

**BJP** British Journal of

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# **Ranolazine selectively blocks persistent current evoked by epilepsy-associated Nav1.1 mutations**

Kristopher M Kahlig $^1$ , Irene Lepist $^2$ , Kwan Leung $^2$ , Sridharan Rajamani $^2$ and Alfred L George,  $Ir^{1,3}$ 

1 *Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA,* <sup>2</sup> *Gilead Sciences Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA, and* <sup>3</sup> *Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA*

#### **BRITISH BPS PHARMACOLOGICAL SOCIETY**

DOI:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00976.x www.brjpharmacol.org

#### **Correspondence**

Alfred L George, Jr, Division of Genetic Medicine, 529 Light Hall, Vanderbilt University, 2215 Garland Avenue, Nashville, TN 37232-0275, USA. E-mail: al.george@vanderbilt.edu

--

#### **Keywords**

sodium channel; epilepsy; antiepileptic drugs; ranolazine; migraine

--

**Received** 5 March 2010 **Revised** 18 June 2010 **Accepted** 7 July 2010

#### **BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE**

Mutations of *SCN1A*, the gene encoding the pore-forming subunit of the voltage-gated sodium channel Na<sub>V</sub>1.1, have been associated with a spectrum of genetic epilepsies and a familial form of migraine. Several mutant Na $v1.1$  channels exhibit increased persistent current due to incomplete inactivation and this biophysical defect may contribute to altered neuronal excitability in these disorders. Here, we investigated the ability of ranolazine to preferentially inhibit increased persistent current evoked by mutant  $Na<sub>v</sub>1.1$  channels.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH**

Human wild-type (WT) and mutant Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels were expressed heterologously in human tsA201 cells and whole-cell patch clamp recording was used to assess tonic and use-dependent ranolazine block.

#### **KEY RESULTS**

Ranolazine (30  $\mu$ M) did not affect WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channel current density, activation or steady-state fast inactivation but did produce mild slowing of recovery from inactivation. Ranolazine blocked persistent current with 16-fold selectivity over tonic block of peak current and 3.6-fold selectivity over use-dependent block of peak current. Similar selectivity was observed for ranolazine block of increased persistent current exhibited by  $Na<sub>v</sub>1.1$  channel mutations representing three distinct clinical syndromes, generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus (R1648H, T875M), severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy (R1648C, F1661S) and familial hemiplegic migraine type 3 (L263V, Q1489K). *In vitro* application of achievable brain concentrations (1, 3 µM) to cells expressing R1648H channels was sufficient to suppress channel activation during slow voltage ramps, consistent with inhibition of persistent current.

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS**

Our findings support the feasibility of using selective suppression of increased persistent current as a potential new therapeutic strategy for familial neurological disorders associated with certain sodium channel mutations.

#### **Abbreviations**

FHM3, familial hemiplegic migraine type 3; GEFS+, generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus; LQTS, long-QT syndrome; SMEI, severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy; TTX, tetrodotoxin; WT, wild type

## **Introduction**

Mutation of *SCN1A*, which encodes the poreforming a-subunit of the brain voltage-gated sodium channel  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.1$  (nomenclature follows Alexander *et al*., 2009), is a cause of genetic epilepsies ranging in severity from the typically mild generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus (GEFS+) to the debilitating severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy (SMEI) (George, 2005; Meisler *et al.*, 2005; Mulley *et al*., 2005; Lossin, 2009). Mutation of *SCN1A* has also been linked to familial hemiplegic

migraine type 3 (FHM3) (Dichgans *et al*., 2005; Vanmolkot *et al*., 2007; Kahlig *et al*., 2008). A common feature observed for several  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channel mutants is a significantly increased persistent current (Lossin *et al*., 2002; Rhodes *et al*., 2004; Spampanato *et al*., 2004; Kahlig *et al*., 2006; 2008), which can be predicted to affect neuronal excitability. Therapeutic strategies capable of selectively inhibiting increased persistent current might have clinical benefits in this setting.

Ranolazine, a novel anti-anginal drug that chemically contains a piperazine ring flanked by lidocaine and catecholamine-like moieties, exerts its clinical action in part by inhibiting persistent sodium current carried by cardiac sodium channels (Abrams *et al*., 2006; Nash *et al.*, 2008). Persistent sodium current in myocytes is predicted to alter ionic homeostasis by raising intracellular sodium ion concentration that in turn blunts activity of the sodium/calcium exchanger and elevates the level of intracellular calcium. The resulting ionic imbalance is thought to precipitate myocardial dysfunction leading to electrical instability, arrhythmia and reduced contractility (Shryock *et al.*, 2008). Ranolazine also inhibits sodium currents recorded from cultured pituitary tumour GH<sub>3</sub> neurons as well as the neuron-like NG108-15 mouse/rat hybridoma cell line (Chen *et al*., 2009; Wu *et al*., 2009), but the target of ranolazine in these *in vitro* models is unclear as the cell lines may express a low level of Na<sub>v</sub>1.5 channels.

Although ranolazine exhibits activity against other molecular targets (Allely *et al*., 1993; Letienne *et al*., 2001; Chen *et al*., 2009), the primary therapeutic mechanism of action is thought to involve block of persistent sodium current due to the lidocaine moiety. This effect was first demonstrated in a guinea pig ventricular myocyte model of long-QT syndrome (LQTS) in which persistent current was induced by the sea anemone toxin ATX-II (Song *et al*., 2004; Wu *et al*., 2004). Subsequently, ranolazine was shown to preferentially block the increased persistent current evoked by LQTS mutations in NaV1.5 channels (Fredj *et al*., 2006; Rajamani *et al*., 2009), as well as toxin- or mutation-induced persistent current carried by muscle (Na<sub>v</sub>1.4; (Wang *et al.*, 2008) and peripheral nerve (Na<sub>v</sub>1.7 and Na<sub>v</sub>1.8; (Rajamani *et al*., 2008a; Wang *et al*., 2008) sodium channels. However, the ability of ranolazine to inhibit brain sodium channel isoforms such as  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.1$  has not been reported.

In this study, we have demonstrated the ability of ranolazine to preferentially block the increased persistent current generated by mutant  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.1$ channels. Our findings illustrate a proof of principle that selective suppression of persistent



current may provide a useful new therapeutic strategy for *SCN1A*-associated epilepsy and migraine syndromes.

# **Methods**

## *Expression of human Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channel cDNA*

All wild-type (WT) and mutant plasmid constructs have been studied previously by our laboratory and cDNA expression was performed as previously described (Lossin *et al*., 2002; Rhodes *et al*., 2004; Kahlig *et al*., 2008). Briefly, heterologous transient expression of Na<sub>v</sub>1.1  $\alpha_1$  channels in combination with human  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  accessory subunit cDNAs was achieved by transfection of tsA201 cells using Qiagen Superfect reagent  $(5.5 \mu g)$  of DNA was transfected at a plasmid mass ratio of 10:1:1 for  $\alpha_1 : \beta_1 : \beta_2$ channel subunits).

## *Electrophysiology*

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were used to measure the biophysical properties of WT and mutant  $Na<sub>v</sub>1.1$  channels, as described previously (Kahlig *et al*., 2008). Briefly, the pipette solution consisted of (in mM) 110 CsF, 10 NaF, 20 CsCl, 2 EGTA, 10 HEPES, with a pH of 7.35 and osmolarity of 300 mOsmol/kg. The bath (control) solution contained in  $(mM)$ : 145 NaCl, 4 KCl, 1.8 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 MgCl2, 10 dextrose, 10 HEPES, with a pH of 7.35 and osmolarity of 310 mOsmol/kg. Cells were allowed to stabilize for 10 min after establishment of the whole-cell configuration before current was measured. Series resistance was compensated 90% to assure that the command potential was reached within microseconds with a voltage error <2 mV. Leak currents were subtracted by using an online P/4 procedure and all currents were low-pass Bessel filtered at 5 kHz and digitized at 50 kHz. For clarity, representative ramp currents were low pass filtered off-line at 50 Hz. Specific voltage-clamp protocols assessing channel activation, fast inactivation and availability during repetitive stimulation were used as depicted in figure insets.

## In vitro *pharmacology*

A stock solution of 20 mM ranolazine (Gilead Sciences Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) was prepared in 0.1 M HCl. A fresh dilution of ranolazine in the bath solution was prepared every experimental day and the pH was readjusted to 7.35. Direct application of the test solution to the clamped cell was achieved using the Perfusion Pencil system (Automate, Berkeley, CA, USA). Direct cell superfusion was driven by gravity at a flow rate of  $350 \mu L/min$  using a  $250$ micron tip. This system sequesters the clamped cell



within a stream and enables complete solution exchange within 1 s. The clamped cell was superfused continuously starting immediately after establishing the whole-cell configuration. Control currents were measured in drug-free solution. Ranolazine containing solutions were superfused for 3 min prior to current recordings to allow equilibrium (tonic) drug block. Tetrodotoxin (TTX) was then applied in the presence of ranolazine to enable offline digital subtraction of TTX-insensitive current.

Tonic block of peak and persistent currents were measured from this steady-state condition and three sequential current traces (0.1 Hz pulsing frequency) were averaged to obtain a mean current for each recording condition (control, ranolazine and TTX) that were utilized for offline analysis. Steady-state persistent current was measured during the final 10 ms of a 200 ms voltage step to  $-10$  mV with an inter-pulse duration of 10 s.

Use-dependent block of peak current was measured during pulse number 300 of a pulse train (-10 mV, 5 ms, 300 pulses) at frequencies between 10 and 135 Hz from a holding potential of  $-120$  mV. Two sequential pulse train stimulations were averaged to obtain mean current traces for each recording condition, which were then used for offline subtraction and analysis. Use-dependent block of persistent current was measured by first conditioning cells with a 10 Hz pulse train  $(-10 \text{ mV}, 5 \text{ ms}, 300 \text{ m})$ pulses) followed immediately by a 200 ms voltage step to  $-10$  mV (effectively the  $301<sup>st</sup>$  pulse of the train). TTX-sensitive persistent current was measured during the final 10 ms of the 200 ms step.

Block of ramp current was assessed by voltage ramps to +20 mV from a holding potential of -120 mV at 20 mV/s stimulated every 30 s. To minimize time-dependent current drift, only one trace recorded during control, ranolazine or TTX superfusion was analyzed.

Concentration inhibition curves were fitted with the Hill equation:  $I/I_{\text{max}} = 1/[1+10^{\text{A}}(\log 1C_{50} - 1)^{*}k],$ where  $IC_{50}$  is the concentration that produces half inhibition and *k* is the Hill slope factor. The upper and lower limits were set to 1 and 0.

#### In vivo *pharmacology*

All animal care and experimental use were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Gilead Sciences. Male Sprague Dawley rats (250– 350 g, Charles River Laboratories, Hollister, CA, USA) were cannulated in the jugular vein and used to study brain penetration of ranolazine *in vivo*. Three rats per group were infused intravenously with ranolazine in saline at 85.5  $\mu$ g/kg/min. After 1, 2.5 or 5 h, animals were killed for plasma and brain collection, and ranolazine concentrations were measured by liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. Brain tissue was homogenized in 5% sodium fluoride solution containing 1% 2N HCl (final homogenate was diluted threefold). Plasma and brain homogenate samples  $(50 \mu L)$  were precipitated, with  $300 \mu L$  of deuterated D<sub>3</sub>-ranolazine (150 ng/mL; synthesized by PPD Discovery, Morrisville, NC, USA) as an internal standard, in 9:1 acetonitrile : methanol, vortexed, then centrifuged at  $3273\times$  g for 10 min at 22°C. The supernatant (50 µL) was transferred and diluted with water (450  $\mu$ L) prior to injection  $(10 \mu L)$ . High-performance liquid chromatography was performed using a Shimadzu LC-10AD liquid chromatograph and a Luna C18(2) (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Inc., Columbia, MD, USA),  $3 \mu m$ ,  $20 \times 2.0$  mm column with a mobile phase consisting of water containing 0.1% formic acid (solution A) and acetonitrile (solution B) carried out under isocratic conditions (75% solution A, 25% solution B; flow rate 0.300 mL/min). Mass spectrometric analyses were performed using an API3000 mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) operating in positive ion mode with multiple reaction monitoring for ion transition 428.1 > 98. Brain-to-plasma ranolazine ratios were calculated for each sample as ng ranolazine/g brain divided by ng ranolazine/mL plasma.

#### *Data analysis*

Results from the electrophysiological experiments are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM, and unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons were made using oneway ANOVA followed by a Tukey *post hoc* test in reference to WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels.

#### *Materials*

Unless otherwise noted, all reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

## **Results**

In this study, we determined the ability of ranolazine to inhibit WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels and a panel of  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channel mutants associated with GEFS+, SMEI and FHM3 to evaluate the ability of ranolazine to preferentially block the abnormal increased persistent current carried by these mutant channels.

### *Ranolazine effects on activation and inactivation of WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channels*

We first determined the effects of ranolazine on activation and inactivation properties of WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$ channels expressed heterologously in tsA201 cells. Figure 1A illustrates representative whole-cell

#### Ranolazine blocks Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 persistent current





#### **Figure 1**

Ranolazine effects on wild-type (WT) Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels. (A) Representative whole-cell sodium currents recorded during sequential superfusion of control solution followed by 30 µM ranolazine. Currents were activated by voltage steps to between -80 and +20 mV from a holding potential of -120 mV. (B) Peak current density elicited by test pulses to various potentials and normalized to cell capacitance recorded during sequential superfusion of control solution followed by 30 µM ranolazine. (C) Voltage dependence of activation measured during voltage steps to between -80 and +20 mV plotted together with voltage dependence of fast inactivation determined with 100 ms pre-pulses to between -140 and -10 mV (symbols as defined in B). Pulse protocols are shown as panel insets and fit parameters are provided in Table 1. (D) Time-dependent recovery from fast inactivation following an inactivating pre-pulse of 100 ms to -10 mV (symbols as defined in B). Pulse protocols are shown as panel insets and fit parameters are provided in Table 1.

sodium currents recorded from a cell expressing WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels in control solution (drug-free) and the same cell during superfusion with  $30 \mu M$  ranolazine. Application of the drug had no overt effects on WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channel function even at this high concentration. Similarly, there was no significant effect of the drug on peak current density recorded during sequential application of control solution and  $30 \mu$ M ranolazine (Figure 1B). Furthermore,  $30 \mu$ M ranolazine did not significantly shift the voltage dependence of the activation or inactivation of WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channels (Figure 1C, Table 1). These results indicate that ranolazine does not interfere with activation of the channels. However,  $30 \mu M$ ranolazine did cause a slight but significant slowing

of recovery from inactivation (Figure 1D, Table 1) consistent with increased stability of the inactivated state. These results indicate that 30 uM ranolazine has minimal effects on the function of WT  $\text{Nav}1.1$ channels.

#### *Preferential ranolazine block of persistent current*

We examined the concentration-dependent tonic inhibition of peak and persistent current carried by WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and a mutant Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channel (R1648H) associated with GEFS+ that we previously demonstrated to exhibit significantly increased persistent current as the only apparent biophysical defect (Lossin *et al*., 2002; Kahlig *et al*., 2006). Figure 2A



### **Table 1**

Biophysical parameters for activation and fast inactivation of WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channels



§ Values in brackets represent fractional amplitudes. Values significantly different from Control are indicated as follows \*\**P* < 0.01.



#### **Figure 2**

Ranolazine preferentially inhibits the persistent current in Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels. Tonic inhibition of Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channel peak and persistent current measured using a 200 ms voltage step to -10 mV from a holding potential of -120 mV. Representative tetrodotoxin-subtracted whole-cell sodium currents recorded for wild-type (WT) Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 (A) and R1648H channels (B) during sequential superfusion of control solution (black trace) followed by 30 µM ranolazine (red trace). The dashed line indicates zero current level. (C, D) Ranolazine exhibits a concentration dependent tonic block of WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and R1648H channels peak and persistent currents. The peak and persistent current measured during ranolazine superfusion was normalized to the current measured in control solution. Derived parameters are shown in Tables 2 and  $n = 5-6$  for both WT Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 and R1648H channels.



### **Table 2**

Tonic block of WT Na $\sqrt{1.1}$  and R1648H channels



illustrates whole-cell sodium currents recorded from WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channels during sequential application of control solution (black trace) followed by  $30 \mu M$ ranolazine (red trace). Tonic block of the peak current in  $WT$   $Na<sub>v</sub>1.1$  channels was minimal as illustrated by the figure inset where the data were plotted on an expanded time scale. In Figure 2B, which illustrates the same experimental sequence for the R1648H mutant channels, persistent current was substantially reduced during superfusion of ranolazine as compared to the drug-free condition. As observed for WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1,  $30 \mu$ M ranolazine exerted minimal tonic block of peak current in R1648H channels (Figure 2B inset).

Ranolazine exhibited greater degrees of tonic inhibition of persistent current as compared with peak current for both WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and R1648H channels (Figure 2C and D, respectively). Fits of concentration–inhibition curves with the Hill equation provided IC<sub>50</sub> values of 871  $\mu$ M for WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and  $490 \mu M$  for R1648H channels for tonic peak current block (Table 2), whereas ranolazine block of persistent current carried by WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  and R1648H channels exhibited  $IC_{50}$  values of 53.7  $\mu$ M and  $30.2 \mu$ M, respectively. These results demonstrate that ranolazine has approximately 16-fold selectivity for tonic block of persistent current carried by either WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 or R1648H channels.

We also assessed use-dependent block of the peak current in WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  and R1648H channels by ranolazine. Figure 3A illustrates the whole-cell sodium currents recorded from WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels in response to a repetitive depolarization protocol (5 ms, -10 mV, 300 pulses, 10 Hz) during superfusion of control solution. In the drug-free control condition, the availability of WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels was unchanged during repetitive depolarization. In contrast, application of  $30 \mu M$  ranolazine to the same cell caused a reduction in peak current during repetitive pulsing consistent with use-dependent block of the channel (Figure 3B). The concentration dependence of ranolazine use-dependent block of the peak current in WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  and R1648H channels was characterized by  $IC_{50}$  values of 195  $\mu$ M and 138  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 3C and D, Table 2). The

use-dependent block of persistent current was measured for R1648H channels using a repetitive depolarization protocol (5 ms, -10 mV, 300 pulses, 10 Hz) followed immediately by the 200 ms voltage step necessary to elicit steady-state persistent current (Figure 4A). Use-dependent block of persistent current by ranolazine exhibited an  $IC_{50}$  value of 30.1  $\mu$ M for R1648H channels (Figure 4B, Table 3), which is indistinguishable from tonic block of persistent current. These results demonstrated that ranolazine was 3.6-fold and 4.6-fold more potent at inhibiting the persistent current carried by WT  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.1$  and R1648H channels, respectively, as compared to use-dependent block of peak current.

## *Ranolazine block of mutant Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels*

We compared the degree of ranolazine block among six  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  mutant channels representing three clinical syndromes: GEFS+ (R1648H, T875M), SMEI (R1648C, F1661S) and FHM3 (L263V, Q1489K). Figure 5A illustrates tonic block of peak and persistent current by  $30 \mu M$  ranolazine for this panel of mutant channels normalized to current amplitudes recorded in drug-free control solution. For WT and all mutant channels, we observed a much greater degree of ranolazine block of persistent current as compared to peak current. We also assessed the ability of ranolazine to reduce the magnitude of persistent current exhibited by mutant channels to the level conducted by WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels. In Figure 5B, persistent current was expressed as a percent of peak current and was not normalized to the drug-free condition. In general, the level of persistent current carried by mutant channels was reduced by approximately 50% (range 44–60%), and for some mutant channels (R1648H, T875M, L263V), the level in the presence of ranolazine was not significantly different from that observed in WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels in the absence of drug.

We also assessed use-dependent block of the peak and residual currents in mutant  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels by ranolazine. Figure 5C illustrates use-dependent block of peak current for WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  and mutant channels by 30  $\mu$ M ranolazine. Neither WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 nor any mutant channel exhibited significant loss of KM Kahlig et al.



#### **Figure 3**

Use-dependent block of Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channel peak current by ranolazine. Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channel availability during repetitive stimulation was assessed with a depolarizing pulse train (-10 mV, 5 ms, 300 pulses, 10 Hz) from a holding potential of -120 mV. Representative whole-cell sodium currents recorded from wild-type (WT) Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels during sequential superfusion of control solution (A) followed by 30  $\mu$ M ranolazine (B). Only the current traces from pulses 1, 30 and 300 are shown for clarity. (C,D) Ranolazine exhibits concentration-dependent and use-dependent block of the peak current in WT Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 and R1648H channels ( $n = 5-6$ ). Neither WT Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 nor R1648H channels exhibited use-dependent reduction in peak current when exposed to drug-free control solution. Derived parameters are shown in Table 3.

channel availability in control solution by the 300th pulse, but there was significant loss of channel availability during ranolazine application for both WT  $N_{av}1.1$  and mutant channels. However, the mutant channels R1648H, T875M and R1648C exhibited a significantly greater reduction in channel availability in the presence of 30  $\mu$ M ranolazine as compared to WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels.

By dividing the degree of persistent current block by the extent of use-dependent block of peak current, we calculated a selectivity index for the effect of ranolazine on mutant  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels. Ranolazine exhibited the most selective block of persistent current on L263V and F1661S, and least selective block on R1648H and R1648C channels with an overall rank order of  $L263V > F1661S >$   $Q1489K > T875M > R1648H = R1648C$ . Although the physiological impact remains to be investigated, these relationships may help predict molecular subsets of  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channel mutations that might be more amenable to selective suppression of increased persistent current.

#### *Brain penetration of ranolazine*

The ability of ranolazine to cross the blood–brain barrier has not been reported previously. We measured the degree of brain penetration of ranolazine in rats following continuous intravenous infusion of the drug  $(85.5 \mu g/kg/min)$  for 1, 2.5 and 5 h. Ranolazine exhibited significant brain penetration at all time points peaking after 5 h at 470 ng ranolazine/g brain (approximately 1.1  $\mu$ M, Table 4). Throughout the time course studied, the mean brain levels of ranolazine were approximately one-third of the corresponding plasma levels. Given that the therapeutic plasma concentration of ranolazine is  $2-10 \mu M$ ,



#### **Figure 4**

Use-dependent block of persistent current in R1648H channels by ranolazine. (A) Use-dependent inhibition of the persistent current in R1648H channels was measured using a conditioning pulse train (-10 mV, 5 ms, 300 pulses, 10 Hz) followed by a 200 ms step to -10 mV. The steady-state persistent current was measured during the final 10 ms of the pulse (asterisk). (B) Ranolazine exhibits a concentration dependent block of R1648H channel persistent current (*n* = 5–6). The persistent current measured during ranolazine superfusion was normalized to the current measured in control solution. Derived parameters are shown in Table 3.

#### **Table 3**

Use-dependent block of WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and R1648H channels



brain concentrations up to 3.3  $\mu$ M should be possible to attain with systemic dosing at therapeutic levels.

#### *Suppression of persistent current by therapeutic ranolazine concentrations*

We next examined the ability of achievable brain concentrations of ranolazine (1 and  $3 \mu M$ ) to suppress activation of R1648H channels during slow depolarizing voltage ramps, a phenomenon attributed to increased persistent current. Figure 6A illustrates representative inward currents produced in response to a slow depolarizing voltage ramp. Cells expressing R1648H channels exhibited an increased depolarizing current (compared to WT; red vs. black traces) that was blocked by  $3 \mu$ M ranolazine (blue trace). The average inward charge (pC) was calculated for multiple cells as the area under the current trace between -40 and 0 mV and normalized to the corresponding peak current (nA) generated by a voltage step to  $-10$  mV to account for variation in channel expression. Figure 6B demonstrates that sequential superfusion of control solution followed by either  $1 \mu M$  or  $3 \mu M$  ranolazine reduced the charge conducted by R1648H channels. Neither  $1 \mu$ M nor  $3 \mu$ M ranolazine produced significantly different peak current amplitude compared to that observed in cells expressing WT channels recorded in the absence of drug.

Finally, we assessed use-dependent block of WT and mutant  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.1$  by ranolazine. Figure 6C illustrates use-dependent block of WT  $\text{Nav}1.1$  and R1648H channels at pulsing frequencies between 10 and 135 Hz. In control solution, both WT and R1648H channels exhibited an expected degree of frequency-dependent loss of channel availability. Application of  $1 \mu M$  ranolazine exaggerated loss of availability at all frequencies greater than 60 Hz. Application of  $3 \mu$ M ranolazine exaggerated loss of availability at all frequencies greater than 22 Hz (Supporting Information Figure S1). These results are consistent with significant use-dependent block by ranolazine. Figure 6D shows that 1  $\mu$ M and 3  $\mu$ M ranolazine produced a similar degree of block of WT and R1648H channels up to 60 Hz.



KM Kahlig et al.



#### **Figure 5**

 $\blacktriangleleft$ 

Preferential block of persistent current by ranolazine. Tonic block of peak and persistent current measured using a 200 ms voltage step to  $-10$  mV during application of 30  $\mu$ M ranolazine for wild-type (WT) Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and mutant Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channels. (A) Peak and persistent current amplitudes were normalized to values recorded in drug-free control solution for each cell ( $n = 5-7$ ). (B) Persistent current expressed as a percentage of peak current recorded during the same voltage protocol for 30  $\mu$ M ranolazine. Significant differences from WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 channels in drug-free solution are indicated by \**P* < 0.05 and #*P* < 0.01. (C) Use-dependent block of WT Na<sub>v</sub>1.1 and mutant channels during superfusion of 30  $\mu$ M ranolazine ( $n = 5-7$ ). Neither WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  nor mutant  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels exhibited use-dependent reduction in availability when exposed to drug-free control solution. Significant differences from WT channels are indicated by \**P* < 0.05 and # *P* < 0.01. GEFS+, generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus; SMEI, severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy; FHM3, familial hemiplegic migraine type 3.

## **Discussion and conclusions**

Voltage-gated sodium channels are important targets for several widely used anti-epileptic drugs such as phenytoin and lamotrigine. These drugs act in part by stabilizing the inactivated state, thereby reducing sodium channel availability and limiting the ability of neurons to fire repetitively. In addition to reducing sodium channel availability during repetitive neuronal activity, another potentially important effect of these drugs may be the suppression of persistent sodium current (Stafstrom, 2007). Several types of neurons throughout the brain exhibit low amplitude depolarizing persistent current resulting from incomplete sodium channel inactivation. Persistent sodium current is active at subthreshold potentials and contributes to amplification of synaptic inputs and subthreshold oscillations, thereby enabling action potential generation and propagation, as well as supporting repetitive neuronal firing. Also, the persistent sodium current, although small in magnitude, can influence neuronal firing behaviour substantially and may be critical to enabling spread of epileptic activity (Stafstrom, 2007).

The importance of persistent sodium current in the pathogenesis of epilepsy received additional attention when the functional consequences of neuronal sodium channel mutations discovered in various epilepsies were revealed. Several mutations in *SCN1A* associated with GEFS+ and other epilepsies result in increased persistent sodium current, sometimes as the predominant biophysical abnormality (Lossin *et al*., 2002; Rhodes *et al*., 2004; Spampanato *et al*., 2004; Kahlig *et al*., 2006; 2008). An abnormally large persistent current would be predicted to accentuate subthreshold depolarizations, thereby facilitating action potential





## **Table 4**

Penetration of ranolazine into brain





### **Figure 6**

Ranolazine inhibits ramp and use-dependent currents. (A) Representative tetrodotoxin-subtracted ramp currents measured during a 20 mV/s voltage ramp from a holding potential of  $-120$  mV during sequential superfusion of control solution followed by 3  $\mu$ M ranolazine. The dotted line indicates zero current. (B) R1648H channels conducted significantly more charge between -40 and 0 mV of the ramp, which was inhibited in a concentration dependent manner to the level of wild-type (WT) Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 channels by 1  $\mu$ M or 3  $\mu$ M ranolazine (*n* = 9–10). (C) WT Na<sub>V</sub>1.1 and R1648H channel availability during repetitive stimulation was assessed with a depolarizing pulse train (-10 mV, 5 ms, 300 pulses) at frequencies between 10 and 135 Hz during sequential superfusion of control solution followed by 1 µM ranolazine. Normalized peak current (pulse 300/pulse 1) was plotted versus frequency for each pulse train (*n* = 9 and 8, respectively). (D) Inhibition of normalized peak current calculated as the ratio of channel availability during either 1 µM or 3 µM ranolazine and control conditions. Significant differences are indicated by \**P* < 0.05, #*P* < 0.01 and †*P* < 0.001.



generation and inducing neuronal hyperexcitability. These findings highlighted increased persistent current as a plausible pathophysiological factor in epileptogenesis and stimulated the idea that selective suppression of persistent current may offer a therapeutic strategy for rare familial epilepsies associated with mutations that promote this type of sodium channel dysfunction. Our findings in this study suggest that therapeutic levels of ranolazine can suppress abnormal increased persistent current and may re-establish normal subthreshold sodium conductance and hence normalize neuronal excitability.

The goal of our study was to test the hypothesis that ranolazine, a drug approved for the treatment of chronic stable angina pectoris, was capable of selectively suppressing increased persistent current evoked by  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  mutant channels. This hypothesis was inspired in part by the observation that pharmacological suppression of increased persistent current caused by cardiac sodium channel mutations is therapeutically beneficial in subjects with congenital LQTS (Schwartz *et al*., 1995; Wang *et al*., 1997). We observed that ranolazine exhibited 16-fold and fivefold greater inhibition of persistent current as compared to tonic block and usedependent block of peak current, respectively. This inhibition was concentration dependent with greatest selectivity in the low micromolar concentration range, which matches the usual therapeutic plasma concentration of  $2-10 \mu M$  (Chaitman, 2006; Sicouri *et al*., 2008). Ranolazine did not have significant effects on current density, activation and voltage dependence of inactivation, but we did observe slowing of recovery from inactivation that may indicate some degree of inactivated state stabilization. Ranolazine also exerts use-dependent block of WT and mutant  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  channels providing further evidence of inactivation stabilization, but the concentrations required for these effects are much higher than the usual therapeutic plasma levels of the drug.

The binding of ranolazine to  $Nav1.1$  channels may involve drug–receptor site interactions reported for other sodium isoforms. In a previous report investigating block of Na<sub>v</sub>1.4 and Na<sub>v</sub>1.7 channels, Wang *et al*. (2008) determined that ranolazine selectively binds open states with minimal binding to either closed or inactivated states. Their study utilized voltage-train protocols with increasing step durations to correlate ranolazine use-dependent inhibition with the presentation of open conformations. The authors also reported a moderately rapid association rate ( $k_{on} = 8.2 \mu M^{-1} s^{-1}$ ) for Na<sub>v</sub>1.4 channels, which they suggested would allow drug binding only after channels respond normally to

membrane depolarization. Unfortunately, control current magnitude, this study employed an inverse sodium gradient (65 mM external and 130 mM internal), and the resultant nonphysiological efflux of sodium ions may have affected drug binding kinetics, especially if ranolazine binds near the ion conduction pathway in open conformations. A second study by Rajamani *et al*. (2008a) also investigated the state-dependent binding of ranolazine to  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.7$  and  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.8$  channels. This study used a similar voltage-train protocol to demonstrate ranolazine binding to open channels. The authors also report significant shifts in the voltage dependence of channel activation and inactivation that were interpreted as evidence for drug binding to inactivated states. Our findings demonstrate that  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.1$  channels respond differently to ranolazine with no observable effects on voltage dependence of activation or inactivation.

Our results combined with earlier data highlight the diverse actions of ranolazine among sodium channel isoforms. Nevertheless, each study investigating the inhibition of sodium channels by ranolazine has reported preferential block of persistent current with a selectivity of between 9 and 17-fold (Fredj *et al*., 2006; Wang *et al*., 2008; Rajamani *et al*., 2009). Further investigations are needed to determine the mechanism of persistent current block by ranolazine. Among the possible mechanisms of action are: (i) binding to open states and occluding the pore; (ii) binding to open states and providing secondary inactivation stabilization; (iii) binding to inactivated states to directly stabilization inactivation; or (iv) a combination of each. Evidence for involvement of the intracellular local anaesthetic binding site was supported by the observation that mutating the binding site in  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.5$  and  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.4$ channels reduces the efficacy of ranolazine (Fredj *et al*., 2006; Wang *et al*., 2008).

At usual clinical dosages, ranolazine is well tolerated with a minority of patients experiencing mild adverse effects such as dizziness, nausea, headache and constipation (Nash *et al.*, 2008). Ranolazine also blocks the cardiac voltage-gated potassium channel HERG (Rajamani *et al*., 2008b) and this accounts for the mild degree of QT interval prolongation observed in some subjects. We demonstrated that ranolazine is able to cross the blood–brain barrier, which may explain certain adverse effects such as dizziness and headache reported by subjects receiving the drug. Furthermore, demonstration of ranolazine brain penetration prompts us to speculate that this drug could exert an anti-epileptic effect in persons carrying certain sodium channel mutations such as those we have tested in this study.

The strategy of selective suppression of persistent sodium current might not be appropriate for all subjects with sodium channel mutations. In particular, we predict that this approach could be counterproductive in SMEI caused by non-functional alleles (e.g. nonsense, frame shift mutations) based on anecdotal evidence that sodium channel blocking anti-epileptic drugs may aggravate this condition (Kassai *et al*., 2008). However, some cases of borderline SMEI and related syndromes carry missense mutations in *SCN1A* that promote increased persistent sodium current (Rhodes *et al*., 2005). We speculate that selective suppression of persistent current would have greatest value in epilepsy prophylaxis rather than in aborting active seizures based on the somewhat limited degree of use-dependent block exerted by the drug. Some degree of sodium channel use-dependent inhibition is likely to be important for an anticonvulsant effect and the therapeutic value of drugs selective for persistent current such as ranolazine might depend on the right balance of these two pharmacological actions. Perhaps, a combination of a highly selective persistent current blocker with a more conventional anti-epileptic drug would offer synergistic benefit. Testing these concepts in appropriate animal models is an important and logical next step.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Melissa Daniels for her valuable technical assistance and Christopher Thompson for critical review of this manuscript. This article is supported by NIH grant NS032387 (A.L.G.) and funds from Gilead Sciences Palo Alto Inc.

## **Statement of conflicts of interest**

Drs Lepist, Leung and Rajamani are employees of Gilead Sciences, Inc. that sells ranolazine. Dr George received grant funding from Gilead Sciences, Inc. to support this study.

#### **References**

Abrams J, Jones CA, Kirkpatrick P (2006). Ranolazine. Nat Rev Drug Discov 5: 453–454.

Alexander SPH, Mathie A, Peters JA (2009). Guide to Receptors and Channels (GRAC), 4th edn. Br J Pharmacol 158 (Suppl. 1): S1–S254.



Allely MC, Brown CM, Kenny BA, Kilpatrick AT, Martin A, Spedding M (1993). Modulation of  $\alpha$ 1-adrenoceptors in rat left ventricle by ischaemia and acyl carnitines: protection by ranolazine. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 21: 869–873.

Chaitman BR (2006). Ranolazine for the treatment of chronic angina and potential use in other cardiovascular conditions. Circulation 113: 2462–2472.

Chen BS, Lo YC, Peng H, Hsu TI, Wu SN (2009). Effects of ranolazine, a novel anti-anginal drug, on ion currents and membrane potential in pituitary tumor  $GH<sub>3</sub>$  cells and NG108-15 neuronal cells. J Pharmacol Sci 110: 295–305.

Dichgans M, Freilinger T, Eckstein G, Babini E, Lorenz-Depiereux B, Biskup S *et al*. (2005). Mutation in the neuronal voltage-gated sodium channel SCN1A in familial hemiplegic migraine. Lancet 366: 371–377.

Fredj S, Sampson KJ, Liu H, Kass RS (2006). Molecular basis of ranolazine block of LQT-3 mutant sodium channels: evidence for site of action. Br J Pharmacol 148: 16–24.

George AL Jr (2005). Inherited disorders of voltage-gated sodium channels. J Clin Invest 115: 1990-1999.

Kahlig KM, Misra SN, George AL Jr (2006). Impaired inactivation gate stabilization predicts increased persistent current for an epilepsy-associated SCN1A mutation. J Neurosci 26: 10958–10966.

Kahlig KM, Rhodes TH, Pusch M, Freilinger T, Pereira-Monteiro JM, Ferrari MD *et al*. (2008). Divergent sodium channel defects in familial hemiplegic migraine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 9799–9804.

Kassai B, Chiron C, Augier S, Cucherat M, Rey E, Gueyffier F *et al*. (2008). Severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy: a systematic review and a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Epilepsia 49: 343–348.

Letienne R, Vie B, Puech A, Vieu S, Le GB, John GW (2001). Evidence that ranolazine behaves as a weak  $\beta$ 1- and  $\beta$ 2-adrenoceptor antagonist in the cat cardiovascular system. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 363: 464–471.

Lossin C (2009). A catalog of SCN1A variants. Brain Dev 31: 114–130.

Lossin C, Wang DW, Rhodes TH, Vanoye CG, George AL Jr (2002). Molecular basis of an inherited epilepsy. Neuron 34: 877–884.

Meisler MH, Kearney JA (2005). Sodium channel mutations in epilepsy and other neurological disorders. J Clin Invest 115: 2010–2017.

Mulley JC, Scheffer IE, Petrou S, Dibbens LM, Berkovic SF, Harkin LA (2005). SCN1A mutations and epilepsy. Hum Mutat 25: 535–542.

Nash DT, Nash SD (2008). Ranolazine for chronic stable angina. Lancet 372: 1335–1341.

Rajamani S, Shryock JC, Belardinelli L (2008a). Block of tetrodotoxin-sensitive,  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.7$  and tetrodotoxin-resistant, Na<sub>v</sub>1.8, Na<sup>+</sup> channels by ranolazine. Channels 2: 449–460.

British Journal of Pharmacology (2010) **161** 1414–1426 1425



Rajamani S, Shryock JC, Belardinelli L (2008b). Rapid kinetic interactions of ranolazine with HERG K<sup>+</sup> current. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 51: 581–589.

Rajamani S, El-Bizri N, Shryock JC, Makiyama T, Belardinelli L (2009). Use-dependent block of cardiac late Na<sup>+</sup> current by ranolazine. Heart Rhythm 6: 1625–1631.

Rhodes TH, Lossin C, Vanoye CG, Wang DW, George AL Jr (2004). Noninactivating voltage-gated sodium channels in severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 11147–11152.

Rhodes TH, Vanoye CG, Ohmori I, Ogiwara I, Yamakawa K, George AL Jr (2005). Sodium channel dysfunction in intractable childhood epilepsy with generalized tonic-clonic seizures. J Physiol 569: 433–445.

Schwartz PJ, Priori SG, Locati EH, Napolitano C, Cantù F, Towbin JA *et al*. (1995). Long QT syndrome patients with mutations of the *SCN5A* and *HERG* genes have differential responses to Na<sup>+</sup> channel blockade and to increases in heart rate – Implications for gene-specific therapy. Circulation 92: 3381–3386.

Shryock JC, Belardinelli L (2008). Inhibition of late sodium current to reduce electrical and mechanical dysfunction of ischaemic myocardium. Br J Pharmacol 153: 1128–1132.

Sicouri S, Glass A, Belardinelli L, Antzelevitch C (2008). Antiarrhythmic effects of ranolazine in canine pulmonary vein sleeve preparations. Heart Rhythm 5: 1019–1026.

Song Y, Shryock JC, Wu L, Belardinelli L (2004). Antagonism by ranolazine of the pro-arrhythmic effects of increasing late  $I_{Na}$  in guinea pig ventricular myocytes. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 44: 192–199.

Spampanato J, Kearney JA, de Haan G, McEwen DP, Escayg A, Aradi I *et al*. (2004). A novel epilepsy mutation in the sodium channel SCN1A identifies a cytoplasmic domain for beta subunit interaction. J Neurosci 24: 10022–10034.

Stafstrom CE (2007). Persistent sodium current and its role in epilepsy. Epilepsy Curr 7: 15–22.

Vanmolkot KR, Babini E, de VB, Stam AH, Freilinger T, Terwindt GM *et al*. (2007). The novel p.L1649Q mutation in the SCN1A epilepsy gene is associated with familial hemiplegic migraine: genetic and functional studies. Hum Mutat 28: 522.

Wang DW, Yazawa K, Makita N, George AL, Jr, Bennett PB (1997). Pharmacological targeting of long QT mutant sodium channels. J Clin Invest 99: 1714–1720.

Wang GK, Calderon J, Wang SY (2008). State- and use-dependent block of muscle  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.4$  and neuronal  $Na<sub>V</sub>1.7$  voltage-gated Na<sup>+</sup> channel isoforms by ranolazine. Mol Pharmacol 73: 940–948.

Wu L, Shryock JC, Song Y, Li Y, Antzelevitch C, Belardinelli L (2004). Antiarrhythmic effects of ranolazine in a guinea pig in vitro model of long-QT syndrome. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 310: 599–605.

Wu SN, Chen BS, Hsu TI, Peng H, Wu YH, Lo YC (2009). Analytical studies of rapidly inactivating and non-inactivating sodium currents in differentiated NG108-15 neuronal cells. J Theor Biol 259: 828–836.

## **Supporting information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Figure S1** Ranolazine (3  $\mu$ M) inhibits usedependent current. WT  $\text{Na}_{\text{V}}1.1$  and R1648H availability during repetitive stimulation was assessed with a depolarizing pulse train  $(-10 \text{ mV}$ , 5 ms, 300 pulses) at frequencies between 10 and 135 Hz during sequential superfusion of control solution followed by  $3 \mu$ M ranolazine. Normalized peak current (pulse 300/pulse 1) was plotted versus frequency for each pulse train  $(n = 9 \text{ and } 8)$ , respectively).

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.