1$  estimation (23). While a shorter TR is desirable for higher temporal resolution, the dynamic range of the signal is reduced with shortened coverage of the recovery curve. Karlsson et al suggested that a TR of >2T<sub>1</sub> was needed for accurate T<sub>1</sub> estimation (22). Our error analysis showed similar findings. With a SNR (=20) that was lower than the experimental in vivo SNR (~26), our simulation results suggested that a TR of 2.4 s was sufficient to yield accurate estimations for a wide range of T<sub>1</sub> values (0.2~1.7 s) that encompassed possible myocardium T<sub>1</sub> changes in DCE-MRI experiments for magnetic field strength up to 11.7 T (26). In the current study, image acquisition was triggered every two heart beats to avoid mis-triggering caused by the interference of switching gradients with the ECG signal. Such an acquisition scheme leads to reduced data points that can be acquired within the same period of time if acquisitions were triggered at every heart beat. However, our simulation results suggest that both acquisition schemes should yield similar accuracy in T<sub>1</sub> estimation (Fig. 2b&d). Further, triggering every two heart beats has the additional benefit of less energy deposition.

In the current study, effective longitudinal relaxation time constant  $(T_1^*)$  and equilibrium magnetization  $(M^*)$  were determined by fitting Eq. [1] to MRI data. Theoretically,  $T_1^*$  is

related to T<sub>1</sub>, the flip angle, and the sampling interval as shown in Eq. [2], which allows the calculation of T<sub>1</sub> from T<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>. However, actual flip angle often deviates from its nominal value because of the B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneity (14). Although various methods for B<sub>1</sub> mapping have been proposed (27, 28), their application to the fast beating mouse hearts are still problematic. Alternatively, T<sub>1</sub> can be calculated directly from T<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, M<sup>\*</sup>, and M<sub>0</sub> using Eq. [4], which is derived from the first-order Taylor expansion of  $\exp(-\tau/T_1)$  and  $\exp(-\tau/T_1^*)$ . It should be noted that this approach introduced a 0.3~0.7% underestimation of the T<sub>1</sub> values with the current imaging parameters. This systematic underestimation was more pronounced with larger flip angles (Fig. 5d). Although a larger flip angle has the potential benefit of increased SNR, this underestimation can increase from 0.7% to 7% if a 30° flip angle is used instead of 10°. In addition, larger flip angles also reduce T<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>-associated imaging contrast, leading to reduced dynamic range for curve fitting (29). Our phantom results showed that T<sub>1</sub> estimation was accurate for nominal flip angles ranging from 6° to 15° for a T<sub>1</sub>< 2 s. This estimation is comparable to that reported in previous studies (18,30,31).

The validity of the current method was demonstrated by a strong agreement with the measurement using standard magnetization recovery methods in both phantom and in vivo studies. The baseline myocardium  $T_1$  value was also similar to that reported in the literature (26,32). The measured time course of  $R_1$  changes exhibited a similar dynamics as compared to previous cardiac MEMRI studies employing  $T_1$ -weighted methods (33,34). According to the study by Waghorn and colleagues (6), the observed  $R_1$  changes in our current study indicated that total  $Mn^{2+}$  uptake at the end of  $MnCl_2$  infusion protocol was around  $24 \mu g/g$  dry wt. The constant  $R_1$  level during the wash-out period was supported by previous findings that intracellular  $Mn^{2+}$  retention may last for hours (1,6).

In summary, a fast cardiac  $T_1$  mapping method using saturation recovery Look-Locker pulse sequence (SRLL) was developed and validated for studies employing small animal models. Each  $T_1$  map can be acquired within 3 min without the aid of parallel imaging or segmentation/EPI techniques. The robustness and accuracy of this method were validated in both phantom and in vivo experiments. The successful demonstration in the MEMRI experiment shows the potential of the SRLL method for practical applications.

# Abbreviation used

| DCE   | Dynamic contrast-enhanced       |
|-------|---------------------------------|
| EPI   | Echo planar imaging             |
| FLASH | Fast low angle shot             |
| IRLL  | Inversion recovery Look-Locker  |
| LV    | Left ventricle                  |
| Mz    | Longitudinal magnetization      |
| MEMRI | Manganese-enhanced MRI          |
| SNR   | Signal-to-noise ratio           |
| SR    | Saturation recovery             |
| SRLL  | Saturation recovery Look-Locker |
| SD    | Standard deviation              |
|       |                                 |

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by NIH Grants HL73315 and HL86935 (Yu). The authors would like to thank Ya Chen for help with the experimental set up. The authors acknowledge the support of Case Center for Imaging Research funded, in part, through National Cancer Institute Small Animal Imaging Research Program Grant U24 CA110943.

# REFERENCES

- Strijkers GJ, Mulder WJ, van Tilborg GA, Nicolay K. MRI contrast agents: current status and future perspectives. Anticancer Agents Med.Chem. 2007; 7:291–305. [PubMed: 17504156]
- 2. Edelman RR. Contrast-enhanced MR imaging of the heart: overview of the literature. Radiology. 2004; 232:653–668. [PubMed: 15284429]
- Saeed M, Wendland MF, Watzinger N, Akbari H, Higgins CB. MR contrast media for myocardial viability, microvascular integrity and perfusion. Eur.J.Radiol. 2000; 34:179–195. [PubMed: 10927160]
- 4. Wagner A, Mahrholdt H, Sechtem U, Kim RJ, Judd RM. MR imaging of myocardial perfusion and viability. Magn Reson.Imaging Clin.N.Am. 2003; 11:49–66. [PubMed: 12797510]
- Cron GO, Santyr G, Kelcz F. Accurate and rapid quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced breast MR imaging using spoiled gradient-recalled echoes and bookend T(1) measurements. Magn Reson.Med. 1999; 42:746–753. [PubMed: 10502764]
- Waghorn B, Edwards T, Yang Y, Chuang KH, Yanasak N, Hu TC. Monitoring dynamic alterations in calcium homeostasis by T (1)-weighted and T (1)-mapping cardiac manganese-enhanced MRI in a murine myocardial infarction model. NMR Biomed. 2008; 21:1102–1111. [PubMed: 18780285]
- Koenig SH, Kellar KE. Theory of proton relaxation in solutions of magnetic nanoparticles, including the superparamagnetic size range. Acad.Radiol. 1996; 3 Suppl 2:S273–S276. [PubMed: 8796579]
- Strich G, Hagan PL, Gerber KH, Slutsky RA. Tissue distribution and magnetic resonance spin lattice relaxation effects of gadolinium-DTPA. Radiology. 1985; 154:723–726. [PubMed: 3969477]
- 9. Chuang KH, Koretsky A. Improved neuronal tract tracing using manganese enhanced magnetic resonance imaging with fast T(1) mapping. Magn Reson.Med. 2006; 55:604–611. [PubMed: 16470592]
- Brookes JA, Redpath TW, Gilbert FJ, Murray AD, Staff RT. Accuracy of T1 measurement in dynamic contrast-enhanced breast MRI using two- and three-dimensional variable flip angle fast low-angle shot. J.Magn Reson.Imaging. 1999; 9:163–171. [PubMed: 10077009]
- Harrer JU, Parker GJ, Haroon HA, Buckley DL, Embelton K, Roberts C, Baleriaux D, Jackson A. Comparative study of methods for determining vascular permeability and blood volume in human gliomas. J.Magn Reson.Imaging. 2004; 20:748–757. [PubMed: 15503330]
- Parker GJ, Barker GJ, Tofts PS. Accurate multislice gradient echo T(1) measurement in the presence of non-ideal RF pulse shape and RF field nonuniformity. Magn Reson.Med. 2001; 45:838–845. [PubMed: 11323810]
- Young IR, Bryant DJ, Payne JA. Variations in slice shape and absorption as artifacts in the determination of tissue parameters in NMR imaging. Magn Reson.Med. 1985; 2:355–389. [PubMed: 4094552]
- 14. Wang J, Qiu M, Kim H, Constable RT. T1 measurements incorporating flip angle calibration and correction in vivo. J.Magn Reson. 2006; 182:283–292. [PubMed: 16875852]
- Crawley AP, Henkelman RM. A comparison of one-shot and recovery methods in T1 imaging. Magn Reson.Med. 1988; 7:23–34. [PubMed: 3386519]
- Deichmann R, Hahn D, Haase A. Fast T1 mapping on a whole-body scanner. Magn Reson.Med. 1999; 42:206–209. [PubMed: 10398969]
- Jakob PM, Hillenbrand CM, Wang T, Schultz G, Hahn D, Haase A. Rapid quantitative lung (1)H T(1) mapping. J.Magn Reson.Imaging. 2001; 14:795–799. [PubMed: 11747038]
- Steinhoff S, Zaitsev M, Zilles K, Shah NJ. Fast T(1) mapping with volume coverage. Magn Reson.Med. 2001; 46:131–140. [PubMed: 11443719]

Li et al.

- 19. Messroghli DR, Radjenovic A, Kozerke S, Higgins DM, Sivananthan MU, Ridgway JP. Modified Look-Locker inversion recovery (MOLLI) for high-resolution T1 mapping of the heart. Magn Reson.Med. 2004; 52:141-146. [PubMed: 15236377]
- 20. Messroghli DR, Walters K, Plein S, Sparrow P, Friedrich MG, Ridgway JP, Sivananthan MU. Myocardial T1 mapping: application to patients with acute and chronic myocardial infarction. Magn Reson.Med. 2007; 58:34-40. [PubMed: 17659622]
- 21. Skjold A, Kristoffersen A, Vangberg TR, Haraldseth O, Jynge P, Larsson HB. An apparent unidirectional influx constant for manganese as a measure of myocardial calcium channel activity. J.Magn Reson.Imaging. 2006; 24:1047–1055. [PubMed: 17024667]
- 22. Karlsson M, Nordell B. Phantom and in vivo study of the Look-Locher T1 mapping method. Magn Reson.Imaging. 1999; 17:1481–1488. [PubMed: 10609996]
- 23. Haacke EM BRTMVR. Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Physical Principles and Sequence Design. New York: Wiley; 1999.
- 24. Zhong J, Liu W, Yu X. Transmural myocardial strain in mouse: quantification of high-resolution MR tagging using harmonic phase (HARP) analysis. Magn Reson.Med. 2009; 61:1368–1373. [PubMed: 19319888]
- 25. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet. 1986 Feb 8.1:307-310. [PubMed: 2868172]
- 26. Schneider JE, Cassidy PJ, Lygate C, Tyler DJ, Wiesmann F, Grieve SM, Hulbert K, Clarke K, Neubauer S. Fast, high-resolution in vivo cine magnetic resonance imaging in normal and failing mouse hearts on a vertical 11.7 T system. J.Magn Reson.Imaging. 2003; 18:691-701. [PubMed: 14635154]
- 27. Dowell NG, Tofts PS. Fast, accurate, and precise mapping of the RF field in vivo using the 180 degrees signal null. Magn Reson.Med. 2007; 58:622-630. [PubMed: 17763355]
- 28. Cunningham CH, Pauly JM, Nayak KS. Saturated double-angle method for rapid B1+ mapping. Magn Reson.Med. 2006; 55:1326–1333. [PubMed: 16683260]
- 29. Deichmann R, Haase A. Quantification of T1 Values by SNAPSHOT-FLASH NMR imaging. Journal of Magnetic Resonance. 1992 Jun 24.96:608-612.
- 30. Deichmann R. Fast high-resolution T1 mapping of the human brain. Magn Reson.Med. 2005; 54:20-27. [PubMed: 15968665]
- 31. Jivan A, Horsfield MA, Moody AR, Cherryman GR. Dynamic T1 measurement using snapshot-FLASH MRI. J.Magn Reson. 1997; 127:65-72. [PubMed: 9245631]
- 32. Waghorn B, Yang Y, Baba A, Matsuda T, Schumacher A, Yanasak N, Hu TC. Assessing manganese efflux using SEA0400 and cardiac T1-mapping manganese-enhanced MRI in a murine model. NMR Biomed. 2009; 22:874-881. [PubMed: 19593760]
- 33. Hu TC, Pautler RG, MacGowan GA, Koretsky AP. Manganese-enhanced MRI of mouse heart during changes in inotropy. Magn Reson.Med. 2001; 46:884-890. [PubMed: 11675639]
- 34. Hu TC, Christian TF, Aletras AH, Taylor JL, Koretsky AP, Arai AE. Manganese enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of normal and ischemic canine heart. Magn Reson.Med. 2005; 54:196-200. [PubMed: 15968667]



Figure 1.

SRLL pulse sequence. An ECG-triggered saturation module is followed by *N* successive ECG-triggered FLASH acquisitions.



# Figure 2.

Simulation of the effect of TR on  $T_1$  estimation. **a**–**d**. Fitted  $T_1$  versus theoretical  $T_1$ . The error bar is the standard deviation from 25 simulations. **e**–**h**. Bland-Altman plots of the difference between fitted  $T_1$  and theoretical  $T_1$ . The middle dotted line is the mean of the difference. The upper and bottom dotted lines are the mean plus and minus two times of the standard deviation, respectively.

Li et al.



#### Figure 3.

Simulation of the effect of  $\tau$  fluctuation on  $T_1$  estimation with TR = 2.4 s and  $\tau$  = 0.24 s. **ac**. Fitted  $T_1$  versus theoretical  $T_1$ . The error bar is the standard deviation from 25 simulation runs. **d**-**f**. Bland-Altman plots of the difference between fitted  $T_1$  and theoretical  $T_1$ . The middle dotted line is the mean of the difference. The upper and bottom dotted lines are the mean plus and minus two times of the standard deviation, respectively.



# Figure 4.

Simulation of the estimation errors caused by beat-to-beat variations in rotational and translational motion. **a**. Digital phantom with four segments of different, representative  $T_1$  values. **b–d**. Estimated  $T_1$  maps with random translational motion (**b**), rotational motion (**c**), and both rotational and translational motion (**d**) incorporated, respectively. **e–g**. Difference maps between theoretical and fitted  $T_1$  maps (b–d), respectively.

Li et al.



#### Figure 5.

Phantom study. **a&b**.  $T_1$ -weighted image and  $T_1$  map of the phantom tubes, respectively. Phantoms 1 to 8 contained MnCl<sub>2</sub> solutions with the following concentrations (in mM): 1000, 900, 700, 500, 300, 200, 100, and 30. **c**. Longitudinal relaxation rate constant ( $R_1$ ) versus Mn<sup>2+</sup> concentration. **d**.  $T_1$  values measured with different flip angles. The horizontal lines are the  $T_1$  values measured by the standard SR method.

Li et al.



#### Figure 6.

In vivo  $T_1$  mapping. **a–c**.  $T_1$ -weighted images acquired at  $2\tau$ ,  $4\tau$ , and  $10\tau$  after the saturation pulses, respectively. **d**. Mean  $T_1$  values of the LV myocardium measured by SRLL and standard inversion recovery Look-Locker (IRLL) method before and after  $Mn^{2+}$  injection. **e**. Bland-Altman plot comparing  $T_1$  values measured by SRLL method and IRLL method.

Li et al.



#### Figure 7.

 $R_1$  changes in dynamic MEMRI study. **a&b**. Representative  $T_1$  maps of a heart pre- and post- MnCl<sub>2</sub> injection, respectively. **c**. Time course of  $R_1$  changes tracked by SRLL at a temporal resolution of 3 min.