# Correlation of clinical and immunological data in a metastatic melanoma patient with heterogeneous tumor responses to ipilimumab therapy

Jianda Yuan', David B. Page<sup>2</sup>, Geoffrey Y. Ku<sup>1</sup>, Yanyun Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhenyu Mu<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte Ariyan', Humilidad F. Gallardo<sup>1</sup>, Ruth-Ann **Roman**<sup>2</sup> **, Agnes I. Heine**<sup>2</sup> **, Stephanie L. Terzulli**1,2**, Sacha Gnjatic**<sup>3</sup> **, Gerd Ritter**<sup>3</sup> **, Achim A. Jungbluth**<sup>3</sup> **, James P. Allison**<sup>1</sup> **, Lloyd J. Old**<sup>3</sup>  **and Jedd D. Wolchok**1,2

1 *Ludwig Center for Cancer Immunotherapy, Immunology Program, Sloan-Kettering Institute, New York, NY 10065, USA* 2 *Melanoma/Sarcoma Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA* 3 *Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, New York Branch, New York, NY 10065, USA*

Contributed by: LJ Old

**Melanoma patients treated with anti-CTLA-4 have shown a range of anti-tumor responses. In this report, we describe the response of a single patient to anti-CTLA-4, with individual lesions disappearing, others stabilizing, and others progressing. These responses can be viewed as a clear manifestation of cancer immunoediting and its three phases of elimination, equilibrium and escape, with each tumor in this patient being at a discrete stage in the process. The patient's course and associated immunological monitoring and other laboratory data are presented in an** *immunogram***, a way to visualize temporal associations between the multiple clinical and laboratory parameters.**

Keywords: human, melanoma, ipilimumab, case report, immunogram, immunoediting

## Introduction

Cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) is a co-inhibitory molecule expressed by activated T cells and a subset of regulatory T cells (1-3). CTLA-4 is of primary importance in maintaining immune homeostasis by down-regulating T cell signaling to inhibit the CD28-B7 costimulatory pathway, limiting T cell responses and contributing to tolerance to selfantigens (4, 5). Therefore, blockade of CTLA-4 is thought to prevent down-regulation of T cells and can potentiate immune responses against antigens expressed on tumor cells (6-9).

A CTLA-4 blocking antibody has shown highly promising clinical results in patients with melanoma (10), and large scale clinical trials of anti-CTLA-4 are underway in patients with other tumor types. The challenge now is to understand the basis for the anti-tumor response to anti-CTLA-4 and to identify correlates, both immunological and non-immunological, that are associated with, and predictive of, responses. Given the multiple parameters and data points coming from such an intense analysis, there is a need to present the information in a way that facilitates visual integration of the data. For this purpose, we have developed a display we call an *immunogram*, and use it to detail the response of an anti-CTLA-4 treated patient that clearly illustrates the process of cancer immunoediting in a single patient.

## Results

## **Treatment history**

Patient IMF-16 is a 44 year-old woman with melanoma metastatic to bone, lungs and lymph nodes, previously treated with primary resection, temozolomide and resection of bone metastasis. Ipilimumab therapy was initiated in December 2006 as part of protocol CA184-008 using four induction doses of ipilimumab given at 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks x 4. At the first tumor assessment at week 12, the patient experienced a mixed response in evaluable lesions with overall stable disease according to modified World Health Organization (mWHO) criteria. She then continued to receive maintenance ipilimumab and, at her last follow-up 28 months after initiating therapy, demonstrated control of her disease (Figure 1, panel A). Ipilimumab therapy was complicated by grade 2 rash and pruritus, requiring intermittent low-dose oral corticosteroids which did not alter the durability of the clinical response.

#### **Response pattern**

By classical response criteria such as mWHO, IMF-16 would be classified as having stable disease at weeks 12 and 24. However, analysis of individual lesions by computer tomography (CT) demonstrates a heterogeneous response, with complete resolution of lung lesions, stable subcarinal lymphadenopathy and disappearance of bone metastases, but progressive disease in the right pelvic lymph nodes (Figure 2). The patient underwent resection of the progressive iliac nodes 21 months after starting ipilimumab, which confirmed metastatic melanoma (represented by an X in Figure 1, panel B).

#### **Changes in absolute lymphocyte counts (ALC) and white blood cell counts (WBC)**

There are emerging data suggesting that changes in the ALC that occur during ipilimumab therapy may be predictive of clinical outcome. Data presented in abstract form suggest that higher rates of change in the ALC with ipilimumab therapy may be associated with a greater rate of clinical benefit (defined as the sum of complete responses, partial responses and stable disease), while patients whose ALC declines during ipilimumab therapy derive no clinical benefit (11). Similarly, our group has observed that patients with an ALC of 1,000/mm<sup>3</sup> or more after two ipilimumab treatments (at week 7) have a significantly



**Figure 1** 

**Immunogram of patient IMF-16.** WBC and ALC are graphed in  $\times10^3/\mathrm{mm}^3$ units; NY-ESO-1 titers are graphed in  $\log_{10}$  as an inverse titer.

higher clinical benefit rate and longer median overall survival than patients with an ALC <1,000/mm<sup>3</sup> (12). Consistent with these observations, IMF-16 experienced a rapid and sustained increase in ALC above  $1,000/nm^3$ . Of note, the patient's baseline ALC was <1,000/mm<sup>3</sup> despite the fact that she had not received chemotherapy in many months, possibly a reflection of underlying immunosuppression from her metastatic melanoma. Further characterization of these lymphocytes revealed that the number of both CD4+ and CD8+ cells increased with ipilimumab therapy (Figure 1, panel D), highlighting the effect of CTLA-4 blockade in both lineages. However, intratumoral CD4+ and CD8+ T cell distribution may not be correlated with peripheral levels and needs to be assessed directly (13).

## **Changes in ICOS and FOXP3 expression on CD4+ cells**

ICOS is a T cell-specific surface molecule that is structurally related to CD28 and CTLA-4 (14, 15). Unlike CD28 which is constitutively expressed, ICOS is upregulated on T cells only after activation. In a small pre-operative trial, six patients with localized bladder cancer received preoperative ipilimumab prior to cystectomy (16). All six patients exhibited an increase in the

## **Figure 2**



**Radiographic images of target lesions of patient IMF-16.** Representative computer tomography (CT) images of IMF-16 demonstrating complete response in right lung lesions, progressive disease in right external iliac lymph nodes, and essentially stable subcarinal lymph nodes.

percentage of CD4+ ICOS<sup>hi</sup> cells in the peripheral blood and tumor tissue after ipilimumab therapy. These CD4+ ICOShi cells had increased production of interferon (IFN)-γ compared to CD4+ ICOShi cells from untreated patients or healthy donors. The ratio of  $CD4+ ICOS<sup>hi</sup>$  cells to regulatory T cells (Tregs, CD4+ FOXP3+)(17) after ipilimumab therapy was consistently increased in the peripheral blood and tumor microenvironment. From these findings, it was postulated that CD4+ ICOS<sup>hi</sup> cells may have effector functions. Based on these data, we performed multiparameter flow cytometry on PBMC samples obtained around the period of induction therapy with ipilimumab. Patient IMF-16 exhibited a transient increase in ICOShi and FOXP3+ cells following induction dosing (Figure 1, panel E), which is consistent with the hypothesis that ipilimumab expands both Treg and Teff cells peripherally (18).

#### **Changes in NY-ESO-1 antibody titers and tetramer-reactive CD8+ cells**

NY-ESO-1 is a cancer/testis antigen that is expressed in a variety of human malignancies but not in normal tissues except for testis and placenta (19). NY-ESO-1 is highly immunogenic and elicits spontaneous antibody and CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses in cancer patients; an immune response to NY-ESO-1 is seen at a high frequency in patients with advanced NY-ESO-1 expressing tumors, including melanomas (20). Intriguingly, humoral and cellular immune responses tend to occur in an integrated fashion such that one seems to be dependent on the other (21, 22). Our group previously evaluated NY-ESO-1 antibody and T cell responses in 15 melanoma patients receiving ipilimumab (23). We confirmed the concordance between

## **Figure 3**

**Figure 4** 



**CD4+ CD25+ and CD4+ FOXP3+ Tregs in peripheral blood and progressive right inguinal lymph node in patient IMF-16.** CD4+ cells from the tumor tissue and from PBMCs were analyzed by flow cytometry and immunohistological staining for CD25 and FOXP3 expression. (A) Representative dot plots. (B) Compiled data. (C) CD4 immunohistochemical staining (clone BC/1F6). (D) FOXP3 immunohistochemical staining (clone 236A/E7).



**NY-ESO-1 antigen-specific CD8+ IFN-**γ**+ and CD4+ IFN-**γ**+ responses.** The IFN-γ gate was set based on a negative control (alone) sample and applied across other samples (+NY-ESO-1). (A) Representative dot plots. (B) Compiled data. (C) MHC class I immunohistochemical staining (clone A4). (D) HLA-DR immunohistochemical staining (clone YE2/36HLK).

NY-ESO-1 seropositivity and T cell responses and also noted that NY-ESO-1 T cell responses increased with ipilimumab therapy.

As one of the patients included in the above analysis, patient IMF-16 is illustrative of our findings (Figure 1, panel F). At baseline, she had positive NY-ESO-1 antibody titers (defined as a reciprocal titer of >100), which increased with ipilimumab therapy. Similarly, she also had baseline detectable NY-ESO-1 tetramer-reactive CD8+ cells after 10 days of *in vitro* culture with NY-ESO-1 overlapping peptides. These tetramer-reactive CD8+ cells increased in frequency with ipilimumab therapy.

www.cancerimmunity.org 3 of 7

#### **Figure 5**



**Cancer/testis antigen expression on a progressive lymph node with tumor.** Immunohistochemical staining of a lymph node metastasis for several cancer/testis antigens. Extensive immunoreactivity was seen with monoclonal antibodies (A) MA454 (to MAGE-A1), (B) M3H67 (to several MAGE-A antigens), (C) CT10-5 (to CT10/ MAGE-C2), (D) E978 (to NY-ESO-1), (E) CT7-33 (to CT7/MAGE-C1), and (F) #26 (to GAGE).

#### *In situ* **tumor monitoring**

Tissue − consisting of lymph node infiltrated by metastatic melanoma − from the resection of patient IMF-16's right external iliac lymph nodes and fresh PBMCs were obtained for immune analyses. CD4+ cells from the tumor tissue and from PBMCs were analyzed by flow cytometry for CD25 and FOXP3<br>expression (Figure 3, panels A and B) or by panels A and B) or by immunohistochemical staining for CD4 and FOXP3 expression (Figure 3, panels C and D). Compared to CD4+ cells from the peripheral blood, CD4+ cells in the tumor tissue had higher expression of FOXP3 and CD25, suggestive of a higher proportion of Tregs. In addition, lymphocytes from the tumor tissue and PBMCs underwent *ex vivo* stimulation overnight with overlapping NY-ESO-1 peptides before undergoing intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) (Figure 4, panels A and B). This revealed a higher percentage of NY-ESO-1 specific CD8+ IFN-γ+ cells in the tumor tissue than in the peripheral blood, again possibly consistent with the notion of a concentration of NY-ESO-1 specific T cells at the tumor site. Immunohistochemical staining showed that this lymph node metastatic tumor expressed MHC class I and HLA-DR (Figure 4, panels C and D).

#### **Cancer/testis antigen expression on a progressive lymph node with tumor**

Immunohistochemical staining of a lymph node metastasis was performed for additional cancer/testis antigens. Extensive immunoreactivity was seen with mAb MA454 (to MAGE-A1), mAb M3H67 (to several MAGE-A antigens), mAb CT10-5 (to CT10/MAGE-C2), mAb E978 (to NY-ESO-1), mAb CT7-33 (to CT7/MAGE-C1), and mAb #26 (to GAGE) (Figure 5).

#### Discussion

The *immunogram* that we introduce in this brief report was developed to provide a temporal survey of laboratory and clinical data from an individual patient. The immunogram for

patient IMF-16 validates many previously described conclusions coming from the clinical studies of anti-CTLA-4 antibody therapy. For example, the immunogram clearly demonstrates that: responses occur despite corticosteroid treatment (24, 25), responses may be atypical compared to conventional cytotoxic therapy (26), response correlates with early increases in ALC (11, 12), anti-CTLA-4 therapy expands both Teff and Treg cells, and response may be correlated with NY-ESO-1-specific T cell and B cell activity (22, 23).

The immunogram of IMF-16 also clearly demonstrates the clinical reality of "immunoediting", advanced by Dunn, Old and Schreiber several years ago (27). This concept suggests a dynamic host-tumor relationship whereby the host immune system recognizes and eliminates incipient cancers, yet exerts a Darwinistic pressure that selects for tumor variants with low or absence of immunogenicity. This dynamic relationship results in tumor elimination, tumor escape, or a meta-stable equilibrium which may persist indefinitely. Three lesion sites in IMF-16 exemplify these three "Es" of immunoediting: the elimination of lung lesions, the equilibrium of a subcarinal lymph node and the escape of right pelvic lymph nodes.

Extending the immunological analysis to tissues specimens e.g. lymph node, tumor, ("*in situ* immunology") rather than limiting it to the peripheral blood compartment is essential for a comprehensive view of the immune response to cancer. We have obtained a progressive lymph node from patient IMF-16 (Figure 3). Compared to PBMCs, CD4+ cells in the tumor tissue had higher expression of FOXP3, CD25 and ICOS, suggestive of greater proportions of both Teffs and Tregs. ICS analysis of *ex vivo* NY-ESO-1 overlapping peptide-stimulated PBMCs and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes revealed more NY-ESO-1 specific CD8+ IFN-γ+ cells in the tumor tissue than in the peripheral blood, implying functional antigen recognition at the tumor site (Figure 4, panels A and B). Immunohistochemical tumor staining demonstrates positivity for NY-ESO-1 antigen, MHC class I and HLA-DR (Figure 4, panels C and D). Taken together, these data suggest that the "escape" mechanism may be

related to Tregs or other immunosuppression mechanisms, rather than loss of MHC expression and antigen recognition.

A correlation between NY-ESO-1 immunity and response to anti-CTLA-4 therapy has been observed in metastatic melanoma patients (23) and recently in patients with prostate cancer (28, 29). Is NY-ESO-1 immunity involved in the antitumor effects of anti-CTLA-4 or is it simply an associated nonrelated event? And are responses to other tumor antigens correlated with anti-CTLA-4 therapeutic effects? These associations should be further investigated in large scale trials and other anti-CTLA-4 therapy settings.

The immunogram provides a useful approach to the comparative analysis of laboratory and clinical data and should be helpful in clarifying the role of immunity in the anti-tumor effects of anti-CTLA-4 therapy and other immunotherapeutic approaches to cancer.

#### Abbreviations

ALC, absolute lymphocyte counts

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH P01CA33049, Swim Across America, the Experimental Therapeutics Center of MSKCC and Ludwig Trust. JDW was supported by a Damon Runyon-Lilly Clinical Investigator Award and the Melanoma Research Alliance. JY was supported by Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Pilot Grant number P50AT002779 from the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) and the Office of Dietary Supplements (ODS).

#### References

- 1. Krummel MF, Allison JP. CD28 and CTLA-4 have opposing effects on the response of T cells to stimulation. *J Exp Med* 1995; **182:** 459- 465. (PMID: 7543139)
- 2. Salomon B, Lenschow DJ, Rhee L, Ashourian N, Singh B, Sharpe A, Bluestone JA. B7/CD28 costimulation is essential for the homeostasis of the CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory T cells that control autoimmune diabetes. *Immunity* 2000; **12:** 431-440. (PMID: 10795741)
- 3. Walunas TL, Bakker CY, Bluestone JA. CTLA-4 ligation blocks CD28-dependent T cell activation. *J Exp Med* 1996; **183:** 2541-2550. (PMID: 8676075)
- 4. Brunner MC, Chambers CA, Chan FK, Hanke J, Winoto A, Allison JP. CTLA-4-Mediated inhibition of early events of T cell proliferation. *J Immunol* 1999; **162:** 5813-5820. (PMID: 10229815)
- 5. Karandikar NJ, Vanderlugt CL, Walunas TL, Miller SD, Bluestone JA. CTLA-4: a negative regulator of autoimmune disease. *J Exp Med* 1996; **184:** 783-788. (PMID: 8760834)
- 6. van Elsas A, Hurwitz AA, Allison JP. Combination immunotherapy of B16 melanoma using anti-cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) and granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF)-producing vaccines induces rejection of subcutaneous and metastatic tumors accompanied by autoimmune depigmentation. *J Exp Med* 1999; **190:** 355-366. (PMID: 10430624)
- 7. Maker AV, Attia P, Rosenberg SA. Analysis of the cellular mechanism of antitumor responses and autoimmunity in patients treated with CTLA-4 blockade. *J Immunol* 2005; **175:** 7746-7754. (PMID: 16301685)
- 8. Quezada SA, Peggs KS, Curran MA, Allison JP. CTLA4 blockade and GM-CSF combination immunotherapy alters the intratumor balance of effector and regulatory T cells. *J Clin Invest* 2006; **116:**  1935-1945. (PMID: 16778987)
- 9. Peggs KS, Quezada SA, Chambers CA, Korman AJ, Allison JP. Blockade of CTLA-4 on both effector and regulatory T cell compartments contributes to the antitumor activity of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. *J Exp Med* 2009; **206:** 1717-1725. (PMID: 19581407)
- 10. Wolchok JD, Neyns B, Linette G, Negrier S, Lutzky J, Thomas L, Waterfield W, Schadendorf D, Smylie M, Guthrie T Jr, Grob JJ, Chesney J, Chin K, Chen K, Hoos A, O'Day SJ, Lebbé C. Ipilimumab monotherapy in patients with pretreated advanced melanoma: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 2, doseranging study. *Lancet Oncol* [Epub ahead of print] (PMID: 20004617)
- 11. Berman DM, Wolchok J, Weber J, Hamid O, O'Day S, Chasalow SD. Association of peripheral blood absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) and clinical activity in patients (pts) with advanced melanoma treated with ipilimumab [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol (ASCO Annual Meeting)* 2009; **27 (15S):** 3020.
- 12. Ku GY, Yuan J, Page DB, Schroeder SEA, Panageas KS, Carvajal RD, Chapman PD, Schwartz GK, Allison JP, Wolchok JD. Compassionate-use trial of ipilimumab in patients with advanced melanoma: lymphocyte count after two doses correlates with survival. *Cancer* 2009; in press.
- 13. Wada H, Sato E, Uenaka A, Isobe M, Kawabata R, Nakamura Y, Iwae S, Yonezawa K, Yamasaki M, Miyata H, Doki Y, Shiku H, Jungbluth AA, Ritter G, Murphy R, Hoffman EW, Old LJ, Monden M, Nakayama E. Analysis of peripheral and local anti-tumor immune response in esophageal cancer patients after NY-ESO-1 protein vaccination. *Int J Cancer* 2008; **123:** 2362-2369. (PMID: 18729190)
- 14. Dong C, Juedes AE, Temann UA, Shresta S, Allison JP, Ruddle NH, Flavell RA. ICOS co-stimulatory receptor is essential for T cell activation and function. *Nature* 2001; **409:** 97-101. (PMID: 11343121)
- 15. Hutloff A, Dittrich AM, Beier KC, Eljaschewitsch B, Kraft R, Anagnostopoulos I, Kroczek RA. ICOS is an inducible T-cell co-stimulator structurally and functionally related to CD28. *Nature* 1999; **397:**  263-266. (PMID: 9930702)
- 16. Liakou CI, Kamat A, Tang DN, Chen H, Sun J, Troncoso P, Logothetis C, Sharma P. CTLA-4 blockade increases IFNgamma-producing CD4+ICOShi cells to shift the ratio of effector to regulatory T cells in cancer patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008; **105:** 14987- 14992. (PMID: 18818309)
- 17. Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S. Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. *Science* 2003; **299:** 1057- 1061. (PMID: 12522256)
- 18. Kavanagh B, O'Brien S, Lee D, Hou Y, Weinberg V, Rini B, Allison JP, Small EJ, Fong L. CTLA4 blockade expands FoxP3+ regulatory

and activated effector CD4+ T cells in a dose-dependent fashion. *Blood* 2008; **112:** 1175-1183. (PMID: 18523152)

- 19. Simpson AJ, Caballero OL, Jungbluth A, Chen YT, Old LJ. Cancer/ testis antigens, gametogenesis and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005; **5:**  615-625. (PMID: 16034368)
- 20. Gnjatic S, Nishikawa H, Jungbluth AA, Güre AO, Ritter G, Jäger E, Knuth A, Chen YT, Old LJ. NY-ESO-1: review of an immunogenic tumor antigen. *Adv Cancer Res* 2006; **95:** 1-30. (PMID: 16860654)
- 21. Gnjatic S, Atanackovic D, Matsuo M, Jäger E, Lee SY, Valmori D, Chen YT, Ritter G, Knuth A, Old LJ. Cross-presentation of HLA class I epitopes from exogenous NY-ESO-1 polypeptides by nonprofessional APCs. *J Immunol* 2003; **170:** 1191-1196. (PMID: 12538675)
- 22. Jäger E, Gnjatic S, Nagata Y, Stockert E, Jäger D, Karbach J, Neumann A, Rieckenberg J, Chen YT, Ritter G, Hoffman E, Arand M, Old LJ, Knuth A. Induction of primary NY-ESO-1 immunity: CD8+ T lymphocyte and antibody responses in peptide-vaccinated patients with NY-ESO-1+ cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000; **97:** 12198-12203. (PMID: 11027314)
- 23. Yuan J, Gnjatic S, Li H, Powel S, Gallardo HF, Ritter E, Ku GY, Jungbluth AA, Segal NH, Rasalan TS, Manukian G, Xu Y, Roman RA, Terzulli SL, Heywood M, Pogoriler E, Ritter G, Old LJ, Allison JP, Wolchok JD. CTLA-4 blockade enhances polyfunctional NY-ESO-1 specific T cell responses in metastatic melanoma patients with clinical benefit. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008; **105:** 20410-20415. (PMID: 19074257)
- 24. Amin A, DePril V, Hamid O, Wolchok J, Maio M, Neyns B, Chin K, Ibrahim R, Hoos A, O'Day S. Evaluation of the effect of systemic corticosteroids for the treatment of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) on the development or maintenance of ipilimumab clinical activity [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol (ASCO Annual Meeting)* 2009; **27 (15S):** 9037.
- 25. Downey SG, Klapper JA, Smith FO, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Royal RE, Kammula US, Hughes MS, Allen TE, Levy CL, Yellin M, Nichol G, White DE, Steinberg SM, Rosenberg SA. Prognostic factors related to clinical response in patients with metastatic melanoma treated by CTL-associated antigen-4 blockade. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007; **13:** 6681- 6688. (PMID: 17982122)
- 26. Saenger YM, Wolchok JD. The heterogeneity of the kinetics of response to ipilimumab in metastatic melanoma: patient cases. *Cancer Immun* 2008; **8:** 1. URL: http://www.cancerimmunity.org/v8p1/ 080102.htm
- 27. Dunn GP, Old LJ, Schreiber RD. The three Es of cancer immunoediting. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2004; **22:** 329-360. (PMID: 15032581)
- 28. Yuan J, Gnjatic S, Ku G, Jefferson M, Tandon S, Ritter E, Rasalan TS, Orlandi F, Li H, Gallardo HF, Xu YY, Ritter G, Scher H, Lowy I, Old LJ, Wolchok JD, Slovin SF, Allison JP. NY-ESO-1 specific responses in patients with advanced prostate cancer treated with ipilimumab [abstract]. *International Society for Biological Therapy of Cancer Annual Meeting* 2009; URL: http://www.isbtc.org/meetings/am09/ 28
- 29. Fong L, Kwek SS, O'Brien S, Kavanagh B, McNeel DG, Weinberg V, Lin AM, Rosenberg J, Ryan CJ, Rini BI, Small EJ. Potentiating

endogenous antitumor immunity to prostate cancer through combination immunotherapy with CTLA4 blockade and GM-CSF. *Cancer Res* 2009; **69:** 609-615. (PMID: 19147575)

30. Barrow C, Browning J, MacGregor D, Davis ID, Sturrock S, Jungbluth AA, Cebon J. Tumor antigen expression in melanoma varies according to antigen and stage. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006; **12:** 764-771. (PMID: 16467087)

## Materials and methods

## **Patient**

Patient IMF-16 had stage IV melanoma and was enrolled on a clinical trial (NCT00289627) of compassionate-use ipilimumab at the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC). She received induction treatment with ipilimumab 10 mg/kg i.v. every three weeks for four doses (on weeks 1, 4, 7 and 10). Because of ongoing clinical benefit at week 24, maintenance ipilimumab was initiated and repeated every 12 weeks. The patient underwent radiographic evaluation at baseline, week 12, week 24 and every 12 weeks thereafter. Responses were classified according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) criteria. Toxicity was assessed using National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0. The protocol had been reviewed by the MSKCC Institutional Review Board and the patient provided informed consent. Tumor tissue and blood samples were obtained under two separate tissue procurement protocols.

#### **ICOS and FOXP3 staining**

One million peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were washed with 2 ml FACS buffer (PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.05 mM EDTA). The cells were resuspended in 50 µl FACS buffer and stained with 0.375 µl ICOS-biotin antibody (eBioscience, San Diego, CA) for 20 min at 4°C before being washed again with 2 ml FACS buffer. The following antibodies were then added for 30 min at room temperature: 0.3 µl strepavidin-PE-Cy7 (eBioscience), 3 µl CD3-Pacific Blue (eBioscience), 1 µl CD4-ECD (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, CA) and 3 µl CD25-APC-Cy (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA). After re-washing with FACS buffer, the cells were fixed and permeabilized with  $250 \mu$ l 1× Fixation/ Permeabilization solution (eBioscience) for 30 min at 4°C before being washed with 2 ml 1× Permeabilization buffer (eBioscience). Five µL FOXP3-APC antibody (eBioscience) were then added for 60 min at 4°C before a final washing with 1× Permeabilization buffer. The cells were then resuspended in 400 µl FACS buffer and acquired on a CyAn flow cytometer with Summit software (DakoCytomation California Inc., Carpinteria, CA). Analysis was performed using FlowJo software (version 8.1; TreeStar, Inc., Ashland, OR). Isotype controls included the appropriate biotin or fluorochrome conjugated mouse IgG1a or IgG2a (Dako).

#### **Tetramer staining and intracellular cytokine staining (ICS)**

HLA-A\*0201-PE labeled tetramers loaded with NY-ESO-1<sub>157-</sub>  $_{165}$  (SLLMWITQC) were provided by the Tetramer Core Facility, Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research (Lausanne, Switzerland). Tetramer staining and ICS were performed on cells obtained after cell harvest as previously described (23). A T cell response at a post-vaccination or post-ipilimumab time point was considered positive if it was 3 or more standard

deviations greater than the mean value at baseline and had an absolute value >0.1%.

#### **Tumor sample processing**

Tissue sections  $(5 \mu m)$  were prepared from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded material and collected on Superfrost/plus microscope slides (Fisher Scientific, NJ). After deparaffinization and rehydration, the slides were boiled in 50 mM citrate buffer (pH 6) for 30 minutes to retrieve the antigens. Subsequently, the slides were allowed to cool down at room temperature. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using the ABC method. Rat anti-human monoclonal antibody HLA-DR (1:200 dilution, clone YE2/36HLK, Abcam) and mouse anti-human monoclonal HLA class I (1:200 dilution, clone A4, eBioscience) were applied as primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Before applying primary antibodies, the sections were blocked with 10% rabbit and horse normal serum (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA) for HLA-DR and HLA class I respectively. Biotinylated rabbit anti-rat and horse anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Vectastain Elite ABC kit, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) were added on the second day, incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature and tertiary reagent was applied according to the manufacturer's instructions. Antigen detection was performed by a color reaction with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB plus chromogen; DakoCytomation, Germany). The sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted with Permount media (Fisher Scientific, NJ). The slides were scanned with a Mirax Scanner (Carl Zeiss AG, VA) and images were acquired with Mirax Reviewer 1.11 software. IHC detection of MAGE-A1, MAGE-A, CT10/MAGE-C2, NY-ESO-1, CT7/MAGE-C1 and GAGE was performed using monoclonal antibodies MA-454, M3H67, CT10-5, E978, CT7-33 and #26 respectively, as previously described (30).

Contact Address correspondence to:

Jedd D. Wolchok, MD, Ph.D. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center 1275 York Avenue, Box 340 New York, NY 10065 USA Tel.: + 1 646 888-2395 Fax: + 1 646 422-0453 E-mail: wolchokj@mskcc.org