Inhibition of Cellulase-Catalyzed Lignocellulosic Hydrolysis by Iron and Oxidative Metal Ions and Complexes

Ani Tejirian and Feng Xu*

Novozymes, Inc., Davis, California 95618

Received 9 June 2010/Accepted 20 September 2010

Enzymatic lignocellulose hydrolysis plays a key role in microbially driven carbon cycling and energy conversion and holds promise for bio-based energy and chemical industries. Cellulases (key lignocelluloseactive enzymes) are prone to interference from various noncellulosic substances (e.g., metal ions). During natural cellulolysis, these substances may arise from other microbial activities or abiotic events, and during industrial cellulolysis, they may be derived from biomass feedstocks or upstream treatments. Knowledge about cellulolysis-inhibiting reactions is of importance for the microbiology of natural biomass degradation and the development of biomass conversion technology. Different metal ions, including those native to microbial activity or employed for biomass pretreatments, are often tested for enzymatic cellulolysis. Only a few metal ions act as inhibitors of cellulases, which include ferrous and ferric ions as well as cupric ion. In this study, we showed inhibition by ferrous/ferric ions as part of a more general effect from oxidative (or redox-active) metal ions and their complexes. The correlation between inhibition and oxidation potential indicated the oxidative nature of the inhibition, and the dependence on air established the catalytic role that iron ions played in mediating the dioxygen inhibition of cellulolysis. Individual cellulases showed different susceptibilities to inhibition. It is likely that the inhibition exerted its effect more on cellulose than on cellulase. Strong iron ion chelators and polyethylene glycols could mitigate the inhibition. Potential microbiological and industrial implications of the observed effect of redox-active metal ions on enzymatic cellulolysis, as well as the prevention and mitigation of this effect in industrial biomass conversion, are discussed.

The microbial degradation of lignocellulosic biomass (derived mostly from plant cell walls) is important for carbon cycling, energy conversion, microbiota vitality, animal-microbe symbiosis, and other microbiological or ecological processes. In general, the biodegradation of lignocellulose takes place in highly heterogeneous environments (e.g., soil, sediment, swamp, marsh, or animal digestive tract), in which different microbes employ different biological ways (of a lytic, redox, synthetic, or other nature) to seek nutrients, compete with others, or fight off inactivation. Lignocellulolytic microbes use an array of specific hydrolases, lyases, oxidoreductases, and accessory enzymes to constitute enzymatic machineries able to effectively degrade or convert highly complex lignocellulosic substances (1, 23). In nature, these enzymes have to have not only high specificity and reactivity toward their substrates (targeted lignocellulosic substances) but also resilience toward inhibitors or inactivators derived from other biomass-targeting enzymatic reactions as well as other coexisting microbial or abiotic processes. The potential impact from redox chemistry, one of the important ecological or environmental properties of many microbiotas, might become significant because of two sets of microbial activities.

First, many microbes employ oxidoreductase-driven redox agents to degrade or modify lignin, a major plant cell wall substance that not only coexists with but also protects cellulose (from degradation by foreign agents). For instance, white rots secrete diffusible heme-containing peroxidases (lignin peroxidase, Mn

peroxidase, and versatile peroxidase) to attack lignin, supported by H_2O_2 generated by secreted carbohydrate or alcohol oxidases and assisted by radicalized surface amino acid residues, dissociated Mn(III), or separate redox mediators (for a recent review, see reference 33). Brown rots secrete redox-active quinoids or Fe-chelating peptides, H_2O_2 -generating carbohydrate or alcohol oxidase, and other oxidoreductases (including laccase) to modify lignin and degrade cellulose by OH radicals from Fenton-type chemistry (for recent reports, see references 1 and 41). These oxidoreductases and their redox metabolites, products, or mediators [e.g., Mn(III) and H_2O_2] might act on or affect cellulases (secreted by the same or different lignocellulolytic microbes) or their lignocellulose hydrolysis.

Second, all microbial life cycles depend on redox chemistry, and many general microbial processes are of a redox nature. Based on their final electron acceptors (or cellular respiration modes), microbes can be grouped as aerobes (able to reduce $O₂$ to $H₂O$), nitrate reducers or denitrifiers (able to reduce NO_3 ⁻ to N_2), Mn(IV) reducers [able to reduce MnO₂ to Mn(II)], Fe(III) reducers [able to reduce $Fe₂O₃$ or FeO(OH) to Fe(II)], sulfate reducers (able to reduce SO_4^2 or S to HS^-), or methanogens (able to reduce CO_2 to CH_4). Among them, the $Mn(IV)$ and $Fe(III)$ reducers, as well as general anoxygenic photosynthesis, can generate diffusible redox-active mediators and Mn or Fe species. The spatial and temporal distribution of different microbes or respiration modes, and, consequently, redox environments or an abundance of redoxactive agents, in microbiota is subjected to air O_2 availability and geochemical conditions (for recent reviews, see references 4 and 34). When exposed to air, aerobic cellulolytic microbes (mostly fungi) degrade cellulose by coupling the process to their respiration. Local anoxicity/anaerobicity may be formed

Corresponding author. Mailing address: Novozymes, Inc., 1445 Drew Avenue, Davis, CA 95618. Phone: (530) 757-8100. Fax: (530)

 $\sqrt[p]{}$ Published ahead of print on 1 October 2010.

due to fast metabolisms of these and other aerobes, thus allowing anaerobic cellulolytic microbes (mostly bacteria) to degrade cellulose. Aerobic cellulolytic microbes may border with, and anaerobic cellulolytic microbes may live within, noncellulolytic anaerobes (facultative or obligate and saccharolytic or syntrophic) relying on non- $O₂$ respirations. Consequently, metabolites or products (including redox-active agents) from the noncellulolytic anaerobes might contact and affect cellulolytic microbes, their cellulases, or targeted lignocelluloses as part of a more general cohabitation, collaboration, or competition among different cellulolytic and noncellulolytic species (for a recent review, see reference 23).

Biomass-active microbes and enzymes, as well as natural microbial biomass degradation processes, are highly attractive for the emerging biomass conversion/utilization industry (biorefinery). Three of the major challenges faced by the biomass conversion research and development efforts are the general recalcitrance of biomass, heterogeneity of common feedstocks, and inhibition of specific transformation reactions by coexisting nonsubstrate substances. In general, the structural and compositional complexity of biomass makes the separation of its components and subsequent transformation into valuable chemicals difficult. For effectively and efficiently converting cellulose (one of the main targets of biomass conversion), one needs to not only optimize the efficacy of cellulases (cellulolytic biocatalysts) but also minimize the detrimental effects of various cellulose derivatives and noncellulosic substances originating from biomass feedstock or upstream treatments (for recent reviews, see references 11, 13, 22, 41, and 42).

Biomass (as either a direct substrate for natural microbial biomass degradation or feedstock for industrial bioalcohol and other chemical productions) is in general highly heterogeneous and complex, comprising cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, protein, extractive, mineral, and other substances. Although carbohydrates are the main targets for the development of biomass-active enzymes, other minor biomass components are of interest as well, because they may affect the activity of biomassactive enzymes. Metal ions could be such effectors, since they exist universally in microbiota habitats [e.g., Ca(II), Al(III), and other metal ions adsorbed in soil or dissolved in water; Fe(III), Fe(II), Mn(IV), and Mn(II) from anaerobes' respiration and anoxygenic photosynthesis] and often serve as structural/functional cofactors [e.g., Ca(II), Zn(II), Fe(II), Mn(II), and $Cu(II)$] or inhibitors [e.g., Ag(I), Hg(II), and Pb(II)] of many enzymes, including cellulases. In corn stover, a major feedstock, iron oxide may account for 0.5% of the ash, and Mn may be present at 23 mg/kg of body weight (25). In nature, biomass-degrading microbial enzymes (e.g., cellulases and hemicellulases) are most likely in contact with various metal ions (including redox-active ones) related to other enzymatic, microbial, or abiotic processes. Some metal ions may also be present at significant levels in industrial enzymatic biomassconverting systems, after being added as reagents, dissolved from a reactor/pipeline, or brought from water or other raw material sources.

As part of cellulase characterization, common divalent metal ions [e.g., Mg(II), Ca(II), Mn(II), Fe(II), Co(II), Ni(II), $Cu(II)$, $Zn(II)$, and $Hg(II)$ as well as mono- or trivalent metal ions [e.g., Na(I), K(I), and Fe(III)] are often tested for their effect on cellulase activity (24). In general, Hg(II) behaves as a

fatal cellulase inhibitor, likely because of its interaction with key sulfur-containing amino acid residues (as it does for other proteins). Among the divalent metal ions, most often only Cu(II) and Fe(II) are found to exert strong inhibition on cellulose-hydrolyzing reactions of cellobiohydrolase (CBH), endo-β-glucanase (EG), or β-glucosidase (BG) (for examples, see references 12, 15, and 30). The cellulase-inhibiting actions of Fe(II) and Cu(II), but not Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), and Zn(II) (all have the same $2+$ charge and similar size), have not been well understood from a mechanistic aspect. In general, the effect of redox-active metal ions or other compounds on cellulases during natural microbial biomass degradation has not been extensively investigated.

To further probe the effects of $Fe(II)$ and $Cu(II)$, we carried out a study to examine the hypothesis that the inhibition of the reaction of cellulases by metal ions is of a redox nature. Various redox-active metal ions and complexes were tested comparatively, and a possible correlation between the redox and inhibitory properties of these substances was sought. The potential ecological or environmental significance of the redox effect on the action of cellulase is discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and instruments. Chemicals used as reagents or buffers were commercial products of reagent or purer grade unless specified otherwise. A diluted acid-pretreated corn stover (PCS) preparation (\sim 59% glycan and 28% lignin), kindly provided by the U.S. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, was first ground and sieved, extensively washed by water, and then adjusted to pH \sim 5. Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) was obtained from Hercules (7L2 type; 70% substitution). Phosphoric acid-swollen cellulose (PASC) was prepared from Avicel (FMC; PH101) according to a previously reported method (35). An Fe-(2,2 bipyridyl)₂ complex was prepared by mixing 0.1 M FeCl₂ or FeCl₃ with 0.2 M 2,2'-bipyridyl (2,2'BP) in water at 23°C. An Fe-(1,10-phenanthroline)₂ complex was prepared by mixing $0.1 \text{ M } \text{FeCl}_2$ or FeCl_3 with $0.2 \text{ M } 1,10$ -phenanthroline in water. An Fe-EDTA complex was prepared by mixing 0.1 M FeCl₂ or FeCl₃ with 0.1 M EDTA in water.

An experimental cellulase preparation from Novozymes ("cellulase mix") was used as cellulase mixtures for cellulose hydrolysis (cellulolysis). The mix contained extracellular *Hypocrea jecorina* (*Trichoderma reesei*) cellulases and *Aspergillus oryzae* CEL3A BG. Wild-type *H. jecorina* CEL7A CBH-I; recombinant *H. jecorina* CEL6A CBH-II, CEL7B EG-I, and CEL5A EG-II; as well as recombinant CEL3A were prepared as previously reported (14, 44, 45).

Spectrophotometric measurements were carried out with a Molecular Devices SpectraMax 340PC or Gemini XPS reader with Costar 96-well microplates. Sugar analysis was carried out with an Agilent 1100 high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) instrument equipped with a Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H column and a refractive index detector under a 5 mM H_2SO_4 elution. Temperature-controlled incubations were made with New Brunswick Scientific Innova 4080 incubation shakers. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was carried out with a Bio-Rad Criterion cell with Criterion precast gels stained with Bio-Rad's Bio-Safe Coomassie kit.

Enzymatic cellulose hydrolysis. PCS hydrolysis was carried out in either 1.7-ml Eppendorf microcentrifuge tubes or a 2-ml 96-well VWR Deep Well plate, typically with 43.4 g/liter PCS (dry weight), 0.25 g/liter (\sim 4 μ M, based on an average mass of 60 kDa) cellulase mix, and 50 mM Na-acetate (pH 5), in 1-ml suspensions and at 50°C for up to 4 days under shaking at 150 rpm. Heat sealing of the plate was done by use of an ABgene ALPS-300 device (160°C for 2 s). Aliquots (80 μ l) of the suspension were sampled and then centrifuged or filtered (on Millipore Multiscreen-HV 96-well plate filters), and the supernatants were analyzed for soluble reducing sugars by HPLC. The extent of hydrolysis was estimated from the observed glucose and cellobiose (longer cellodextrins were negligible) and, based on the glycan content of the PCS, taking into consideration water incorporation after glucosidic bond cleavage. The initial rate was obtained from hydrolysis during the first day. The hydrolysis of PASC, Avicel, and cellobiose was carried out under conditions similar to those for the hydrolysis of PCS, and their typical doses were 2, 23, and 2 g/liter level, respectively. Typical cellulase dosing was 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix or 0.04 g/liter (or \sim 0.7 μ M) individual cellulase. For 50-ml-scale hydrolysis, the enzyme/substrate levels were scaled up accordingly, and hydrolysis was carried out with rubber-stopped 125-ml Erlenmeyer flasks. CMC hydrolysis was performed with 1-ml solutions with 10 or 20 g/liter CMC, 1 to 20 mg/liter cellulase, and 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5 and at 50°C. Aliquots of the suspension were sampled, and their supernatants were analyzed for soluble reducing sugars by *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid hydrazide (PH-BAH) according to a previously reported method (16). Background absorption was corrected by control reactions.

When anaerobicity was needed, 125-ml Erlenmeyer flasks with a glass stopper (Chemglass CG-8506) and an inflatable/sealed/gas-controlled chamber (Aldrich AtmosBag) were used. The chamber was filled with N_2 (Airgas; 99.9%), and the premade cellulose suspension was deaerated by gentle bubbling with N_2 (for 2 min). The suspension and enzyme stock were mixed, and the flasks were sealed inside the chamber. Temporal sampling of the hydrolysis mixture was made inside a deaerated chamber. The glass stoppers provided lasting anaerobicity, although they needed to be held tightly against increased $(\sim)10\%$ higher) internal gas pressure (when the sealed flasks were warmed up from 23°C to 50°C) by copper wires anchored around the flask necks. Hydrolysis suspensions assembled/sampled in open air served as controls.

At least duplicates were run in each experiment.

Inhibition from metal ions or complexes. The evaluation of selected metal ions for their effects on enzymatic cellulolysis was exemplified by that of Fe(II). Stock solutions of Fe(II) were prepared at 0.25 M as $FeSO₄$ in water, unless specified otherwise. FeSO₄ was added to the cellulose hydrolysis suspension, and their inhibitions were evaluated by the decrease of hydrolysis. For PASC hydrolysis, 0.6 to 4 g/liter PASC, 0.01 g/liter cellulase mix, and 0.1 to 10 mM FeSO₄ were reacted in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5. As a control, $FeSO₄$ was incubated with PASC, and no hydrolysis was seen. No effect of $FeSO₄$ on the HPLC profiles of glucose and cellobiose was seen. For Avicel hydrolysis, 0.6 to 4 g/liter Avicel, cellulase mix, and 0.5 to 4 mM FeSO₄ were reacted in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5. For Avicel hydrolysis, 0.6 to 4 g/liter Avicel, 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix, and 0.5 to 4 mM $FeSO₄$ (or 0.2 to 2 mM other selected redox-active ions/complexes) were reacted in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5. For PCS hydrolysis, 43.4 g/liter PCS, 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix, and 0.1 to 10 mM $FeSO₄$ were reacted in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5. For individual cellulases, Fe(II) inhibition was tested similarly, with 0.6 to 4 g/liter PASC, 0.04 g/liter CEL7A or other cellulases, and 3 to 15 mM FeSO4, for up to 4 h of incubation. For CEL3A, cellobiose was used instead of PASC.

The initial hydrolysis rate was obtained from the first two hydrolysis time points [with a $\langle 20\%$ hydrolysis extent in general, rate = (hydrolysis difference)/ (time difference)]. Double-reciprocal plots {1/(initial rate) versus 1/[cellulose] as a function of [inhibitor]} (square brackets indicate concentrations) were employed to study inhibition types and derive the inhibition constant, *Ki* , when possible. Initial rate-versus-[inhibitor] plots (linear interpolation), as a function of [cellulose], were used to derive the I_{50} (the inhibitor concentration resulting in a 50% loss of the initial rate).

Mitigation of iron ion inhibition on enzymatic cellulolysis. Potential mitigators of iron ion inhibition were tested by PCS hydrolysis. In one experiment, the hydrolysis of 43 g/liter PCS by 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix, in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5 and at 50 $^{\circ}$ C, with 2.5 mM FeSO₄, 10 mM H₂O₂, and 10 mM desferrioxamine (siderophore), added either alone or in combination after 30 min of preincubation prior to the addition of cellulases, was monitored. In another PCS hydrolysis experiment, 10 mM desferrioxamine, 1,10-phenanthroline, or 2,2 bipyridyl was added so that the effect of the Fe(II) chelators on hydrolysis could be evaluated.

Potential effect from polyethylene glycol (PEG) on the Fe(II)-caused inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis was studied with PEG 4000. In one experiment, 10 mM FeSO₄ and 0.625 to 5 g/liter PEG 4000 were added either alone or in combination to PCS hydrolysis and cellulase mix. In another experiment, 10 mM FeSO₄ and 5 g/liter PEG 4000 were added to Avicel hydrolysis and cellulase mix. The effects of 50 g/liter PEG 4000 on the inhibition by 10 mM $FeSO₄$ of the hydrolysis of either 50 g/liter PCS or 25 g/liter Avicel by 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix were also compared.

Preincubation of cellulases or cellulose with inhibitory iron species. To preincubate Avicel or PASC with inhibitory iron species, prior to hydrolysis with cellulase mix, 25 g/liter Avicel or 2 g/liter PASC was mixed with 10 mM FeSO4, FeCl₂, or FeCl₃ in water at 23°C for 2 h. Pretreated cellulose was then extensively washed with 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5 (until the final concentration of Fe species in supernatant reached $<$ 0.05 mM) and hydrolyzed with cellulase mix. To preincubate cellulases with inhibitory iron species, prior to enzyme cellulolysis, 2.5 g/liter (for Avicel hydrolysis) or 0.01 g/liter (for PASC hydrolysis) cellulase mix was mixed with 10 mM FeSO₄, FeCl₂, or FeCl₃ in buffer at 23°C for 2 h or 3 days. Pretreated cellulases were then desalted on Bio-Rad Bio-Spin 6 columns

FIG. 1. Effect of Fe(II) and other ions on enzymatic PCS hydrolysis. Added salt concentrations were 10 mM. Hydrolysis mixtures included 43 g/liter PCS, 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix, and 50 mM Na-acetate (pH 5) at 50°C.

(to remove iron species) and applied to hydrolyze Avicel or PASC. Hydrolyses of untreated or buffer-only-preincubated Avicel, PASC, and cellulase mix, with or without iron inhibitors, served as controls.

Other assays. Protein determinations for cellulase samples were made with a Pierce BCA kit after subjecting samples to gel filtration.

Fe ion quantification by 2,2-bipyridyl was carried out by mixing Fe(II)- or Fe(III)-containing samples with 5 mM 2,2-bipyridyl and measuring electronic absorption at 520 nm. Solutions of 0.005 to 0.1 mM $FeSO₄$ or $FeCl₃$ were used for calibration. At the same concentration, the absorption of $Fe(III)(2,2'BP)$ ₃ was negligible compared to that of $Fe(II)(2,2'BP)_{3}$.

Possible Fe ion adsorption onto cellulose was detected by incubating 5 to 100 μ M FeSO₄ with 14 to 25 g/liter Avicel in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5 (1-ml suspension) at 50°C. After 1 to 24 h, the supernatants were subjected to 2,2 bipyridyl quantification.

The possible effect of Fe(II) on cellulases was examined by nondenaturing PAGE. Cellulase mix (2.5 g/liter) or individual cellulases were first incubated with 10 mM FeSO₄ in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5 at 50°C for 4 days. The samples were then subjected to nondenaturing (SDS-free, native PAGE sample buffer and Tris-glycine running buffer) PAGE on 8 to 16% gels. Fresh cellulase mix and individual cellulases were also run as controls.

To strip away the potential accessible Fe ion in PCS, washed PCS was incubated with 10 mM 2,2-bipyridyl, 1,10-phenanthroline, or 1,7-phenanthroline for 2 days and then washed extensively to remove the chelator or Fe-chelator complex. The treated PCS was then subjected to hydrolysis with selected cellulases.

RESULTS

Inhibitory effect of the addition of Fe(II) on enzymatic cellulolysis. When several metal cations, as either chloride or sulfate salt, were added (individually) to the enzymatic hydrolysis mixtures of PCS, only $FeSO₄$ and $FeCl₂$ caused a significant inhibition of the hydrolysis (Fig. 1). Since other tested sulfates and chlorides were benign, the inhibition was most likely due to $Fe(II)$.

The concentration dependence of the inhibition of Fe(II) on enzymatic cellulolysis was probed with the hydrolysis of Avicel or PASC by the cellulase mix. For the hydrolysis PASC by a cellulase mix, the addition of $FeSO₄$ resulted in plots of $1/(ini$ tial rate) versus $1/[\text{PASC}]$ (as a function of $[\text{FeSO}_4]$), indicative of a mix-type inhibition, whose complexity prevented the extraction of simple K_i . For the hydrolysis of Avicel by the cellulase mix, the addition of $FeSO₄$ also resulted in double-

TABLE 1. Inhibition parameters of Fe(II) on enzymatic cellulolysis

Mixture	Mean I_{50} (mM) \pm SD ^{<i>a</i>}					
	Cellulase mix	CEL7A	CEL6A	CEL7B	CEL5A	CEL ₃ A
Avicel PASC	$2 + 1$ $14 + 1$ $7 + 2$	ND.	ND. \sim 7	ND. 1.8 ± 0.2 12 ± 4 $13 + 2^b$	ND.	ND.

^a ND, not determined.

^b On cellobiose hydrolysis.

reciprocal plots indicative of a mixed-type inhibition, but no simple K_i could be extracted due to plot complexity. Table 1 shows the I_{50} obtained from plots of the initial rate versus $[FeSO₄]$. Apparently, Fe (II) 's inhibition of Avicel hydrolysis was more pronounced than that of PASC. For the hydrolysis of PCS, an I_{50} of \sim 7 mM was observed for FeSO₄.

Fe(II) also exerted an inhibition of PASC hydrolysis by the major components of the cellulase mix (Fig. 2). For CEL7A, CEL7B, CEL5A, and CEL3A, the plots of the initial rate versus [Fe(II)] appeared as a mixed type whose complexity also prevented the extraction of simple K_i . Table 1 shows the I_{50} obtained from plots of the initial rate versus $[FeSO₄]$. Apparently, Fe(II) exerted a more pronounced inhibition on CEL7B's reaction than those on CEL7A and CEL5A. For CEL3A's reaction, Fe(II)'s inhibition seemed minor. CMC hydrolysis was also tested for Fe(II)'s inhibition on CEL7B and CEL5A (both EGs), but Fe(II) significantly interfered with the PHBAH assay, making the reducing sugar measurement highly variable.

Differential inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis by redoxactive metal ions. To probe whether or not the inhibitory effect of Fe(II) is related to its ionic properties (size and charge), various (biologically common) divalent metal ions with variable ionic radii were tested with Avicel hydrolysis by the cellulase mix. At the 10 mM level, MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ showed a slight enhancement, while $CoSO_4$, Mn SO_4 , NiCl₂, and $ZnSO_4$ showed slight or moderate inhibition upon hydrolysis. In contrast, FeSO₄ (as well as FeCl₂) and CuSO₄ resulted in a \sim 70% or greater loss of both the initial hydrolysis rate and hydrolysis extent after 4 days (Table 2). $MnSO₄$ was also tested at the 0.1 to 10 mM range, and no effect on hydrolysis was observed, although $FeSO₄$ at the same range caused a concentrationdependent inhibition.

Because of its susceptibility to O_2 oxidation, the initial added Fe(II) was steadily transformed to Fe(III), as indicated by the appearance of a brown color of the hydrolysis suspension. To test whether Fe(II) exerted its inhibition via air-oxidized Fe(III), a series of 50-ml-scale hydrolyses of 25 g/liter Avicel by 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix with or without 1 mM $FeSO₄$, in 50 mM Na-acetate (pH 5) at 50°C, was carried out in "normal" (aerated) or N_2 -deaerated solutions. After 1 day, FeSO₄ caused a \sim 30% decrease in "aerobic" cellulolysis but no effect on "anaerobic" cellulolysis, indicating the involvement of O_2 in the apparent inhibition of cellulolysis by Fe(II).

The effect of adding H_2O_2 to Fe(II)-inhibited PCS hydrolysis mixtures was studied. For the hydrolysis of 43.5 g/liter PCS with 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix with or without 10 mM $FeSO₄$, 0, 1.25, 2.5, 5, and 10 mM $H₂O₂$ were added. No change of the inhibition was seen, indicating that it was mainly the Fe species, rather than oxygen-based species derivable from Fenton chemistry, that caused the inhibition.

To directly study the effect of Fe(III) on enzymatic cellulolysis, FeCl₃ was added into Avicel hydrolysis mixtures with the cellulase mix. At the 10 mM level, $FeCl₃$ resulted in a \sim 90% loss of both the initial hydrolysis rate and extent of hydrolysis after 4 days (Table 2). For the hydrolysis of 2 g/liter PASC by 0.01 g/liter cellulase mix, 10 mM $FeCl₃$ resulted in an \sim 80% loss of both the initial hydrolysis rate and extent of hydrolysis after 4 days, compared to the $\sim 30\%$ loss brought about by $FeCl₂$. Thus, $Fe(HI)$ exerted more potent inhibition than did Fe(II).

In addition to Fe(III), two other trivalent and oxidative metal ions, Cr(III) and Ru(III), were also tested. At the 10 mM level, $CrCl₃$ and $RuCl₃$ exerted moderate and pronounced inhibitions of enzymatic Avicel hydrolysis, respectively (Table 2).

Differential inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis by ironchelator complexes. To further probe how iron ions inhibited enzymatic cellulolysis, iron-chelator complexes with variable sizes or redox properties were tested. For Avicel hydrolysis by cellulase mix, 10 mM Fe(2,2'-BP)₃Cl₃, FeCl₃, FeCl₂, Fe(2,2'- BP ₃Cl₂, FeNa(EDTA), K₄Fe(CN)₆, K₃Fe(CN)₆, or Fe-citrate exerted various degrees of inhibition (Table 3). Iron complexes with a higher oxidation potential, $E^{\rm o}$, tended to cause more hydrolysis inhibition (Fig. 3).

The concentration dependence of the effect of inhibitory metal ions [in addition to Fe(II)] and complexes on Avicel hydrolysis by cellulase mix was also studied. Oxidative Fe(III), Ru(III), and Cu(II) species had I_{50} values much lower than those of Fe(II) species, with I_{50} values of 0.5 ± 0.4 mM for FeCl₃, 0.6 ± 0.1 mM for Fe(2,2'BP)₃Cl₃, ~20 mM for Fe(2,2'BP)₃Cl₂, ~10 mM for FeNaEDTA, ≤ 10 mM for FeNa₂EDTA, ~ 0.2 mM for CuSO₄, \sim 0.15 mM for RuCl₃, $>$ 10 mM for CrCl₃, and \sim 5 mM for quinone (tested with 23 g/liter Avicel hydrolysis by 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix).

To further probe the connection between *E*^o and cellulolysis inhibition of oxidative substances, *p*-benzoquinone and *p*-hydroquinone (reduced quinone), with an E° of 0.715 V (10), were tested for Avicel hydrolysis by cellulase mix. At the 5 mM level, quinone resulted in a $\sim 50\%$ loss in both the initial hydrolysis rate and extended hydrolysis extent, while *p*-hydroquinone exerted no effect.

Differential targeting of inhibition of the iron ion on cellulase and cellulose. To test whether Fe(II) affects cellulose or cellulase, FeCl₂ was preincubated with either Avicel or cellulase mix for 3 days. "Fe-pretreated" Avicel and the cellulase mix were washed and gel filtered, respectively, to remove residual Fe ions. Fe-pretreated Avicel was hydrolyzed with fresh cellulase mix or cellulase mix subjected to "FeCl₂less" preincubation and gel filtration. Fe-pretreated cellulase mix was applied to hydrolyze fresh Avicel or Avicel subjected to "FeCl₂-less" preincubation and washing, in comparison with the hydrolysis of fresh Avicel (2 g/liter) by fresh cellulase mix (0.16 g/liter), with or without 10 mM $FeCl₂$. The preincubation of $FeCl₂$ with Avicel or cellulase mix led to a $\sim 10\%$ or 20% loss, respectively, in both the initial rate and extended extent of hydrolysis. Fresh $FeCl₂$ led to a \sim 50% loss in both the initial rate and extended extent of hydrolysis of fresh Avicel by fresh cellulase mix.

FIG. 2. Effect of Fe(II) on individual cellulases. (A) CEL7A CBH-I. (B) CEL6A CBH-II. (C) CEL7B EG-I. (D) CEL5A EG-II. (E) CEL3A BG. The inhibitor was 10 mM FeSO₄. The hydrolysis mixture included 2 g/liter PASC (A to D) or cellobiose (E), 40 mg/liter (A to D) or 1 mg/liter (E) cellulase, and 50 mM Na-acetate (pH 5) at 50°C.

The preincubation of cellulase mix or Avicel with buffer resulted in no effect on hydrolysis.

To test whether Fe(III) affects cellulose or cellulase, $FeCl₃$ was also preincubated with either Avicel or cellulase

mix for 3 days. The preincubation of $FeCl₃$ with Avicel or cellulase mix led to an $\sim 80\%$ or 20% loss, respectively, in both the initial rate and extended extent of hydrolysis. Fresh FeCl₃ led to a \sim 90% loss in both the initial rate and ex-

^{*a*} The counteranions of these metal ion compounds, SO_4^{2-} and Cl⁻, were inert under the hydrolysis conditions of this study. *b* Ionic radii in crystals for six-ligand coordination (19).

^{*b*} Ionic radii in crystals for six-ligand coordination (19).

^{*c*} Single-electron oxidation potential versus a normal hydrogen electrode. Metal ions without E^o entry are stable against either reduction or oxi

 d One-milliliter-scale hydrolysis of 23 g/liter Avicel by 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix in 50 mM Na-acetate at pH 5 and at 50°C for 4 days.

tended extent of hydrolysis of fresh Avicel by fresh cellulase mix.

The "preincubation" study was also done for the hydrolysis of PASC. The preincubation of $FeCl₂$ with PASC led to a $\sim 60\%$ loss and no loss in the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent, respectively. The preincubation of $FeCl₂$ with cellulase mix led to a \sim 70% loss and no loss in the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent, respectively. Fresh $FeCl₂$ led to a \sim 30% loss and no loss in the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent, respectively, for the hydrolysis of PASC by cellulase mix (fresh or buffer preincubated). The replacement of $FeCl₂$ with $FeSO₄$ led to a similar result. The preincubation of FeCl₃ with PASC led to \sim 50% and 10% losses in the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent, respectively. The preincubation of FeCl₃ with cellulase mix led to a \sim 50% loss and no loss in the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent, respectively. Fresh FeCl₃ led to $\sim 70\%$ and 30% losses in the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent, respectively, for the hydrolysis of PASC by cellulase mix (fresh or buffer preincubated).

To probe whether Fe(II) could be adsorbed onto cellulose, a partition study was performed. After incubating Avicel and FeSO4, no detectable Fe(II) adsorption onto Avicel was seen, indicating that the inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis by Fe(II) was likely not related to cellulose adsorption. The presence of Avicel did not significantly affect the auto-oxidation rate of Fe(II) into Fe(III) in aerated solution.

Preincubation with $FeCl₂$ resulted in a PASC whose initial

reactivity to cellulases was inferior to those of fresh or bufferpreincubated PASC, indicating a detrimental modification of PASC by Fe(II). The washing of $FeCl₂$ -preincubated PASC with 2,2'-bipyridyl, to strip off adsorbed iron ions, did not improve the initial reactivity of PASC to cellulases, indicating that the Fe-caused PASC modification was not simple Fe adsorption.

The possible interaction between iron ion and cellulase proteins was probed by electrophoresis. On nondenaturing PAGE gels, in comparison with fresh individual cellulases, the $FeSO₄$ preincubation led to a decrease (or diffusion) of the intensity of the major protein bands in cellulase mix, particularly that of BG. Higher concentrations of $[FeSO₄]$ in the preincubation mixture led to a more pronounced decrease in the PAGE band intensity. Thus, the interaction with the inhibitors seemed to affect the folding or stability of the major cellulases.

Mitigation of iron ion-caused cellulolysis inhibition. In one experiment, Fe(II) was subjected to oxidation by H_2O_2 , and the oxidation effect on the cellulolysis inhibitor was studied. As shown in Fig. 4A, the preincubation of $FeSO₄$, $H₂O₂$, and PCS, prior to the addition of cellulases, led to a relief of the inhibition by Fe(II). The presence of desferrioxamine, a strong Fe(III) chelator, resulted in complete mitigation against the iron ion-caused inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis. In the absence of Fe(II), H_2O_2 with or without desferrioxamine affected hydrolysis only slightly (Fig. 4B). At 10 mM, desferrioxamine itself did not affect the hydrolysis of PCS.

TABLE 3. Oxidation potential and inhibitory effect of iron-chelator complexes

Complex	$E^{\rm o}(V)^a$	Effect on enzymatic cellulolysis c
$Fe(III)(2,2'BP)_{2}Cl_{3}$	0.78	\sim 100% loss in initial rate, \sim 100% loss in extended hydrolysis extent
$Fe(II)(2,2'BP)_{2}Cl_{2}$		\sim 40% loss in initial rate, \sim 40% loss in extended hydrolysis extent
$K_3Fe(HI)(CN)_{6}$	0.358	No significant change in initial rate, no change in extended hydrolysis extent
$K_4Fe(HI)(CN)_{6}$		\sim 10% loss in initial rate, \sim 20% loss in extended hydrolysis extent
Fe(III)NaEDTA	0.13^{b}	\sim 10% loss in initial rate, \sim 20% loss in extended hydrolysis extent
Fe(II)Na ₂ EDTA		\sim 80% loss in initial rate, \sim 80% loss in extended hydrolysis extent
$Fe(III)$ -citrate	-0.191^{b}	No significant change in initial rate, no change in extended hydrolysis extent

^a Single-electron oxidation potential (19).

^b Data from references 3 and 6. Iron-chelator complexes without E° entry are reduced counterparts of the corresponding oxidized complexes (E° given).
^c Hydrolysis of 23 g/liter Avicel by 0.25 g/liter cellula

FIG. 3. Correlation between redox potential and cellulolysis-inhibiting effect of selected oxidative metal ions (A) and Fe-ligand complexes (also including *p*-quinone) (B). Data for the *E*^o and initial rate of cellulase mix-catalyzed Avicel hydrolysis are described in Tables 2 and 3 and the text. (A) Relative rate $= -48E^{\circ} + 29$; $r^2 = 0.795$. (B) Relative rate $= -97E^{\circ} + 88$; $r^2 = 0.766$.

At 1 and 10 mM, Fe(III)-citrate did not affect the hydrolysis of PCS by cellulase mix 2, likely due to the Fe(III) chelation by citrate, similar to the case with cellulase mix (Table 3).

Two widely used Fe(II) chelators, 1,10-phenanthroline and 2,2-bipyridyl, were tested as potential mitigators for the Fe(II) inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis. Unexpectedly, the chelators themselves exhibited significant inhibitions of PCS hydrolysis by the cellulase mix: 10 mM 1,10-phenanthroline or 2,2'-bipyridyl resulted in a \sim 40% or 60% decrease, respectively, in the hydrolysis (in terms of both the initial rate and extended hydrolysis extent) of 43 g/liter PCS by 0.25 g/liter cellulases. In the presence of the chelators, the cellobiose level in the hydrolysis products was about twice that in the absence of the compounds. Such an inhibition by the chelators prevented their use as mitigators against the inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis by Fe(II) under our conditions.

PEG 4000 was tested for a potential mitigation of the Fe(II)-caused inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis. Only an insignificant mitigation effect was seen with 5 or 50 g/liter PEG 4000 for the inhibition of 10 mM $FeSO₄$ imposed on the hydrolysis of 25 g/liter Avicel by 0.25 g/liter the cellulase mix. For PCS hydrolysis, however, a detectable mitigation effect from PEG 4000 was seen. For example, 5 g/liter PEG 4000 apparently fully mitigated the \sim 20% loss of hydrolysis of 43 g/liter PCS from 10 mM $FeSO₄$ by 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix 2. However, in the absence of $FeSO₄$, PEG 4000 also enhanced PCS (but not Avicel) hydrolysis by 5%, an effect reported previously (E. Vlasenko, E., J. Cherry, and F. Xu, 28 July 2005, PCT international patent application WO/ 2005/067531). With [PEG 4000] at various levels of 0, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5,and 5 g/liter, PEG 4000 showed a dose-dependent mitigation of Fe(II) inhibition.

The ability of PEG 4000 to mitigate Fe(II)'s inhibition could be reduced when H_2O_2 was present. In a hydrolysis experiment

FIG. 4. Effect of Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) chelation on enzymatic cellulolysis. The hydrolysis mixture included 43 g/liter PCS, 0.25 g/liter cellulase mix, and 50 mM Na-acetate (pH 5) at 50°C. Concentrations of inhibitor, oxidant, and chelator added to the hydrolysis suspension were 2.5 mM FeSO₄, 10 mM $H₂O₂$, and 10 mM desferrioxamine.

with PCS and cellulase mix, the presence of 10 mM H_2O_2 led to a lesser effect from 0.31 g/liter PEG on the inhibition of 10 mM FeSO4, probably indicating a lower efficacy of PEG 4000 against Fe(III) [produced from Fe(II) by H_2O_2].

To test whether there was any significant amount of (accessible) Fe(II) in PCS and whether removing it with a chelator might help PCS hydrolysis, washed PCS was "stripped" with 10 mM 2,2-bipyridyl or 1,10-phenanthroline prior to hydrolysis by the cellulase mix. No detectable effect of the treatment on hydrolysis was seen, a result that might be attributable to the lack of a significant amount of Fe(II) in (washed) PCS.

DISCUSSION

The apparent inhibition of various cellulases (more precisely, their reactions) by $Fe(II)$ or $Cu(II)$ ion complexes was reported previously (5, 7–9, 12, 15, 17, 18, 26–28, 30, 32, 38). In this study, we also observed a significant inhibition by Fe(II) of the major cellulases (CEL7A CBH-I, CEL6A CBH-II, CEL7B EG-I, and CEL5A EG-II) in *H. jecorina* and, to a lesser extent, of *A. oryzae* CEL3A BG. A mechanistic understanding of the Fe(II) or Cu(II) effect has not been addressed in previous reports, although it might be ascribed to a detrimental binding to cellulases that causes a conformational change or replacement of native metal cofactors or to cellulose that blocks the accessibility for cellulase. Our study suggested that Fe(II) and Cu(II) exert their inhibitory effect on enzymatic cellulolysis via a redox mechanism.

It is known that cellulose can be oxidized, at its "reducing ends," by Cu(II) and that oxidized cellulose is less reactive to cellulase action than unoxidized cellulose, including that of CEL6 CBH-II, which in general has specificity for cellulose's nonreducing end (45). Although it has been postulated that cellulose oxidation might decrease cellulase accessibility, the molecular mechanism of the effect remains to be fully elucidated. Such a detrimental oxidative effect is anticipated for oxidants other than $Cu(II)$. In this study we showed that various oxidants [including $Fe(II)$ and $Cu(II)$], whether as metal ions, organics, or metallo-organics, could inflict a significant inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis (Tables 2 and 3). The inhibition of cellulase reactions by Fe(III), Cr(III), and $KMnO₄$ (a strong oxidant) was also reported previously (5, 30, 32, 39). The inhibitory effect depended on the E° , the thermodynamic measure of oxidation potency, of the oxidants. Among various ionic compounds, the inhibition effect seemed independent of anions or the charge/size of cations (metal ions) under the conditions of this study (\sim 10 mM level).

In this study, Fe(III) demonstrated a great inhibitory effect (among the tested iron species) on enzymatic cellulolysis. Having an E° of 0.77 V, free Fe(III) [or, more likely, Fe(III)-acetate (stability constant, *K*, of $10^{1.4}$ M⁻¹) in 50 mM Na-acetate buffer] is an oxidant much more potent than Fe(III)-citrate and Fe(III)-desferrioxamine, in which strong chelations by citrate and desferrioxamine greatly stabilize Fe(III) over Fe(II) (*K* ratios of $10^{10.9}/10^{3.2}$ for citrate and $10^{30.6}/10^{7.2}$ for desferrioxamine [37, 40]) and, consequently, a lower E° for Fe(III). Chelating the iron ion with EDTA (K) ratio of $10^{25.1}/10^{14.3}$), 2,2'-bipyridyl (cumulative stability constant, β_2 , ratio of $10^{16.3}/10^{17.2}$), 1,10-phenanthroline (β_3 ratio of $10^{14.1}/10^{21}$), and CN^{-} (β_6 ratio of $10^{43.9}/10^{36.9}$) should also

affect the oxidation potency of Fe(III) according to the relative stability of chelated Fe(III) and Fe(II) $(2, 21, 36)$.

Because Fe(II) is readily oxidized by air to Fe(III) in aqueous solution, the observed inhibitory effect of Fe(II) on cellulolysis likely involved Fe(III). Being an oxidant, Fe(III) is known to be able to oxidize the reducing ends (hemiacetals) of carbohydrates (E° of -0.4 V [10]), while it is reduced to Fe(II) (31). The formed Fe(II) can then be reoxidized by O_2 , starting an Fe-catalyzed cycle of cellulose oxidation by $O₂$ in which Fe shuttles electrons from the reducing ends of cellulose to $O₂(E^o)$ of \sim 0.9 V for O₂/H₂O [2]). The oxidation can lead to less cellulase-active cellulose and inhibited cellulolysis. Such a mechanism was strongly supported by the effect of anaerobicity on inhibition by Fe(II) and consistent with the effect of Cu(II) on cellulose oxidation (45).

The preincubation of cellulases or cellulose with iron ions led to slightly or significantly inactivated cellulases or cellulose, respectively. Likely, iron targeted mainly cellulose for its inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis. No significant iron ion adsorption onto cellulose seemed to rule out any major role of ironcellulose complexing in the inhibitory effect of iron.

Fe(II) showed a stronger inhibition of the hydrolysis of Avicel than of PASC (Table 1), attributable to the fewer accessible reducing ends in Avicel (most of them were within crystalline microfibrils) than those in PASC (swollen and amorphous). Fe(II)'s inhibition of PASC hydrolysis by the cellulase mix seemed to be determined by Fe(II)'s inhibition of the actions of both CBH and EG, based on the I_{50} values (Table 1).

Because of their dependence on the E° , the inhibitory effect of oxidative (or redox-active) metal ions may be alleviated by lowering the E° via differentially complexing their oxidized and/or reduced forms. Chelators strongly favoring (and, thus, binding more tightly) the oxidized metal ion form may stabilize it more against the reduced form, leading to lower E° values and consequently lower inhibition of enzymatic cellulolysis, as exemplified by Fe(III)-desferrioxamine and Fe(III)-citrate. For Fe(II) and other O_2 -labile, redox-active, enzymatic cellulolysis inhibitors, the elimination of $O₂$ (or oxidative conditions) and/or maintenance of a reductive hydrolysis environment may be applied to curtail the substances' inhibition and regeneration. For practical biomass conversion, citric acid, oxalic acid, and EDTA, etc., may serve as low-cost, effective Fe(III) chelators, and $CO₂$ and sulfite, etc., may be used for nonoxidative or reductive cellulolysis.

Cooccurring Fe(III)/Fe(II) reactions or other redox events could impact natural lignocellulose hydrolysis by microbial cellulases and hemicellulases, taking place in highly heterogeneous environments in which lignocellulolytic microbes cohabitate with other microbes under diverse aeration, moisture, sunlight, and soil composition conditions and other abiotic or biotic conditions. As a part of local microbiota, the growth and action of lignocellulolytic microbes are subjected to the presence and temporal/spatial variations of not only nutrients or substrates but also inhibitors or regulators, which may include redox-active agents. In addition to (hemi)cellulases, many lignocellulolytic microbes cosecrete oxidoreductases (e.g., peroxidase and laccase) or small redox molecules (e.g., quinone) and generate or utilize environmental redox agents $[e.g., H₂O₂]$ and $Fe(III)/Fe(II)$] to degrade or modify lignin $(1, 33, 41)$. It remains unclear how a lignocellulolytic microbe regulates its oxidative delignifying or cellulolyzing enzymes or agents to control their potential inhibition of the concomitant hydrolytic cellulase activity or whether a lignocellulolytic microbe might use its oxidative enzymes/agents to compete with a (ligno)cellulolytic microbe in degrading biomasses. Conventionally, microbial enzymatic delignifying systems and cellulolytic systems are studied separately, even when they are part of the whole lignocellulolytic enzyme machinery of the same microbes. Our finding of the oxidative effect on enzymatic cellulolysis calls for a more extensive investigation of the interaction between the two systems and its effect on lignocellulose biodegradation.

Redox chemistry not directly related to microbial lignocellulolysis might also impact biodegradation. Redox-active Fe and Mn species and other diffusible redox mediators are involved in Fe(III) and Mn(IV) reducers' anaerobic respirations and anoxygenic photosynthesis (4, 34). Such redox agents from nonlignocellulolytic cohabitants of local microbiota could affect anaerobic or even aerobic (depending on the local O_2 distribution) lignocellulolytic microbes and their (hemi)cellulases, either "unintentionally" or competitively. Historically, microbial biodegradations of biomass and lignocellulolytic microbes are studied mostly under simplified laboratory conditions with isolated strains. Our observation of oxidatively impacted enzymatic cellulolysis suggests that other ecological or environmental factors (including those of a redox nature) should be taken into account so that various "outdoor" lignocellulose biodegradations, as part of either natural carbon cycling, native biota preservation, or artificial soil/water bioremediation, can be further understood.

Variable amounts of oxidative or redox-active iron species or other chemicals may exist in industrial biomass hydrolysis reactions, originating from either feedstock, a reaction vessel/ pipeline/water, or upstream steps. For instance, a laccase mediator system has been applied for biomass pretreatment, and the tested *N*-hydroxy mediators became inhibitory to cellulases after being oxidized by laccase (29) . Recently, an FeCl₃ system was also tested for biomass pretreatment (20). Our study shows the importance of taking into account the potential detrimental effect of oxidants or redox-active substances on the enzymatic hydrolysis step for biomass conversion as well as including such considerations in the overall development/optimization of biomass conversion technologies. A further mechanistic understanding of the interaction between cellulase and oxidized cellulose and biophysical characterization of oxidized cellulose (quantification and localization of oxidized sites) could assist us in finding and engineering cellulases that are more tolerant of this oxidative inhibition effect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Robert L. Starnes and Claus C. Fuglsang from Novozymes for critical reading of the manuscript.

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under award number DE-FC36-08GO18080. This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government.

Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not

necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Baldrian, P., and V. Valaskova.** 2008. Degradation of cellulose by basidiomycetous fungi. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. **32:**501–521.
- 2. **Dawson, R. M. C., D. C. Elliott, W. H. Elliott, and K. M. Jones.** 1991. Data for biochemical research, 3rd ed. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- 3. **Dhungana, S., C. H. Taboy, D. S. Anderson, K. G. Vaughan, P. Aisen, T. A. Mietzner, and A. L. Crumbliss.** 2003. The influence of the synergistic anion on iron chelation by ferric binding protein, a bacterial transferrin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **100:**3659–3664.
- 4. **Falkowski, P. G., T. Fenchel, and E. F. Delong.** 2008. The microbial engines that drive earth's biogeochemical cycles. Science **320:**1034–1039.
- 5. **Ferchak, J. D., and E. K. Pye.** 1983. Effect of cellobiose, glucose, ethanol, and metal ions on the cellulase enzyme complex of *Thermomonospora fusca*. Biotechnol. Bioeng. **25:**2865–2872.
- 6. **Florence, T. M.** 1984. The production of hydroxyl radical from hydrogen peroxide. J. Inorg. Biochem. **22:**221–230.
- 7. **Gardner, R. M., K. C. Doerner, and B. A. White.** 1987. White purification and characterization of an exo-beta-1,4-glucanase from Ruminococcus flavefaciens FD-1. J. Bacteriol. **169:**4581–4588.
- 8. **Harada, K. M., K. Tanaka, Y. Fukuda, W. Hashimoto, and K. Murata.** 2005. Degradation of rice bran hemicellulose by *Paenibacillus* sp. strain HC1: gene cloning, characterization and function of beta-D-glucosidase as an enzyme involved in degradation. Arch. Microbiol. **184:**215–224.
- 9. **Heredia, A., J. Fernandez-Bolafios, and R. Guillen.** 1989. Inhibitors of cellulolytic activity in olive fruits (*Olea europaea*, Hojiblanca var.). Z. Lebensm. Unters. Forsch. **189:**216–218. (In German.)
- 10. **Hewitt, L. F.** 1950. Oxidation-reduction potentials in bacteriology and biochemistry, 6th ed. E&S Livingstone, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.
- 11. **Himmel, M. E., S. Y. Ding, D. K. Johnson, W. S. Adney, M. R. Nimlos, J. W. Brady, and T. D. Foust.** 2007. Biomass recalcitrance: engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production. Science **315:**804–807.
- 12. **Kim, D. W., Y. H. Jang, C. S. Kim, and N.-S. Lee.** 2001. Effect of metal ions on the degradation and adsorption of two cellobiohydrolases on microcrystalline cellulose. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. **22:**716–720.
- 13. **Kumar, R., S. Singh, and O. V. Singh.** 2008. Bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass: biochemical and molecular perspectives. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **35:**377–391.
- 14. **Langston, J., N. Sheehy, and F. Xu.** 2006. Substrate specificity of *Aspergillus* oryzae family 3 β-glucosidase. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1764:972-978.
- 15. **Lee, T.-K., and C.-H. Kim.** 1999. Molecular cloning and expression of an endo-β-1,4-D-glucanase I (Avicelase I) gene from *Bacillus cellulyticus* K-12 and characterization of the recombinant enzyme. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. **80:**121–140.
- 16. **Lever, M.** 1972. A new reaction for colorimetric determination of carbohydrates. Anal. Biochem. **47:**273–279.
- 17. **Li, D.-C., M. Lu, Y.-L. Li, and J. Lu.** 2003. Purification and characterization of an endocellulase from the thermophilic fungus *Chaetomium thermophilum* CT2. Enzyme Microb. Technol. **33:**932–937.
- 18. **Li, Y.-H., M. Ding, J. Wang, G.-J. Xu, and F. Zhao.** 2006. A novel thermoacidophilic endoglucanase, Ba-EGA, from a new cellulose-degrading bacterium, Bacillus sp. AC-1. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **70:**430–436.
- 19. **Lide, D. R.** 1993. CRC handbook of chemistry and physics, 73rd ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 20. **Liu, L., J. Sun, M. Li, S. Wang, H. Pei, and J. Zhang.** 2009. Enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis and structural features of corn stover by $FeCl₃$ pretreatment. Bioresour. Technol. **100:**5853–5858.
- 21. **Liu, Z. D., and R. C. Hider.** 2001. Design of clinically useful iron(III) selective chelators. Med. Res. Rev. **22:**26–64.
- 22. **Lynd, L. R., M. S. Laser, D. Bransby, B. E. Dale, B. Davison, R. Hamilton, M. Himmel, M. Keller, J. D. McMillan, J. Sheehan, and C. E. Wyman.** 2008. How biotech can transform biofuels. Nat. Biotechnol. **26:**169–172.
- 23. **Lynd, L. R., P. J. Weimer, W. H. van Zyl, and I. S. Pretorius.** 2002. Microbial cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **66:**506–577.
- 24. **Mandels, M., and E. T. Reese.** 1965. Inhibition of cellulases. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. **3:**85–102.
- 25. **Morey, R. V., D. L. Hatfield, R. Sears, and D. G. Tiffany.** 2006. Characterization of feed streams and emissions from biomass gasification/combustion at fuel ethanol plants, paper 064180. Abstr. 2006 Am. Soc. Agr. Biol. Eng. Annu. Int. Meet. http://www.biomasschpethanol.umn.edu /papers/ASABEPaper064180.pdf.
- 26. **Ohmiya, Y., T. Takeda, S. Nakamura, F. Sakai, and T. Hayashi.** 1995. Purification and properties of a wall-bound endo-1,4-beta-glucanase from suspension-cultured poplar cells. Plant Cell Physiol. **36:**607–614.
- 27. **Okada, G.** 1988. Cellulase of *Aspergillus niger*. Methods Enzymol. **160:**259– 264.
- 28. **Oyekola, O. O., N. Ngesi, and C. G. Whiteley.** 2007. Isolation, purification and characterisation of an endoglucanase and β -glucosidase from an anaerobic sulphidogenic bioreactor. Enzyme Microb. Technol. **40:**637–644.
- 29. **Palonen, H., and L. Viikari.** 2004. Role of oxidative enzymatic treatments on enzymatic hydrolysis of softwood. Biotechnol. Bioeng. **86:**551–557.
- 30. **Pitson, S. M., R. J. Seviour, and B. M. McDougall.** 1997. Purification and characterization of an extracellular β -glucosidase from the filamentous fungus *Acremonium persicinum* and its probable role in β -glucan degradation. Enzyme Microb. Technol. **21:**182–190.
- 31. **Reddy, K. N., M. K. R. Reddy, and K. C. Rajanna.** 1996. A kinetic study of the 1,10-phenanthroline-catalysed iron(III) oxidation of epimeric aldo-, and D-, and L-ketohexoses. Transit. Met. Chem. **21:**112–116.
- 32. **Riou, C., J. M. Salmon, M. J. Vallier, Z. Gu¨nata, and P. Barre.** 1998. Purification, characterization, and substrate specificity of a novel highly glucose-tolerant beta-glucosidase from *Aspergillus oryzae*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**3607–3614.
- 33. Ruiz-Dueñas, F. J., and Á. T. Martínez. 2009. Microbial degradation of lignin: how a bulky recalcitrant polymer is efficiently recycled in nature and how we can take advantage of this. Microb. Biotechnol. **2:**164–177.
- 34. **Schink, B.** 2006. Microbially driven redox reactions in anoxic environments: pathways, energetics, and biochemical consequences. Eng. Life Sci. **6:**228– $233.$
- 35. Schülein, M. 1997. Enzymic properties of cellulases from *Humicola insolens*. J. Biotechnol. **57:**71–81.
- 36. **Shifrin, N. S., B. D. Becka, T. D. Gauthierb, S. D. Chapnicka, and G. Goodman.** 1996. Chemistry, toxicology, and human health risk of cyanide compounds in soils at former manufactured gas plant sites. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. **23:**106–116.
- 37. **Shvartsman, M., R. Kikkeri, A. Shanzer, and Z. I. Cabantchik.** 2007. Nontransferrin-bound iron reaches mitochondria by a chelator-inaccessible

mechanism: biological and clinical implications. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. **293:**1383–1394.

- 38. **Singh, J., N. Batra, and R. C. Sobti.** 2004. Purification and characterisation of alkaline cellulase produced by a novel isolate, Bacillus sphaericus JS1. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **31:**51–56.
- 39. **Singh, R. N., and V. K. Akimenko.** 1993. Isolation of a cellobiohydrolase of *Clostridium thermocellum* capable of degrading natural crystalline substrates. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **192:**1123–1130.
- 40. **Verma, P. S., R. C. Saxena, and A. Jayaraman.** 1997. Cyclic voltammetric studies of certain industrially potential iron chelate catalysts. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **357:**56–60.
- 41. **Wei, D., C. J. Houtman, A. N. Kapich, C. G. Hunt, D. Cullen, and K. E. Hammel.** 2010. Laccase and its role in production of extracellular reactive oxygen species during wood decay by the brown rot basidiomycete *Postia placenta*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **76:**2091–2097.
- 42. **Wei, H., Q. Xu, L. E. Taylor, J. O. Baker, M. P. Tucker, and S. Y. Ding.** 2009. Natural paradigms of plant cell wall degradation. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. **20:**330–338.
- 43. **Wilson, D. B.** 2009. Cellulases and biofuels. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. **20:**295– 299.
- 44. **Xu, F., and H. Ding.** 2007. A new kinetic model for heterogeneous (or spatially confined) enzymatic catalysis: contributions from the fractal and jamming (overcrowding) effects. Appl. Catal. A **317:**70–81.
- 45. **Xu, F., H. Ding, and A. Tejirian.** 2009. Detrimental effect of cellulose oxidation on cellulose hydrolysis by cellulase. Enzyme Microb. Technol. **45:**203– 209.
- 46. **Xu, F., H. Ding, D. Osborn, A. Tejirian, K. Brown, W. Albano, N. Sheehy, and J. Langston.** 2007. Partition of enzymes between the solvent and insoluble substrate during the hydrolysis of lignocellulose by cellulases. J. Mol. Catal. B. Enzym. **51:**42–48.