

Published in final edited form as:

Adv Synth Catal. 2010 October 4; 352(14-15): 2416–2420. doi:10.1002/adsc.201000599.

Iridium Catalyzed Hydro-hydroxyalkylation of Butadiene: Carbonyl Crotylation

Jason R. Zbieg, Takeo Fukuzumi, and Michael J. Krische

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA, Fax: (+1)-512-471-8696; mkrische@mail.utexas.edu

Abstract

Exposure of alcohols **1a-1i** to butadiene in the presence of a cyclometallated iridium catalyzed derived from allyl acetate, 4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzoic acid and BIPHEP (2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)biphenyl) results in hydrogen transfer to generate aldehyde-allyliridium pairs, which engage in C-C coupling to form products of carbonyl crotylation. Under related conditions using 1,4-butanediol as hydrogen donor, butadiene reductively couples to aldehydes **2e-2g** and **2i** to furnish carbonyl crotylation products **3e-3g** and **3i**. Thus, butadiene mediated carbonyl crotylation occurs with equal facility from the alcohol or aldehyde oxidation level with complete levels of branched regioselectivity.

Keywords

iridium; butadiene; crotylation; green chemistry; byproduct-free

We have found that hydrogen exchange between alcohols and π -unsaturated reactants triggers generation of electrophile-nucleophile pairs *en route* to products of C-C coupling.^{1,2} Using ruthenium- catalysts, the “*transfer hydrogenative coupling*” of alcohols to dienes,³ enynes,⁴ alkynes,⁵ and allenes⁶ delivers products of carbinol C-H functionalization or “*hydro-hydroxyalkylation*”. Recently, related alcohol-enal couplings catalyzed by ruthenium were reported where C-C bond formation is followed by redox isomerization.⁷ Using ruthenium-based catalysts, diastereoselective hydro-hydroxyalkylation only has been achieved in one isolated case^{6b} and, to date, enantioselective variants have proven elusive. In contrast, excellent levels of relative and absolute stereocontrol have been achieved in the iridium catalyzed C-C coupling of alcohols to π -unsaturated reactants, including allylic acetates,^{8,9} dimethylallene^{8e,g,10} and 1,3-cyclohexadiene.¹¹ This fact prompted us to explore alcohol-butadiene C-C coupling under the conditions of iridium catalyzed transfer hydrogenation. Our efforts were further motivated by the fact that the most broadly utilized protocol for stereoselective carbonyl crotylation, the method reported by Brown,¹² is attended by significant preactivation and the superstoichiometric generation of the secondary alcohol byproduct, isopinocampheol, has proven problematic.¹³ In contrast, butadiene is an abundant petrochemical feedstock that could potentially deliver products of carbonyl crotylation in the absence of stoichiometric byproducts, while bypassing discrete steps devoted to alcohol oxidation (Scheme 1).¹⁴

Correspondence to: Michael J. Krische.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.200#####>.

Supporting Information

Spectral data for all new compounds (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, HRMS), including scanned images of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Scanned images of HPLC traces corresponding to racemic and enantiomerically enriched products.

In an initial series of experiments, the coupling of butadiene to alcohol **1a** was explored using the *ortho*-cyclometallated iridium complex derived from [Ir(cod)Cl]₂, various 4-substituted 3-nitrobenzoic acids, allyl acetate and the chelating phosphine ligand BIPHEP (2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)biphenyl). Unlike other iridium catalyzed couplings developed in our laboratory,^{8–11} reactions attempted in THF produced only trace quantities of **3a**. Improved conversion to **3a** was observed in non-Lewis basic solvents, and toluene was best among the solvents screened (Table 1, entries 1–5). Additionally, a dramatic electronic effect involving the *C,O*-benzoate was evident: whereas the catalyst derived from 4-cyano-3-nitrobenzoic acid “BIPHEP-I-CN” provides only an 8% yield of C-C coupling product **3a**, the catalyst derived from 4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzoic acid “BIPHEP-I-OMe” delivers **3a** in 62% yield (Table 1, entries 5–7). Finally, mild basic additives, in particular sodium acetate, were found to enhance conversion (Table 1, entries 5, 8–11). Under optimal conditions employing “BIPHEP-I-OMe” as precatalyst in toluene solvent employing sodium acetate as base, butadiene and alcohol **1a** are converted to the product of C-C coupling **3a** in 80% isolated yield. Notably, a single regioisomer is formed (Table 1).

Under these optimized conditions, butadiene was coupled to alcohols **1a-1i**. Benzylic alcohols **1a-1f** were converted to products of carbonyl crotylation **3a-3f** in good yield. Allylic alcohols **1g** and **1h** also couple to butadiene to provide moderate yields homoallylic alcohols **3g** and **3h**. Finally, the unactivated aliphatic alcohol **1i** combines with butadiene to provide a 52% yield of the hydro-hydroxyalkylation product **3i**. Although products **3a-3i** are obtained as mixtures of *syn*- and *anti*-diastereomers, only a single regioisomer is formed in each case (Table 2).

The modest levels of *syn*-diastereoselectivity suggests a kinetic preference for butadiene hydrometallation from the *s-cis* conformer to deliver the *anti*- π -allyl which, in turn, provides the (*Z*)- σ -allyl stereoisomer. It is likely that conversion of the kinetically preferred (*Z*)- σ -allyl stereoisomer to the thermodynamically preferred (*E*)- σ -allyl stereoisomer occurs at a rate comparable to carbonyl addition. Alternatively, a Curtin-Hammett scenario might be operative, wherein full equilibration between the (*Z*) and (*E*)- σ -allyl stereoisomers is achieved, yet there exists a slight kinetic preference for carbonyl addition from the thermodynamically less stable (*Z*)- σ -allyl stereoisomer (Scheme 2).

For nearly all the C-C bond forming transfer hydrogenations we have developed,^{3–11} carbonyl addition may be achieved from both the alcohol or aldehyde oxidation levels. In the latter case, a stoichiometric reductant such as isopropanol or formic acid is required. Using BIPHEP-I-OMe as precatalyst, the reductive coupling of butadiene to aldehydes mediated by 1,4-butanediol¹⁴ occurs smoothly, as demonstrated by the conversion of aldehydes **2e-2g** and **2i** to crotylation products **3e-3g** and **3i**. Thus, butadiene-mediated carbonyl crotylation occurs with equal facility from the alcohol or aldehyde oxidation level (Scheme 3).

Having established favorable reactivity, a preliminary evaluation of chirally modified catalysts was undertaken. Toward this end, the *ortho*-cyclometallated iridium *C,O*-benzoate derived from (*S*)-SEGPHOS and (*R*)-WALPHOS (SL-W002-1) were prepared and assayed in the coupling of butadiene to alcohol **1a**. Using (*S*)-SEGPHOS-I-OMe, the crotylation product **3a** was obtained in 80% yield as a 1.4:1 mixture of *syn*- and *anti*-diastereomers, respectively. High levels of enantiomeric enrichment were observed for the *syn*-stereoisomer (87% ee). Using (*R*)-WALPHOS-I-OMe, the crotylation product **3a** was obtained in 40% yield as a 1:2.2 mixture of *syn*- and *anti*-diastereomers, respectively. High levels of enantiomeric enrichment were observed for the major *anti*-diastereomer (88% ee) (Scheme 4).

In summary, we report the iridium catalyzed hydro-hydroxyalkylation of butadiene employing alcohols **1a-1i** to furnish products of carbonyl crotylation **3a-3i**. Using 1,4-butanediol as hydrogen donor, butadiene couples to aldehydes **2e-2g** and **2i** to provide products of carbonyl crotylation **3e-3g** and **3i**. Thus, butadiene-mediated carbonyl crotylation occurs with equal facility from the alcohol or aldehyde oxidation level. Although products **3a-3i** are obtained as diastereomeric mixtures, preliminary studies employing chiral iridium catalysts modified by (*S*)-SEGPLHOS and (*R*)-WALPHOS (SL-W002-1) reveal promising levels of asymmetric induction and catalyst-directed diastereocontrol. Future studies will focus on the development of second-generation catalysts that promote butadiene-mediated carbonyl crotylation with control of relative and absolute stereochemistry.

Experimental Section

Procedure for the Synthesis of BIPHEP-I-OMe

To an oven-dried sealed tube under an atmosphere of nitrogen charged with [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ (100 mg, 0.15 mmol, 100 mol%), BIPHEP (156 mg, 0.3 mmol, 200 mol%), Cs₂CO₃ (195 mg, 0.6 mmol, 400 mol%) and 4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzoic acid (100 mg, 0.6 mmol, 400 mol%) was added THF (3 mL, 0.05 M). The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 30 min and was then allowed to cool to ambient temperature. Allyl acetate (75 mg, 0.75 mmol, 500 mol%) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 90 min at 80 °C, at which point the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with THF (15 mL) until all yellow residue was dissolved. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* and hexanes (50 mL) was added. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum (253 mg, 0.266 mmol, 90% yield).

General Procedure for Iridium Catalyzed Hydro-hydroxyalkylation of Butadiene

To an oven-dried sealed tube under an atmosphere of nitrogen charged with alcohol **1a** (50 mg, 0.30 mmol, 100 mol%), Ir-BIPHEP-I-OMe (14 mg, 0.015 mmol, 5 mol%), sodium acetate (25 mg, 0.30 mmol, 100 mol%) was added toluene (1.0 M, 0.3 mL) followed by 1,3-butadiene (0.1 mL, 1.2 mmol, 400 mol%, chilled at -78 °C). The reaction mixture was placed in a 70 °C oil bath and was allowed to stir for 48 hr, at which point the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the product by column chromatography (SiO₂; ethyl acetate:hexanes, 1:10) provides the product of carbonyl crotylation **3a** (53 mg) as a colorless oil in 80% yield as a single regioisomer and as a 1.4:1 mixture of *syn/anti* diastereomers.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


Acknowledgments

Acknowledgment is made to the Robert A. Welch Foundation and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445) for partial support of this research.


References

1. For selected reviews, see: a) Ngai MY, Kong JR, Krische MJ. *J Org Chem* 2007;72:1063–1072. [PubMed: 17288361] b) Skucas E, Ngai MY, Komanduri V, Krische MJ. *Acc Chem Res* 2007;40:1394–1401. [PubMed: 17784728] c) Shibahara F, Krische MJ. *Chem Lett* 2008;37:1102–1107. d) Patman RL, Bower JF, Kim IS, Krische MJ. *Aldrichim Acta* 2008;41:95–104. e) Bower JF,


- Kim IS, Patman RL, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem* 2009;121:36–48. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2009;48:34–46.
2. For related “hydrogen auto-transfer” reactions, alcohol dehydrogenation and nucleophile generation occur independently. Hence, conventional pre-activated nucleophiles are required. Such processes deliver products of formal alcohol substitution rather than carbonyl addition. For selected reviews, see: a) Guillena G, Ramón DJ, Yus M. *Angew Chem* 2007;119:2410–2416. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:2358–2416. b) Hamid MHSA, Slatford PA, Williams MJ. *Adv Synth Catal* 2007;349:1555–1575. c) Nixon TD, Whittlesey MK, Williams MJ. *Dalton Trans* 2009:753–762. [PubMed: 19156265] d) Dobereiner GE, Crabtree RH. *Chem Rev* 2010;110:681–703. [PubMed: 19938813] e) Guillena G, Ramón DJ, Yus M. *Chem Rev* 2010;110:1611–1641. [PubMed: 19928825]
3. a) Shibahara F, Bower JF, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:6338–6339. [PubMed: 18444617] b) Shibahara F, Bower JF, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:14120–14122. [PubMed: 18841895] c) Smejkal T, Han H, Breit B, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:10366–10367. [PubMed: 19594163] c) Han H, Krische MJ. *Org Lett* 2010;12:2844–2846. [PubMed: 20491487]
4. Patman RL, Williams VM, Bower JF, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem* 2008;120:5298–5301. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2008;47:5220–5223.
5. a) Patman RL, Chaulagain MR, Williams VM, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:2066–2067. [PubMed: 19173651] b) Williams VM, Leung JC, Patman RL, Krische MJ. *Tetrahedron* 2009;65:5024–5029. [PubMed: 20613891]
6. a) Ngai MY, Skucas E, Krische MJ. *Org Lett* 2008;10:2705–2708. [PubMed: 18533665] b) Skucas E, Zbieg JR, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:5054–5055. [PubMed: 19317402] c) Grant CD, Krische MJ. *Org Lett* 2009;11:4485–4487. [PubMed: 19764718]
7. Denichoux A, Fukuyama T, Doi T, Horiguchi J, Ryu I. *Org Lett* 2010;12:1–3. [PubMed: 19938848]
8. a) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:6340–6341. [PubMed: 18444616] b) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:14891–14899. [PubMed: 18841896] c) Kim IS, Han SB, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:2514–2520. [PubMed: 19191498] d) Lu Y, Kim IS, Hassan A, Del Valle DJ, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem* 2009;121:5118–5121. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2009;48:5018–5021. e) Itoh J, Han SB, Krische MJ. *Angew Chem* 2009;121:6431–6434. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2009;48:6316–6316. f) Hassan A, Lu Y, Krische MJ. *Org Lett* 2009;11:3112–3115. [PubMed: 19586067] g) Bechem B, Patman RL, Hashmi S, Krische MJ. *J Org Chem* 2010;75:1795–1798. [PubMed: 20131774] h) Han SB, Han H, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2010;132:1760–1761. [PubMed: 20099821]
9. For applications in target oriented synthesis, see: a) Lu Y, Krische MJ. *Org Lett* 2009;11:3108–3111. [PubMed: 19586066] b) Harsh P, O’Doherty GA. *Tetrahedron* 2009;65:5051–5055. [PubMed: 20161297]
10. Han SB, Kim IS, Han H, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:6916–6917. [PubMed: 19453190]
11. Bower JF, Patman RL, Krische MJ. *Org Lett* 2008;10:1033–1035. [PubMed: 18254642]
12. a) Brown HC, Bhat KS. *J Am Chem Soc* 1986;108:293–294. b) Brown HC, Bhat KS. *J Am Chem Soc* 1986;108:5919–5923.
13. Stoichiometric generation of isopinocampheol frequently complicates product isolation in Brown allylations and crotylations: a) Ireland RE, Armstrong JD III, Lebreton J, Meissner RS, Rizzacasa MA. *J Am Chem Soc* 1993;115:7152–7165. b) Burova SA, McDonald FE. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:2495–2500. [PubMed: 14982459] c) Ramachandran PV, Prabhudas B, Chandra JS, Reddy MVR. *J Org Chem* 2004;69:6294–6304. [PubMed: 15357588] d) White JD, Hansen JD. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:1963–1977. [PubMed: 15760174] e) Gao D, O’Doherty GA. *Org Lett* 2005;7:1069–1072. [PubMed: 15760141] f) Gao D, O’Doherty GA. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:9932–9939. [PubMed: 16292824] g) Liu D, Xue J, Xie Z, Wei L, Zhang X, Li Y. *Synlett* 2008:1526–1528.
14. A single example of rhodium catalyzed butadiene-aldehyde reductive coupling to furnish products of crotylation is reported, see: Kimura M, Nojiri D, Fukushima M, Oi S, Sonoda Y, Inoue Y. *Org Lett* 2009;11:3794–3797. [PubMed: 19663391] Also see reference 3a.
15. Maytum HC, Tavassoli B, Williams MJ. *Org Lett* 2007;9:4387–4389. [PubMed: 17854202]




Scheme 1.
 Metal catalyzed hydro-hydroxyalkylation of butadiene circumvents the preactivation and byproduct generation that attends “state-of-the-art” carbonyl crotylation methodology.

**Scheme 2.**

Proposed catalytic mechanism for iridium catalyzed hydro-hydroxyalkylation of butadiene.




Scheme 3.
Iridium catalyzed reductive coupling of butadiene to aldehydes **2e-2g** and **2i**.



Scheme 4.
 Preliminary evaluation of chirally modified catalysts.


Table 1


Selected experiments in the optimization of the iridium catalyzed hydro-hydroxyalkylation of butadiene.^a


entry	solvent	base	R	yield 3a (<i>syn:anti</i>)
1	THF	NaHCO ₃	OMe	trace
2	MeCN	NaHCO ₃	OMe	trace
3	dioxane	NaHCO ₃	OMe	32% (1.4:1)
4	DCE	NaHCO ₃	OMe	49% (1.4:1)
5	PhMe	NaHCO ₃	OMe	62% (1.4:1)
6	PhMe	NaHCO ₃	H	57% (1.4:1)
7	PhMe	NaHCO ₃	CN	8% (1:1)
8	PhMe	No Base	OMe	31% (1.4:1)
9	PhMe	KHCO ₃	OMe	57% (1.4:1)
10	PhMe	Li ₂ CO ₃	OMe	trace
11	PhMe	NaOAc	OMe	80% (1.4:1)

^aReactions were performed in 13 × 100 mm pressure tubes. The cited yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for experimental details.

Table 2

Iridium catalyzed hydro-hydroxyalkylation of butadiene employing alcohols **1a-1i**.^a

1.5:1 (*syn: anti*)^c^a As described in Table 1.^b 95 °C.^c 72 hr.