



Published in final edited form as:

*Immunol Allergy Clin North Am.* 2011 February ; 31(1): 41–54. doi:10.1016/j.iac.2010.09.003.

## Clinical Potentials for Measuring Stress in Youth with Asthma

Hannah M. C. Schreier, M.A.<sup>a</sup>, Gregory E. Miller, PhD<sup>b</sup>, and Edith Chen, PhD<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>PhD Student, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

<sup>b</sup>Associate Professor of Psychology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

### Synopsis

It is well established that the course of asthma can be affected by the psychological stress an individual experiences. In this article we review literature assessing the impact of psychological stress on asthma outcomes and discuss the benefits and disadvantages of different measures for assessing stress, including subjective questionnaires, event checklists, and interview-based approaches. We further discuss the importance of taking into account the timing and chronicity of stress, as well as individuals' subjective appraisals of stress. We suggest that while questionnaire and checklist approaches are easier to administer, interview-based stress assessments are preferable where feasible as they generate richer and more in-depth information regarding the stressors that people experience. In addition, this kind of information appears to be more robustly linked to pediatric asthma outcomes of interest.

### Keywords

psychological stress; asthma; measurement

### Stress and Asthma

It has long been recognized by clinicians and researchers that asthma can be affected by numerous triggers in the physical environment. Among the best understood contributors to asthma are environmental pollutants<sup>1</sup>, such as exposure to traffic-related air pollution<sup>2</sup> and indoor exposure to a variety of allergens<sup>3</sup> and environmental tobacco smoke<sup>4, 5</sup>. Similarly, viral infections of the upper and lower respiratory tracts have been linked to asthmatic symptoms<sup>6</sup>.

More recently, increasing attention has focused on the contribution of potential triggers from the social environment, such as stressors<sup>7</sup>. Psychological stress has been increasingly implicated in pediatric asthma<sup>8</sup> and linked to a number of clinical asthma outcomes, including physician visits and hospitalizations<sup>9</sup>. A number of studies provide intriguing evidence of the potential influence that psychosocial stressors can have on asthma outcomes. Several case studies suggest the onset and worsening of asthma symptoms among youth

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>a</sup>Corresponding author for proofs and reprints: Hannah Schreier, M.A., University of British Columbia, Department of Psychology, 2136 West Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada, hannahs@psych.ubc.ca, Tel.: 604.822.5463, Fax: 604.822.6923.

<sup>b</sup>Coauthor address: Gregory Miller, Ph.D. University of British Columbia Department of Psychology 2136 West Mall Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4 Canada gemiller@psych.ubc.ca Tel.: 604.822.3269 Fax: 604.822.6923

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

shortly after they themselves or people close to them have experienced negative life events<sup>10</sup>. These negative life events included being the victim of acts of violence or witnessing violent acts, as well as witnessing severe conflict among parents; in all cases youth experienced significant, acute episodes of asthma. Also of interest is the observation that in some cases the fluctuations in asthma exacerbations changed hand in hand with the presence or absence of psychosocial stressors in the environment, for example the known presence of one's assailant in the neighborhood.

Additional evidence comes from a prospective, longitudinal study which followed 6–13 year old children with asthma for 18 months and involved repeated assessments of life stress through interviews and the collection of daily diary and peak flow information<sup>11</sup>. In this study, youth were significantly more likely to experience new asthma attacks in the weeks following negative life events, such as death of a close person. These effects were even more pronounced among youth whom interviews revealed to experience chronic stress in other areas of their lives, for example being bullied at school or coming from a conflictual home environment.

Hence, while a number of psychological factors are thought to be related to pediatric asthma outcomes<sup>12</sup>, the influence of psychological stress has been of particular interest, especially since it may exacerbate other psychological problems, including anxiety and depression. One way in which psychological stress may exert its effects is by moderating and potentiating the effects of physical environmental triggers, making individuals more vulnerable to these triggers.

There currently exist numerous ways of measuring stress in people's lives. Researchers are using a variety of measures ranging from the administration of self-report questionnaires to the assessment of life events through in-depth interviews. The goal of this article is three-fold: to differentiate between various conceptualizations of stress and the measurement approaches that are associated with them; to provide an overview of the most widely used methods for assessing stress among patients with asthma and to discuss their strengths and weaknesses; and to review evidence for how well different measures of stress can be linked to clinical outcomes and the biological processes that underlie them.

## What is Stress?

Stress has been conceptualized in many different ways<sup>13</sup>. Among the most common views of stress are the environmental and the subjective perspectives, which also map onto the different measurement strategies used in today's research. The environmental stress perspective focuses on external demands that individuals encounter as part of their life experience<sup>14</sup>. These demands come in the form of "stressors" the individual has to manage, and the assumption is that they will have a fairly uniform impact on people's health<sup>15</sup>, determined by how much change or adaptation is required.

In contrast, there has been an alternative conceptualization of stress that focuses on the interaction of the person and the demands s/he is facing<sup>16</sup>. This view focuses on how people interpret or appraise a stressor, in terms of whether it poses a threat to their goals, and whether they are able to cope with it effectively. The assumption here is that not all events are perceived in the same way by all people, and that only those that are appraised as stressful (i.e., threatening and unmanageable) will ultimately prove to be detrimental to health<sup>17</sup>. Thus while one person may experience a transition to a new work environment as a considerable stressor, it may be unmemorable to another person. This view highlights the importance of assessing subjective perceptions of events and people's beliefs as to whether they think they will be able to successfully cope with the stressor at hand.

The currently used measurement approaches tend to reflect one tradition or the other. Life event checklists that assess and sum the number of life events a person has experienced within a certain timeframe follow from an environmental stress perspective. Other approaches that are more focused on investigating a person's experience of life events, for example by asking people to complete questionnaires about what kind of impact an event has had on their life, are closer to focusing on subjective stress appraisals.

Aside from differentiating the objective occurrence of events from the subjective experience of an event, researchers also make distinctions regarding the nature of the event itself. For example, some stressors are distinct and time-limited (acute stressors), whereas others are long-lasting and without definitive endpoints<sup>18</sup> (chronic stress). Acute stressors can furthermore be divided into major life events, for example, being in a car accident, and smaller acute stressors akin to daily hassles, e.g. dealing with traffic when commuting to work<sup>19</sup>. There are also stressors that blur the distinction between acute and chronic, e.g., a brief event like a natural disaster, which triggers a string of other challenges like repairing one's home, finding the money to do so, etc. Thus, stressors will vary in both intensity and duration, and it is important to consider these dimensions in measuring stress, as they may have different effects on asthma outcomes.

In the following sections we will first review the evidence for the influence of stress on clinical asthma outcomes and the biological mechanisms that are thought to mediate these relationships before we address the different ways of assessing stress.

## Evidence For a Link Between Stress and Asthma

Research to date, implementing different methods of stress measurements, suggests a clear relationship between stress and both the onset<sup>20</sup> and course of asthma<sup>21, 8</sup>. For example, using a life event checklist the onset of asthma has been associated with retrospective recall of greater life stressors in the years preceding and concurrent to asthma diagnosis among a sample of university students<sup>22</sup>. Interview-based assessments of adolescents' stress perceptions, including acute and chronic stressors as well as subjective stress interpretations, were found to be associated with patterns of immune response known to be involved the worsening of asthma, including greater *in vitro* mitogen-stimulated production of the cytokines interleukin 5 (IL-5) and interferon-gamma (IFN- $\gamma$ )<sup>23</sup>. Youth from more stable home environments, that is, youth of parents who reported less parenting stress on a self-report questionnaire, furthermore appear to be exposed to more routines at home, which in turn is associated with improved medication adherence<sup>24</sup>.

Other approaches, including experimental manipulations of stress further underscore the influence of psychosocial stressors on airway functioning. In one study a group of older children and adolescents asked to relate an embarrassing story to a tape recorder in the presence of an adult experimenter subsequently showed evidence of greater airway resistance<sup>25</sup>. Finally, in a small sample of adults with asthma, everyday stressors assessed across a ten day period using preprogrammed watches, were linked to participants' peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) as well as asthma symptoms<sup>26</sup>. Hence, connections between psychosocial stress and clinical asthma outcomes have been shown using different research methodologies and study designs.

## Biological Mechanisms Linking Stress and Asthma

Why would psychological stress be linked to the onset and course of asthma? Asthma is a disease that involves excessive airway inflammatory responses to environmental triggers, such as allergens and pollution<sup>27</sup>. Thus, one model posits that what psychological stress does is to accentuate the magnitude of inflammatory responses to these triggers.

Specifically, a number of indoor and outdoor triggers have been linked to asthma exacerbations. For example, living in greater proximity to traffic has been associated with asthma outcomes<sup>28</sup> among youth, likely as a result of the increased exposure to traffic-related pollution which is also known to negatively influence asthma<sup>29–31</sup>. Within their home environments youth are exposed to other environmental triggers that are associated with worsened asthma. Among the most common triggers are exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, which has been shown to lead to more emergency department visits among youth<sup>5</sup>, and indoor allergens, such as mouse and cockroach allergens, which result in greater asthma morbidity<sup>3, 32</sup>.

The inflammatory pathway that becomes activated in response to these environmental stimuli and leads to asthma involves the activation of T helper cells. Upon exposure to allergens, pathogens, and some irritants, dendritic cells present fragments of these triggers to T helper cells, which then coordinate downstream immunologic responses that drive the pathophysiology of asthma episodes. Distinctions are often made between two classes of T helper cells, Th1 and Th2 cells, which are functionally, but not morphologically, different. Specifically, Th1 cells are involved primarily in cell-mediated immunity and produce cytokines such as IFN- $\gamma$ . In contrast, Th2 cells coordinate a humoral, or antibody mediated, response in response to allergen exposure. Asthma is often thought to be marked by a shift towards Th2 dependent processes, as mediated through two main pathways, an early-phase response involving IL-4 and IL-13 and late—phase response involving IL-5<sup>33</sup>.

Th2 cells release IL-4 and IL-13, which promote the proliferation and differentiation of B cells. B cells then synthesize and release IgE antibodies which bind to mast cells in the airways, causing them to degranulate and release allergic mediators such as histamines and leukotrienes. These induce smooth muscle constriction, mucus production, and edema, resulting in early-phases asthma symptoms. An alternative pathway involves the release of IL-5 by Th2 cells<sup>35</sup>. IL-5 is partly responsible for the production, maturation, and activation of eosinophils. Once they get recruited to the airways, eosinophils result in both greater inflammation and airway obstruction. Eosinophils further release other mediators, for example eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), which can damage airway cells, and leukotrienes, which cause edema and further bronchial constriction. Hence, this second pathway through IL-5 and eosinophils is thought to be involved in the more chronic, long-term inflammation associated with asthma. For more in-depth discussions of psychological stress and its effects on inflammation and asthma exacerbations as well as more information on inflammation in asthma in general, see the brief review by Chen & Miller<sup>27</sup> and Busse and Lemanske<sup>36</sup>.

Several studies support the importance of assessing these biological indicators and have linked stress to these processes. Research from our laboratory has shown that among youth with asthma greater chronic family stress is associated with greater *in vitro* stimulated production of cytokines implicated in asthma, including IL-5 and IL-13, as well as *in vivo* mobilization and activation of eosinophils<sup>37, 38</sup>. Similarly, studies have found that exam-related stress potentiates IL-5 production and eosinophil mobilization in sputum following an airway challenge<sup>39</sup> as well as decreased natural killer cell cytotoxicity<sup>40</sup> among university students with asthma.

## Measuring Stress

In the following section we will discuss the most common approaches to measuring stress, their benefits and disadvantages, and how they relate to asthma-relevant outcomes.

## Experimental and Quasi-Experimental approaches

**Laboratory manipulations**—Some researchers have taken advantage of the controlled laboratory environment to assess the influence of various stressors on asthma-related outcomes that can be closely monitored before, during, and following exposure to psychological stressors. Laboratory manipulations frequently involve participants completing tasks, such as public speaking, engaging in discussions with other people, or watching stressful movies. This has the advantage that researchers can easily compare changes in the outcome variable of interest from before to after stressor exposure, or between groups who have vs. have not been exposed. Assuming the subjects have been randomly assigned in sufficient numbers to stressor vs. contr conditions, the researcher can make causal inferences about the influence of the manipulation, without concerns about confounding variables playing a role.

One laboratory manipulation suggests that emotional responsivity may mediate the relationship between psychological stress and asthma outcomes<sup>41</sup>. As part of this study, youth with asthma watched several segments from the movie, *E.T., The Extra-Terrestrial*. Youth who had stronger emotional responses to these movie segments evidenced increased airway reactivity and decreased pulmonary functioning, as measured by youth's FEV1. Similarly, youth with asthma who underwent a stressful task in the laboratory, relating an embarrassing moment to a tape recorder in the presence of an adult experimenter, evidenced decreased airway resistance following this task<sup>25</sup>. Furthermore, among adolescents with asthma, exposure to a stressful computer task was sufficient to induce breathlessness, even in the absence of actual airway obstruction<sup>42</sup>.

Research from our own laboratory has also investigated the effects of stress on markers of airway inflammation among healthy youth and youth with asthma using an acute stress task in the laboratory<sup>43</sup>. Youth and their parents were asked to discuss a topic of disagreement for 8 minutes and youth's airway inflammation was assessed using a measure of exhaled nitric oxide both before and after the acute stress task. Increases in youth's heart rate and blood pressure indicated that youth indeed experienced these discussions as stressful. In addition, youth with asthma, but not healthy youth, also experienced changes in their levels of exhaled nitric oxide, depending on the socioeconomic status (SES) background they came from. Youth from low SES families experienced increases in exhaled nitric oxide about 45 minutes after participating in the acute stress task, whereas youth from high SES families experienced decreases, indicating that acute psychosocial stressors impact airway inflammation and that this response is moderated by SES.

**Naturally Occurring Stressors**—Some studies take advantage of naturally occurring stressors to assess the influence of psychological stress on asthma. While this does not give experimenters the same amount of experimental control, or the ability to make causal inferences, it has the advantage of greater ecological validity as participants are experiencing stressors outside of the artificial laboratory environment.

Commonly used paradigms involving naturally occurring stressors include exam stress among students. Liu et al.<sup>39</sup> asked college students with mild allergic asthma to undergo an inhaled antigen challenge at two separate time points, once during a low-stress midsemester timepoint and once during final examination week, that is, during a more stressful time. The inhaled antigen challenge involved the administration of increasingly high doses of allergens to which the participants were sensitized, e.g. dust mite or cat dander, until their lung functioning had declined by  $\geq 20\%$ . During the high-stress final examination period the inhaled antigen challenge resulted in a greater sputum eosinophil response as well as a greater decrease in forced expiratory volume during one second (FEV1) from pre- to post-

challenge. These results suggest that naturally occurring stressors amplify the inflammatory response to asthma triggers, and by doing so have the potential to worsen clinical outcomes.

Some researchers are interested in assessing naturally occurring stressors on an ongoing daily basis, that is, how the small stressors people are exposed to as part of their every day lives may influence their health. The preferred way for studying stress in every day life is through daily diary<sup>44</sup>. By asking participants to briefly report on the stressors they experienced throughout a given day every evening or multiple times throughout the day, researchers can link the stressors people experience as part of their every day lives to health outcomes.

One study investigating the effects of daily psychological stress among adults with asthma asked participants to complete five daily diary assessments a day, for 10 days<sup>45</sup>. Participants were beeped at random times throughout the day, asked about the occurrence of stressful life events and to complete a peak flow reading. Results suggested that there were diurnal patterns to asthma symptoms and PEFr and that these could be accounted for by psychosocial factors, including stressors. Experiencing more stressors was associated with lower PEFr and more asthma symptoms. Hence, daily diary assessments of stress may prove valuable for understanding the influence of every day stressors on lung function and asthma outcomes.

**Advantages and Disadvantages**—Experimental manipulations are beneficial in that they allow researchers to fully control the environment that stressors occur in, thereby ensuring that observed changes in asthma outcomes can indeed be attributed to stressor exposure. In addition, assessing asthma outcomes in response to psychological stressors in the laboratory enables researchers to assess changes via objective markers, rather than having to rely on participant-reported outcomes, such as symptoms, or other clinical outcomes, such as emergency department visits. The primary criticism of laboratory manipulations relates to the fact that the generalizability of stress responses experienced in the laboratory to real life situations is questionable. However, to the extent that experimental manipulations are representative of real-life stressors the controlled laboratory environments can provide important information with regard to how stressors result in physiological changes that ultimately lead to increased asthma morbidity. Taking advantage of naturally occurring stressors results in greater ecological validity but this advantage is traded in for a decrease in the amount of control and inferential leverage researchers have.

Furthermore, a daily diary approach allows for more frequent data collection that is less likely to be affected by participants' recall bias. However, daily diaries can be quite disruptive to people's lives and require a significant amount of effort from participants, and hence information is typically only collected for brief periods of time (e.g., two weeks) during which participants may not experience many life stressors. However, depending on the study design, it may be possible to integrate several 'bursts' of daily diary data collection into a longitudinal study design and ask participants to complete multiple rounds of daily diary, several weeks or months apart.

### **Environmental Occurrence of Stressors and Life Event Checklists**

An alternative approach is to assess the types of stressors that are occurring in individuals' lives. For example, life-event checklists consist of lists of events that are experienced by the general population and thought to be experienced as stressful by most people, such as moving to a new place, getting divorced, or starting a new job. Participants simply check all the items on a particular life-event checklist that they have experienced within a given timeframe, for example, the last 30 days. Researchers can then sum the number of items

checked and use the total score as an indicator of the amount of stress in an individual's life. For a good overview of existing checklists see Turner and Wheaton<sup>46</sup>.

Turyk et al.<sup>9</sup> used a 15-item checklist to assess the impact of stressful life events thought to commonly occur among inner-city youth with and without asthma. While asthma morbidity was generally high in this sample, the number of stressful life events youth reported having been exposed to in the past year was associated with asthma symptoms, missed school days, physician contact and hospitalization for asthma. In addition, the authors found a dose-response relationship between the number of stressful life experiences and the odds of dry cough at night, number of symptoms, and physician visits for asthma, such that experiencing increasing numbers of negative life events was related to a greater number of symptoms and physician visits. These results remained significant even after a number of potentially relevant confounding variables were taken into account.

**Advantages and Disadvantages**—Life-event checklists have clear advantages in that they are quick and cheap to administer and do not require any training on the part of the research team. Hence, they may be particularly useful in very large samples or when time for stress assessments is limited, e.g. in epidemiological studies. However, even though checklists are easy to use, the information that can be collected through them is limited. For example, the events on a checklist may not be a good representation of events actually experienced by people in the population of interest. Hence, events may be missed entirely if stressful events that participants considered important were not part of a checklist. Or alternatively, a participant may decline report events that has occurred, either because s/he does not want to disclose them, or believes they were not of sufficient impact to merit doing so. In addition, these types of self-reports usually do not assess the specific timing or duration of events and fail to capture the participants' appraisal of an event. For example, participants may select items on a checklist because they have experienced them even if they did not consider the occurrence of such an event stressful. As a result, some people consider checklists a better measure of the change occurring in people's lives, rather than negative life events or stress per se<sup>46</sup>.

### Assessing Subjective Stress Appraisal

Another common approach to assessing stress in the literature involves self-report questionnaires regarding subjective perceptions of stress in individuals' lives. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)<sup>47</sup>, for example, is a frequently used 10-item measure that assesses subjective stress experiences by asking participants about how stressful they feel their life is in general, for example, how unpredictable they find it to be. Most self-report questionnaires require participants to indicate to what extent they endorse statements assessing their subjective stress experiences on Likert scales, that is, indicating the extent to which they agree or disagree with certain statements on a scale ranging from 5- or 7-point scale; these responses can then be summed to create a total score representative of the amount of stress subjectively experienced by participants.

One study has used the PSS to assess stress among caregivers of youth predisposed to atopy throughout youth's early life<sup>48</sup>. Caregivers repeatedly completed the PSS and results indicated that youth whose parents reported greater perceived stress showed evidence, at the age of 2–3 years, of greater IgE expression, a greater allergen-induced proliferative response, as well as changes in cytokine production indicative of increased airway inflammation. This study suggests that data gained from questionnaire-based stress assessments among caregivers are relevant with regard to the overall immunological profiles of youth at risk for asthma.

However, it is of interest that another study that assessed the influence of social support among college students with asthma on their immune functioning during low stress (midsemester) and, presumably, high stress (final exam period) times found that while students experienced physiological changes between midsemester and the final exam period they did not *report* higher levels of stress during the exam period. This suggests that some questionnaire-based assessments of subjective stress experiences may be insensitive to certain types of stressors or among particular populations<sup>40</sup>.

An alternative approach to assessing subjective appraisals involves a controlled laboratory presentation of a life event, from which one can then assess individual perceptions of that event. The Cognitive Appraisal and Understanding of Social Events (CAUSE) videos<sup>49</sup> were developed to assess people's interpretation of events that have ambiguous outcomes. One of these videos, for example, depicts a high school girl browsing in a department store with an overly attentive saleswoman nearby. Participants are asked to imagine themselves in the place of the teenager when watching the video and are subsequently asked about their interpretation of the situation (e.g. "Why do you think the saleswoman was paying attention to you while you were browsing?"). By asking participants about their appraisal of this potentially stressful situation one can code their responses to these ambiguous situations as being more benign (e.g., she was trying to make a sale) or hostile (e.g., she thought I was about to steal something).

Research from our laboratory using the CAUSE videos indicates that, just as chronic stress does, participants' perceived threat in response to an ambiguous social situation also predicts a heightened inflammatory profile, specifically, increased stimulated IL-5 and IL-13 production, as well as higher eosinophil counts among youth with asthma<sup>37</sup>. In addition, other studies found stress interpretations to statistically mediate the relationship between low socioeconomic status and greater stimulated cytokine production in adolescents with asthma<sup>23</sup> and between low socioeconomic status and gene transcription control pathways regulating inflammation and catecholamine signalling in adolescents with asthma<sup>50</sup>.

**Advantages and Disadvantages**—Similar to life-event checklists, assessments of subjective stress appraisals are easy to administer and require relatively little time and effort. They also get at the heart of what some researchers conceptualize as the essence of the stress response – that is, the subjective perception of stress.

However, this reliance on the subjective can create certain measurement problems. For example, people may differ in their subjective assessments of situations and assess stressful life events according to idiosyncratic standards. As a result it can be difficult to know whether individual differences in responding reflect variations in the occurrence vs. the perception of an event. It is also possible that people use scales differently when making ratings, or that they are unwilling to relate details of events that they perceived to be embarrassing, hence rating an event as less severe or important than it in fact may have been. In addition, because different people use different, subjective, reference points when making ratings of the impact of stressful life events meaningful comparisons across individuals may be very difficult, if not impossible. Consequently, such an approach may be more useful when investigating within-person changes across several timepoints.

## Interviews

Many studies interested in assessing the influence of psychological stress on asthma also do so through interviews, such as the UCLA Life Stress Interview (LSI)<sup>51</sup> and the Life Events and Difficulties Schedule (LEDS)<sup>52</sup>. These interviews probe both the occurrence of ongoing difficulties (chronic stress) across a number of life domains, such as family, friends, and school/work, as well as the occurrence of time-limited events that are typically short in



duration (acute events). Ratings of the severity of acute and chronic stress are made by interviewers (rather than by the participants themselves) and typically take into account context. For example, chronic stress may be rated on a 1–5 scale for each domain of interest by the interviewer, with higher numbers reflecting more severe difficulties. Acute stressors and their objective impact may in addition be rated by a team of interviewers. Interviewers are briefed on the details about a given event and subsequently agree on a consensus rating, also on a 5-point scale, taking into account the context of a particular situation, such as whether an event was expected or not and whether a similar event had previously taken place.

A number of studies have successfully implemented interview-based approaches to measuring stress and linked them to outcomes relevant to asthma. Studies from our own research group have repeatedly used the LSI<sup>53</sup>. Using this approach we have linked stress in the lives of youth with asthma to various biological outcomes. Specifically, we have investigated the effects of stress *in vitro* by culturing peripheral mononuclear blood cells (PBMCs) with a mitogen cocktail consisting of phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and ionomycin (INO) and subsequently measuring the amount of cytokines being produced. We have found that, among youth with asthma between the ages of 9–18 years, greater chronic home and family stress was associated with an increased production of IL-5, IL-13, and higher levels of circulating eosinophils, whereas the opposite was true for a healthy comparison group<sup>37</sup>. In addition, we have also linked greater home life stress among youth with asthma to a decreased output of salivary alpha-amylase across the day<sup>54</sup>, indicating lower sympathetic activity. This suggests another possible mechanism through which stress in the lives of youth with asthma may lead to asthma exacerbations.

Chen et al. also found interactions of interview-based stress measures with physical environment measures of air pollution in predicting asthma outcomes<sup>55</sup>. Specifically, higher levels of chronic family stress were associated with higher IL-5 production, IgE levels, and eosinophil counts among youth with asthma living in neighborhoods with modest levels of traffic-related air pollution. In contrast, among youth living in neighborhoods with high traffic-related pollution, psychological stress was not associated with inflammatory profiles. These interaction effects also extended to clinical changes over 6 months, such that among youth with asthma living in neighborhoods with modest levels of traffic-related air pollution, higher levels of chronic family stress predicted increases in asthma symptoms and declines in pulmonary function over a 6 month follow up period. These findings suggest that when physical environment exposures are modest, social environment exposures may exert their greatest effects, whereas high levels of physical environment exposures may overwhelm effects of the social environment.

Other research groups have also evaluated the impact of interview-based stress on clinical asthma outcomes among youth. As part of a prospective 18-month longitudinal study Sandberg et al. used the Psychosocial Assessment of Childhood Experiences (PACE) Interview<sup>56, 57</sup> to repeatedly assess the occurrence of life events across the study period<sup>58</sup>. Sandberg et al. found that the likelihood of youth experiencing a new asthma attack increased shortly after the experience of a stressful life event and again roughly 5–7 weeks later.

Some measures are also beginning to circumvent the problems inherent in questionnaire and checklist approaches by supplementing the traditional checklist approach with additional questions that allow for the collection of more in-depth data. One such measure, the Crisis in Family Systems (CRISYS)<sup>59</sup> instrument, successfully combines quantitative and qualitative approaches. Participants first indicate, using a checklist format, whether they have experienced a particular set of stressful life events. In addition they answer questions for

items they endorsed, either on a Likert scale or in response to open-ended questions from an interviewer, and provide more detailed information about the event in question. Hence, this represents a successful combination of different approaches to stress measurement. Shalowitz et al.<sup>60</sup> used this measure to assess stress among caregivers of youth with asthma and found that children of mothers who experienced more negative life stressors were more likely to have high, rather than low or moderate, asthma morbidity.

There is also mounting evidence that chronic and acute stress need to be considered in context of each other to allow for a coherent assessment of the effects of stress on asthma outcomes. Sandberg et al.<sup>11</sup>, for example, investigated the impact of chronic and acute stress among children between the ages of 6–13 years as part of an 18-month long prospective study. While youth who experienced an acute stress event had an increased likelihood of an asthma attack in the weeks following the event, those youth who were also exposed to chronic stress showed a shortened latency to asthma exacerbations following the acute stressor.

Similarly, Marin et al.<sup>61</sup> found that youth with asthma who experienced acute stressors in the context of high chronic stress showed increased inflammatory profiles in response to stress. Youth participated in five study visits across five years, that is, once every six months, and among youth living in a home environment marked by increased chronic family stress, at times when they had recently experienced an acute stressor they exhibited heightened stimulated production of asthma-relevant cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-5 compared to at times when they had not recently experienced an acute stressor. In addition, youth provided information on their asthma symptoms for two weeks following each laboratory visit. Among those with more severe asthma, at times when participants exhibited higher levels of stimulated cytokine production, they also reported more asthma symptoms, pointing to the clinical significance of the observed changes in stimulated cytokine production.

Finally, the co-occurrence of chronic and acute life stress events among youth with asthma has been linked to gene expression profiles relevant to asthma inflammation<sup>62</sup>. Youth with asthma experiencing chronic stress in their homes and who also experienced a major acute life event within the previous six months exhibited reduced expression of glucocorticoid receptor mRNA and  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor mRNA. This reduction could potentially lead to a decreased sensitivity to the anti-inflammatory properties of glucocorticoids, resulting in greater airway inflammation, as well as to a decreased efficacy of asthma medications that target these receptors. Together, these studies suggest that, when studying acute stress in particular, chronic stress should also be assessed as it may significantly moderate people's response to acute stressors they experience.

**Advantages and Disadvantages**—Using semi-structured interviews allows researchers to utilize a repertoire of follow-up questions in order to probe for additional detail regarding stressful life events. This greater flexibility makes it possible to obtain rich information regarding the circumstances surrounding an event, exacerbating and mitigating factors, details about the timing and duration of the event, and the participant's appraisal of an event. In addition, semi-structured interviews, as opposed to structured interviews, provide the advantage of allowing the interviewer to ask whatever questions are most relevant to a certain event and participants instead of working with a fixed set of questions that are answered by all participants.

Other advantages of using an interview-based approach are the assessment of contextual information that can aid in making severity ratings and having interviewers, rather than participants, making ratings of stress. Specifically, an interviewer will be able to, within the

context of a semi-structured interview, obtain additional information on events that would not have been available through a checklist approach, for example a participant's perceived sense of controllability of an event. This information can then be used to make a more objective rating of an event by rating the normative stress levels that would commonly be associated with it. This in turn allows the researcher to distinguish between normative events, e.g. child transitioned from middle to high school and is adjusting well to their new school, and more stressful events, e.g. child got expelled from old school because of behavioral problems and was forced to attend a new school mid-semester. Note that in both cases children may have endorsed a "Switched schools in the past half year" item on a checklist even though the exact circumstances between these situations vary widely. While the former situation represents a normative transition, the latter does not and is likely to be associated with significantly greater stress. Finally, within the framework of contextual interviews researchers can make more objective ratings of the stress associated with particular life events participants report, rather than having to rely on participants' ratings. Having obtained all this additional information, researchers will be able to make well-informed and objective ratings of stressful life events.

Interview-based assessments that capture stress across a variety of domains also allow for comparisons of the relative effects from domain to domain. For example, across several studies from our laboratory we find that chronic stress in the family domain has robust associations with asthma inflammatory measures, but that chronic stress in other domains, such as friendship and school, are not associated with inflammatory profiles in asthma<sup>61-63</sup>.

Of course, using an interview-based approach has its costs, primarily in terms of the greater burden on both the participants and researchers. Interview-based assessments of stress require training of interviewers, regular checks on inter-rater reliability, and take longer to administer than questionnaire-based assessments. Hence these approaches are more costly, labor-intensive, and may not be feasible in larger samples.

## Conclusions

In this article we reviewed different methods of assessing stress that are most commonly being used in current research. Quicker ways of measuring stress, for example, through self-report questionnaires and event checklists may be the necessary tool for large-scale studies and can be easily implemented. However, there are concerns regarding the quality of information that can be gained from these measures and they may not always be sensitive enough. An alternative to these measures are interview-based assessments of individual's stress. While being more resource-intensive, we believe that the flexibility and resulting in-depth information that can be gained from interview-based approaches are worth the increased costs in terms of time and labor, as research suggests robust associations of interview-based stress measures with both asthma biological and clinical outcomes. Finally, we emphasize that research on stress and asthma should also take into account subjective appraisals of stress, as well as dimensions such as duration and intensity in characterizing stress. Through a more sophisticated understanding of the dimensions of stress that are associated with asthma pathophysiologic processes and functional impairment, researchers and clinicians will be able to identify the components of stress that would be important to target together with medication compliance and environmental exposures in multi-pronged behavioral interventions aimed at reducing asthma morbidity.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH grant HL073975 and the William T. Grant Foundation.

## References

1. Nelson HS, Szeffler SJ, Jacobs J, Huss K, Shapiro G, Sternberg AL. The relationships among environmental allergen sensitization, allergen exposure, pulmonary function, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in the Childhood Asthma Management Program. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 1999 Oct;104(4 Pt 1):775–785. [PubMed: 10518821]
2. Trasande L, Thurston GD. The role of air pollution in asthma and other pediatric morbidities. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 2005 Apr;115(4):689–699. [PubMed: 15805986]
3. Lanphear BP, Kahn RS, Berger O, Auinger P, Bortnick SM, Nahhas RW. Contribution of residential exposures to asthma in us children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2001 Jun;107(6):E98. [PubMed: 11389296]
4. Berz JB, Carter AS, Wagmiller RL, Horwitz SM, Murdock KK, Briggs-Gowan M. Prevalence and correlates of early onset asthma and wheezing in a healthy birth cohort of 2- to 3-year olds. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 2007 Mar;32(2):154–166. [PubMed: 16690752]
5. Wang HC, McGeady SJ, Yousef E. Patient, home residence, and neighborhood characteristics in pediatric emergency department visits for asthma. *Journal of Asthma* 2007 Mar;44(2):95–98. [PubMed: 17454322]
6. Sigurs N, Gustafsson PM, Bjarnason R, et al. Severe respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis in infancy and asthma and allergy at age 13. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 2005 Jan 15;171(2):137–141. [PubMed: 15516534]
7. Chen E, Schreier HMC. Does the social environment contribute to asthma? *Immunology & Allergy Clinics of North America* 2008 Aug;28(3):649–664. [PubMed: 18572112]
8. Bloomberg GR, Chen E. The relationship of psychologic stress with childhood asthma. *Immunology & Allergy Clinics of North America* 2005 Feb;25(1):83–105. [PubMed: 15579366]
9. Turyk ME, Hernandez E, Wright RJ, et al. Stressful life events and asthma in adolescents. *Pediatric Allergy & Immunology* 2008 May;19(3):255–263. [PubMed: 18397410]
10. Wright RJ, Steinbach SF. Violence: an unrecognized environmental exposure that may contribute to greater asthma morbidity in high risk inner-city populations.[see comment]. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001 Oct;109(10):1085–1089. [PubMed: 11675274]
11. Sandberg S, Paton JY, Ahola S, et al. The role of acute and chronic stress in asthma attacks in children.[see comment]. *Lancet* 2000 Sep 16;356(9234):982–987. [PubMed: 11041399]
12. Lehrer P, Feldman J, Giardino N, Song H-S, Schmaling K. Psychological aspects of asthma. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology* 2002 Jun;70(3):691–711. [PubMed: 12090377]
13. Mason JW. A historical view of the stress field. *Journal of Human Stress* 1975;1(2):22–36. [PubMed: 798013]
14. Holmes TH, Rahe RH. The social readjustment rating scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 1967;11(2):213–218. [PubMed: 6059863]
15. Cohen, S.; Kessler, RC.; Gordon, LU. *Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists.* New York, NY US: Oxford University Press; 1995.
16. McGrath, JE. *Social and psychological factors in stress.* Oxford England: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston; 1970.
17. Lazarus, RS.; Folkman, S. *Stress, appraisal, and coping.* New York: Springer; 1984.
18. Lepore, SJ.; Cohen, S.; Kessler, RC.; Gordon, LU. *Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists.* New York, NY US: Oxford University Press; 1997. Measurement of chronic stressors; p. 102-120.
19. Eckenrode, J.; Bolger, N.; Cohen, S.; Kessler, RC.; Gordon, LU. *Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists.* New York, NY US: Oxford University Press; 1997. Daily and within-day event measurement; p. 80-101.
20. Wright RJ, Rodriguez M, Cohen S. Review of psychosocial stress and asthma: an integrated biopsychosocial approach. *Thorax* 1998 Dec;53(12):1066–1074. [PubMed: 10195081]
21. Wright RJ, Cohen RT, Cohen S. The impact of stress on the development and expression of atopy. *Current Opinion in Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 2005 Feb;5(1):23–29. [PubMed: 15643340]

22. Kilpelainen M, Koskenvuo M, Helenius H, Terho EO. Stressful life events promote the manifestation of asthma and atopic diseases. *Clinical & Experimental Allergy* 2002 Feb;32(2): 256–263. [PubMed: 11929491]
23. Chen E, Fisher EB, Bacharier LB, Strunk RC. Socioeconomic status, stress, and immune markers in adolescents with asthma. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2003 Nov–Dec;65(6):984–992. [PubMed: 14645776]
24. DeMore M, Adams C, Wilson N, Hogan MB. Parenting stress, difficult child behavior, and use of routines in relation to adherence in pediatric asthma. *Children's Health Care* 2005;34(4):245–259.
25. McQuaid EL, Fritz GK, Nassau JH, Lilly MK, Mansell A, Klein RB. Stress and airway resistance in children with asthma. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 2000;49(4):239–245. [PubMed: 11119780]
26. Smyth JM, Soefer MH, Hurewitz A, Kliment A, Stone AA. Daily psychosocial factors predict levels and diurnal cycles of asthma symptomatology and peak flow. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 1999;22(2):179–193. [PubMed: 10374142]
27. Chen E, Miller GE. Stress and inflammation in exacerbations of asthma. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity* 2007 Nov;21(8):993–999.
28. Salam MT, Islam T, Gilliland FD. Recent evidence for adverse effects of residential proximity to traffic sources on asthma. *Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine* 2008 Jan;14(1):3–8. [PubMed: 18043269]
29. Gordian ME, Haneuse S, Wakefield J. An investigation of the association between traffic exposure and the diagnosis of asthma in children. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology* 2006 Jan;16(1):49–55. [PubMed: 16007113]
30. Lin M, Chen Y, Villeneuve PJ, et al. Gaseous air pollutants and asthma hospitalization of children with low household income in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2004 Feb 1;159(3):294–303. [PubMed: 14742290]
31. O'Connor GT, Neas L, Vaughn B, et al. Acute respiratory health effects of air pollution on children with asthma in US inner cities. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 2008 May;121(5): 1133–1139. e1131. [PubMed: 18405952]
32. Rosenstreich DL, Eggleston P, Kattan M, et al. The role of cockroach allergy and exposure to cockroach allergen in causing morbidity among inner-city children with asthma.[see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997 May 8;336(19):1356–1363. [PubMed: 9134876]
33. Barnes PJ. Th2 cytokines and asthma: an introduction. *Respiratory Research* 2001;2(2):64–65. [PubMed: 11686866]
34. Chung KF, Barnes PJ. Cytokines in asthma. *Thorax* 1999 Sep;54(9):825–857. [PubMed: 10456976]
35. Chiou H-H, Hsieh L-P. Parenting stress in parents of children with epilepsy and asthma. *Journal of Child Neurology* 2008;23(3):301–306. [PubMed: 18182646]
36. Busse WW, Lemanske RF Jr. Asthma. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2001 Feb 1;344(5):350–362. [PubMed: 11172168]
37. Chen E, Hanson MD, Paterson LQ, Griffin MJ, Walker HA, Miller GE. Socioeconomic status and inflammatory processes in childhood asthma: the role of psychological stress. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 2006 May;117(5):1014–1020. [PubMed: 16675327]
38. Miller GE, Gaudin A, Zysk E, Chen E. Parental support and cytokine activity in childhood asthma: the role of glucocorticoid sensitivity. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 2009 Apr;123(4): 824–830. [PubMed: 19181373]
39. Liu LY, Coe CL, Swenson CA, Kelly EA, Kita H, Busse WW. School examinations enhance airway inflammation to antigen challenge. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 2002 Apr 15;165(8):1062–1067. [PubMed: 11956045]
40. Kang DH, Coe CL, Karaszewski J, McCarthy DO. Relationship of social support to stress responses and immune function in healthy and asthmatic adolescents. *Research in Nursing & Health* 1998 Apr;21(2):117–128. [PubMed: 9535404]
41. Miller BD, Wood BL. Psychophysiological reactivity in asthmatic children: a cholinergically mediated confluence of pathways. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1994 Nov–Dec;33(9):1236–1245. [PubMed: 7995789]

42. Rietveld S, Beest IV, Everaerd W. Stress-induced breathlessness in asthma. *Psychological Medicine: A Journal of Research in Psychiatry and the Allied Sciences* 1999;29(6):1359–1366.
43. Chen E, Strunk RC, Bacharier LB, Chan M, Miller GE. Socioeconomic status associated with exhaled nitric oxide responses to acute stress in children with asthma. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* 24(3):444–450.
44. Shiffman S, Stone AA. Introduction to the special section: Ecological momentary assessment in health psychology. *Health Psychology* 1998;17(1):3–5.
45. Smyth JM, Soefer MH, Hurewitz A, Kliment A, Stone AA. Daily psychosocial factors predict levels and diurnal cycles of asthma symptomatology and peak flow. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 1999 Apr;22(2):179–193. [PubMed: 10374142]
46. Turner, RJ.; Wheaton, B.; Cohen, S.; Kessler, RC.; Gordon, LU. *Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists*. New York, NY US: Oxford University Press; 1997. Checklist measurement of stressful life events; p. 29-58.
47. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 1983;24(4):385–396. [PubMed: 6668417]
48. Wright RJ, Finn P, Contreras JP, et al. Chronic caregiver stress and IgE expression, allergen-induced proliferation, and cytokine profiles in a birth cohort predisposed to atopy. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 2004 Jun;113(6):1051–1057. [PubMed: 15208584]
49. Chen E, Matthews KA. Development of the cognitive appraisal and understanding of social events (CAUSE) videos. *Health Psychology* 2003 Jan;22(1):106–110. [PubMed: 12558208]
50. Chen E, Miller GE, Walker HA, Arevalo JM, Sung CY, Cole SW. Genome-wide transcriptional profiling linked to social class in asthma. *Thorax* 2009 Jan;64(1):38–43. [PubMed: 19001005]
51. Rudolph KD, Hammen C. Age and gender as determinants of stress exposure, generation, and reactions in youngsters: A transactional perspective. *Child Development* 1999;70(3):660–677. [PubMed: 10368914]
52. Brown GW, Harris T. *Social Origins of Depression: A Study of Psychiatric Disorder in Women*. 1978
53. Hammen C. Generation of stress in the course of unipolar depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 1991 Nov;100(4):555–561. [PubMed: 1757669]
54. Wolf JM, Nicholls E, Chen E. Chronic stress, salivary cortisol, and alpha-amylase in children with asthma and healthy children. *Biological Psychology* 2008 Apr;78(1):20–28. [PubMed: 18243483]
55. Chen E, Schreier HMC, Strunk RC, Brauer M. Chronic traffic-related air pollution and stress interact to predict biologic and clinical outcomes in asthma.[see comment]. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2008 Jul;116(7):970–975. [PubMed: 18629323]
56. Sandberg S, Rutter M, Giles S, Owen A. Assessment of psychosocial experiences in childhood: Methodological issues and some illustrative findings. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 1993;34(6):879–897. [PubMed: 8408373]
57. Glen S, Simpson A, Drinnan D, McGuinness D. Testing the reliability of a new measure of life events and experiences in childhood: The Psychosocial Assessment of Childhood Experiences (PACE). *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1993;2(2):98–110.
58. Sandberg S, Jarvenpaa S, Penttinen A, Paton JY, McCann DC. Asthma exacerbations in children immediately following stressful life events: a Cox's hierarchical regression. *Thorax* 2004 Dec; 59(12):1046–1051. [erratum appears in *Thorax*. 2005 Mar;60(3):261]. [PubMed: 15563703]
59. Shalowitz MU, Berry CA, Rasinski KA, Dannhausen-Brun CA. A new measure of contemporary life stress: development, validation, and reliability of the CRISYS. *Health Services Research* 1998 Dec;33(5 Pt 1):1381–1402. [PubMed: 9865225]
60. Shalowitz MU, Berry CA, Quinn KA, Wolf RL. The relationship of life stressors and maternal depression to pediatric asthma morbidity in a subspecialty practice. *Ambulatory Pediatrics* 2001 Jul–Aug;1(4):185–193. [PubMed: 11888399]
61. Marin TJ, Chen E, Munch JA, Miller GE. Double-exposure to acute stress and chronic family stress is associated with immune changes in children with asthma. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2009 May;71(4):378–384. [PubMed: 19196805]
62. Miller GE, Chen E. Life stress and diminished expression of genes encoding glucocorticoid receptor and beta2-adrenergic receptor in children with asthma. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2006 Apr 4;103(14):5496–5501. [PubMed: 16567656]

63. Chen E, Chim LS, Strunk RC, Miller GE. The role of the social environment in children and adolescents with asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 2007 Oct 1;176(7):644–649. [PubMed: 17556714]