



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Prev Med. 2010 December ; 39(6): 529–536. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2010.09.007.

Abuse in Childhood and Adolescence As a Predictor of Type 2 Diabetes in Adult Women

Janet W. Rich-Edwards, ScD, Donna Spiegelman, ScD, Eileen N. Lividoti Hibert, MA, Hee-Jin Jun, ScD, Tamarra James Todd, PhD, Ichiro Kawachi, PhD, and Rosalind J. Wright, MD
Connors Center for Women's Health and Gender Biology (Rich-Edwards, James Todd), Channing Laboratory (Lividoti Hibert, Jun, Wright), Brigham and Women's Hospital/Harvard Medical School; Department of Epidemiology (Rich-Edwards, Spiegelman), Department of Biostatistics (Spiegelman), Department of Society, Human Development and Health (Kawachi), Department of Environmental Health (Wright), Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts

Abstract

Background—Although child abuse is associated with obesity, it is not known whether early abuse increases risk of type 2 diabetes.

Purpose—To investigate associations of child and adolescent abuse with adult diabetes

Methods—Proportional hazards models were used to examine associations of lifetime abuse reported in 2001 with risk of diabetes from 1989 to 2005 among 67,853 women in the Nurses Health Study II. Data were analyzed in 2009.

Results—Child or teen physical abuse was reported by 54% and sexual abuse by 34% of participants. Models were adjusted for age, race, body type at age 5 years, and parental education and history of diabetes. Compared to women who reported no physical abuse, the hazards ratio (HR) was 1.03 (95% CI: 0.91, 1.17) for mild physical abuse, 1.26 (1.14, 1.40) for moderate physical abuse, and 1.54 (1.34, 1.77) for severe physical abuse. Compared with women reporting no sexual abuse in childhood or adolescence, the HR was 1.16 (1.05, 1.29) for unwanted sexual touching, 1.34 (1.13, 1.59) for one episode of forced sexual activity, and 1.69 (1.45, 1.97) for repeated forced sex. Adult BMI accounted for 60% (32%, 87%) of the association of child and adolescent physical abuse and 64% (38%, 91%) of the association of sexual abuse with diabetes.

Conclusions—Moderate to severe physical and sexual abuse in childhood and adolescence have dose response associations with risk of type 2 diabetes among adult women. This excess risk is partially explained by the higher BMI of women with a history of early abuse.

Address correspondence to: Janet W. Rich-Edwards, ScD, 1620 Tremont Street, OBC 34-Q, Boston MA 02120. jr33@partners.org.
No financial disclosures were reported by the authors of this paper.

Author contributions. Dr. Rich-Edwards was responsible for the primary drafting of the article, which was edited by all coauthors. Drs. Rich-Edwards, Spiegelman, and Ms. Hibert contributed to the statistical analyses. Drs. Rich-Edwards and Wright obtained the funding for collection and analysis of the abuse data.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

INTRODUCTION

Interpersonal violence is a prevalent and understudied threat to women's health. The 1992 – 1996 survey by the National Institute of Justice and CDC estimated that 52% of U.S. women had been physically assaulted and 15% had been raped in their lifetime.¹ Over half of these assaults first occurred when the women were girls or adolescents.

Despite a sizeable literature regarding the psychological sequelae of childhood abuse, there is little research on the lifelong physical consequences of childhood abuse.² The exception to this is a consistently reported association of child abuse with adult obesity, with evidence that child abuse leads to overweight.^{3–4} As obesity is one of the strongest risk factors for type 2 diabetes, it follows that early abuse may lead to diabetes through overweight and obesity. Experimental and observational studies also indicate that early trauma may cause lasting dysregulated stress responsivity, which may link child abuse with diabetes through physiologic pathways independent of adiposity.^{5–14}

The first study to examine childhood trauma and diabetes was the Adverse Experiences of Childhood (ACE) Study, which reported that adults who had experienced traumas in childhood, including abuse, were at 60% higher risk of diabetes.¹⁵ Two subsequent studies reported associations of child neglect, but not physical or sexual abuse, with diabetes.^{16–17} These pioneering studies are variously limited by small samples, cross-sectional assessment, unvalidated or nonspecific metabolic outcomes, or cursory assessments of abuse history.^{15–20} Thus, to date, reliable estimates are lacking of the extent to which child physical or sexual abuse is associated with type 2 diabetes.

In 2001, a Violence Questionnaire was added to a large longitudinal cohort study of women, the Nurses Health Study II (NHSII). The authors hypothesized direct associations between physical and sexual abuse and risk of type 2 diabetes, further hypothesizing that the associations would be independent of childhood body type, but that higher BMI among women abused as children would partially mediate the association of early abuse with risk of diabetes.

METHODS

Population and follow-up period

Participants in the NHSII, a cohort of 116,430 registered nurses, were aged 25–42 years when the cohort was established in 1989. The cohort has been followed by biennial mailed questionnaires which inquire about risk factors and disease incidence. In 2001, a Violence Questionnaire was mailed to 91,297 NHSII participants, excluding those who had requested short questionnaires or required more than four mailings of the previous questionnaire. Participants returned 68,376 Violence Questionnaires.

Participants contributed person-time from 1989 until their last returned questionnaire, diabetes diagnosis, or the end of follow-up in 2005. The authors examined all 2,074 cases of type 2 diabetes that accrued from 1989 to 2005, as well as 759 incident cases that occurred after the violence questionnaire was administered in 2001. The results for total and incident cases were very similar; to maximize statistical power, total diabetes cases are reported. Data were analyzed in 2009, after diagnoses of diabetes reported on the 2005 questionnaire were reviewed and coded.

Exclusions

The 523 women who reported a diagnosis of diabetes or use of insulin or an oral hypoglycemic agent at baseline were excluded, leaving 67,853 women in the analysis. The

93 women who reported diabetes secondary to medical treatment (such as steroid medication) or conditions (such as pancreatitis) were censored and were not counted as cases.

Outcome Assessment

Nurses who reported a diagnosis of diabetes on any biennial questionnaire were mailed a supplemental questionnaire on which they reported details regarding the results of their diagnostic tests, symptoms, and therapy. Information from this form is used to classify cases into categories proposed by the National Diabetes Data Group (NDDG) and American Diabetes Association (ADA),^{21–22} as described previously.²³ The validity of this method has been tested by medical record review, with 98% confirmation of type 2 diabetes.²⁴ In a substudy of undiagnosed diabetes, only 1 of 200 of a random sample (0.5%) of nurse participants who had never reported diabetes had an elevated fasting PG level.²⁵ Over 97% of NHSII participants visited a physician for a screening examination between 1999 and 2001, and 54% had had a fasting blood glucose test in the past 2 years.

Exposure Assessment

The Violence Questionnaire covers 3 periods: childhood (up to age 11 years), adolescence (11–17 years), and adulthood.

Childhood and adolescent physical abuse were assessed through an adaptation of the revised Conflict Tactics Scale.²⁶ The instrument queried whether a parent, step-parent, or adult guardian ever: spanked you for discipline; pushed, grabbed, or shoved you; kicked, bit, or punched you; hit with something that hurt your body; choked or burned you; or physically attacked you in some other way. For each item, respondents indicated the frequency of the event (never, once, a few times, more than a few times). The “spank you for discipline” item was not counted toward the abuse score.

Physical abuse during childhood was categorized into four groups: no physical abuse (none); being “pushed, grabbed, or shoved” at any frequency or being “kicked, bitten, or punched” once or “hit with something” once (mild); being “hit with something” more than once or “physically attacked” once (moderate); being “kicked, bitten, or punched” or “physically attacked” more than once or ever “choked or burned” (severe). Participants were categorized according to the most severe event reported in either childhood or adolescence.

Child and adolescent sexual abuse was measured by questions regarding unwanted sexual touching and forced sexual activity adapted from a national survey conducted in 1995.^{27–28} “Were you ever touched in a sexual way by an adult or an older child or were you forced to touch an adult or an older child in a sexual way when you did not want to?” and “Did an adult or older child ever force you or attempt to force you into any sexual activity by threatening you, holding you down, or hurting you in some way when you did not want to?” Participants could respond: “No, this never happened”, “Yes, this happened once”, or “Yes, this happened more than once”. These questions were repeated for childhood and adolescent periods. Exposure was categorized into four groups: no sexual abuse; unwanted sexual touching only; forced sexual activity once; and forced sexual activity more than once.

Covariates

The authors considered early childhood covariates as potential confounders, including race/ethnicity, birthweight, and maternal and paternal history of diabetes, occupation (ten categories each for mother and father), education, and home ownership when the participant was an infant. A self-reported somatogram score at age 5 years, derived from diagrams of female body figures ranging in adiposity from 1 (very thin) to 9 (extremely obese), was used

to estimate early childhood adiposity. The National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Growth and Health study demonstrated that child somatogram scores are recalled by adults accurately enough for ranking in epidemiologic studies.²⁹ The authors also considered aspects of high school diet (glycemic load, glycemic index, animal and vegetable fat consumption) from a Food Frequency Questionnaire.³⁰

The authors considered as potential mediating factors those diabetes risk factors that occurred after child and adolescent abuse experiences, as these factors may have resulted from abuse. These updated time-varying covariates included adult BMI, cigarette smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, and dietary glycemic load and glycemic index.³¹ As depression is a risk factor for diabetes,^{5–6} lifetime and current depressive symptoms (“two weeks or longer when nearly every day you felt sad, blue, or depressed for most of the day”) with and without clinician diagnosis of depression were included in a subanalysis of follow-up from 2001 to 2005, the period for which depression data were available. Adult experiences of abuse were measured on the 2001 Violence Questionnaire with questions adapted from the McFarlane Abuse Assessment Screen,⁷ and used in secondary analysis to identify women whose abuse was isolated to childhood or adolescence.

Statistical Analysis

Hazard ratios and 95% CIs were estimated using a Cox proportional hazards model, with indicator variables for categories of physical and sexual abuse, including an indicator for missing abuse data.⁸

The authors considered as potential confounders those covariates that preceded childhood abuse and were theoretically or empirically associated with abuse and diabetes risk. The multivariate model included race, maternal and paternal education at the time of the participant's birth, somatotype at age 5 years, and maternal and paternal diabetes. Neither birthweight, high school diet, parental occupation, nor parental education materially altered the abuse risk estimates, and were not considered further.

The authors considered adult covariates as mediators between childhood abuse and adult type 2 diabetes, by examining the extent to which their inclusion in the model diminished the effect estimates for the abuse exposures. Current adult physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, dietary glycemic load, dietary glycemic index, depression, and BMI were tested as potential time-varying mediators. Nurses also recalled their weight at age 18 years.³² Only adult BMI, smoking and alcohol were retained in the model. The authors parameterized adult BMI updated at every questionnaire in several ways to fully capture its mediating effect on the association of abuse with type 2 diabetes: as a continuous variable, as deciles, and as a restricted cubic spline (21 knots with stepwise selection^{33–34}). Continuous BMI was chosen, as this was at least as potent as the other parameterizations in diminishing the risk estimates for the associations of abuse and diabetes. The authors tested multiplicative interactions between abuse types by performing likelihood ratio tests of nested models with and without cross-product interaction terms between physical and sexual abuse categories. To assess additive interaction between physical and sexual abuse, the authors calculated the Relative Excess Risk for Interaction and its variance using the method of Li and Chambless.⁹ The authors estimated the proportion of the abuse association explained by current adult BMI¹⁰ using the SAS macro of Spiegelman and colleagues to estimate the mediation proportion, its 95% CI, and a p-value to assess the significance of any mediation.^{10, 35} The authors used a multivariable ANOVA to examine associations of physical and sexual abuse with normalized somatotype at age 5 years, BMI at age 18 years, and BMI at the cohort's inception in 1989, adjusted for age, race, parental education and parental diabetes. The partial population-attributable risk percentage and its 95% CI for any abuse in childhood or adolescence were calculated as described previously.³⁶

RESULTS

Fifty-four percent of participants reported physical abuse in childhood or adolescence, including 9% who reported severe physical abuse (Table 1). One third of participants experienced sexual abuse in childhood or adolescence. Twelve percent reported forced sexual activity, half of whom reported that this happened more than once. Few participant characteristics varied by abuse history. Women who had experienced abuse were more likely to smoke cigarettes and to have had parents with diabetes and lower educational attainment. Although somatotype at age 5 years was unassociated with physical abuse ($p=0.21$), it was 0.11 SDs higher for participants who reported repeated forced sex at ages 5–17 years than for women with no sexual abuse history ($p<0.001$). As seen in Table 1, by the end of adolescence, abused girls had higher BMI than unabused girls (at age 18 years, severe physical abuse was associated with BMI that is 0.09 SDs higher and repeated forced sex with a BMI that is 0.18 SDs higher than unabused girls; ANOVA $p<0.0001$). This divergence in BMI trajectories between abused and unabused girls had grown wider by adulthood (at 1989 baseline, BMI was 0.15 SDs higher for severe physical abuse and 0.23 SDs higher for repeated forced sex in childhood or adolescence, $p<0.0001$).

There was a dose–response association of physical abuse with risk of diabetes (Table 2). Adjustment for race, maternal and paternal education, maternal and paternal diabetes, and somatotype at age 5 years somewhat attenuated these associations. Although mild physical abuse in childhood was not associated with increased risk of diabetes in adulthood, moderate and severe physical abuse were associated with 26% to 54% higher risks of diabetes (Table 2). As expected, physical and sexual abuse history were correlated (Spearman $r=0.20$, $p<0.0001$). Moderate and severe physical abuse predicted increased risk of diabetes whether or not women had also experienced sexual abuse, although the risk estimate for severe physical abuse fell short of significance among those without sexual abuse (Table 2).

Women who experienced unwanted sexual touching as children or teens had a 16% increased risk of diabetes, and those who had experienced forced sex once or repeatedly had 34% to 69% higher risks of diabetes than women who were not sexually abused as girls (Table 3). Most girls who experienced sexual abuse also suffered physical abuse (Table 1). Among the minority of sexually abused girls who suffered no physical abuse, there was a 56% higher risk of diabetes associated with forced sex more than once, but no associations of sexual touching or a single episode of forced sex with diabetes (Table 3).

Physical and sexual abuse interacted on an additive scale ($RERI=8.71$, 95% CI, 1.25, 16.02, $p=0.048$), but not on a multiplicative scale ($p=0.59$ for test of interaction), indicating that the absolute, but not the relative, risk of diabetes was higher among women who had experienced both forms of abuse than would be expected from the risk of sexual or physical abuse alone.

To examine abuse isolated to the child and teen years, the authors examined 48,853 women (74% of the cohort) who reported no adult physical or sexual abuse, among whom there were 1,434 diabetes cases. The risk estimates for diabetes associated with isolated child/teen abuse were similar to those for the full cohort, after adjustment for age, race, somatotype at age 5 years, parental education and diabetes. There was a 29% increased risk (14%, 46%) for moderate physical abuse and 49% (23%, 79%) for severe physical abuse isolated to childhood or adolescence. For sexual abuse isolated to childhood or adolescence, there was a 19% (5%, 35%) increased risk for sexual touching; 32% (5%, 65%) for forced sex once; and 86% (52%, 128%) for repeated forced sex.

The authors then considered models adjusted for diabetes risk factors occurring after the abuse, which could be considered intermediate on the pathway from child abuse to adult

diabetes. Adjustment for adult smoking, alcohol use, and BMI weakened, but did not eliminate, the dose–response associations of child and teen abuse with risk of adult diabetes, as can be seen by comparing the relative risks reported in Table 2 to those reported in Table 3. The attenuation was attributable almost entirely to BMI adjustment. The estimated mediation proportion indicated that adult BMI accounted for 60% (32%, 87%) of the associations of physical and 64% (38%, 91%) of sexual abuse with diabetes ($p < 0.0001$ for both). Despite adjustment for these potentially intermediate variables, moderate physical abuse, severe physical abuse, and repeated forced sex remained independently associated with significant increased risks of diabetes of 12%, 21% and 28%, respectively.

In this cohort, 65% of participants reported any degree of physical or sexual abuse in childhood or adolescence, which was associated with a 24% (95% CI=12%, 38%) increased risk of type 2 diabetes in adulthood, after adjustment for age, race/ethnicity, body type at age 5 years, and maternal and paternal education and history of diabetes. The PAR% derived from this model indicates that child/adolescent accounted for 14% (7% to 21%) of type 2 diabetes in this cohort. Applying the hazard ratio from this study to the 43% prevalence of any child or adolescent abuse reported by women in the National Violence Against Women Survey,¹ an estimated 9% of diabetes in U.S. women may be attributed to early abuse.

DISCUSSION

Physical and sexual abuse in childhood and adolescence were associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes in adult women in a dose–response fashion. Although mild physical abuse was unassociated with diabetes risk, moderate and severe physical abuse were associated with 26% to 54% higher risks of diabetes in maturity. Unwanted sexual touching was associated with 16% higher risk of diabetes, and forced sexual activity before adulthood carried 34% higher risk when it occurred once and 69% higher risk when it occurred more frequently. Child and teen abuse predicted later diabetes even among women who reported no adult physical or sexual abuse.

Although the minority of physically abused girls reported sexual abuse, most sexually abused girls were also physically abused. The highest risks of diabetes occurred among women who had experienced both types of abuse. Although there was no evidence of a multiplicative, synergistic impact of experiencing both physical and sexual abuse, women who experienced both types of abuse had higher absolute risks of diabetes than expected from physical or sexual abuse alone. Girls who experience both types of abuse may suffer more severe abuse, more emotionally damaging abuse, or more chronic abuse than girls who experienced abuse of one type.

Adult BMI was an important mediator of the associations between early abuse and adult diabetes, accounting for roughly 60% of the increased risk associated with child and adolescent abuse. At age 5 years, there was little difference between the somatotypes of girls who did and did not report later abuse. However, by age 18 years, the BMI trajectories of abused girls had begun to diverge, and by the time they completed the 1989 baseline questionnaire at age 25–42 years, there was a marked trend of increasing BMI with more severe abuse history. This pattern of diverging BMI in the years following abuse is consistent with prospective observations.³

After accounting for adult BMI, there remained a 10%–30% increased risk of diabetes among women who had experienced moderate physical abuse or the most severe forms of physical or sexual abuse. Although BMI was updated every 2 years and a variety of parameterizations were tested to fully account for BMI, it is possible that the remaining increased risk of diabetes reflects residual mediation by poorly measured BMI.

Alternatively, early abuse might affect the risk of diabetes through other pathways, such as altered neuroendocrine stress responsivity. Early stress has been shown in preclinical and clinical studies to have profound and lasting effects on the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal and noradrenergic stress systems.¹¹ Conditioned stress responses include heightened glucocorticoid, norepinephrine, and autonomic response to novel stressors,^{20–25} which cause insulin resistance in several species.^{12–14} These experiments suggest that stressful early experiences lead to central nervous system adaptations that may prove maladaptive in adulthood, especially in an environment in which calorie dense food is ubiquitous.

Few studies have examined the association between childhood abuse and risk of diabetes with more than a few hundred participants.^{15–17} The ACE study included 9,508 participants who completed a survey querying a variety of childhood traumas, including abuse.¹⁵ An ACE score ≥ 3 (of 7) was associated with an OR of 1.6 (1.0, 2.5) for self-reported diabetes prevalence in men and women. This vanguard study did not examine abuse specifically, making direct comparisons with the current study difficult.

In the National Comorbidity Survey (NCS), participants reported “diabetes or high blood sugar” in the past year, and indicated whether they had been “physically abused,” “raped and molested,” or “seriously neglected” as children.¹⁶ Goodwin found no associations of abuse with diabetes among 4,251 women surveyed, although those who reported serious neglect had a fourfold higher risk. In the National Survey of Midlife Development (MIDUS), Shaw and Krause examined parental physical abuse as a predictor of adult health among 2,788 men and women.¹⁷ As in the current study, they measured physical abuse with the Conflict Tactics Scales; however, they reported no association of physical abuse with self-reported “diabetes or high blood sugar” in the past year. Differences across these studies may stem from variation in the abuse measurements, the validity of diabetes self-reports, or from differing statistical power, as the NCS and MIDUS cohorts each had fewer than 100 cases of diabetes among women, compared with 2,074 cases in this study.

The current study relied on self-reports of abuse, as have most large abuse studies. The authors chose instruments used in national surveys;^{26–27} in particular, the Conflict Tactics Scales have good test retest reliability and convergent validity.²⁶ To reduce bias,³¹ instruments were chosen that queried specific acts of physical and sexual violence, rather than ask participants to report abuse or rape. Recall bias is unlikely, as associations were similar in follow-up before (1989–2001) and after (2002–2005) the 2001 Violence Questionnaire administration. The current study lacked data on other household dysfunctions, such as parental mental illness or substance abuse, which cluster with child abuse.¹⁵ Nurses in this cohort are well-educated, predominately white women, who reported more physical (54%) and sexual (12%) abuse in childhood and adolescence than did the random-dial telephone-based National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS) (40% physical and 9% sexual).¹ In particular, participants in the current study reported more instances of “push, grab and shove you” and “hit you with something that hurt your body” than had the NVAWS respondents. The questionnaire-based ACE study reported 45% prevalence of physical and 21% prevalence of sexual abuse in a combined male and female sample.⁴ These differences in prevalence estimates may stem from the nature of the survey methods and the populations surveyed. It seems unlikely that the biological relationships of abuse with diabetes risk among nurses differ from those of women in general. However, if the educational attainment of nurses buffers their response to abuse, a higher relative risk might be anticipated in a population of lower SES. The differences in estimates of abuse prevalence affect the PAR% estimates. Where abuse prevalence approximates 43% reported by NVAWS, the PAR% estimate is 9%; where abuse prevalence is on the order of 65% reported by participants in this and the ACE study, as much as 14% of diabetes may be attributable to early abuse.

The current study had several strengths compared with smaller, cross-sectional studies using unvalidated self-reports of diabetes. The current study included data on 16 times more women than previous studies and reduced bias by examining validated diabetes endpoints and by testing whether associations were present among prospectively reported, incident cases that followed the 2001 report of abuse history. Another strength of the study was the 16 years of prospectively collected data on lifestyle factors to explore potential confounders and intermediates. The questionnaire collected details on frequency and timing of specific abusive acts, allowing the authors to examine severity and type of abuse in this analysis.

Conclusion

The current study documented consistent dose–response associations between child and adolescent physical and sexual abuse with risk of adult diabetes, one of the chief threats to women's health. The high prevalence of child abuse suggests that it is an important, if overlooked, contributor to type 2 diabetes. Roughly half of the increased risk associated with abuse was attributable to the higher adult BMI of those who had suffered abuse in childhood or adolescence. A more precise description of the physiologic and psychological mechanisms through which abuse leads to overweight and obesity would focus prevention efforts. Weight control interventions designed specifically for survivors of abuse may help to reduce the risk of diabetes. These data lend further impetus and urgency to abuse prevention programs.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Rich-Edwards had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analyses. No author had a conflict of interest. This project was supported by grants RO1HL081557 and RO1HL64108 from the NIH. The funding source had no role in the design or conduct of the study or review or approval of the manuscript.

References

1. Tjaden, P.; Thoennes, N. Findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey. National Criminal Justice Reference Service; 2000. Full report of the prevalence, incidence, and consequences of violence against women.
2. Wegman HL, Stetler C. A meta-analytic review of the effects of childhood abuse on medical outcomes in adulthood. *Psychosom Med* 2009;71(8):805–812. [PubMed: 19779142]
3. Noll JG, Zeller MH, Trickett PK, Putnam FW. Obesity risk for female victims of childhood sexual abuse: a prospective study. *Pediatrics* 2007;120(1):e61–e67. [PubMed: 17606550]
4. Williamson DF, Thompson TJ, Andra RF, Dietz WH, Felitti VJ. Body weight and obesity in adults and self-reported abuse in childhood. *Int J Obes* 2002;268 (1075):1082.
5. Mezuk B, Eaton WW, Albrecht S, Hill Golden S. Depression and type 2 diabetes over the lifespan: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31(12):2383–2390. [PubMed: 19033418]
6. Arroyo C, Hu FB, Ryan LM, et al. Depressive symptoms and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27(1):129–133. [PubMed: 14693978]
7. McFarlane J, Parker B, Soeken K, Bullock L. Assessing for abuse during pregnancy. Severity and frequency of injuries and associated entry into prenatal care. *JAMA* 1992;267(23):3176–3178. [PubMed: 1593739]
8. Miettinen, OS. *Theoretical Epidemiology*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1985.
9. Li R, Chambless L. Test for additive interaction in proportional hazards models. *Annals of Epidemiology* 2007;17(3):227–236. [PubMed: 17320789]
10. Lin DY, Fleming TR, De Gruttola V. Estimating the proportion of treatment effect explained by surrogate marker. *Statistics in Medicine* 1997;16(13):1515–1527. [PubMed: 9249922]

11. Bremner JD, Vythilingam M, Vermetten E, et al. Cortisol response to a cognitive stress challenge in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)-related childhood abuse. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2003;28(6):733–750. [PubMed: 12812861]
12. Banjanin S, Kapoor A, Matthews SG. Prenatal glucocorticoid exposure alters hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function and blood pressure in mature male guinea pigs. *J Physiol* 2004;558(Pt 1):305–318. [PubMed: 15146051]
13. Liu D, Diorio J, Tannenbaum B, et al. Maternal care, hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress. *Science* 1997;277(5332):1659–1662. [PubMed: 9287218]
14. Yehuda, R.; McEwen, B. *Biobehavioral Stress Response: Protective and Damaging Effects*. New York: New York Academy of Sciences; 2004.
15. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. *Am J Prev Med* 1998;14(4):245–258. [PubMed: 9635069]
16. Goodwin RD, Stein MB. Association between childhood trauma and physical disorders among adults in the U.S. *Psychological Medicine* 2004;34(3):509–520. [PubMed: 15259836]
17. Shaw BA, Krause N. Exposure to physical violence during childhood, aging, and health. *Journal of Aging and Health* 2002;14(4):467–494. [PubMed: 12392001]
18. Kendall-Tackett KA. Victimization and diabetes: an exploratory study. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 1999;23(6):593–596. [PubMed: 10391516]
19. Hulme PA. Symptomatology and health care utilization of women primary care patients who experienced childhood sexual abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 2000;24(11):1471–1484. [PubMed: 11128178]
20. Romans S, Belaise C, Martin J, Morris E, Raffi A. Childhood abuse and later medical disorders in women. An epidemiological study. *Psychother Psychosom* 2002;71(3):141–150. [PubMed: 12021556]
21. Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. 1997. The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus.
22. National Diabetes Data Group. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and other categories of glucose intolerance. 1979.
23. Rich-Edwards JW, Colditz FA, Stampfer MJ, et al. Birthweight and the risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes in adult women. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;4(278):284.
24. Manson JE, Rimm E, Stampfer MJ. Physical activity and incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. *Lancet* 1991;338(8770):774–778. [PubMed: 1681160]
25. Field A, Coakley E, Must A, et al. Impact of overweight on the risk of developing common chronic diseases during a 10-year period. *Arch Int Med* 2001;161(13):1581–1586. [PubMed: 11434789]
26. Straus, MA.; Gelles, RJ. *Physical Violence in American Families: Risk Factors and Adaptations to Violence in 8,145 Families*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers; 1990.
27. Moore, DW.; Gallup, GH.; Schussel, R. *Disciplining children in America: a Gallup poll report* The Gallup Organization. 1995.
28. Finkelhor D, Moore D, Hamby SL, MAS. Sexually abused children in a national survey of parents: methodological issues. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 1997;21(1):1–9. [PubMed: 9023018]
29. Stunkard, AJ.; Sorensen, T.; Schulsinger, F. Use of the Danish Adoption Register for the study of obesity and thinness. In: Kety, SS.; Rowland, LP.; Sidman, RL.; Matthyse, SW., editors. *The Genetics of Neurological and Psychiatric Disorders*. New York: Raven Press; 1983. p. 115-120.
30. Linos E, Willett WC, Cho E, Colditz G, Frazier LA. Red meat consumption during adolescence among premenopausal women and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 2008;17(8):2146–2151.
31. Liu S, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, et al. Dietary glycemic load assessed by food frequency questionnaire in relation to plasma high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol and fasting triacylglycerols in postmenopausal women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2001;73(3):560–566. [PubMed: 11237932]
32. Troy LM, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. The validity of recalled weight among younger women. *Int J Obes* 1995;19 (8):570–572.

33. Durrleman S, Simon R. Flexible regression models with cubic splines. *Stat Med* 1989;8:551–561. [PubMed: 2657958]
34. Govindarajulu US, Malloy EJ, Ganguli B, Spiegelman D, Eisen EA. The comparison of alternative smoothing methods for fitting non-linear exposure-response relationships with Cox models in a simulation study. *Int J Biostat* 2009;5(1) Article2.
35. Harvard School of Public Health. Mediate SAS. 2009 [Accessed September 1, 2009]. <http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/faculty/spiegelman/mediate/mediate.sas>
36. Spiegelman D, Hertzmark E, Wand HC. Point and interval estimates of partial population attributable risks in cohort studies: examples and software. *Cancer Causes Control* Jun 2007;18(5): 571–579.

Table 1
Age-adjusted cohort characteristics in 1989 by physical and sexual abuse history, Nurses Health Study II

	Child and Adolescent Physical Abuse				Child and Adolescent Sexual Abuse			
	None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	None	Touch only	Forced sex once	Forced sex > once
M	31396 (46.4%)	12594 (18.6%)	17771 (26.3%)	5880 (8.7%)	44794 (66.5%)	14982 (22.2%)	3931 (5.8%)	3684 (5.5%)
Age (years)	34.6	34.5	34.7	35.0	34.5	34.9	34.6	34.8
Maternal education (years) ^a	12.5	12.4	12.2	12.1	12.4	12.2	12.2	12.1
Paternal education (years) ^a	12.6	12.5	12.3	12.2	12.6	12.3	12.3	12.1
Somatotype at age 5 years ^b	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6
BMI at age 18 years	21.2	21.2	21.3	21.5	21.1	21.4	21.3	21.8
BMI in 1989	23.7	23.8	24.1	24.5	23.7	24.2	24.3	24.9
Percentage								
Current smoker	10.1	13.0	13.0	17.0	11.0	12.5	17.3	16.6
Drink no alcohol	37.6	33.0	36.9	38.5	36.1	36.9	35.6	41.8
Drink ≥15g alcohol daily	2.6	3.4	3.2	3.4	2.9	3.2	3.5	2.9
Exercise weekly	78.2	79.1	77.7	79.0	78.5	77.2	78.6	79.6
History of depression ^c	31.5	37.8	41.1	55.6	32.9	42.0	49.5	56.8
Maternal diabetes at age <50 years ^c	1.7	1.7	2.3	3.2	1.7	2.1	2.9	3.4
Paternal diabetes at age <50 years ^c	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.9	2.2	2.2	2.3	3.3
White race	93.9	93.8	91.5	92.1	93.5	92.4	93.0	91.6
Child/teen physical abuse ^c					48.0	59.5	69.4	78.7
Child/teen sexual abuse ^c	25.6	34.0	38.8	57.1				
Adult physical or sexual abuse ^c	18.7	27.8	32.5	46.3	22.6	29.8	39.9	45.3

^aMean years of education at the time of the participant's birth, reported in 2005

^bMean somatogram score at age 5 years; range is 0–9

^cReported in 2001

Table 2

Hazard ratios (95% CI) for the association of physical abuse in childhood and/or adolescence with risk of incident Type 2 diabetes in adulthood, Nurses Health Study 2

Cases ^a Person-Years	Adjusted for age		Adjusted for age, race, somatotype at age 5 years, parental education, and parental diabetes at age <50 years		Adjusted for age, race, somatotype at age 5 years, parental education, parental diabetes at age <50 years, and adult BMI, smoking and alcohol use	
	All women	Without child/teen sexual abuse ^b	With child/teen sexual abuse ^c	All women	Without child/teen sexual abuse ^{2b}	With child/teen sexual abuse ^c
None 828 484,093	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Mild 338 194,176	1.04 0.91, 1.18	0.97 0.82, 1.14	1.12 0.91, 1.39	1.00 0.88, 1.14	0.96 0.81, 1.13	1.09 0.88, 1.35
Moderate 633 273,139	1.36 1.22, 1.51	1.19 1.04, 1.36	1.31 1.10, 1.56	1.12 1.01, 1.25	1.07 0.93, 1.23	1.21 1.01, 1.45
Severe 272 89,872	1.72 1.49, 1.97	1.20 0.95, 1.52	1.69 1.39, 2.05	1.21 1.05, 1.40	0.97 0.77, 1.23	1.43 1.17, 1.75

^aData are not presented for 212 women missing data on physical abuse (209 noncases and 3 cases, less than 1% of the cohort).

^bAmong women without sexual abuse, there were 1207 cases of diabetes.

^cAmong women with sexual abuse, there were 856 cases of diabetes.

Table 3

Hazard ratios (95% CI) for the association of sexual abuse in childhood and/or adolescence with risk of incident Type 2 diabetes in adulthood, Nurses Health Study 2

Cases ^a Person-Years	Adjusted for age		Adjusted for age, race, somatotype at age 5 years, parental education, and parental diabetes at age <50 years		Adjusted for age, race, somatotype at age 5 years, parental education, parental diabetes at age <50 years, and adult BMI, smoking and alcohol use	
	All women	With child/teen physical abuse ^b	All women	With child/teen physical abuse ^c	All women	With child/teen physical abuse ^c
None	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Sexual touching	1.22 1.10, 1.35	1.08 0.91, 1.28	1.16 1.05, 1.29	1.19 1.04, 1.37	1.03 0.93, 1.15	1.09 0.95, 1.26
Forced sex once	1.45 1.22, 1.71	0.88 0.60, 1.28	1.34 1.13, 1.59	1.48 1.22, 1.80	1.10 0.92, 1.31	1.22 0.99, 1.49
Forced sex >once	1.96 1.68, 2.28	1.56 1.09, 2.22	1.69 1.45, 1.97	1.69 1.41, 2.01	1.28 1.09, 1.49	1.35 1.13, 1.62

^aData are not presented for 462 women missing data on sexual abuse (451 noncases and 11 cases, less than 1% of the cohort).

^bAmong women without physical abuse, there were 828 cases of diabetes

^cAmong women with physical abuse, there were 1243 cases of diabetes.