## Prevalence and Genetic Diversity of *Bartonella* Species Detected in Different Tissues of Small Mammals in Nepal<sup>⊽</sup>†

Vijay A. K. B. Gundi,<sup>1</sup> Michael Y. Kosoy,<sup>1</sup>\* Khin S. A. Myint,<sup>2</sup> Sanjaya K. Shrestha,<sup>3</sup> Mrigendra P. Shrestha,<sup>3</sup> Julie A. Pavlin,<sup>4</sup> and Robert V. Gibbons<sup>2</sup>

Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Fort Collins, Colorado<sup>1</sup>; Department of Virology, U.S. Army Medical Component, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences (AFRIMS), Bangkok, Thailand<sup>2</sup>; Walter Reed-Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences Research Unit—Nepal, Kathmandu, Nepal<sup>3</sup>; and Department of Global Emerging Infections, U.S. Army Medical Component, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences (AFRIMS), Bangkok, Theiland<sup>4</sup>

Received 17 May 2010/Accepted 19 October 2010

Bartonellae were detected in a total of 152 (23.7%) of 642 tissues from 108 (48.4%) of 223 small mammals trapped in several urban areas of Nepal. Based on *rpoB* and *gltA* sequence analyses, genotypes belonging to seven known *Bartonella* species and five genotypes not belonging to previously known species were identified in these animals.

*Bartonella* species are important zoonotic and vector-borne bacteria associated with an increasing array of emerging infections in humans and animals (6, 8, 21). Identification of new bartonellae, especially in animals that have possible contact with humans, can help to identify new human pathogens (12). Moreover, the close association between rodents and humans throughout the world makes the study of rodent-borne *Bartonella* essential to determine the extent to which rodents may serve as a source of human infections (7). We conducted a study on the molecular detection of *Bartonella* in different tissues of Nepalese small mammals.

In 1996, a total of 223 small mammals (38 *Bandicota bengalensis*, 3 *Mus musculus castaneus*, 90 *Rattus rattus brunneusculus*, and 92 *Suncus murinus* animals) were trapped in densely human populated urban areas in Nepal. Details on the trapped animals are given in Table 1. Lung, kidney, and liver tissue samples were collected and shipped to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Fort Collins, CO. A total of 642 tissue samples were assessed for the presence of *Bartonella* DNA by *rpoB* and *gltA* sequencing as described previously (5, 23).

*Bartonella* was detected in 108 (48.4%) of 223 animals in Nepal: 10 (26.3%) of 38 *B. bengalensis* rats, 39 (43.3%) of 90 *R. rattus brunneusculus* rats, and 59 (64.1%) of 92 *S. murinus* shrews were infected with *Bartonella* (Table 1). The infection rate varied from 42 to 60% by province. The highest numbers of infected animals were in the Kathmandu and Lalitpur districts. This was because S. murinus was the most commonly infected species and the highest numbers of animals of this species were trapped in these areas. Bandicoots were the most commonly infected subjects in Kathmandu, but fewer numbers of these animals (n = 2) were trapped in Bhaktapur and Lalitpur. Only three M. musculus mice were collected (only in Kathmandu) in this study, and none of these animals were positive for Bartonella. A total of 152 (23.7%) of 642 tissue samples were positive for Bartonella. Of the three tissue types, kidney samples (29.6%) and liver samples (25.6%) were more frequently infected with Bartonella than the lung samples (17.3%; P = 0.03 and 0.04,respectively) (Table 2). DNA sequencing revealed 37 and 20 rpoB and gltA genotypes, respectively, of which 31 and 15 belonged to B. rattimassiliensis, B. queenslandensis, B. elizabethae, B. tribocorum, "B. rochalimae," or "B. phoceensis" and the other 6 and 5 genotypes, respectively, were not genetically related to known bartonellae (Fig. 1 and 2). These novel genetic groups were found in S. murinus and R. rattus brunneusculus animals. The human pathogen B. elizabethae was detected in *R. rattus brunneusculus* rats (n = 5) and an *S. murinus* shrew (n = 1), and the human pathogen *B. rochalimae* was found in a *B. bengalensis* bandicoot (n = 1) and an *S. murinus* shrew (n = 1).

Our study reports the first molecular detection and findings on the prevalence of *Bartonella* in small mammals of Nepal and is also the first study to compare different organ tissues from the same animal for *Bartonella* detection. Previously, several reports were made on the occurrence of bartonellae in rodents and other small mammals in Asian countries, including Bangladesh (3), China (28), Indonesia (27), Japan (16), Laos (1), Taiwan (19), and Thailand (2, 7, 24). Recently, Bai et al. (3) reported that 63.2% of *B. bengalensis*, 32.3% of *R. rattus*, and 42.9% *S. murinus* animals collected in Dhaka, Bangladesh, were infected with *Bartonella*, and the isolates from these animals were genetically related to

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 3150 Rampart Road, Foothills Research Campus, Fort Collins, CO 80521. Phone: (970) 266-3522. Fax: (970) 225-4257. E-mail: MKosoy@cdc.gov.

<sup>†</sup> Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://aem .asm.org/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Published ahead of print on 29 October 2010.

			No. of Ba	artonella-infected ani	mals/no. of animals ex	amined (%)	
District <sup>a</sup>	Trap area <sup>b</sup>	Bandicota bengalensis	Mus musculus castaneus	Rattus rattus brunneusculus	Suncus murinus	Subtotal	Total
Bhaktapur	Bhelukhel Bhelukhel Pode Tole NK	0/2 (0)		3/5 (60) 1/1 (100) 4/8 (50) 4/12 (33.3)	0/1 (0) 4/9 (44.4)	3/5 (60) 1/1 (100) 4/11 (36.4) 8/21 (38.1)	
Subtotal		0/2 (0)	0/0 (0)	12/26 (46.2)	4/10 (40)		16/38 (42.1)
Kathmandu	Hyumata Inakha Tole			2/2 (100)	2/3 (66.7) 3/3 (100)	4/5 (80) 3/3 (100)	
	Kalimati	2/6 (33.3)	0/1 (0)	1/5 (20)	7/12 (58.3)	10/24 (41.7)	
	Lagan Tole	0/4 (0)		2/3 (66.7)	3/5 (60)	5/12 (41.7)	
	Sabalbahal	7/21 (33.3)	0/1(0)	2/6 (33.3)	7/14 (50)	16/42 (38.1)	
	Teku Teku	1/4 (25)	0/1 (0)	4/13 (30.8)	1/1 (100)	6/19 (31.6)	
	NK			1/1(100) 1/2(50)	15/25 (60)	1/1 (100) 16/27 (59.3)	
Subtotal		10/35 (28.6)	0/3 (0)	13/32 (40.6)	38/63 (60.3)		61/133 (45.9)
Lalitpur	Bakhar Bakhar Thati Lagankhel			4/4 (100) 0/3 (0) 1/1 (100)		4/4 (100) 0/3 (0) 1/1 (100)	
	Patan	0.44 (0)			2/2 (100)	2/2 (100)	
	Sabalbahal NK	0/1 (0)		9/24 (37.5)	15/17 (88.2)	0/1(0) 24/41(58.5)	
Subtotal		0/1 (0)	0/0 (0)	14/32 (43.8)	17/19 (89.5)		31/52 (59.6)
Total		10/38 (26.3)	0/3 (0)	39/90 (43.3)	59/92 (64.1)		108/223 (48.4)

TABLE 1. Prevalence of Bartonella in small mamma	als trapped in different districts of Nepal
--	---

<sup>a</sup> The following Bartonella species were detected: in Bhaktapur, B. coopersplainsensis, B. elizabethae, B. queenslandensis, and a novel Bartonella species; in Kathmandu, B. coopersplainsensis, B. elizabethae, B. queenslandensis, B. rochalimae, B. tribocorum, and a novel Bartonella species; and in Lalitpur, B. coopersplainsensis, B. elizabethae, B. phoceensis, B. queenslandensis, B. rattimassiliensis, B. tribocorum, and a novel Bartonella species.

<sup>b</sup> NK, not known.

*Bartonella* isolates found in America and Europe. Our study produced similar results in terms of the infectivity of the animals and the specificity of bartonellae. It is evident from all these studies that *B. elizabethae*, *B. phoceensis*, *B. rattimassiliensis*, *B. rochalimae*, and *B. tribocorum* are being circulated among small animals in Asia. Furthermore, *B. coopersplainsensis* and *B. queenslandensis*, found initially in Australia, were also detected in Nepal (this study) and Bangladesh (3), suggesting that the origin of these species might be Australasia.

The prevalence of *Bartonella* in Nepal was comparable with prevalence rates on other continents: in Africa, 44% in

South Africa (22) and 24% in the Democratic Republic of Congo and Tanzania (V. A. K. B. Gundi et al., unpublished data); 42% in North America (18); and in Europe, 17% in France (12), 17% in central Sweden (14), 28% in southwestern Spain (20), 28% in Denmark (11), 31% in Greece (25), 31% in northeast Poland (26), 40% in Slovenia (17), and 64% in the United Kingdom (4). In a recent study, 29% prevalence was recorded in Australia (13). Such high rates of *Bartonella* prevalence are significant in the context of human health, because the synanthropic mammals harboring pathogenic microorganisms are often found in biotopes

TABLE 2.	Detection	of Bartonella	DNA i	n different	tissues of	small	mammals	in N	Vepal	
----------	-----------	---------------	-------	-------------	------------	-------	---------	------	-------	--

<b>G</b>	No. of animals infected/	No. of Bartonella-infected tissue samples/no. of tissue samples examined (%)							
Species	no. of animals tested (%)	Kidney	Liver	Lung	Total				
Bandicota bengalensis	10/38 (26.3)	5/38 (13.2)	2/38 (5.3)	5/18 (27.8)	12/94 (12.8)				
Mus musculus castaneus	0/3 (0)	0/3 (0)	0/3 (0)	0/3 (0)	0/9 (0)				
Rattus rattus brunneusculus	39/90 (43.3)	12/90 (13.3)	32/90 (35.6)	15/89 (16.9)	59/269 (21.9)				
Suncus murinus	59/92 (64.1)	49/92 (53.3)	18/92 (19.6)	14/86 (16.3)	81/270 (30)				
Total	108/223 (48.4)	66/223 (29.6)	52/203 (25.6)	34/196 (17.3)	152/642 (23.7)				





FIG. 1. Phylogenetic classification of *Bartonella* genotypes detected in small mammals of Nepal based on rpoB gene sequences. The phylogram was constructed by the neighbor-joining method with the Kimura 2-parameter model. Only the bootstrap values above 70% obtained from 1,000 replicates are given. The 76 different sequences of rpoB from small mammals of Nepal were classified into seven clusters. The GenBank accession numbers for reference sequences are given in parentheses. Only known reference *Bartonella* sequences without gaps and missing data are included in the phylogram. The rpoB gene sequence of *Brucella melitensis*  $16M^{T}$  was included as an out-group.



FIG. 2. Phylogenetic classification of *Bartonella* genotypes found in small mammals of Nepal based on *gltA* gene sequences. The phylogram was constructed by the neighbor-joining method with the Kimura 2-parameter model. Only the bootstrap values above 70% obtained from 1,000 replicates are given. The 37 different sequences of *gltA* from small mammals of Nepal were classified into seven clusters. The GenBank accession numbers for reference sequences are given in parentheses. Only known reference *Bartonella* sequences without gaps and missing data are included in the phylogram. The *gltA* gene sequence of *Brucella melitensis*  $16M^T$  was included as an out-group.

where they can come into close contact with humans, who might therefore be at some risk of exposure.

In this study, specificity of some bartonellae for host animals was observed (Table 3). The *R. rattus brunneusculus* rats carried almost all the rat-origin *Bartonella* species detected in this study, except *B. rochalimae*, which was isolated recently from

*R. norvegicus* in Taiwan (19). In our study, *S. murinus* shrews harbored mostly *B. queenslandensis*. Studies by Bai et al. conducted in Dhaka, Bangladesh (3), also yielded evidence of the host specificity of *B. queenslandensis* for *S. murinus*. In addition, *S. murinus* carried some novel bartonellae in our study. On the other hand, *S. murinus* did not harbor other bartonel-

	No. of	District	• 4		Genotype	(s) for:
Host species	animals	District	Area"	Cosurviving Bartonella combination	rpoB	gltA
Bandicota bengalensis	1	Kathmandu	Kalimati	B. coopersplainsensis and B. queenslandensis	35, 11	
Rattus rattus brunneusculus	1	Lalitpur	NK	B. coopersplainsensis and B. phoceensis	33, 27	20
	1	Lalitpur	NK	Novel Bartonella sp. and B. rattimassiliensis	29, 30, 31	1, 2
	1	Lalitpur	NK	B. coopersplainsensis and B. rattimassiliensis	34	3
	1	Lalitpur	NK	B. elizabethae and B. tribocorum	24	9
Suncus murinus	10	Kathmandu	Hvumata	B. queenslandensis and novel Bartonella sp.	13	14
		Lalitpur	Patan	1 1	1	15, 17
		Kathmandu	Sabalbahal		10	17
		Kathmandu	Sabalbahal		13	14
		Kathmandu	NK		15,36	17
		Kathmandu	NK		1	16
		Kathmandu	NK		2	15
		Kathmandu	NK		2	15
		Kathmandu	NK		13	14
		Lalitpur	NK		16	17
	1	Kathmandu	Sabalbahal	B. queenslandensis and B. rochalimae	13	18
	1	Lalitpur	NK	B. elizabethae and novel Bartonella sp.	23, 36	
Total	17					

TABLE 3. Bartonella coinfections in Nepalese small mammals

<sup>a</sup> NK, not known.

lae, such as *B. coopersplainsensis*, *B. phoceensis*, and *B. rattimassiliensis*. These findings appear to suggest specificity of the bacteria for the host.

The prevalence and diversity of *Bartonella* species in animals or other hosts are controlled by geographical location, environmental conditions, the presence of vectors, and host species and habitats. In our study, 17 animals (15.7%) were infected with multiple *Bartonella* species and most of these animals had dual infections with different combinations of species (Table 4). This may be due to vector transmission between different animal hosts. According to Ellis and others, *Bartonella* species associated with hosts native to the Old World are phylogenetically distinct from those associated with hosts native to the New World (10). In this study, however, genotypes belonging to *B. elizabethae*, *B. phoceensis*, *B. rattimassiliensis*, and *B. tribocorum* were closely related to the isolates found in America and Europe (Tables 5 and 6), suggesting that carriers of these species might have migrated from Asia to other continents. Moreover, some novel genomic groups detected in this study were 98.3 to 100% identical to Bartonella strains found in Africa (Gundi et al., unpublished data) (Table 5). Furthermore, some genotypes, including novel genomic groups, from Nepalese rodents and shrews clustered well with other well-known rodent-associated species and human pathogens, such as B. coopersplainsensis, B. elizabethae, B. phoceensis, B. queenslandensis, B rattimassiliensis, B. rochalimae, and B. tribocorum (Fig. 1 and 2). These findings suggest that small mammals in Nepal, like those in other Asian countries, might be a potential source of Bartonella infections. Furthermore, these results suggest the need to conduct further studies to verify whether these agents might be responsible for human cases of febrile illness of unknown etiology and to determine the evolu-

	No. of animals associated with specific Bartonella organism(s)								
Bartonella species	Bandicota bengalensis	Rattus rattus brunneusculus	Suncus murinus	Mus musculus castaneus	Total				
B. coopersplainsensis	1	3			4				
B. elizabethae		5	1		6				
B. phoceensis		1			1				
B. rattimassiliensis		3			3				
B. rochalimae	1		1		2				
B. tribocorum	1	4	2		7				
B. queenslandensis	1	2	47		50				
Novel Bartonella sp.		3	12		15				
Combination of species	1	4	10		15				
Total no. of associated animals/total no. of animals	5/38	25/90	73/92	0/3	103/223				

				mdatt in papage		has not no name surger	
<i>Bartonella</i> sp. identified	Genotype(s) determined in this study	Host animal(s) in this study <sup>a</sup>	Accession no. of related GenBank sequence	% Sequence similarity	Host(s) of related sequence	Country of host(s)	$\operatorname{Reference}^{b}$
B. coopersplainsensis	32	RRB	EU714973 EU111792	100 100	Rattus rattus Rattus leucopus	Laos Australia	1 13
B. phoceensis	27	RRB	AY515132 AB290278	99.5 99.5	Rattus norvegicus Rattus rattus	France Japan	12 16
Novel Bartonella sp.	28	RRB	FJ667575	98.8	Unknown	Taiwan	D. D. Ji et al., unpublished data
B. queenslandensis	1–19	SM	EF204538 EF204539	98.1–99.3 97.2–100	Suncus murinus	Bangladesh	ი, ი,
			AB290268 AB290269 AB290270	97.2–100 97.1–99.9 97.6–98.8	Unknown Unknown Rattus vattus	Japan	16
	20	RRB	AB290266 EU111787 EU111788 EU111788 EU111789 EU111790 EU111791	99.2 99.2 99.5 99.3 99.3	Rattus ratus Rattus rattus Melonys sp. Rattus fuscipes Rattus conatus Rattus leucopus	Japan Australia	16 13
Novel Bartonella sp.	23	SM	FJ851123	98.3	Lophuronys rita	Democratic Republic	Gundi et al., unpublished
			FJ851124 FJ851125 FJ851126	100 100 100	Mus minutoides Mus minutoides Mus minutoides		
			FJ851129 FJ851144	100 98.3	Mus minutoides Lophuromys sp.	Tanzania	Gundi et al., unpublished
			FJ851146	98.2	(Lopnuromys auaur related) Lophuromys sp. (Lophuromys dudui related)		
B. tribocorum	22	BB, RRB	EU714974	6.66	Rattus rattus, Mus cervicolor, Cannomys badius, Rattus	Laos	1
			EU714975	66	<i>exulans</i> Unknown		
B. elizabethae	24	RRB	AF165992 FJ851128	98.3 98.1	Unknown Arvicanthis neumanni	France Democratic Republic of Congo	23 Gundi et al., unpublished
B. rochalimae	37	BB	EU551156	9.66	Rattus norvegicus	Taiwan	19
<sup><i>a</i></sup> BB, <i>Bandicota bengalen</i> , <sup><i>b</i></sup> The unpublished data c	<i>isis</i> ; RRB, <i>Rattus ri</i> sited are found in t	attus brunneusculus; S.	M, <i>Suncus murinus.</i> e.				

 TABLE 6. Genetic relationships between *Bartonella* species detected in Nepal and those from other geographical regions based on *gltA* sequence analysis

Bartonella sp. identified	Genotype determined in this study	Host animal(s) in this study <sup>a</sup>	Accession no. of related GenBank sequence	% Sequence similarity	Host of related sequence	Country of host	Reference <sup>b</sup>
B. rattimassiliensis	1	RRB	FJ179375	100	Rattus norvegicus	Taiwan	19
			AY515125	100	Rattus norvegicus	France	12
	3	RRB	AB290283	100	Rattus rattus	Japan	16
			AF342933	100	Rattus fuscipes	China	28
			FJ464242	100	Rattus sp.	China	D. Li et al.,
			FJ404244 FI402787	100	Rattus tanazumi		unpublished data
			FI492792	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ589047	100	Rattus tanezumi		
B. elizabethae	7	RRB	AY589561	100	Bandicota bengalensis	Bangladesh	3
	8	RRB	AB445000	100	Acomys cahirinus	Japan	15
			DQ884386	100	Rattus norvegicus	China	Li et al., unpublished
			DQ884390	100	Rattus norvegicus	China	Li et al., unpublished
			FJ655404	100	Rattus exulans	Thailand	2
			FJ946849	100	Dog	Thailand	Y. Bai et al., unpublished data
			U28072	100	Unknown	Unknown	D. C. Jones et al., unpublished data
			Z70009	100	Human	United States	9
			Z70020	100	Rattus sp.	Peru	5
	6	RRB	AF329679	100	Rattus fuscipes	China	28
			FJ1/93/7	100		Taiwan	J. W. Hsieh et al., unpublished data
			FJ179391	100		Taiwan	Hsieh et al., unpublished
			FJ492786	100	Rattus tanezumi	China	Li and Liu, unpublished
			FJ492791	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492793	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492/9/	100	Rattus tanezumi	China	Ti at al annuchlished
			FJ589045 FI580046	100	Rattus tanezumi	Chilla	Li et al., unpublished
			FI589050	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FI589052	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ589053	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ589058	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ589061	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ589062	100	Rattus tanezumi		
B. queenslandensis	5	RRB	AB290280	100	Rattus rattus	Japan	16
			AY589566	100	Rattus rattus	Bangladesh	3
			FJ1/93/0	100		Taiwan	19
			FJ946846	100	Dog	Thailand	Bai et al., unpublished
B. tribocorum	13	RRB, BB	AF086636	100	Rattus rattus	Portugal	10
	10	RRB, BB	AF075164	100	Rattus norvegicus	United States	10
			AJ583111	100	Unknown	South Africa	22
			AY902183	100	Rattus tanezumi	Indonesia	27
			AY902188	100			
			AY902189	100			
			A Y 902191	100	Pattus nominaisus	China	Li at al unpublished
			DQ884383	100	Rutius norvegicus	Chilla	Li et al., unpublished
			DO884388	100			
			DQ884389	100			
			DQ884391	100			
			DQ884392	100			
			EF051466	100	Rattus norvegicus	China	Li et al., unpublished
			FJ464203	100	Rattus norvegicus	China	Li et al., unpublished
			FJ464205	100			
			FJ464206	100			

Continued on following page

Bartonella sp. identified	Genotype determined in this study	Host animal(s) in this study <sup>a</sup>	Accession no. of related GenBank sequence	% Sequence similarity	Host of related sequence	Country of host	Reference <sup>b</sup>
			FJ492782	100	Rattus tanezumi	China	Li and Liu, unpublished
			FJ492783	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492785	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492789	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492794	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492795	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492798	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ492800	100	Rattus tanezumi		
			FJ589051	100	Rattus tanezumi	China	Li et al., unpublished
			FJ589057	100	Rattus tanezumi		-
B. rochalimae	18	SM	EU551154	100	Rattus norvegicus	Taiwan	19

TABLE 6—Continued

<sup>a</sup> BB, Bandicota bengalensis; RRB, Rattus rattus brunneusculus; SM, Suncus murinus.

<sup>b</sup> The unpublished data cited are found in the GenBank database.

tionary, genetic, and pathogenic relationships among Nepal isolates and other isolates in Asia and on other continents.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The DNA sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers GU143433 to GU143508 (for *rpoB*) and GU143509 to GU143549 (for *gltA*) (see Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material).

This research was supported in part by the appointment of Vijay A. K. B. Gundi to the Emerging Infectious Diseases (EID) Fellowship Program, administered by the Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL) and funded by the Centers for Disease and Control and Prevention (CDC).

We thank Ying Bai and Hidenori Kabeya for their help.

The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting true views of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense.

## REFERENCES

- Angelakis, E., K. Khamphoukeo, D. Grice, P. N. Newton, V. Roux, K. Aplin, D. Raoult, and J. M. Rolain. 2009. Molecular detection of Bartonella species in rodents from the Lao PDR. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 15(Suppl. 2):95–97.
- Bai, Y., M. Y. Kosoy, K. Lerdthusnee, L. F. Peruski, and J. H. Richardson. 2009. Prevalence and genetic heterogeneity of Bartonella strains cultured from rodents from 17 provinces in Thailand. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 81:811–816.
- Bai, Y., S. P. Montgomery, K. W. Sheff, M. A. Chowdhury, R. F. Breiman, H. Kabeya, and M. Y. Kosoy. 2007. Bartonella strains in small mammals from Dhaka, Bangladesh, related to Bartonella in America and Europe. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 77:567–570.
- Birtles, R. J., S. M. Hazel, M. Bennett, K. Bown, D. Raoult, and M. Begon. 2001. Longitudinal monitoring of the dynamics of infections due to Bartonella species in UK woodland rodents. Epidemiol. Infect. 126:323–329.
- Birtles, R. J., and D. Raoult. 1996. Comparison of partial citrate synthase gene (gltA) sequences for phylogenetic analysis of Bartonella species. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46:891–897.
- Breitschwerdt, E. B., and D. L. Kordick. 2000. Bartonella infection in animals: carriership, reservoir potential, pathogenicity, and zoonotic potential for human infection. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 13:428–438.
- Castle, K. T., M. Kosoy, K. Lerdthusnee, L. Phelan, Y. Bai, K. L. Gage, W. Leepitakrat, T. Monkanna, N. Khlaimanee, K. Chandranoi, J. W. Jones, and R. E. Coleman. 2004. Prevalence and diversity of Bartonella in rodents of northern Thailand: a comparison with Bartonella in rodents from southern China. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 70:429–433.
- Chomel, B. B., H. J. Boulouis, S. Maruyama, and E. B. Breitschwerdt. 2006. Bartonella spp. in pets and effect on human health. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 12:389–394.
- Daly, J. S., M. G. Worthington, D. J. Brenner, C. W. Moss, D. G. Hollis, R. S. Weyant, A. G. Steigerwalt, R. E. Weaver, M. I. Daneshvar, and S. P. O'Connor. 1993. *Rochalimaea elizabethae* sp. nov. isolated from a patient with endocarditis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31:872–881.
- Ellis, B. A., R. L. Regnery, L. Beati, F. Bacellar, M. Rood, G. G. Glass, E. Marston, T. G. Ksiazek, D. Jones, and J. E. Childs. 1999. Rats of the genus Rattus are reservoir hosts for pathogenic Bartonella species: an Old World origin for a New World disease? J. Infect. Dis. 180:220–224.
- 11. Engback, K., and P. A. Lawson. 2004. Identification of Bartonella species in

rodents, shrews and cats in Denmark: detection of two B. henselae variants, one in cats and the other in the long-tailed field mouse. APMIS **112**:336–341.

- Gundi, V. A., B. Davoust, A. Khamis, M. Boni, D. Raoult, and B. La Scola. 2004. Isolation of *Bartonella rattimassiliensis* sp. nov. and *Bartonella phoceensis* sp. nov. from European *Rattus norvegicus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:3816–3818.
- Gundi, V. A., C. Taylor, D. Raoult, and B. La Scola. 2009. Bartonella rattaustraliani sp. nov., Bartonella queenslandensis sp. nov. and Bartonella coopersplainsensis sp. nov., identified in Australian rats. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 59:2956–2961.
- Holmberg, M., J. N. Mills, S. McGill, G. Benjamin, and B. A. Ellis. 2003. Bartonella infection in sylvatic small mammals of central Sweden. Epidemiol. Infect. 130:149–157.
- Inoue, K., S. Maruyama, H. Kabeya, K. Hagiya, Y. Izumi, Y. Une, and Y. Yoshikawa. 2009. Exotic small mammals as potential reservoirs of zoonotic Bartonella spp. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15:526–532.
- Inoue, K., S. Maruyama, H. Kabeya, N. Yamada, N. Ohashi, Y. Sato, M. Yukawa, T. Masuzawa, F. Kawamori, T. Kadosaka, N. Takada, H. Fujita, and H. Kawabata. 2008. Prevalence and genetic diversity of *Bartonella* species isolated from wild rodents in Japan. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:5086–5092.
- Knap, N., D. Duh, R. Birtles, T. Trilar, M. Petrovec, and T. Avsic-Zupanc. 2007. Molecular detection of Bartonella species infecting rodents in Slovenia. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 50:45–50.
- Kosoy, M. Y., R. L. Regnery, T. Tzianabos, E. L. Marston, D. C. Jones, D. Green, G. O. Maupin, J. G. Olson, and J. E. Childs. 1997. Distribution, diversity, and host specificity of Bartonella in rodents from the southeastern United States. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 57:578–588.
- Lin, J. W., C. Y. Chen, W. C. Chen, B. B. Chomel, and C. C. Chang. 2008. Isolation of Bartonella species from rodents in Taiwan including a strain closely related to 'Bartonella rochalimae' from Rattus norvegicus. J. Med. Microbiol. 57:1496–1501.
- Marquez, F. J., J. J. Rodriguez-Liebana, M. E. Pachon-Ibanez, F. Docobo-Perez, A. Hidalgo-Fontiveros, M. Bernabeu-Wittel, M. A. Muniain, and J. Pachon. 2008. Molecular screening of Bartonella species in rodents from south western Spain. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 8:695–700.
- Mogollon-Pasapera, E., L. Otvos, Jr., A. Giordano, and M. Cassone. 2009. Bartonella: emerging pathogen or emerging awareness? Int. J. Infect. Dis. 13:3–8.
- Pretorius, A. M., L. Beati, and R. J. Birtles. 2004. Diversity of bartonellae associated with small mammals inhabiting Free State province, South Africa. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:1959–1967.
- Renesto, P., J. Gouvernet, M. Drancourt, V. Roux, and D. Raoult. 2001. Use of rpoB gene analysis for detection and identification of *Bartonella* species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39:430–437.
- Saisongkorh, W., W. Wootta, P. Sawanpanyalert, D. Raoult, and J. M. Rolain. 2009. "Candidatus Bartonella thailandensis": a new genotype of Bartonella identified from rodents. Vet. Microbiol. 139:197–201.
- Tea, A., S. Alexiou-Daniel, A. Papoutsi, A. Papa, and A. Antoniadis. 2004. Bartonella species isolated from rodents, Greece. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10:963–964.
- Welc-Faleciak, R., A. Paziewska, A. Bajer, J. M. Behnke, and E. Sinski. 2008. Bartonella spp. infection in rodents from different habitats in the Mazury Lake District, Northeast Poland. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 8:467–474.
- Winoto, I. L., H. Goethert, I. N. Ibrahim, I. Yuniherlina, C. Stoops, I. Susanti, W. Kania, J. D. Maguire, M. J. Bangs, S. R. Telford III, and C. Wongsrichanalai. 2005. Bartonella species in rodents and shrews in the greater Jakarta area. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health 36:1523–1529.
- Ying, B., M. Y. Kosoy, G. O. Maupin, K. R. Tsuchiya, and K. L. Gage. 2002. Genetic and ecologic characteristics of Bartonella communities in rodents in southern China. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 66:622–627.