

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Organometallics. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 September 13.

Published in final edited form as:

Organometallics. 2010 September 13; 29(17): 3683–3685. doi:10.1021/om1005726.

Unexpected Ccarbene–X (X: I, Br, Cl) Reductive Elimination From N-Heterocyclic Carbene Copper Halide Complexes Under Oxidative Conditions

Bo-Lin Lin, **Peng Kang**, and **T. Daniel P. Stack***

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

Abstract

The non-innocent behaviors of NHC ligands have attracted wide attention due to their important implications for catalyst designs and reaction mechanisms. Herein, we report facile C_{carbon} halogen reductive eliminations from NHC copper halide complexes at RT under oxidative conditions. Density functional calculations on a simplified model system suggest that the reactions occur through oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(III) species followed by C_{carbene} -halogen reductive eliminations from NHC Cu(III) halide complexes. Remarkably short C_{carbon} –chloride contacts and rare interactions between the chloride lone pair electrons and the $C_{\text{carbone}} p_{\pi}$ orbital were found for the calculated NHC Cu(III) chlorides. The facile $C_{\text{carbene}}-X$ reductive elimination reported here warrants consideration as a potential decomposition pathway in reactions involving NHC-supported high-valent metal complexes, especially with late transition metals.

> N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) metal complexes are used widely as catalysts in organic reactions.¹ Compared to other neutral type ligands, NHCs usually form stronger bonds with metals due to excellent σ donating ability. The conjugation between the carbon carbon (C_{carbene}) p_π orbital and nitrogen lone pair electrons in the heterocycle further stabilizes the metal–C_{carbene} bonds. As a result, NHCs are often better at suppressing catalyst decompositions. The metal–C_{carbene} bonds are remarkably inert compared to other metal– carbon bonds at catalytic metal centers, which are prone to undergo various reactions such as migratory insertion and olefin metathesis.¹ In contrast, only a small number of elementary organomellic reactions, mostly limited to C_{carbene}-C reductive elimination and ligand dissociation/displacement, are documented with the metal– C_{carbon} bonds.^{2–4}

> Carbon-halogen (C–X) reductive elimination of metal– C_{alkvl} or metal– C_{arvl} bonds is an important elementary organometallic reaction,⁵ but no well-defined example is reported for $C_{\text{carbone}}-X$ reductive elimination from NHC metal halide complexes,⁶ although such complexes are ubiquitous in NHC chemistry.¹ Herein, we report facile formation of 2-haloimidazoliums from NHC Cu(I) halide complexes at room temperature (RT) under oxidative conditions as well as computational evidence to support a mechanism involving $C_{\text{carbene}} - X$ reductive elimination from NHC Cu(III) halide complexes.

As a part of our continuing efforts in the characterization of reactivity of Cu(III) complexes, 7 we postulated that NHC Cu(III) complexes might be isolable because of the relatively inert Cu–C_{carbene} bond. In that regard, oxidations of IPrCu^ICl (1_{Cl} , IPr: 1, 3-bis(2, 6-

stack@stanford.edu .

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental and calculational data. This material is available free of charge at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene) by various oxidants were investigated. No significant reaction was observed between 1_{Cl} and $[Ph_2I]^+PF_6^-$ or $[Cp_2Fe]^+PF_6^-$ (0.64 V *vs* NHE)⁸ in acetonitrile (MeCN). By contrast, mixing $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Cl}}$ with Selectfluor® (≥ 1.5 eq.) or $Cu^{II}(CF_3SO_3)_2 \approx 2.0$ eq., 1.30 V *vs* NHE)⁹ in MeCN at RT rapidly and quantitatively forms 2-chloro-imidazolium $2\epsilon_{\text{Cl}}$ (Scheme 1).¹⁰ Quantitative formation of 2-halo-imidazolium $2\epsilon_{\text{Br}}$ or 2_I was realized for IPrCu^IBr (1_{Br}) or IPrCu^II (1_I) under similar conditions.¹¹

These reactions appear to occur through either an inner- or outer-sphere oxidation followed by Ccarbene-X reductive elimination. An outer-sphere oxidation is supported by the *ca.* 80 % formation of 2_{Cl} by reacting 1_{Cl} with [(1, 10-phenanthroline)₃Fe^{III}]³⁺ (≥ 2 eq., 1.22 V *vs* NHE)¹² in MeCN at RT.¹³ However, all these reactions are fast even at low temperatures $(t_{1/2} \sim$ seconds at *ca.* −40 °C), and no intermediate species could be detected by UV-Vis spectroscopy on a timescale of seconds.

DFT calculations provide mechanistic insights into the oxidation by Selectfluor[®]. The calculated free-energy profiles corresponding to Ccarbene-X reductive elimination from either a Cu(II) or Cu(III) species using a simplified model system (**3**) are shown in Scheme 2.¹⁴ The oxidation of **3** by Selectfluor[®] to form **5**, a three-coordinate Cu(III) species, is thermodynamically favorable.¹⁵ The Cu(III) species is further stabilized by coordination of an MeCN ligand to form 7.¹⁶ The activation barrier for C_{carbene}–Cl reductive elimination from **7** via **TS1** to form 2-chloro-imidazolium **10** is remarkably low at 3.5 kcal mol−¹ . ¹⁷ The overall reaction is favorable by -54.2 kcal mol⁻¹. Other possible Cu(III) species¹⁸ are less stable than **7** and lead to higher activation barriers. Alternatively, **7** could react with another equivalent of **3** to form Cu(II) intermediates **8** and **9**, the two most stable Cu(II) species.¹⁹ Although no transition state from **9** to **10** could be located (the energy monotonically increases as the C_{carbene}–Cl separation shortens.), the lower limit of its activation barrier can be estimated by the corresponding reaction free energy, 44.1 kcal mol⁻¹, the least endothermic among various $Cu(II)$ species.¹⁹ Therefore, the calculations on the simplified model system favor a mechanism of C_{carbene}-Cl reductive elimination from NHC Cu(III) chloride complexes for the oxidation of 1_{Cl} by Selectfluor[®].

The thermodynamically unfavorable C_{carbene}–Cl reductive elimination from 9 is consistent with isolable NHC Cu(II) chloride complexes.²⁰ Based on these results, we prefer a mechanism with two sequential inner- or outer-sphere 1e[−] oxidations followed by C_{carbene}– Cl reductive elimination from NHC Cu(III) chloride complexes for the reaction with $Cu(CF₃SO₃)₂,²¹$ although the detailed mechanism is still unclear. Furthermore, the quantitative formation of 2_{Cl} instead of IPrCu(II) chloride complexes from the reaction of 1_{Cl} and Selectfluor[®] suggests that C_{carbene}–Cl reductive elimination from IPrCu(III) chloride complexes is much faster than reactions of 1_{Cl} to form IPrCu(II) halide complexes. This reactivity is consistent with the calculated 3.5 kcal mol−¹ activation barrier from **7** to **10**. Such a low barrier is probably a consequence of the electrophilic nature of a Cu(III) center that renders the NHC Ccarbene susceptible to nucleophilic attack, as suggested by the remarkably close C_{carbene} –Cl contacts (*ca.* 2.7 Å) in **5** and **7** (Figure 1) as well as the interactions between the chloride lone pair electrons and the $C_{\text{carbone}} p_{\pi}$ orbital (Figure 2). A similar interaction has been invoked to rationalize the short C_{carbene}–Cl contact (*ca.* 2.85 Å) in NHC $V^V(O)Cl_3$.²² In contrast, no evidence of such an interaction exists for **8** (Figure 1).

In summary, we have demonstrated that C_{carbene}–halogen reductive eliminations readily occur from NHC copper halides at RT under oxidative conditions. These reactions provide new examples for the well-known oxidation-induced reductive eliminations. DFT calculations on a simplified model system suggest that the involvement of NHC Cu(III) halides is essential for these reactions and the reductive eliminations might be facilitated by the interaction between C_{carbene} and the halogen lone pair. Given the ubiquity of NHC metal

halide complexes, the facile C_{carbene}–X reductive elimination reported here warrants consideration as a potential decomposition pathway in reactions involving NHC-supported high-valent metal complexes, especially with late transition metals under oxidative conditions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH(GM50730) and ACS-PRF award. We thank Dr. Allen G. Oliver for the crystal structures, and Professor Xingwei Li and Dr. George S. Chen for their suggestions in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- 1. For reviews, see: (a) Herrmann WA. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:1290–1309. (b) Kantchev EAB, O'Brien CJ, Organ MG. Angew Chem Int Ed 2007;46:2768–2813. (c) Díez-González S, Marion N, Nolan SP. Chem Rev 2009;109:3612–3676. [PubMed: 19588961] (d) Poyatos M, Mata JA, Peris E. Chem Rev 2009;109:3677–3707. [PubMed: 19236010] (e) Somojlowicz C, Bieniek M, Grela K. Chem Rev 2009;109:3608–3742. (f) van Otterlo WAL, de Koning CB. Chem Rev 2009;109:3743– 3782. [PubMed: 19618929] (g) Monfette S, Fogg DE. Chem Rev 2009;109:3783–3816. [PubMed: 19537778] (h) Alcaide B, Almendros P, Luna A. Chem Rev 2009;109:3817–3858. [PubMed: 19572654] (i) Vougioukalakis GC, Grubbs RH. Chem Rev 2010;110:1746–1787. [PubMed: 20000700]
- 2. For examples of C_{carbene}–C couplings, see: (a) McGuinness DS, Cavell KJ, Yates BF, Skelton BW, White AH. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:8317–8328. [PubMed: 11516281] (b) Marshall WJ, Grushin VV. Organometallics 2003;22:1591–1593. (c) Bacciu D, Cavell KJ, Fallis IA, Ooi LL. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:5282–5284. (d) Becker E, Stingl V, Dazinger G, Puchberger M, Mereiter K, Kirchner K. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:6572–6573. [PubMed: 16704253] (e) Shih WC, Wang CH, Chang YT, Yap GPA, Ong TG. Organometallics 2009;28:1060–1067. (f) Steinke T, Shaw BK, Jong H, Patrick BO, Fryzuk MD, Green JC. J Am Chem Soc 2009;131:10461–10466. [PubMed: 19585991] (g) Romain C, Miqueu K, Sotiropoulos JM, Bellemin-Laponnaz S, Dagorne S. Angew Chem Int Ed 2010;49:2198–2201.
- 3. For examples of NHC ligand displacement/dissociation, see: (a) Ku RZ, Huang JC, Cho JY, Kiang FM, Reddy R, Chen YC, Lee KJ, Lee JH, Lee GH, Peng SM, Liu ST. Organometallics 1998;28:863–870. (b) Titcomb LR, Caddick S, Cloke FGN, Wilsona DJ, McKerrecher D. Chem Comm 2001:1388–1389. (c) Simms RW, Drewitt MJ, Baird MC. Organometallics 2002;21:2958– 2963. (d) de K Lewis AK, Caddick S, Cloke FGN, Billingham NC, Hitchcock PB, Leonard J. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:10066–10073. [PubMed: 12914470] (e) Dorta R, Stevens ED, Hoff CD, Nolan SP. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:10490–10491. [PubMed: 12940710] (f) Torres O, Martín M, Sola E. Organometallics 2009;28:863–870.
- 4. For other reactions involving the cleavage of the M-C_{carbene} bond: Turner ZR, Bellabarba R, Tooze RP, Arnold PL. J Am Chem Soc 2010;132:4050–4051. [PubMed: 20201572]
- 5. For reviews, see: (a) Vigalok A. Chem Eur J 2008;14:5102–5108. (b) Sheppard TD. Org Biomol Chem 2009;7:1043–1052. [PubMed: 19262919]
- 6. Halogenolysis of the metal– C_{carbon} bonds to form C_{carbon} –X bonds does occur, presumably through either direct electrophilic cleavage of metal– C_{carbon} bonds by X_2 or oxidative addition of X_2 followed by $C_{\text{carbon}}-X$ reductive elimination. DFT calculations support a direct electrophilic cleavage of Pd– C_{carbene} bond by I_2 .^{9f}(a) Lappert MF, Pye PL. J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans 1977:1283–1291. (b) Liu ST, Ku RZ, Liu CY, Kiang FM. J Organomet Chem 1997;543:249–250. (c) Cole ML, Davies AJ, Jones C. J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans 2001:2451–2452. (d) Fooladi E, Dalhus B, Tilset M. Dalton Trans 2004:3909–3917. [PubMed: 15540136] (e) Heckenroth M, Neels A, Garnier MG, Aebi P, Ehlers AW, Albercht M. Chem Eur J 2009;15:9375–9386.(f) Lee, E. Ph D Dissertation. Stanford University; Stanford, CA: 2009.

- 7. For examples, see: (a) Ribas X, Jackson DA, Donnadieu B, Mahía J, Parella T, Xifra R, Hedman B, Hodgson KO, Llobet A, Stack TDP. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:2991–2994. (b) Mirica LM, Ribas X, Stack TDP. Chem Rev 2004;104:1013–1046. [PubMed: 14871148] (c) Mirica LM, Vance M, Rudd DJ, Hedman B, Hodgson KO, Solomon EI, Stack TDP. Science 2005;308:1890–1892. [PubMed: 15976297] (d) Bertz SH, Cope S, Murphy M, Ogle CA, Taylor BJ. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:7208–7209. [PubMed: 17506552] (e) Hu H, Snyder JP. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:7210– 7211. [PubMed: 17506553] (f) Gärtner T, Henze W, Gschwind RM. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:11362–11363. [PubMed: 17711285] (g) Storr T, Verma P, Pratt RC, Wasinger EC, Shimazaki Y, Stack TDP. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:15448–15459. [PubMed: 18939830] (h) Herres-Pawlis S, Verma P, Haase R, Kang P, Lyons CT, Wasinger EC, Flörke U, Henkel G, Stack TDP. J Am Chem Soc 2009;131:1154–1169. [PubMed: 19119846] (i) Huffman LM, Stahl SS. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:9196–9197. [PubMed: 18582057]
- 8. Connelly NG, Geiger WE. Chem Rev 1996;96:877–910. [PubMed: 11848774]
- 9. Inamo M, Kumagai H, Harada U, Itoh S, Iwatsuki S, Ishihara K, Takagi HD. Dalton Trans 2004:1703–1707. [PubMed: 15252565]
- 10. 2_{Cl} was characterized by NMR (¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F), mass spectroscopy, and X-ray crystallography (**2Cl**·SbF6·CH2Cl2).19 Reactions with lesser amounts of oxidants led to unreacted **1Cl** and lower yields of 2_{Cl} . The amounts of oxidant and the color of the reaction solution suggest that the side product is $Cu(II)$ (blue) and $Cu(I)$ (colorless) species for the reaction with Selectfluor[®] and $Cu^{II}(CF_3SO_3)_2$, respectively.
- 11. 2_{Br} and 2_{I} were characterized by NMR (1 H, 13 C, and 19 F), mass spectroscopy, and X-ray crystallography $(2_{\text{Br}} \text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3 \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ and 2_{I} **I**₃).¹⁹ The reaction of IPrCu^IF with Cu^{II}(CF₃SO₃)₂ led to the formation of IPrCu^I(CF₃SO₃) (a crystal structure was obtained),¹⁹ while the reaction with Selectfluor[®] afforded a species with broad ¹H NMR signals similar to that of a reported NHC-ligated Cu(II) dimer^{20b}, suggesting that C-F formation is slower than the comproportionation between Cu(I) and Cu(III).
- 12. Wong CL, Kochi JK. J Am Chem Soc 1979;101:5593–5603.
- 13. Protonolysis of the Cu-C_{carbene} bond was also observed, leading to *ca*. 20 % yield of the corresponding imidazolium. In addition, no well-defined oxidation occurs with 1_{Cl} by cyclic voltammetry at low temperatures (*ca.* −40 °C).
- 14. All calculations were performed at the level of B3LYP/6-311+G** by Gaussian 03 program. The solvation effect was estimated by PCM/UA0 model. Relative free energies are used in the computational discussion. See supporting information for more detailed descriptions of the computational methods. (a) Pople, J. A. et al. Gaussian03, Revision E.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004. (b) The gas phase entropies were converted to corresponding entropies¹⁹ (1 M in CH3CN) according to the method in Wertz DH. J Am Chem Soc 1980;102:5316–5322.
- 15. A 12.8 kcal mol⁻¹ activation free energy was calculated for this step (fluorine transfer) at a lowerlevel method (B3LYP/6-311+G**//B3LYP/LANL2DZ).¹⁹
- 16. **6** is proposed as an intermediate in the reaction. It might ligate to copper in the final uncharacterized Cu(II) product. Coordination of MeCN to **3** might facilitate the oxidation. However, the corresponding 3-coordinate species could not be located computationally.
- 17. **η** 2 -Arene-coordination intermediates have been reported in a related computational study for oxidative addition of Ph–Br to various Cu^I species. (Zhang SL, Liu L, Fu Y, Guo QX. Organometallics 2007;26:4546–4554.) By contrast, no analogous intermediate was located computationally for our system.
- 18. These include two isomers of **7**, one 5-coordinate Cu(III) species, and a complex with **6** coordinated to **5**. 19
- 19. See supporting information.
- 20. (a) Arnold PL, Rodden M, Davis KM, Scarisbrick AC, Blake AJ, Wilson C. Chem Comm 2004:1612–1613. [PubMed: 15263943] (b) Larsen AO, Leu W, Oberhuber CN, Campbell JE, Hoveyda AH. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:11130–11131. [PubMed: 15355076]
- 21. Previous work indicated that AgSbF₆ (1.35 V *vs* NHE)⁸ can oxidize Cu^{II}-Salen to [Cu^{III}Salen] $(SbF₆)$ in CH₂Cl₂.^{7d} Therefore, the oxidation of 1_{Cl} to Cu(III) by Cu^{II}(CF₃SO₃)₂ (1.30 V *vs* NHE ⁹ seems possible.

22. Abernethy CD, Codd GM, Spicer MD, Taylor MK. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:1128–1129. [PubMed: 12553789]

Lin et al. Page 6

Figure 1. Optimized structures of **5**, **7**, and **8** .

Lin et al. Page 7

Figure 2. HOMO-3 of **5** and HOMO-1 of **7** .

 $\begin{picture}(120,140)(-10,0) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){100}} \put($

Scheme 1.

Lin et al. Page 9

Scheme 2.