Analysis of microRNA knockouts in mice

Chong Y. Park¹, Yun S. Choi^{1,2,3} and Michael T. McManus^{1,3,*}

¹UCSF Diabetes Center, ²Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program and ³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, 513 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143-0534, USA

Received August 18, 2010; Revised August 18, 2010; Accepted August 24, 2010

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNAs that act as potent regulators of gene expression. The discovery of miRNAs with specific temporal and spatial expression patterns revealed a hidden layer of post-transcriptional gene regulation. Furthermore, differential expression of miRNAs during disease progression identified miRNAs as relevant candidate genes in human pathologies. Currently the exact roles of miRNAs in human development and disease progression remain largely unknown. There have been recent efforts to study the loss of these genes in vivo and this review will discuss published miRNA knockout mouse models, highlighting their potential mechanisms of action in vivo.

INTRODUCTION

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNAs of about 22 nucleotides (nt), which can bind to target RNAs based on sequence complementarity and direct post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. First discovered in 1993 by genetic screens in Caenorhabditis elegans, the lin-4 miRNA was treated as a unique phenomenon of nematode biology [\(1,2](#page-4-0)). The subsequent discovery of a second miRNA encoded by the let-7 gene and its conserved temporal expression patterns in a wide range of animal species led us to recognize miRNAs as prevalent noncoding RNAs conserved among eukaryotes ([3\)](#page-4-0). Their diversity was confirmed using bioinformatics and subsequent cloning [\(4](#page-4-0)–[6\)](#page-4-0). With more than 500 confirmed miRNAs in mammals, they have rapidly emerged as a major class of regulatory genes that control development and disease processes in mammals $(7-9)$ $(7-9)$ $(7-9)$. The physiological importance of individual miRNAs in mammals has only recently begun to emerge with the analysis of miRNA knockout mice, along with the discovery of mutations within miRNAs themselves or their target mRNAs $(9-11)$ $(9-11)$ $(9-11)$ $(9-11)$ $(9-11)$. Here we discuss how miRNAs regulate a series of complex biological processes by reviewing published mouse knockout models with an emphasis on physiological and genetic evidence.

OVERVIEW OF miRNA BIOGENESIS

This large family of miRNA genes has great diversity in genomic organization. Examination of mammalian miRNAs revealed that about one-third are expressed from introns of known protein-coding genes, with the remainder found

distant from previously annotated genes ([7\)](#page-4-0). Whereas intronic miRNAs can be transcribed simultaneously with their host genes, intergenic miRNAs can be expressed from independent transcription units [\(12](#page-4-0),[13\)](#page-4-0). They are frequently found as polycistronic clusters that are coordinately expressed ([14,15](#page-4-0)). Although RNA Pol II transcribes the majority of miRNAs, RNA Pol III-transcribed miRNAs have been found ([16,17](#page-4-0)).

A canonical pathway generates most miRNAs, where sequential processing by RNases releases the mature \sim 22 nt miRNA from a longer transcript. The first processing step occurs in the nucleus, where the microprocessor complex recognizes a stem-loop structure in the primary miRNA (primiRNA) transcript. This complex includes the RNase III enzyme Drosha, which cleaves the miRNA-bearing stem-loop with the aid of other factors including DGCR-8 ([18\)](#page-4-0). The mature miRNA may reside on either arm of the stem-loop structure, and cleavage by Drosha establishes the 5′ or 3′ end of the mature miRNA. The resulting precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) is actively transported into the cytosol via the karyopherin Exportin-5 [\(19](#page-4-0),[20\)](#page-4-0). In the cytosol, pre-miRNAs are incorporated into a complex that contains a second RNase III enzyme, Dicer [\(21](#page-5-0)). With the help of TRBP and other factors, Dicer removes the loop from the pre-miRNA, leaving an RNA duplex ([22\)](#page-5-0). Typically one strand of the duplex is selected to become the mature miRNA (guide strand), whereas the other strand (star strand) is degraded quickly. After the mature miRNA is formed, it associates with an Argonaute (Ago) protein, the primary effectors of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [\(23](#page-5-0)). There are rare examples of miRNAs made by alternative pathways that are independent of processing by Drosha or Dicer [\(24](#page-5-0)–[26](#page-5-0)).

 \odot The Author 2010. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org

[∗] To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: michael.mcmanus@ucsf.edu

SMC, smooth muscle cells; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

The molecular mechanism by which the RISC regulates target gene expression is an area of intense study [\(27](#page-5-0)). Mammalian miRNAs guide RISC to their targets by complementary base-pairing to nucleotides $2-8$ of the miRNA [\(28](#page-5-0)). This short but critical region is known as the seed sequence, and miRNAs can be grouped into families based on shared seed sequences. Since the miRNA seed is only 7 nt long, each miRNA can potentially regulate hundreds of genes when considering the large number of possible binding sites in mRNAs. The first identified miRNA-binding sites were found in the 3′ -UTR of target mRNAs, and prediction algorithms have largely focused on these regions when searching for potential miRNA targets [\(28](#page-5-0)–[31](#page-5-0)). Recently, unbiased studies have identified miRNA-binding sites within the 5′ -UTR and in the coding region ([32\)](#page-5-0). The task of identifying bona fide in vivo miRNA –mRNA interactions from this large background of possible matches is challenging.

IN VIVO FUNCTION OF miRNA GENES

The miRNA-processing factors Dicer, Dgcr8, Drosha and Ago2 are essential for viability in mice. Knockout mice individually lacking these key miRNA-processing genes died during early gestation with severe developmental defects [\(33](#page-5-0)–[36](#page-5-0)). Therefore, to study the phenotypes of mature miRNA loss at later time points, Cre-inducible conditional knockout mice lacking Dicer and Dgcr8 have been generated [\(34](#page-5-0),[37](#page-5-0)–[39\)](#page-5-0). Although these knockout studies clearly demonstrated the importance of miRNAs on specific developmental stages and tissues, it is not clear which miRNAs are responsible for the observed phenotypes. Individual miRNA knockouts were first reported in 2007 by four independent groups [\(40](#page-5-0)–[43](#page-5-0)). Following these initial reports, the number of miRNA knockouts published has greatly increased (Table 1). These knockouts largely emerged from cardiovascular and immune fields, presumably influenced by the focused interests of laboratories active in these areas.

ESSENTIAL ROLES OF miRNAs DURING EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT

A highly conserved muscle-specific miRNA, miR-1, is one of the first miRNAs ablated in mice [\(43](#page-5-0),[44\)](#page-5-0). Deletion of miR-1 in flies caused death during embryonic development or at larval stages with a spectrum of defects in muscle differentiation $(45, 46)$ $(45, 46)$ $(45, 46)$. Although mammalian $miR-1$ is duplicated as miR-1-1 and miR-1-2, targeted deletion of just miR-1-2 in mice resulted in \sim 50% embryonic lethality with cardiac defects, implying the functional haploinsufficiency of miR-1 (43) (43) . This result strongly suggests that $miR-1$ is essential for cardiac development, though generation of a double knockout is necessary for further evaluation. Another example is the miR-17–92 cluster. Genetic ablation of this cluster caused a perinatal death with lung and heart defects, along with abnormal B cell development in mice [\(47](#page-5-0)). In addition, transgenic mice with higher expression of $miR-17-92$ in lymphocytes resulted in premature death with lymphoproliferative disease and autoimmunity [\(48](#page-5-0)). Combined loss- and gain-of-function

mice demonstrate that the $miR-17-92$ cluster is absolutely required for the development and survival of mice [\(47](#page-5-0),[48\)](#page-5-0).

Targeted deletion of miR-126, an endothelial cell-specific miRNA located in an intron of EGFL7, caused embryonic lethality in 50% of nulls with leaky vessels and hemorrhage [\(49](#page-5-0),[50\)](#page-5-0). Surviving mutant mice displayed defects in postnatal retinal vascularization, indicating that miR-126 plays key roles in vascular integrity and angiogenesis. The partial embryonic lethality observed in mice suggests the role of miR-126 as a modulator of the angiogenesis program, rather than an on– off switch. It is worth mentioning that angiogenic defects of this locus (EGFL7 and miR-126) were previously attributed to the deletion of EGFL7 [\(51](#page-5-0)). By generating knockout mice lacking specifically either miR-126 or EGFL7, Kuhnert et al. [\(49](#page-5-0)) clearly demonstrated that the vascular phenotypes were caused by deletion of miR-126 and not by loss of EGFL7. Though this is the first reported case where an intronic miRNA confounded the analysis of a gene knockout in mouse, other knockout mice already made may potentially have similar complications ([52\)](#page-5-0).

REDUNDANCY AND/OR COOPERATION OF COEXPRESSED AND RELATED miRNAs

In mammals, many miRNAs exist as duplicates or highly similar genes, which raises the question of both functional redundancy and cooperation. An example of redundancy is demonstrated by miR-133a-1 and miR-133a-2. Deletion of either *miR-133a-1* or *miR-133a-2* exhibits no obvious defects, whereas deletion of both results in late embryonic and neonatal death in approximately half of the mice with ventricular septal defects and cardiac chamber dilation ([53\)](#page-5-0).

The occurrence of miRNA clusters containing multiple, highly similar miRNAs is common in mammals. One of the best examples is the miR-17–92 cluster. The miR-17–92 cluster is often amplified and overexpressed in human cancers and has been shown to cooperate with c-Myc in a mouse model of B cell lymphoma [\(54](#page-5-0),[55\)](#page-5-0). Though this cluster has been well demonstrated for its role in tumorigenesis, the in vivo functional studies are further complicated because of its paralogous clusters, the miR-106a–363 and $miR-106b-25$ clusters. All three clusters collectively comprise 15 miRNAs from 4 different families, and the genomic organization is evolutionary conserved in vertebrates. Ventura et al. [\(47](#page-5-0)) generated mice lacking these clusters, individually and in combination. Whereas mice lacking either the $miR-106a-363$ or the $miR-106b-25$ cluster were viable with no obvious abnormalities, mice lacking the $miR-17-92$ cluster died shortly after the birth with heart and lung defects. Compounding double and triple knockouts including the $miR-17-92$ cluster displayed more severe cardiac defects as well as additional defects not found in $miR-17-92$ single knockouts, suggesting genetic interactions among the related miRNA clusters.

Another example of cooperation among related miRNAs can be found in three muscle-specific miRNAs, mirR-208a, miR-208b and miR-499. These miRNAs are located within introns of three corresponding myosin genes, Myh6, Myh7 and Myh7b. The seed sequences of miR-208a and miR-208b

are identical, and miR-499 shares six of the seven seed nucleotides with *miR-208*. Additionally, the genomic organization of the myosin genes and their intronic miRNAs is well conserved from fish to human, suggesting critical roles of these miRNAs in regulating muscle contractility through the expression of the host myosin genes. Whereas mice lacking miR-208a were viable and had no obvious phenotype under normal conditions, deletion of miR-208a inhibited up-regulation of Myh7 (the host gene for miR-208b) in response to pressure overload in the heart. In addition, loss of miR-208a also abrogated expression of miR-499 in normal hearts ([42,56](#page-5-0)). Conversely, transgenic mice over-expressing miR-208a displayed an up-regulation of Myh7 expression, and transgenic miR-499 mice were sufficient to functionally replace miR-208a in adult hearts. Combined results from these two reports demonstrate that these myosin-encoded miRNAs act within a network to control myosin expression and muscle performance [\(42](#page-5-0),[56\)](#page-5-0). The regulation of host myosin gene expression by these intronic miRNAs is quite fascinating and this regulation is reminiscent of the relationship between Hox miRNAs such as $miR-10a$ and $miR-196$ and their neighboring HOX genes ([57\)](#page-5-0).

THE QUEST FOR miRNA TARGETS

Since miRNAs may direct post-transcriptional silencing by pairing to target mRNAs, identifying these target mRNAs has been a primary focus. Many computational targetprediction tools have been developed, which generally predict up to a couple of hundreds of targets per miRNA [\(58](#page-5-0)). To overcome limitations of computational prediction and validate the predicted targets, proteomics approaches and high-throughput sequencing assays have been developed [\(32](#page-5-0),[59](#page-5-0)–[61\)](#page-5-0). Still, identification of targets is a major challenge in the field.

It has been proposed that a single miRNA can repress hundreds of target transcripts within a cell to dampen protein output [\(62](#page-5-0)). This model is strongly supported by recent proteomics approaches showing widespread changes in protein synthesis, each to a modest level, by a single miRNA ([59,](#page-5-0)[63](#page-6-0)). This model of fine-tuning is further supported by evidence gathered from knockout mice lacking miR-155, miR-143/ 145, miR-144/451 and mir-15a/16-1 [\(40](#page-5-0),[41,](#page-5-0)[64](#page-6-0)–[68](#page-6-0)). In particular, large numbers of identified miR-143 and miR-145 targets function within the actin regulatory network and this is consistent with structural modifications found in the aorta of mice lacking these miRNAs ([64,66](#page-6-0)). Another example is highlighted by studies of $miR-15a$ and $miR-16-1$ in regulating B cell proliferation, where multiple miRNA targets control cell-cycle progression ([67\)](#page-6-0).

There is also strong evidence that some miRNAs can control a specific gene regulation program through a few major targets, suggesting they may function as switches. An elegant example of a switch is represented by miR-150 and c -*Myb*. Opposite expression pattern of miR -150 and c -*Myb* during B cell differentiation and targeting of c-Myb by miR-150 in vitro have been well documented ([69,70](#page-6-0)). In accordance with this, deletion of miR-150 in mice caused increased $c-My$ b expression and B1 cell expansion [\(71](#page-6-0)).

Using an miR-150 over-expression mouse, Xiao et al. [\(71](#page-6-0)) also demonstrated that increased levels of miR-150 reduced c-Myb levels and B1 cell population in a dose-dependent manner. Combined results from both loss- and gain-of-function of miR-150 clearly indicate that this miRNA is responsible for B1 cell differentiation in vivo through c-Myb regulation. This single miRNA – single target relationship for a particular biological process is well supported by miRNAs identified through genetic screens during C. elegans development such as $lin-4$, $let-7$ and $lsv-6$ $(1-3,72)$ $(1-3,72)$ $(1-3,72)$ $(1-3,72)$ $(1-3,72)$.

Another example of single miRNA – single target is highlighted by miR-223 and Mef2c. Genetic ablation of miR-223, a myeloid-specific miRNA, caused an expanded granulocyte compartment and increased circulating neutrophils in mice [\(73](#page-6-0)). The defects in granulocyte expansion were attributed to the increase of a transcription factor, Mef2c, known to modulate cell fate decisions between monocytes and granulocytes [\(74](#page-6-0)). To examine the functional importance of Mef2c up-regulation as part of the miR-223 circuitry in vivo, Johnnidis et al. ([73\)](#page-6-0) generated myeloidspecific Mef2c null mice on the miR-223 null background. Double-knockout mice displayed a corrected level of peripheral neutrophils, providing strong genetic evidence that $Mef2c$ is a major target of $miR-223$ during early myeloid progenitor differentiation. It should be noted that a recent proteomics approach demonstrated the de-repression of numerous proteins in neutrophils lacking miR-223 [\(59](#page-5-0)). These seemingly conflicted observations bring an interesting point that, although a single miRNA can repress hundreds of genes, only a few of these may be critical for a particular phenotype.

The vast number of target genes presented by proteomics or expression analysis present the major challenge to decide which mRNAs are bona fide targets. An ideal approach is to disrupt only the interaction between single miRNA and single target *in vivo* and observe the phenotypic consequences. This was done by two independent groups for miR-155 and activation-induced cytidine diaminase (AID) using either knockin or BAC trangenic approches ([75](#page-6-0),[76](#page-6-0)). The role of miR-155 in germinal center B cell development was demonstrated previously ([40,41](#page-5-0)). AID was identified as one of more than 60 genes up-regulated in $miR-155$ -deficient B cells (77) (77) . To determine whether $miR-155$ directly regulates AID expression, mice carrying a mutation within the putative miR-155-binding site in the 3'-UTR of AID were generated [\(75](#page-6-0),[76\)](#page-6-0). These genetically modified mice had an increase in both AID mRNA and protein levels and furthermore displayed induced Myc-Igh translocation and impaired affinity maturation phenotypes found in miR-155 knockout mice. Targeted mutagenesis of miRNA-binding sites within target mRNAs is ultimately required to claim the miRNA–target relationship.

ROLE OF miRNAs AS MODIFIERS OF PATHOGENESIS

A skeletal muscle-specific miRNA, miR-206, is the most up-regulated miRNA in the G93A-SOD1 transgenic mouse, a mouse model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), [\(78](#page-6-0)). Whereas miR-206 knockout mice do not have any obvious

abnormalities, those with a low copy number of G93A-SOD1 display accelerated disease progression and die prematurely, suggesting a compensatory role of $miR-206$ in disease progression. Williams et al. [\(78](#page-6-0)) demonstrated further that miR-206 plays a critical role in the formation of new neuromuscular junctions after nerve injury, in part by repressing histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4). Consistent with this finding, deletion of HDAC4 in skeletal muscles showed opposing effect of miR-206 deletion on re-innervation after injuries. These results strongly implicate $miR-206$ as a modifier of ALS pathogenesis.

The $miR-15a/16-1$ cluster is located inside of a noncoding RNA, deleted in leukemia 2 gene (DLEU2), long suspected as a candidate gene for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [\(79](#page-6-0)). Point mutations within the primary precursor were found in a subset of human CLL patients and a mouse model of CLL phenotypes [\(80](#page-6-0),[81\)](#page-6-0). Genetic ablation of the miR-15a/16-1 cluster displayed B cell-autonomous lymphoproliferative disorders [\(67](#page-6-0)). In addition, re-introduction of the miR-15/16 cluster into a human patient cell line dramatically reduced proliferation. Together, these results indicate that the $miR-15a/16-1$ cluster modulates CLL pathogenesis in mammals.

Genetic ablation of miR-375, a pancreatic islet-specific miRNA, resulted in chronic hyperglycemia with increased total α -cell numbers and moderately decreased β -cell mass [\(82](#page-6-0),[83\)](#page-6-0). In contrast, pancreatic islets of leptin-deficient obese mice, a model of increased β -cell mass, had increased expression of miR-375. The role of miR-375 in regulating b-cell mass was further demonstrated by deletion of this miRNA in the obese mice. The mice deficient in both $miR-375$ and leptin showed dramatic β -cell loss and developed severe insulin-deficient diabetes, suggesting that $m/R-375$ is essential for mediating metabolic stress through β -cell compensation.

A cartilage-specific miRNA, miR-140, is reduced in patients with osteoarthritic cartilage ([84,85](#page-6-0)). In order to examine the role of miR-140 in cartilage development and homeostasis, Miyaski et al. [\(86](#page-6-0)) generated both knockouts lacking miR-140 and transgenic mice over-expressing miR-140 in a cartilage-specific manner. Whereas young knockouts showed only mild skeletal phenotypes with short stature, the knockout mice displayed accelerated onset of age-related osteoarthritislike changes. Conversely, the transgenic mice were resistant to antigen-induced arthritis, suggesting a role for miR-140 in cartilage homeostasis.

Vascular smooth muscle cell plasticity is a key for vascular pathogenesis such as arteriosclerosis. Two clustered miRNAs, miR-143 and miR-145, are highly expressed in vascular smooth muscle cells, and genetic ablation of this cluster displays vascular smooth muscle cell defects [\(64](#page-6-0)–[66](#page-6-0)). The most striking observation from miR-143/145 double-knockout mice was reduced neointima formation following carotid ligation injury reported by Xin et al. [\(66](#page-6-0)). This finding is contradictory to the observation that administration of miR-143 or miR-145 immediately following balloon injury reduced neointima formation ([64,87](#page-6-0)). Nonetheless, these observations support critical roles of $miR-143/145$ in mediating vascular smooth muscle cell programs in response to injury. Disparities between genetic modification and transient *in vivo* studies are

not rare. In the case of $miR-144/451$ studies, genetic deletion and lentiviral decoy approaches resulted in different outcomes [\(68](#page-6-0),[88\)](#page-6-0). This issue brings forth concerns in the field regarding transient in vivo experiments, although these approaches are useful in dissecting molecular mechanisms.

Based on observations from miRNA knockout models and rapidly accumulating human miRNA-disease association data, it seems clear that many miRNAs can function as modifiers of pathogenesis in response to stress and injuries (9). These observations further highlight the promise of miRNAs as therapeutic targets. Depending on whether a diseaseassociated miRNA acts in a pathogenic or compensatory manner, miRNA inhibitors or mimics may be further developed as therapeutics. In addition, the discovery of miRNAs as modifiers of disease processes can help identify cellular effectors and define molecular mechanisms of disease processes.

CLOSING REMARKS

Observations from reviewed knockout mouse models indicate that miRNAs can control expression of numerous functionally related proteins to exert their biological functions. However, some miRNAs can exert profound physiological effects by targeting only a few genes. It appears that different miRNAs can exert their functions by either acting as fine tuners or switches. Modes of action may vary from one miRNA to anther, over the course of development, or in a tissue-dependent manner.

Reviewed knockout studies also indicate that genetic ablation of miRNAs may not result in obvious phenotypes $(53,78,89)$ $(53,78,89)$ $(53,78,89)$ $(53,78,89)$. In worms, $\leq 10\%$ of systematic miRNA deletions cause embryonic death or grossly abnormal phenotypes [\(90](#page-6-0)). No apparent phenotypes could be caused by redundant functions of other related miRNAs or complex regulatory network buffering [\(58](#page-5-0)). Here, the miRNA-target mRNA interactions presumably fall within complex regulatory networks with multiple pathways and feedback controls that enable maintenance of homeostasis despite a defective node in the network. The fact that many miRNA knockouts exhibited phenotypes only under stress overloads or injuries supports the notion of the regulatory network buffering. However, we expect that genetic ablation of miRNAs in mice would display more discernible phenotypes compared with deletions in worms, because there are many mouse disease models and advanced analytical tools available in mice as highlighted in some knockout models ([42,](#page-5-0)[91](#page-6-0)). Since miRNAs constitute one of the largest classes of gene regulatory elements in mammals, deciphering their mode of action and their physiological roles is absolutely essential to understand biological systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Drs Nikki Shariat, Greg Ku, Lukas Jeker and Mark Ansel for their critical reading and suggestions for the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest statement. None declared.

FUNDING

C.Y.P. and M.T.M. are supported by a grant from the W.M. Keck Foundation. We wish to thank the W.M. Keck Foundation for their financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lee, R.C., Feinbaum, R.L. and Ambros, V. (1993) The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. Cell, 75, 843-854.
- 2. Wightman, B., Ha, I. and Ruvkun, G. (1993) Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. Cell, 75, 855-862.
- 3. Pasquinelli, A.E., Reinhart, B.J., Slack, F., Martindale, M.Q., Kuroda, M.I., Maller, B., Hayward, D.C., Ball, E.E., Degnan, B., Muller, P. et al. (2000) Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of let-7 heterochronic regulatory RNA. Nature, 408, 86– 89.
- 4. Lagos-Quintana, M., Rauhut, R., Lendeckel, W. and Tuschl, T. (2001) Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. Science, 294, 853–858.
- 5. Lau, N.C., Lim, L.P., Weinstein, E.G. and Bartel, D.P. (2001) An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory roles in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science, 294, 858-862.
- 6. Lee, R.C. and Ambros, V. (2001) An extensive class of small RNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science, 294, 862-864.
- 7. Chiang, H.R., Schoenfeld, L.W., Ruby, J.G., Auyeung, V.C., Spies, N., Baek, D., Johnston, W.K., Russ, C., Luo, S., Babiarz, J.E. et al. (2010) Mammalian microRNAs: experimental evaluation of novel and previously annotated genes. Genes Dev., 24, 992-1009.
- 8. Kloosterman, W.P. and Plasterk, R.H. (2006) The diverse functions of microRNAs in animal development and disease. Dev. Cell, 11, $441 - 450.$
- 9. Bandiera, S., Hatem, E., Lyonnet, S. and Henrion-Caude, A. (2010) microRNAs in diseases: from candidate to modifier genes. Clin. Genet., 77, 306– 313.
- 10. Xiao, C. and Rajewsky, K. (2009) MicroRNA control in the immune system: basic principles. Cell, 136, 26-36.
- 11. Liu, N. and Olson, E.N. (2010) MicroRNA regulatory networks in cardiovascular development. Dev. Cell, 18, 510-525.
- 12. Gu, J., He, T., Pei, Y., Li, F., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, X. and Li, Y. (2006) Primary transcripts and expressions of mammal intergenic microRNAs detected by mapping ESTs to their flanking sequences. Mamm. Genome, 17, 1033–1041.
- 13. Saini, H.K., Griffiths-Jones, S. and Enright, A.J. (2007) Genomic analysis of human microRNA transcripts. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 17719-17724.
- 14. Altuvia, Y., Landgraf, P., Lithwick, G., Elefant, N., Pfeffer, S., Aravin, A., Brownstein, M.J., Tuschl, T. and Margalit, H. (2005) Clustering and conservation patterns of human microRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res., 33, 2697–2706.
- 15. Baskerville, S. and Bartel, D.P. (2005) Microarray profiling of microRNAs reveals frequent coexpression with neighboring miRNAs and host genes. RNA, 11, 241-247.
- 16. Borchert, G.M., Lanier, W. and Davidson, B.L. (2006) RNA polymerase III transcribes human microRNAs. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol., 13, 1097–1101.
- 17. Canella, D., Praz, V., Reina, J.H., Cousin, P. and Hernandez, N. (2010) Defining the RNA polymerase III transcriptome: genome-wide localization of the RNA polymerase III transcription machinery in human cells. Genome Res., 20, 710–721.
- 18. Han, J., Lee, Y., Yeom, K.H., Nam, J.W., Heo, I., Rhee, J.K., Sohn, S.Y., Cho, Y., Zhang, B.T. and Kim, V.N. (2006) Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. Cell, 125, 887–901.
- 19. Yi, R., Qin, Y., Macara, I.G. and Cullen, B.R. (2003) Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev., 17, 3011-3016.
- 20. Lund, E., Guttinger, S., Calado, A., Dahlberg, J.E. and Kutay, U. (2004) Nuclear export of microRNA precursors. Science, 303, 95-98.
- 21. Chendrimada, T.P., Gregory, R.I., Kumaraswamy, E., Norman, J., Cooch, N., Nishikura, K. and Shiekhattar, R. (2005) TRBP recruits the Dicer complex to Ago2 for microRNA processing and gene silencing. Nature, 436, 740–744.
- 22. Bernstein, E., Caudy, A.A., Hammond, S.M. and Hannon, G.J. (2001) Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. Nature, 409, 363– 366.
- 23. Hutvagner, G. and Zamore, P.D. (2002) A microRNA in a multiple-turnover RNAi enzyme complex. Science, 297, 2056– 2060.
- 24. Babiarz, J.E., Ruby, J.G., Wang, Y., Bartel, D.P. and Blelloch, R. (2008) Mouse ES cells express endogenous shRNAs, siRNAs, and other microprocessor-independent, Dicer-dependent small RNAs. Genes Dev., 22, 2773– 2785.
- 25. Ruby, J.G., Jan, C.H. and Bartel, D.P. (2007) Intronic microRNA precursors that bypass Drosha processing. Nature, 448, 83–86.
- 26. Chan, S.P. and Slack, F.J. (2007) And now introducing mammalian mirtrons. Dev. Cell, 13, 605-607.
- 27. Fabian, M.R., Sonenberg, N. and Filipowicz, W. (2010) Regulation of mRNA translation and stability by microRNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem., 79, $351 - 379.$
- 28. Lewis, B.P., Shih, I.H., Jones-Rhoades, M.W., Bartel, D.P. and Burge, C.B. (2003) Prediction of mammalian microRNA targets. Cell, 115, 787– 798.
- 29. Grun, D., Wang, Y.L., Langenberger, D., Gunsalus, K.C. and Rajewsky, N. (2005) microRNA target predictions across seven Drosophila species and comparison to mammalian targets. PLoS Comput. Biol., 1, e13.
- 30. John, B., Enright, A.J., Aravin, A., Tuschl, T., Sander, C. and Marks, D.S. (2004) Human microRNA targets. PLoS Biol., 2, e363.
- 31. Friedman, R.C., Farh, K.K., Burge, C.B. and Bartel, D.P. (2009) Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. Genome Res., 19, 92–105.
- 32. Hafner, M., Landthaler, M., Burger, L., Khorshid, M., Hausser, J., Berninger, P., Rothballer, A., Ascano, M. Jr, Jungkamp, A.C., Munschauer, M. et al. (2010) Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP. Cell, 141, 129– 141.
- 33. Bernstein, E., Kim, S.Y., Carmell, M.A., Murchison, E.P., Alcorn, H., Li, M.Z., Mills, A.A., Elledge, S.J., Anderson, K.V. and Hannon, G.J. (2003) Dicer is essential for mouse development. Nat. Genet., 35, 215– 217.
- 34. Wang, Y., Medvid, R., Melton, C., Jaenisch, R. and Blelloch, R. (2007) DGCR8 is essential for microRNA biogenesis and silencing of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nat. Genet., 39, 380–385.
- 35. Fukuda, T., Yamagata, K., Fujiyama, S., Matsumoto, T., Koshida, I., Yoshimura, K., Mihara, M., Naitou, M., Endoh, H., Nakamura, T. et al. (2007) DEAD-box RNA helicase subunits of the Drosha complex are required for processing of rRNA and a subset of microRNAs. Nat. Cell Biol., 9, 604–611.
- 36. Morita, S., Horii, T., Kimura, M., Goto, Y., Ochiya, T. and Hatada, I. (2007) One Argonaute family member, Eif2c2 (Ago2), is essential for development and appears not to be involved in DNA methylation. Genomics, 89, 687–696.
- 37. Harfe, B.D., McManus, M.T., Mansfield, J.H., Hornstein, E. and Tabin, C.J. (2005) The RNaseIII enzyme Dicer is required for morphogenesis but not patterning of the vertebrate limb. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 10898-10903.
- 38. Kanellopoulou, C., Muljo, S.A., Kung, A.L., Ganesan, S., Drapkin, R., Jenuwein, T., Livingston, D.M. and Rajewsky, K. (2005) Dicer-deficient mouse embryonic stem cells are defective in differentiation and centromeric silencing. Genes Dev., 19, 489–501.
- 39. Chong, M.M., Rasmussen, J.P., Rudensky, A.Y. and Littman, D.R. (2008) The RNAseIII enzyme Drosha is critical in T cells for preventing lethal inflammatory disease. J. Exp. Med., 205, 2005–2017.
- 40. Rodriguez, A., Vigorito, E., Clare, S., Warren, M.V., Couttet, P., Soond, D.R., van Dongen, S., Grocock, R.J., Das, P.P., Miska, E.A. et al. (2007) Requirement of bic/microRNA-155 for normal immune function. Science, 316, 608–611.
- 41. Thai, T.H., Calado, D.P., Casola, S., Ansel, K.M., Xiao, C., Xue, Y., Murphy, A., Frendewey, D., Valenzuela, D., Kutok, J.L. et al. (2007) Regulation of the germinal center response by microRNA-155. Science, 316, 604– 608.
- 42. van Rooij, E., Sutherland, L.B., Qi, X., Richardson, J.A., Hill, J. and Olson, E.N. (2007) Control of stress-dependent cardiac growth and gene expression by a microRNA. Science, 316, 575–579.
- 43. Zhao, Y., Ransom, J.F., Li, A., Vedantham, V., von Drehle, M., Muth, A.N., Tsuchihashi, T., McManus, M.T., Schwartz, R.J. and Srivastava, D. (2007) Dysregulation of cardiogenesis, cardiac conduction, and cell cycle in mice lacking miRNA-1-2. Cell, 129, 303-317.
- 44. Zhao, Y., Samal, E. and Srivastava, D. (2005) Serum response factor regulates a muscle-specific microRNA that targets Hand2 during cardiogenesis. Nature, 436, 214-220.
- 45. Kwon, C., Han, Z., Olson, E.N. and Srivastava, D. (2005) MicroRNA1 influences cardiac differentiation in Drosophila and regulates Notch signaling. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 18986-18991.
- 46. Sokol, N.S. and Ambros, V. (2005) Mesodermally expressed Drosophila microRNA-1 is regulated by Twist and is required in muscles during larval growth. Genes Dev., 19, 2343-2354.
- 47. Ventura, A., Young, A.G., Winslow, M.M., Lintault, L., Meissner, A., Erkeland, S.J., Newman, J., Bronson, R.T., Crowley, D., Stone, J.R. et al. (2008) Targeted deletion reveals essential and overlapping functions of the miR-17 through 92 family of miRNA clusters. Cell, 132, 875-886.
- 48. Xiao, C., Srinivasan, L., Calado, D.P., Patterson, H.C., Zhang, B., Wang, J., Henderson, J.M., Kutok, J.L. and Rajewsky, K. (2008) Lymphoproliferative disease and autoimmunity in mice with increased miR-17-92 expression in lymphocytes. Nat. Immunol., 9, 405–414.
- 49. Kuhnert, F., Mancuso, M.R., Hampton, J., Stankunas, K., Asano, T., Chen, C.Z. and Kuo, C.J. (2008) Attribution of vascular phenotypes of the murine Egfl7 locus to the microRNA miR-126. Development, 135, 3989-3993.
- 50. Wang, S., Aurora, A.B., Johnson, B.A., Qi, X., McAnally, J., Hill, J.A., Richardson, J.A., Bassel-Duby, R. and Olson, E.N. (2008) The endothelial-specific microRNA miR-126 governs vascular integrity and angiogenesis. Dev. Cell, 15 , $261-271$.
- 51. Schmidt, M., Paes, K., De Maziere, A., Smyczek, T., Yang, S., Gray, A., French, D., Kasman, I., Klumperman, J., Rice, D.S. et al. (2007) EGFL7 regulates the collective migration of endothelial cells by restricting their spatial distribution. Development, 134, 2913–2923.
- 52. Osokine, I., Hsu, R., Loeb, G.B. and McManus, M.T. (2008) Unintentional miRNA ablation is a risk factor in gene knockout studies: a short report. *PLoS Genet.*, 4, e34.
- 53. Liu, N., Bezprozvannaya, S., Williams, A.H., Qi, X., Richardson, J.A., Bassel-Duby, R. and Olson, E.N. (2008) microRNA-133a regulates cardiomyocyte proliferation and suppresses smooth muscle gene expression in the heart. Genes Dev., 22, 3242– 3254.
- 54. Ota, A., Tagawa, H., Karnan, S., Tsuzuki, S., Karpas, A., Kira, S., Yoshida, Y. and Seto, M. (2004) Identification and characterization of a novel gene, C13orf25, as a target for 13q31– q32 amplification in malignant lymphoma. Cancer Res., 64, 3087– 3095.
- 55. He, L., Thomson, J.M., Hemann, M.T., Hernando-Monge, E., Mu, D., Goodson, S., Powers, S., Cordon-Cardo, C., Lowe, S.W., Hannon, G.J. et al. (2005) A microRNA polycistron as a potential human oncogene. Nature, 435, 828-833.
- 56. van Rooij, E., Quiat, D., Johnson, B.A., Sutherland, L.B., Qi, X., Richardson, J.A., Kelm, R.J. Jr and Olson, E.N. (2009) A family of microRNAs encoded by myosin genes governs myosin expression and muscle performance. Dev Cell., 17, 662–673.
- 57. Yekta, S., Tabin, C.J. and Bartel, D.P. (2008) MicroRNAs in the Hox network: an apparent link to posterior prevalence. Nat. Rev. Genet., 9, 789–796.
- 58. Bartel, D.P. (2009) MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell, 136, 215–233.
- 59. Baek, D., Villen, J., Shin, C., Camargo, F.D., Gygi, S.P. and Bartel, D.P. (2008) The impact of microRNAs on protein output. Nature, 455, 64-71.
- 60. Chi, S.W., Zang, J.B., Mele, A. and Darnell, R.B. (2009) Argonaute HITS-CLIP decodes microRNA-mRNA interaction maps. Nature, 460, 479–486.
- 61. Zisoulis, D.G., Lovci, M.T., Wilbert, M.L., Hutt, K.R., Liang, T.Y., Pasquinelli, A.E. and Yeo, G.W. (2010) Comprehensive discovery of endogenous Argonaute binding sites in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol., 17, 173-179.
- 62. Bartel, D.P. and Chen, C.Z. (2004) Micromanagers of gene expression: the potentially widespread influence of metazoan microRNAs. Nat. Rev. Genet., 5, 396–400.
- 63. Selbach, M., Schwanhausser, B., Thierfelder, N., Fang, Z., Khanin, R. and Rajewsky, N. (2008) Widespread changes in protein synthesis induced by microRNAs. Nature, 455, 58-63.
- 64. Elia, L., Quintavalle, M., Zhang, J., Contu, R., Cossu, L., Latronico, M.V., Peterson, K.L., Indolfi, C., Catalucci, D., Chen, J. et al. (2009) The knockout of miR-143 and -145 alters smooth muscle cell maintenance and vascular homeostasis in mice: correlates with human disease. Cell Death Differ., 16, 1590-1598.
- 65. Boettger, T., Beetz, N., Kostin, S., Schneider, J., Kruger, M., Hein, L. and Braun, T. (2009) Acquisition of the contractile phenotype by murine arterial smooth muscle cells depends on the Mir143/145 gene cluster. J. Clin. Invest., 119, 2634– 2647.
- 66. Xin, M., Small, E.M., Sutherland, L.B., Qi, X., McAnally, J., Plato, C.F., Richardson, J.A., Bassel-Duby, R. and Olson, E.N. (2009) MicroRNAs miR-143 and miR-145 modulate cytoskeletal dynamics and responsiveness of smooth muscle cells to injury. Genes Dev., 23, 2166– 2178.
- 67. Klein, U., Lia, M., Crespo, M., Siegel, R., Shen, Q., Mo, T., Ambesi-Impiombato, A., Califano, A., Migliazza, A., Bhagat, G. et al. (2010) The DLEU2/miR-15a/16-1 cluster controls B cell proliferation and its deletion leads to chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Cell., 17, $28 - 40$
- 68. Rasmussen, K.D., Simmini, S., Abreu-Goodger, C., Bartonicek, N., Di Giacomo, M., Bilbao-Cortes, D., Horos, R., Von Lindern, M., Enright, A.J. and O'Carroll, D. (2010) The miR-144/451 locus is required for erythroid homeostasis. J. Exp. Med., 207, 1351–1358.
- 69. Monticelli, S., Ansel, K.M., Xiao, C., Socci, N.D., Krichevsky, A.M., Thai, T.H., Rajewsky, N., Marks, D.S., Sander, C., Rajewsky, K. et al. (2005) MicroRNA profiling of the murine hematopoietic system. Genome Biol., 6, R71.
- 70. Thomas, M.D., Kremer, C.S., Ravichandran, K.S., Rajewsky, K. and Bender, T.P. (2005) c-Myb is critical for B cell development and maintenance of follicular B cells. Immunity, 23, 275-286.
- 71. Xiao, C., Calado, D.P., Galler, G., Thai, T.H., Patterson, H.C., Wang, J., Rajewsky, N., Bender, T.P. and Rajewsky, K. (2007) MiR-150 controls B cell differentiation by targeting the transcription factor c-Myb. Cell, 131, 146–159.
- 72. Johnston, R.J. and Hobert, O. (2003) A microRNA controlling left/right neuronal asymmetry in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature, 426, 845-849.
- 73. Johnnidis, J.B., Harris, M.H., Wheeler, R.T., Stehling-Sun, S., Lam, M.H., Kirak, O., Brummelkamp, T.R., Fleming, M.D. and Camargo, F.D. (2008) Regulation of progenitor cell proliferation and granulocyte function by microRNA-223. Nature, 451, 1125–1129.
- 74. Schuler, A., Schwieger, M., Engelmann, A., Weber, K., Horn, S., Muller, U., Arnold, M.A., Olson, E.N. and Stocking, C. (2008) The MADS transcription factor Mef2c is a pivotal modulator of myeloid cell fate. Blood, 111, 4532-4541.
- 75. Dorsett, Y., McBride, K.M., Jankovic, M., Gazumyan, A., Thai, T.H., Robbiani, D.F., Di Virgilio, M., San-Martin, B.R., Heidkamp, G., Schwickert, T.A. et al. (2008) MicroRNA-155 suppresses activation-induced cytidine deaminase-mediated Myc-Igh translocation. Immunity, 28, 630–638.
- 76. Teng, G., Hakimpour, P., Landgraf, P., Rice, A., Tuschl, T., Casellas, R. and Papavasiliou, F.N. (2008) MicroRNA-155 is a negative regulator of activation-induced cytidine deaminase. *Immunity*, 28 , $621 - 629$.
- 77. Vigorito, E., Perks, K.L., Abreu-Goodger, C., Bunting, S., Xiang, Z., Kohlhaas, S., Das, P.P., Miska, E.A., Rodriguez, A., Bradley, A. et al.

(2007) microRNA-155 regulates the generation of immunoglobulin class-switched plasma cells. Immunity, 27, 847–859.

- 78. Williams, A.H., Valdez, G., Moresi, V., Qi, X., McAnally, J., Elliott, J.L., Bassel-Duby, R., Sanes, J.R. and Olson, E.N. (2009) MicroRNA-206 delays ALS progression and promotes regeneration of neuromuscular synapses in mice. Science, 326, 1549-1554.
- 79. Cimmino, A., Calin, G.A., Fabbri, M., Iorio, M.V., Ferracin, M., Shimizu, M., Wojcik, S.E., Aqeilan, R.I., Zupo, S., Dono, M. et al. (2005) miR-15 and miR-16 induce apoptosis by targeting BCL2. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 13944–13949.
- 80. Calin, G.A., Ferracin, M., Cimmino, A., Di Leva, G., Shimizu, M., Wojcik, S.E., Iorio, M.V., Visone, R., Sever, N.I., Fabbri, M. et al. (2005) A MicroRNA signature associated with prognosis and progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med., 353, 1793–1801.
- 81. Raveche, E.S., Salerno, E., Scaglione, B.J., Manohar, V., Abbasi, F., Lin, Y.C., Fredrickson, T., Landgraf, P., Ramachandra, S., Huppi, K. et al. (2007) Abnormal microRNA-16 locus with synteny to human 13q14 linked to CLL in NZB mice. Blood, 109, 5079–5086.
- 82. Poy, M.N., Eliasson, L., Krutzfeldt, J., Kuwajima, S., Ma, X., Macdonald, P.E., Pfeffer, S., Tuschl, T., Rajewsky, N., Rorsman, P. et al. (2004) A pancreatic islet-specific microRNA regulates insulin secretion. Nature, 432, 226–230.
- 83. Poy, M.N., Hausser, J., Trajkovski, M., Braun, M., Collins, S., Rorsman, P., Zavolan, M. and Stoffel, M. (2009) miR-375 maintains normal pancreatic alpha- and beta-cell mass. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 5813–5818.
- 84. Wienholds, E., Kloosterman, W.P., Miska, E., Alvarez-Saavedra, E., Berezikov, E., de Bruijn, E., Horvitz, H.R., Kauppinen, S. and Plasterk, R.H. (2005) MicroRNA expression in zebrafish embryonic development. Science, 309, 310–311.
- 85. Miyaki, S., Nakasa, T., Otsuki, S., Grogan, S.P., Higashiyama, R., Inoue, A., Kato, Y., Sato, T., Lotz, M.K. and Asahara, H. (2009) MicroRNA-140 is expressed in differentiated human articular chondrocytes and modulates interleukin-1 responses. Arthritis Rheum., 60, 2723–2730.
- 86. Miyaki, S., Sato, T., Inoue, A., Otsuki, S., Ito, Y., Yokoyama, S., Kato, Y., Takemoto, F., Nakasa, T., Yamashita, S. et al. (2010) MicroRNA-140 plays dual roles in both cartilage development and homeostasis. Genes Dev., 24, 1173-1185.
- 87. Cheng, Y., Liu, X., Yang, J., Lin, Y., Xu, D.Z., Lu, Q., Deitch, E.A., Huo, Y., Delphin, E.S. and Zhang, C. (2009) MicroRNA-145, a novel smooth muscle cell phenotypic marker and modulator, controls vascular neointimal lesion formation. Circ. Res., 105, 158-166.
- 88. Papapetrou, E.P., Korkola, J.E. and Sadelain, M. (2010) A genetic strategy for single and combinatorial analysis of miRNA function in mammalian hematopoietic stem cells. Stem Cells, 28, 287-296.
- 89. Jin, Z.B., Hirokawa, G., Gui, L., Takahashi, R., Osakada, F., Hiura, Y., Takahashi, M., Yasuhara, O. and Iwai, N. (2009) Targeted deletion of miR-182, an abundant retinal microRNA. Mol. Vis., 15, 523–533.
- 90. Miska, E.A., Alvarez-Saavedra, E., Abbott, A.L., Lau, N.C., Hellman, A.B., McGonagle, S.M., Bartel, D.P., Ambros, V.R. and Horvitz, H.R. (2007) Most Caenorhabditis elegans microRNAs are individually not essential for development or viability. PLoS Genet., 3, e215.
- 91. Callis, T.E., Pandya, K., Seok, H.Y., Tang, R.H., Tatsuguchi, M., Huang, Z.P., Chen, J.F., Deng, Z., Gunn, B., Shumate, J. et al. (2009) MicroRNA-208a is a regulator of cardiac hypertrophy and conduction in mice. J. Clin. Invest., 119, 2772–2786.