## A New Resource for Characterizing X-Linked Genes in Drosophila melanogaster: Systematic Coverage and Subdivision of the X Chromosome With Nested, Y-Linked Duplications

### R. Kimberley Cook,\* Megan E. Deal,\* Jennifer A. Deal,\* Russell D. Garton,\* C. Adam Brown,\* Megan E. Ward,\* Rachel S. Andrade,\* Eric P. Spana,† Thomas C. Kaufman\* and Kevin R. Cook\*,1

 $^*$ Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405 and  $^\dagger$ Model System Genomics Group, Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708

> Manuscript received June 10, 2010 Accepted for publication September 18, 2010

#### ABSTRACT

Interchromosomal duplications are especially important for the study of X-linked genes. Males inheriting a mutation in a vital X-linked gene cannot survive unless there is a wild-type copy of the gene duplicated elsewhere in the genome. Rescuing the lethality of an X-linked mutation with a duplication allows the mutation to be used experimentally in complementation tests and other genetic crosses and it maps the mutated gene to a defined chromosomal region. Duplications can also be used to screen for dosage-dependent enhancers and suppressors of mutant phenotypes as a way to identify genes involved in the same biological process. We describe an ongoing project in *Drosophila melanogaster* to generate comprehensive coverage and extensive breakpoint subdivision of the X chromosome with megabase-scale X segments borne on Y chromosomes. The in vivo method involves the creation of X inversions on attached-XY chromosomes by FLP-FRT site-specific recombination technology followed by irradiation to induce large internal  $X$  deletions. The resulting chromosomes consist of the  $X$  tip, a medial  $X$  segment placed near the tip by an inversion, and a full Y. A nested set of medial duplicated segments is derived from each inversion precursor. We have constructed a set of inversions on *attached-XY* chromosomes that enable us to isolate nested duplicated segments from all X regions. To date, our screens have provided a minimum of 78% X coverage with duplication breakpoints spaced a median of nine genes apart. These duplication chromosomes will be valuable resources for rescuing and mapping X-linked mutations and identifying dosage-dependent modifiers of mutant phenotypes.

MANY eukaryotes of biomedical and agricultural<br>importance—including humans, other mammals, birds, and Drosophila—are heterogametic. Their sex chromosomes differ drastically in size and genetic composition. In species with  $X$  and  $Y$  chromosomes, males carry only one copy of each X-linked gene. This poses a serious challenge for experimental geneticists, because males inheriting a mutation in a vital X-linked gene die before they can be used in genetic crosses. In fact, the hemizygosity of X-linked genes in males has been a significant barrier to the functional analysis of many X-linked genes and is largely responsible for the poor genetic characterization of X chromosomes relative to autosomes in most organisms.

The lethality of X-linked mutations can be rescued by providing a wild-type copy of the mutated gene elsewhere in the genome. This can be accomplished with a transgenic construct if the molecular identity of the mutated gene is known. In many cases, however, the mutated gene has not been identified and it is necessary to provide wildtype function with a multigene interchromosomal duplication, i.e., a segment of the X inserted in another chromosome. If the proximal and distal extents of the duplicated segment are known, phenotypic rescue maps the mutated gene to the defined X chromosome region.

Multigene deletions can also be used to map X-linked mutations by complementation, but crosses between individuals carrying deletions and X-linked lethal mutations are impossible without rescuing the lethality of either the deletion or the lethal mutation in males. Projects at the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center and elsewhere (PARKS et al. 2004; RYDER et al. 2007) have generated large collections of deletions with molecularly defined breakpoints in Drosophila melanogaster, but the utility of the X deletions is limited without duplications of the corresponding chromosomal regions.

Supporting information is available online at [http://www.genetics.org/](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.110.123265/DC1) [cgi/content/full/genetics.110.123265/DC1.](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.110.123265/DC1)

Available freely online through the author-supported open access option. <sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405. E-mail: kercook@indiana.edu

Duplications are potentially important for gene discovery. Identifying sets of genes involved in the same cellular process is a major focus of functional genomics research and this can be accomplished genetically by identifying dosage-sensitive modifiers of mutant phenotypes. Often, increasing or decreasing the copy number of a gene will enhance or suppress the phenotype associated with mutating another gene involved in the same process. Screening collections of deletions is a popular way to identify interacting genes in Drosophila (for examples, see Seher et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2008; AERTS et al. 2009; SALZER et al. 2010) and was a major impetus for the assembly of the Bloomington Stock Center "Deficiency Kit," which provides maximal coverage of the genome with the fewest deletions. Though dosage-sensitive modifiers could also be identified using increased gene dosage, the use of duplications in enhancer and suppressor screens remains largely unexplored. Assembling sets of duplications providing efficient genomic coverage would likely popularize this experimental approach.

The size of duplicated segments determines how duplication chromosomes are used experimentally. Small duplicated segments allow high resolution gene mapping, but they are not suitable for other purposes. Only large duplicated segments are capable of rescuing the lethality of sizable multigene X deletions. Likewise, large duplicated segments provide efficiency in initially localizing mutations and identifying dosage-dependent modifiers. Despite their usefulness, interchromosomal duplications of large segments are among the hardest chromosomal rearrangements to isolate. In Drosophila, many existing duplications were recovered fortuitously as three-breakpoint aberrations following irradiation, but such rearrangements are rare and difficult to identify in screens. Other duplications were methodically constructed from preexisting rearranged chromosomes. This approach works well when it is possible, but it can be used only when progenitor aberrations with appropriate breakpoints are available. Because of these difficulties, the selection of duplication strains generated by Drosophila workers over the past several decades is not satisfactory for many purposes. The duplications are often difficult to use experimentally, their breakpoints are sparsely distributed along the X chromosome and only roughly mapped, and substantial gaps in coverage exist. Obviously, improved duplication resources are needed.

Here we present the methodology and progress of a project at the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center to construct interchromosomal duplications of large, megabase-scale X segments. Our approach builds on the long history of manipulating Drosophila chromosomes in vivo (NOVITSKI and CHILDRESS 1976; ASHBURNER et al. 2005), but we have eliminated the need for preexisting aberrations by generating progenitor chromosomes using the FLP-FRT system. Indeed, this site-specific recombination system has had an enormous impact on the ability of fly geneticists to engineer many kinds of novel chromosomes (Golic and Golic 1996; Parks  $et \ al. 2004$ ; RYDER  $et \ al. 2007$ ). We will demonstrate how we have combined FLP-mediated recombination and other chromosome manipulation techniques to produce Y-linked duplications of large X segments. As we will show, appending  $X$  segments to  $Y$  chromosomes rather than autosomes has advantages both for the synthesis and experimental use of X duplications.

To date, we have generated a minimum of 78% X coverage with duplication breakpoints spaced a median of nine genes apart. We anticipate completion of the project within the coming year. Using these duplications, mutations and genetic modifiers can be mapped first to large X intervals using a tiling set of the largest duplicated segments and then to small chromosome intervals using subsets of the duplications. These duplications will also facilitate deletion mapping. The creation of a set of stocks providing complete duplication coverage and extensive breakpoint subdivision of the X chromosome in a consistent genetic background will remove an impediment to investigating the functions of X-linked genes that has frustrated generations of Drosophila geneticists.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks: FRT-bearing P{RS5} and P{RS3} insertion stocks were obtained from the Szeged Drosophila Stock Centre. The remaining stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center collection or the Drosophila Genetic Resource Center at the Kyoto Institute of Technology.

Genomic coordinates and cytological breakpoints: All genomic coordinates and gene counts are based on Genome Release 5.16. Except for the directly observed cytological breakpoints in Table 1, all  $Dp(1;Y)$  cytological breakpoints were predicted from Release 5 coordinates using FlyBase map conversion tables [\(http://flybase.org;](http://flybase.org/) Tweedie et al. 2009). For assessing duplication coverage, we have artificially set the euchromatin/heterochromatin boundary at sequence coordinate X:22420000, roughly the most proximal extent of the assembled X chromosome genomic contigs in Genome Release 5.16.

Mutagenesis: Adult males received 4500-R exposure to 6000 Ci of 137Cs in a Shepard Mark-1 irradiator.

Cytology: Mitotic chromosomes were prepared and stained with DAPI by standard methods (FANTI and PIMPINELLI 2004). Chromosomes were stained 45 min with 0.5 mg/ml chromomycin A3 (Sigma) in PBS pH  $7.7$  with 5 mm  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  and rinsed in PBS prior to mounting. Polytene chromosomes were analyzed in standard lacto-aceto-orcein preparations (CARPENTER 2004).

Comparative genome hybridization microarrays: Corning CGAP slides spotted with the AROS Drosophila V1.1.1  $\sim$ 70 nucleotide oligo set from Eurofins MWG Operon were hybridized and analyzed as described in Erickson and Spana (2006).

PCR: DNA was prepared from single flies as described in ENGELS et al. (1990) and amplified using Qiagen HotStarTaq master mix. The following amplification regime was used to confirm the presence of  $P/RS3$  and  $P/RS5$  insertions: 95<sup>o</sup> for 10 min followed by 38 rounds of  $95^{\circ}$ , 30 sec;  $42^{\circ}$ , 30 sec; and

v. ٦	
---------	--

 $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes derived from  $C(1;Y)$ 6,  $In(1)$ sc<sup>260-14</sup>



<sup>a</sup> These cytologically observed breakpoints may not represent the full extents of the duplicated segments, because euchromatic bands juxtaposed to centric heterochromatin may not be visible.

72°, 5 min. Primer sequences are given in [supporting in](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/1)[formation](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/1), [File S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/2). For mapping of duplication endpoints, DNA from  $Dp(1;Y)$  males carrying an X chromosome transposon insertion was amplified as follows:  $95^{\circ}$  for 15 min followed by 35 rounds of  $95^{\circ}$ , 30 sec; 53°, 30 sec; and  $72^{\circ}$ , 60 sec. The transposons and primers in the mapping panel ([Table](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/3) [S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/3)) were chosen to be spaced 10 protein-coding genes apart, but the spacing varied occasionally on the basis of the availability of insertions or the presence of large genes.

Genetic crosses: Extensive details are provided in [File S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/2).

#### RESULTS

Generating Y-linked duplications of X chromosome segments: Our goal is to generate comprehensive duplication coverage and extensive breakpoint subdivision of the X chromosome. The approach we have taken is to replace the tips of Y chromosomes with large segments of the X chromosome. These chromosomes are denoted " $Dp(1;Y)$ " to indicate that a segment of the first chromosome (the  $X$ ) is duplicated on the  $Y$ . In crosses,  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes behave like normal Y chromosomes. They show typical Y-linked inheritance. While it is convenient for  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes to carry dominant marker mutations for following them in crosses, it is not absolutely necessary. The segregation pattern of the Y is usually sufficient to track  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes in experiments. This is a distinct advantage over duplications carried on autosomes, where dominant marker mutations are usually essential for following duplicated segments in crosses. Also, in the context of modifier screens, Y linkage provides flexibility with the easiest way to assay interactions of duplicated  $X$ segments with recessive mutations on the autosomes.

Y linkage does not, however, restrict the use of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes to males. Because the Y plays no role in Drosophila sex determination and carries only genes necessary for spermatogenesis,  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes may be introduced into females where they can

be used to rescue the female-specific phenotypes of Xlinked mutations, such as ovarian defects caused by female sterile mutations. (Methods for placing  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes into females are described in a later section.) While duplicating large X segments can cause lethality, sterility, and other phenotypes associated with excess hyperploidy, sex determination is unaffected by duplications of sizes compatible with the viability of hyperploid flies (PATTERSON *et al.* 1937).

Extensive chromosome manipulations were needed to create the progenitor chromosomes used in screens isolating  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes. In this section, we will provide a general overview of the steps. For background, we will first describe the recovery of simple  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes—those carrying segments from the tip of the X appended to an intact Y. Then we will present the variation on this method that we used. It employs inversions to duplicate segments from the entire X. In subsequent sections, we will describe how we generated the inversions and how we conducted the final  $Dp(1;Y)$ screens. In the overview, we will also show how a single progenitor chromosome gives rise to a set of  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes with duplicated X segments of different sizes.

Our approach to isolating  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes utilizes an attached-XY chromosome, a single chromosome carrying all X- and Y-linked genes. It was generated by a translocation event (Figure 1) involving an X chromosome break in centric heterochromatin and a Y chromosome break near the telomere. Attached-XY chromosomes are denoted " $C(1;Y)$ " to indicate a compound chromosome formed by a first  $(X)$  chromosome and a Y. An attached-XY can substitute for a regular X in crosses and, in most situations, its segregation behavior is indistinguishable from a regular X. If a male carries an attached-XY, there is no need for a regular Y, because all Y-linked spermatogenesis genes are provided by the Y portion of the attached-XY.



FIGURE 1.—Generating an *attached-XY* chromosome. Irradiating males can produce a break in X centric heterochromatin proximal to all X-linked genes and a break near the Y tip distal to all genes on the Y. Following translocation, the resulting attached-XY chromosome carries all X- and Y-linked genes. The reciprocal minichromosome carries no X- or Y-linked genes and is dispensable. Irradiation events are indicated by bolts. Breakpoints are shown as interruptions in chromosomal continuity.

A  $Dp(1;Y)$  can be generated from an *attached-XY* by deleting most of the X chromosome (Figure 2A). If one breakpoint is positioned near the X tip (breakpoint A) and another is positioned in X centric heterochromatin (breakpoint B), the resulting  $Dp(1;Y)$  will carry genes from the end of the X and a segment of X heterochromatin appended to the end of the Y. The  $yellow(y)$  gene, which is located near the X tip and necessary for normal pigmentation, is key to identifying  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes in screens. When males carrying  $C(1;Y)$  chromosomes are irradiated and mated to females carrying  $y<sup>1</sup>$ mutations, most male progeny with normal pigmentation carry a  $Dp(1;Y)$  (Figure 2B).

If multiple  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are isolated from a screen, the X tip segments will form a nested set: all the tip segments share the telomeric end, but the ends generated by the breakpoints (breakpoint A) will differ (Figure 2A). In this way, the X tip region can be subdivided finely with duplication breakpoints and mutations near the tip of the  $X$  can be mapped with precision in rescue experiments.

Many of the proximal deletion breakpoints (breakpoint B) will fall in X centric heterochromatin as shown in Figure 2A, but they may also fall in the Y arm or in basal X euchromatin (Figure 3). Y breakpoints result in the deletion of Y-linked spermatogenesis genes and males carrying these  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are sterile. These  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are not recovered in stable stocks when irradiated males are crossed to normal females. Breakpoints in basal euchromatin result in  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes with two sets of duplicated genes: one set from the X tip and another from the X base. X centric heterochromatin and the Y arm are much larger targets for irradiation-induced breakpoints than basal X



FIGURE 2.—Generating  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes from attached-XY chromosomes. (A) If attached-XY chromosomes are irradiated to introduce a break near the X tip (breakpoint A) and a break in X centric heterochromatin (breakpoint B), most of the X chromosome will be deleted. The resulting  $Dp(1;Y)$  carries genes from the  $X$  tip, which will then show a  $Y$ -linked pattern of inheritance. Because irradiation induces random breaks, different  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carry differently sized X tip segments, forming a nested set. Though shown at a constant location here, the position of breakpoint B also varies as shown in detail in Figure 3. (B) The  $yellow(y)$  gene allows the identification of new  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes. When irradiated attached-XY  $(C(1;Y))$  males are mated to females carrying y mutations,  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are recovered in male progeny inheriting a wild-type y allele and having normal body pigmentation. " $\ddot{\theta}$ " indicates the absence of a normal Y.

euchromatin, so  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carrying genes from the base of the X are less common than the other two classes. The total number of duplicated genes that a  $Dp(1;Y)$  can carry from both the tip and base of the X is limited by hyperploidy effects. Drosophila is generally quite tolerant of hyperploidy and duplications of up to half a chromosome arm have been recovered (ASHBURNER et al. 2005), but our experience has been that duplications of  $>10\%$  of X euchromatin are rare and flies carrying extremely large duplications have low viability and fertility.

The problem with irradiating a regular *attached-XY* chromosome as described above is that only X-linked genes near the tip or base can be recovered in  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes. What about the genes in the middle of the  $X$ ? Fortunately, the method can be extended by



FIGURE 3.—Position of the proximal deletion breakpoint. The deletion giving rise to a  $Dp(1;Y)$  from an attached-XY can break in X centric heterochromatin (top), in the short arm of the Y (middle) or in X basal euchromatin (bottom). Breaks in X centric heterochromatin (top) result in  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes carrying only genes from the X tip. Y breaks (middle) result in the deletion of Y-linked genes necessary for spermatogenesis. Males carrying these  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are sterile. Breaks in X basal euchromatin (bottom) result in  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carrying genes from the X base in addition to genes from the  $X$  tip. Within a chromosome arm, "proximal" and "basal" refer to positions closer to the centromere of a normal sequence chromosome; ''distal'' refers to positions closer to the telomere.

introducing inversions into the X portion of the attached-XY chromosome (Figure 4). If the inversion has one distal breakpoint (breakpoint C) near the X tip and another breakpoint in the middle of the X (breakpoint D), irradiating this "*inversion* +  $attached-XY"$  chromosome can generate  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carrying genes from the middle of the X as well as genes from the X tip. The size of the segment containing medial X genes is determined by the position of the distal deletion breakpoint (breakpoint E).

If multiple  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are isolated from an  $inversion + attached-XY$ , the segments from the middle of the X will form a nested set. These nested segments will



**Nested duplicated medial segments** 

FIGURE 4.—Generating  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes from *inver* $sion + attached-XY$  chromosomes. To isolate  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carrying genes from the middle of the X, an inversion is introduced into the attached-XY chromosome (breakpoints C and D). The inversion is shown here being induced by irradiation, but, as we will describe, other methods may be used. The inversion places medial  $X$  genes near the  $X$  tip so that they will remain in a  $Dp(1;Y)$  following irradiation to induce a large internal deletion (breakpoints E and F) in the *inversion*  $+$ attached-XY chromosome. If multiple  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are recovered from irradiating the same  $inversion$  + attached-XY, they will all share the same region from the X telomere to the distal inversion breakpoint (breakpoint C), but will have differently sized segments from the middle of the X determined by the position of the distal deletion breakpoint (breakpoint E). Though shown at a constant location here, breakpoint F can fall in X centric heterochromatin, in the Y or in X basal euchromatin in the same way as breakpoint B in Figure 3.

share a common end corresponding to the inversion breakpoint (breakpoint C), but their other ends will differ by the positions of the distal deletion breakpoints (breakpoint E). All the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes will share a common distal segment extending from the X telomere to the distal inversion breakpoint (breakpoint C). As in screens with regular attached-XY chromosomes,  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes derived from *inversion* + *attached*-XY chromosomes will also carry genes from the X base if the proximal deletion breakpoint (breakpoint F) falls in basal euchromatin and they will delete Y-linked spermatogenesis genes if the breakpoint falls in the Y arm (similar to Figure 3).



bution of medial duplicated segments in  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes derived from different *inversion*  $+$ attached-XY chromosomes. If the inversions in *inversion*  $+$   $at$ tached-XY chromosomes have different proximal breakpoints, nested sets of duplicated X segments in  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes can be isolated for all X regions.

Figure 5.—Hypothetical distri-

The numbers refer to the 20 divisions of the polytene map of the X chromosome comprising the 22 Mb of euchromatin. Centric heterochromatin constitutes an additional  $10-15$  Mb and is not shown.

Inversions with different proximal breakpoints (breakpoint D) move different regions to the X tip so that different sets of genes can be recovered in  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes. Consequently, if a set of *inversion*  $+$ attached-XY chromosomes existed with proximal inversion breakpoints (breakpoint D) distributed along the X chromosome, it would be possible to generate  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes providing duplication coverage of all X regions (Figure 5). The multiple nested sets would also subdivide the entire  $X$  with duplication breakpoints for use in high-resolution gene mapping.

A preliminary test of the method: To our knowledge,  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes have been derived from *inversion* + attached-XY chromosomes in only three unpublished screens carried out by Abraham Schalet [screens generating  $Dp(1;Y)y^+k^+$ ,  $Dp(1;Y)y^+na^+$ , and  $Dp(1;Y)y^+g^+$ (LINDSLEY and ZIMM 1992) and a screen for  $Dp(1;Y)dx^{\dagger}1$ through  $dx^8$  (<http://flybase.org/>; Tweedie et al. 2009)]. To assess the method in our hands, we isolated  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes using the preexisting inversion  $In(1)$ sc<sup>260-14</sup> (SUTTON 1943). Males with  $In(1)$ sc<sup>260-14</sup> on an attached-XY were irradiated and mated to  $y^1$  females. We recovered 39  $y^+$  males from  $\sim$ 93,000 progeny. Ten males were fertile and  $Dp(1;Y)$  stocks were established; the remaining 29 sterile males likely carried duplications lacking one or more Y-linked spermatogenesis genes. As shown in Table 1, the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes rescued the phenotypes of a variety of mutations in the 10C to 11D region of the X chromosome.

The largest duplicated medial segment contained seven polytene subdivisions, suggesting we could cover the entire X with  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes if we had proximal inversion breakpoints spaced roughly every 5 subdivisions on the 120-subdivision X map. This would allow the largest duplicated segments from every screen to overlap the common end of the next set of segments (as shown in Figure 5) yet avoid intolerable levels of hyperploidy. Only genes lethal to males in two copies will prevent full coverage. To maximize coverage, inversion breakpoints would need to lie close to the distal sides of the two known X-linked diplolethal loci: an unnamed diplolethal in 3F and Haplo-diplo lethal (Hdl) in 12A (Stewart and Merriam 1973; Salz 1992; J. Merriam, personal communication).

Generating *inversion*  $+$  *attached-XY* chromosomes: To generate comprehensive duplication coverage of the X chromosome with  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes as shown in Figure 5, it was necessary to generate a large set of inversions on attached-XY chromosomes. We wanted the inversions to share the same distal breakpoint (breakpoint C in Figure 4), but to have proximal breakpoints (breakpoint D) distributed along the length of the X. To generate the inversions, we used the FLP-FRT sitespecific recombination system (GoLIC and GoLIC 1996). As shown in Figure 6, inversions can be recovered upon FLP-induced recombination if the two FRTs are present in opposite orientations on the same chromosome.

To screen for the inversions, we used the FRT-bearing transgenic constructs  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$ , which were specially designed to reconstitute the white (w) gene upon recombination (Figure 7) (Golic and Golic 1996).  $P(RS3)$  carries FRTs flanking the 5' exon of w. Upon FLPinduced recombination, the  $5'$  w exon is removed. Likewise,  $P/RS5$  carries FRTs flanking the 3' w exons so that they are removed upon FLP-mediated recombination. In both cases, removal of  $w$  exons renders the  $w$ gene nonfunctional and flies carrying these rearranged transgenes have white eyes in the absence of other functional copies of  $w$ . When these rearranged transgenes are subsequently combined in the presence of FLP recombinase, recombination between the FRTs will reconstitute a functional  $w$  gene. In this way, flies carrying chromosomal aberrations can be identified as red-eyed progeny of white-eyed parents.

To isolate *inversion*  $+$  *attached-XY* chromosomes, we first placed  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  insertions on the  $C(1;Y)N12$  attached-XY chromosome (Figure 8; KENNISON 1981). C(1;Y)N12 is an X chromosome broken in centric heterochromatin combined with a Y chromosome broken distal to the spermatogenesis genes on its short arm. For convenience in following  $C(1;Y)N12$  in crosses, it is marked at the tip of the long arm of the Y with the dominant  $B^s$  mutation affecting eye shape. We first placed proximal P{RS5} insertions distributed along the X onto  $C(1;Y)N12$  chromosomes by meiotic recombination following the  $w^+$  eye color marker on  $P(RS5)$  and  $B^s$ . We then placed a common distal P{RS3} insertion on these chromosomes by meiotic recombination assaying the amplifi-



FIGURE 6.—Generating an inversion using the FLP-FRT system. FLP recombinase induces recombination between pairs of FRT sites in an orientation-specific manner. If FRT sites are placed in cis in opposite orientations, FLP recombinase will catalyze the formation of chromosomal inversions.

cation of PCR products unique to each construct to identify recombinants.

To generate inversions, we first exposed the *attached*-XY chromosomes carrying P{RS3} and P{RS5} insertions to heat shock-induced FLP to remove the  $5' w$ exon from  $P(RS3)$  and the 3' w exons from  $P(RS5)$ . This was an efficient step: typically, one-third of the progeny were white eyed. We then induced inversions by exposing the chromosomes to FLP again. Inversion-bearing progeny were red eyed from reconstitution of  $w^+$  at the distal inversion breakpoint. The frequency of  $w^+$  flies varied considerably with a range of 1 in 12,600 to 1 in 140 progeny and a median rate of 1 in 1040 progeny. The inversions were verified in polytene chromosome preparations. Figure 9 and [Table S2](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/4) show the 28 inversions we generated on  $C(1;Y)N12$ . Six *inversion* + *attached-XY* chromosomes were isolated by a related, but more efficient screening strategy that eliminated PCR screening for the initial recombinant chromosomes carrying both  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  ([File S1\)](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/2).

The  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  insertions were isolated in an isogenic background tested for normal development and behavior (RYDER et al. 2004). We substituted all chromosomes used in our crosses into this standard background so that the final  $Dp(1;Y)$  stocks will be a high-quality genetic resource suitable for experiments involving background-sensitive phenotypes, such as behavioral phenotypes. This genetic uniformity also increases the utility of these strains in screens for dosage-based enhancement and suppression of mutant phenotypes.

 $Dp(1;Y)$  screens and breakpoint mapping: Using the inversion + attached-XY chromosomes to isolate  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes is straightforward, albeit labor intensive. Males carrying an *inversion*  $+$  *attached-XY* chromosome are irradiated and mated to  $y<sup>1</sup>$  females.  $Dp(1;Y)$ -bearing  $y^+$  male progeny are backcrossed to establish stocks. On average, the screens produced one  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosome supporting male fertility every  $\sim$  23,000 progeny. The  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes isolated and characterized to date provide a minimum of 78% coverage of X euchromatin ( $>17.5$  of 22.4 Mb), a minimum of 78% coverage of X euchromatic genes  $(>1742$  of 2231 genes), and



FIGURE 7.—Using  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  insertions to detect FLP recombinase-mediated recombination events. P/RS3} and  $P(RS5)$  were designed to allow the detection of recombination between FRT sites by the reconstitution of a functional w gene. Insertions of  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS3)$  carry a functional w gene that can be disrupted by FLP-mediated excision of  $\boldsymbol{w}$ exons flanked by FRT sites. Flies carrying these rearranged constructs in a  $w^-$  background have white eyes. FLP-induced recombination between rearranged  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  insertions reassembles a functional  $w$  gene on one of the recombinant chromosomes.

extensive genomic subdivision (Table 2, [Table S3\)](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/5). The largest stretch of contiguous coverage is 5.6 Mb in the 7B–11D region. The X tip segment shared by all  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes accounts for 1.7% (0.3 Mb) of coverage. We have placed 221  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes from these screens into public distribution ([http://flystocks.bio.](http://flystocks.bio.indiana.edu/Browse/dp/BDSC-Dps.php) [indiana.edu/Browse/dp/BDSC-Dps.php](http://flystocks.bio.indiana.edu/Browse/dp/BDSC-Dps.php)).

We located the irradiation-induced breakpoints of the duplicated segments on the genome map by two methods. Our primary mapping strategy localizes the ends of duplicated segments between adjacent transposon insertion sites (Figure 10). We designed PCR primers flanking the insertion sites of transposons located within the region to be duplicated ([Table S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/3)). With short extension times, PCR fragments are amplified only when there is no transposon between the primer sites. When females carrying insertions are mated to  $Dp(1;Y)$ -bearing males and DNA is prepared from their male progeny, PCR fragments are amplified only if the primer sites are present on the  $Dp(1;Y)$ . In this way, we mapped the ends of duplicated segments to intervals with the target size of 10 protein-coding genes [\(Table S3](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/5)). Duplication ends falling in adjacent mapping intervals can lie  $0$  to  ${\sim}20$  genes apart.

We mapped the breakpoints of a few duplicated segments using comparative genome hybridization (CGH) microarrays. In this technique, genomic DNA samples from wild-type and  $Dp(1;Y)$ -bearing males are labeled with different fluorochromes and hybridized to the same genomic microarray. Duplicated segments are



identified as contiguous blocks of genes with twofoldincreased relative fluorescence (Erickson and Spana 2006). Because the microarrays contain a probe from most annotated genes, duplication endpoints can usually be mapped with two-gene resolution (see [Table S3](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/5)). Due to its expense, we used this method to analyze only a cytologically preselected subset of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes from the  $In(1)BSC6$  screen.

As an example of genomic coverage and subdivision provided by our  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes, Figure 11 shows duplicated segments in their uninverted orientation derived from three inversions  $(In(1)BSC20, In(1)BSC21,$ and  $In(1)BSC22)$ . As planned, the nested sets overlap and there is an even distribution of endpoints across the region with a breakpoint in 18 of the 28 PCR mapping intervals targeted by these screens, i.e., between the  $In(1) BSC19$  and  $In(1) BSC22$  proximal breakpoints. In this 490-gene region, the largest region between two breakpoints contains at most 42 genes.

Current coverage and subdivision of the entire  $X$  is depicted in Figure 12. Using the minimal estimates of duplication sizes from completed  $Dp(1;Y)$  screens, we have calculated that 96% of the intervals between breakpoints contain  $\leq 30$  genes,  $89\%$  contain  $\leq 20$  genes

FIGURE 8.—Generating *inversion* + attached-XY chromosomes. The construction of *inversion* + attached-XY chromosomes proceeded in four steps. First,  $P(RS5)$  insertions distributed along the X were placed on an attached-XY chromosome by meiotic recombination. Second, a  $P/RS3$  insertion near the X tip was placed on each  $P(RS5)$  + attached-XY chromosome by meiotic recombination. Flies carrying these chromosomes had red eyes. Third, w exons were removed from the  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  insertions by exposure to heat shock-induced FLP recombinase. Flies carrying these chromosomes had white eyes. Finally, inversions were induced by exposing the attached-XY chromosomes with rearranged  $P(RS3)$  and  $P(RS5)$  insertions to heat shock-induced FLP recombinase. Flies carrying *inversion*  $+$  *at*tached-XY chromosomes had red eyes due to the reconstitution of a functional w gene.

and  $62\%$  contain  $\leq 10$  genes. The median interval size is 9 genes or  ${\sim}107$  kb.  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes in specific  $X$ chromosome regions may be viewed graphically using the GBrowse aberrations viewer on FlyBase ([http://](http://flybase.org/cgi-bin/gbrowse/dmelabs/) [flybase.org/cgi-bin/gbrowse/dmelabs/](http://flybase.org/cgi-bin/gbrowse/dmelabs/)).

It was easier to obtain large duplicated medial segments in some regions than others. For example, the  $In(1) BSC3$  screen produced a 165-gene (1.68 Mb) duplicated segment even though fewer progeny were screened than in the  $In(1) BSC10$  screen where a 69gene (0.84 Mb) segment was the largest recovered (Table 2). All our completed screens were large enough to give duplication endpoints evenly distributed across the desired chromosomal intervals, but screen size correlated poorly to size of the largest duplicated segment ( $r = -0.23$ ). We attribute these regional differences to the effects of hyperploidy for different sets of genes. Other than previously identified diplolethal genes, there are no clear predictors of permissible duplication size.

The positions of proximal deletion breakpoints giving rise to  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes: As described above, the proximal breakpoint of the deletion that gives rise to a  $Dp(1;Y)$  from an *inversion* + *attached-XY* 



FIGURE  $9$ —Inversion + attached-XY chromosomes. Proximal breakpoints for the 28 inversions generated for  $Dp(1;Y)$  screens are shown. They correspond to the positions of  $P/RS5$  insertions. All inversions (except  $In(1)BSC30$ and  $In(1)BSC31$  share the same distal breakpoint corresponding to  $P(RS3/CB-$ 5805-3. The tip segment from the X telomere to the common breakpoint is carried by all  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes.

#### TABLE 2

 $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes recovered

Inversion	Progeny screened	Number of $Dp(1;Y)$ recovered <sup>a</sup>	Largest $Dp(1;Y)$ in stock <sup>b</sup>		
			<b>Breakpoints</b>	Number of genes	Size (Mb)
In(1)BSC2	In progress	6	2C1:2F6	56	0.32
In(1)BSC3	232,000	17	2C1:3E3	165	1.68
In(1) BSC4	341,000	12	3F9:4D7	81	0.98
In(1)BSC6	884,000	21	4D1:5D1	110	1.21
In(1)BSC9	894,000	17	7B1;7D18	92	0.87
In(1)BSC10	330,000	15	7D18;8C3	69	0.84
In(1)BSC25	383,000	17	8A2;8F9	94	0.97
In(1) BSC11	271,000	9	8E4:9E1	113	1.24
In(1) BSC12	In progress	3	9B1:10B14	144	1.37
In(1) BSC13	232,000	11	10B3;11A1	94	0.66
In(1)BSC26	206,000	31	10C5;11D1	143	1.35
In(1) BSC14	384,000	16	12A9;12F4	86	1.20
In(1)BSC27	In progress	1	12E3:13C5	126	1.35
In(1) BSC16	In progress	5	13D3;14A9	89	0.52
In(1) BSC17	In progress	3	14A6;14F5	63	0.65
In(1)BSC19	262,000	16	14F2;16C1	132	1.07
In(1)BSC20	163,000	9	15F9;17C1	106	1.22
In(1)BSC21	441,000	21	17A1;18A7	103	1.02
In(1)BSC22	205,000	16	17C6;19A2	155	1.26

"Males carrying some large  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes were poorly viable and fertile due to hyperploidy.  $In(1) BSC10, In(1) BSC20, and In(1) BSC22$  screens each produced one  $Dp(1;Y)$  too large to be maintained in stock; the  $In(1)BSC25$  screen produced two.

 $^b$ Minimal extents of medial duplicated region. See [Table S3](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/5) for breakpoint ranges.

(breakpoint  $F$  in Figure 4) can fall in basal  $X$  euchromatin. Consequently, a  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosome can carry genes from the X base in addition to genes from the middle and tip of the X. To assess how many  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes carry basal euchromatic genes, we designed PCR primers flanking the insertion sites of transposons in basal X euchromatin and assayed for duplication of the insertion sites as described previously. We also examined CGH microarray data when available.

We could not detect breakpoints in the euchromatic gene stnA or in the region between it and X centric heterochromatin by our PCR mapping approach (Figure 13), because this region is present on all  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes as a segment of X centric heterochromatin and adjacent euchromatin associated with the  $B<sup>s</sup>$ marker on the  $Y$  tip (Figure 8; Brosseau and LINDSLEY 1958). Our microarray analyses showed this region extends distally to the five-gene region between fog and stnA (Figure 13; X:22228492..22384175). Consequently, all  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carry at least one copy of five basal euchromatic genes (stnA, stnB, and three proximal genes). They may also carry euchromatic genes between fog and stnA and heterochromatic genes. No gene probes in the region showed higher than twofold relative fluorescence in the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes analyzed by CGH microarrays.

The proximal deletion breakpoints fell in basal X euchromatin at a relatively high frequency. Of the 193  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes analyzed for duplication of basal

genes by PCR, 36 duplicated Cda4 (two genes distal to fog; Figure 13, [Table S4](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/6)). The duplicated segments extend varying distances distally with the largest segment reaching polytene region 19E (X:20631444..20795940). The basal segments carried by these  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes provide coverage and breakpoint subdivision of 7.2% of the X euchromatin.

We also wished to verify that proximal deletion breakpoints often fall in the short arm of the Y and that  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes arising from these events delete Y-linked spermatogenesis genes. Across all screens, we saw an approximately sixfold higher recovery of sterile vs. fertile  $y^+$  males, suggesting that the proximal de-



FIGURE 10.—PCR strategy for mapping the extents of duplicated segments. PCR primers were designed to flank the insertion sites of X-linked transposons. When males carry a  $Dp(1;Y)$  and an X with a transposon, a PCR fragment will be amplified from their DNA only if the transposon insertion site is present in the duplicated segment. With a short extension time, no fragment spanning the transposon on the X will be amplified.



letion breakpoints fall more frequently in the short arm of the Y than in X centric heterochromatin or the adjacent basal euchromatin. To show that the sterility can be attributed to the deletion of Y-linked spermatogenesis genes, we rescued the sterility with a redundant Y. In the screen with  $In(1) BSC11$ , we substituted homozygous attached-XY  $(C(1;Y)1, y<sup>t</sup>)$  females for the normal X,  $y^1/X$ ,  $y^1$  females usually mated to irradiated *inversion* + *attached-XY* males. Thirteen of the 17  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes recovered in fertile  $y^+$  males with a redundant Y did not support fertility in the absence of an extra Y, demonstrating that the sterility could be rescued by duplicating Y-linked spermatogenesis genes. Because male sterile  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes have limited experimental utility, they were discarded and are not counted in Table 2 or listed in [Table S3.](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/5)

Rescue of mutant phenotypes with  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes: To verify that duplicated gene copies are functional and to illustrate how  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes can be used to rescue the phenotypes of X-linked mutations, we crossed females bearing a recessive mutation with a lethal or visible phenotype to males carrying a  $Dp(1;Y)$  containing a wild-type copy of the mutated gene and examined the phenotypes of male progeny. We tested  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes from 13 of the 16 nested sets and Table 3 shows that, as expected, the duplicated genes completely rescued the mutant phenotypes in nearly every case (85 of 90 crosses). In addition, males carrying  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes containing the achaete, Notch, or Beadex genes displayed the well-known bristle and wing phenotypes associated with hyperploidy of these genes. These results indicate that duplicated genes are expressed as expected.

The three cases of nonrescue and the two cases of partial rescue are probably explained as suppression of gene expression by heterochromatic position effects. When euchromatic regions are juxtaposed to heterochro-

FIGURE 11.—Duplication coverage and genomic subdivision provided by three  $Dp(1;Y)$  screens. Three nested sets of duplicated segments provide full coverage of the region targeted by the  $In(1)BSC20, In(1)BSC21, and$ In(1)BSC22 screens. Arrows indicate the positions of the proximal inversion breakpoints. The distal endpoints of the duplicated segments provide fine subdivision of the region for gene mapping. The minimal estimated distal extent of each duplicated segment is depicted. For simplicity, we have not shown the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes derived from In(1)BSC19. Numbered polytene divisions and lettered subdivisions (six per division, lettered A–F) are shown. Bars, 100 kb and 1 Mb for comparison.

matin by chromosomal rearrangements, the compacted chromatin state can spread into the euchromatin and suppress gene expression. The likelihood that a particular gene will be suppressed depends on the distance the gene lies from heterochromatin, the strength of suppression exerted by the heterochromatic sequences and the inherent susceptibility of the gene to suppression.

Rescue of bristle defects caused by a forked mutation  $(f<sup>1</sup>)$  showed the expected pattern for heterochromatic position effect suppression. Of all the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes derived from  $In(1) BSC19$ , the one placing f closest to centric heterochromatin  $(Dp(1;Y)BSC206)$ was the only one unable to rescue. Likewise, the wing defects caused by *upheld* mutations ( $up<sup>1</sup>$  and  $up<sup>101</sup>$ ) were rescued in 14–50% of males by  $Dp(1;Y)BSC185$ , a  $Dp(1;Y)$ derived from  $In(1) BSC14$  positioning up quite close to heterochromatin. [In fact, it is unlikely longer duplicated segments could be recovered using  $In(1)BSC14$ , because the end of the duplicated segment in  $Dp(1;Y)BSC185$  defines the proximal boundary of the 1- to 4-gene interval containing the diplolethal locus in region 12A. For further discussion of the Hdlregion, see Venken et al. (2010)].

In contrast, the rescue of the wing phenotypes of miniature  $(m<sup>1</sup>)$  and dusky  $(dy<sup>1</sup>)$  mutations shows that position effects can be idiosyncratic. Of the  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes derived from  $In(1) BSC13$  and  $In(1) BSC26$ , only  $Dp(1;Y)BSC51$  was unable to rescue the phenotypes even though  $m$  and  $dy$  are positioned farther from heterochromatin than they are in  $Dp(1:Y)BSC54$ ,  $Dp(1;Y)BSC102$ , and  $Dp(1;Y)BSC103$ , which rescued the phenotypes.  $Dp(1;Y)BSC52$  and  $Dp(1;Y)BSC101$ , which place m and dy roughly the same distance from heterochromatin as  $Dp(1;Y)BSC51$ , also rescued the phenotypes. We attribute the inability of  $Dp(1;Y)BSC51$  to rescue to the presence of heterochromatic sequences near *m* and *dy* with unusually strong suppressive effects.



FIGURE 12.—Current duplication coverage and subdivision of the X chromosome. The nested sets of duplicated segments from the 14 completed screens and 5 screens in progress provide 78% X coverage and extensive subdivision. The X tip region common to all  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes is shown. The most basal set of duplicated segments is detailed in Figure 12. It contains basal segments carried by  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes from all screens and, as shown, includes 28 segments with breakpoints falling in the same mapping interval. The minimal estimated distal extent of each duplicated segment is depicted. Numbered polytene divisions are indicated.

The nonrescue of  $f$ ,  $m$ , or  $dy$  phenotypes is probably not explained by the disruption of these genes during irradiation. The probability of mutating a particular gene with  $4$  kR exposure is  $\sim$ 1 in 5000 (ASHBURNER et al. 2005). We used a slightly higher dose (4.5 kR), but the likelihood of a duplicated segment carrying a mutated gene is still low. Likewise, nonrescue is not explained by mitotic loss of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes during development, because we have seen no  $y^+$  or  $B^s$  mosaicism. To demonstrate gene expression is suppressed by heterochromatic position effects, it is sometimes possible to restore it with well-established position effect suppressors such as low temperature. Though the  $f$  and  $dy$ phenotypes were not rescued by  $Dp(1;Y)BSC51$  and  $Dp(1;Y)BSC206$  in flies reared at 18°, the nature of the chromosomal rearrangements in the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes suggests heterochromatic suppression is still the most likely explanation for the lack of rescue.

Using  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes to rescue mutant phenotypes in females: The Y-linked inheritance pattern of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes makes it easy to track duplicated genes in experimental crosses, but it may not be apparent how  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes can be used to rescue mutant phenotypes in females where a Y chromosome is not usually present. As we will show, recovering  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes in XXY females and using them to rescue the phenotypes of recessive Xlinked mutations is straightforward. Such experiments are useful in mapping X-linked mutations with femalespecific phenotypes, such as defects in oogenesis.

XXY females arise from primary nondisjunction in both males and females. In females, nondisjunction results in  $XX$  and  $\theta$  gametes. XX eggs fertilized by Y sperm generate XXY females. In males, nondisjunction results in  $XY$  and  $\theta$  gametes.  $XY$  sperm fertilizing  $X$  eggs also generate XXY females. Consequently, any cross of



FIGURE 13.—Duplications in  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes with breakpoints in basal X euchromatin. When the proximal breakpoints of deletions giving rise to  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes fall in basal X euchromatin,  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes can carry genes from the X base in addition to genes from the middle and tip of the X. PCR mapping cannot detect duplicated segments carrying the most basal euchromatic genes, because all  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes carry a chromosomal segment associated with the Y tip  $B^s$  marker that extends from the euchromatic fog to stnA interval into centric heterochromatin (shown at the bottom). Thirty-six duplicated segments extend from X heterochromatin varying distances distal to Cda4. The arrows indicate the sites of PCR primer pairs used in mapping. The minimal estimated extent of each duplicated segment is depicted. The number of duplicated segments ending in each mapping interval is indicated.

XX females to males with a  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosome can result in XXY females carrying a  $Dp(1;Y)$ . XXY females themselves produce mostly XY and X eggs, though they can produce XX and Y eggs by secondary nondisjunction (XIANG and HAWLEY 2006). The dominant  $B^s$ marker is quite useful in identifying females inheriting a  $Dp(1;Y)BSC$  chromosome, but crosses can be adapted to use  $y^+$ ,  $w^+$ , or any marker present in a duplicated segment.

Rescue of a recessive female sterile  $(f<sub>s</sub>)$  phenotype can be shown by crossing  $fs/balancer$  females to  $Dp(1;Y)$ males to recover  $f_s/balancer/Dp(1;Y)$  female progeny arising from nondisjunction in the mother and then crossing these XXY females to fs/Y males to recover fertile  $fs/fs/Dp(1;Y)$  females. We have successfully rescued the recessive sterility of an ovarian tumor mutation (otu<sup>4</sup>) with  $Dp(1;Y)BSC35$  and  $Dp(1;Y)BSC36$  by this approach (see [File S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.123265/DC1/2) for details of XXY crosses), but it relies on the relatively low rate of nondisjunction in normal females. Spontaneous nondisjunction occurs at a rate of  $\sim$ 1 in 5000 female meioses (ASHBURNER et al. 2005), so large crosses must be set up to recover the initial XXY female.

The less labor-intensive approach is to recover XXY females following nondisjunction in males. Most of our  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes are maintained in stock with winscy, a homozygous viable X balancer, and XXY females produced by nondisjunctional  $winscy/Dp(1;Y)$  sperm are readily obtained. Rescue can be demonstrated in two ways. First, fs/balancer females can be crossed to winscy/ $Dp(1;Y)$  males to produce fs/winscy/  $Dp(1;Y)$  females. These females can be crossed to  $f_s/Y$ males to produce fertile  $fs/fs/Dp(1;Y)$  females. We have rescued  $otu^4$  sterility with  $Dp(1;Y)BSC35$  by this approach as well. Alternatively,  $winscy/winscy/Dp(1;Y)$  females can be recovered directly from the stock and crossed to  $fs/Y$  males to produce  $fs/winscy/Dp(1;Y)$  females, which can then be crossed to  $f_s/Y$  males to produce fertile  $f s / f s / D p (1, Y)$  females. We have used this method to rescue the recessive lethality and sterility phenotypes of  $N^{11N-ts1}$  females with  $Dp(1;Y)BSC77$  and  $Dp(1;Y)BSC79$  and the wing and sterility phenotypes of  $fu^1$  with  $Dp(1;Y)BSC15$ . We prefer the latter alternative, because all it requires is expansion of the  $Dp(1;Y)$  stock until a XXY female is recovered.

Relying on male nondisjunction to recover XXY females is efficient, because nondisjunction in  $Dp(1;Y)BSC$  males is elevated. Typically, spontaneous nondisjunction in males occurs at a rate of  ${\sim}1$  in  $2000$ meioses (ASHBURNER et al. 2005), but we measured nondisjunction in  $Dp(1;Y)BSC182$  males at  $\sim$ 1 in 200 meioses. This rate is probably typical for all  $Dp(1;Y)BSC$ chromosomes, because XXY females and X0 males are commonly seen in the stocks. The reason for elevated nondisjunction is not apparent—it is not a property of all  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes (ZIMMERING and Wu 1964). Nevertheless, it simplifies the use of these  $Dp(1;Y)$ chromosomes for rescuing phenotypes in females, because experiments can be initiated with XXY females directly from the stocks.

Regardless of the specific crosses, the first step in using a  $Dp(1;Y)$  to rescue a female-specific phenotype is the recovery of a XXY female carrying a  $Dp(1;Y)$ . She can be used directly in a rescue experiment, or she can be used to establish a stock with a high proportion of XXY females. Because 30–50% of the female progeny of XXY females are themselves XXY, selecting for high numbers of XXY females in subsequent generations is easy.

In conclusion, Y linkage is not a significant barrier to the use of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes to rescue the phenotypes of X-linked mutations in females. Such experiments require only an appreciation of Y inheritance in XXY females—a straightforward variation of normal sex chromosome behavior. As this is the most complicated use of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes most investigators are likely to encounter, we feel the flexibility and ease of use provided by Y-linked duplications in most other experiments outweigh their potential disadvantages in this one situation.

#### DISCUSSION

We have presented results of an ongoing project to provide coverage of the D. melanogaster X chromosome

#### TABLE 3

Mutation	Progenitor inversion	Rescuing $Dp(1;Y)$	Nonrescuing $Dp(1;Y)$
$l(1)G1044^{G1044}$	In(1) BSC2	BSC217,219	
$N^{\scriptscriptstyle 11N\text{-}ts1}$	In(1)BSC3	BSC77,79	
rb <sup>1</sup>	In(1) BSC4	BSC158-161	
$c v^I$	In(1) BSC6	BSC91-99	
$sn^3$	In(1)BSC9	BSC172-178	
oc <sup>1</sup>	In(1) BSC10	BSC33-39	
$\mathbf{z}^{77a7}$	In(1)BSC25	BSC144-151	
$\text{flux}^1$	In(1) BSC11	BSC58,59	
m <sup>1</sup>	In(1) BSC13	BSC47-50,52,54	BSC51
$dy^{1}$	In(1) BSC13	BSC47-50,54	<i>BSC51</i>
m <sup>1</sup>	In(1)BSC26	BSC100-103	
$dy^{1}$	In(1)BSC26	BSC100-103 <sup>a</sup>	
$g^{\prime}$	In(1) BSC14	<b>BSC189</b>	
$up1$ , $up101$	In(1) BSC14	$BSC185^b$	
Top1 <sup>112</sup>	In(1)BSC27	<b>BSC231</b>	
$drd^1$	In(1)BSC27	<b>BSC231</b>	
$para^{ST76}$	In(1) BSC17	<b>BSC228</b>	
if	In(1) BSC19	<b>BSC201</b>	
$f^{\prime}$	In(1) BSC19	BSC200-205	$BSC206^a$
$OS^o$	In(1)BSC20	BSC67-70,157	
$OS^o$	In(1) BSC21	<i>BSC11,12</i>	
fu <sup>1</sup>	In(1) BSC21	BSC15	
$l(1)$ G0156 <sup>G0156</sup>	In(1)BSC22	BSC129-135	

Phenotypic rescue by nested sets of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes

"  $dy'/Dp(1;Y)BSC102$ ,  $dy'/Dp(1;Y)BSC103$ , and  $f/Dp(1;Y)BSC206$  males were tested at  $18^{\circ}, 24^{\circ}$ , and  $29^{\circ}$ . Other tests performed at  $24^{\circ}$  only.

Rescue seen in some  $up'/Dp(1,Y)BSC185$  and  $up^{101}/Dp(1,Y)BSC185$  males, but not others.

with nested sets of Y-linked duplications in a genetically uniform background. The project was initiated to address the poor selection of material resources for the genetic analysis of X-linked genes. Construction of all the necessary progenitor *inversion*  $+$  *attached-XY* chromosomes for comprehensive  $Dp(1;Y)$  screens is complete and we have isolated duplications providing at least 78% coverage. These  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes also provide extensive breakpoint subdivision of the X with the median interval between breakpoints containing nine genes. This is a far better selection of duplications than existed previously. With the possible exception of two small regions containing diplolethal genes, our continuing efforts should provide complete duplication coverage within the coming year. We anticipate that the full set of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes will comprise  $\sim$ 300 stocks.

The Bloomington Stock Center duplication project is currently one of two large-scale efforts generating X chromosome duplications with molecularly defined breakpoints in Drosophila. The accompanying article by VENKEN et al. (2010) describes a collection of small, 70–120 kb X segments inserted into a third chromosome target site using the  $\Phi$ C31 transgenesis system. The size of duplicated segments is the most significant consequence of the different approaches. The largest segment recovered by the transgenesis method to date is  $\sim$ 146 kb (VENKEN et al. 2006), while the size of segments isolated by our method is limited only by aneuploidy

effects. The largest segment we have isolated is 1.68 Mb (165 genes). It remains to be seen whether transgenesis methods can be developed to transform larger duplicated segments, but *in vivo* chromosome manipulation approaches currently provide the only means of recovering duplicated segments of more than  $\sim$ 10 genes.

The two sets of duplications are complementary resources. We anticipate that mapping mutations and identifying dosage-dependent modifiers will involve three successive steps. First, a gene will be localized with coarse resolution to a large X interval using a tiling set of the largest duplications from our  $Dp(1;Y)$  screens. This will be an efficient step, because maximal coverage of the X can be provided with approximately two dozen duplications. Second, the gene will be mapped at medium resolution using duplications within a nested set of  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes. Finally, the gene will be mapped with fine resolution using the transgenic duplications. While the resolution provided by  $Dp(1;Y)$ breakpoints is equivalent to the resolution provided by the transgenic duplications in some X regions, the average resolution of 3–5 genes provided by the transgenic duplications exceeds the median resolution of nine genes provided by our  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes. Mapping to successively smaller intervals using duplications from both projects should prove to be an effective and efficient process.

The creation of X duplications has been accompanied by a large-scale project at the Bloomington Stock Center to improve the selection of chromosomal deletions. We have generated  $>830$  deletions with sequencemapped endpoints using the FLP-FRT system described by THIBAULT et al. (2004) and PARKS et al. (2004). These and similar deletions isolated by Exelixis (Parks et al. 2004) and the DrosDel Project (RYDER et al. 2007) combine to provide the best genomic deletion coverage and breakpoint subdivision in any multicellular eukaryote. Phenotypic rescue with duplications from the two duplication projects and complementation with molecularly defined deletions from the three deletion projects will enable X-linked genes to be localized with near single gene resolution. The  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes will enhance the utility of the deletion collection, because, unlike the transgenic duplications, they are large enough to rescue the lethality of most X deletions.

For all methods of gene rescue, the chromosomal context of duplicated genes is important. Regulatory elements near transgene insertion sites often suppress the expression of transformed genes. While individual genes are not removed from their normal chromosomal sites in the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes and their expression will probably not be affected by novel regulatory elements, the chromosomal rearrangements make heterochromatic position-effect suppression a potential concern. Because we saw evidence of heterochromatic suppression in only a small number of rescue experiments, it should affect the use of the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes in relatively few instances. The diversity in size among the duplicated segments and the substantial overlap of adjacent sets of nested duplicated segments should make it possible to identify  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes rescuing most X-linked mutations—even if heterochromatic position effects occasionally necessitate the use of other genetic tools, such as the transgenic duplications, for fine mapping. In fact, the redundancy of coverage and experimental flexibility provided by the  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes and the transgenic duplications are beneficial outcomes of two independent projects.

In summary, we have presented an important new research resource that will alleviate longstanding difficulties associated with the analysis of X-linked gene function. We anticipate these  $Dp(1;Y)$  chromosomes will be useful for many rescue, mapping, and modifier experiments. Because the isolation of these duplications required complicated progenitor chromosomes and multiple large screens, they would never have resulted from hypothesis-driven research. Their creation required a focused project and targeted resourcedevelopment funding. The same is true for many of the material resources that have propelled model organism research in recent years (for examples, see Hayashi  $et al. 2002$ ; BELLEN  $et al. 2004$ ; DIETZL  $et al. 2007$ ; RYDER et al. 2007; Ni et al. 2009; Guan et al. 2010). Because research resources to a large extent determine the kinds of experiments that are possible, resource-development projects such as ours are significant in the breadth of their impact. We are confident we have expanded ''what is possible'' with this new resource and hope it will be used heavily by the research community.

We thank John Roote, Ed Ryder, Michael Ashburner, Jim Kennison, Annette Parks, and Kathy Matthews for help and guidance, Kevin Bogart and Millie Winner for assistance in the pilot screen, and Robert Eisman, Stacy Holtzman, Ellen Popodi, and Koen Venken for critical comments on the text. This work was supported by National Center for Research Resources grant RR014106 to K.R.C., Indiana Genomic Initiative (INGEN) funding to T.C.K., and National Science Foundation grant DBI-0841154 to K.R.C. and T.C.K.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AERTS, S., S. VILAIN, S. HU, L. C. TRANCHEVENT, R. BARRIOT et al., 2009 Integrating computational biology and forward genetics in Drosophila. PLoS Genet. 5: e1000351.
- Ashburner, M., K. G. Golic and R. S. Hawley, 2005 Drosophila: A Laboratory Handbook. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- BELLEN, H. J., R. W. LEVIS, G. LIAO, Y. HE, J. W. CARLSON et al., 2004 The BDGP gene disruption project: single transposon insertions associated with  $40\%$  of Drosophila genes. Genetics 167: 761–781.
- BROSSEAU, G. E., and D. L. LINDSLEY, 1958 A dominantly marked Y chromosome: YB<sup>S</sup>. Drosophila Information Service 32: 116.
- Carpenter, A. T., 2004 Salivary chromosome analysis of aberrations. Methods Mol. Biol. 247: 257–277.
- DIETZL, G., D. CHEN, F. SCHNORRER, K. C. SU, Y. BARINOVA et al., 2007 A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in Drosophila. Nature 448: 151–156.
- Engels, W. R., D. M. Johnson-Schlitz, W. B. Eggleston and J. Sved, 1990 High-frequency P element loss in Drosophila is homolog dependent. Cell 62: 515–525.
- Erickson, J. N., and E. P. Spana, 2006 Mapping Drosophila genomic aberration breakpoints with comparative genome hybridization on microarrays. Methods Enzymol. 410: 377–386.
- FANTI, L., and S. PIMPINELLI, 2004 Analysis of mitosis in squash preparations of larval brains: orcein, Giemsa, Hoechst 33258, DAPI, quinacrine, and N-banding. Methods Mol. Biol. 247: 325–332.
- GOLIC, K. G., and M. M. GOLIC, 1996 Engineering the Drosophila genome: chromosome rearrangements by design. Genetics 144: 1693–1711.
- Guan, C., C. Ye, X. Yang and J. Gao, 2010 A review of current largescale mouse knockout efforts. Genesis 48: 73–85.
- HAYASHI, S., K. ITO, Y. SADO, M. TANIGUCHI, A. AKIMOTO et al., 2002 GETDB, a database compiling expression patterns and molecular locations of a collection of Gal4 enhancer traps. Genesis 34: 58–61.
- Kennison, J. A., 1981 The genetic and cytological organization of the Y chromosome of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 98: 529– 548.
- LINDSLEY, D. L., and G. G. ZIMM, 1992 The Genome of Drosophila melanogaster. Academic Press, New York.
- Ni, J. Q., L. P. Liu, R. Binari, R. Hardy, H. S. Shim et al., 2009 A Drosophila resource of transgenic RNAi lines for neurogenetics. Genetics 182: 1089–1100.
- NOVITSKI, E., and D. CHILDRESS, 1976 Compound chromosomes involving the X and the Y chromosomes, pp. 487–504 in The Genetics and Biology of Drosophila, edited by M. ASHBURNER and E. Novitski. Academic Press, New York.
- PARKS, A. L., K. R. COOK, M. BELVIN, N. A. DOMPE, R. FAWCETT et al., 2004 Systematic generation of high-resolution deletion coverage of the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Nat. Genet. 36: 288– 292.
- PATTERSON, J. T., W. STONE and S. BEDICHEK, 1937 Further studies on X chromosome balance in Drosophila. Genetics 22: 407–426.
- Ryder, E., F. Blows, M. Ashburner, R. Bautista-Llacer, D. Coulson et al., 2004 The DrosDel collection: a set of P-element insertions

for generating custom chromosomal aberrations in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 167: 797–813

- Ryder, E., M. Ashburner, R. Bautista-Llacer, J. Drummond, J. WEBSTER et al., 2007 The DrosDel deletion collection: a Drosophila genomewide chromosomal deficiency resource. Genetics 177: 615–629.
- SALZ, H. K., 1992 The genetic analysis of snf: a Drosophila sex determination gene required for activation of Sex-lethal in both the germline and the soma. Genetics 130: 547–554.
- SALZER, C. L., Y. ELIAS and J. P. KUMAR, 2010 The retinal determination gene eyes absent is regulated by the EGF receptor pathway throughout development in Drosophila. Genetics 184: 185–197.
- SEHER, T. C., M. NARASIMHA, E. VOGELSANG and M. LEPTIN, 2007 Analysis and reconstitution of the genetic cascade controlling early mesoderm morphogenesis in the Drosophila embryo. Mech. Dev. 124: 167–179.
- Stewart, B., and J. R. Merriam, 1973 Segmental aneuploidy of the X-chromosome. Drosophila Information Service 50: 167–170.
- SUTTON, E., 1943 A cytogenetic study of the yellow-scute region of the X chromosome in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 28: 210–217.
- Thibault, S. T., M. A. Singer, W. Y. Miyazaki, B. Milash, N. A. Dompe et al., 2004 A complementary transposon tool kit for Drosophila melanogaster using P and piggyBac. Nat. Genet. 36: 283–287.
- Tweedie, S., M. Ashburner, K. Falls, P. Leyland, P. McQuilton et al., 2009 FlyBase: enhancing Drosophila Gene Ontology annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 37: D555–D559.
- Venken, K. J., Y. He, R. A. Hoskins and H. J. Bellen, 2006 P[acman]: a BAC transgenic platform for targeted insertion of large DNA fragments in  $\overline{D}$ . melanogaster. Science 314: 1747–1751.
- Venken, K. J. T., E. Popodi, S. L. Holtzman, K. L. Schulze, S. Park et al., 2010 A molecularly defined duplication set for the X
- chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 186: 1111–1125.<br>XIANG, Y., and R. S. HAWLEY, 2006 The mechanism of secondary nondisjunction in Drosophila melanogaster females. Genetics 174: 67–78.
- ZHAO, M., P. SZAFRANSKI, C. A. HALL and S. GOODE, 2008 Basolateral junctions utilize warts signaling to control epithelial-mesenchymal transition and proliferation crucial for migration and invasion of Drosophila ovarian epithelial cells. Genetics 178: 1947–1971.
- ZIMMERING, S., and C. K. Wu, 1964 Meiotic X-Y exchange and nondisjunction induced by irradiation in the Drosophila male. Genetics 50: 633–638.

Communicating editor: M. Johnston

# **GENETICS**

## Supporting Information

http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.110.123265/DC1

## A New Resource for Characterizing X-Linked Genes in Drosophila melanogaster: Systematic Coverage and Subdivision of the X Chromosome With Nested, Y-Linked Duplications

R. Kimberley Cook, Megan E. Deal, Jennifer A. Deal, Russell D. Garton, C. Adam Brown, Megan E. Ward, Rachel S. Andrade, Eric P. Spana, Thomas C. Kaufman and Kevin R. Cook

> Copyright © 2010 by the Genetics Society of America DOI: 10.1534/genetics.110.123265

#### **FILE S1**

#### **Supporting Methods**

**Isolation and characterization of**  $C(I;Y)N12$ **:**  $T(I;Y)N12$  is a reciprocal translocation between *X* centric heterochromatin of a  $y^T w^T f^T$ chromosome and the tip of *YS* of  $Dp(1;Y)B^SYY$ <sup>+</sup>, a *Y* chromosome marked with  $B^S$  at the tip of *YL* and  $y^+$  at the tip of *YS* (KENNISON 1981). By isolating the *BS*-marked chromosome of the translocation chromosome pair, we obtained a chromosome, which we call *C(1;Y)N12,* with all the *Y* genes needed for male fertility and all *X* genes distal to the *bobbed* heterochromatic gene cluster (though *bb* on the *X* is likely deleted, the redundant *bb* on the *Y* is present). Mitotic chromosome preparations stained with chromomycin A3 and DAPI showing that the translocation breakpoints fell at the distal end of band h29 in *X* heterochromatin and distal to *Y* chromosome band h24. Males bearing *C(1;Y)N12* in the absence of a free *Y* are viable and fertile.

**Genetic background:** To assure that the  $Dp(I;Y)$  chromosomes retained the genetic background of the  $P\{RS3\}$  and  $P\{RS5\}$  insertions (RYDER *et al.* 2004), all chromosomes used in the following crosses were first substituted into the standard background. Details of these substitution crosses will be provided upon request.

#### **Crosses to generate** *inversion + attached-XY* **chromosomes**

## **Step 1. Placing the proximal** *FRT***-bearing transposon insertions onto the** *attached-XY* **chromosome by meiotic recombination:**

$$
G0: w^{1118} P{w+mW.Ser\backslash FRThs} = RS5\} \supseteq x C(1;Y) N12, y^1 w^1 f^1, B^S/Dp(1;Y)y^+ \supseteq
$$

 $G1: w^{1118} P{w+mW. Sex\vee FRT. hs} = RSS \angle C(1;Y) N12, y^T w^T f^T, B^S \angle x w^{1118} P{w+mW. Sex\vee FRT. hs} = RSS \angle Dp(1;Y) y^+ \sinh \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

 $G2: C(1)RA, In(1)$ sc<sup>31</sup>,  $In(1)$ sc<sup>8</sup>,  $l(1)1Ac^{1}/Dp(1;Y)y^{+} \subseteq x C(1;Y)N12$ , w<sup>1118</sup>  $P\{w^{+mW.Scer\setminus FRT.hs} = RS5\}$  (f1),  $B^{\circ}/Dp(1;Y)y^{+} \circlearrowleft$ 

These crosses were completed for each of the *P{RS5}* insertions. The *f1* marker was present on some recombinant chromosomes. As shown in the final cross, *attached-XY* chromosomes may be maintained in stock by mating males carrying *attached-XY* chromosomes to females carrying *attached-X* chromosomes (also known as *compound-X* or *C(1)* chromosomes). *Attached-X* chromosomes consist of two *X* chromosomes sharing the same centromere. Stocks with *attached-X* females and *attached-XY* males may have a free *Y* as shown, or they may lack a free *Y*. As discussed below, we do not recommend maintaining *attached-XY* chromosomes with free *Y* chromosomes in long term cultures.

#### **Step 2. Placing the distal** *FRT***-bearing transposon insertion on the** *attached-XY* **chromosome by meiotic**

#### **recombination:**

 $G0: P\{w^{+mW. Ser \setminus FRT.hs} = RS3\}$ CB-5805-3  $w^{1118} \nsubseteq x \nsubseteq (1;Y)N12$ ,  $w^{1118} P\{w^{+mW. Ser \setminus FRT.hs} = RS5\}$  (f1),  $B^s/Db(1;Y)$ y+  $\beta$ 

 $G1: P\{w^{+mW. Ser\/FRT.hs} = R S3 \}$ CB-5805-3 w<sup>1118</sup>/C(1;Y)N12, w<sup>1118</sup>  $P\{w^{+mW. Ser\/FRT.hs} = R S5 \}$  (f)  $, B^S \Omega \ge KM$ 7j,  $y^{93j}$  w1  $B^{\ast}/Dp(1;Y)$ y  $^+\mathcal{S}$ 

G2: *C(1;Y)N12, P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs=RS3}CB-5805-3 w1118 P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs=RS5} (f1) , BS/FM7j, y93j w1 B+*  x *FM7j, y93j w1 B+/Dp(1;Y)y+*

#### R. K. Cook *et al.* 3 SI

*P{RS3}* Set A

Forward primer: CAAAAACGCACCGGACTGTAAC

Reverse primer: CATTGTTCAGATGCTCGGCAG

*P{RS3}* Set B

Forward primer: CGCACATACAGCTCACTGTTCAC

Reverse primer: GATAGCCGAAGCTTACCGAAGT

*P{RS5}* Set C

Forward primer: CAAAAACGCACCGGACTGTAAC

Reverse primer: GATAGCCGAAGCTTACCGAAGT

*P{RS5}* Set D

Forward primer: AAGCATGCTGCGACGTGAAC

Reverse primer: GATAGCCGAAGCTTACCGAAGT

#### **Step 3. Disrupting** *miniwhite* **markers in the** *P{RS3}* **and** *P{RS5}* **insertions by FLP-mediated recombination:**

G0: *FM7j,*  $y^{93j}$  w<sup>1</sup>  $B^+$   $\subseteq$  x w<sup>1118</sup>/Y; noc<sup>Sco</sup>/SM6b,  $P\{ry^{+t7.2}=70FLP\}$ 7  $\circlearrowleft$ 

G1: *C(1;Y)N12, P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs=RS3}CB-5805-3 w1118 P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs=RS5} (f1) , BS/FM7j, y93j w1 B+*  x *FM7j, y93j w1 B+/Y*; +*/SM6b, P{ry+t7.2=70FLP}7* -

G2: *C(1;Y)N12, P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs=RS3}CB-5805-3 w1118 P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs=RS5} (f1) , BS/FM7j, y93j w1 B+*; +*/SM6b, P{ry+t7.2=70FLP}7*  (heat shocked as larvae at 37° for one hour three days after cultures established) x  $FM7j$ ,  $y^{93j}w^1B^2/Dp(1;Y)y^2 \mathcal{S}$ 

G3:  $C(1;1)N12$ ,  $P\{w^{RS7} = RS3r\}CB - 5805 - 3 \ w^{1118} \ P\{w^{RS5r.ks} = RS5r\}$  (f),  $B^S/FM7j$ ,  $y^{93j} w^{1} B^{+}$  (white-eyed)  $\mathcal{Q} \times FM7j$ ,  $y^{93j} w^{1} B^{+}/Dp(1;Y)y^{+} \mathcal{J}$ 

*P{RS3r}* and *P{RS5r}* refer to the rearranged versions of *P{RS3}* and *P{RS5}* lacking *w* exons. These crosses were completed for each of the *P{RS3} P{RS5} + attached-XY* chromosomes.

#### **Step 4. Inducing inversions by FLP-mediated recombination:**

G0: *winscy*  $\Omega \propto C(1;Y)N12$ ,  $P\{w^{RS3r}=RS3r\}CB-5805-3$   $w^{1118}P\{w^{RS5r.hs}=RS5r\}$  (f1),  $B\{Dp(1;Y)v+\delta\}$ 

G0: *winscy* x *w1118/Y; nocSco/SM6b, P{ry+t7.2=70FLP}7* -

G1: *winscy/C(1;Y)N12, P{wRS3r=RS3r}CB-5805-3 w1118 P{wRS5r.hs=RS5r} (f1) , BS* x *winscy/Y;* +*/SM6b, P{ry+t7.2=70FLP}7* - G2:  $C(1;T)N12$ ,  $P\{w^{RS7} = RS3r\}CB - 5805-3$   $w^{1118}P\{w^{RS5r,hs} = RS5r\}$  (f1),  $B5/winsv$ ;  $+\angle SM6b$ ,  $P\{rr^{+t7.2} = 70FLP\}7$   $\varphi$  (heat shocked as larvae at 37° for one hour five days after cultures established) x *winscy/Dp(1;Y)y*<sup>+</sup>  $\delta$ 

G3:  $C(1;T)N12$ ,  $In(1)BSC$ ,  $P\{w^{+mW.Sce\vee FRT.hs3}=3'.RS5+3.3'\}BSC$   $w^{1118}$  (f1),  $B^S$ /winscy (red-eyed)  $\varphi$  x  $winscy/Dp(1;Y)$ y+  $\varphi$ 

*P{3'.RS5+3.3'}* refers to the recombinant construct carrying the reconstituted *w* gene. These crosses were completed for each of the *P{RS3r} P{RS5r} + attached-XY* chromosomes.

The *inversion + attached-XY* chromosomes were maintained as either balanced stocks or *attached-X* stocks until their use in the *Dp(1;Y)* screens described below. We initially established these stocks with a free *Y* chromosome in addition to the *Y* chromosome present on the *attached-XY*. We did not appreciate the speed at which  $\gamma$  chromosomes accumulate spontaneous mutations in male fertility genes when selective pressure is relieved by the presence of a redundant *Y*. One-third of our *inversion + attached-XY* chromosomes were no longer male fertile in the absence of a free *Y* after less than two years in stock with a free *Y*. The accumulation of mutations by *Y* chromosomes that have not been kept under selection has been noted previously (HAZELRIGG *et al.* 1982; KENNISON 1981; J. Kennison, personal communication). Though we have not measured the rate of mutation in detail, spontaneous disruption of the six *Y*-linked male fertility genes seems higher than spontaneous mutation rates for other genes (estimated at <0.005 lethals per chromosome per generation or <10-5 mutations per gene per generation (ASHBURNER *et al.* 2005; WOODRUFF 1983). The male sterility necessitated the replacement of the *Y* and basal *X* portions of sterile *inversion + attached-XY* chromosomes by meiotic recombination with a fertile *attached-XY*. All *inversion + attached-XY* stocks were rebuilt to eliminate free *Y* chromosomes as shown in Step 5 below. Based on these experiences, we strongly advise against maintaining *Dp(1;Y)*s in stock long term with other *Y* chromosomes.

#### **Step 5. Establishing** *attached-X* **stocks of the** *inversion + attached-XY* **chromosomes lacking a free** *Y* **chromosome:**

G0:  $C(1)M3, y^2/0 \nsubseteq x C(1;Y)N12, In(1)BSC, P{w+mN.Sco\backslash FRLh3 = 3'.RS5 + 3.3'}BSC w^{1118} (f), B<sup>2</sup>/Dp(1;Y)y+ \nless$ 

 $G1: C(I)M3, y^2$ /0  $\Omega \propto C(I;T)M12, In(I)BSC, P{w+mN. Ser\backslash FRT.hs3=3'.RS5+3.3'}BSC \ w^{1118} (f1), B\%$ /0  $\mathcal{S}$ 

#### **Alternative crosses to generate** *inversion + attached-XY* **chromosomes**

**Background:** The method in the previous section for generating *inversion + attached-XY* chromosomes was labor intensive and had steps that were difficult and inefficient. Particularly problematic was the need to screen for meiotic recombinants by PCR. The method was used to isolate most of the *inversion + attached-XY* chromosomes, but *In(1)BSC1*, *In(1)BSC2, In(1)BSC30*, *In(1)BSC31*, *In(1)BSC32* and *In(1)BSC33* were generated by a more efficient method.

The key to understanding this alternative strategy is the fact that heat shock-induced expression of FLP recombinase occurs in all cells. Consequently, it can catalyze recombination between *FRT*s and produce inversions in somatic cells as well as germ line cells. When FLP- induced recombination between *P{RS3r}* and *P{RS5r}* insertions produces inversions and reconstitutes the *w* gene during eye development, clonal patches of red eye facets result. We realized we could use the ability to form inversion-bearing, red eye clones as a indication that rearranged *P{RS3}* and *P{RS5}* constructs had been placed *in cis* by meiotic recombination. We simply changed the order of the steps described in the last section to eliminate the need for PCR assays to detect recombinant chromosomes.

#### **Step 1. Disrupting** *miniwhite* **markers in the** *P{RS3}* **and** *P{RS5}* **insertions by FLP-mediated recombination:** We first

exposed the individual *P{RS3}* and *P{RS5}* chromosomes to FLP recombinase to remove the 5' and 3' *w* exons, respectively.

G0:  $w^{1118} P\{w^{+mW.Scer\}RTLhs=RS\}$   $\cong$  x  $w^{1118}/Y$ ; noc<sup>Sco</sup>/SM6b,  $P\{ry^{+t7.2}=70FLP\}$  7  $\circlearrowleft$ G1:  $C(I)RA$ ,  $In(I)$ sc<sup> $j$ </sup>,  $In(I)$ sc<sup> $g$ </sup>,  $I(I)IAc^{1}/Dp(I;T)y^{+} \Omega$  x  $w^{IIB}P\{w^{+mW.Sce \vee IRLIb} = RS\}/T; +\angle SM6b$ ,  $P\{y^{+t/2} = 70FLP\}$ 7  $\mathcal{S}$  (heat shocked as larvae at 37° for one hour three days after cultures established) G2:  $P\{w^{+mW. Ser\ FRLhs} = RS3\}$ [(1)CB-6411-3<sup>1</sup>, w<sup>1118</sup>/FM7h, y<sup>93j</sup> w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup>  $\subseteq$  x  $Dp(1;T)y^{+}/w^{1118}P\{w^{RS5rhs} = RSr\}$   $\circlearrowleft$  (white-eyed male) G3: *FM7h, y<sup>93j</sup> w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup>/w<sup>1118</sup> P{w<sup>RS5r.hs</sup>=RSr} ♀ x FM7h, y<sup>93j</sup> w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup>/Y ♂* 

#### **Step 2. Recovering recombinant chromosomes by meiotic recombination and inducing inversions by FLP-mediated**

**recombination:** *X* chromosomes carrying the rearranged constructs were placed *in trans* in females where meiotic crossing over could place them *in cis*. These recombinant chromosomes were recovered in males carrying a heat shock-inducible FLP recombinase transgene. Only those males inheriting a chromosome with both a rearranged  $P{RS3}$  and a rearranged  $P{RS5}$  transgene on the same X chromosome were able to generate inversions in somatic cells upon FLP recombinase expression to produce red eye clones.

G0:  $w^{1118} P{w^{RS5r.hs}} = RS5r$   $\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{X}} \times w^{1118} / Dp(1;Y)$ <sup>+</sup>;  $TM2 / TM6C$ ,  $Sb<sup>1</sup>$   $\frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{Y}}$ 

G1:  $P\{w^{RS3r} = RS3r\}$   $w^{1118} \nsubseteq x w^{1118} P\{w^{RS5r.ks} = RS5r\} / Dp(1;Y)y^+; +/TM6C, Sb^+ \nsubseteq \mathbb{C}$ 

 $G2: P\{w^{RS\gamma} = RS3r\} \ w^{1118}/w^{1118} P\{w^{RS5r.ks} = RS5r\} \nsubseteq x \ w^{1118}/Y; noc^{S\omega}/SM6b, P\{ry^{+t7.2} = 70 FLP\} \nsubseteq \mathbb{C}$ 

G3:  $P{\{w^{+mW.Scer\}RTLhs=RSS\}}$ [(1)CB-6411-3<sup>1</sup>, w<sup>1118</sup>/FM7h,  $y^{93j}$  w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup>  $\subseteq$  x  $P{\{w^{RS3r=RSSr\}}$  w<sup>1118</sup>  $P{\{w^{RS5rkS=RSSr\}}/T; +}/S$ M6b,

 $P\{rr^{t7.2}=70FLP\}$   $\sigma$  (heat shocked as larvae at 37° for one hour for three days beginning three days after cultures established; males carrying recombinant chromosomes recognized from *w+* clonal eye sectoring)

G4: *FM7h*,  $y^{93j}$  w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup>/In(1)BSC,  $P\{w^{+mV.Sc\sigma\}$ <sup>FRT.hs3</sup>=3'.RS5+3.3'}BSC w<sup>1118</sup>  $\subseteq$  x *FM7h*,  $y^{93j}$  w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup>/Y  $\circ$  (red-eyed females carry inversions) While we did not initially know if we would be able to recover inversion-bearing progeny directly from males showing red eye clones, we found that FLP-induced germ line recombination was high enough that red eyed progeny could be recovered from germ line clones in every case. This obviated the need to recover recombinant chromosomes in stock and undertake a later screen for germ line recombination events. Depending on the *P{RS3}*-*P{RS5}* pair, anywhere from 5 to 100% of males with red eye clones produced red-eyed, inversionbearing progeny, though 30% was typical.

**Step 3. Placing inversions onto the** *attached-XY* **by meiotic recombination:** Once we isolated inversions, we placed them onto *attached-XY* chromosomes by meiotic recombination.

G0: *In(1)BSC, P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3*=3'.RS5+3.3'}BSC w<sup>1118</sup>/FM7h,  $y^{93j}$  w<sup>1</sup> B<sup>1</sup> \Px \cdot C(1;Y)N12, In(1)BSC25,

 $P$ {w<sup>+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3=3'.RS5+3.3'}BSC w<sup>1118</sup> f<sup>1</sup>, B<sup>s</sup>/0  $\circlearrowleft$ </sup>

 $G1: In (1) BSC, P{w+mW. Scc}$ V.RT.hs3=3'.RS5+3.3'{BSC w<sup>1118</sup>/C(1;Y)N12, In(1)BSC25,  $P{w+mW. Scc}$ V.Rt.hs3=3'.RS5+3.3'{BSC w<sup>1118</sup> f<sup>1</sup>, B<sup>s</sup>  $\Omega$  x

*C(1;Y)1, y1/0* -

 $G2: C(I)M3, y^2$ /0  $\Im$  x  $C(I;T)N12, In(I)BSC, P{w+mW.Ser\textnormal{\textbackslash}FRT.}h3=3'.RS5+3.3'$ }BSC w<sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>s</sup>/0  $\Im$ 

We used a preexisting *inversion* + attached-XY stock  $(C(1, Y)N12, In(1)BSC25)$  as the source of the *attached-XY* to combine with the new inversions.

The crosses above are shown with a distal *P{RS3}* and proximal *P{RS5}* insertion, but *In(1)BSC30* was generated with distal *P{RS5}* and proximal *P{RS3}* insertions.

#### **Screens to isolate new** *Dp(1;Y)* **chromosomes:**

G0: *winscy/winscy*  $\Omega$  x *winscy/Dp(2;Y)G, P{w<sup>+mC=</sup>hs-hid}Y*  $\mathcal{S}$  (to kill larval males, stock cultures were heat shocked at 37° for one hour five days after being set up)

G1: winscy/winscy  $\varphi \propto C(1;Y)N12$ ,  $In(1)BSC$ ,  $P\{w^{+mW.Scr/FRT.hs3}=3'RS5+3.3'\}BC$   $w^{1118}$ ,  $B\%$   $\varphi$   $\varphi$  (adult males irradiated at 4,500 R) G2: *winscy/winscy*  $\varphi$  x *winscy/Dp(1;Y)BSC, y+P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3*=3'.RS5+3.3'}BSC, Bs  $\mathcal{S}$  (Dp(1;Y)-bearing males recognized by wild type body color from *y+* allele at distal *X* tip)

All putative *Dp(1;Y)* chromosomes are assessed for *Y*-linked segregation patterns. A subset has been examined in polytene chromosome preparations and has looked as expected.

#### **Rescuing female-specific phenotypes in** *XXY* **females**

Three sets of crosses were undertaken to recover *Dp(1;Y)*-bearing *XXY* females homozygous for female sterile mutations. In the first crosses, *y1 cv1 otu4 v1 f1/FM0, y31d w1 vOf f1 B1* females were mated to *winscy, y1 w1/Dp(1;Y)BSC, y+ P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3}BSC w1118, BS* males. *XXY* progeny resulting from nondisjunction in the mothers  $(y^T c^{T})$  otu<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f<sup>1</sup>/FM0,  $y^{31d}$  w<sup>1</sup> vof f<sup>2</sup> Bi/Dp(1;T)BSC,  $y^+ P \{w^{+mW.Ser\}}$ FRT.hs3}BSC w<sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>s</sup> females) were crossed to *y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu4 v<sup>1</sup> f/Y* males to recover *y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu4 v<sup>1</sup> f/y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>2</sup> otu4<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f/y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu4<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f/y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu4<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f/y1 cv<sup>1</sup> otu4<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f/y1 Dp(1;Y)BSC, y+P{w+mW.Ser\FRT.hs3}* progeny resulting from nondisjunction in the fathers (*y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> fl/winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/Dp(1;Y)BSC, y+ P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3}BSC w<sup>1118</sup>, BS<sup>c</sup> females)* were crossed to *y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f/<i>Y* males to recover *y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>1</sup> otu<sup>4</sup> v<sup>1</sup> f/y<sup>1</sup> cv<sup>*</sup> second set of crosses, *winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/Dp(1;Y)BSC77, y+P{w<sup>+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3</sup>}BSC77 w<sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>s</sup> females recovered directly from the* stock were crossed to *y1 Nl1N-ts1 g2 f1/Y* males to produce *y1 Nl1N-ts1 g2 f1/winscy, y1 w1/Dp(1;Y)BSC77, y+ P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3} BSC77 w1118, BS* females. These females were crossed to  $y^I N^{I1N-tI} g^2 f^J / Y$  males to produce  $y^I N^{I1N-tI} g^2 f^J / Y^{I1N-tI} g^2 f^J / Dp(I;Y) BSC77$ ,  $y^+$ 

*P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3}BSC77 w1118, BS* females. Similar crosses were undertaken with *Dp(1;Y)BSC79, y+ P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3}BSC79 w1118, BS*. In the third set of crosses, *winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/Dp(1;Y)BSC15, y+ P{w+mW.Ser\FRT.hs3}BSC15 w<sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>S</sup> females recovered directly from the stock* were crossed to *f<sup>1</sup> fu1/Y* males to produce *f<sup>1</sup> fu1/winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/Dp(1;Y)BSC15, y+ P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3} BSC15 w<sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>s</sup> females. These females* were crossed to  $f1$  fu<sup>1</sup>/Y males to produce  $f1$  fu<sup>1</sup>/f<sup>1</sup> fu<sup>1</sup>/Dp(1;Y)BSC15,  $y+P{w+mW.$ Seer\FRT.hs3}BSC15  $w$ <sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>S</sup> females.

Male nondisjunction was assayed in the cross  $f<sup>1</sup>$  females x *winscy, y<sup>1</sup> w<sup>1</sup>/Dp(1;Y)BSC182, y+P{w+mW.Scer\FRT.hs3*}BSC182 w<sup>1118</sup>, B<sup>s</sup> males. The frequency of male nondisjunction was calculated as the fraction of exceptional progeny arising from *XY* or nullo-*X* male gametes: (*XXY* +  $X0$ /( $XX + XY + XXY + X0$ ). Exceptional *XXY* and *X0* progeny arising from nondisjunction in *f1* females were included only in the total progeny count. We showed that nondisjunction was not elevated in homozygous *winscy* females by measuring nondisjunction in *winscy, y1 w1/winscy, y1 w1* females crossed to *C(1;Y)2, y1 B1/0* males.

#### LITERATURE CITED IN SUPPORTING METHODS

- ASHBURNER, M., K. G. GOLIC and R. S. HAWLEY, 2005 *Drosophila : a laboratory handbook*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- HAZELRIGG, T., P. FORNILI and T. C. KAUFMAN, 1982 A cytogenetic analysis of X-ray induced male steriles on the *Y* chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Chromosoma **87:** 535-559.

KENNISON, J. A., 1981 The Genetic and Cytological Organization of the *Y* Chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **98:** 529-548.

- RYDER, E., F. BLOWS, M. ASHBURNER, R. BAUTISTA-LLACER, D. COULSON *et al.*, 2004 The DrosDel collection: a set of P-element insertions for generating custom chromosomal aberrations in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **167:** 797-813.
- WOODRUFF, R. C., SLATKO, B.E., THOMPSON, J.N., 1983 Factors affecting mutation rates in natural populations, pp. 37-124 in *The Genetics and Biology of Drosophila*, edited by M. ASHBURNER, CARSON, H.L., THOMPSON, J.N. Academic Press.

#### **TABLE S1**

#### **Insertions and primers for mapping distal ends of duplicated segments by PCR**



*P{Mae-UAS.6.11}Vap-33- 1GG01069* 

*P{EPgy2}CG17959EY03513* GCCTGTGGATCGAGACTCCAGTTGC *X*:2841198..2841222 GCTGGGGAGTCAAGTTCGCACTCC *X*:2841552..2841529 *P{SUPor-P}kirreKG05552* CATTGCGATGTGGGCCATGCTGTTGG *X*:2992911..2992936 CCGAATTACTCGACATCGGAACACG *X*:2841198..2841222 *PBac{RB}Fcp3Ce04212* GTTGTGCTGCTACTTGCAACACC *X*:3068122..3068144 CAAATGTTGGCCGCCCAATATTGATTGG *X*:3069002..3068975 *P{SUPor-P}KG06782* GCCGCTGCTTCGCCTGGCTTCCTGG *X*:3253742..3253766 GACGAGTTTTCGGCGTTTAGATCATTGG *X*:3254392..3254365 *PBac{WH}CG12206f07347* GCACCCGGATGGTCTCGTCCTGC *X*:3360464..3360486 CGCCTTGGGAAATTCGATCGTGTTC *X*:3361427..3361403 *Mi{ET1}CG32791MB01249* CTGCGGCATTATCGTCGCATGGG *X*:3422188..3422210 CGGCACGGTCTTTATTGTTTGCGATTC *X*:3422825..3422799 *P{SUPor-P}tlkKG06931* CTATGGCCACATTCAAGAAACGCC *X*:3634322..3634345 CTGCAGTCTACAGAAAGTAACAGGC *X*:3635061..3635037 *P{SUPor-P}ecKG09175* CCAGAACGCAGCACTATATCGAC *X*:3736678..3736700 GGCCAACGTCTTCAGTGCGACG *X*:3737602..3737581 CGCTTTCTATTGCCATCTCGCTCGATTGGC *X*:3843899..3843928 GCGAGGGGGTTGCAAAAGAATGGGG *X*:3844623..3844599

*P{EPgy2}CG6379EY08403* GTTCTCATCGTCCGAAGGTTCGTCC *X*:3994648..3994672 CTAGACCAAGTGTGGTATGCTCG *X*:3995304..3995282 *PBac{RB}GlcAT-Ie04384* CCACACTGGAGACGAAGAATATCG *X*:4025177..4025200 GTGGCTTAATCCAGTTGGGGTCC *X*:4025778..4025756 *P{SUPor-P}KG00475* GGCTAAGCCAGTTTACAGGATCG *X*:4186834..4186856 GTTATCCTCGTTAAGTGCGTTAACCAC *X*:4187481..4187455 *P{XP}bid05964* GAGTTCCATCTCGATTTAAGGTGGC *X*:4317564..4317588 GCATCCTACTCTCATTCGCTCGCC *X*:4318287..4318264 *Mi{ET1}MB01991* GTTCTAAGGCACAGGTCAGGGC *X*:4478617..4478638 GGTGATGACAGCAGACACCAGGC *X*:4479348..4479326 *P{EP}HLH4CG351* CTCAGTCAGAGCAGCTGGTGTCC *X*:4539074..4539096 CCAACTTAACCGAAGTGTTCGATGG *X*:4539664..4539640 *P{SUPor-P}KG02802* CGACGCGAACTCGTTTCTCGCCG *X*:4573372..4573394 CGCTTGTAAGGTGTTCACCACTTGCG *X*:4574098..4574073 *P{SUPor-P}KG06705* CGAATTAATGACGGTTGGGGTTCGC *X*:4698591..4698615 CATCCAAAGGACCACCAAACTGC *X*:4699234..4699212 *P{EPgy2}CG6903EY08878* GTCCAATCCAGACGCTCGTCGCG *X*:4813070..4813092 GGCATAGTGACCCTGATCGAGGTCG *X*:4813615..4813591 *P{SUPor-P}CG3011KG08318* CTCTGCTTGGAGTCCGAATATCG *X*:5810306..5810328 GATAGCGCAGCGATCGGGTCGG *X*:5810719..5810740 *P{GT1}BG01736* GGCAGAGCGGATCGGCTTCC *X*:5882264..5882283 CGAGTTACGATGGGATCGATCG *X*:5883053..5883074 *P{GT1}BG02331* GGATCGCCAAAGTCCGTCGCCG *X*:5971289..5971310 CATAGTCGCTTTTTCACCGCTTGC *X*:5971849..5971872 *P{GT1}CG3774BG02156* GGAGAGCCCATAGGCGAGCG *X*:6114141..6114160 CAGTCGTACGTCGTATGTGC *X*:6114957..6114976 *P{EPgy2}EY06102* CAGGATTCTCACCTAATTGGGC *X*:6170249..6170270 CAGGTACATTGGAATTATTGCTTAGGTACC *X*:6170819..6170848 *P{EPgy2}EY16428* CGACACTGGTGCCTGGACGG *X*:6188987..6189006 GCAGGCGTCACAATAGTCGTGG *X*:6189850..6189871 *PBac{WH}swaf01372* GCTCGTCCGTCGGAAAACTCTCG *X*:6259593..6259615 CATTGCCGACTAGAATCCAGTTGC *X*:6260082..6260105 *P{XP}CG3918d02940* CGGGCGGATTGAACTGGCGC *X*:6418107..6418126 CTATGCGATTGGTGCGCTTACC *X*:6418827..6418848 *P{EPgy2}EY07268* GAAATGGACACTTTCGACCTGAAGGC *X*:6434427..6434452 GATGTTGGGTTTGTGCGGCAAGCC *X*:6434848..6434871 *P{EPgy2}l(1)G0148EY07177* GTATATCAAGTGCCAGCTTTGGTGC *X*:6548351..6548375 GCAGTAACTGAGATGACAACTCC *X*:6548939..6548961 *PBac{WH}CG14442f06399* GTTGGATCTCCACCATCGATCG *X*:6583433..6583454 CTGGTGACCATCAGTCAGCACC *X*:6584031..6584052 *PBac{WH}CG14439f06522* CATCTCCTAACTAGCCCTATAAGC *X*:6642256..6642279 GAAAGATTCATTAGACTCATTCTCATTGGG *X*:6642746..6642775 *PBac{WH}AtX-1f01201* GCAGGTGCAGCCGGGTCATCC *X*:6717733..6717753 CCATTAGAATGCTTGACAGGAGG *X*:6718403..6718425

*PBac{WH}ogref07788* CGTGTACAAGGGGTTTTCACG *X*:6875585..6875605 CAAGTTATTCCGTACCTTTTCGTAGGCC *X*:6876203..6876230 *P{XP}CG14427d06860* GTCACCCACATTCCGGAGGC *X*:6935287..6935306 GGCCCCTGACCTTTGACCCGC *X*:6935833..6935853 *P{SUPor-P}CG9650KG00935* CGATTCGTCGTCACCTACGTGATCC *X*:7089520..7089544 GCTTCTTAGGCGAACATGTCCG *X*:7090100..7090121 *Mi{ET1}DokMB03742* GTCGGCATGGGATTGCCCGCC *X*:7219606..7219626 GCCTCAAAGGTGAACTTGCC *X*:7220307..7220326 *Mi{ET1}MB07442* CGTCAATCCGACTTTCCCAAGG *X*:7310589..7310610 GCCAAGCAGCTCTGACCC *X*:7311355..7311372 *PBac{WH}CG15478f07358* GATCCACCCACTCAAGGCTGCCCAGC *X*:7610424..7610449 CGAATACGGACAACATACACGGGAC *X*:7611227..7611203 *Mi{ET1}CG1402MB01998* CGATTGAGACAATAACCCGAAAGCC *X*:7726814..7726838 CTGCGATTCACTTCCAGGACTTCAC *X*:7727326..7727302 *PBac{WH}CG10932f04498* CTCCTGGACATCGGTCTTCGC *X*:7782491..7782511 GCTTGTAACCGTTAAGTTCAATTTACGC *X*:7783020..7783047 *P{EPgy2}CG1444EY08252* GCCGTTGACAATTGACATTCAATCGC *X*:7802982..7803007 GACCTTCCGGAAGACCTGGAAGCC *X*:7803488..7803465 *PBac{WH}CG15332f05798* CCCTCGGTATGCCTGCAATGCC *X*:7849656..7849677 GGGCGACGAACGGTGGCTGC *X*:7850292..7850311 *P{EPgy2}fs(1)hEY10625* CGCGAGTTTCTTCTGAGTCGCC *X*:7954973..7954994 CCGAGCCTAGGAACAGTGTTGC *X*:7955600..7955621 *P{EPgy2}GclcEY05904* CATGGGCGAAATTTACGCG *X*:8009881..8009899 GCAAGTTGTGGTGAAATCACAAACTGC *X*:8010552..8010578 *P{SUPor-P}CG2116KG00028* CGATGTATGAAAGCGGAAGGAAGCAAGC *X*:8023765..8023792 CCAATTCCCTGACCACAAATTGCG *X*:8024252..8024229 *PBac{PB}CG10959c02347* GTGCACTTCGACAAGCAGGG *X*:8043108..8043127 GACTTGCCGCAGTTCTCGTGC *X*:8043859..8043879 *PBac{PB}CG10959c02347* CCGCTCACCAAGACGGTCACGGG *X*:8043132..8043154 CCGTGTGCTCCTTGCGACGATGC *X*:8043761..8043739 *P{EPgy2}EY20665* GCAGAGAAGTCGGGGAAATCCATGG *X*:8071764..8071788 GGTCCTGGGCCGTAGTGTCC *X*:8072637..8072656 *P{XP}sdtd05058* GGGTAAAACAGATGCTGTATCGCG *X*:8086758..8086781 CAGTCCGCCGGTGGGAACGAGACG *X*:8087345..8087322 *P{XP}CG1632d05362* GTGATGAGGTTGGTCTCGCCCTGG *X*:8165237..8165260 CTCTCTCCAATTGCCATTCAATG *X*:8165644..8165622 *P{SUPor-P}CG32711KG09043* CAGCTAAGGACTTTGTTCGCATATCC *X*:8302771..8302796 GAGAGCACAAGTCTGAGCACACAC *X*:8303364..8303341 *Mi{ET1}CG15347MB04140* GCAGTGCCAACCCGTTGACACCTCG *X*:8352348..8352372 GGTATCTTTCGCAGATGGCTACAC *X*:8353216…8353193 *P{XP}Nrgd11128* GAATGGCAATGCAATATTGTACGAAGCAC *X*:8412006..8412034 GCCAAAGCGTGAGAGACGGAGCG *X*:8412305..8412283 *P{EPgy2}EY19827* GGACCACTATTCGTAAACCAAAATGTGC *X*:8483158..8483134 CGCTGTGGGCTGCGAGCCGTGTAGC *X*:8483158..8483134 *PBac{RB}CG11284e04402* CCAAAGTCCAAGTTATAATGTGCTTGCC *X*:8582193..8582220 CAACTTGTCTTTCTCGGCGTCGAAG *X*:8582905..8582881 *P{SUPor-P}CG2004KG10420* CGGAATGTCTAAGTGATCACACCC *X*:8608364..8608364 GCGCATCTCTAACCCTGCCTTCA *X*:8608747..8608725 *PBac{RB}Moee03902* CGACTTCATGCTAATGGGATAAGTGTGC *X*:8791314..8791341 GAGGGGTAGAGTGATTGGAGTGTGAGTC *X*:8791733..8791706 *Mi{ET1}rdgAMB06886* CGCGTAAGTGAAAGAGAACCGATGGTATGG *X*:8919421..8919450 GGCTGAAGGTAAATTGGGTGGTATATC *X*:8920102..8920076 *P{EP}CG7267EP1030* CAATGTGTCTATCAATATTCTTATGACACAGC *X*:8972175..8972206 CTTTCGGACTGTCTATAGTGATTCG *X*:8972920..8972896 *P{EPgy2}fendEY02774* GTGGACAAGGAATGAGAATGAGG *X*:9023264..9023286 GTGCCCATGGTTCCCACACTTGTCG *X*:9023975..9023951 *P{EPgy2}EY09570* CCACTATCTCGTCGGTCTGCTCCGC *X*:9055896..9055920 GGCCTTACCGTGTACCGCTACAGG *X*:9056299..9056276 *Mi{ET1}CG12119MB05824* CAGGAATTTCGAAAAGGCTGGCAGC *X*:9102623..9102647 GATTGAAGTTCCGCAGTTTAGCC *X*:9103310..9103288 *P{EPgy2}EY14474* GCAGGACAATCGACTCCATGGCTGG *X*:9137219..9137243 GCTGGGATGCCAGGTATTTGCAGC *X*:9137810..9137787

*P{EPgy2}EY03050* GGTCCGCCTATCCTTTGTCCC *X*:6777690..6777710 GATAGTAGCAGCGTTGCCAGGC *X*:6778164..6778185



*P{SUPor-P}KG05404* CCATCCACATTCCGCAGCAAACCG *X*:11900875..11900898 CGGATCGTGAGTGCAACTGTACG *X*:11901255..11901233 *P{XP}d08667* GCCTTCTACAAGACCGCACTTTTCCC *X*:11900970..11900995 CAAAGCACCGGTGCGTTAAGATTAGTC *X*:11901942..11901916 *Mi{ET1}Cyp318a1MB02480* GGGCACCTTGAGTGTCTGAATCCG *X*:11926365..11926388 CGATATAGAGCAGGACTCGG *X*:11927161..11927142 *PBac{WH}CG2750f01388* GGCACAACCACGTCGTCAAGCGTATG *X*:12001848..12001873 CATCGATGCTTCATGATACGAGGGC *X*:12002412..12002388 *PBac{WH}f01428* GTATGGCTAGGTGAGCTATGTTTGCAC *X*:12289367..12289393 CCTGCGAATTCCCATCATCCTG *X*:12290184..12290163 *P{XP}CG42258d01896* GTGTGCTATTTGGCTGACCACAG *X*:12364084..12364106 GCTCACTCTACCGCTCCTCGCTC *X*:12364498..12364476 *P{XP}d06616* ACTTAGGCACGCGCGCCGCAGAGTG *X*:12477049..12477073 GGTTGAAGTCTTCGCTACAGTCTC *X*:12477408..12477385 *P{EP}tomosynEP1359* CACATGATCCTTACTCGCGAACACC *X*:12534787..12534811 GGTCGGCGTGAATAGTATAGCATAC *X*:12535194..12535170 *PBac{WH}Smrf02932* CGCCCACTCATCTATCATTCGATAG *X*:12627909..12627933 CCTTGCCAAATCCTCGGTCCTGCC *X*:12628571..12628548 *P{XP}d05563* CGCCACTATAGCAACATTGACGTTCC *X*:12679058..12679083 CGCTTTTATTGGGACAAAGAGCTG *X*:12679580..12679557 *P{EPgy2}EY20029* GGTTCGATAACAGTGCCCGGTATGC *X*:12790564..12790588 CTCAAATGTACTTGTGCGCGCCACCTG *X*:12791066..12791040 *P{EP}Tango13EP1218* CTTAACACTATCATTGGCGCCACC *X*:13457567..13457590 GTTGTTGCTGCCGCTAACTATTGTTGC *X*:13458193..13458219 *P{EPgy2}CG2691EY08204* CTGCCGGCCACATCGTTAACCG *X*:13518037..13518058 CGATAACAGGTTGGTGTGTTAGC *X*:13518713..13518735 *P{EPgy2}NFATEY07123* CACGGCCTGAGGTGTGCGCGTGC *X*:13534311..13534333 CGCTTGGGCGCGAACAACTATTTGGC *X*:13535124..13535149 *Mi{ET1}mus101MB08064* GTTGTTGAAAATTAATTCTAAGTCAAAGCGC *X*:13620991..13621021 GTTGCCTAGAGCATGAGATTCC *X*:13621561..13621582 *P{EPgy2}EY00885* GAGAGTGACGCTTTCTCGCGCGC *X*:13656333..13656355 CATTATTATCATTGCGGGCAGCTGG *X*:13657098..13657122 *PBac{RB}jube03614* GTGTGACCGCCGCGGGTTACG *X*:13724330..13724350 CAACGACATCACGCGCACC *X*:13725065..13725083 *Mi{ET1}MB00659* GATGCGTTCGCCACATGAGGTG *X*:14040471..14040492 GTTTGGCCTTCGTCATATACTCG *X*:14040950..14040972 *P{EPgy2}EY01770* CACCGCTGACATCATGAACGGGC *X*:14086953..14086975 GTCTGCGAGGTTAGGTTGAATCC *X*:14087493..14087515 *PBac{RB}CG42271e02366* CTCGTTTAGCAACTCCATAGATGG *X*:14127636..14127659 CACACTCTTGCATTGCTCAGCGC *X*:14128306..14128284 *PBac{RB}nae04385* GCCATGCTGACGCTGTTCGAGG *X*:14166500..14166521 GCTGGTGAGATTCAGGCATGCGG *X*:14167142..14167164 *Mi{ET1}dpr8MB03631* GATGAAAGACTAAGAGCCGGCGCG *X*:14292263..14292286 CACGCATTCTGTGGTCTACTCCG *X*:14293028..14293050 *Mi{ET1}MB07827* CACTTTGCTCTGAACCAGAGTCG *X*:14573279..14573301 GGTATTCCTGCTGTTTATCAGGCTCG *X*:14573990..14574015 *P{GT1}rutBG00139* GGTGCTGATGACCCTTTGGCG *X*:14703387..14703407 CGATTTGGATAGTGATGTCATGGG *X*:14704073..14704096 *P{EPgy2}CG14407EY04278* GTCCTTGACACCTGCACGCAAGC *X*:14731776..14731798 GAGGCCCAGGCCGCGACATCC *X*:14732506..14732526 *P{GT1}Flo-2BG00596* CTGCACTTTCATTTAGGCCTCG *X*:14790697..14790718 CATCAGCCATCAACCGCAACCGC *X*:14791407..14791429 *P{EPgy2}CG9009EY02124* CATTACATATCGGCACTTTCCTGCG *X*:14844078..14844102 GATTGATCGCATCTCGAAGAGC *X*:14844703..14844724 *P{EPgy2}EY07971* GACTCAAGGTGCGGCAGAAGG *X*:14969858..14969878 GACCCTTGACTAGGCATAAATCTTGG *X*:14970447..14970472 *P{EPg}HP10680* GGCCACAATTAGCAGTAGTAGATAGC *X*:15019331..15019356 CTCGAGCGCCTGACTACTGGC *X*:15020111..15020131 *Mi{ET1}MB01800* GGAGATCTGTAGCTTCCAGCTAGC *X*:15154894..15154917 GAGTTCCTGTCCCGGATACCG *X*:15155792..15155812 *Mi{ET1}HDAC6MB06564* GACGTGTCCGCACTTGAGGC *X*:15232911..15232930 CTCCGCTCCGCTGTCTAGTGC *X*:15233659..15233679 *P{EP}Ahcy13EP1007* CTTTGGATACGGCTGTTCAATGACC *X*:15343811..15343835 TTCCGCCAGACTGATATCGGC *X*:15344699..15344719



*P{XP}Fimd02114* GCTCCACGTTGAGAATATCGGCC *X*:17185337..17185359 CGCGTTTTCTAAAGGTGTTCGTCTGCC *X*:17185864..17185838

*PBac{WH}CG8557f03948* CGCTGATTATGAGGATGGCACGC *X*:17370704..17370726 GCACCTTGTCTAATTTATGCCTCG *X*:17371436..17371413 *PBac{RB}X11Le03317* CTTGTACTATCCGTTCGAATGTTGC *X*:17493278..17493302 GCACTACTCCTTCAGCAACTCG *X*:17493693..17493672 *P{SUPor-P}CG32556KG01967* GCTACGTGGTCACATAGATACACC *X*:17575254..17575277 GTGCACACTCTCCCGAAGGCG *X*:17575754..17575734 *P{SUPor-P}KG00022* CTCACACGCGGACATTTGGAGCCG *X*:17592431..17592454 GCGGCTTGTCCTGCTCCGAAGTTAGG *X*:17592920..17592895 *P{GT1}e(y)1BG00948* CTTGGCTAGAACGTGGCGCTCCAACGG *X*:17736655..17736629 CACTAGACGTCTGCATCGATAGTATCGC *X*:17736155..17736182 *P{SUPor-P}mnbKG04573* CCGTTTTTCCAGCGGCCACACACGGC *X*:17781089..17781114 GCCGCTATTAGCACTGGCTTGCAGG *X*:17781523..17781499 *P{EP}EP970* CGAGCATACTGCGAGCTGC *X*:17805012..17805030 CAAATGTCACTTGCACGCCAAACGC *X*:17805791..17805767 *PBac{RB}CG7192e04401* GTCAACGCAGCATCCACACACATCC *X*:17995075..17995099 CACTATACCATAGATTCCTCAAATTGCC *X*:17995501..17995474 *Mi{ET1}MB05922* CACACTCCGCAATAACGAGTCGACCG *X*:18067979..18068004 CACAATAATTGTTATCATCGACGTTGCC *X*:18068543..18068516 *Mi{ET1}CG33639MB04209* GAATGGCCATCGGCGAGACGCAGACC *X*:18107466..18107491 CGTTTGCCATCCAGGATTTGCTCGACC *X*:18108028..18108002 *PBac{WH}CG6179f08025* GATGGCTGCACGCAGCTCTGCAGGC *X*:18273551..18273575 CTTCTCGTTGTAGGTGTACACGGC *X*:18274277..18274254 *PBac{WH}CG32547f06408* GCTGAACTTGGTCGGCACTGAAAGTCG *X*:18352471..18352497 CCATGATCTCCCACATCCTGATCGC *X*:18352991..18352967 *P{EPgy2}Wnt5EY03178* GCGATTCCATTCAAGACGATTCAGTTCG *X*:18399005..18399032 CCGTAGGTACGTGTGAAGCTGCTTCC *X*:18399796..18399771 *P{SUPor-P}CG6461KG03971* CTCTTGAGTTGCACTTTCCTAGGCC *X*:18400752..18400776 GGCCGAACCTGTGCGCAACCATGTTG *X*:18401285..18401260 *PBac{RB}wgne00637* GCAATAAACATCGATGATATGGAGGAGG *X*:18526115..18526142 GCTTGTTGGCTTTGATCCCGTAGTG *X*:18526876..18526852 *P{SUPor-P}KG01373* GCATTGGCATAGTAGTCGAGCAGTGC *X*:18667730..18667755 GCGGCTGATTTGATGATTAGCGCGG *X*:18668339..18668315 *PBac{WH}CG7101f01197* GGCGAAGAAGCCGGCCAAGAAGCG *X*:18724761..18724784 GTAGCAGCAGTCCAGGGCACTGCGTTCG *X*:18725506..18725479 *P{SUPor-P}CG32541KG02698* GTGCTTCGAACACGGTCCACACGGGC *X*:18823963..18823988 CGCACCGAAGAACGGGTGGTCAAAAACCG *X*:18824772..18824744 *P{SUPor-P}RhoGAP18BKG00160* CCTGACTATCGCTGTCCCTCTTCGCC *X*:19047385..19047410 CCAGCGCGCGATCCTTCATCCTCCG *X*:19048071..19048047 *PBac{WH}Mec2f06342* CAATCGTTGAGCACGGATTACCAGCC *X*:19083868..19083893 GGCTGTTTTCTTTGTCCGCCATCGTTTAG *X*:19084330..19084302 *P{GT1}BG01439* GGACACATCATTGGGCACAGACCC *X*:19114334..19114357 GGAACATAGATCAACCTACTACTCGC *X*:19115069..19115044 *P{EPgy2}rictorEY08986* CAAGAGGAGGTGCACACATGCATGC *X*:19153875..19153899 CGGTCGCCACAGCATAGAGAGAGCC *X*:19154382..19154358 *P{EPgy2}gfAEY10801* GGCTTAAGTGGTTCTGCATTAGC *X*:19235474..19235496 CTTCAACTGACAGGTGTGCCCGG *X*:19236086..19236064 *PBac{RB}CG32533e00904* GCTCCTATCGTCACTTGAATGGG *X*:19368749..19368771 GAAGTAGTTGGCCACGCTAGTTGGC *X*:19369403..19369379 *P{XP}l(1)G0156d06039* GCTGATAAGGCCGCAAATCAGGG *X*:19415066..19415088 CAGCAGTTGATTACGTGCTGGCC *X*:19415616..19415594 *P{EPgy2}CG14204EY05761* GCCCGTGCCACTTCGCGTTCGC *X*:19478642..19478663 GTGCTGCAGGCGCCATCTATCG *X*:19479376..19479355 *P{SUPor-P}MKP-4KG03420* CTCCGCAAGCTGAAAGTACTCCGG *X*:19516790..19516813 CGAATCCAGCGTGAGGATGTGGG *X*:19517523..19517501 *P{SUPor-P}KG05538* CCAAATACGACGTGATTCTAGGTAGG *X*:19560935..19560960 GCCCAAAGCTGCGATACTCACCTTCC *X*:19561674..19561649 *P{EPgy2}meso18EEY07842* CGTCTAGAGCGTGGCGATTGTGCG *X*:19606268..19606291 GGAATCTCAGGACGGCGGACTACGG *X*:19607025..19607001 *P{SUPor-P}CG12703KG08105* GTGATAACTTGTTCGATAGCTCTTCG *X*:19644723..19644748 CTTCCTGTACTACAACTGGTGGTGG *X*:19645089..19645065 *P{SUPor-P}CG32529KG03876* CACACGGACGGAATGGACCTCACCG *X*:19769718..19769742 GCGATTTACACTAGTGTTGAAATTGGC *X*:19770395..19770369



#### **TABLE S2**

## **Inversions constructed for** *Dp(1;Y)* **screens**



<sup>a</sup>*In(1)BSC30* and *In(1)BSC31* were constructed with nonstandard insertion combinations, because standard insertions did not exist in the regions of the desired proximal breakpoints.

#### **TABLE S3**

#### **Extents of duplicated medial segments in** *Dp(1;Y)***s**







#### 20 SI R. K. Cook *et al.*



*Dp(1;Y)BSC193 X*:14166500..14292263;14720102 428 - 554 kb 12E7-12E9;12F4 *Dp(1;Y)BSC194 X*:14292263..14573279;14720102 147 - 428 kb 12E9-12F2;12F4



#### 22 SI R. K. Cook *et al.*



aScreen still in progress.

b*Dp(1;Y)*s derived from *In(1)BSC6* were characterized by CGH microarrays

<sup>c</sup>*Dp(1;Y)BSC32* had low fertility due to hyperploidy effects and was not maintained in culture. Other *Dp(1;Y)* chromosomes that could not be maintained were not named.

### **TABLE S4**

*Dp(1;Y)***s with duplicated basal** *X* **genes** 

Duplication	Genomic Coordinates	Cytological breakpoints
Dp(1;Y)BSC21	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC22	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC29	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC30	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC31	X:2063144420795940;het	19E2-19E5;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC39	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC43	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC47	X:2118993021253567;het	19F4-20A1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC52	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC53	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC54	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC60	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC64	X:2107586121189930;het	$19F2 - 19F4; X$ het
Dp(1;Y)BSC71	X:2107586121189930;het	19F2-19F4;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC72	X:2079594020942269;het	19E5-19E7;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC85	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC90	X:2094226921075861;het	19E7-19F2; $X$ het
Dp(1;Y)BSC100	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC105	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC109	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC118	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC120	X:2118993021253567;het	19F4-20A1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC136	X:2185811721961730;het	$20C1-20C3; X$ het
Dp(1;Y)BSC140	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC143	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC149	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC152	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC156	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC169	X:2144312621858117;het	$20A3-20C1; X$ het
Dp(1;Y)BSC194	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC195	X:2144312621858117;het	$20A3-20C1; X$ het
Dp(1;Y)BSC203	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC205	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC208	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het
Dp(1;Y)BSC220	X:2144312621858117;het	20A3-20C1;X het