

Figure 1 Sources of Official Information Act inquiries to the Ministry of Health about tobacco control 2005—2009 (n=129).

undertaken to determine the associations of requestors with the tobacco industry.

There were 129 requests in total. Charges totalled over \$NZ13 000. They were in accordance with official guidelines.³ They included staff time for searching for information, and photocopying. Figure 1 shows that most (84%) of the requests were from tobacco industry related sources. They were a public relations company whose managing director was previously director of public relations, Communications and Corporate and Regulatory Affairs for British American Tobacco (BAT) New Zealand⁴; law firms who have represented tobacco companies^{5 6}; and tobacco companies.

Topic areas included the 2006 review of the tobacco packaging, labelling and display provisions of the Smoke-free Environments Amendment Act (59% of requests); a review of point-of-sale tobacco product displays (11%); provisions of the existing act (10%); tobacco control spending (8%); tobacco control research (5%); the industry (4%); reduced harm products (2%); and personal rights (2%). Two requests solicited information about more than one topic.

Tobacco industry related sources asked for copies of presentations, tobacco control service purchase agreements, contracts and information 'including, but not limited to papers, minutes, reports, briefings, memoranda and correspondence (including emails) caught by the wording'. They included the legal obligation to provide information within 20 working days (n=107). Requests increased from three in 2005 to 79 in 2006 when the review of the Smoke-free Environments Amendment Act was under way. During this time, the lawyers, Philips Fox, sent one person 67 letters which differed only in the name of the organisation they

sought information about. They also sent identical requests to six different people. With regard to tobacco control spending, 10 of 13 requests were from industry-related sources. Two asked for details about government funded delegates to international tobacco control conferences.

The majority of public requests for information about tobacco control and tobacco control expenditure were from the industry. The work of public health officials was disrupted at a critical juncture in tobacco control action by an influx of repetitive and time consuming OIA requests from the tobacco industry. Each required assessment to determine if the information existed; if the request was frivolous or vexatious; if the information could be released; and to provide quotes for services since only a limited amount of photocopying and time for searching is free. ^{1 3}

Tobacco companies portray themselves as socially responsible corporate citizens.^{7 8} Yet they abuse legal avenues designed to protect the public's right to access to official information. This should be included in a campaign exposing the activities of the tobacco industry to support achieving the New Zealand tobacco control workforce's vision of a tobacco free society by 2020.⁹

Grace Wong, Ben Youdan, Ron Wong

ASH New Zealand, Auckland, New Zealand

Correspondence to Grace Wong, ASH New Zealand, PO Box 99 126, Newmarket, Auckland 1051, New Zealand; grace.wong@aut.ac.nz

Competing interests None.

Contributors GW reviewed the literature, determined the methods, did the analysis and wrote up the research letter.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 11 March 2010

Tobacco Control 2010;**19**:346—347. doi:10.1136/tc.2009.034637

REFERENCES

- Official Information Act 1982 Public Act1982 No 156. http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1982/0156/ latest/DLM65364.html#DLM65364 (accessed 24 Feb 2010)
- Aguinaga S, Glantz SA. The use of public health records acts to interfere with tobacco control. *Tob Control* 1995;4:222—30.
- Ministry of Justice, Charging Guidelines for Official Information Act 1982 Requests 18 March 2002. http:// www.justice.govt.nz/publications/global-publications/ m/ministry-of-justice-charging-guidelines-for-officialinformation-act-1982-requests-18-march-2002 (accessed 19 Feb 2010).
- LinkedIn Corporation. http://www.linkedin.com/pub/ carrick-graham/8/2a1/bbb (accessed 24 Feb 2010).
- Thomson G. Law firms and tobacco profits: Some relationships between the tobacco industry and law firms in New Zealand. http://www.ash.org.nz/ site_resources/library/Tobacco_industry/Law_firms_ and_tobacco_profits__Some_relationships_ between the tobacco.pdf (accessed 24 Feb 2010).
- The Legal500. DLA Phillips Fox Wellington. 2009 http://www.legal500.com/firms/31023-dla-phillips-fox/ offices/31350-wellington (accessed 24 Feb 2010).
- Thomson G. Trust us we're socially responsible. The truth behind British Amercian Tobacco NZ's corporate social responsibility reports. Auckland: ASH New Zealand, 2005. http://www.ash.org.nz/site_resources/ library/Research_commisoned_by_ASH/Trust_us_ we re socially responsible.pdf (accessed 29 Jan 2010).
- Hastings G, Liberman J. Tobacco corporate social responsibility and fairy godmothers: the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control slays a modern myth. *Tob Control* 2009;18:73—4.
- The smokefree coalition. Tupeka kore/tobacco free Aotearoa/New Zealand by 2020: the vision. http:// www.sfc.org.nz/thevision.php (accessed 2 Feb 2010)

Third-hand smoking: indoor measurements of concentration and sizes of cigarette smoke particles after resuspension

In a previous study¹ of 'secondhand' cigarette smoke, we showed that 75% of the particles added to indoor air were of ultrafine sizes and had a half-life in air of 18 minutes at 25°C. These particles after their deposition on household surfaces could be later put back in suspension and constitute a toxic 'thirdhand' smoke² which has not, as yet, been documented through quantitative data. Consequently, we undertook direct measurements of the concentration and sizes of smoke particles after their deposition and resuspension in a closed room.

A smoking device burned 10 cigarettes in 30 minutes in a non-ventilated furnished room that was then kept closed. On the next

day, for particle resuspension, we mobilised the dust on furniture, clothes and surfaces by wiping and shaking and created even more turbulence with a ventilator.

An impactor (ELPI) measured the particle sizes (between 0.28 μm and 10 $\mu m)$ and concentration in the air, 60 cm above the floor:

- ► on the first day before and after the cigarettes were smoked (secondhand smoke) then 4 hours later.
- ▶ 24 hours later, before and after resuspension manoeuvres (thirdhand smoke).

Median diameter, concentration in number and mass of particles were respectively:

- ► $0.18 \mu m$, $1.31.10^6 .ml^{-1}$ and $15.4 mg.m^{-3}$ after smoking
- ► $0.30 \, \mu m$, $0.98.10^4 \, ml^{-1}$ and $0.62 \, mg$. $m^{-3} \, 4$ hours later
- ► $0.07 \, \mu \text{m}$, $1.66.10^2 \, \text{ml}^{-1}$ and $0.05 \, \text{mg}$. $\text{m}^{-3} \, 1 \, \text{day later}$.
- 0.15 μm, 0.92.10⁴.ml⁻¹ and 0.50 mg. m⁻³ 1 day later after resuspension manoeuvres.
- This showed that after cigarette smoking:

 the airborne particles were of ultrafine
- ▶ their concentration was divided by 100 in the first 4 hours and again by 100 in the following 24 hours. After resuspension, the concentration was multiplied by 100, going back to that observed 4 hours after smoking. This rise can only be attributed to particles smaller than 0,3 μm since other measurements made after resuspension manoeuvres without previous smoking only increased the concentration of particles over 0.3 μm of size.

These quantitative data support the hypothesis of a resuspension from the ciga-

What this paper adds

This study is the first to investigate the hypothesised resuspension of deposited particles of tobacco smoke ('thirdhand smoke').

rette smoke surface contamination. However, this airborne contamination through resuspension remains much lower (100 times) than that of secondhand smoke. The rest of the aerosol mass initially produced by cigarettes could be firmly attached either to surfaces, leading to ingestion hazards and dermal transfer or to household dust and be inhaled with it. $^{3\ 4}$

M H Becquemin, ^{1,2,3} J F Bertholon, ^{1,2} M Bentayeb, ^{2,4} M Attoui, ^{2,4} D Ledur, ⁵ F Roy, ¹ M Roy, ¹ I Annesi-Maesano, ² B Dautzenberg^{1,2}

¹Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, LGDA, France; ²UPMC, Universités Paris and Créteil, France; ³ER10UPMC, France; ⁴EPAR, UMR-S707 INSERM, France; ⁵Société Ecomesure, France

Correspondence to Professor J F Bertholon, UPMC, 27 rue de Chaligny, Paris 75012, France; jean-francois.bertholon@upmc.fr

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 18 March 2010

Tobacco Control 2010;**19**:347—348. doi:10.1136/tc.2009.034694



This paper is freely available online under the BMJ Journals unlocked scheme, see http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/site/about/unlocked.xhtml

REFERENCES

- Becquemin MH, Bertholon JF, Attoui M, et al.
 Rétention dans les voies aériennes des particules
 nanométriques de l'aérosol de la fumée de cigarette au
 cours du tabagisme passif. Rev Mal Respir 2010.
 doi:10.1016/j.rmr.2010.03.01.
- Winickoff JP, Friebely J, Tanski E, et al. Beliefs about health effects of "thirdhand" smoke and home smoking bans. Pediatrics 2009;123:e74—9.
- Matt GE, Quintana PJE, Hovell MF, et al. Households contaminated by environmental tobacco smoke: sources of infant exposures. Tob Control 2004;13:29—37.
- Matt GE, Quintana PJE, Hovell, et al. Residual tobacco smoke pollution in used cars for sale: air, dust, and surfaces. Nicotine Tob Res 2008;10:1467—75.

SPECIAL COMMUNICATION

Tobacco control haiku

"Just started today:

Three thousand replacement kids

Investors chortle."

Steve Hansen

"Eight years old, a man;

His cigarette lit staunchly-

Just like movie stars."

Janet Hoek

"Apologies to Dr Johnson:

When I smoke

You smell

But I stink"

Ralph Sutton

Steve Hansen, Janet Hoek, Ralph Sutton, George Thomson²

¹Department of Marketing, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand; ²University of Otago, Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

Correspondence to Dr George Thomson, University of Otago, Wellington, Box 7343, Wellington South, Wellington 6002, New Zealand; george.thomson@otago.ac.nz

An international tobacco control selection was made from the Public Health Haiku Celebration 2009, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand.

Competing interests None.

Contributors First three authors wrote Haiku, GT wrote introduction, is corresponding author.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Received 24 September 2009 Accepted 9 October 2009

Tobacco Control 2010;**19**:348. doi:10.1136/tc.2009.033993