

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Mol Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 November 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Microbiol. 2010 November; 78(4): 903–915. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07376.x.

# *Vibrio fischeri* flavohemoglobin protects against nitric oxide during initiation of the squid-*Vibrio* symbiosis

Yanling Wang<sup>1</sup>, Anne K. Dunn<sup>2</sup>, Jacqueline Wilneff<sup>1</sup>, Margaret J. McFall-Ngai<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Spiro<sup>3</sup>, and Edward G. Ruby<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany and Microbiology, University of Oklahoma

<sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Texas at Dallas

# Summary

Nitric oxide (NO) is implicated in a wide range of biological processes, including innate immunity against pathogens, signal transduction, and protection against oxidative stress. However, its possible roles in beneficial host-microbe associations are less well recognized. During the early stages of the squid-vibrio symbiosis, the bacterial symbiont *Vibrio fischeri* encounters host-derived NO, which has been hypothesized to serve as specificity determinant. We demonstrate here that the flavohemoglobin, Hmp, of *V. fischeri* protects against NO, both in culture and during colonization of the squid host. Transcriptional analyses indicate that *hmp* expression is highly responsive to NO, principally through the repressor, NsrR. Hmp protects *V. fischeri* from NO inhibition of aerobic respiration, and removes NO under both oxic and anoxic conditions. A *Ahmp* mutant of *V. fischeri* initiates squid colonization less effectively than wild type, but is rescued by the presence of an NO synthase inhibitor. The *hmp* promoter is activated during the initial stage of colonization, during which the *Ahmp* strain fails to form normal-sized aggregates of colonizing cells. Taken together, these results suggest that the sensing of host-derived NO by NsrR, and the subsequent removal of NO by Hmp, influence aggregate size and, thereby, *V. fischeri* colonization efficiency.

#### Keywords

nitric oxide; Hmp; NsrR; colonization

# Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a small, freely diffusible molecule that is implicated in a wide range of biological processes including innate immunity, signal transduction, and protection against oxidative stress (Fang, 2004) Due to its reactivity towards diverse cellular constituents such as [Fe-S] clusters and heme, NO is used by many eukaryotes as an antimicrobial agent. In addition, when combined with reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are produced by NADPH oxidase during the "oxidative burst", NO can also form other radicals of even greater cytotoxicity (Fang, 2004). Therefore, NO and ROS are regarded as early and effective host immune responses to invasive microorganisms. In contrast, at low (nM) concentrations, NO is an important signaling molecule that triggers specific physiological responses in mammalian cells, mainly by interacting with the heme-NO/Oxygen-binding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Edward G. Ruby. Mailing address: University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, MSB 5203, Madison, WI 53706., Phone: 608-262-5911. Fax: 608-262-8418. egruby@wisc.edu.

(HNOX) domain of the soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC) (Cary *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, in prokaryotes, low concentrations of NO are sensed by certain transcriptional regulators (for example, NsrR and NorR), resulting in the initiation of specific cellular responses (Spiro, 2007). Moreover, the wide distribution of homologues of the eukaryotic HNOX domain among prokaryotic lineages (Iyer *et al.*, 2003) suggests that NO sensing via HNOX proteins may be important in bacteria as well, including *V. fischeri* (Wang *et al.*, 2010).

Bacteria have evolved specific mechanisms to detoxify NO, whether it is endogenously generated during nitrite reduction, or exogenously imposed by host defenses. In *Escherichia coli*, flavohemoglobin (Hmp) detoxifies NO both aerobically as an NO dioxygenase or  $O_2$  nitroxylase and, to a lesser extent, anaerobically as a NO reductase (Poole & Hughes, 2000). Hmp plays a critical role during pathogenesis, for example, in intraphagosomal survival and virulence of *Salmonella typhimurium* in mice (Stevanin *et al.*, 2002; Bang *et al.*, 2006), in protecting the respiration of *Erwinia chrysanthemi* from NO inhibition (Boccara *et al.*, 2005), and in resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* to host innate immunity (Richardson *et al.*, 2006).

While *hmp* expression is generally up-regulated by NO and nitrosating agents such as *S*nitrosoglutathione, the underlying mechanism can vary. For instance, *E. coli* NsrR, an [Fe-S]-containing regulatory protein, represses *hmp* transcription in the absence of NO (Spiro, 2007), while *hmp* expression in *Vibrio cholerae* is predicted to be regulated by NorR, a sigma 54-dependent activator (Rodionov *et al.*, 2005). NO induces *hmp* expression either by attacking the [Fe-S] cluster in NsrR (Tucker *et al.*, 2010), or nitrosylating the non-heme iron in NorR, which relieves intramolecular repression and leads to activation of gene expression (Arai *et al.*, 2005; D'Autreaux *et al.*, 2005). NorR can also activate the expression of genes encoding another important NO-detoxifying activity, the flavorubredoxin NorV (FIRd) and its redox partner NorW in *E. coli* (Hutchings *et al.*, 2002; Gardner *et al.*, 2002). Interestingly, cytochrome *c* nitrite reductase (NrfA), also works in combination with NorVW to protect *S. typhimurium* against NO killing in anoxic environments (Mills *et al.*, 2008). Thus, synthesis of one or more of these different NO detoxification systems enables a bacterium to survive high concentrations of NO over a range of oxygen concentrations.

Recent studies have revealed the existence of functional commonalities in the mechanisms underlying pathogenic and beneficial host-microbe associations (Hooper et al., 2001; Rawls et al., 2004; Koropatnick et al., 2004). However, in contrast to the well-described role of NO in defending against pathogens, its function(s) in beneficial interactions are poorly understood. NO is known to be present in nodules of the model legume Medicago truncatula during its interactions with symbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti (Mathieu et al., 1998; Baudouin et al., 2006); however, only recently has an hmp mutant been shown to display a reduced nitrogen-fixation efficiency in planta (Meilhoc et al., 2010). The role(s) of NO in the symbiosis between the marine luminous bacterium Vibrio fischeri and the Hawaiian bobtail squid, Euprymna scolopes has been better described (Davidson et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2010). In this symbiosis, V. fischeri resides along the apical surfaces of epithelia lining the crypts of the host's light-emitting organ, populating it as a monospecific culture. The symbiont's bioluminescence is exploited by the squid in an anti-predatory behavior called counterillumination; in return, the squid provides nutrients to the symbiont (Graf & Ruby, 1998; Wier et al., 2010). Because the nascent light organ of a newly hatched squid is free of symbionts, each generation of juveniles must become inoculated by V. fischeri present in the ambient seawater. During initiation of the symbiosis, V. fischeri cells gather as an aggregate in mucus shed by the epithelial surface of the organ. After 2 to 4 hours, the symbionts disaggregate and migrate toward and into pores on the organ's surface, moving through ducts to the deep crypts, where the symbiont population is established within 12 hours (Nyholm & McFall-Ngai, 2004). At the aggregation stage, the bacteria are first exposed to a

relatively low level of host-derived NO produced by vesicles embedded in the secreted mucus, while later they again encounter NO at higher levels as they traverse the light-organ ducts (Davidson *et al.*, 2004), where ROS are also produced (Small & McFall-Ngai, 1999). Given its antimicrobial and signaling properties, this host-derived NO has been proposed to act as both a specificity determinant and a symbiotic signal (Nyholm & McFall-Ngai, 2004; Wang *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, while the location and relative intensity of NO exposure have been well described only during the first few hours to days of the symbiosis, the presence of NO synthase (NOS), and likely, NO in the symbiont-containing tissues continue throughout the life of the host (Davidson *et al.*, 2004).

Analysis of the *V. fischeri* genome sequence (Ruby *et al.*, 2005) has revealed the presence of genes encoding homologues of Hmp (VF\_2316) and NorVW (VF\_1782-1781), as well as the regulators NsrR (VF\_2315) and NorR (VF\_1783), and a bacterial homologue of HNOX (VF\_A0071). Thus, we hypothesize that *V. fischeri* both senses and detoxifies host-derived NO encountered in the early stages of the symbiosis. Previously, we have established that the *V. fischeri* HNOX protein senses NO, differentially regulates the expression of the Fur regulon, and modulates colonization proficiency (Wang *et al.*, 2010). Here, we report Hmp is required for NO resistance both in pure culture and during animal colonization: specifically, (i) NO induces expression of *V. fischeri hmp*, mainly through NsrR; (ii) Hmp removes NO under both oxic and anoxic conditions; and, (iii) *hmp* expression is activated at the aggregation stage of colonization, and the capacity to remove NO by Hmp controls the size and symbiotic efficiency of these bacterial aggregates.

# Results

#### V. fischeri hmp expression responds to NO through its negative regulator NsrR

A previous whole-genome transcriptomic study showed that the *V. fischeri hmp* gene was up-regulated >120 fold in response to NO (Wang *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, when wild type *V. fischeri* was exposed aerobically to 80  $\mu$ M of the NO-generator DEA-NONOate, *hmp* was induced >170 fold (Fig. 1A) as measured by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), confirming the previous study's microarray result. An even stronger response (>300 fold) was observed under anoxic conditions, perhaps because the NO being generated was not scavenged by oxygen (Gilberthorpe *et al.*, 2007). In contrast, only in the absence of oxygen did NO significantly induce the expression of *norV* (Fig. 1B).

A bioinformatic study of the *V. fischeri* genome sequence predicted the presence of a binding site for NsrR upstream of *hmp* (Rodionov *et al.*, 2005). Thus, we hypothesized that the *V. fischeri* NsrR is an NO-responsive regulator of *hmp* expression. To test this hypothesis, we constructed a deletion mutant of *nsrR* ( $\Delta nsrR$ ) by allelic exchange, and used qRT-PCR to measure the relative expression levels of *hmp* under different conditions. Under normal growth conditions, *hmp* was constitutively expressed at a higher level (230 to 250 fold) in the  $\Delta nsrR$  mutant, irrespective of the presence or absence of oxygen (Table 1). This observation strongly supports the role of NsrR as a negative regulator when NO is absent. When *nsrR* was deleted, *hmp* expression levels in NO-treated and untreated  $\Delta nsrR$  cells were within a factor of 2 of each other (Table 1). Thus, NsrR appears to be the major NO-responsive regulator for *hmp* transcription under both aerobic and anaerobic growth conditions.

#### Hmp ameliorates the toxic effects of NO under both oxic and anoxic culture conditions

Because *hmp* expression is highly responsive to NO, both in the presence and absence of oxygen, while *norV* was significantly induced only under anoxic conditions (Fig. 1), we asked what the physiological roles of the two systems were during NO stress. Four deletion

mutants ( $\Delta nsrR$ ,  $\Delta hmp$ ,  $\Delta norV$  and  $\Delta hmp-norV$ ) had the same aerobic growth rate as wild type (data not shown). In contrast, the addition of an NO-generator (100  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate) during early-exponential growth (OD<sub>600</sub> 0.2 to 0.4) resulted in an immediate growth arrest only in the  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta hmp-norV$  strains (Fig. 2A). After 2 hrs, both these strains had recovered and begun growing like wild type, suggesting that, at this concentration, the effect of NO is simply bacteriostatic. A determination of the total colony forming units (CFUs) of cultures during the NO exposure supported this conclusion (Fig. S1). Consistent with the previous observation that NorVW contributes only to anaerobic NO detoxification in *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* (Gardner *et al.*, 2002;Mills *et al.*, 2008), an imposed aerobic NO challenge did not halt the growth of the *V. fischeri*  $\Delta norV$  mutant (Fig. 2A).

Based on the negative regulation of *hmp* by NsrR (Table 1), and its likely mechanism of NO-sensing mechanism (Tucker et al., 2010), we made two predictions: (i) pretreatment of wild-type cells with a low dose (*e.g.*, 40  $\mu$ M) of NO will relieve *hmp* repression, thereby resulting in a greater resistance to subsequent NO challenge; and, (ii) because *hmp* expression is constitutive in the  $\Delta nsrR$  mutant (Table 1), this strain will be 'blind'' to the pretreatment, but still able to cope with the NO stress. As predicted, aerobically grown wild-type cells pretreated with NO were resistant to NO challenge while, without the pretreatment, they exhibited a short (approximately 1 hr) delay before recovering (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the  $\Delta nsrR$  mutant was resistant to NO challenge, even in the absence of pretreatment (Fig. 2B). These data indicate that *hmp* expression levels correlate directly with the capacity for aerobic NO resistance.

No difference in anaerobic growth was observed with any of the deletion mutants in the absence of NO challenge (Fig. S2). However, in contrast to the growth profile obtained under oxic conditions (Fig. 2A), both the  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta norV$  strains were arrested for 2 hrs after the challenge, and growth of the double mutant ( $\Delta hmp$ -norV) was even more severely inhibited by NO addition (Fig. 2C). The delayed recovery of the three mutants suggests that, as with *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* (Mills *et al.*, 2008), in *V. fischeri* both Hmp and NorV contribute to removing NO under anoxic conditions.

The protective effect of NO pretreatment was also evident under anoxic growth conditions. In contrast to the 1.5-hr lag observed in wild-type cells without pretreatment, a pre-exposure to 5  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate, while initially leading to a partial growth retardation, did rescue cells from the strong growth inhibition caused by a subsequent, stronger NO challenge (Fig. 2D). This rescue probably results from the increased expression of *hmp* and *norV* (Fig. 1). Interestingly, in contrast to the full resistance seen under oxic conditions (Fig. 2B), the *AnsrR* mutant remained sensitive to an anaerobic challenge by NO (Fig. 2D). This observation suggests that Hmp itself, even when constitutively expressed, is not sufficient for removal of NO in the absence of oxygen. Thus, while Hmp may be the major NO scavenging mechanism in the presence of oxygen, Hmp and NorV apparently work in combination under anoxic conditions.

#### Hmp protects aerobic respiration from NO inhibition

Owing to its reactivity with the heme groups of proteins, NO transiently and reversibly inhibits the activity of bacterial oxidases by competing with oxygen for binding (Stevanin *et al.*, 2000; Borisov *et al.*, 2004). To ask whether *V. fischeri* Hmp protects aerobic respiration from this inhibition, we determined the NO concentration that gave rise to half-maximal inhibition of oxygen consumption by cell suspensions (Fig. S3). For wild-type *V. fischeri*, this concentration was  $2.4 \pm 0.08 \,\mu$ M, while the  $\Delta hmp$  strain ( $0.58 \pm 0.02 \,\mu$ M) was 4-fold more sensitive. This increased sensitivity, which is close to that reported for an *E. coli hmp* 

mutant (Stevanin *et al.*, 2000), supports the conclusion that Hmp protects *V. fischeri* from NO-dependent inhibition of respiration.

We next compared the inhibitory effect of NO on respiration in wild-type,  $\Delta hmp$ ,  $\Delta norV$ ,  $\Delta hmp$ -norV and  $\Delta nsrR$  strains. Before exposure to NO, the wild-type,  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta nsrR$ strains consumed oxygen at a similar rate (Fig. 3A); however, the addition of NO immediately inhibited oxygen uptake by wild-type and  $\Delta hmp$  strains (traces 1 and 3, Fig. 3A). In contrast, respiration by the  $\Delta nsrR$  strain, in which hmp expression is constitutive (Table 1), was resistant to NO exposure (trace 2, Fig. 3A). In addition, the period of inhibition for both the  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta hmp$ -norV strains was 5-fold longer than that of the wildtype and  $\Delta norV$  strains (Table 2), further implicating Hmp as the major contributor to NO removal under these conditions. Of note, when either wild-type or  $\Delta hmp$  cells carried pYLW29, which encodes a wild-type copy of hmp, they became hyper-resistant to NO inhibition (Table 2), possibly due either to the high (10-15) copy number of the plasmid or to NsrR titration by the native hmp promoter in the plasmid construct (Dunn, et al., 2010). As expected, because *hmp* expression is highly responsive to NO (Fig. 1), pretreatment of either wild-type or  $\Delta norV$  strains with NO resulted in loss of sensitivity of respiration to NO (trace 1, Fig. 3B;Table 2). Surprisingly, pretreatment with NO allowed both the  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta hmp$ -norV strains to continue to consume oxygen, albeit at a reduced rate (trace 3, Fig. 3B;Table 2). This intriguing result is consistent with NO-mediated induction (via NsrR) of the NO-resistant alternative oxidase (Dunn et al., 2010).

#### Hmp confers colonization efficiency in the squid-vibrio symbiosis

We hypothesized that Hmp and/or NorV serve to detoxify host-derived NO during the establishment of symbiosis by *V. fischeri*. Using inoculation conditions under which approximately 50% of juvenile squids were colonized by wild-type, only 40%, 22% and 17% of the animals were colonized by the  $\Delta norV$ ,  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta hmp$ -norV strains, respectively (Table 3), suggesting a lower colonization efficiency. In addition, the  $\Delta hmp$ -norV and  $\Delta hmp$  strains consistently took longer to initiate symbiotic bioluminescence and colonization compared to either the wild-type or  $\Delta norV$  strains (Table 3). Thus, while both Hmp and NorV may function in colonization, the contribution of Hmp appears more important. We further compared the infectivity of wild-type and  $\Delta hmp$  strains by determining the inoculum dose that resulted in colonization of 50% of the animals (ID<sub>50</sub>). In this assay, about 670 CFU/ml of wild type were required to colonize half of a cohort of squids, while the ID<sub>50</sub> of  $\Delta hmp$  was ~ 2-fold higher (Fig. S4). Interestingly, the  $\Delta nsrR$  mutant did not colonize more effectively than wild type in the assay (Table 3), suggesting that a constitutive expression of *hmp* early in colonization does not confer a significant colonization advantage.

The relative symbiotic proficiency of each of the mutants was also compared to wild type using a competitive-colonization assay. When juvenile squids were exposed to a two-strain inoculum, wild type out-competed the  $\Delta hmp$  or  $\Delta hmp$ -norV strains by 3–4 fold (Table 3). In the natural environment, where juvenile squids are likely to be colonized by only one or a few *V.fischeri* cells (Wollenberg & Ruby, 2009;Lee & Ruby, 1994), a 3- to 4-fold advantage would result in a significant increase in fitness over time. Just as the  $\Delta nsrR$  strain did not show higher efficiency in the single-strain colonization, it also did not out-compete wild type (Table 3, Fig. S5). If the diminished competitiveness of the  $\Delta hmp$  or  $\Delta hmp$ -norV strains is due to their reduced ability to withstand host-derived NO stress, we reasoned that decreasing host-derived NO production would protect these two mutants. This hypothesis was tested by performing competition experiments in the presence of *S*-methyl-L-thiocitrulline (SMTC), a nitric-oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitor that effectively reduces NO levels in the light organ of juvenile squid (M. Altura, pers. comm.). Consistent with the prediction, SMTC treatment enhanced the relative colonization competence of the two

mutants(Table 3). Similarly, genetic complementation of the  $\Delta hmp$  strain with a wild-type copy of hmp (on pYLW29) also reversed its colonization defect (data not shown). Thus, the ability to remove NO stress during the establishment of the symbiosis contributes to colonization efficiency, and is evident within the first 24 hours.

#### The hmp promoter responds to NO in host mucus through NsrR

Because *hmp* expression increases in response to NO in culture (Fig. 1), we asked whether the bacteria encounter sufficient NO in the mucus outside the light organ to activate this response during the initial stage of the colonization. We introduced a plasmid (pYLW45) containing: i) the *hmp* promoter fused to a promoterless *gfp*; and ii) a constitutively expressed *rfp*, into both the wild-type and the  $\Delta nsrR$  strains. The appearance of fluorescence in these strains was monitored by a microplate reader and laser-scanning confocal microscopy. Cells were monitored first in seawater to determine whether the constructs would faithfully report the presence of NO, and then in mucus as the bacteria initiated symbiosis. In the wild type containing pYLW45, GFP expression was detected when 10 to 100 µM DEA-NONOate was added to seawater, with the response peaking between 2.5 to 3 hrs (Fig. S6), consistent with the reported maturation time of GFP (Ward, 2005). In the  $\Delta nsrR$  mutant suspended in seawater, the *gfp* reporter was constitutively expressed, and at higher levels than those observed in wild type (data not shown).

When observed by confocal microscopy, significantly higher GFP fluorescence in wild type cells was detected when the NO generator DEA-NONOate was added to seawater (Fig. 4). As predicted, the reporter was constitutively expressed in  $\Delta nsrR$  cells, irrespective of the presence or absence of the NO generator (Fig. 4). When the two strains carried the vector control (pVSV209) instead, only RFP was detected (data not shown).

Similarly, *hmp* promoter activity was induced as the wild-type *V. fischeri* cells initially encountered juvenile squid (Fig. 4). Within the first 3 to 4 hrs of aggregating in host mucus, wild-type cells carrying pYLW45 began producing GFP, and the fluorescence intensity appeared to be at a similar level as that observed in the same strain after exposure to NO in seawater. Again, the reporter *gfp* was expressed at high levels in  $\Delta nsrR$  cells, regardless of the test conditions (Fig. 4). In aggregates formed by the wild-type and  $\Delta nsrR$  strains carrying the vector control (pVSV209), only RFP fluorescence was detected (data not shown). Thus, the *hmp* promoter of *V. fischeri* is activated during the aggregation stage of colonization, and NsrR is involved in its de-repression. Of note, pre-treating squids with 100 µM of the NOS inhibitor SMTC lowered the GFP intensity in wild-type cells, although not significantly, perhaps because the treatment did not lower the concentration of NO below the sensing sensitivity level of NsrR.

#### Hmp protects against NO in the mucus and affects the size of aggregates

Because *V. fischeri* detects NO in the mucus (Fig. 4), and high levels of NO limit the size of its aggregates (Davidson *et al.*, 2004), we wondered whether removal of NO by Hmp contributes to the ability of *V. fischeri* to form normal-sized aggregates. It seemed reasonable to predict that the  $\Delta nsrR$  mutant would form a larger aggregate than wild type, while an aggregate formed by the  $\Delta hmp$  mutant would be smaller than that of wild type. If we observed the area of the host's mantle cavity in which the symbiont aggregates (Fig. 5A), we found that the  $\Delta nsrR$  strain had formed large and tight aggregates, which were easily detected as bright green patches, 2 to 3.5 hrs after inoculation (Fig. 5B). In contrast, the  $\Delta hmp$  strain formed much smaller aggregates of only a few cells. Over the next few hours, the size of wild-type and  $\Delta hmp$  aggregates increased, although the former remained generally larger (data not shown).

Pre-treating juvenile squids with the NOS inhibitor SMTC for 2 to 3 hrs before inoculation ameliorated these differences between the strains (Fig. 5B). Specifically,  $\Delta hmp$  now formed larger aggregates, further suggesting that ambient NO levels somehow limit aggregation. In contrast,  $\Delta nsrR$  cells appeared blind to NO levels in the mucus, forming aggregates of similar size and appearance in both non-treated and SMTC-treated squids (Fig. 5B). Intriguingly, when wild type and one of the two mutants were differentially marked with either constitutively expressed *gfp* (pVSV102) or constitutively expressed *rfp* (pVSV208), and co-inoculated at a 1:1 ratio, wild type did not appear to dominate  $\Delta hmp$  in the aggregation, nor did  $\Delta nsrR$  dominate over wild type (data not shown). One possibility is that the strain with the higher level of Hmp effectively removed the ambient NO, compensating for the other strain thereby masking the difference seen in single-strain colonization experiments. Taken together, these data support the conclusion that the capacity to remove NO by Hmp controls the size of aggregates in the early stages of the symbiosis.

# Discussion

In this study, we have used the squid-vibrio symbiosis to establish that: (i) *V. fischeri* Hmp is an NO-inducible protein that confers protection against NO both *in vitro* and during host colonization; (ii) NsrR is the major NO-responsive regulator of *hmp* expression; and, (iii) early during symbiosis, exposure to NO in host mucus serves as a signal that may prepare the colonizing bacteria for a stronger NO stress encountered as the symbioint migrates through the light-organ duct (Davidson *et al.*, 2004). To our knowledge, this is the first report of the physiological function of Hmp in the Vibrionaceae; more significantly, it demonstrates a novel process by which a bacterial symbiont both responds to and copes with NO during its initial contact with the host.

Genes encoding NO-detoxification systems are widely distributed among bacteria (Rodionov *et al.*, 2005), and allow the cells to survive NO that is either endogenously generated, or imposed externally by host tissues. Interestingly, while the organization of the genes encoding the major NO-detoxification systems (*i.e.*, *hmp* and *norVW*) is conserved among *Vibrio* species, there are apparent differences in the architecture of their regulatory networks. For instance, in *Vibrio cholerae*, *hmp* expression is predicted to be controlled by the positive regulator NorR, but not by the repressor NsrR (Rodionov *et al.*, 2005). Thus, within the Vibrionaceae, the induction of *hmp* expression by NO may involve different regulators, indicating the need to look beyond the well-studied enteric bacteria for novel regulatory mechanisms. For example, *hmp* was recently reported to be a novel target for HapR regulation in *V. cholerae* (Tsou *et al.*, 2009), suggesting that quorum-sensing may play an unanticipated role in this bacterium's NO-stress response.

The *hmp* promoter region of *V. fischeri* has predicted binding sites both for NsrR, the repressor considered in this study, and for NarP (Rodionov *et al.*, 2005). NarQP is a two-component sensor-regulator that senses and responds to the presence of nitrate and nitrite in *E. coli* (Stewart, 2003). While it is not known whether *V. fischeri* encounters these anionic forms of nitrogen during the initiation of colonization, after the association is established, the symbionts apparently generate energy by anaerobic nitrite respiration as they proliferate in the light-organ crypts (Wier *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, *hmp* expression in *V. fischeri* was up-regulated about 10-fold during nitrate respiration (pers. comm., J. Schwartzman), possibly through NarQP modulation or NsrR that senses NO from nitrate metabolism. It is tempting to hypothesize that NarQP-mediated *hmp* activation prepares the bacterium for detoxification of any endogenously produced NO during its respiration of nitrate.

Because cytochrome *c* nitrite reductase (Nrf) has been implicated in the respiratory detoxification of NO by *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* (Poock *et al.*, 2002; Mills *et al.*, 2008),

Wang et al.

we also asked whether the V. fischeri Nrf plays a similar role. Mutants deleted for the entire nrf operon (VF\_1548-VF\_1555) alone, or in combination with either hmp or norV, were constructed. Interestingly, the  $\Delta nrf$  strain behaved similarly to wild type in the anoxic challenge experiment described above, while the  $\Delta hmp$ -nrf and  $\Delta norV$ -nrf strains reacted like the  $\Delta hmp$  and  $\Delta norV$  mutants, respectively (data not shown). It is possible that the presence of at least one NO-detoxification system (*i.e.*, Hmp or NorV) masked the activity of Nrf as a NO reductase in V. fischeri; alternatively, nrf may simply not be expressed under the growth conditions used. In any case, our inability to obtain a  $\Delta hmp$ -norV-nrf triple mutant (even in the absence of added NO) may indicate the need by V. fischeri to maintain at least one intact NO mechanism for response to NO stress.

Apart from *hmp*, two other genes, *nnrS* and *aox*, are predicted to belong to the NsrR regulon in *V. fischeri* (Rodionov *et al.*, 2005). NnrS is believed to be involved in denitrification (Bartnikas *et al.*, 2002), although its physiological role remains unknown. Recently we reported that Aox, the alternative oxidase, is an NO-resistant oxidase in *V. fischeri*, (Dunn *et al.*, 2010). Not surprisingly, in wild-type cells, the expression of *aox*, like *hmp*, is highly upregulated in response to NO (Wang *et al.*, 2010; Dunn *et al.*, 2010). Thus, we predicted that the ability of NO-pretreatment to protect respiration in both *Ahmp* and *Ahmp-norV* strains (Fig. 3B; Table 3) was due to de-repression of *aox*. Indeed, while the *Ahmp* strain was only partially inhibited by NO after pretreatment, oxygen consumption by a *Ahmp-aox* mutant ceased completely for about 9–10 min before returning to normal (data not shown). This observation suggests that Aox continues to consume oxygen in the presence of NO (trace 3, Fig. 3B; Dunn *et al.*, 2010), supporting its role as an inducible NO-resistant oxidase.

Because NsrR represses two enzymes that provide resistance to NO (Wang *et al.*, 2010; Dunn *et al.*, 2010), and the  $\Delta nsrR$  strain appeared to be more resistant to NO challenge under culture conditions, we were surprised to find that the  $\Delta nsrR$  strain did not out-compete wild-type bacteria in a competitive colonization of juvenile squid. One possible explanation is that the  $\Delta nsrR$  strain becomes more sensitive to the oxidative stress (Gilberthorpe *et al.*, 2007) that is encountered during the establishment of symbiosis (Weis *et al.*, 1996; Small & McFall-Ngai, 1999; Visick & Ruby, 1998). Specifically, although Hmp plays an important role in NO resistance, it is also a potent generator of ROS such as superoxide anion (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) (Bang *et al.*, 2006; Gilberthorpe et al., 2007). Thus, an Hmp over-producing strain like  $\Delta nsrR$  might be more susceptible to oxidative killing, either directly by O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> or its derivative hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), or indirectly by providing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for halide peroxidase-mediated synthesis of highly toxic hypochlorous acid (Small & McFall-Ngai, 1999). Thus, although the *V. fischeri*  $\Delta nsrR$  strain has an increased resistance to host-derived NO stress, it may be exposed to a more severe oxidative killing by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and hypochlorous acid produced by the duct epithelium of the light organ (Weis *et al.*, 1996).

In the first few hours of the squid-vibrio symbiosis, where both host-derived NO and a respiratory burst are simultaneously present (Davidson *et al.*, 2004; Small & McFall-Ngai, 1999), NO may serve not only as a specificity determinant, but also as an inducer of oxidative-stress protection in the symbiont. For instance, in response to NO induction, *V. fischeri* suppresses both iron uptake/utilization and cystine transport, probably to limit potential oxidative damage (Wang *et al.*, 2010).

Because the presence of NO in the light organ tissues continues throughout the life of the host (Davidson *et al.*, 2004; Weir *et al.*, 2010), we are currently developing techniques for raising juvenile squids to adulthood, allowing an evaluation of the long-term role of *V. fischeri* Hmp. Based on the studies described here, we propose the following model for NO-signaling during the initiation of symbiosis: as they first encounter NO during their aggregation outside the light organ, *V. fischeri* cells de-repress *hmp*, thereby preparing

themselves to survive the high concentrations of NO encountered during their subsequent migration along the ducts that lead to the light organ's crypts (Davidson *et al.*, 2004). At the same time, exposure to NO present in the mucus activates HNOX signaling, temporarily suppressing the iron uptake/utilization capacity of the bacteria (Wang *et al.*, 2010) until after the host's ROS production has been curtailed (Small-Howard, 2004). Safely within the crypts, the symbiont population reaches a high enough density that it induces luminescence, lowers oxygen tension, and reduces host-produced NO via anaerobic detoxification mechanisms such as Hmp and NorVW. Thus, the initial exposure to NO in the mucus apparently serves as a "pretreatment" that results in better survival of the oxidative stress conditions within the ducts. In this way, host-derived NO may serve as a signal that is exploited by *V. fischeri* to help it endure the hostile conditions (both NO and oxidative stress) encountered during the establishment of its symbiosis.

#### Experimental procedures

#### Strains, media and growth conditions

The strains, plasmids and PCR primers used in this study are listed in Table S1. Cultures of E. coli were incubated at 37° C in either LB (Miller, 1992) or BHI (Difco Laboratories) media. When needed, chloramphenicol (Cam) and kanamycin (Kan) were added to LB medium at 20 and 50 µg/ml, respectively. Erythromycin (Erm) was added to BHI medium at 150 µg/ml. Cultures of V. fischeri were grown at 28° C in LBS medium (Bose et al., 2007), solidified with 1.5% (w/v) Bacto-Agar (Difco Laboratories) as required. Cam, Erm and Kan were added to LBS at 5, 5, and 100 µg/ml, respectively. Before exposure to NO, V. fischeri cells were grown in a minimal-salts medium that contained (per liter) 500 ml of 2X-artificial seawater stock (Boettcher & Ruby, 1990) supplemented with 1 ml of 5.4% K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 50 ml of 1M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), and 449 ml of tap water. Ten millimolar N-acetyl-Dglucosamine (GlcNAc) (MP Biomedicals) was added as the sole carbon and nitrogen source. To achieve anoxic conditions, the headspace of culture tubes was sequentially evacuated and flushed with argon for 5 cycles. Anaerobic cultures were incubated statically at 28° C. Stock solutions of the NO donors DEA-NONOate and Proli-NONOate (Cayman Chemicals), were reconstituted in 10mM NaOH just before use. At 25° C and pH 7.4, the two NO generators release 1.5 and 2.0 equivalents of NO, and have half-lives of 16 min and 5 sec, respectively.

#### **Genetic manipulations**

The deletion mutants used in this study were constructed by allelic exchange as previously described (Dunn & Stabb, 2008). Briefly, approximately 1.5 kb of DNA upstream of the start codon of the gene to be deleted was PCR amplified and fused to an approximately 1.5-kb DNA fragment downstream of the stop codon using an engineered restriction site (NheI). This procedure resulted in the replacement of the gene with a 6-bp restriction-enzyme recognition site between the start and stop codons. The *hmp* promoter-reporter plasmid (pYLW45) was made by cloning approximately 300 bp of DNA upstream of the Hmp start codon into pVSV209 (Table S1) at the SalI-AvrII restriction sites, generating a transcriptional promoter-fusion to a promoterless *gfp*. We constructed the *hmp* complementing plasmid (pYLW29) by cloning the wild-type DNA fragment that encompasses 300 bp upstream of the start codon of Hmp and 20 bp downstream of the stop codon into pVSV105 at the XbaI-SacI restriction sites. Plasmids containing the RP4 origin of transfer were introduced into *V. fischeri* isolates using tri-parental mating as previously described (Stabb, 2002).

#### Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

*V. fischeri* strains were grown by shaking at 28 C in LBS medium to an optical density at  $600 \text{ nm} (OD_{600})$  of approximately 0.8, as measured with a Biophotometer (Eppendorf).

Twenty microliters of the culture were subcultured into 20 ml of mineral-salts medium containing GlcNAc in a 125-ml Erlenmeyer flask. This culture was grown to an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.3, and 10 ml of culture were transferred to each of two flasks. To one flask, DEA-NONOate (in 10mM NaOH) was added to a final concentration of 80  $\mu$ M, while the other was treated with a corresponding volume of 10mM NaOH as a control. Samples were allowed to grow at 28° C for 30 min with shaking. Twenty milliliters of RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen) were added to both cultures, which were incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at  $8000 \times g$  for 30 min at 4° C, and frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$  C before further processing. Total RNA was prepared using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Residual DNA was removed by using the RNase-free DNase Set (Qiagen), with both on- and off-column digestion steps. cDNA was synthesized by AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) using 3 µg of purified RNA from each sample. qRT-PCR was performed on an iCycler iQ<sup>™</sup> real time PCR machine (BioRad), with 400 ng cDNA/ reaction in the iQ<sup>™</sup> SyBR Green Supermix (BioRad), with an annealing/extension temperature of 59° C. Primers were designed to target an internal fragment (~120-150 bp) of hmp, norV, or a normalizing control gene (DNA polymerase I, VF\_0074). PCR efficiency was similar for all the primer sets. The relative expression levels were calculated using the ΔΔCt method (http://pathmicro.med.sc.edu/pcr/realtime-home.htm). Samples from anaerobic cultures were processed following the same procedures as above, except 5 µM DEA-NONOate was added 45 min before fixation with RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen).

#### Growth during the NO challenge

Three milliliters of each culture of *V. fischeri* cells were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of approximately 0.8 in LBS medium by shaking at 28° C. Twenty microliters were subcultured into 20 ml of mineral-salts medium containing GlcNAc in a 125-ml Erlenmeyer flask. Aerobic growth was monitored by measuring the OD<sub>600</sub> of the cultures every 30 mins. When the culture reached early exponential phase (OD<sub>600</sub> ~0.3), DEA-NONOate was added to a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M to produce the NO challenge. For those cultures receiving an aerobic NO pretreatment, 40  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate was added 45 min before the NO challenge.

For *V. fischeri* cultures grown under anoxic conditions, 40  $\mu$ l of the pre-culture was subcultured into 4 ml of minimal-salts medium plus GlcNAc, degassed and sealed in an 11-ml Pyrex culture tube. Every 30 min, the OD<sub>600</sub> of the culture was monitored on a Genesys 20 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) without the need for subsampling. Because all the strains were more susceptible to NO under anoxic conditions (data not shown), DEA-NONOate was added as a challenge at a final concentration of only 40  $\mu$ M during the earlymid log phase (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.1 to 0.15) of culture. Similarly, for the pretreatment, only 5  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate was added, 45 min before the challenge.

#### NO inhibition of oxygen consumption

A fresh culture (3 ml) of each *V. fischeri* strain was grown in LBS medium to an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.8 with shaking at 28° C. Thirty microliters of this pre-culture was subcultured into 30 ml of mineral-salts medium containing GlcNAc in a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask. Cells in the early exponential phase ( $OD_{600} \sim 0.3$ ) were harvested at 6000 rpm for 10 min at 4° C The pellet was washed and resupended in about 500 µl of modified HEPES buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl and 1 mM each of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and D-glucose) (Stevanin *et al.*, 2000). The final volume of the buffer was adjusted to assure that the suspension was of approximately the same cell density for all of the test strains.

Oxygen consumption was measured using a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Hansatech Instruments, Norfolk, England). Specifically, 50 µl of a cell suspension were mixed with 650 μl of modified HEPES buffer and maintained at 25° C in a water-jacketed electrode chamber. Each assay contained approximately 2.8 mg (dry weight) of cells. The sensitivity of E. coli respiration to NO depends on both NO concentration and the oxygen tension at which the NO is added (Stevanin et al., 2000). Therefore, we ran pilot experiments on wildtype V. fischeri cells to determine the optimal testing conditions. In line with previous studies (Stevanin et al., 2000), NO (released from Proli-NONOate; final concentration 5.5  $\mu$ M) was injected into a culture of respiring cells in the electrode chamber when the oxygen tension was between 40 and 200  $\mu$ M. The rate of oxygen consumption was measured immediately before and after NO addition. We found that the degree of inhibition was strongly dependent on the oxygen concentration at the time the NO was added: at a higher concentration, the inhibitory effects were less severe (data not shown). We chose to run experiments at an oxygen concentration of 80  $\mu$ M, where a clear inhibitory effect occurred when the NO generator was added; however, once the inhibition was reversed, oxygen consumption resumed.

The fractional inhibition of respiration (I) was calculated according to the formula  $I = 1 - (O_2 \text{ consumption rate after NO addition/rate before addition)}$ . I was plotted against NO concentration and, using Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software), the data were fit to the Hill equation:  $I = [NO]^n/(K_i)^n + [NO]^n$ , where  $K_i$  is the concentration of NO that gives rise to half-maximal inhibition, and n is the Hill coefficient. When respiration was completely inhibited by NO (*i.e.*, there was no further change in the oxygen concentration after NO addition), the length of inhibition was calculated as the period between NO addition and the point obtained by extrapolation of the residual respiration rate after inhibition is relieved (Stevanin et al., 2000). If oxygen consumption still continued after NO addition, but only at a reduced rate, it was recorded as "partial inhibition". To test the protective effects of pretreating the cells with NO, cultures were exposed to 80  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate for 30 min before collection for respiration measurements.

#### Single-strain colonization assay

Approximately 22 newly hatched juvenile squids were inoculated with ~1500 cells of the different *V. fischeri* strains per ml. After a 3-hr exposure time, each squid was transferred to 4 ml of filter-sterilized seawater (FSW) in individual scintillation vials. The onset of bioluminescence was monitored in an LKB scintillation counter (PerkinElmer), modified to operate as an automated photometer. Aposymbiotic animals, which were never exposed to any *V. fischeri*, were included as negative controls. Twenty-four hours post-inoculation, the percentage of luminous squids, and the time each strain took to initiate luminescence, were calculated: the onset of luminescence has been shown to be a good marker for colonization (Ruby & Asato, 1993). Wild-type and mutant strains used in this study produced the same level of luminescence per cell in culture (data not shown).

The minimal infection dose (MID) was determined as previously described (McCann *et al.*, 2003). To calculate the efficiency of infection (ID<sub>50</sub>), we determined the percentage of animals that became colonized as a function of the inoculum dose. In these calculations, we assumed that the log inoculum is paired with the logit of percent of animals colonized, and applied the log-logistic model to estimate the ID<sub>50</sub> values of the wild-type and  $\Delta hmp$  strains.

#### Competitive colonization assay

Competition experiments between co-inoculated strains were carried out as previously described (Dunn & Stabb, 2008). Wild-type and mutant strains were marked with a stable plasmid carrying either the *gfp* (pVSV102) or *rfp* (pVSV208) gene (Table S1). The ratio of

CFUs in the mixed inoculum could be easily determined by the color of the colonies after 48 hrs. The relative competitiveness index (RCI) was calculated by dividing the ratio of the CFUs of wild type to the mutant in each light organ by the ratio of these strains in the initial inoculum. An RCI >1 indicates that wild type out-competes the mutant in the colonization of host animals. In each experiment, approximately 30 squids were inoculated, and subsequently scored for bioluminescence as an indicator for colonization at 24 hrs post-inoculation. Only colonized animals were sacrificed for RCI determination. When desired, 100  $\mu$ M of the NOS inhibitor *S*-methyl-L-thiocitrulline (SMTC) was added to the seawater throughout the experiments. Supplementation with SMTC did not compromise the host's capacity to support normal levels of symbiont growth in the light organ (data not shown).

# Induction of the *hmp* promoter in NO-supplemented seawater and during symbiotic aggregation

To determine whether the *V. fischeri hmp* promoter responds to exogenously added NO in seawater, cultures of either the wild-type or  $\Delta nsrR$  strains (~10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml), carrying either pYLW45 or pVSV209, were exposed to between 10 nM and 100  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate. Triplicate 100  $\mu$ L samples of the NO-treated cell suspensions were aliquoted into a 96-well microplate, and monitored every 30 min for optical density and GFP fluorescence intensity using a microplate reader (Tecan Group Ltd, Mannedorf, Switzerland). Between each data collection point, the plate was incubated at 28° C with shaking. When either strain carried the vector control containing the promoterless *gfp* (pVSV209), no significant GFP signal was detected. The final level of GFP fluorescence was obtained by first subtracting this vector-control fluorescence (background), and then normalizing by cell density (OD<sub>600</sub>).

Either wild-type or  $\Delta nsrR$  cells, each carrying pYLW45, were used to inoculate between 5 and 10 newly hatched juvenile squids at 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml. The level of Induction of the *hmp* promoter, and the resultant GFP signal, were determined during aggregation as follows. At between 1.5 and 4.5 hrs post-inoculation, squid were washed 3 times in FSW, and anesthetized in a 1:1 solution of 7.5% MgCl<sub>2</sub> in FSW (Nyholm *et al.*, 2000). After dissection, fluorescent emission was visualized by LSM 510 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging). As a control, cells were inoculated into FSW (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml), induced by the addition of 80  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate and, after 2 to 3 hrs, a 3  $\mu$ L sample was examined by confocal microscopy. Cells that did not receive any treatment were also examined. The intensity of fluorescence of GFP and constitutively expressed RFP in the aggregating cells was recorded and extracted by the computer software (Zeiss LSM 510 ver. 4.20). Comparisons between the test conditions and the seawater control were performed using Student's t-test. The statistical analysis was made with Excel software.

#### Observation of aggregates in the mucus

Five to 10 newly hatched juvenile squids were inoculated with approximately  $10^6$  CFU (per ml) of *V. fischeri* strains that were marked with constitutively expressed *gfp* (pVSV102) or *rfp* (pVSV208) to allow their visualization by confocal microscopy during the initiation of colonization. Samples were processed as described above, except that squids were stained with 1  $\mu$ M CellTracker Orange CMRA (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) and 10  $\mu$ g/ml Wheat Germ Agglutinin Alexa Fluor 633 conjugant (WGA-633) (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) for 20 min before examination. After dissection, squid tissue, secreted mucus and the aggregates formed by *V. fischeri* were observed. Images were recorded by the Axioplan 2 Imaging system attached to the scope. To study the effects of NOS inhibitor on aggregate size, squids were treated with 100  $\mu$ M of the NOS inhibitor SMTC for 2–3 hrs before exposure to *V. fischeri* strains. After inoculation, the presence of SMTC was maintained by addition of the inhibitor to the inoculated seawater. For competitive colonization experiments, juvenile squid were co-inoculated with a 1:1 ratio of differentially marked wild-type and mutant

strains at a final concentration of  $10^{6}$  CFU/ml. All other procedures were performed as described above.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Elizabeth Heath-Heckman for help and advice with the confocal microscopy and data analysis of GFP intensity, and Spencer Nyholm for confocal images. This work was supported by National Science Foundation grant IOS-0817232 (M. M-N. and E. G. R.) and National Institutes of Health grant R01 RR 12294 (E. G. R. and M. M-N.), NSF grant MCB-0702858 (S. S.), and NSF grant MCB-0803181 and the University of Oklahoma (A. K. D.).

#### **References cited**

- Arai H, Hayashi M, Kuroi A, Ishii M, Igarashi Y. Transcriptional regulation of the flavohemoglobin gene for aerobic nitric oxide detoxification by the second nitric oxide-responsive regulator of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187:3960–3968. [PubMed: 15937158]
- Bang IS, Liu L, Vazquez-Torres A, Crouch ML, Stamler JS, Fang FC. Maintenance of nitric oxide and redox homeostasis by the *Salmonella* flavohemoglobin Hmp. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:28039– 28047. [PubMed: 16873371]
- Bartnikas TB, Wang Y, Bobo T, Veselov A, Scholes CP, Shapleigh JP. Characterization of a member of the NnrR regulon in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.3 encoding a haem-copper protein. Microbiology. 2002; 148:825–833. [PubMed: 11882718]
- Baudouin E, Pieuchot L, Engler G, Pauly N, Puppo A. Nitric oxide Is formed in *Medicago truncatula-Sinorhizobium meliloti* functional nodules. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2006; 19:970–975. [PubMed: 16941901]
- Boccara M, Mills CE, Zeier J, Anzi C, Lamb C, Poole RK, Delledonne M. Flavohaemoglobin HmpX from *Erwinia chrysanthemi* confers nitrosative stress tolerance and affects the plant hypersensitive reaction by intercepting nitric oxide produced by the host. Plant J. 2005; 43:226–237. [PubMed: 15998309]
- Boettcher KJ, Ruby EG. Depressed light emission by symbiotic *Vibrio fischeri* of the sepiolid squid Euprymna scolopes. J Bacteriol. 1990; 172:3701–3706. [PubMed: 2163384]
- Borisov VB, Forte E, Konstantinov AA, Poole RK, Sarti P, Giuffr A. Interaction of the bacterial terminal oxidase cytochrome bd with nitric oxide. FEBS Lett. 2004; 576:201–204. [PubMed: 15474037]
- Bose JL, Kim U, Bartkowski W, Gunsalus RP, Overley AM, Lyell NL, Visick KL, Stabb EV. Bioluminescence in *Vibrio fischeri* is controlled by the redox-responsive regulator ArcA. Mol Microbiol. 2007; 65:538–553. [PubMed: 17590235]
- Cary SPL, Winger JA, Derbyshire ER, Marletta MA. Nitric oxide signaling: no longer on or off. Trends Biochem Sci. 2006; 31:231–239. [PubMed: 16530415]
- D'Autreaux B, Tucker NP, Dixon R, Spiro S. A non-haem iron centre in the transcription factor NorR senses nitric oxide. Nature. 2005; 437:769–772. [PubMed: 16193057]
- Davidson SK, Koropatnick TA, Kossmehl R, Sycuro L, McFall-Ngai MJ. NO means 'yes' in the squid-vibrio symbiosis: nitric oxide (NO) during the initial stages of a beneficial association. Cellul Microbiol. 2004; 6:1139–1151.
- Dunn AK, Karr EA, Wang Y, Barron AR, Ruby EG, Stabb EV. The alternative oxidase (AOX) gene in *Vibrio fischeri* is controlled by NsrR and upregulated in response to nitric oxide. Mol Microbiol. 2010; 77:44–55. [PubMed: 20487270]
- Dunn AK, Stabb EV. Genetic analysis of trimethylamine N-oxide reductases in the light organ symbiont Vibrio fischeri ES114. J Bacteriol. 2008; 190:5814–5823. [PubMed: 18606737]

- Dunn AK, Milikan DS, Adin DM, Bose JL, Stabb EV. New rfp- and pES213-derived tools for analyzing symbiotic *Vibrio fischeri* reveal patterns of infection and lux expression in situ. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72:802–810. [PubMed: 16391121]
- Fang FC. Antimicrobial reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: concepts and controversies. Nat Rev Micro. 2004; 2:820–832.
- Gardner AM, Helmick RA, Gardner PR. Flavorubredoxin, an inducible catalyst for nitric oxide reduction and detoxification in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:8172–8177. [PubMed: 11751865]
- Gilberthorpe NJ, Lee ME, Stevanin TM, Read RC, Poole RK. NsrR: a key regulator circumventing Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium oxidative and nitrosative stress in vitro and in IFN-{gamma}-stimulated J774.2 macrophages. Microbiology. 2007a; 153:1756–1771. [PubMed: 17526833]
- Graf J, Ruby EG. Host-derived amino acids support the proliferation of symbiotic bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95:1818–1822. [PubMed: 9465100]
- Hooper LV, Wong MH, Thelin A, Hansson L, Falk PG, Gordon JI. Molecular analysis of commensal host-microbial relationships in the intestine. Science. 2001; 291:881–884. [PubMed: 11157169]
- Hutchings MI, Mandhana N, Spiro S. The NorR Protein of *Escherichia coli* activates expression of the flavorubredoxin gene *norV* in response to reactive nitrogen species. J Bacteriol. 2002; 184:4640– 4643. [PubMed: 12142437]
- Iyer L, Anantharaman V, Aravind L. Ancient conserved domains shared by animal soluble guanylyl cyclases and bacterial signaling proteins. BMC Genomics. 2003; 4:5. [PubMed: 12590654]
- Koropatnick TA, Engle JT, Apicella MA, Stabb EV, Goldman WE, McFall-Ngai MJ. Microbial factor-mediated development in a host-bacterial mutualism. Science. 2004; 306:1186–1188. [PubMed: 15539604]
- Lee K, Ruby EG. Effects of the squid host on the abundance and distribution of symbiontic *Vibrio fischeri* in nature. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1994; 60:1565–1571. [PubMed: 16349257]
- Mathieu C, Moreau S, Frendo P, Puppo A, Davies MJ. Direct detection of radicals in intact soybean nodules: presence of nitric oxide-leghemoglobin complexes. Free Rad Biol Med. 1998; 24:1242–1249. [PubMed: 9626580]
- McCann J, Stabb EV, Millikan DS, Ruby EG. Population Dynamics of *Vibrio fischeri* during Infection of *Euprymna scolopes*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003; 69:5928–5934. [PubMed: 14532046]
- Meilhoc E, Cam Y, Skapski A, Bruand C. The response to nitric oxide of the nitrogen-fixing symbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2010; 23:748–759. [PubMed: 20459314]
- Miller, JH. A short course in bacterial genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Labratory Press; Cold Spring Harbor, N. Y: 1992.
- Mills PC, Rowley G, Spiro S, Hinton JCD, Richardson DJ. A combination of cytochrome c nitrite reductase (NrfA) and flavorubredoxin (NorV) protects *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium against killing by NO in anoxic environments. Microbiology. 2008; 154:1218–1228. [PubMed: 18375814]
- Nyholm SV, McFall-Ngai MJ. The winnowing: establishing the squid-*Vibrio* symbiosis. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004; 2:632–642. [PubMed: 15263898]
- Nyholm SV, Stabb EV, Ruby EG, McFall-Ngai MJ. Establishment of an animal-bacterial association: recruiting symbiotic vibrios from the environment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97:10231– 10235. [PubMed: 10963683]
- Poock SR, Leach ER, Moir JWB, Cole JA, Richardson DJ. Respiratory detoxification of nitric oxide by the cytochromec nitrite reductase of *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:23664–23669. [PubMed: 11960983]
- Poole RK, Hughes MN. New functions for the ancient globin family: bacterial responses to nitric oxide and nitrosative stress. Mol Microbiol. 2000; 36:775–783. [PubMed: 10844666]
- Rawls JF, Samuel BS, Gordon JI. Gnotobiotic zebrafish reveal evolutionarily conserved responses to the gut microbiota. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101:4596–4601. [PubMed: 15070763]
- Richardson AR, Dunman PM, Fang FC. The nitrosative stress response of *Staphylococcus aureus* is required for resistance to innate immunity. Mol Microbiol. 2006; 61:927–939. [PubMed: 16859493]

- Rodionov DA I, Dubchak L, Arkin AP, Alm EJ, Gelfand MS. Dissimilatory metabolism of nitrogen oxides in bacteria: comparative reconstruction of transcriptional networks. PLoS Comput Biol. 2005a; 1:e55. [PubMed: 16261196]
- Ruby EG, Asato LM. Growth and flagellation of *Vibrio fischeri* during initiation of the sepiolid squid light organ symbiosis. Arch Microbiol. 1993; 159:160–167. [PubMed: 8439236]
- Ruby EG, Urbanowski M, Campbell J, Dunn A, Faini M, Gunsalus R, Lostroh P, Lupp C, McCann J, Millikan D, Schaefer A, Stabb E, Stevens A, Visick K, Whistler C, Greenberg EP. Complete genome sequence of *Vibrio fischeri*: A symbiotic bacterium with pathogenic congeners. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:3004–3009. [PubMed: 15703294]
- Small-Howard, AL. PhD thesis. Los Angeles: University of Southern California; 2004. A halide peroxidase and reactive oxygen species in the mutulistic light organ symbiosis between the sepilolid squid *Euprymna scolopes* and the luminous bacterium *Vibrio fischeri*. Faculty of the graduate school
- Small AL, McFall-Ngai MJ. Halide peroxidase in tissues that interact with bacteria in the host squid *Euprymna scolopes*. J Cellul Biochem. 1999; 72:445–457.
- Spiro S. Regulators of bacterial responses to nitric oxide. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2007; 31:193–211. [PubMed: 17313521]
- Stabb EV, Ruby EG. RP4-based plasmids for conjugation between *Escherichia coli* and members of the Vibrionaceae. Meth Enzymol. 2002; 358:413–426. [PubMed: 12474404]
- Stevanin TM, Ioannidis N, Mills CE, Kim SO, Hughes MN, Poole RK. Flavohemoglobin Hmp affords inducible protection for *Escherichia coli* respiration, catalyzed by cytochromes bc or bd, from nitric oxide. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:35868–35875. [PubMed: 10915782]
- Stevanin TM, Poole RK, Demoncheaux EAG, Read RC. Flavohemoglobin Hmp protects Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium from nitric oxide-related killing by human macrophages. Infect Immun. 2002; 70:4399–4405. [PubMed: 12117950]
- Stewart V. Nitrate- and nitrite-responsive sensors NarX and NarQ of proteobacteria. Biochem Soc Trans. 2003; 31:1–10. [PubMed: 12546643]
- Tsou AM, Cai T, Liu Z, Zhu J, Kulkarni RV. Regulatory targets of quorum sensing in *Vibrio cholerae*: evidence for two distinct HapR-binding motifs. Nucl Acids Res. 2009; 37:2747–2756. [PubMed: 19276207]
- Tucker NP, Le Brun NE, Dixon R, Hutchings MI. There's NO stopping NsrR, a global regulator of the bacterial NO stress response. Trends Microbiol. 2010; 18:149–156. [PubMed: 20167493]
- Visick KL, Ruby EG. The periplasmic, group III catalase of *Vibrio fischeri* Is required for normal symbiotic competence and is induced both by oxidative stress and by approach to stationary phase. J Bacteriol. 1998; 180:2087–2092. [PubMed: 9555890]
- Wang Y, Dufour YS, Carlson HK, Donohue TJ, Marletta MA, Ruby EG. H-NOX–mediated nitric oxide sensing modulates symbiotic colonization by *Vibrio fischeri*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:8375–8380. [PubMed: 20404170]
- Ward, WW. Biochemical and physical properties of green fluorescent protein. In: Martin Chalfie, SRK., editor. Green Fluorescent Protein. 2. NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2005. p. 39-65.
- Weis V, Small A, McFall-Ngai M. A peroxidase related to the mammalian antimicrobial protein myeloperoxidase in the Euprymna-Vibrio mutualism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1996; 93:13683– 13688. [PubMed: 8942994]
- Wier AM, Nyholm SV, Mandel MJ, Massengo-Tiassé RP, Schaefer AL, Koroleva I, Splinter-BonDurant S, Brown B, Manzella L, Snir E, Almabrazi H, Scheetz TE, Bonaldo MdF, Casavant TL, Soares MB, Cronan JE, Reed JL, Ruby EG, McFall-Ngai MJ. Transcriptional patterns in both host and bacterium underlie a daily rhythm of anatomical and metabolic change in a beneficial symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:2259–2264. [PubMed: 20133870]
- Wollenberg MS, Ruby EG. Population structure of *Vibrio fischeri* within the light organs of *Euprymna scolopes* from two different host populations. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009; 75:193–202. [PubMed: 18997024]



#### Fig. 1.

Expression of *V. fischeri hmp* responds to NO under both oxic and anoxic conditions, while *norV* responds only when oxygen is absent. Wild-type cells were grown in minimal-salts medium plus GlcNAc, either in the presence or absence of  $O_2$ . The relative expression levels (NO-treated/untreated) of either *hmp* (**A**) or *norV* (**B**) transcripts were determined by qRT-PCR. Data points are the means ( $\pm 1$  SEM) calculated from three biological replicate experiments.

Wang et al.



### Fig. 2.

Hmp and NorV confer protection from NO inhibition on growth, depending on the oxygen availability. Growth in minimal-salts medium plus GlcNAc, was monitored at OD<sub>600</sub>. Aerobic cultures (**A** and **B**) were challenged with 100  $\mu$ M of the NO-donor DEA-NONOate (thick arrow), when growth reached early log phase. To pre-adapt the cells (**B**), a pretreatment of 40  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate was added (thin arrow) 45 min before the challenge. Similarly, anaerobic cultures (**C** and **D**) were challenged with 40  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate (thick arrow). To pre-adapt the cells (**D**), 5  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate was added (thin arrow) 45 min before the challenge. Growth, either without (filled symbols) or with (open symbols) pretreatment, was monitored for the wild-type (circle),  $\Delta hmp$  (triangle),  $\Delta norV$  (dash line),  $\Delta hmp$ -norV (cross) and  $\Delta nsrR$  (square) strains. Experiments were repeated three times with similar results. One representative experiment is shown here. Data points are the means calculated from the three technical replicates of that experiment.

Wang et al.



#### Fig. 3.

*V. fischeri* Hmp protects aerobic respiration from NO inhibition. Measurements of oxygen consumption by wild type (trace 1),  $\Delta nsrR$  (trace 2) and  $\Delta hmp$  (trace 3) were made before and after addition (arrow) of the NO-generator Proli-NONOate (arrow). The measurements were performed on cells either without (**A**) or with (**B**) pretreatment with 80  $\mu$ M DEA-NONOate. The dashed lines in trace 3 of panel **A** define how the period of inhibition was derived. The experiment was repeated three times with similar results. One representative experiment is shown here.



#### Fig. 4.

The *hmp* promoter responds to host-derived NO during the aggregation stage of the symbiosis. Cells of wild type (black bars) or  $\Delta nsrR$  (white bars), carrying the *hmp* promoter-reporter plasmid pYLW45, were added to seawater, or used to inoculate newly hatched squids. To induce the *hmp* promoter in the seawater experiment, 80µM DEA-NONOate was added to seawater. To lower the intrinsic level of NO production in the mucus, some squids were pretreated with 100 µM NOS inhibitor SMTC for 2 to 3 hrs before inoculation with *V*. *fischeri*. Between 3 to 4 hrs after inoculation, the levels of induced GFP fluorescence and constitutively expressed RFP fluorescence in both strains were monitored using confocal microscopy. The ratio of GFP/RFP fluorescence generated under different conditions was calculated. Significant differences between the ratio found in seawater and the other treatments are indicated: \*, p<0.05; \*\*, p<0.01. The experiments were repeated three times with similar results. Data points are means (±1 SEM) calculated from three biological replicates.

Wang et al.



#### Fig. 5.

The size of aggregates formed by *V. fischeri* during squid colonization correlates with the potential of the bacteria to remove NO. (**A**) Orientation figure indicating the position of the juvenile's nascent light organ. A confocal image of the light organ is placed on the cartoon in its approximate position within the mantle cavity (scale bar =  $300 \ \mu\text{m}$ ). (**B**) Enlarged confocal micrograph of the region of the light organ located within the dotted square of **A**. An aggregate of *V. fischeri* cells is indicated by the arrow (scale bar =  $10 \ \mu\text{m}$ ). (**C**) The bacterial aggregates formed in host-derived mucus by wild-type,  $\Delta nsrR$  or  $\Delta hmp$  strains carrying GFP-encoded pVSV102, and observed using confocal microscopy (at 400X) between 2.5 and 4 hrs after inoculation (scale bar =  $20 \ \mu\text{m}$ ). To lower the intrinsic level of NO production in the mucus, some squids were pretreated with the nitric-oxide synthesis inhibitor SMTC for 2 to 3 hrs before inoculation with *V. fischeri*. The experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

#### Table 1

NsrR is the major NO-responsive negative regulator of hmp.

	Relativehmpexpression*		
<b>Comparison</b>	<u>Aerobic</u>	<u>Anaerobic</u>	
⊿nsrR/WT <sup>†</sup>	$231\pm33$	$250\pm44$	
$\Delta nsrR+NO/WT+NO^{\ddagger}$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.6\pm0.2$	
$\Delta nsrR+NO/\Delta nsrR$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$2.3\pm0.3$	

\*The ratio of expression levels of *hmp* transcripts in each pair of strains being compared as measured by qRT-PCR.

 $^{\dagger}$ WT; wild type

 $^{\ddagger}$ Cultures were exposed to NO by adding either 80  $\mu$ M (aerobic conditions) or 5  $\mu$ M (anaerobic conditions) DEA-NONOate.

#### Table 2

Extent of inhibition of oxygen consumption by NO challenge.

	Period of inhibition (min)*		
<u>Strain</u>	Without pretreatment	With NO pretreatment	
WT	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	< 0.5	
∆hmp	$14.9\pm0.5$	partial inhibition <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	
∆norV	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	< 0.5	
∆hmp-norV	$15.3\pm0.1$	partial inhibition	
∆nsrR	< 0.5	< 0.5	
WT+pVSV105	$3.8\pm0.1$	ND≠	
WT+pYLW29	< 0.5	ND	
<i>∆hmp</i> +pVSV105	$16.3 \pm 1.3$	ND	
⊿hmp+pYLW29	< 0.5	ND	

\*Mean (± SEM) extent of inhibition by NO challenge (from three repeated experiments); Wild type (WT) and mutant strain were each tested either after receiving an NO pretreatment or after no pretreatment

 $^{\dagger}$ Partial inhibition means that oxygen consumption was not inhibited, but continued at a reduced linear rate.

 $^{\ddagger}$ ND, not determined.

#### Table 3

#### Colonization characteristics of mutants defective in NO detoxification

	Single-strain colonization <sup>*</sup>		Competitive colonization <u>as RCI (WT/mutant)</u>	
	Percentage of squids becoming luminous	Hours until colonization		
<u>Strain</u>	luminous	detected	<u>No treatment</u>	+ NOS inhibitor <sup>‡</sup>
WT	$50 \pm 15$	$9.3\pm0.1$		
∆norV	$40 \pm 5$	9.7 ± 0.1	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	ND¶
∆hmp	22 ± 5	$10.2\pm0.2$	$2.6\pm0.5$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$
$\Delta hmp$ -norV	17 ± 3	$12.0\pm1.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$
∆nsrR	$60 \pm 20$	$9.5\pm0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	ND

\* More effective colonization is indicated by a higher percentage of squid becoming luminous at 24 hrs, and by a more rapid onset of colonization as indicated by onset of detectable squid bioluminescence; values that are significantly different from wild type (WT) are in bold font; each experiment was repeated 2–3 times with similar results

 $^{\dagger}$ A relative colonization index (RCI) >1.0 indicates that the WT outcompetes the mutant after 24 hrs; RCI values that are significantly different from 1.0 are in bold font; each experiment was repeated at least 3 times with similar results. Mean RCI (±1 SEM) from the three experiments is shown.

<sup>‡</sup>Addition of the NOS inhibitor S-methyl-L-thiocitrulline (SMTC)

<sup>¶</sup>ND, not determined