

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

J Sleep Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 December 1

Published in final edited form as:

J Sleep Res. 2010 December; 19(4): 516-524. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00826.x.

# Sleep Architecture as Correlate and Predictor of Symptoms and Impairment in Inter-episode Bipolar Disorder: Taking on the Challenge of Medication Effects

Polina Eidelman, M.A.<sup>1</sup>, Lisa S. Talbot, M.A.<sup>1</sup>, June Gruber, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Ilana Hairston, Ph.D.<sup>1,2</sup>, and Allison G. Harvey, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley

<sup>2</sup> Psychiatry Department, Addiction Research Center, University of Michigan

# Abstract

This study was designed to clarify the association between inter-episode bipolar disorder and sleep architecture. Participants completed a baseline symptom and sleep assessment and, 3 months later, an assessment of symptoms and impairment. The effects of psychiatric medications on sleep architecture were also considered. Participants included 22 adults with bipolar I or II (interepisode) and 22 non-psychiatric controls. The sleep assessment was conducted at the Sleep and Psychological Disorders Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley. Follow-up assessments 3 months later were conducted over the phone. Results indicate that, at the sleep assessment, bipolar participants exhibited greater REM density than control participants with no other group differences in sleep architecture. Sleep architecture was not correlated with concurrent mood symptoms in either group. In the bipolar group, duration of the first REM period and Slow Wave Sleep (SWS) amount were positively correlated with manic symptoms and impairment at 3 months, while REM density was positively correlated with depressive symptoms and impairment at 3 months. The amount of Stage 2 sleep was negatively correlated with manic symptoms and impairment at 3 months. In contrast, for the control group, REM density was negatively correlated with impairment at 3 months. SWS and Stage 2 sleep were not correlated with symptoms or impairment. Study findings suggest that inter-episode REM sleep, SWS and Stage 2 sleep are correlated with future manic and depressive symptoms and impairment in bipolar disorder. This is consistent with the proposition that sleep architecture may be a mechanism of illness maintenance in bipolar disorder.

## Keywords

Sleep architecture; Bipolar Disorder; REM; Slow Wave Sleep; Stage 2 Sleep

# Introduction

Individuals with bipolar disorder (BD) spend approximately 50% of their adult lives unwell, with the majority of this time marked by subsyndromal symptoms persisting into the interepisode period (Judd et al., 2002). Sleep disturbance in the inter-episode period has been reported to be comparable to chronic insomnia and to have prognostic value in BD (see

Corresponding Author: Allison G. Harvey, University of California, Berkeley, Department of Psychology, 2205 Tolman Hall #1650, Berkeley, CA 94720-1650, aharvey@berkeley.edu, Phone: (510) 642 7138.

Disclosure: Dr. Harvey is a consultant to Actelion Pharmaceuticals and a speaker for Sanofi-aventis and the Sleep Medicine Education Institute. All other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. Funding for this study was provided by NARSAD (AGH).

Harvey, 2008 for review). For instance, decreased sleep has been found to be the most common prodrome of mania (Jackson et al., 2003) and to predict depressive symptoms at a 6 month follow-up (Perlman et al., 2006). Hence, the present study focused on inter-episode sleep disturbance as a mechanism that may contribute to impairment and be associated with future illness course. To the best of our knowledge, studies utilizing sleep disturbance as a predictor of symptoms and impairment in BD have relied on subjective perceptions of sleep (e.g., Perlman et al., 2006) or have experimentally induced sleep deprivation in the lab and observed next day effects (Wehr et al., 1987). We aimed to extend past findings by evaluating the predictive value of objectively-measured sleep architecture in inter-episode BD.

Increased REM activity (i.e., shortened REM latency, longer duration of the first REM period, higher percentage of REM over the course of the night, and greater REM density) has been widely reported in unipolar depression (e.g., Benca et al., 1992), and REM sleep has been proposed to have a mood-regulatory role that malfunctions in mood disorders (Cartwright et al., 1998). REM in BD has received less empirical attention than in unipolar depression, and the evidence is mixed. BD patients who are manic or depressed have been reported to exhibit decreased (e.g., Hudson et al., 1992), increased (e.g., Giles et al., 1986), or equivalent REM latencies (Jernajczyk, 1986) relative to healthy controls and to patients diagnosed with unipolar depression. In the inter-episode period, BD patients have shown sleep marked by increased REM activity (Knowles et al., 1986) and sleep that does not differ from that of controls (Sitaram et al., 1982). Studies of healthy relatives of individuals diagnosed with a mood disorder point to the possibility that disturbances in REM sleep may be a marker of affective disorder vulnerability. Such studies suggest that the sleep of relatives of individuals diagnosed with unipolar depression or bipolar disorder is marked by increased REM activity compared to controls (Giles, Kupfer, Rush, & Roffwarg, 1998; Modell, Huber, Holsboer, & Lauer, 2003). Additionally, greater REM density has been found to distinguish those relatives who go on to experience an affective episode from unaffected relatives and controls (Modell, Ising, Holsboer, & Lauer, 2007).

Slow wave sleep (SWS), which consists of Stages 3 and 4, is thought to have a restorative function in healthy individuals that includes memory consolidation and cell regeneration, and has been found to be decreased in unipolar depression (e.g., Benca et al., 1992). The two-process model of sleep regulation proposes that SWS is an index of the homeostatic process (Borbely, 1982), which may be weakened in depression (Borbely, 1987). Decreased SWS has also been reported in bipolar depression (Jovanovic, 1977) and in depressed adolescents who go on to have a BD illness course (Rao et al., 2002). However, most studies report SWS in mania and bipolar depression to be equivalent to that of healthy controls (Hudson et al., 1988; Hudson et al., 1992; Jernajczyk, 1986; Mendelson et al., 1987). Thus, the manner in which SWS is disturbed in BD is unclear, particularly during the inter-episode period.

Stage 2 sleep is also thought to have an important restorative and sleep-protective function (De Gennaro & Ferrara, 2003; Hayashi et al., 2005). Although it is understudied in mood disorders, decreased Stage 2 sleep has been found in both unipolar and bipolar depression (de Maertelaer et al., 1987). Additionally, in studies of clozapine, treatment response in BD was most dramatically associated with the enhancement of Stage 2 sleep (Hinze-Selch et al., 1997).

The present study was designed to clarify the association between BD and REM, SWS and Stage 2 sleep. We aimed to investigate whether there is a cross-sectional association between symptoms and sleep architecture, and whether sleep architecture predicts symptoms and mood-associated impairment at 3 month follow-up in patients with BD during the inter-

episode period relative to a non-psychiatric control group. Our first hypothesis was that higher levels of depressive and manic symptoms at the baseline sleep assessment would be associated with increased REM activity (shorter REM latency, higher REM%, longer duration of first REM, greater REM density), and that increased REM activity would be associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms, manic symptoms, and impairment at 3 month follow-up. Second, we hypothesized that increased Stage 2 sleep would be associated with lower levels of manic and depressive symptoms and impairment at the baseline sleep assessment and at 3 month follow-up. Given the mixed findings for SWS reported in the literature, we included SWS on an exploratory basis.

Our third study aim relates to medication effects, an issue that increasingly requires consideration in neuroscience and psychological research on serious mental illness. A large majority of bipolar patients are treated with polypharmacy (Ghaemi et al., 2006). Thus, if research is conducted on only medication free participants, progress will be slow and the results may not be generalizable to the majority of patients with BD. Hence, our third aim was to devise an approach to medication effects that balances scientific rigor and clinical reality.

## Method

#### Participants

Participants were recruited from advertisements and referrals targeting "good sleepers" and "individuals diagnosed with bipolar disorder". Of the 266 callers who were screened, 51 chose not to participate or could not be reached subsequently, 25 did not complete the protocol and a total of 146 were excluded from participating in either the bipolar or the control group. Participants were excluded from the bipolar group for: not being under psychiatric care (requirement of the ethics committee; n = 23); not meeting Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-IV; First et al., 1994) diagnostic criteria for bipolar disorder Type I or II (n = 22); and diagnosis of a current substance or alcohol abuse disorder (n = 4). Additionally, participants were excluded from the bipolar group for not being interepisode as defined by: a score greater than 11 on the Clinician Rated Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS-C; Rush et al., 1996); a score greater than 7 on the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS; young et al., 1978); or meeting SCID-IV criteria for current depressive, manic, or hypomanic episode (n = 17). Participants were excluded from participating in the control group for: lifetime history of Axis I disorder according to the SCID-IV (n=33); subjective sleep complaints according to the Duke Structured Interview for Sleep Disorders (DSISD; Edinger et al., 2004) (n=24); and reported first-degree relatives with a diagnosis of BD or schizophrenia (n=6). Participants were excluded from either group if they had a severe medical illness (e.g., autoimmune disorder, cancer) (n=7) or a confounding sleep disorder (e.g., sleep apnea, restless leg syndrome) based on their responses to the DSISD or based on Respiratory Distress Index (RDI) greater than 5 or Periodic Limb Movement (PLM) index greater than 15 found over the course of one night of polysomnography (PSG) screening in the lab (n=17).

The demographics of the BD group (n=22) and control group (n=22) are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two groups. Participants ranged in age from 20 to 61 and represented a range of socioeconomic and ethnic groups. All participants in the bipolar group met diagnostic criteria for BD Type I (n=19) or Type II (n=3) according to the SCID-IV and were determined to be inter-episode at the first visit based on SCID, IDS-C, and YMRS criteria. Subsequently, the IDS-C and YMRS were used to determine that the participant was still inter-episode at all study visits preceding the follow-up. No participants in the control group were taking psychotropic or hypnotic medications. However, because the enrollment of a medication-free BD sample would be unfeasible and

unrepresentative, BD participants were not asked to change or stop taking their prescribed medications. Thus 21 of the 22 BD group participants were taking psychotropic medications. Additionally, as BD is typically associated with the presence of comorbid diagnoses (Kessler et al., 2005), participants were not excluded on the basis of comorbid diagnoses other than current alcohol or substance abuse disorders. Detailed illness history for the BD group was assessed using the National Institute of Mental Health retrospective Life-Charting Methodology (NIMH-LCMr, Leverich & Post, 1993; one participant did not fully complete the NIMH-LCMr). Data on current comorbidities and illness history in the BD group are presented in Table 1.

#### Procedures

Written informed consent was obtained and the SCID-IV, DSISD, NIMH-LCMr, IDS-C, YMRS, and demographics and medication questionnaires were administered at the diagnostic visit. An acclimation/screening night was then conducted as a control for first night effects and to screen for sleep disorders (e.g., sleep apnea).

The baseline sleep assessment was the second overnight visit, taking place approximately one month following the acclimation/screening night. Inter-episode status was assessed when participants arrived using the YMRS and IDS-C. Polysomnography (PSG) equipment was then attached. The timing of interviews and PSG set up was carefully scheduled in order to allow participants to go to bed at their habitual preferred bedtime. A neutral mood induction was administered immediately prior to sleep to minimize the potential impact of transient pre-sleep affective states on sleep architecture. The neutral mood was induced using a well-validated procedure (Eich et al., 1994), which combined continuous music and autobiographical recall techniques. All participants reported being in a neutral mood prior to sleep, and there were no group differences in mood prior to (t = -0.50, p > 0.60) or following the mood induction (t = 0.40, p > 0.60).

The 3-month follow-up assessment took place an average of 82.0 days (SD = 51.4) after the baseline sleep assessment. It was conducted by phone and consisted of the YMRS, IDS-C and the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS; Mundt et al., 2002).

#### Measures

**Polysomnography (PSG)**—PSG involves the continuous and simultaneous recording of electroencephalogram (EEG), electrooculogram (EOG), and electromyogram (EMG). We used laboratory-based PSG (Compumedics, Siesta802) that included four EEG leads (C3/A2, C4/A1, O1/A2, O2/A1), two EOG leads, and two submental EMG leads. On the acclimation/screening night, respiratory airflow (using a nasal and oral thermistor), thoracic and abdominal displacement, finger pulse oximeter, electrocardiogram (two EKG leads), and a snoring sensor were added. Data were scored using the standard Rechtschaffen and Kales criteria for sleep staging (Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968). REM latency corresponds to the time (in minutes) from sleep onset to the beginning of the first REM period of the night. REM density was calculated as the percentage of 5 second REM sleep periods that contained at least one eye movement (Werth et al., 1996).

For the BD group, an average total sleep time of 459.73 minutes (SD=85.50) was available for scoring. This was marginally significantly longer that the average total sleep time of control participants, which was 409.52 minutes (SD=73.28) (t= -2.02, p=0.05). Percentages of the various sleep stages were used instead of total minutes spent in each sleep stage due to group difference in total sleep time and because participants were not able to sleep past 9 AM due to resource limitations.

Page 5

**Mood Symptom Measures**—The IDS-C (Rush et al., 1996) consists of 30 items, scored on a 0-3 metric, that assess depressive symptom severity for the preceding week. Scores less than 12 correspond to inter-episode status meaning no clinical depression is present. The YMRS (Young et al., 1978) consists of 11 items, scored on a 0-4 metric, that measure the severity of manic symptoms for the preceding week. Scores less than 8 correspond to inter-episode status meaning no clinical mania or hypomania is present. The IDS-C and the YMRS have good psychometric properties and are widely used in medical and research settings (Rush et al., 1996; Young et al., 1978).

**Functional Impairment Measure**—The Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS; Mundt et al., 2002) was used to assess mood-related functional impairment. This 5-item measure has good internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and sensitivity to disorder severity (Mundt et al., 2002). Individual items are rated on a 0-8 metric and assess the extent to which the participants' mood causes them to be impaired in occupational roles, home management, social leisure, private leisure, and relationships.

**Data Analysis**—Independent *t*-tests and chi-square tests were used to examine group differences in predictor and outcome variables. To address the effects of psychotropic medications on sleep architecture, we employed a multi-step patient-by-patient approach. ANOVAs were used to test effect of participants' medication status on sleep architecture. Correlation analyses were then used to test our a priori hypotheses. Continuous symptom and impairment measures were retained as the outcome measures of interest, as only a small subset of participants met criteria for hypomania or depression at follow-up. Where medications appeared to affect sleep architecture, secondary data analyses of our hypotheses were conducted in the medication subgroups.

### Results

As evident in Table 1, there were no group differences in symptoms at the diagnostic visit, but the BD group exhibited more depressive and manic symptoms than the control group at the baseline sleep assessment. At follow-up, the BD group had significantly more manic symptoms, depressive symptoms, and functional impairment (based on WSAS total score and scores for each individual item) than the control group (see Table 1). At follow-up, both manic (*t*=-2.66, *p*<0.05) and depressive (*t*=-2.25, *p*<0.05) symptoms in the BD group had increased since the baseline sleep assessment such that 4 participants (18.2%) met YMRS criteria for hypomania and 10 (45.5%) met IDS-C criteria for depression. With respect to sleep architecture, as evident in Table 1, the BD group had significantly greater REM density than the control group, though there were no other group differences.

To address medication effects, two of the authors (PE and JG) independently classified each medication reported by participants as having no effect, an enhancing effect, or a suppressing effect on REM, SWS, and/or Stage 2 sleep, based on a detailed literature search. In cases where participants reported taking medications with conflicting sleep effects (e.g., Bupropion is a REM sleep enhancer and Fluoxetine is a REM sleep suppressor; Ott et al., 2004; Rush et al., 1998) we reasoned that these effects canceled each other out. A list of potential medication effects on the sleep of each participant was generated by the two authors for 33% of participants with a reliability of 100% for those coded by both authors. As reported in Table 2, ANOVAs and Tukey post-hoc comparisons indicated that participants taking a medication that suppressed REM had a significantly longer latency to first REM than participants taking medications with no effect or an enhancing effect on REM. Participants taking a medication with no effect on REM. There were no other

Table 3 presents correlations of baseline sleep architecture with baseline and 3 month follow-up symptoms and impairment. Sleep architecture was not significantly correlated with concurrent manic and depressive symptoms at the baseline sleep assessment in either participant group. However, in the BD group there were 4 significant correlations (out of 12) between baseline sleep architecture and follow-up symptoms. Duration of first REM and SWS% were positively correlated with manic symptoms, while REM density was positively correlated with depressive symptoms. Stage 2% was negatively correlated with manic symptoms. Additionally, there were 3 significant correlations (out of 6) between sleep architecture and follow-up impairment in the BD group. REM density and SWS% were positively correlated with impairment, while Stage 2% was negatively correlated with impairment. In the control group, sleep architecture was not significantly correlated with follow-up symptoms. However, there was one significant correlation (out of 6) for impairment, indicating that REM density was negatively correlated with impairment in the control group. Thus, 7 significant correlations between baseline sleep architecture and follow-up symptoms and impairment emerged in the BD group, while only 1 significant correlation was found in the control group.

Correlations between sleep architecture and follow-up symptoms and impairment were repeated in subgroups of BD participants based on medication status to examine potential confounds of medication effects on REM latency and density. For REM latency all correlations remained non-significant in both the BD subgroup taking medications that suppressed REM and the subgroup taking medications with no/enhancing effects on REM (p's > 0.10). However, as evident at the bottom of Table 3, while there were significant correlations between REM density and follow-up depressive symptoms and impairment in the BD subgroup taking medications that suppressed REM, these correlations were not significant in the subgroup taking medications with no effect on REM.

# Discussion

This study examined the cross-sectional and prospective associations of sleep architecture and symptoms and impairment in inter-episode BD. At the outset, we recognize that our discussion needs to be tempered due to the potential effects of medications on sleep in the BD group. Indeed, a primary aim of this study was to explore one strategy to manage the medication effects that need to be considered in neuropsychological and neurobiological research on BD, so we will return to this issue throughout the discussion that follows.

The first aim was to cross-sectionally examine the association between sleep architecture and symptoms and impairment in BD relative to non-psychiatric controls. We found no significant correlations between sleep architecture and concurrent symptoms at baseline in either group, although greater REM density was found in the BD group relative to the control group. These findings are in contrast to our hypotheses that REM and Stage 2 sleep would correlate with concurrent symptoms. One potential explanation may be our requirement that all participants be inter-episode. By definition, this restricts the range of depressive and manic symptoms in the sample, and decreases a likelihood of finding significant correlations (e.g., Guilford & Fruchter, 1978). A second potential explanation is that the neutral mood induction administered prior to sleep may have diffused pre-sleep mood and canceled out the effects inter-episode symptoms may have had on sleep architecture. However, the lack of significant group differences in mood prior to, and following, the neutral mood induction renders this account unlikely. Our finding greater REM density in the BD group relative to controls is consistent with reports of increased

REM activity in inter-episode BD (e.g., Knowles et al., 1986) and in relatives of individuals with affective illness (e.g., Modell, Huber, Holsboer, & Lauer, 2003), raising the possibility that increased REM density is a persistent sleep disturbance in BD. Given that we also found that participants who reported taking REM suppressing medications demonstrated greater REM density, this group difference may also be attributable to medication effects. We will return to this possibility below.

The second study aim was to prospectively examine correlations between baseline sleep architecture and future symptoms and impairment in BD. A number of significant findings emerged. Taking REM first, several significant correlations supported the hypothesis that increased REM activity would be associated with increased symptoms and impairment at follow-up in the BD group. Specifically, in the BD group longer duration of first REM was associated with more manic symptoms at 3 month follow-up, while greater REM density was associated with more depressive symptoms and impairment at 3 month follow-up. Thus, duration of first REM and REM density may have predictive value in inter-episode BD. The negative correlation between REM density and impairment in the control group, along with the findings that REM density is positively correlated with impairment and depression in the BD group, is consistent with the suggestion that REM serves a mood-regulatory role that is adaptive in non-psychiatric individuals but malfunctions in mood disorders (e.g., Knowles et al., 1986).

Several findings also supported the hypothesis that greater amounts of Stage 2 sleep would be associated with less follow-up symptoms and impairment in the BD group. Specifically, greater Stage 2% was associated with lower levels of manic symptoms and impairment in the BD group at follow-up. This is consistent with theories that Stage 2 sleep fulfills an important restorative function (Hayashi et al., 2005). Although Stage 2 sleep has received comparatively little attention in mood disorder research to date, our findings raise the possibility that Stage 2 sleep may have some protective value. Studies demonstrating positive associations between treatment response and increased Stage 2 sleep in BD (e.g., Hinze-Selch et al., 1997) are also suggestive of the importance of Stage 2 sleep.

Given the mixed findings in the literature to date, we did not make specific hypotheses regarding SWS, including it in our analyses on an exploratory basis. Results indicated that greater SWS% predicted more manic symptoms and impairment in the BD group at the 3 month follow-up. This was somewhat surprising, given that past research has suggested that increased levels of SWS appear necessary to normalize depressed mood (e.g., Borbely, 1982; Jovanovic, 1977). There are several possible explanations for our findings. First, in consideration with findings that greater Stage 2% was associated with less manic symptoms and impairment at the follow-up, it is important to note that the durations of Stage 2 sleep and SWS may change in proportion to each other. Indeed, while it appears that disturbances in REM and NREM sleep are not reciprocal (Armitage, 2007), changes in the different stages of NREM sleep are likely to impact other NREM sleep stages. Second, perhaps even prior to the baseline assessment the participants who later developed manic symptoms were starting to increase their physical activity. Even a slight increase in exercise and physical activity is known to increase SWS (Naylor et al., 2000). Third, participants who later developed manic symptoms may have already been displaying reduced sleep need prior to the baseline sleep assessment and were, therefore, becoming sleep deprived. Based on the two-process model of sleep regulation and sleep deprivation studies, increased wakefulness is associated with greater SWS over the course of the night (e.g., Borbely, 1982; Brunner, Dijk, & Borbely, 1993). Fourth, perhaps there are bi-directional effects. Specifically, perhaps greater SWS may be detrimental in BD, overcompensating for the need to regularize depressed mood and inducing a shift to the opposite end of the mood spectrum toward manic symptoms.

Out third aim was to address the role of psychotropic medications. To address potentially confounding effects of medications in our analyses, we employed a multi-step approach based on a detailed patient-by-patient literature review of medication sleep architecture effects. By applying this approach, in lieu of requesting that all participants be medicationfree prior to participating, we reasoned that we would be able to recruit a participant sample that is more representative of the larger population of adults with BD. In the present study, the main concern was the association of REM suppressing medications with greater REM density. It is possible that this medication effect confounded correlations between REM density and symptoms and impairment at 3 months in the BD group. If this is the case, this medication-related finding raises a number of possibilities. First, BD individuals who are prone to depression may also be more likely to respond to REM suppressing medications. Second, REM suppressing medications may render individuals more susceptible to depression and impairment, although this seems unlikely as neither follow-up depressive symptoms (t=-1.74, p>0.10) nor impairment (t=-1.70, p>0.10) were greater in participants taking REM suppressing medications than in participants taking medications with no REM effects. Third, REM suppressing medications may affect the mood-regulatory function of REM, thereby intensifying the association of REM density with future depression and impairment. Thus, further research on REM sleep in BD is needed to clarify the relationship between medication effects, REM density, and depressive symptoms.

There were several key lessons learned from our approach to medications that should be addressed in future studies of BD. First, we recognize that some of the medication subgroups were small (for example, only 1 participant was taking a medication that suppresses Stage 2 sleep). Thus, some statistical tests were under-powered to detect meaningful group differences in sleep architecture based on medication regimen. Future studies with larger samples could address medication confounds by utilizing medication subgroups as covariates in statistical analyses. Second, medication usage should be assessed at follow-up to check if a medication change or discontinuation may have occurred, potentially influencing the associations observed between sleep architecture and follow-up symptoms and impairment. Third, we reasoned that sleep architecture effects of medications that enhanced and suppressed the same sleep stage would cancel out. Although this decision makes sense at one level, medication interaction studies have not yet been conducted to assess the validity of this assumption. Fourth, investigators should be aware that medications may be prescribed to participants on the basis of underlying factors, such as a tendency to exhibit particular symptom clusters (e.g., Swann et al., 2002). These underlying factors may affect both, sleep architecture and the participant's medication regimen. In order to assess this possibility, it may be useful to obtain a more detailed illness history or obtain permission to contact the prescribing physician in order to discuss the physician's reasons for prescribing the medications taken by participants. Finally, the effects of medications on sleep architecture are undoubtedly quite complex and include effects other than increasing and decreasing sleep stages. For example, benzodiazepine receptor agonists may increase EEG beta activity while decreasing delta and theta activity (Bastien et al., 2003). While we recognize the limitations of our approach to medications, we aimed to begin a process of developing a method for dealing with pharmacological treatments that would allow for the study of a diverse and representative sample of individuals diagnosed with BD. We hope that future investigations will further elaborate on our approach, utilizing lessons learned about the issues discussed above.

Several limitations should be considered. First, the sample size was relatively small and largely composed of female participants. Replication in larger and more diverse samples is warranted. Second, we did not correct for multiple comparisons. While this increases the risk of Type I error, given that this is a relatively new research area, we were also concerned about decreasing the risk of Type II error (Nakagawa, 2004) so as to maximize information

gleaned from this initial study. The small number of significant correlations in the control group is noteworthy. On the basis of chance, if the results reflected false positives, more significant findings should have emerged in the control group. Third, we acknowledge that the stages of NREM sleep assessed are not entirely independent and that we cannot ascertain causal relationships between sleep stages and subsequent symptoms and impairment. Fourth, we utilized one night of PSG as our assessment of sleep architecture. Future studies should assess sleep architecture over multiple nights. Finally, the impact of comorbid diagnoses should be considered. It may be useful to include a comparison group with a diagnostic profile similar to the comorbid diagnoses in the BD group in order to ascertain the impact of comorbid disorders on sleep architecture.

Overall, our results point to sleep architecture as a potential illness maintaining mechanism in BD. Supporting the hypothesis that the mood-regulatory role of REM may malfunction in mood disorders, REM activity was positively correlated with future increase in symptoms and impairment in our sample. With respect to NREM sleep, we found that SWS activity was also positively correlated with increased symptoms and impairment. In contrast, our results suggest that Stage 2 sleep may play a protective role in BD, as it was correlated with decreased symptoms and impairment at follow-up. Indeed, it may be the case that the ways in which REM and NREM function in BD may be altered even in the inter-episode period, such that sleep architecture characteristics are predictive of future symptoms and impairment. Furthermore, by taking a new approach to medication effects, we were able to assess sleep in an inter-episode, representative, medicated BD sample to demonstrate that medications do not have to be inherent and intractable confounding factors in research of severe psychopathology.

#### References

- Bastien CH, LeBlanc M, Carrier J, Morin CM. Sleep EEG power spectra, insomnia, and chronic use of benzodiazepines. Sleep. 2003; 26:313–317. [PubMed: 12749551]
- Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, Gillin C. Sleep and psychiatric disorders: A meta-analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992; 49:651–668. [PubMed: 1386215]
- Borbely AA. A two process model of sleep regulation. Human Neurobiology. 1982; 1:195–204. [PubMed: 7185792]
- Borbely AA. The S-deficiency hypothesis of depression and the two-process model of sleep regulation. Pharmacopsychiatry. 1987; 20:23–29. [PubMed: 3823126]
- Brunner DP, Dijk DJ, Borbely AA. Repeated partial sleep deprivation progressively changes the EEG during sleep and wakefulness. Sleep. 1993; 16:100–113. [PubMed: 8446828]
- Cartwright R, Young MA, Mercer P, Bears M. Role of REM sleep and dream variables in the prediction of remission from depression. Psychiatry Res. 1998; 80:249–255. [PubMed: 9796940]
- Clark L, Goodwin GG. State- and trait-related deficits in sustained attention in bipolar disorder. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2004; 254:61–68. [PubMed: 15146334]
- Cohrs S, Rodenbeck A, Guan Z, et al. Sleep-promoting properties of quetiapine in healthy subjects. Psychopharmacology. 2004; 174:421–429. [PubMed: 15029469]
- De Gennaro L, Ferrara M. Sleep spindles, an overview. Sleep Med Rev. 2003; 7:423–440. [PubMed: 14573378]
- de Maertelaer V, Hoffman G, Lemaire M, Mendlewicz J. Sleep spindle activity changes in patients with affective disorders. Sleep. 1987; 10:443–451. [PubMed: 3685752]
- Edinger JD, Bonnet MH, Bootzin RR, et al. Derivation of research diagnostic criteria for insomnia: Report of an American Academy of Sleep Medicine work group. Sleep. 2004; 27:1567–1596. [PubMed: 15683149]
- Eich E, Macaulay D, Ryan L. Mood dependent memory for events of the personal past. J Exp Psychol Gen. 1994; 123:201–215. [PubMed: 8014613]

- Feinberg I, Maloney T, Campbell IG. Effects of hypnotics on the sleep EEG of healthy young adults: new data and psychopharmacologic implications. J Psychiatr Res. 2000; 34:423–438. [PubMed: 11165310]
- First, MB.; Spitzer, RL.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, JBW. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-Patient Version. Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute; New York: 1994.
- Friston KJ, Sharpley AL, Solomon RA, Cowen PJ. Lithium increases slow wave sleep: possible mediation by brain 5-HT2 receptors? Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1989; 98:139–140. [PubMed: 2498958]
- Geurkink EA, Sheth RD, Gidal BE, Hermann BP. Effects of anticonvulsant medication on EEG sleep architecture. Epilepsy Behav. 2000; 1:378–383. [PubMed: 12737827]
- Ghaemi SN, Hsu DJ, Thase ME, Wisniewski SR, et al. Pharmacological treatment patterns at study entry of the first 500 STEP-BD participants. Psychiatr Serv. 2006; 57:660–665. [PubMed: 16675760]
- Giles DE, Kupfer DJ, Rush JA, Roffwarg HP. Controlled comparison of electrophysiological sleep in families of probands with unipolar depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1998; 155:192–199. [PubMed: 9464197]
- Giles DE, Rush AJ, Roffwarg HP. Sleep parameters in bipolar I, bipolar II, and unipolar depressions. Biol Psychiatry. 1986; 21:1340–1343. [PubMed: 3756280]
- Gimenez S, Clos S, Romero S, Grasa E, Morte A, Barbanoj M. Effects of olanzapine, risperidone and haloperidol on sleep after a single oral morning dose in healthy volunteers. Psychopharmacology. 2007; 190:507–516. [PubMed: 17205319]
- Guilford, JP.; Fruchter, B. Fundamental Statistics in Psychology and Education. sixth. McGraw-Hill; New York: 1978.
- Harvey AG. Sleep and Circadian Rhythms in Bipolar Disorder: Seeking Synchrony, Harmony and Regulation. Am J Psychiatry. 2008; 165:820–828. [PubMed: 18519522]
- Hayashi M, Motoyoshi N, Hori T. Recuperative power of a short daytime nap with and without stage 2 sleep. Sleep. 2005; 28:829–836. [PubMed: 16124661]
- Hinze-Selch D, Mullington J, Orth A, et al. Effects of clonazepine on sleep: A longitudinal study. Biol Psychiatry. 1997; 42:260–266. [PubMed: 9270902]
- Hudson JI, Lipinski JF, Frankenburg FR, Grochocinski VJ, Kupfer DJ. Electroencephalographic sleep in mania. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1988; 45:267–273. [PubMed: 3341881]
- Hudson JI, Lipinski JF, Keck PE Jr, et al. Polysomnographic characteristics of young manic patients: Comparison with unipolar depressed patients and normal control subjects. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992; 49:378–383. [PubMed: 1586273]
- Huynh N, Lavigne GJ, Lanfranchi PA, Montplaisir JY, de Champlain J. The effect of 2 sympatholytic medications – propranolol and clonidine – on sleep bruxism: experimental randomized controlled studies. Sleep. 2006; 29:307–316. [PubMed: 16553016]
- Jackson A, Cavanagh J, Scott J. A systematic review of manic and depressive prodromes. J Affect Disord. 2003; 74:209–217. [PubMed: 12738039]
- Jernajczyk W. Latency of eye movement and other REM sleep parameters in bipolar depression. Biol Psychiatry. 1986; 21:465–472. [PubMed: 3697436]
- Jindal RD, Friedman ES, Berman SR, Fasiczka AL, Howland RH, Thase ME. Effects of sertraline on sleep architecture in patients with depression. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2003; 23:540–548. [PubMed: 14624183]
- Jones CA, Perez E, Amici R, et al. Lithium affects REM sleep occurrence, autonomic activity, and brain second messengers in the rat. Behav Brain Res. 2008; 187:254–261. [PubMed: 17964671]
- Jovanovic UJ. The sleep profile in manic-depressive patients in the depressive phase. Waking and Sleeping. 1977; 1:199–210.
- Judd LL, Akiskal HS, Schettler PJ, et al. The long-term natural history of weekly symptomatic status of bipolar I disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2002; 59:530–537. [PubMed: 12044195]
- Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005; 62:617–627. [PubMed: 15939839]

- Kluge M, Schussler P, Steiger A. Duloxetine increases stage 3 sleep and suppresses rapid eye movement (REM) sleep in patients with major depression. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2007; 17:527–531. [PubMed: 17337164]
- Knowles JB, Cairns J, MacLean AW, et al. The sleep of remitted bipolar depressives: Comparison with sex and age-matched controls. Can J Psychiatry. 1986; 31:295–298. [PubMed: 3708522]
- Legros B, Bazil CW. Effects of antiepileptic drugs on sleep architecture: a pilot study. Sleep Med. 2003; 4:51–55. [PubMed: 14592360]
- Leverich, GS.; Post, RM. The NIMH life chart manual for recurrent affective illness: The LCMS-S (Self Version). NIMH Monograph; Biological Psychiatry Branch, Bethesda, MD: 1993.
- Luthringer R, Toussaint M, Schaltenbrand N, et al. A double-blind, placebe-controlled evaluation of the effects of orally administered venlafaxine on sleep in inpatients with major depression. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1996; 32:637–646. [PubMed: 8993085]
- Mendelson WB, Sack DA, James SP, et al. Frequency analysis of sleep EEG in depression. Psychiatry Res. 1987; 21:89–94. [PubMed: 3615694]
- Modell S, Huber J, Holsboer F, Lauer CJ. The Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: risk factors for unipolarity versus bipolarity. J Affect Disord. 2003; 74:173–184. [PubMed: 12706519]
- Modell S, Ising M, Holsboer F, Lauer CJ. The Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: premorbid polysomnographic profile of affected high risk probands. Biol Psychiatry. 2007; 58:694–699. [PubMed: 16018976]
- Mundt JC, Marks IM, Shear MK, Greist JM. The work and social adjustment scale: A simple measure of impairment in functioning. Br J Psychiatry. 2002; 180:461–464. [PubMed: 11983645]
- Nakagawa S. A farewell to Bonferroni: The problems of low statistical power and publication bias. Behav Ecol. 2004; 15:1044–1045.
- Naylor E, Penev PD, Orbeta L, et al. Daily social and physical activity increases slow-wave sleep and daytime neuropsychological performance in the elderly. Sleep. 2000; 23:87–95. [PubMed: 10678469]
- Ott GE, Rao U, Lin K, Gertsik L, Poland R. Effect of treatment with bupropion on EEG sleep: Relationship to antidepressant response. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2004; 7:275–281. [PubMed: 15122973]
- Parrino L, Spaggiari MC, Boselli M, Di Giovanni G, Terzano MG. Clinical and polysomnographic effects of trazodone CR in chronic insomnia associated with dysthymia. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 1994; 116:389–395. [PubMed: 7701038]
- Perlman CA, Johnson SL, Mellman TA. The prospective impact of sleep duration on depression and mania. Bipolar Disord. 2006; 8:271–274. [PubMed: 16696829]
- Phillips ML, Travis MJ, Fagiolini A, Kupfer DJ. Medication effects in neuroimaging studies of bipolar disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2008; 165:313–320. [PubMed: 18245175]
- Physicians' Desk Reference Staff. Physicians' desk reference 2008: hospital/library version. 62nd. Thomson Healthcare; Stamford, CT: 2008.
- Rao U, Dahl RE, Ryan ND, et al. Heterogeneity in EEG sleep findings in adolescent depression: unipolar versus bipolar clinical course. J Affect Disord. 2002; 70:273–280. [PubMed: 12128239]
- Rechtschaffen, A.; Kales, A. A manual of standardized terminology, techniques and scoring system for sleep stages of human subjects. U.S. Dept. of Health Education and Welfare; Bethesda, MD: 1968.
- Rush AJ, Armitage R, Gillin JC, et al. Comparative effects of nefazodone and fluoxetine on sleep in outpatients with major depressive disorders. Biol Psychiatry. 1998; 44:3–14. [PubMed: 9646878]
- Rush AJ, Gullion CM, Basco MR, Jarrett RB, Trivedi MH. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Psychometric properties. Psychol Med. 1996; 26:477–486. [PubMed: 8733206]
- Russell JA, Weiss A, Mendelsohn GA. Affect Grid: A single-item scale of pleasure and arousal. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1989; 57:493–502.
- Saletu B, Frey R, Krupka M, Anderer P, Grünberger J, Barbanoj MJ. Differential effects of a new central adrenergic agonist--modafinil--and D-amphetamine on sleep and early morning behaviour in young healthy volunteers. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res. 1989; 9:183–95. [PubMed: 2568348]

- Saletu A, Parapatics S, Saletu B, et al. On the pharmacotherapy of sleep bruxism: Placebo-controlled polysomnographic and psychometric studies with clonazepam. Neuropsychobiology. 2005; 51:214–225. [PubMed: 15915004]
- Sanchez C, Brennum LT, Storustovu S, Kreilgard M, Mork A. Depression and poor sleep: The effect of monoaminergic antidepressants in a pre-clinical model in rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2007; 86:468–476. [PubMed: 17303232]
- Sitaram N, Nurenberger JI, Gershon ES, Gillin JC. Cholinergic regulation of mood and REM sleep: Potential model and marker of vulnerability of affective disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1982; 139:571–575. [PubMed: 7072840]
- Swann AC, Bowden CL, Calabrese JR, Dilsaver SC, Morris DD. Pattern of response to divalproex, lithium, or placebo in four naturalistic subtypes of mania. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2002; 26:530–536. [PubMed: 11927177]
- Uchimura N, Nakajima T, Hayash K, et al. Effects of zolpidem on sleep architecture and its nextmorning residual effect in insomniac patients: a randomized crossover comparative study with brotizolam. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2006; 30:22–29. [PubMed: 16048734]
- van Laar M, Volerts E, Verbaten M. Subchronic effects of the GABA-agonist lorazepam and the 5-HT2A/2C antagonist ritanserin on driving performance, slow wave sleep and daytime sleepiness in healthy volunteers. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2001; 154:189–197. [PubMed: 11314681]
- Wehr TA, Sack DA, Rosenthal NE. Sleep reduction as a final common pathway in the genesis of mania. Am J Psychiatry. 1987; 144:210–214.
- Werth E, Dijk DJ, Achermann P, Borbely AA. Dynamics of the sleep EEG after an early evening nap: experimental data and simulations. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 1996; 271:R501– 510.
- Yoo S, Gujar N, Hu P, Jolesz FA, Walker MP. The human emotional brain without sleep a prefrontal amygdala disconnect. Curr Bio. 2007; 17:877–878.
- Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, Meyer DA. A rating scale for mania: Reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry. 1978; 133:429–443. [PubMed: 728692]
- Zarcone VP Jr, Benson KL, Greene KA, Csernansky JG, Faull KF. The effects of chronic alprazolam on sleep and bioamine metabolites in depression. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 1994; 14:36–40. [PubMed: 7512100]

#### Table 1

Demographics, Sleep Architecture Variables, Symptoms and Impairment in the Bipolar (BD) and Control Groups

	BD ( <i>n</i> = 22)	Control $(n = 22)$	$\chi^2$ or $t$
Demographics			
Age (in years)	33.95 (10.09)	39.95 (12.62)	1.74
Sex (% Female)	90.9%	77.3%	-1.22
Marital Status (% Partnered)	31.8%	27.2%	-0.59
Education (% College Graduate)	72.8%	72.7%	-0.20
Ethnicity (% White)	77.3%	59.1%	-1.17
Employed (%)	72.7%	90.9%	-1.55
Comorbid Diagnoses in BD group			
Panic disorder	4.5%		
Agoraphobia	4.5%		
Social phobia	9.1%		
Specific phobia	27.3%		
Obsessive compulsive disorder	11.5%		
Post traumatic stress disorder	4.5%		
Generalized anxiety disorder	9.1%		
Anorexia nervosa	4.5%		
Binge eating disorder	4.5%		
Illness History in BD group			
Age at illness onset (years)	18.57 (9.44)		
Total past manic episodes	8.81 (7.60)		
Total past depressive episodes	10.52 (10.04)		
History of psychiatric hospitalization	76.2%		
Time since last mood episode (months)	7.21 (11.54)		
Mood Symptoms at Diagnostic Visit and Base	eline Sleep Assess	ment	
YMRS Diagnostic Visit	3.19 (2.27)	1.80 (2.26)	-1.96
IDS-C Diagnostic Visit	7.35 (3.77)	5.20 (4.86)	-1.56
YMRS Baseline Sleep Assessment	2.09 (2.20)	0.55 (1.06)	-2.97*
IDS-C Baseline Sleep Assessment	5.55 (5.11)	2.36 (1.79)	-2.76*
Sleep Architecture at Baseline Sleep Assessm	ent		
REM variables			
REM latency (minutes)	114.22 (48.42)	106.30 (54.66)	-0.51
REM%	22.42 (9.02)	22.49 (8.03)	0.03
Duration of the 1 <sup>st</sup> REM period (minutes)	21.80 (17.53)	14.88 (11.10)	-1.56
REM density	17.62 (12.29)	10.38 (8.20)	-2.30*
NREM variables			
Stage 1%	8.20 (6.99)	5.77 (4.46)	-1.37

Eidelman et al.

	BD ( <i>n</i> = 22)	Control $(n = 22)$	$\chi^2$ or $t$
Stage 2%	55.79 (16.10)	53.62 (8.67)	-0.55
SWS%	14.06 (10.71)	17.40 (9.46)	1.09
Symptoms and Impairment at 3 Month Follow YMRS (Mania)	<u>w-up</u> 3.57 (2.62)	1.00 (1.45)	-4.03***
IDS-C (Depression)	11.65 (9.07)	2.09 (1.82)	-4.85***
WSAS (Impairment)	13.67 (10.19)	4.41 (5.61)	-3.73**

Note:

*p* ≤0.05;

\*\* p ≤0.005;

 $^{***}_{p \leq 0.001}$ 

Mean values are presented with standard deviations in parentheses.

YMRS = Young Mania Rating Scale; IDS-C = Clinician Rated Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology;

WSAS = Work and Social Adjustment Scale.

#### Table 2

Medication Effects on Sleep Architecture in the BD group

	Medication I	Effects		
Sleep Architecture Variables	No effect on REM $(n = 7)$	Enhance REM $(n = 5)$	Suppress REM (n = 10)	F
REM latency (minutes)	94.64 (20.86)	80.96 (45.36)	144.55 (48.27)	5.20*
REM%	23.57 (9.28)	20.95 (11.87)	22.36 (8.23)	0.11
Duration of 1st REM (minutes)	17.93 (12.55)	19.00 (18.10)	25.90 (20.78)	0.48
REM density	8.81 (5.99)	18.31 (13.83)	23.43 (12.06)	3.67*
	No effect on SWS $(n = 10)$	Enhance SWS $(n = 12)$	Suppress SWS $(n = 0)$	
Stage 3%	7.27 (3.93)	9.06 (6.08)		0.64
Stage 4%	3.37 (3.03)	7.85 (8.36)		2.60
	No effect on Stage 2 (n = 14)	Enhance Stage 2 (n = 7)	Suppress Stage 2 (n = 1)	
Stage 2%	54.62 (17.63)	56.54 (13.04)	65.80	0.23

Note:

\* p ≤0.05

Mean values are presented with standard deviations in parentheses.

# Table 3

Correlations between Sleep Architecture at Baseline Sleep Assessment and Baseline and 3 Month Follow-up Symptoms and Impairment

Eidelman et al.

Image: Normal and the statial set of the statial set of the set	Sleep Variable	Baseline YMRS (Mania)	Baseline IDS-C (Depression)	Follow-up YMRS (Mania)	Follow-up DS-C (Depression)	Follow-up WSAS (Impairment)
B D group (n = 22) REM Variables REM Jatency 006 0.35 0.22 0.12 0.04 REM Jatency 0.06 0.35 0.23 0.23 0.23 Duration of 1" REM - 0.01 0.23 0.24 NREM Variables Stage 2% - 0.13 0.29 0.47 <sup>*</sup> 0.23 0.47 <sup>*</sup> Stage 2% 0.21 0.35 0.46 <sup>*</sup> 0.23 0.47 <sup>*</sup> REM Variables REM Vari		r	Ŀ	r	Ŀ	Ŀ
REM Variables0.050.220.120.04REM latency0.060.350.220.120.04REM $%$ 0.050.090.160.230.20Duration of 14 REM0.010.030.020.230.24REM density0.110.310.120.240.24REM density0.110.310.120.26 **0.34REM density0.110.310.120.46*0.24*0.24*NREM Variables0.130.290.46*0.230.43*Stage $2\%$ 0.110.350.46*0.230.47*NS%0.210.350.46*0.330.46*Stage $2\%$ 0.120.110.390.36REM variables0.230.120.150.150.46*REM variables0.230.120.150.150.46*REM variables0.230.200.230.000.240.46*REM Variables0.240.230.160.150.46*REM variables0.240.230.230.000.240.46*Stage $2\%$ 0.360.230.230.000.240.46*REM variables0.360.230.230.000.240.46*Rem variables0.360.230.230.000.240.46*Stage $2\%$ 0.360.330.010.72*0.46*Stage $2\%$ 0.360.230.230.02 <td>BD group <math>(n = 22)</math></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	BD group $(n = 22)$					
REM latency $0.06$ $0.35$ $0.22$ $0.12$ $0.04$ Puration of 1 <sup>4</sup> REM $0.01$ $0.32$ $0.23$ $0.20$ Duration of 1 <sup>4</sup> REM $0.01$ $0.32$ $0.48^*$ $0.23$ $0.20$ REM density $0.01$ $0.32$ $0.48^*$ $0.23$ $0.29$ NEM Variables $0.11$ $0.31$ $0.29$ $0.47^*$ $0.47^*$ $0.44^*$ Stage 2% $0.13$ $0.29$ $0.47^*$ $0.23$ $0.47^*$ Stage 2% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^*$ $0.23$ $0.47^*$ Stage 2% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^*$ $0.23$ $0.15$ REM Variables $0.12$ $0.11$ $0.32$ $0.12$ $0.13^*$ REM Variables $0.23$ $0.22$ $0.13^*$ $0.26^*$ $0.24^*$ REM Variables $0.13^*$ $0.23$ $0.12$ $0.13^*$ $0.26^*$ REM Variables $0.23$ $0.23$ $0.23^*$ $0.26^*$	REM Variables					
REM% $0.05$ $0.09$ $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.20$ Duration of 1 <sup>st</sup> REM $0.01$ $0.23$ $0.23$ $0.23$ REM density $0.11$ $0.21$ $0.12$ $0.56^{++}$ $0.54^{++}$ NEM Variables $0.11$ $0.31$ $0.12$ $0.54^{++}$ $0.54^{++}$ Stage 2% $0.13$ $0.29$ $0.47^{+}$ $0.23$ $0.47^{++}$ Stage 2% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^{+}$ $0.23$ $0.47^{++}$ Stage 2% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^{+}$ $0.23$ $0.47^{+}$ REM Variables $0.23$ $0.12$ $0.11$ $0.39$ $0.26^{-}$ REM Variables $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.13^{+}$ $0.23$ $0.13^{-}$ REM Variables $0.23$ $0.23$ $0.29^{-}$ $0.24^{-}$ $0.26^{-}$ REM Variables $0.13^{+}$ $0.23^{-}$ $0.23^{-}$ $0.24^{-}$ $0.26^{-}$ REM Variables $0.13^{-}$ $0.23^{-}$ $0.23^{-}$	REM latency	-0.06	-0.35	0.22	-0.12	0.04
Duration of 1" REM         0.01 $0.32$ $0.48^{*}$ $0.23$ $0.33$ $0.34^{*}$ REM density $0.11$ $0.31$ $0.12$ $0.56^{**}$ $0.54^{**}$ NREM Variables $20.13$ $0.29$ $0.47^{*}$ $0.15$ $0.54^{**}$ Stage 2% $0.13$ $0.29$ $0.46^{*}$ $0.23$ $0.47^{*}$ Stage 2% $0.12$ $0.35$ $0.46^{*}$ $0.23$ $0.47^{*}$ Stage 2% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^{*}$ $0.23$ $0.12$ $0.74^{*}$ REM variables $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.13$ $0.36$ REM variables $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.13$ $0.36$ REM variables $0.23$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.26^{*}$ REM density $0.36$ $0.23$ $0.060$ $0.24$ $0.36$ NREM Variables $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.016$ $0.24$ $0.46^{*}$ Stage 2% $0.15$	REM%	-0.05	-0.09	0.16	0.23	0.20
REM density $-0.11$ $-0.31$ $0.12$ $0.56^{**}$ $0.54^{**}$ NREM Variables $-0.13$ $-0.29$ $-0.47^{*}$ $0.54^{**}$ $0.54^{**}$ Stage 2% $-0.13$ $-0.29$ $-0.47^{*}$ $0.21^{*}$ $0.48^{**}$ Stage 2% $0.13$ $0.21^{*}$ $0.35^{*}$ $0.46^{**}$ $0.23^{**}$ $0.48^{**}$ Stage 2% $0.21^{*}$ $0.35^{**}$ $0.23^{*}$ $0.46^{**}$ $0.73^{**}$ $0.47^{**}$ Control group (n = 22) $0.21^{*}$ $0.35^{**}$ $0.12^{*}$ $0.13^{**}$ $0.47^{**}$ REM Variables $0.23^{**}$ $0.11^{*}$ $0.39^{**}$ $0.13^{**}$ $0.20^{**}$ REM density $0.34^{*}$ $0.32^{*}$ $0.12^{*}$ $0.13^{**}$ $0.20^{**}$ REM density $0.34^{**}$ $0.32^{*}$ $0.12^{*}$ $0.13^{**}$ $0.20^{**}$ REM density $0.34^{**}$ $0.32^{*}$ $0.12^{*}$ $0.21^{*}$ $0.24^{**}$ NREM Variables $0.34^{**}$ $0.32^{*}$ $0.28^{*}$ $0.28^{*}$ $0.24^{*}$ Stage 2%	Duration of 1st REM	-0.01	-0.32	$0.48^{*}$	0.23	0.32
NREM Variables         Stage 2% $-0.13$ $-0.29$ $-0.47$ * $-0.15$ $-0.48$ *         Stage 2% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.47$ * $0.15$ $0.47$ *         SWS% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46$ * $0.23$ $0.47$ *         SWS% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46$ * $0.23$ $0.47$ *         Control group (n = 22) $0.12$ $0.11$ $0.39$ $0.47$ *         REM Variables $0.12$ $-0.11$ $0.39$ $0.33$ $0.19$ REM% $0.23$ $-0.12$ $0.13$ $0.36$ $0.35$ Duration of 1st REM $0.18$ $-0.22$ $0.01$ $0.12$ $0.146$ *         NEM variables $0.34$ $-0.32$ $0.01$ $0.12$ $0.36$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.24$ $0.04$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$	REM density	-0.11	-0.31	0.12	$0.56^{**}$	$0.54^{**}$
Stage 2% $-0.13$ $-0.29$ $-0.47^*$ $-0.15$ $-0.48^*$ SWS% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^*$ $0.23$ $0.47^*$ SWS% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^*$ $0.23$ $0.47^*$ Control group (n = 22) $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.47^*$ $0.23$ $0.21$ $0.46^*$ $0.47^*$ REM Variables $-0.12$ $0.11$ $0.39$ $0.33$ $0.03$ $0.19$ $0.46^*$ REM% $0.23$ $0.12$ $0.11$ $0.39$ $0.33$ $0.19$ $0.36$ Duration of 1 <sup>st</sup> REM $0.12$ $0.11$ $0.29$ $0.03$ $0.24$ $0.26$ NEM Variables $0.14$ $0.32$ $0.010$ $0.24$ $0.04$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.20$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.15$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$	NREM Variables					
SWS% $0.21$ $0.35$ $0.46^*$ $0.23$ $0.47^*$ Control group (n = 22)REM VariablesREM VariablesREM VariablesREM VariablesREM VariablesNemsity $-0.12$ $-0.11$ $-0.39$ $-0.33$ $-0.19$ REM VariablesNemsity $-0.12$ $-0.12$ $0.15$ $0.13$ $0.36$ REM Variables $-0.12$ $-0.12$ $0.01$ $-0.12$ $-0.22$ REM density $-0.34$ $-0.22$ $-0.01$ $-0.12$ $-0.26$ REM density $-0.34$ $-0.22$ $-0.01$ $-0.12$ $-0.26$ REM density $-0.32$ $-0.02$ $0.00$ $-0.246^*$ NREM Variables $-0.32$ $0.02$ $0.02$ $-0.26$ Stage 2% $-0.16$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $-0.02$ $0.04$ SWS% $-0.16$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $-0.02$ $0.04$ SWS% $-0.52$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ SWS% $-0.52$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ SWS% $-0.52$ $0.11$ $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7) $$ $0.33$ $-0.04$ $0.07$ Note: $0.033$ $-0.04$ $0.07$ Note: $0.033$ $-0.04$ $0.07$ No $$	Stage 2%	-0.13	-0.29	-0.47*	-0.15	-0.48*
Control group (n = 22)         REM Variables       REM latency       -0.12       -0.11       -0.39       -0.33       -0.19         REM latency       -0.12       -0.11       -0.39       -0.33       -0.19         REM%       0.23       -0.12       0.15       0.13       0.36         Duration of 1 <sup>st</sup> REM       -0.18       -0.22       -0.01       -0.12       -0.22         NEM density       -0.34       -0.22       -0.01       0.12       -0.22         NREM variables       -0.34       -0.32       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.55       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.55       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.55       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Stondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density       No effect on REM (n=7)        0.33	SWS%	0.21	0.35	$0.46^{*}$	0.23	$0.47^{*}$
REM Variables       -0.12       -0.11       -0.39       -0.33       -0.19         REM latency       0.23       -0.12       0.15       0.13       0.36         REM%       0.23       -0.12       0.15       0.13       0.36         Duration of 1st REM       0.18       -0.22       -0.01       -0.12       0.22         REM density       -0.18       -0.22       -0.01       -0.12       -0.26         NEM density       -0.34       -0.22       -0.06       0.29       -0.26         NREM Variables       -       -0.16       0.15       0.28       -0.246*       -0.26         Stage 2%       -0.16       0.15       0.28       0.00       0.24       0.04         SWS%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         SWS%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         REM suppressing (n=10)        -       0.11       0.72*       0.75*         No effect on REM (n=7)        -       0.33       -0.04       0.07         Note:       -       -       -       -       0.04       0.07         Recoubles       -       - <td>Control group <math>(n = 22)</math></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Control group $(n = 22)$					
REM latency $-0.12$ $-0.11$ $-0.39$ $-0.33$ $-0.19$ REM% $0.23$ $-0.12$ $0.13$ $0.36$ Duration of 1st REM $-0.18$ $-0.22$ $-0.01$ $-0.12$ $0.36$ Duration of 1st REM $-0.18$ $-0.22$ $-0.01$ $-0.12$ $-0.22$ REM density $-0.34$ $-0.32$ $-0.06$ $0.29$ $-0.26$ NREM Variables $-0.34$ $-0.32$ $-0.06$ $0.29$ $-0.46^*$ Stage 2% $-0.16$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $-0.02$ $0.04$ SWS% $-0.52$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Swomeneity Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7) $$ $$ $-0.33$ $-0.04$ No effect on REM (n=7) $$ $$ $-0.33$ $-0.04$ ** $$ $$ $$ $$ $0.33$ $-0.04$	<b>REM Variables</b>					
REM% $0.23$ $0.12$ $0.15$ $0.13$ $0.36$ Duration of 1st REM $0.18$ $0.22$ $0.01$ $0.12$ $0.36$ Duration of 1st REM density $0.13$ $0.22$ $0.01$ $0.12$ $0.22$ REM density $0.34$ $0.32$ $0.06$ $0.29$ $0.26$ NREM variables $1.6$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $0.06$ $0.29$ $0.46^*$ Stage 2% $0.16$ $0.15$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ SwS% $-0.52$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Secondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7) $-1$ $-1$ $0.33$ $0.04$ No effect on REM (n=7) $-1$ $-1$ $0.33$ $0.04$ $p$ solos; $-1$ $-1$ $0.33$ $0.04$	REM latency	-0.12	-0.11	-0.39	-0.33	-0.19
Duration of 1st REM $0.18$ $-0.22$ $0.01$ $-0.12$ $-0.22$ REM density $-0.34$ $-0.32$ $-0.06$ $0.29$ $-0.46^*$ NREM Variables $-0.16$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $-0.02$ $0.04$ Stage 2% $-0.16$ $0.15$ $0.28$ $-0.02$ $0.04$ SWS% $-0.52$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ SwS% $-0.52$ $0.23$ $0.00$ $0.24$ $0.04$ Secondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7) $$ $$ $0.11$ $0.72^*$ Vote: $$ $$ $0.33$ $-0.04$ ** $$ $$ $0.33$ $-0.04$	REM%	0.23	-0.12	0.15	0.13	0.36
REM density $-0.34$ $-0.32$ $-0.06$ $0.29$ $-0.46^*$ NREM Variables </td <td>Duration of 1<sup>st</sup> REM</td> <td>-0.18</td> <td>-0.22</td> <td>-0.01</td> <td>-0.12</td> <td>-0.22</td>	Duration of 1 <sup>st</sup> REM	-0.18	-0.22	-0.01	-0.12	-0.22
NREM Variables       -0.16       0.15       0.28       -0.02       0.04         Stage 2%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         SWS%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Secondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density             REM suppressing (n=10)         0.11       0.72*       0.75*         No effect on REM (n=7)         -0.33       -0.04       0.07         Vote:         -0.33       -0.04       0.07	REM density	-0.34	-0.32	-0.06	0.29	-0.46*
Stage 2%       -0.16       0.15       0.28       -0.02       0.04         SWS%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         SWS%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Secondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density       0.11 $0.72^*$ 0.75*         REM suppressing (n=10)         0.11 $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7)         -0.33       -0.04 $0.07$ Vote:       -        -0.33       -0.04 $0.07$	NREM Variables					
SWS%       -0.52       0.23       0.00       0.24       0.04         Secondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density $0.011$ $0.72^*$ $0.07^*$ REM suppressing (n=10) $0.11$ $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7) $0.33$ $-0.04$ $0.07$ Vote: $-0.33$ $-0.04$ $0.07$ **       **	Stage 2%	-0.16	0.15	0.28	-0.02	0.04
Secondary Analyses in BD medication subgroups for REM density REM suppressing (n=10) 0.11 0.72* $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7) 0.33 -0.04 0.07 Vote: $p \leq 0.05;$	%SWS	-0.52	0.23	0.00	0.24	0.04
REM suppressing (n=10)        0.11 $0.72^*$ $0.75^*$ No effect on REM (n=7)        -0.33       -0.04 $0.07$ Note:       *       *       *       *	Secondary Analyses in BC	D medication	subgroups for Rl	EM density		
No effect on REM (n=7)0.33 -0.04 0.07 Vote: $p \le 0.05$ ;	REM suppressing (n=10)	1	1	0.11	0.72*	$0.75^{*}$
Note: p = 50.05;	No effect on REM (n=7)	-	-	-0.33	-0.04	0.07
* p = 60.05;	Note:					
	$_{p}^{*} \leq 0.05;$					

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

We would like to thank Stephen Hinshaw and Matthew Walker for their comments on this manuscript.

SWS% = Sum of Stage 3% and Stage 4%.

Eidelman et al.

Page 17