ampG Gene of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Its Role in β -Lactamase Expression^{∇}

Ying Zhang,^{1,6}† Qiyu Bao,^{1,7}† Luc A. Gagnon,³ Ann Huletsky,⁴ Antonio Oliver,⁵ Shouguang Jin,¹* and Taimour Langaee²*

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, College of Medicine,¹ and Department of Pharmacotherapy and

Translational Research, Center for Pharmacogenomics, College of Pharmacy,² University of Florida, Gainesville,

Florida; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals North America, Laval, Québec, Canada³; Centre de Recherche en

Infectiologie, Université Laval, Québec, Canada⁴; Servicio de Microbiologia, Hospital Son Dureta,

Palma de Mallorca, Spain⁵; Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University,

Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China⁶; and Institute of Biomedical Informatics,

Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics,

Wenzhou Medical College, Wenzhou, China⁷

Received 4 January 2010/Returned for modification 3 April 2010/Accepted 9 August 2010

In enterobacteria, the *ampG* gene encodes a transmembrane protein (permease) that transports 1,6-GlcNAcanhydro-MurNAc and the 1,6-GlcNAc-anhydro-MurNAc peptide from the periplasm to the cytoplasm, which serve as signal molecules for the induction of ampC β -lactamase. The role of AmpG as a transporter is also essential for cell wall recycling. Pseudomonas aeruginosa carries two AmpG homologues, AmpG (PA4393) and AmpGh1 (PA4218), with 45 and 41% amino acid sequence identity, respectively, to Escherichia coli AmpG, while the two homologues share only 19% amino acid identity. In P. aeruginosa strains PAO1 and PAK, inactivation of ampG drastically repressed the intrinsic β -lactam resistance while ampGh1 deletion had little effect on the resistance. Further, deletion of *ampG* in an *ampD*-null mutant abolished the high-level β -lactam resistance that is associated with the loss of AmpD activity. The cloned ampG gene is able to complement both the P. aeruginosa and the E. coli ampG mutants, while that of ampGh1 failed to do so, suggesting that PA4393 encodes the only functional AmpG protein in P. aeruginosa. We also demonstrate that the function of AmpG in laboratory strains of P. aeruginosa can effectively be inhibited by carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP), causing an increased sensitivity to β -lactams among laboratory as well as clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa. Our results suggest that inhibition of the AmpG activity is a potential strategy for enhancing the efficacy of β-lactams against *P. aeruginosa*, which carries inducible chromosomal *ampC*, especially in AmpChyperproducing clinical isolates.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic pathogen that causes nosocomial pneumonia, urinary tract infections, and secondary bacteremia associated with burn wounds (41, 50). *P. aeruginosa* also plays a primary role in the morbidity and mortality of patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) by chronically colonizing the lungs of these patients (40). Nearly 80% of patients with CF become infected with *P. aeruginosa* by early adulthood, and a majority of them succumb to an infection caused by this microorganism (8, 12, 22).

β-Lactam antibiotics, mainly broad-spectrum cephalosporins, are among the major antibiotics being used to treat pseudomonas infections. Prolonged use of antipseudomonal β-lactams can result in multiple-β-lactam-resistant *P. aeruginosa* mutants that show high levels of AmpC β-lactamase production leading to therapeutic failures (9, 20, 31, 43, 44, 45).

[†] These individuals made equal contributions to this work.

Chromosomally located inducible ampC is present in most Enterobacteria (except in Escherichia coli and Shigella, where this gene is noninducible due to the lack of ampR) and P. aeruginosa (2, 30). The process of AmpC regulation is intimately linked to peptidoglycan recycling (35). In Citrobacter freundii and Enterobacter cloacae, a number of genes are involved in AmpC induction (25, 32): *ampG*, which encodes a transmembrane protein and functions as a specific permease for the transport of 1,6-GlcNAc-anhydro-MurNAc and the 1,6-GlcNAc-anhydro-MurNAc peptide, the signal molecules involved in *ampC* expression (4, 6, 7, 18, 31); *ampR*, which encodes a DNA-binding protein belonging to the LysR superfamily (13), with two regulatory states (in the absence of a β-lactam inducer, AmpR binds to the UDP-MurNAc pentapeptide to promote the formation of an AmpR-DNA complex that represses *ampC* transcription, and [ii] in the presence of a β-lactam antibiotic, peptidoglycan fragments accumulate in the cytoplasm [4, 39, 51] and the 1,6-anhydro-MurNAc tripeptide (or pentapeptide) competitively displaces the UDP-MurNAc pentapeptide and converts AmpR into an activator, triggering the *ampC* expression or production of the β -lactamase [17]); ampD, which encodes a cytosolic N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidase and specifically hydrolyzes the 1,6-anhydro-MurNAc peptide, thus acting as a repressor for *ampC* expression (15, 27); and *ampE*, which encodes a cyto-

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address for Shouguang Jin: University of Florida, Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, P.O. Box 100266, 1600 SW Archer Road, Gainesville, FL 32610-0266. Phone: (352) 392-8323. Fax: (352) 273-8905. E-mail: sjin@mgm.ufl.edu. Mailing address for Taimour Langaee: University of Florida, Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research, Center for Pharmacogenomics, P. O. Box 100486, 1600 SW Archer Road, Gainesville, FL 32610-0266. Phone: (352) 273-6357. Fax: (352) 273-6121. E-mail: langaee@cop.ufl.edu.

^v Published ahead of print on 16 August 2010.

StrainsEscherichia coli $DH5\alpha$ $endA1$ hsdR17 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 (argF-lacZYA)U169 ϕ 80dlacZ42S17-1 $hsdR$ pro recA; contains RP4-2-Tc::Mu integrated into the chromosome47SNO301-1 $ampD1$ $ampG$ 45Pseudomonas aeruginosaPAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1ADDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three $ampD$ homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1AGPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AG6PAO1 deleted of ampGh (PA4218)This studyPAO1AG611PAO1 deleted of both $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG61PAK deleted of $ampG(h1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG611PAK deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG611PAK deleted of $ampG(h1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG611PAK deleted of $ampG(h1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1ΔDDh2Dh3GPAO1ΔDDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1ΔDDh2Dh3GPAO1ΔDDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1ΔDDh2Dh3GPAO1ΔDDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPCRconing vector28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ⁴ 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Te ⁴ 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; orT; Te ⁴ 14pEXAG611pEX18Tc carrying $ampGh1$ deletion construct; Te ⁴ This study	Strain or plasmid	Relevant characteristic(s) ^a	Reference or source
Escherichia coli DH5 α endA1 hsdR17 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 (argF-lacZYA)UI69 ϕ 800lacZ42S17-1hsdR pro recA; contains RP4-2-Tc::Mu integrated into the chromosome47SNO301-1ampD1 ampG45Pseudomonas aeruginosaPAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1ADDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three ampD homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1AGPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGGPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGGh1PAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAKAGPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAKAG6h1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAKAG6h1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3PAO1ADCh2Dh3Dh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3PAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3PAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAC1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This studyPAC1ADDh2Dh3PAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4218)This study	Strains		
DH5aendA1 hsdR17 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyr.496 relA1 (argF-lacZYA)U169 ϕ 80dlacZ42\$17.1hsdR pro recA; contains RP4-2-Tc::Mu integrated into the chromosome47\$NO301-1ampD1 ampG45Pseudomonas aeruginosa7PAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1Dbh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three ampD homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1AGPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGh1PAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGh1PAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGGh1PAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAKAGGPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAKAGGPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAKAGGPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPlasmids9922pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm'2pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Te'52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Te'14pEX18Tc carrying ampG h1 deletion construct; Te'This studypEXAGh1<	Escherichia coli		
\$17.1hsdR pro recA; contains $RP4.2$ - $Tc::Mu$ integrated into the chromosome47\$NO301-1ampD1 ampG45Pseudomonas aeruginosaPAO1PAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1DDDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three ampD homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1AGPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGAPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGB1PAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAKAGPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAC1ADDh2Dh3GPAO1ADDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1ADDh2Dh3Gh1PAC cloning vectorPromegapGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapGEX73-5ampC ampC ampC arong from E. coli JRG582; Km ⁺ 16pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ⁺ 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ⁺ 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sadB marker; orl7; Tc ⁺ 14pEXAG1pEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; Tc ⁺ This studypZV90908ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ⁺ This studypZV90908ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ⁺ This study	DH5a	endA1 hsdR17 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 (argF-lacZYA)U169	42
SNO301-1 $ampD1 ampG$ 45Pseudomonas aeruginosaPAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1\DeltaDDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three $ampD$ homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1AGPAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGh1PAO1 deleted of $ampG(PA4393)$ This studyPAO1AG6h1PAO1 deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG6PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG6PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG6PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAG611PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAA01 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ Dh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAC (Acleted of $ampG (PA4393)$)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ Dh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ Dh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapECIC $ampC$ $ampC$ mpR from $E.$ coli RG82; Km^r$ 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm^r52pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying $amgG$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAG1pEX18Tc carrying $ampG$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAG1pEX18T	S17-1	hsdR pro recA; contains RP4-2-Tc::Mu integrated into the chromosome	47
Pseudomonas aeruginosa48PAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three ampD homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1 Δ GPAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ Gh1PAO1 deleted of ampG(PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ GGh1PAO1 deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of both ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAC1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAC deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAC1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAC deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAC deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAC1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAC deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAC1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAC1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapGEMTPCR cloning vector16pGKS273-5ampC mpR from E. cola JRG582; Km²28pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm²52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc²52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc² <td>SNO301-1</td> <td>ampD1 ampG</td> <td>45</td>	SNO301-1	ampD1 ampG	45
PAO1Reference strain; genome completely sequenced48PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three <i>ampD</i> homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1 Δ GPAO1 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ Gh1PAO1 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ Gh1PAO1 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393) and <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ GGh1PAO1 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393) and <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GPAK deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393) and <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ Gh1PAK deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393) and <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPAAAGGh1PAK deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393) and <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)This studyPGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapGEMTPCR cloning vector16pGKS273-5 <i>ampC ampR</i> from <i>E. cloacae</i> ; Cm ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 14pEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying <i>ampG</i> deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying <i>ampG</i> leletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying <i>ampG</i> leletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying <i>ampG</i> leletion construct; Tc	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3PAO1 deleted of the three $ampD$ homologues21PAKReference strainDavid BradleyPAO1 Δ GPAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ Gh1PAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ GGh1PAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GPAK deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAK Δ Gh1PAK deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA428)This studyPAC1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAC 1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPCR cloning vectorPromegapGEMTPCR cloning vector16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from <i>E. cloacae</i> ; Cm ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying $sacB$ marker; $oriT$; Tc ^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying $ampG$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying $ampGh1$ deletion construct; Tc ^r <td>PAO1</td> <td>Reference strain; genome completely sequenced</td> <td>48</td>	PAO1	Reference strain; genome completely sequenced	48
PAKReference strainDavidDavid BradleyPAO1AGPAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1AGh1PAO1 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1AGGh1PAO1 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAGPAK deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAKAGGh1PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ Dh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ Dh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ Dh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG h1$ (PA4218)This studyPGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $ampC$ $ampC$ $ampR$ from E. cloacae; Cm ^r 16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying aaB marker; $oriT$; Tc ^r 14pEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying $ampGh1$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901 $ampG$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908 $ampGh1$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAO1\DDh2Dh3	PAO1 deleted of the three <i>ampD</i> homologues	21
PAO1 ΔG PAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 $\Delta Gh1$ PAO1 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 $\Delta GGh1$ PAO1 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK ΔG PAK deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAK $\Delta Gh1$ PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK $\Delta Gh1$ PAK deleted of $ampG (PA4393)$ and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK $\Delta GGh1$ PAK deleted of both $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3G$ PAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3$ deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3Gh1$ PAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3$ deleted of $ampG h1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3$ deleted of $ampG h1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPOR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $ampC$ $ampR$ from $E. cloacae; Cm^r$ 16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from $E. coli$ JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying $ampG$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901 $ampG$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908 $ampG Gla en of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study$	PAK	Reference strain	David Bradley
PAO1 Δ Gh1PAO1 deleted of $anpGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ GGh1PAO1 deleted of $anpG$ (PA4393) and $anpGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GPAK deleted of $anpG$ (PA4393) and $anpGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ Gh1PAK deleted of $anpGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of both $anpG$ (PA4393) and $anpGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $anpG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $anpG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $anpGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidspGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $anpC$ $anpC$ $anpR$ from E. cloacae; Cm ^r 16pGKS273-5 $anpG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc ^r 14pEXAG1pEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; Te ^r This studypZY0901 $anpG$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908 $ampG$ fig en of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAO1∆G	PAO1 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393)	This study
PAO1 Δ GGh1PAO1 deleted of both ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GPAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAK Δ Gh1PAK deleted of ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of both ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of ampG (PA4393)This studyPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1CampC ampR from E. cloacae; Cm ^r 16pGKS273-5ampG region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc ^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampGd leletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908ampG flagene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAO1∆Gh1	PAO1 deleted of <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)	This study
PAK ΔG PAK deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAK $\Delta Gh1$ PAK deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK $\Delta GGh1$ PAK deleted of both $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3G$ PAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3$ deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3Gh1$ PAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3$ deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3Gh1$ PAO1 $\Delta DDh2Dh3$ deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPCR cloning vectorPromegapGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from <i>E. cloacae</i> ; Cm ^r 16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from <i>E. coli</i> JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying <i>sacB</i> marker; <i>oriT</i> ; Tc ^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying <i>ampG</i> deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901 <i>ampG</i> gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908 <i>ampG</i> legen of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAO1∆GGh1	PAO1 deleted of both ampG (PA4393) and ampGh1 (PA4218)	This study
PAK Δ Gh1PAK deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of both $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $ampC$ $ampR$ from E. cloacae; Cmr16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Kmr28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gmr52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tcr52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypEXAG11pEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	PAKΔG	PAK deleted of ampG (PA4393)	This study
PAK Δ GGh1PAK deleted of both $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3GPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidsPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $ampC$ $ampC$ from E. cloacae; Cm ^r 16pGK\$273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc ^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying $ampGh1$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypEXAG11pEX18Tc carrying $ampGh1$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901 $ampG$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908 $ampGh1$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAK∆Gh1	PAK deleted of ampGh1 (PA4218)	This study
PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3G PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampG$ (PA4393)This studyPAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidspGEMT pEC1CPCR cloning vector ampC ampR from E. cloacae; Cm ^r PromegapGKS273-5ampG region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24 pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pEX18Tc pEXAGCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc ^r 14pEXAG pEX18Tc pEX18TcpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901 pZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAK∆GGh1	PAK deleted of both $ampG$ (PA4393) and $ampGh1$ (PA4218)	This study
PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of $ampGh1$ (PA4218)This studyPlasmidspGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $ampC$ $ampR$ from E. cloacae; Cm ^r 16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r 28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc ^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying $ampGh1$ deletion construct; Tc ^r This studypZY0901 $ampG$ gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	PAO1\DDh2Dh3G	PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of <i>ampG</i> (PA4393)	This study
PlasmidsPCR cloning vectorPromega $pEC1C$ $ampC ampR$ from E. cloacae; Cmr16 $pGKS273-5$ $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Kmr28 $pUCP24$ $pUC18-derived$ broad-host-range vector; Gmr52 $pUCP26$ $pUC18-derived$ broad-host-range vector; Tcr52 $pEX18Tc$ Counter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14 $pEXAG$ $pEX18Tc$ carrying $ampG$ deletion construct; TcrThis study $pZY0901$ $ampG$ gene of PAO1 cloned into $pUCP24$; GmrThis study $pZY0908$ $ampGhI$ gene of PAO1 cloned into $pUCP24$; GmrThis study	PAO14DDh2Dh3Gh1	PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 deleted of <i>ampGh1</i> (PA4218)	This study
pGEMTPCR cloning vectorPromegapEC1C $ampC ampR$ from E. cloacae; Cmr16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Kmr28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gmr52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tcr52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	Plasmids		
pEC1C $ampC ampR$ from E. cloacae; Cmr16pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Kmr28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gmr52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tcr52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypEXAG11pEX18Tc carrying ampG heletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pGEMT	PCR cloning vector	Promega
pGKS273-5 $ampG$ region from E. coli JRG582; Kmr28pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gmr52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tcr52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypEXAG11pEX18Tc carrying ampGh1 deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pEC1C	$ampC amp\tilde{R}$ from E. cloacae; Cm ^r	16
pUCP24pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm^r 52pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc^r 52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tc^r 14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; Tc^r This studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying ampGh1 deletion construct; Tc^r This studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm^r This study	pGKS273-5	ampG region from E. coli JRG582; Km ^r	28
pUCP26pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tcr52pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying ampGh1 deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pUCP24	pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Gm ^r	52
pEX18TcCounter selectable plasmid carrying sacB marker; oriT; Tcr14pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying ampGh1 deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pUCP26	pUC18-derived broad-host-range vector; Tc ^r	52
pEXAGpEX18Tc carrying ampG deletion construct; TcrThis studypEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying ampGh1 deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pEX18Tc	Counter selectable plasmid carrying <i>sacB</i> marker; <i>oriT</i> ; Tc ^r	14
pEXAGh1pEX18Tc carrying ampGh1 deletion construct; TcrThis studypZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pEXAG	pEX18Tc carrying $ampG$ deletion construct; Tc ^r	This study
pZY0901ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis studypZY0908ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; GmrThis study	pEXAGh1	pEX18Tc carrying <i>ampGh1</i> deletion construct; Tc ^r	This study
pZY0908 ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r This study	pZY0901	ampG gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r	This study
	pZY0908	ampGh1 gene of PAO1 cloned into pUCP24; Gm ^r	This study

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

^a Antibiotic resistance markers: Cm, chloramphenicol; Gm, gentamicin; Km, kanamycin; Tc, tetracycline.

plasmic membrane protein, thus acting as a sensory transducer molecule required for *ampC* induction (16), though the exact role of AmpE is not fully understood.

A recent study demonstrated that *ampC* expression in *P. aeruginosa* is coordinately repressed by three AmpD homologues (21). The three AmpD homologues are responsible for a stepwise *ampC* upregulation, ultimately leading to constitutive hyperexpression of the chromosomal cephalosporinase and high-level β -lactam resistance (21). Among clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, loss of *ampD* function often accounts for the β -lactam-resistant phenotype (21, 29, 46). More recently, β -lactam-resistant *P. aeruginosa* strains where the β -lactamase overproduction can be attributed to partial or full derepression of PBP4 and/or by sequential deletion of the *ampD* homologues have been isolated (33, 46). Blockage of NagZ, a glycoside hydrolase, represses both the intrinsic β -lactam resistance that is associated with the loss of AmpD activity (1).

It has been shown that the inactivation of ampG by mutation or deletion confers noninducible and low-level β -lactamase expression to the bacterial cell (23, 24, 26, 28). In this report, we demonstrate that *P. aeruginosa* carries only one functional AmpG protein, which is essential for the expression of AmpC. We further demonstrate that a proton motive force inhibitor, carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP), can effectively inhibit AmpG function in *P. aeruginosa*, rendering sensitivity to β -lactam through suppression of *ampC* expression. These results indicated that inhibition of AmpG activity could be an effective strategy for enhancing the efficacy of β -lactam antibiotics against Gram-negative pathogens carrying inducible chromosomal *ampC* genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. The laboratory strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *P. aeruginosa* strains PAO1 and PAK were used as wild types.

Cloning of *ampG* **homologues from** *P. aeruginosa.* Using PAO1 genomic DNA as a template, the two *ampG* homologues, PA4393 (*ampG*) and PA4218 (*ampGh1*), were PCR amplified with the primers listed in Table 2. PCR products were digested with BamHI-HindIII or EcoRI-HindIII and ligated into the same sites on pUCP24, resulting in pZY0901 and pZY0908, respectively.

Deletion of the *ampG* **homologues in** *P. aeruginosa.* Knockout mutants for the *ampG* homologues were constructed in accordance with the procedure described previously (11). With the use of purified PAO1 genomic DNA as a template, upstream and downstream 1-kb fragments of the PA4393 and PA4218 were digested with EcoRI-BamHI while downstream fragments were digested with EcoRI-BamHI while downstream fragments were digested with EcoRI-BamHI sites of pEX18Tc (14) through a three-way ligation, creating plasmids pEXAG and pEXAGh1, respectively. These two plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* strain S17-1 and conjugated into PAO1, PAK, or PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 to generate single crosses. Double crosses were the selected on Luria agar containing 5% sucrose. The resulting deletions of the *ampG* homologues were confirmed by PCR. A double *ampG* homologue mutant was further constructed from the single deletion mutants by the same procedure.

Antibiotic susceptibility tests. Bacterial MICs were determined for each antibiotic by the broth microdilution method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (5). For broth microdilution, serial 2-fold dilutions of the β -lactam antibiotics in Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) were delivered into a 96-well plate. Each inoculum contained about 10⁴ cells in 100 μ l

length (ob)	
AG-FB GGGATCCCCTGCACAACGACAGGGTGGACATACG 1.250 Cloni	ng of PA4393 ($ampG$)
AG-RHB GAAGCTTTCAAGATCTGTGCTGCTCGGCGTTCTGGTGTC	
AGh1-FR GGAATTCGTCACCGGAGACCACCATGCTTGAG 1.882 Clonic	ng of PA4218 (ampGh1)
AGh1-RH GAAGCTTAGGTGGAACGGCCACGCTAGCAACA	
AG-FUR GGAATTCGAACCAGTGTTCGTCGAAGAAGCGATC 988 PA43'	93 upstream fragment
AG-RUB GGGATCCGCGCACTCTAACCGCTCTACTTCGCTG	1 0
AG-FDB GGGATCCGGCGAGAATGAAAAAGGCCGGCATTCG 1,012 PA43'	93 downstream fragment
AG-RDH GAAGCTTCCAGGCCCGGAAACGTCGCCCCACGG	C C
AGh1-FUR GGAATTCTGGCGCTGTTCGACCTGCATGGCCTG 1,023 PA42	18 upstream fragment
AGh1-RUB GGGATCCGCTCAAGCATGGTGGTCTCCGGTGAC	
AGh1-FDB GGGATCCGGCGCTGCCGATAGGCGCGCAGCGCC 1,166 PA42	18 downstream fragment
AGh1-RDH GAAGCTTCGCGGCGAACTGCAGATGATCCTGCTC	Ū.

1100002. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	TABLE	2.	Primers	used	in	this	study	7
--	-------	----	---------	------	----	------	-------	---

^a Underlined are restriction endonuclease sites. Primer sequences are based on the published PAO1 genome sequence (46).

taken from starter cultures grown to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.5. The measurements of MICs in the presence of the CCCP were carried out by preparing 96-well plates containing serial dilutions of β -lactam antibiotics in 48.8 μ I of MHB, followed by the addition of 1.2 μ I of CCCP (10 mM in dimethyI sulfoxide [DMSO]) to give a final concentration 120 μ M. These broths were then inoculated with 50 μ I of the desired bacterial culture. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of antibiotic that prevented the bacterial growth after 16 to 20 h of incubation at 37°C. All MICs were determined in triplicate.

β-Lactamase activity assays. The assays were performed as described previously (49). *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* cells were induced for 1 h with 4 µg/ml cefoxitin and for 2 h with various concentrations of cefoxitin, respectively. Crude cell extracts were prepared by sonication, and β-lactamase activity was quantified in a UV spectrophotometric assay with 100 µM nitrocefin (Calibiochem, San Diego, CA) as a substrate (49). Specific activity of β-lactamase was expressed as nanomoles of nitrocefin hydrolyzed at 30°C per min per milligram of protein. The protein content of crude extracts was determined by using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay reagent (Pierce) with bovine serum albumin as a standard. All the induction experiments were performed in triplicate, and the results represent averages for the three experiments.

RESULTS

Role of *ampG* homologues in *ampC* expression and β -lactam resistance. A search of the *P. aeruginosa* genome database (http: //www.pseudomonas.com) for the homologues of ampG sequences of E. coli revealed the presence of two ampG homologues, PA4393 (ampG) and PA4218 (ampGh1). These two gene products shared 45% and 41% amino acid sequence identity, respectively, to AmpG of E. coli, while the two AmpG homologues share only 19% amino acid identity. To demonstrate the roles of ampG homologues in β -lactam resistance, the two ampG homologues of P. aeruginosa, ampG and ampGh1, were deleted individually or together in strains PAO1 and PAK and a highly β -lactam-resistant triple *ampD*-null mutant, PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 (21), creating PAO1 Δ G, PAO1 Δ Gh1, $PAO1\Delta GGh1, PAK\Delta G, PAK\Delta Gh1, PAK\Delta GGh1, PAO1\Delta D$ Dh2Dh3G, and PAO1\DeltaDDh2Dh3Gh1, respectively (Table 1). Deletions of ampG in the PAO1 (PAO1 Δ G), PAK (PAK Δ G), and PAO1 DDh2Dh3 (PAO1 DDh2Dh3G) strains led to significant decreases in MICs for ampicillin (8-, 16-, and 64-fold, respectively). The MICs of ceftazidime were not different for PAO1 Δ G, PAO1 Δ Gh1, PAK Δ G, and PAK Δ Gh1, compared to the levels for the respective parental strains, PAO1 and PAK. Similar results were observed in PAO1 Δ GGh1 and PAK Δ GGh1, double mutants of the two *ampG* homologues (Table 3). Notably, inactivation of ampG in strain PAO1ΔDDh2Dh3 led to a 32-fold decrease in ceftazidime MICs. However, deletions of *ampGh1* in PAO1 Δ Gh1, PAK Δ Gh1, and PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3Gh1 resulted in no change in their MICs for ampicillin and ceftazidime.

The MICs of cefotaxime for PAO1 Δ G, PAO1 Δ Gh1, PAK Δ G, and PAK Δ Gh1 were slightly reduced or did not change compared to those for the wild-type strains (PAO1 and PAK). Also, loss of *ampGh1* in PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 resulted in almost no change of MIC for cefotaxime. However, it is noticeable that the MIC of cefotaxime for PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 with the *ampG* mutation was 128-fold lower than that without the *ampG* mutation and remained below CLSI susceptibility breakpoints (Table 3).

Since AmpG activity is required to produce the signal molecules for AmpC induction, inactivation of ampG in P. aeruginosa should block β-lactam-mediated induction of *ampC* expression. Indeed, strains PAO1 Δ G, PAK Δ G, and PAO1ΔDDh2Dh3G all lost inducible expression of β-lactamase activity compared to the levels for their respective parental strains. In fact, at 50 µg/ml cefoxitin, these mutant strains underwent cell lysis, yet no β-lactamase activity could be detected in the supernatants or cell-associated fraction. Cefoxitin at lower nonlysing concentrations of 1 µg/ml, 10 µg/ml, and 25 μ g/ml were further tested for *ampC* induction. In the wild-type strain PAK, ampC expression gradually enhanced with the increase of cefoxitin concentration (Table 4). However, cefoxitin-induced β-lactamase levels were not significantly different from the basal levels in all of *ampG*-defective mutants, indicating that β -lactamase is no longer inducible in these mutants.

As shown previously (21), PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 exhibits high-basal-level and constitutive β -lactamase production (stably derepressed). Notably, inactivation of *ampG* in the PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 background yielded a dramatic decrease in the basal *ampC* expression level, with 1,242-fold-lower β -lactamase activity (Table 3). The decreased *ampC* expression resulting from the loss of the *ampG* gene was clearly reflected by the reductions of the MICs for ampicillin, ceftazidime, and cefotaxime.

PA4393 but not PA4218 encodes a functional AmpG protein in *P. aeruginosa*. The ability of PA4393 to complement the *ampG* mutant in *P. aeruginosa* was further tested. A plasmid expressing PA4393 (pZY0901) was transformed in PAO1ΔG, PAKΔG, and PAO1ΔDDh2Dh3G. MIC determination and β-lactamase induction assays (Table 3) revealed that PA4393

<u> </u>		MIC (µg/ml)		β-Lactama	se activity ^a
Strain	Ampicillin	Cefotaxime	Ceftazidime	Noninduced	Induced ^b
PAO1	256	16	1	4 ± 0.5	879 ± 54
PAK	512	8	1	6 ± 0.3	$1,219 \pm 65$
PAO1 Dh1 Dh2	1,024	512	32	$3,380 \pm 462$	$3,438 \pm 367$
PAO14G	32	8	1	3 ± 0.3	0
PAO1ΔGh1	256	16	1	_	_
PAO1∆GGh1	32	8	1	_	_
PAO1 _Δ G/pUCP24	32	8	1	_	_
$PAO1\Delta G/pZY0901$	1,024	256	16	52 ± 4	$1,303 \pm 87$
$PAO1\Delta G/pZY0908$	32	8	1	_	· —
PAKAG	32	8	1	2 ± 0.2	0
PAKAGh1	512	8	1	_	_
PAK∆GGh1	32	8	1	_	_
PAKAG/pUCP24	32	4	1	_	_
$PAK\Delta G/pZY0901$	512	512	32	$1,095 \pm 65$	$2,573 \pm 239$
PAO1ADDh2Dh3G	16	4	1	3 ± 0.1	0
PAO1 DDh2 Dh3 Gh1	1,024	256	32	_	_
PAO1 DDh2Dh3G/pUCP24	16	4	1	_	_
PAO1 DDh2Dh3G/pZY0901	1,024	512	32	$6,656 \pm 326$	$8,130 \pm 779$
SNO301-1/pEC1C/pUCP26	16	<2	_	43 ± 2	38 ± 2
SNO301-1/pEC1C/pGKS273-5	1,024	64	_	1530 ± 80	3600 ± 90
SNO301-1/pEC1C/pZY0901	1,024	32	_	161 ± 8	3250 ± 110

TABLE 3. MICs and specific activities for AmpC in the indicated strains

^a Nanomoles of nitrocefin hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of protein. -, not tested.

^b Induction was carried out with 50 µg of cefoxitin per ml for 2 h.

from PAO1 complemented the *ampG* mutant, resulting in high levels of ampicillin resistance as well as parental levels of β -lactamase activities. Also, the MICs of cefotaxime and ceftazidime for strains PAO1/pZY0901 and PAK/pZY0901 increased significantly compared to those for PAO1 and PAK, likely due to a high-copy-number *ampG* gene carried on pZY0901.

The mutation and complementation analysis described above clearly demonstrates that PA4393 carries a functional *ampG* gene. However, the fact that *ampGh1* deletion had no effect on the MICs suggested that this gene either is not expressed or does not code for a functional AmpG protein. To determine the ability of *ampGh1* to complement the *ampG* mutation, an *ampGh1* expression plasmid, pZY0908, was transformed into PAO1 Δ G. The *ampGh1* gene in this plasmid is preceded by a *lac* promoter from the vector. As shown in Table 3, the MICs of ampicillin, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime for mutant cells containing plasmid pZY0908 were not different from those for cells carrying either the control plasmid pUCP24 or no plasmid, indicating that PA4218 (*ampGh1*) does not code for a functional AmpG protein.

PA4393 is able to complement an E. coli ampG mutant. The P. aeruginosa ampG gene was further tested for its ability to complement E. coli ampG mutant strain SNO301-1. Since E. *coli* strains do not contain *ampR*, which is necessary for β -lactamase expression (36), the E. coli strain SNO301-1 was first transformed with plasmid pEC1C carrying the ampC and ampR genes of E. cloacae (34). As a positive control, plasmid pGKS273-5 carrying the *ampG* gene of *E. coli* behind a *tac* promoter was transformed into SNO301-1/pEC1C. As the data in Table 3 show, the control strain of SNO301-1 containing both pEC1C and pUCP26 exhibited low levels of resistance to ampicillin and cefotaxime and a low basal level of β -lactamase activity which is noninducible. Introduction of the ampG genes of E. coli and P. aeruginosa, as expressed from plasmids pGKS273-5 and pZY0901, respectively, resulted in high levels of β-lactam resistance and hyperinducible β-lactamase expression (Table 3). However, the basal level β -lactamase activity was 9-fold higher in SNO301-1/pEC1C/pGK273-5 than in SNO301-1/pEC1C/pZY0901 (Table 3) while induced β-lactamase activities reached similar levels. The differences in the

TABLE 4. Specific activities of AmpC in P. aeruginosa strains with indicated concentrations of inducer

		β-Lactama	se activity ^a	
Strain	No inducer	Inducer (1 µg/ml)	Inducer (10 μg/ml)	Inducer (25 μg/ml)
PAO1	4 ± 0.5	36 ± 10	165 ± 29	372 ± 66
РАК	6 ± 0.3	152 ± 12	747 ± 65	1.068 ± 83
PAO1 ^Δ DDh1Dh2	$3,380 \pm 462$	$2,981 \pm 382$	3.007 ± 415	3.022 ± 394
PAO1∆G	3 ± 0.3	3 ± 1.1	10 ± 1.3	0
PAKΔG	2 ± 0.2	2 ± 0.3	5 ± 0.8	0
PAO1 DDh1 Dh2G	3 ± 0.1	4 ± 1	8 ± 0.6	0

^a Nanomoles of nitrocefin hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of protein. The inducer used was cefoxitin.

		MIC (µ	ıg/ml)		
Strain	Ampie	cillin	Cefot	Cefotaxime	
	-I	+I	-I	+I	
PAO1	256	128	16	8	
PAK	512	256	8	8	
PAO1DDh2Dh3	1,024	64	512	64	
PAO1ΔG	32	32	8	4	
PAO1∆Gh1	256	256	16	8	
PAO1∆GGh1	32	32	8	4	
PAO1∆G/pUCP24	32	32	8	4	
$PAO1\Delta G/pZY0901$	1,024	512	256	128	
PAKAG	32	32	8	4	
PAKAGh1	512	256	8	8	
PAK∆GGh1	32	32	8	4	
PAKAG/pUCP24	32	32	4	4	
$PAK\Delta G/pZY0901$	512	32	512	256	
PAO14DDh2Dh3G	16	16	4	4	
PAO14DDh2Dh3Gh1	1,024	64	256	64	
PAO1 DDh2Dh3G/pUCP24	16	16	4	4	
PAO1 DDh2Dh3G/pZY0901	1,024	32	512	64	

TABLE 5. Susceptibilities of *P. aeruginosa* strains and their mutants to ampicillin and cefotaxime in the presence or absence of $CCCP^a$

^a The bacterial strains were treated with (+I) or without (-I) 120 µM CCCP.

basal-level β -lactamase activity might be due to the poor recognition of the *P. aeruginosa ampG* promoter in *E. coli*.

Inhibition of AmpG by CCCP. Given our findings that the genetic inactivation of ampG reduces β -lactam resistance in PAO1, PAK, and PAO1 Dh2Dh3, we speculated whether inhibition of AmpG could suppress bacterial resistance to β-lactams in P. aeruginosa. Carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) has previously been shown to prevent the uptake of 1,6-GlcNAc-anhydro-MurNAc and 1,6-GlcNAc-anhydro-MurNAc peptides by AmpG in E. coli (4), but its effect on antibiotic resistance has never been investigated. CCCP itself exhibited an antimicrobial property on P. aeruginosa, with MICs of 250 µM for PAO1 and 400 µM for PAK (data not shown). We tested the efficacy of ampicillin with the combined use of CCCP at concentrations lower than its MIC. The presence of 120 µM CCCP enhanced the efficacy of ampicillin against PAO1, PAO1AGh1, PAK, PAKAGh1, PAO1ADDh2Dh3, and PAO1 DDh2Dh3Gh1 2- to 8-fold (data not shown). Noticeably, a combination of 120 μ M CCCP with cefotaxime resulted in an 8-fold reduction in the MIC for PAO1ΔDDh2Dh3 and a 4-fold reduction in the MIC for PAO1ΔDDh1Dh2Gh1 (Table 5). The MICs of ampicillin and cefotaxime for the PAO1 Δ G, PAK Δ G, and PAO1 Dh2Dh3G strains were not significantly different in the presence and absence of CCCP, which might be due to low MICs for these strains. The ampG mutants transcomplemented with pZY0901 produced sensitivity profiles similar to those for parental strains (Table 5). Thus, these results suggest that CCCP can block AmpG activity to the point that AmpC production is suppressed, resulting in increased antimicrobial activity.

To further illustrate whether CCCP can reduce AmpC expression by blocking AmpG activity, we also tested β -lactamase activities in the presence of 120 μ M CCCP in PAO1 Δ DDh1Dh2, PAO1 Δ DDh1Dh2G, and PAO1 Δ DDh1Dh2G/pZY0901. AmpC production in strains PAO1 Δ DDh1Dh2 and PAO1 Δ DDh1Dh2G/pZY0901 decreased 3-fold in the presence of

TABLE 6. Specific activities of AmpC in *P. aeruginosa* in the presence or absence of $CCCP^a$

Strains	β-Lactama	β -Lactamase activity ^b			
Strains	-I	+I			
PAO1∆DDh2Dh3 PAO1∆DDh2Dh3G PAO1∆DDh2Dh3G/pZY0901	$\begin{array}{c} 3,380 \pm 462 \\ 3 \pm 0.3 \\ 6,656 \pm 326 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,222 \pm 145 \\ 3 \pm 0.7 \\ 2,797 \pm 442 \end{array}$			

 a The bacterial strains were cultured with (+I) or without (-I) 120 μM CCCP. b Nanomoles of nitrocefin hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of protein.

CCCP (Table 6), indicating an inhibitory role for CCCP in the AmpG function of *P. aeruginosa*.

CCCP confers increased sensitivity to ampicillin in clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. As a result of chronic colonization, *P. aeruginosa* isolates from CF patients often accumulate multiple mutations, causing various phenotypic changes, including mucoid colony morphology (10) and multidrug resistance (37). Eighteen randomly chosen CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* were tested for their susceptibility to ampicillin in the presence or absence of 120 μ M CCCP. In the absence of CCCP, 2 isolates were susceptible to ampicillin (MIC < 64), 11 were moderately resistant (MIC between 256 to 512), and 5 were highly resistant to ampicillin (MIC > 1,024) (Table 7). Interestingly, 10 out of the 18 isolates, representing over 55% of the randomly chosen isolates, displayed CCCP-dependent susceptibility to ampicillin, with decreases MICs ranging from 2-fold to over 128-fold (Table 7).

To test if the CCCP-mediated increase of sensitivity to ampicillin was due to the inhibitory effect of CCCP on efflux pumps, we tested the MICs of chloramphenicol and tetracycline. In the presence of CCCP, 4 isolates showed 4-fold decreases in chloramphenicol MICs (Table 7). Only 2 out of the 4 isolates produced similar effects on susceptibility to ampicil-

TABLE 7. Effect of CCCP on the susceptibility of CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline^a

			MIC (µg/m	l)		
Strain	Amp	Ampicillin		ohenicol	Tetracycline	
	-I	+I	-I	+I	-I	+I
1	512	512	256	64	8	8
2	256	256	256	256	8	8
3	64	64	256	64	8	8
4	512	512	32	32	8	8
5	512	512	32	32	8	8
6	>1,024	>1,024	8	8	8	8
7	256	8	8	8	8	8
8	256	8	32	32	16	8
9	512	8	16	16	8	8
10	>1,024	8	32	8	8	8
11	512	8	32	32	8	8
12	>1,024	>1,024	8	8	8	8
13	>1,024	8	32	8	8	8
14	512	8	16	8	8	8
15	>1,024	8	8	8	8	8
16	16	8	8	8	8	8
17	512	8	8	8	8	8
18	256	256	32	32	16	8

^a The bacterial strains were treated with (+I) or without (-I) 120 µM CCCP.

lin. However, the MICs of tetracycline for all of the strains were not significantly different in the presence and absence of CCCP (Table 7). These results further affirm that CCCP-mediated increase of sensitivity to ampicillin is through the inhibitory effect of CCCP on AmpG and not the efflux pumps.

DISCUSSION

In Gram-negative bacteria carrying inducible *ampC* genes on their chromosomes, the induction mechanism is directly linked to peptidoglycan recycling (35). *ampG* encodes a transmembrane protein that functions as a permease for 1,6-GlcNAc-anhydro-MurNAc peptides (7, 23). AmpG activity is required for the peptidoglycan monomers to enter the cytoplasm and be recycled and ultimately reincorporated into the peptidoglycan. Given that AmpG is responsible for the transport of the AmpC-inducing signal molecule (23, 28), blockage of AmpG activity may provide a novel strategy for enhancing the efficacy of β -lactams against bacteria carrying inducible *ampC*. AmpG inhibition would result in the suppression of both intrinsic *ampC* expression and the *ampC* hyperexpression caused by *ampD* mutations.

AmpG was originally identified as being required for induction of AmpC (β-lactamase) in E. cloacae (23). Recently, the topology of AmpG was investigated (3). Ten membrane-spanning segments were identified, and four other hydrophobic segments remained in the cytoplasm: two of these were too short to span the membrane, and the other two contained a mid-segment proline (3). Our experimental results indicated that PA4393 is the only functional ampG gene in the P. aeruginosa genome and that the gene product of PA4218 does not have AmpG function. Ablation of AmpG in P. aeruginosa either via genetic deletion or by the use of a proton motive force inhibitor (CCCP) significantly reduced resistance to β -lactams. The susceptibilities of PAO1 Δ G and PAK Δ G to ampicillin increased 8- to 16-fold. This is consistent with the observation of reduced AmpC production. The most profound effect on β -lactam resistance was observed when *ampG* was inactivated in PAO1 DDh2Dh3, a triple ampD-null mutant previously shown to exhibit the complete derepression of *ampC* and high-level resistance to antipseudomonal β -lactams (21). As shown previously (21), PAO1ΔDDh2Dh3 displayed high-level resistance to all antipseudomonal β-lactams tested except imipenem (a carbapenem resistant to hydrolysis by AmpC) compared to the level of resistance displayed by PAO1. This observation highlighted the requirement of AmpG activity for induction of AmpC expression in P. aeruginosa and indicated that the loss of AmpG activity can effectively reverse the significantly high-level-antipseudomonal-B-lactam-resistance phenotype of a mutant that is completely deficient in AmpD activity.

It is known that CCCP is an inhibitor of proton motive force and the resistance-nodulation-division efflux pump (38). A previous study also demonstrated that CCCP is an AmpG permease-specific inhibitor, affecting cell wall recycling (4), but its antimicrobial function has never been explored. The use of CCCP in combination with ampicillin and cefotaxime attenuated the resistance to these antibiotics close to the level of resistance by the *ampG* mutants (PAO1 Δ G, PAK Δ G, and PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 Δ G) (Table 5). Such a profound effect on PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3 Δ G provides good support for targeting of AmpG with inhibitors. Although CCCP was found to increase the significant efficacy of ampicillin against PAO1 Δ DDh2Dh3, it did not enhance the efficacy of ampicillin against PAO1 and PAK to the same extent. Comparison of these results to those achieved by genetic inactivation of *ampG* in these strains (Table 3) suggests that CCCP may not be able to completely inhibit endogenous AmpG, and thus, partial inhibition of AmpG cannot sufficiently prevent the transport of 1,6-GlcNAc-anhMurNAc and 1,6-GlcNAc-anhMurNAc peptides into the cytosol and the low basal level of AmpC. However, CCCP also has no obvious effect on cefotaxime when used against PAK Δ G/pZY0901 and PAO1 Δ G/pZY0901. It is possible that a weak *ampC*-inducing activity of the cefotaxime led to unremarkable CCCP inhibition (53).

To elucidate the role of the *P. aeruginosa ampG* product in regulation of AmpC expression, complementation studies of P. aeruginosa ampG mutants and the E. coli ampG mutant were performed with a cloned P. aeruginosa ampG gene. In these mutants, AmpC is constitutively produced at a low basal level and is noninducible. When P. aeruginosa ampG was expressed in PAO1 and PAK, the resulting strains produced moderate levels of the β -lactamase in the absence of an inducer and overproduced it in the presence of an inducer (Table 4). The expression of P. aeruginosa ampG also made these mutants highly resistant to ampicillin (Table 3). When P. aeruginosa ampG was expressed in E. coli SNO301-1/pEC1C, the ampC gene moderately produced β -lactamase in the absence of an inducer and overproduced it in the presence of the inducer (Table 3). Expression of *P. aeruginosa ampG* also made the *E.* coli ampG mutant highly resistant to β-lactam antibiotics (Table 3). These results indicated that the cloned P. aeruginosa ampG gene expresses a functional AmpG protein. These findings also suggest that P. aeruginosa AmpG functions as a permease and transports the 1,6-GlcNAc-anhMurNAc peptides, the signal molecule for induction of AmpC expression, from the periplasm to the cytoplasm (15, 18, 19, 23). The basal level of β -lactamase expression was 9-fold higher in cells expressing E. coli ampG than in cells expressing P. aeruginosa ampG. This difference is likely due to the weak ampG promoter of P. aeruginosa in E. coli, compared to the ampG gene that is under a strong tac promoter in plasmid pGKS273-5 (45).

An unexplained observation is that the basal level of β lactamase activity in PAK ΔG expressing *ampG* (PAK ΔG / pZY0901) was 180-fold higher than that in PAO1 (PAO1 ΔG / pZY0901) (Table 3), as if PAK ΔG had an additional *ampD* defect. Although this possibility is not ruled out completely, it is unlikely, due to the following reasons: first, the PAK ΔG mutant was independently generated twice, and tests of three mutants from each resulted in identical mutant phenotypes; second, the *ampG* and *ampD* gene loci are not physically linked, so an *ampD* mutation is unlikely to be introduced while the *ampG* mutant is generated; third, throughout the selection process, the bacterial cells were not subjected to selection by β -lactams (selected by tetracycline), so there was no selection pressure for *ampD* mutation.

Overall, AmpG is a valid target for the antimicrobial approach for a number of reasons. First, AmpG is essential for *ampC* (β -lactamase) expression and thus β -lactam resistance. Second, AmpG is a transmembrane protein and thus easier to

4778 ZHANG ET AL.

target the periplasmic portion, eliminating the need for a drug to penetrate inside the bacterial cytosol. Third, as part of the cell wall-recycling complex, AmpG is highly conserved among bacteria. Fourth, the effectiveness of AmpG inhibition as an antipseudomonal approach was further demonstrated by the CCCP-mediated increases in β-lactam efficacy obtained with laboratory as well as CF isolate strains of P. aeruginosa (Table 7). The mechanism of drug resistance in those CF isolates is not known at the present time, but a large proportion of these isolates (>55%) were responsive to CCCP-mediated "sensitization" to β -lactam, while having no effect on the MICs of chloramphenicol and tetracycline, demonstrating an efflux pump-independent mechanism. It is thus worthwhile to pursue better chemicals that show higher levels of specificity as well as inhibitory activity against AmpG while showing no cytotoxicity to humans.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ruba Knauf for technical assistance.

This work was supported by a UF Opportunity Fund (to S.J.). A.O. is supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain and Instituto de Salud Carlos III through the Spanish Network for the Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI C03/14 and RD06/0008) and grant PS09/00033.

REFERENCES

- Asgarali, A., K. A. Stubbs, A. Oliver, D. J. Vocadlo, and B. L. Mark. 2009. Inactivation of the glycoside hydrolase NagZ attenuates antipseudomonal beta-lactam resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53:2274–2282.
- Bush, K., G. A. Jacoby, and A. A. Medeiros. 1995. A functional classification scheme for beta-lactamases and its correlation with molecular structure. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:1211–1233.
- Chahboune, A., M. Decaffmeyer, R. Brasseur, and B. Joris. 2005. Membrane topology of the *Escherichia coli* AmpG permease required for recycling of cell wall anhydromuropeptides and AmpC beta-lactamase induction. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49:1145–1149.
- Cheng, Q., and J. T. Park. 2002. Substrate specificity of the AmpG permease required for recycling of cell wall anhydro-muropeptides. J. Bacteriol. 184: 6434–6436.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2009. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard, 8th ed. (M7-A8). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Dietz, H., D. Pfeifle, and B. Wiedemann. 1996. Location of N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanyl-D-glutamylmesodiaminopimelic acid, presumed signal molecule for beta-lactamase induction, in the bacterial cell. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40:2173–2177.
- Dietz, H., D. Pfeifle, and B. Wiedemann. 1997. The signal molecule for beta-lactamase induction in *Enterobacter cloacae* is the anhydromuramylpentapeptide. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:2113–2120.
- FitzSimmons, S. C. 1993. The changing epidemiology of cystic fibrosis. J. Pediatr. 122:1–9.
- Giwercman, B., P. A. Lambert, V. T. Rosdahl, G. H. Shand, and N. Hoiby. 1990. Rapid emergence of resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients due to *in vivo* selection of stable partially derepressed betalactamase producing strains. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 26:247–259.
- Govan, J. Ř., and V. Deretic. 1996. Microbial pathogenesis in cystic fibrosis: mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Burkholderia cepacia*. Microbiol. Rev. 60:539–574.
- Guo, M., Q. Zhu, and D. Gao. 2008. Development and optimization of method for generating unmarked *A. tumefaciens* mutants. Prog. Biochem. Biophys. 36:556–565.
- Hansen, C. R., T. Pressler, and N. Hoiby. 2008. Early aggressive eradication therapy for intermittent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* airway colonization in cystic fibrosis patients: 15 years experience. J. Cyst. Fibros. 7:523–530.
- Henikoff, S., G. W. Haughn, J. M. Calvo, and J. C. Wallace. 1988. A large family of bacterial activator proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 85:6602– 6606.
- Hoang, T. T., R. R. Karkhoff-Schweizer, A. J. Kutchma, and H. P. Schweizer. 1998. A broad-host-range Flp-FRT recombination system for site-specific excision of chromosomally-located DNA sequences: application for isolation of unmarked *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants. Gene 212:77–86.
- 15. Holtje, J. V., U. Kopp, A. Ursinus, and B. Wiedemann. 1994. The negative

regulator of beta-lactamase induction AmpD is a N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-L-alanine amidase. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **122:**159–164.

- Honore, N., M. H. Nicolas, and S. T. Cole. 1989. Regulation of enterobacterial cephalosporinase production: the role of a membrane-bound sensory transducer. Mol. Microbiol. 3:1121–1130.
- Jacobs, C., J. M. Frere, and S. Normark. 1997. Cytosolic intermediates for cell wall biosynthesis and degradation control inducible beta-lactam resistance in gram-negative bacteria. Cell 88:823–832.
- Jacobs, C., L. J. Huang, E. Bartowsky, S. Normark, and J. T. Park. 1994. Bacterial cell wall recycling provides cytosolic muropeptides as effectors for beta-lactamase induction. EMBO J. 13:4684–4694.
- Jacobs, C., B. Joris, M. Jamin, K. Klarsov, J. Van Beeumen, D. Mengin-Lecreulx, J. van Heijenoort, J. T. Park, S. Normark, and J. M. Frere. 1995. AmpD, essential for both beta-lactamase regulation and cell wall recycling, is a novel cytosolic N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase. Mol. Microbiol. 15:553–559.
- Juan, C., O. Gutierrez, A. Oliver, J. I. Ayestaran, N. Borrell, and J. L. Perez. 2005. Contribution of clonal dissemination and selection of mutants during therapy to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* antimicrobial resistance in an intensive care unit setting. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 11:887–892.
- Juan, C., B. Moya, J. L. Perez, and A. Oliver. 2006. Stepwise upregulation of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* chromosomal cephalosporinase conferring high-level beta-lactam resistance involves three AmpD homologues. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50:1780–1787.
- Koch, C. 2002. Early infection and progression of cystic fibrosis lung disease. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 34:232–236.
- Korfmann, G., and C. C. Sanders. 1989. ampG is essential for high-level expression of AmpC beta-lactamase in *Enterobacter cloacae*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 33:1946–1951.
- Langaee, T. Y. 2000. Characterization of the regulatory genes of inducible AmpC β-lactamse of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. AAT NQ48992. Universite Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada.
- Langaee, T. Y., M. Dargis, and A. Huletsky. 1998. An *ampD* gene in *Pseudo-monas aeruginosa* encodes a negative regulator of AmpC beta-lactamase expression. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42:3296–3300.
- 26. Langaee, T. Y., and A. Huletsky. 1997. Identification of the *ampG* gene encoding a signal transducer for induction of the chromosomal AmpC-lactamase in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, abstr. A-3, p. 1. Abstr. 97th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Lindberg, F., S. Lindquist, and S. Normark. 1987. Inactivation of the *ampD* gene causes semiconstitutive overproduction of the inducible *Citrobacter freundii* beta-lactamase. J. Bacteriol. 169:1923–1928.
- Lindquist, S., K. Weston-Hafer, H. Schmidt, C. Pul, G. Korfmann, J. Erickson, C. Sanders, H. H. Martin, and S. Normark. 1993. AmpG, a signal transducer in chromosomal beta-lactamase induction. Mol. Microbiol. 9:703–715.
- Lister, P. D., D. J. Wolter, and N. D. Hanson. 2009. Antibacterial-resistant *Pseudomonas aerugimosa*: clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 22:582– 610.
- Livermore, D. M. 1995. beta-Lactamases in laboratory and clinical resistance. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 8:557–584.
- Livermore, D. M. 1987. Clinical significance of beta-lactamase induction and stable derepression in gram-negative rods. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 6:439– 445.
- Lodge, J., S. Busby, and L. Piddock. 1993. Investigation of the *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa ampR gene and its role at the chromosomal ampC beta-lactamase promoter. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 111:315–320.
- Moya, B., A. Dotsch, C. Juan, J. Blazquez, L. Zamorano, S. Haussler, and A. Oliver. 2009. Beta-lactam resistance response triggered by inactivation of a nonessential penicillin-binding protein. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000353.
- 34. Nicolas, M. H., N. Honore, V. Jarlier, A. Philippon, and S. T. Cole. 1987. Molecular genetic analysis of cephalosporinase production and its role in beta-lactam resistance in clinical isolates of *Enterobacter cloacae*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **31**:295–299.
- Normark, S. 1995. beta-Lactamase induction in gram-negative bacteria is intimately linked to peptidoglycan recycling. Microb. Drug Resist. 1:111–114.
- 36. Normark, S., E. Bartowsky, J. Erickson, C. Jacobs, F. Lindberg, S. Lindquist, K. Weston-Hafer, and M. Wikström. 1994. Mechanisms of chromosomal β-lactamase induction in Gram-negative bacteria, p. 485–503. *In* J.-M. Ghuysen and R. Hakenbeck (ed.), Bacterial cell wall. Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Oliver, A., R. Canton, P. Campo, F. Baquero, and J. Blazquez. 2000. High frequency of hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Science 289:391–392.
- Pages, J. M., M. Masi, and J. Barbe. 2005. Inhibitors of efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria. Trends Mol. Med. 11:382–389.
- Pfeifle, D., E. Janas, and B. Wiedemann. 2000. Role of penicillin-binding proteins in the initiation of the AmpC beta-lactamase expression in *Entero*bacter cloacae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:169–172.
- 40. Romling, U., J. Wingender, H. Muller, and B. Tummler. 1994. A major

Pseudomonas aeruginosa clone common to patients and aquatic habitats. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **60**:1734–1738.

- Rossolini, G. M., and E. Mantengoli. 2005. Treatment and control of severe infections caused by multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 11(Suppl. 4):17–32.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory Manual, p. 1.21–1.101. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Sanders, C. C. 1987. Chromosomal cephalosporinases responsible for multiple resistance to newer beta-lactam antibiotics. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 41:573–593.
- Sanders, C. C., and W. E. Sanders, Jr. 1992. beta-Lactam resistance in gram-negative bacteria: global trends and clinical impact. Clin. Infect. Dis. 15:824–839.
- 45. Schmidt, H., G. Korfmann, H. Barth, and H. H. Martin. 1995. The signal transducer encoded by *ampG* is essential for induction of chromosomal AmpC beta-lactamase in *Escherichia coli* by beta-lactam antibiotics and 'unspecific' inducers. Microbiology 141(5):1085–1092.
- 46. Schmidtke, A. J., and N. D. Hanson. 2008. Role of *ampD* homologs in overproduction of AmpC in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52:3922–3927.
- Simon, R., U. Priefer, and A. Puhler. 1983. A broad range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in gramnegative bacteria. Biotechnology (NY) 1:784–791.

- 48. Stover, C. K., X. Q. Pham, A. L. Erwin, S. D. Mizoguchi, P. Warrener, M. J. Hickey, F. S. Brinkman, W. O. Hufnagle, D. J. Kowalik, M. Lagrou, R. L. Garber, L. Goltry, E. Tolentino, S. Westbrock-Wadman, Y. Yuan, L. L. Brody, S. N. Coulter, K. R. Folger, A. Kas, K. Larbig, R. Lim, K. Smith, D. Spencer, G. K. Wong, Z. Wu, I. T. Paulsen, J. Reizer, M. H. Saier, R. E. Hancock, S. Lory, and M. V. Olson. 2000. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406:959–964.
- 49. Trepanier, S., A. Prince, and A. Huletsky. 1997. Characterization of the *penA* and *penR* genes of *Burkholderia cepacia* 249 which encode the chromosomal class A penicillinase and its LysR-type transcriptional regulator. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:2399–2405.
- Vincent, J. L. 2003. Nosocomial infections in adult intensive-care units. Lancet 361:2068–2077.
- Vollmer, W., and J. V. Holtje. 2001. Morphogenesis of *Escherichia coli*. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 4:625–633.
- West, S. E., H. P. Schweizer, C. Dall, A. K. Sample, and L. J. Runyen-Janecky. 1994. Construction of improved *Escherichia-Pseudomonas* shuttle vectors derived from pUC18/19 and sequence of the region required for their replication in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Gene 148:81–86.
- Zhou, Z., L. Li, Y. Yu, and Y. Ma. 2003. The status of drug resistance and ampC gene expression in *Enterobacter cloacae*. Chin. Med. J. (Engl.) 116: 1244–1247.