An activated c-Ha-*ras* allele blocks the induction of muscle-specific genes whose expression is contingent on mitogen withdrawal

(oncogenes/myocytes/creatine kinase/transfection/transforming growth factors)

PAUL A. PAYNE^{*}, ERIC N. OLSON[†], PHILIP HSIAU^{*}, ROBERT ROBERTS^{*}, M. BENJAMIN PERRYMAN^{*}, AND MICHAEL D. SCHNEIDER^{*‡§}

*Molecular Cardiology Unit, Departments of *Medicine, [‡]Cell Biology, and [‡]Physiology and Molecular Biophysics, Baylor College of Medicine, 6535 Fannin Street, MS F905, Houston, TX 77030; and [†]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of Texas System Cancer System, M. D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute, 6723 Bertner Avenue, Box 117, Houston, TX 77074

Communicated by Marshall Nirenberg, September 4, 1987

During myogenesis, induction of muscle-spe-ABSTRACT cific genes is subject to negative control by polypeptide mitogens and type- β transforming growth factor. Since transduction of growth factor signals may require proteins encoded by cellular ras oncogenes, we have tested whether a mutationally altered Harvey ras expression vector, by itself, can prevent establishment of a differentiated phenotype in BC₃H1 mouse myoblasts. Transfection with the valine-12 allele of the human Harvey ras gene, under the control of its own promoter, was sufficient to prevent the induction of both muscle creatine kinase activity and the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor following mitogen withdrawal but did not inhibit withdrawal from the cell cycle. The loss of creatine kinase activity resulted from a corresponding block to induction of muscle creatine kinase mRNA. Similarly, mitogen withdrawal elicited little or no α -actin mRNA in ras-transfected cells. These results suggest that an activated ras allele can inhibit myogenesis through a mechanism independent of cell proliferation and can preclude activation of genes whose up-regulation normally accompanies mitogen withdrawal.

Muscle differentiation is accompanied by induction of a set of tissue-specific gene products including the muscle isoenzyme of creatine kinase (mCK) and the nicotinic acetylcholine (AcCho) receptor, whose up-regulation can be suppressed by serum components (1-7), purified mitogens (8, 9), and transforming growth factors (10-12). The pathway for growth factor signals from cell membrane to nucleus is postulated to involve a cascade of proteins encoded by cellular oncogenes (13). For example, c-sis encodes a subunit of platelet-derived growth factor (14), c-erbB encodes the receptor for epidermal growth factor (15), and the ability of quiescent cells to reenter the cell cycle is attributed to intranuclear proteins encoded by c-fos and c-myc (e.g., refs. 16 and 17). Moreover, certain variant myocytes that cannot differentiate fail to downregulate either c-myc (18) or the epidermal growth factor receptor encoded by erbB (19). The viral myc gene may inhibit myogenesis, but only indirectly, by maintaining myoblasts in a proliferative state (20). Furthermore, reinduction of c-myc in terminally differentiated myotubes does not impair the continued transcription of muscle-specific genes (6). Previously, we examined the possible functional role of c-myc in muscle differentiation by means of gene transfer (21) using the myogenic murine cell line BC₃H1 (22). We demonstrated that autonomous expression of c-myc did not prevent the establishment of a differentiated phenotype in BC₃H1 cells (7). Thus, induction of muscle-specific genes is not obligatorily coupled to down-regulation of c-myc.

In the present study, as a step toward defining the molecular mechanisms by which growth factors regulate myogenesis, we tested the potential contrasting role of cellular ras genes, by transfection of BC₃H1 cells with a ras expression vector activated by missense mutation (23). Transduction of growth signals has been ascribed to proteins encoded by three cellular ras genes, Harvey (Ha), Kirsten (Ki), and N (13), which share homology with guanine nucleotide-binding regulatory proteins such as transducin and the proteins that couple adrenergic receptors to adenylate cyclase (24, 25). At least some point mutations in ras impair hydrolysis of bound GTP and appear to activate the ras peptide constitutively (26, 27). Furthermore, activated ras proteins may themselves enable certain quiescent cells to traverse the cell cycle and replicate DNA (28). Conversely, monoclonal antibodies against ras proteins prevent DNA synthesis evoked by fetal serum (29). Finally, elevated ras expression can amplify growth factor effects without affecting receptor number or affinity (30). Thus, ras proteins might couple growth factor receptors to effector enzymes such as phospholipase C or A_2 and mediate the transduction of growth factor signals that lead to DNA synthesis and mitotic division. Whether downregulation of muscle-specific genes by mitogens and transforming growth factors involves a ras-dependent cascade for transmembrane signal transduction is unknown. For example, in certain cells, activated ras proteins elicit differentiation, not cell division (31). Moreover, activated ras genes may not promote DNA replication in cells that are senescent (32). Thus, responses to ras-dependent signals reflect not only properties inherent in ras proteins but also properties intrinsic to a particular cell lineage or developmental stage. To test the prediction that activation of ras can block the induction of muscle-specific genes, we have investigated BC₃H1 cells that bear the valine-12 allele of the human Harvey ras gene (Val-12 ras). The missense ras mutant was found to prevent myogenic differentiation evoked by mitogen withdrawal.

METHODS

Cell Culture and Transfection. BC₃H1 cells (22) were cultured in Dulbecco's modification of Eagle's minimal essential medium/Ham's nutrient mixture F-12 (1:1) supplemented with 17 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 3 mM NaHCO₃, 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 μ g of gentamicin per ml, and 20% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were transfected with a 1:10 mixture of plasmids pSV2neo (33) and pEJ (23). pSV2neo provides mammalian cells with resistance to the

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. \$1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: mCK, muscle creatine kinase; Val-12 ras, the valine-12 missense mutation of the human Harvey ras gene; FBS, fetal bovine serum; AcCho, acetylcholine. [§]To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

neomycin analogue G418. pEJ comprises the Val-12 *ras* gene under the control of its own promoter. Transfection was performed by the calcium phosphate method (21, 23). Colonies were selected in medium containing G418 at 400 μ g/ml.

DNA Blot Hybridization. Southern analysis of restriction endonuclease-digested genomic DNA was done as described (34), using stringent conditions for hybridization and washing.

RNA Blot Hybridization. Total cellular RNA was isolated by the proteinase K/NaDodSO₄ method (35). Aliquots (15 μ g per lane) were size-fractionated by formaldehyde/agarose gel electrophoresis and were transferred to nitrocellulose filters (36). cDNA hybridization probes (see Fig. 4 legend) were labeled to a specific activity of 1–4 × 10⁹ dpm/ μ g (37). Following overnight incubation at 68°C (10⁶ dpm/cm²), the blots were washed twice in 2× SSC/0.5% NaDodSO₄ at room temperature and twice in 0.1× SSC/0.5% NaDodSO₄ at 68°C. (SSC, standard saline citrate, is 150 mM NaCl/15 mM sodium citrate.)

RESULTS

An Activated c-Ha-ras Gene Induces Morphological Alteration But Not Autonomous Proliferation in BC₃H1 Cells. Colonies resulting from transfection with the neomycinresistance gene (pSV2neo) alone were morphologically indistinguishable from parental BC₃H1 cells (Fig. 1A). In contrast, colonies transfected with pSV2neo plus the Val-12 ras gene (pEJ) contained large, phase-bright, refractile cells (Fig. 1B), distinct from the effects of an activated c-mvc vector (7). The normal Gly-12 c-Ha-ras protooncogene (23) produced no morphological change (data not shown). Southern hybridization confirmed the stable incorporation of the exogenous human Ha-ras gene (Fig. 1C). To determine whether an activated ras allele could block exit from the cell cycle under conditions used to induce myocyte differentiation (4, 7), confluent cultures were exposed to medium containing 0.5% FBS, cell number was determined with an electronic particle counter (Fig. 2A), and DNA synthesis was monitored by $[^{3}H]$ thymidine autoradiography (Fig. 2B). BC₃H1 cells transfected with the Val-12 ras vector ceased DNA replication within 24 hr of mitogen withdrawal. In 20% FBS, 52.4% of the nuclei incorporated [³H]thymidine (n =



FIG. 2. The Val-12 *ras* gene does not induce autonomous proliferation or DNA synthesis in BC₃H1 cells. (A) Cell number was determined at intervals during mitogen withdrawal in 0.5% FBS, using a Coulter counter. (B) For thymidine autoradiography after mitogen withdrawal for 3 days, *ras*-transfected cells were incubated for 24 hr in medium containing [³H]thymidine and 20% (Upper) or 0.5% (Lower) FBS. (Bar = 200 μ m.)

2180), while DNA synthesis was found in only 1.33% of nuclei in 0.5% FBS (n = 5450). Thus, potential effects of the Val-12 *ras* gene on myogenic differentiation were independent of cell proliferation, since the *ras* expression vector was not itself mitogenic for BC₃H1 cells.

An Activated c-Ha-ras Gene Prevents Induction of mCK and AcCho Receptor. To test the prediction that a mutationally activated ras gene could abolish the expression of tissuespecific gene products whose induction normally accompanies growth factor withdrawal, proliferative BC₃H1 cells and ras-transfectants were shifted from 20% to 0.5% FBS. As shown in Fig. 3A, mCK activity was induced within 2 days in control cells and was expressed at maximal levels within 7 days. Similar induction of mCK was confirmed in each of five replicate clones transfected with the Gly-12 ras protoonco-



FIG. 1. (A and B) Morphology of transfected BC₃H1 myocytes. Phase-contrast microscopy is shown for representative G418-resistant colonies resulting from transfection with pSV2neo (A) or with pSV2neo plus the Val-12 ras vector pEJ (B). (Bar = 100 μ m.) (C) Southern blot analysis of BC₃H1 (lanes 1–3) and the clonal ras-transfectant shown in B (lanes 4–6). DNA (10 μ g per lane) was digested with restriction endonuclease HindIII (lanes 1 and 4), BamHI (lanes 2 and 5), or EcoRI (lanes 3 and 6). The 6.3-kilobase-pair (kbp) insert of pEJ was used as hybridization probe. Markers at right show positions of HindIII-digested phage λ DNA electrophoresed in parallel.



FIG. 3. Expression of CK activity and AcCho receptors is abolished in BC₃H1 myocytes transfected with the Val-12 *ras* allele. At the indicated times after mitogen withdrawal, CK activity (units/g of protein) was determined enzymatically (2) (A) and AcCho receptors were quantitated by specific binding of ¹²⁵I-labeled α -bungarotoxin (3) (B). Results comparable to those shown for BC₃H1 cells were obtained using cells modified by pSV2neo alone or with the Gly-12 *ras* protooncogene. Arrowheads denote withdrawal of 20% FBS.

gene. In contrast, transfection with the Val-12 ras allele prevented the expression of mCK activity in each of four independent clones, even after 5 days of growth factor withdrawal. For BC₃H1 cells, mCK activity evoked by serum-free medium (5) was \approx 1.8-fold higher than in 0.5% FBS; no mCK was elicited in ras-transfected cells by either medium. Furthermore, the Val-12 ras allele also prevented expression of the nicotinic AcCho receptor (Fig. 3B). Together, these observations suggest that an activated ras gene can block the induction of representative and unlinked muscle proteins in BC₃H1 cells, through a mechanism independent of cell proliferation. These results contrast with those we obtained previously using the neomycin-resistance gene alone (7), an autonomous c-myc vector (7), or a viral erbB gene (unpublished results) or in the present study using the c-Ha-ras protooncogene.

The Val-12 ras Allele Can Block Activation of Muscle-Specific Genes. The induction of mCK activity by mitogen withdrawal in myogenic cells is contingent on accumulation of mCK transcripts and is mediated by increased transcription of the mCK gene (38), as shown for diverse sarcomeric proteins (39). To determine whether suppression of mCK activity by the Val-12 ras gene depends, conversely, on a block to expression of mCK mRNA, blot hybridization of electrophoretically fractionated RNA was performed. Levels of c-Ha-ras mRNA were ≈3-fold higher after c-Ha-ras transfection than in the control cells (Fig. 4, lanes 1 and 2). In agreement with the absence of mCK activity, no detectable mCK mRNA was evoked by mitogen withdrawal after transfection with the Val-12 ras gene (lanes 3 and 4), even in a 10-fold longer exposure of the autoradiogram than that shown here.



FIG. 4. Val-12 *ras* blocks the induction of mCK and α -actin mRNAs. For blot hybridization (7), total RNA was isolated from BC₃H1 cells (lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7) and *ras* transfectants (lanes 2, 4, 6, and 8) after mitogen withdrawal for 4 days. Arrowheads denote 28S and 18S ribosomal RNA. Hybridization probes were as follows. Lanes 1 and 2: Ha-*ras*, the 1.0-kbp *Bam*HI fragment of Harvey murine sarcoma virus clone HB-11 (40). Lanes 3 and 4: mCK, the 0.85-kbp *Pst* I fragment of pHMCKIa (41). Lanes 5 and 6: actin, the 0.93-kbp *Pst* I fragment of pJ, a skeletal muscle α -actin clone isolated from a differentiated BC₃H1 cDNA library (provided by S. Sharp, R. LaPolla, and N. Davidson). Lanes 7 and 8: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gad), the 1.65-kbp *Hha* I fragment of pGAD-28 (42), and c-*myc*, the 2.5-kbp *Xba* I-*Hind*III fragment of pSVc-myc-1 (23).

In BC₃H1 cells as in embryonic skeletal muscle, the β and γ -cytoplasmic isoforms of actin are down-regulated upon differentiation, and α -actin is induced (39, 43). At 4 days of mitogen withdrawal, BC₃H1 cells expressed predominantly α -actin transcripts, together with lesser amounts of β - and γ -actin mRNA (lane 5). In contrast, expression of α -actin was extinguished in the ras-transfected cells (lane 6). As shown by a 2- to 3-fold increase in c-myc expression and by the constitutive expression of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase mRNA (lanes 7 and 8), transfection with the Val-12 ras allele did not result in a parallel reduction of all cellular transcripts. Taken together, our data suggest that an activated ras allele introduced into BC₃H1 cells can selectively suppress the induction of muscle-specific genes whose expression normally is coupled to release from exogenous growth factors.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we have demonstrated that a single altered Harvey ras allele inserted into BC₃H1 myoblasts can interfere with the activation of muscle-specific genes. Moreover, we have shown that the molecular mechanisms that preclude myogenic differentiation do not require continued, autonomous proliferation. These observations are in agreement with effects exerted by polypeptides such as fibroblast growth factor and type- β transforming growth factor, which directly inhibit myogenic differentiation but do not themselves provoke DNA replication or mitotic division (4, 10-12). The BC₃H1 myogenic cell line, widely studied as a model of muscle differentiation and its modulation by growth factors, was selected for these studies because differentiation in this system is accompanied by induction of mCK, nicotinic AcCho receptor subunits and α -actin; is essentially synchronous; and remains susceptible to inhibition by mitogens or transforming growth factors (2-4, 7, 10, 22). Furthermore, we previously showed that the establishment of a biochemically differentiated state in these cells is not prevented by transfection with the neomycin-resistance gene alone or with a transcriptionally activated c-mvc vector (7). Thus, the results reported here are specifically due to the Val-12 ras gene that was used. Corroborative findings have been reported for a complementary muscle cell line (44).

Caffrey et al. (45) recently obtained evidence that differentiated BC₃H1 myocytes express voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels that are distinct from those in smooth muscle cells and largely correspond to Ca²⁺ channels formed in the transverse (T) tubules of skeletal muscle. During logarithmic growth, neither functional Ca²⁺ channels assayed by whole-cell clamp techniques nor the associated dihydropyridine-binding protein could be detected (cf. refs. 46 and 47). Furthermore, transfection with the Val-12 ras gene (but not an autonomous c-myc gene) prevented the induction of Ca^{2+} channels (45). The formation of "skeletal muscle" Ca²⁺ channels agrees with the expression of nicotinic AcCho receptors, α -skeletal actin, and mCK in this line and supports the inference that BC₃H1 cells can be useful as a model to investigate mechanisms of gene activation during skeletal muscle differentiation. Since BC₃H1 cells do not fuse or commit to terminal differentiation, alternative methods are necessary to study these later transitions (6). Because Ca^{2+} channels could not be detected in Val-12 ras-transfected cells even after 40 days in serum-free medium, our results may indicate that cell differentiation induced by mitogen withdrawal was prevented, not merely delayed, by this missense mutation of the Ha-ras gene.

Several possible mechanisms exist to account for the concomitant regulation of diverse muscle-specific genes by an exogenous *ras* expression vector. In view of extensive evidence that this mutation may up-regulate a number of

autocrine factors including type- β transforming growth factor (48), it is plausible to surmise that suppression of musclespecific genes in *ras*-transfected BC₃H1 cells might depend, at least in part, on increased synthesis and release of type- β transforming growth factor or other autocrine peptides. Alternatively, or in addition, an activated *ras* protein might exert negative regulation principally through its own ability to serve as a surrogate for growth factors and initiate inositol-phospholipid hydrolysis. The results we present here do not allow us to distinguish between these intriguing possibilities.

Mitogenic control of muscle-specific genes has been shown to involve regulation at the level of gene transcription possibly involving the conformation or methylation of specific flanking sequences (39), as well as posttranscriptional mechanisms (49). The coordinate suspension of multiple muscle-specific genes by the introduction of a single mutation suggests that control of muscle-specific gene induction might occur via recognition of cis-acting DNA sequences shared by these genes (38, 39). It is unknown whether an activated ras gene can alter mCK-gene chromatin structure and transcription rates, corresponding to the effects of growth factors themselves. Since BC₃H1 myocytes first express smooth muscle α -actin after mitogen withdrawal, followed by the sarcomeric isoform (50), it would be of interest to establish whether ras might impinge on the consecutive induction of these two contrasting actin genes. Modifying cells by gene transfer with mutationally altered ras alleles thus can provide a useful model not only for studies of the cascade leading to cell proliferation but also for understanding the molecular mechanisms employed by factors that regulate cell differentiation.

We thank R. A. Weinberg, N. Davidson, E. Scolnick, and R. Schwartz for plasmids and M. Lai, M. A. Bresser, and R. Montgomery for technical assistance. This investigation was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (M.D.S. and E.N.O.), the American Heart Association, Texas Affiliate (M.D.S. and E.N.O.), and a Basil O'Connor Starter Research Grant from the March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation (E.N.O.). P.A.P. was a fellow of the Stanley J. Sarnoff Society of Fellows for Research in Cardiovascular Science. The Baylor Molecular Cardiology Unit is supported by the American Heart Association-Bugher Foundation Center for Molecular Biology of the Cardiovascular System.

- 1. Linkhart, T. A., Clegg, C. H. & Hauschka, S. D. (1980) J. Supramol. Struct. 14, 483-492.
- Olson, E. N., Caldwell, K. C., Gordon, J. I. & Glaser, L. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 3330-3336.
- Olson, E. N., Glaser, L., Merlie, J. P., Sebbane, R. & Lindstrom, J. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 13946–13952.
- Spizz, G., Roman, D., Strauss, A. & Olson, E. N. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 9483–9488.
- Schneider, M. D., Payne, P. A., Ueno, H., Perryman, M. B. & Roberts, R. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 4140-4143.
- 6. Endo, T. & Nadal-Ginard, B. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 1412-1421.
- Schneider, M. D., Perryman, M. B., Payne, P. A., Roberts, R. & Olson, E. N. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 1973–1977.
- Lathrop, B. K., Olson, E. N. & Glaser, L. (1984) J. Cell. Biol. 100, 1540–1547.
- Gospodarowicz, D., Weseman, J., Moran, J. S. & Lindstrom, J. (1976) J. Cell Biol. 70, 395-401.
- Olson, E. N., Sternberg, E., Hu, J. S., Spizz, G. & Wilcox, C. (1986) J. Cell Biol. 103, 1799–1805.
- 11. Massague, J., Cheiftez, S., Endo, T. & Nadal-Ginard, B. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 8206-8210.
- Florini, J. R., Roberts, A. B., Ewton, D. Z., Falen, S. L., Flanders, K. C. & Sporn, M. B. (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* 261, 16509-16513.
- 13. Bishop, J. M. (1987) Science 235, 305-311.
- 14. Waterfield, M., Scrace, G. T., Whittle, N., Stroobant, P., Johnsson, A., Wasteson, A., Westermark, B., Heldin, C.-H., Huang, J. S. & Deuel, T. F. (1983) Nature (London) 304, 35-39.

- Ullrich, A., Coussens, L., Hayflick, J. S., Dull, T. J., Gray, A., Tam, A. W., Lee, J., Yarden, Y., Libermann, T. A., Schlessinger, J., Downward, J., Mayes, E. L. V., Whittle, N., Waterfield, M. D. & Seeburg, P. H. (1984) Nature (London) 309, 418-425.
- 16. Studzinski, G. P., Brelvi, Z. S., Feldman, S. C. & Watt, R. A. (1986) Science 234, 467-470.
- 17. Nishikura, K. & Murray, J. M. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 639-649.
- Sejersen, T., Suemegi, J. & Ringertz, N. R. (1985) J. Cell. Physiol. 125, 465-470.
- Lim, R. W. & Hauschka, S. D. (1982) Cold Spring Harbor Conf. Cell Proliferation 9, 877–884.
- Falcone, G., Tatò, F. & Alemà, S. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 426-430.
- Wigler, M., Sweet, R., Kim, G. K., Wold, B., Pellicer, A., Lacy, E., Maniatis, T., Silverstein, S. & Axel, R. (1979) Cell 16, 777-785.
- 22. Schubert, D., Harris, A. J., Devine, C. E. & Heinemann, S. (1974) J. Cell Biol. 61, 398-413.
- 23. Land, H., Parada, L. F. & Weinberg, R. A. (1983) Nature (London) 304, 596-602.
- 24. Hurley, J. B., Simon, M. I., Teplow, D. B., Robishaw, J. I. & Gilman, A. G. (1984) Science 226, 860-862.
- 25. Tanabe, T., Nukada, T., Nishikawa, Y., Sugimoto, K., Suzuki, H., Takahashi, H., Noda, M., Haga, T., Ichiyama, A., Kangawa, K., Minamino, M., Matsuo, H. & Numa, S. (1985) *Nature (London)* **315**, 242-245.
- Seeburg, P. H., Colby, W. W., Capon, D. J., Goeddel, D. V. & Levinson, A. D. (1984) Nature (London) 312, 71-75.
- Lacal, J. C., Srivastava, S. K., Anderson, P. S. & Aaronson, S. A. (1986) Cell 44, 609-617.
- Feramisco, J. R., Gross, M., Kamata, T., Rosenberg, M. & Sweet, R. W. (1984) Cell 38, 109-117.
- 29. Mulcahy, L. S., Smith, M. R. & Stacey, D. W. (1985) Nature (London) 313, 241-243.
- Wakeham, M. J. D., Davies, S. A., Houslay, M. D., McKay, I., Marshall, C. J. & Hall, A. (1986) Nature (London) 325, 73-176.
- 31. Bar-Sagi, D. & Feramisco, J. R. (1985) Cell 42, 841-848.
- 32. Lumpkin, C. K., Knepper, J. E., Butel, J. S., Smith, J. R. &

Pereira-Smith, D. M. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 2990-2993.

- 33. Southern, P. J. & Berg, P. (1982) J. Mol. Appl. Genet. 1, 327-341.
- 34. Southern, E. M. (1975) J. Mol. Biol. 98, 503-517.
- Dillmann, W. H., Barrieux, A., Neeley, W. E. & Contreras, P. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 7738–7745.
- Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F. & Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY).
- 37. Feinberg, A. P. & Vogelstein, B. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132, 6-13.
- Jaynes, J. B., Chamberlain, J. S., Buskin, J. N., Johnson, J. E. & Hauschka, S. D. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 2855– 2864.
- Emerson, C., Fischman, D., Nadal-Ginard, B. & Siddiqui, M. A. Q., eds. (1986) Molecular Biology of Muscle Development (Liss, NY).
- Ellis, R. W., DeFeo, D., Maryak, J. M., Young, H. A., Shih, T. Y., Chang, E. H., Lowy, D. R. & Scolnick, E. M. (1980) J. Virol. 361, 408-420.
- Perryman, M. B., Kerner, S. A., Bohlmeyer, T. J. & Roberts, R. (1986) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 140, 981–989.
- 42. Dugaiczyk, A., Maron, J. A. & Scolnick, E. M. (1980) J. Virol. 36, 408-420.
- 43. Strauch, A. R., Offord, J. D., Chalkey, R. & Rubinstein, P. A. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 849-855.
- Olson, E. N., Spizz, G. & Tainsky, M. T. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 2104–2111.
- 45. Caffrey, J. M., Brown, A. M. & Schneider, M. D. (1987) Science 236, 570-574.
- Schmidt, A., Renaud, J.-F., Fosset, M., Meaux, J.-P. & Lazdunski, M. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 11366-11372.
- Nirenberg, M., Wilson, S. P., Higashida, H., Rotter, A., Krueger, K., Busis, N., Ray, R., Kenimer, J. G. & Adler, M. (1983) Science 225, 794-799.
- 48. Stern, D. F., Roberts, A. B., Roche, N. S., Sporn, M. B. & Weinberg, R. A. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 870-877.
- 49. Endo, T. & Nadal-Ginard, B. (1987) Cell 49, 515-526.
- 50. Strauch, A. R. & Reeser, J. C. (1986) J. Cell Biol. 103, 399a (abstr.).