



Published in final edited form as:

Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2010 October ; 19(4): 759–774. doi:10.1016/j.chc.2010.07.001.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Pediatric Mental Health

Margarita Alegria, Ph.D.^{a,b}, Melissa Vallas, MD^c, and Andres Pumariega, MD^{d,e}

^a Professor of Psychology, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School

^b Director, Center for Multicultural Mental Health Research, Cambridge Health Alliance, Somerville, Massachusetts

^c Fellow in Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Lucile Packard Children's Hospital at Stanford

^{d,e} Professor of Psychiatry, Temple University School of Medicine

Abstract

Disparities remain in mental health status and care for racial and ethnic minority youth, despite national attention to disparity reduction. This paper offers a comprehensive picture of the status of pediatric disparities, by addressing the major areas affecting minority youth mental health, including: prevention of problems, need for services, access to care, mental health treatment types and treatment outcomes. It addresses relevant factors in the family, community and socioeconomic context, and describes various local and national programs that aim to tackle the obstacles and fill the gaps in high quality care for racial/ethnic minority youth. It concludes by offering recommendations for improvement which acknowledge the importance of understanding preferences and attitudes towards treatment, ensuring that screening and diagnosis is appropriate to minority youth, and ensuring that evidence-based programs are available at multiple levels to best service children and succeed in addressing their needs.

Keywords

ethnic; racial; disparities; youth; mental health; minorities; healthcare

Introduction

Despite the enormous toll that mental health problems take on the well-being of youth and families (\$247 billion annually)¹ disparities in access to and intensity of quality mental health services appear to persist for racial/ethnic minority children, who are more likely to receive less and inferior health services as compared to non-Latino white peers.² This has raised serious questions about the progress made in reducing disparities, even though it has been an explicit focus of public health surveillance since 2000, and continues to be monitored as part of the National Healthcare Disparities Report.³ This paper discusses the

^{a,b}Corresponding author for proof and reprints: Margarita Alegria, Ph.D., Center for Multicultural Research, 120 Beacon St. Fourth Floor, Somerville, MA 02143, (617) 503-8447, (617) 5038430 (fax), malegria@charesearch.org.

^{c,d,e}Co-authors addresses: Melissa Vallas, MD, Division of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 401 Quarry Rd. Stanford, CA 94305-5719, mvallas05@gmail.com

Andres Pumariega, MD FACPsych, The Reading Hospital and Medical Center, Sixth Avenue and Spruce Street, Reading, PA 19612

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

current state of disparities in pediatric mental health care, underlining the challenges and potential obstacles to successfully addressing these disparities. We first make explicit our definition of ‘disparity,’ and then proceed to describe disparities as they exist in diagnostic assessment, prevention of mental health problems, need for mental health care, access to services, psychotherapy, pharmacological treatments and outcomes of care. We finalize with needed approaches and specific recommendations.

Defining Disparities

In the face of the health disparities debate, a number of definitions have been used for the term ‘disparity.’ The definition provided by the National Institutes of Medicine² describes a health service disparity as “differences in treatment or access not justified by the differences in health status or preferences of the groups.” This implies that although differences between racial/ethnic groups in service utilization might be explained by several factors related to need for healthcare services (e.g., differences in parental recognition of a child’s need or divergent pathways into care between groups), only the remainder of the identified difference *after* adjusting for the mental health profile is defined as the *disparity*. The Institute of Medicine definition also posits that racial/ethnic disparities are unfair and worthy of remediation, even if they arise through racial/ethnic differences in socioeconomic status, insurance, or other mechanisms outside of need and preferences.⁴ With that definition of disparity in mind, there has been not only a distinct body of literature that describes disparities in mental health care in racial and ethnic minority children, and in families of lower socioeconomic status, but it has also become increasingly apparent that ethnic/racial minority children are underserved relative to their non-Latino white counterparts in the areas of prevention, access, quality treatments, and outcomes of care. In this paper, we summarize these important findings and provide recommendations for promising targets to reduce disparities.

Prevention of Mental Health Problems

The presence of psychiatric disorders in childhood has been linked to negative outcomes, including poor social mobility and reduced social capital. For example, childhood depression has been associated with increased welfare dependence and unemployment.⁵ Many of these identifiable risk factors for mental illness disproportionately affect minority children, such as poverty, food insecurity, and exposure to violence, increasing the likelihood that ethnic/racial minority children are actually included in many preventive interventions. According to the U.S. Census, in 2007 approximately 18.0% of children were poor, and among these, black and Latino children were disproportionately affected.⁶ Beiser⁷ has shown how economic difficulties seriously impact the likelihood of psychiatric disorders in youth.

High rates of isolation and socioeconomic disadvantage of minority children can have significant adverse effects on children’s mental health, including depression and behavior problems,⁸ anxiety disorders such as posttraumatic stress disorder,⁹ and a range of other adjustment difficulties. Food insecurity, or uncertain availability of food because of inadequate resources, is one of the many difficulties associated with poverty. Like poverty, risk of food insecurity is also patterned by race/ethnicity.¹⁰ Many ethnic and racial minority children and adolescents also experience “compounded community trauma” which has been defined as the experience of children when they witness violence in *both* their homes and their neighborhoods.¹¹ Compounded community trauma has been linked to high rates of mental illness, including post traumatic stress disorder, depression and externalizing behaviors.^{11, 12} Additional factors that increase the risk for mental illness for minority youth are neighborhood exposure to violence,¹³ neighborhood social disorganization,¹⁴ repeated

experiences of discrimination, and chronic exposure to racism.¹⁵ As a result, early interventions in the lives of ethnic and racial minority children, intended to maximize their effective coping in these disadvantaged and at-risk environments can be advantageous in terms of future outcomes.¹ Thus, effective service delivery systems that engage in early prevention and intervention are essential to reduce the burden of mental disorders for ethnic and racial minorities.¹⁶

Bayer, et al.¹⁷ has examined roughly 50 preventive interventions that have been evaluated in randomized controlled trials, most of which targeted children's behavioral problems. They cite several US programs for their robust evidence of efficacious outcomes. In infancy, the individual Nurse Home Visitation Program¹⁸ which provided individual home visits for mostly ethnic and racial minority, first-time mothers who screened as single or low income showed efficacy in decreasing child abuse and adolescent delinquency at a 15 year follow up. During preschool age, Triple P¹⁹ and Incredible Years²⁰ are the most widely known and respected preventive interventions for child behavior problems. Both programs are geared toward parents with children identified as already having behavior problems. Both programs indicated efficacy in decreasing behavior problems, and in improving parenting practices and mental health. The individual Family Check-Up Program²¹ also provided brief family support offered to at-risk families in the home or in community centers, and was shown to be effective in promoting proactive and positive parenting skills which correlated with changes in child disruptive behavior. For school age children, the John Hopkins Prevention program,²² a one year program for first graders in high risk school settings, showed a decrease in teacher reports of problem behaviors, conduct disorder diagnosis at 6th grade, school suspension, special classroom placement and medication. Lastly, the Good Behavior Game class program²³ was a two year whole school social skills curriculum that showed efficacy in improved attention and concentration, and less oppositional and conduct behavior problems, predominantly in children with moderate levels of initial inattention.¹⁷ Most of these preventive measures in very young children have focused on parenting interventions, and have targeted children that have been identified as either being at risk or already having behavior problems. They all show promise of minimizing the negative effects of at risk environments and appear to effectively work for ethnic and racial minority youth.

In older children, prevention programs have focused directly on those children identified as having behavior problems, and have also included interventions with parents. One large study done in the US was instrumental in providing evidence for the importance of available funding for preschools in urban areas in this country. In 2001, Reynolds, et al.²⁴ found that in a large group of predominantly black urban children, preschool participation was associated with a notably higher rate of school completion, significantly lower rates of juvenile arrest, and lower rates of special education and grade retention by late adolescence.²⁴

While there are a number of well researched early prevention interventions proven to be effective in reducing behavior problems in ethnic and racial minority children, most interventions target externalizing behavior (such as attention deficit disorder or oppositional defiant disorder), with limited research geared towards interventions that tackle internalizing disorders (such as depression or some anxiety disorders). Several exceptions are Kataoka's Cognitive-Behavioral Intervention for Trauma in Schools (CBITS) that effectively addresses traumatic stress in diverse communities²⁵ and Weisz et al, 2005.²⁶ Kataoka tested an intervention with third through eighth grade students with trauma-related depression and/or posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, in which group CBT was delivered in Spanish by bilingual, bicultural school social workers, with additional psychoeducation and support services available for parents and teachers. Students in the intervention groups showed

significantly greater improvement compared to those placed on a waitlist for the program. Other community-based interventions which show promise include school-based services, mentoring programs, family support and education programs, and wilderness programs. Many of these have demonstrated effectiveness with African American, Latino, and American Indian children and families.²⁷ School-based prevention efforts, introduced above, have demonstrated positive outcomes when adaptations are done with a specific cultural context in mind, such as The Circles of Care, which are specially focused on the needs of American Indian children and youth.²⁸

Need for Mental Health Services

Mental health need is significantly higher amongst at-risk groups of children and youth, a group that is overwhelmingly of ethnic and racial minority background. A number of studies have documented high rates of serious emotional disturbance amongst youth in the juvenile justice system²⁹ and in the child welfare system (rates of 50 percent). These systems are also comprised of high percentages (50 to 70 percent) of underserved minority youth, principally African-Americans, Latinos, and American Indians.³⁰ Many youth with mental disorders are typically referred to juvenile justice if they display of aggressive or disruptive behaviors,³¹ without consideration of whether these are untreated mental health problems.³² There has also been increasing recognition that children in the child welfare system have extremely high mental health needs,³⁰ with prevalence rates estimated at close to 50 percent. However, they are significantly underserved with respect to mental health services, partly due to a shortage of mental health providers to address their needs. Minority children and youth experience higher rates of entry into juvenile justice and child welfare.^{30, 33}

Another less recognized, high-risk population is children of women who have experienced maternal depression. Longitudinal research has demonstrated the long term impact on children of maternal depression, including estimated prevalence rates of emotional or behavioral disturbance of 50 to 80 percent, with increased risk for depression, separation anxiety, attention deficits, and conduct disturbances.³⁴ Additionally, the rates of post-partum and maternal depression amongst underserved racial/ethnic minority groups are as high as 30 to 40 percent.³⁴

Amongst minority populations, some studies have suggested higher population prevalence of psychiatric disorders such as depression, anxiety disorders, substance abuse, and even eating disorders.³⁵ For example, the Youth Risk Behavior Survey of the Centers for Disease Control found significant higher prevalence rates of sad mood, suicidal ideation, and suicidal attempts amongst Latino and African-American youth as compared to non-Hispanic whites.³⁶ In terms of the abuse of illicit drugs, Latino youth have equal rates as whites, but higher rates than African-Americans.³⁷

Diagnostic Assessment

Significant diagnostic disparities have been documented in children's mental health, largely with African-American and Latino children.^{35, 38, 39} Similarly, the conceptual equivalence in the terminology of screening/diagnostic measures^{40,41} may influence proper identification of minority cases in need for treatment. There is some evidence that the way in which questions are phrased may lead to response bias by minority youth, in that they may differentially endorse screener items compared to white youth with similar clinical profiles. Diagnostic disparities have also been associated with regional differences in the representation of minority populations.⁴² Additionally, significant delays have been identified in the diagnosis of autism in African-American (7.9 years) versus white children (6.3 years).⁴³

Access to Formal Mental Health Services

As evidence of racial and ethnic disparities in mental health care access continues to rise, efforts have been made to better understand gaps and limitations in the way that minority children's mental health services are provided. In the last three decades, there have been increased efforts to raise awareness of the need for comprehensive, community-based, child-centered, and culturally competent mental health care for children,⁴⁴ particularly ethnic and racial minority children. Developing appropriate health and mental health treatment services for ethnic minorities requires comprehensive and ongoing collaborative efforts that address issues of non-engagement in mental health treatments, such as cultural differences in the perception of illness and its causes, help seeking behavior and attitude towards healthcare providers, and in how ethnic and racial minority families prioritize, respond to and adhere to mental health treatments.⁴⁵ Caregivers of minority youth might have perceptions that can affect referral to professional treatment, leading to different help-seeking behaviors and under-recognition of mental health problems.^{46–50} At the same time, a wide range of structural and sociopolitical constraints related to accessing services disproportionately affect minority youth, such as poverty, lack of insurance and insufficient availability of behavioral health services in minority neighborhoods. Latino children, for example, have the lowest rate of public or private health insurance coverage of any ethnic group (37%), nearly half that of whites.⁵¹ This is particularly critical when addressing the needs of minority children.

According to the Institute of Medicine Report¹ minority youth are less likely to receive mental health care services compared to their non-Latino white counterparts. Kodjo & Auinger⁵² analyzed the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (2003) and found that African American but not Latino youth were significantly less likely than non-Latino white youth to have received psychological counseling. Analyzing three nationally representative household surveys, Kataoka, Zhang and Wells⁹ found that both African American and Latino youth had lower rates of mental health service use compared to their non-Latino white counterparts. Among regional studies, several studies by McCabe et al., Bui & Takeuchi^{53, 54}; and Sue, Fujino, Hu, Takeuchi, Zane⁵⁵ found white and black youth over-represented, and Latino youth under-represented across most treatment sectors, while Zahner and Daskalakis⁵⁶ found black and Latino youth under-use services, after controlling for socioeconomic status. Cuffe, Waller, Cuccaro et al.,³¹ found African American females much less likely to receive mental health services. Overall, the evidence seems to suggest that minority children have the highest rates of unmet need for mental health services.^{57, 58}

Even when they are able to access care, minorities are significantly under treated compared to their white counterparts,^{57, 59} with linguistic minorities reporting worse care than English-speaking racial and ethnic minorities.⁶⁰ At the same time, there have been vast increases in the number of school-aged children in the US who do not speak English well, or who are from families in which the adults do not speak English proficiently. In the last projections of the Census, about 26.3% of children aged 5 to 17 reported that they speak English worse than “very well”,⁶¹ and about 19.7% of children live in linguistically isolated households where all household members over age 14 have limited English proficiency.⁶² This suggests that there might be great challenges in achieving equitable mental health care for ethnic and racial minority children in the United States, especially linguistic minorities.

Some studies have shown that most children with mental illnesses do not receive specialty mental health services, but that ethnic and racial minorities are at a more serious disadvantage.⁹ Not surprisingly, poor and minority youth, despite equal if not higher need for services, often receive lower quantity and quality of mental health services. Indeed, one could infer a “greater burden of disease” in children, especially poor and minority children,

if they are less likely to receive treatment for their illnesses, or receive poorer quality of treatment.⁶³

Psychotherapy and Ethnic and Racial Disparities

Psychotherapy is considered to be an evidence-based treatment for most major mental disorders. In fact, patients who receive both psychopharmacologic treatment and psychotherapy have better outcomes of treatment than do patients receiving only psychopharmacologic treatment, among those with numerous mental illnesses.⁶⁴ However, despite its importance as a treatment modality for mental illness, there remain disparities in psychotherapy's utility among ethnic minorities, and limited well-established, effective treatments for ethnic minority youth.⁶⁵ In a sample of children enrolled in the child welfare system, counseling access was found to be lower for African American children than for white children. The study also found that both private health insurance and a lack of insurance were negatively associated with counseling access, while a history of sexual abuse, adolescence, and greater caseworker efforts to secure services were positively associated with access.⁶⁶

Despite the data to suggest that minorities are less likely to receive psychotherapy for mental illness, there are studies that confirm that minority children can benefit from community-based psychotherapy. For example, one study demonstrated a successful implementation of a brief psychosocial intervention (individual psychotherapy) delivered by community-based clinicians in urban school-based health clinics serving minority students with depression.⁶⁷ Others have looked beyond the issue of disparity, and focused more on intervention efficacy by attempting to recognize evidence-based treatments geared specifically to minority youth. Community-based treatment of adolescents with substance abuse disorders is both accessible and efficacious, with considerable evidence for day treatment, night programs, and school-based programs. Few such community-based programs and treatment modalities are designed to treat the special needs of females, minority groups, and medically compromised individuals such as those with AIDS, but recent progress is being made in adopting the principles of cultural competence in the treatment of adolescent substance abuse disorders. Brief Strategic Family Therapy, an evidence-based family intervention, has been developed and tested with Latino and African-American youth.⁶⁸ Ethnically specific programs for American Indian youth based on traditional values and rituals/ceremonies have also been developed and evaluated.^{69, 70}

Huey and Polo reviewed research on evidence-based treatments for this population (ages 18 and younger), and found no well-established treatments as defined as requiring at least two high-quality (e.g., random assignment, adequate sample size) between group trials by different investigative teams showing that treatment is superior to placebo or another treatment, or equivalent to an already established treatment. However, they were able to identify probably efficacious treatments for ethnic minority youth with anxiety-related problems, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, depression, conduct problems, substance use problems, trauma-related syndromes, and other clinical problems. They defined 'probably efficacious treatments' as those that require only one high-quality trial comparing treatment to placebo (or alternative treatment) or two trials comparing treatment to no treatment.⁶⁵

Psychopharmacological Treatments

There is growing evidence that psychotropic prescribing frequency in child psychiatry continues to increase around the world with stimulants, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), and antipsychotics being the most commonly prescribed.⁷¹ The United States, in particular is accountable for over 80% of the world's use of stimulant medication.

Additionally, antidepressants and antipsychotics are much more frequently prescribed to children and adolescents in the US as compared to most other industrialized countries.⁷² However, despite the prevalent use of psychotropic prescription drugs in children and adolescents in this country, there continues to be concern that there are differences in prescription psychotropic drug use based on race and ethnicity. Treatment disparities include lower use of psychiatric pharmacotherapy, with significantly lower use amongst African-Americans and Latinos,^{73, 74} and higher rates of treatment non-completion, and higher likelihood of receiving inadequate treatment.⁷⁵

The adult literature has produced consistent findings suggesting that race is an independent predictor of mental health service use, and prescription drug use in particular, in a wide array of mental illnesses.⁷⁶ Race and ethnic disparities in psychotropic drug use in children has been studied in several samples: children enrolled in Medicaid, special education students, public school students, participants in the National Ambulatory Medical Survey (NAMCS), and high-risk children participating in the Patterns of Youth Mental Health Care in Public Service Systems (POC). In all studies, white children were at least two times more likely, if not more so, to receive psychotropic medication than nonwhite children. For example, Bussing and colleagues⁷⁷ found that in a special education population, minority children at risk for ADHD were twice as likely to not receive stimulant medication than non white children. Also, Lasser et al⁷⁸ found that in adolescents, visit rates per year to primary care providers or psychiatrists for mental health services were much lower for African Americans relative to whites for the same services, including psychotropic medication prescription. Similar findings have been seen in a sample of children aged 5 through 14 enrolled in state Medicaid programs. African American children showed a distinctly lower rate of treatment with psychopharmacological agents.⁷⁹ In the specific sample of high risk youth who were participants in the Patterns of Care study⁵⁷ where the prevalence of psychotropic medication use was as high as 54%, minority race/ethnicity was associated with lower use of psychotropic medication, adjusting for factors such as age, gender, income, insurance status, need or impairment. In this study, both African American and Latino youth had a reduced likelihood of using psychotropic medications after controlling for other factors.⁷³

A number of unanswered questions remain about the reasons for such disparity in the areas of both psychopharmacology treatment and utilization of psychotherapy as a treatment for mental illness in children. Many have postulated that there are a number of sociocultural issues that play a role in not only the initiation, but also the continuation of mental health treatment.⁷² Specifically, minority youth face a number of barriers to effective mental health care that have been defined in the literature. These include population barriers (socioeconomic disparities, stigma, poor health education, lack of activism), provider factors (deficits in cross-cultural knowledge, skills, patient-orientation, and attitudinal sensitivity), and systemic factors (services location and organization, training, culturally competent services, etc.³⁵ There is a large body of literature recognizing the deficits in our mental health care system that negatively and disproportionately affect minority children.⁸⁰ Future research should lend itself to better understanding the barriers that perpetuate such dysfunction, as well as finding innovative and efficacious ways to combat them.

Outcomes of Treatment

Few studies have compared racial/ethnic differences in the outcomes from mental health treatment. In studies of mainstream interventions, only two studies found ethnic minority children do not respond as well as white youth with similar characteristics. The Multi-site Treatment Study of Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders found that inner-city Latino and African-American youth had higher levels of symptoms following

pharmacotherapy, and required combination pharmacotherapy and behavioral therapy for equal response to whites.⁸¹ However, these differences were not significant once socio-economic disadvantage was taken into account. Another study found poorer outcomes in preventing depression in African-American youth compared to white, Latino, and Asian youth in an intervention to increase optimism.⁸¹

Most studies find similar or improved outcomes of mental health treatment for ethnic and racial minority youth as for whites. One study examined cross-ethnic outcomes from public community mental health services in a California sample of youth. Asian-American youth were found to improve significantly more on clinical and functional measures than youth of other ethnic/racial backgrounds, while parents reported them as having fewer problems at baseline.⁸² In addition, there have been a number of community-based, evidence-based interventions that have demonstrated efficacy and effectiveness and are increasingly being implemented in child mental health programs. These include intensive case management, therapeutic foster care, partial hospitalization, and intensive in-home wraparound interventions.

Yet, the literature in terms of differential outcomes of treatment remains sparse. Santisteban, Vega and Suarez-Morales⁸³ have outlined pressing issues in the transfer of empirically-supported treatments in ethnic minority populations, specifically Latino youth. These include testing established treatments in specifically Latino samples both with and without elements of cultural competence in the treatment model. There may also be treatments that do not meet the stringent criteria of empirically-supported treatment but that demonstrate an adequate balance of internal and external validity with ethnic and racial minority children that should be evaluated for effectiveness and differential outcomes as compared to their white counterparts.

Approaches to Addressing Disparities

A number of approaches are being used to improve the financing of children's mental health services at the Federal level, including the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)⁸⁴ and Medicaid Expansion SCHIP (M-SCHIP) to expand children's health insurance coverage to uninsured children, and the Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) waivers and the Tax Equity and Financial Responsibility Act (TEFRA) option under Medicaid. These expansions help prevent the entry of children into state custody as a result of lack of coverage for mental illness and emotional disturbances by funding children to be treated in the community, as long as the cost of that care does not exceed the estimated cost of institutional care. The Early Periodic Screening Detection and Treatment mandate also provides for states to deliver medically necessary mental health services for children covered by Medicaid who have a mental disorder identified as part of periodic screening, with some states using behavioral health screening tools.⁸⁴ Additionally, the Affordable Care Act of 2010 (health care reform legislation) recently passed by Congress included sections addressing workforce shortages in child mental health disciplines through program grant support and payback for child mental health discipline graduates serving underserved communities. However, there is a great deal to be done in both increasing the diversity of the children's mental health workforce and the training of all children's mental health professionals on delivering culturally competent services.

Community-based systems of care for children's mental health has been a service philosophy that has been increasingly promoted and adopted nationally to better address both access to care and effectiveness of services, including endorsement by the U.S. Surgeon General. The key principles of community systems of care include: access to a comprehensive array of services; treatment individualized to the child's needs; treatment in

the least restrictive environment possible; full utilization of family and community resources; full participation of families as partners in services planning and delivery; interagency coordination; the use of case management for services coordination, no ejection or rejection from services due to lack of “treatability” or “cooperation”; early identification and intervention; smooth transition of youth into the adult service system; effective advocacy efforts; and non-discriminating, and culturally competent services. Community systems of care promote a flexible and individualized approach to service delivery for the child and family within the context of his/her home and community as an alternative to treatment in out-of-home settings, while attending to family and systems issues that impact such care. These include access, utilization, child and family empowerment, financing, and clinical- and cost-effectiveness of mental health services provided to children and adolescents, as well as the functioning and effectiveness of systems of care for child mental health.²⁷

The Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS) Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services Program for Children and Their Families has funded more than 100 systems of care projects in diverse communities throughout the nation. The current phase of the grant program emphasizes culturally diverse populations and early childhood grants. The multi-site national evaluation of the Comprehensive Mental Health Services Program for Children and Their Families has shown improved reduced out-of-home placements, improved school attendance, reduced service fragmentation, improved child and family functioning, reduced clinical symptoms, reduced family burdens, increased stability of living situation, and reduced cost of care when cost offsets in education, juvenile justice, child welfare, and general health are considered.⁸⁵ Additionally, this program has demonstrated a significant reduction of racial/ethnic disparities in access to community mental health services, with three times the percentage of poor children, twice the percentage of African-American children, and equal numbers of Latino children as in the populations of the targeted catchment areas.⁸⁶

System of care integrated service strategies may include such activities as providing mental health consultation to Head Start, Early Intervention, primary care practitioners, community health nurses, and child care workers; and providing mental health services to adults whose children are at risk of out-of-home placement. States are also beginning to invest resources in training to improve the skills of early childhood clinicians.³⁴ Such approaches are even more critical for minority populations, which have a higher proportion of younger children and children at risk.

There are encouraging efforts towards enhancing and improving models of collaborative care between primary care and mental health providers. Some state Medicaid plans have adopted model or statewide programs to facilitate access to child mental health consultants by primary care practitioners, while others have invested in training for primary care practitioners on EPSDT (Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment) tools, referral procedures, and consultative programs to enhance their function as mental health providers to high-risk populations.⁸⁴ Other more formal models of collaborative care, using such technologies such systematic screening tools and telemedicine, are being evaluated and found to be effective in improving access to community-based care. More formal evaluation is needed on the use of nurse practitioners and physician’s assistants as extenders in the delivery of child psychiatric services, as well as the use of culturally competent models of community outreach, such as promotoras de salud in minority communities.²⁷

An increasing consensus exists for delivering mental health services for ethnic/racial minority populations within the cultural awareness framework. This framework indicates the need to identify and address the special mental health needs of diverse populations through

both clinician-related factors (such as acquiring knowledge, skills, and attitudes that enable them to serve populations different from their own) and system factors (such as reviewing and changing policies and practices that present barriers to diverse populations, staff training around cultural competence, and the recruitment of diverse staff and clinicians for planning service pathways and delivering care). It also calls for the use of natural strengths and resources in concert with professional services that are protective and support children and families in diverse communities and cultures dealing with emotional disturbance. Cultural awareness and competence also includes the adoption of culturally specific therapeutic modalities (such as use of native healers or cultural mediators), mainstream modalities evaluated with diverse populations, and the appropriate use of language interpreters.³⁵

The cultural competence framework has been operationalized in consensus health and mental health cultural competence standards, such as the Center for Mental Health Services standards. These standards address cultural adaptations and modifications in clinical processes (such as assessment, treatment planning, case management, and linguistic support) and system processes (such as staff training and development, access protocols, governance of service systems, quality assurance and improvement, and information management). There is initial evidence that adopting such practices results in improved access to services and retention in treatment. The CMHS Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services Program for Children and Their Families has promoted the cultural competence model, with improved outcomes for children and youth of minority backgrounds correlating to the application of cultural competence principles.

Recommendations

1. The government should name a Task Force to address the enormous gaps in unmet need for care for youth in general, and ethnic/racial minority youth in particular. The task force should evaluate whether changes in the current approach to the provision of mental health services are needed, including major changes in healthcare policy.
2. Evaluating the role of different sources of care (e.g. schools and/or community agencies) in service delivery may help minority families become more receptive to mental health treatments. Designing programs, including after school preventive programs, that address ethnic and racial minority families' competing demands and linguistic capacity may help surmount barriers to mental health services.
3. Providing decision rules that help parents identify the threshold for labeling a problem requiring professional mental health care is essential. Health literacy, to understand the trade-offs in postponing early intervention for minority youth, may also prove helpful.
4. There is almost no literature on treatment preferences among minority youth and their families, underscoring the importance of developing such a line of inquiry. Aligning treatment options with what families of color value is necessary. Ensuring that minority parents collaborate with providers so that their cultural values are considered is central to parental satisfaction and youth entry into mental health care.
5. Assessment instruments must provide accurate identification of symptoms and screening processes across ethnic and racial minority youth. More emphasis on the validity of diagnostic and screening instruments for minority populations is required of both service systems and researchers.

6. Different patterns of social and mental health problems might be associated with diagnostic uncertainty in minority youth resulting in differential needs for treatment such as combined treatments or more case management services; more attention should be paid to differential needs of ethnic and racial minority families in assessment and treatment design.
7. To reduce disparities in access, allocation of outpatient mental health treatment resources in foster care and juvenile justice settings should be investigated to determine proper allocation of providers and adequate treatment capacity.
8. Negative attitudes, lack of treatment information, and financial concerns about treatment should be minimized by social marketing campaigns (to address stigma) or by financial coverage and treatment availability through SCHIP.
9. Given significantly lower treatment completion rates among African Americans and Latinos, interventions such as enhanced access to medications and 'virtual' interventions (telephone, computers) which provide anonymity and are less burdensome to complete should be explored.
10. Interventions to reduce negative provider attitudes towards minority youth and enhance adoption of evidence-based treatment should be incentivized and supported in community healthcare systems. Building coaching teams that facilitate supervision and monitoring to train providers in evidence based and culturally specific therapeutic modality treatments should be encouraged with financial and institutional support.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grant MH073469-05 from the National Institute of Mental Health and MD002261-03 from the National Center for Minority Health and Health Disparities.

References

1. Institute of Medicine. Focusing on Children's Health: Community Approaches to Addressing Health Disparities. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2009.
2. Institute of Medicine. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington, D.C: The National Academies Press; 2002.
3. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Healthcare Disparities Report. Washington, DC: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2006.
4. McGuire T, Alegria M, Cook B, et al. Implementing the Institute of Medicine Definition of Disparities: An Application to Mental Health Care. *Health Services Research* October;2006 41(5): 1979–2005. [PubMed: 16987312]
5. Fergusson DM, Boden JM, Horwood L. Recurrence of major depression in adolescence and early adulthood, and later mental health, educational and economic outcomes. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2007;191(4):335. [PubMed: 17906244]
6. Bishaw, A.; Semega, J. Income, earnings, and poverty data from the 2007 American community survey. Washington DC: US Census Bureau; 2008.
7. Beiser M. The health of immigrants and refugees in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health* 2005;96(2):30.
8. Mollica RF, Poole C, Son L, et al. Effects of war trauma on Cambodian refugee adolescents' functional health and mental health status. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1997;36(8):1098. [PubMed: 9256589]
9. Kataoka SH, Zhang L, Wells KB. Unmet need for mental health care among US children: variation by ethnicity and insurance status. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 2002;159(9):1548. [PubMed: 12202276]

10. Slopen N, Fitzmaurice G, Williams DR, et al. Poverty, Food Insecurity, and the Behavior for Childhood Internalizing and Externalizing Disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2010;49(5):444–452. [PubMed: 20431464]
11. Horowitz K, Weine S, Jekel J. PTSD symptoms in urban adolescent girls: Compounded community trauma. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1995;34(10):1353. [PubMed: 7592273]
12. Flannery DJ, Wester KL, Singer MI. Impact of exposure to violence in school on child and adolescent mental health and behavior. *Journal of Community Psychology* 2004;32(5):559–573.
13. Jaycox LH, Stein BD, Kataoka SH, et al. Violence exposure, posttraumatic stress disorder, and depressive symptoms among recent immigrant schoolchildren. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2002;41(9):1104. [PubMed: 12218432]
14. Sampson RJ, Morenoff JD, Earls F. Beyond social capital: Spatial dynamics of collective efficacy for children. *American Sociological Review* 1999;64(5):633–660.
15. Gunew, SM. *Haunted Nations: The Colonial Dimensions of Multiculturalism*. New York: Routledge; 2003.
16. Goenjian AK, Walling D, Steinberg AM, et al. A prospective study of posttraumatic stress and depressive reactions among treated and untreated adolescents 5 years after a catastrophic disaster. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 2005;162(12):2302. [PubMed: 16330594]
17. Bayer J, Hiscock H, Scalzo K, et al. Systematic review of preventive interventions for children's mental health: what would work in Australian contexts? *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 2009;43(8):695–710. [PubMed: 19629791]
18. Olds D, Henderson CR Jr, Cole R, et al. Long-term effects of nurse home visitation on children's criminal and antisocial behavior: 15-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of the American Medical Association* 1998;280(14):1238.
19. Sanders MR, Markie-Dadds C, Tully LA, et al. The Triple P-Positive Parenting Program: A comparison of enhanced, standard, and self-directed behavioral family intervention for parents of children with early onset conduct problems. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 2000;68(4):624–640. [PubMed: 10965638]
20. Gardner F, Burton J, Klimes I. Randomized controlled trial of a parenting intervention in the voluntary sector for reducing child conduct problems: outcomes and mechanisms of change. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 2006;47(11):1123–1132. [PubMed: 17076751]
21. Dishion TJ, Shaw D, Connell A, et al. The Family Check-Up with high-risk indigent families: Preventing problem behavior by increasing parents' positive behavior support in early childhood. *Child Development* 2008;79(5):1395–1414. [PubMed: 18826532]
22. Ialongo N, Poduska J, Werthamer L, et al. The distal impact of two first-grade preventive interventions on conduct problems and disorder in early adolescence. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* 2001;9(3):146.
23. Hendricks Brown, C.; Kellman, S., et al. Prevention of aggressive behavior through middle school using a first-grade classroom-based intervention. In: Tsuang, M.; Lyons, M., editors. *Recognition and prevention of major mental and substance use disorders*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2007. p. 347-369.
24. Reynolds AJ, Temple JA, Robertson DL, et al. Long-term effects of an early childhood intervention on educational achievement and juvenile arrest: A 15-year follow-up of low income children in public schools. *The Journal of the American Medical Association* 2001;285(18):2339.
25. Kataoka SH, Stein BD, Jaycox LH, et al. A school-based mental health program for traumatized Latino immigrant children. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2003;42(3):311.
26. Weisz JR, Sandler IN, Durlak JA, et al. Promoting and protecting youth mental health through evidence-based prevention and treatment. *American Psychologist* 2005;60(6):628. [PubMed: 16173895]
27. Pumariega AJ, Winters NC, Huffine C. The evolution of systems of care for children's mental health: Forty years of community child and adolescent psychiatry. *Community Mental Health Journal* 2003;39(5):399–425. [PubMed: 14635984]

28. Novins DK, Beals J, Roberts RE, et al. Factors associated with suicide ideation among American Indian adolescents: Does culture matter? *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior* 1999;29(4):332–346. [PubMed: 10636327]
29. Rogers KM, Pumariega AJ, Atkins DL, et al. Conditions associated with identification of mentally ill youths in juvenile detention. *Community Mental Health Journal* 2006;42(1):25–40. [PubMed: 16429251]
30. Garland AF, Hough RL, McCabe KM, et al. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in youths across five sectors of care. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2001;40(4):409. [PubMed: 11314566]
31. Cuffe SP, Waller JL, Cuccaro ML, et al. Race and gender differences in the treatment of psychiatric disorders in young adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1995;34(11):1536–1543. [PubMed: 8543522]
32. Pescosolido BA, Gardner CB, Lubell KM. How people get into mental health services: Stories of choice, coercion and. *Social Sciences & Medicine* 1998;46(2):275–286.
33. Farmer EMZ, Burns BJ, Chapman MV, et al. Use of mental health services by youth in contact with social services. *The Social Service Review* 2001;75(4):605–624.
34. Onunaku, N. Improving maternal and infant mental health: Focus on maternal depression. Los Angeles, CA: National Center for Infant and Early Childhood Health Policy at UCLA; 2005.
35. Pumariega AJ, Rogers K, Rothe E. Culturally competent systems of care for children’s mental health: advances and challenges. *Community Mental Health Journal* 2005;41(5):539–555. [PubMed: 16142537]
36. Eaton, DK.; Kann, L.; Kinchen, S., et al. Youth risk behavior surveillance—United States, 2007. 2008.
37. Zambrana RE, Logie LA. Latino child health: need for inclusion in the US national discourse. *American Journal of Public Health* 2000;90(12):1827. [PubMed: 11111250]
38. Stevens J, Harman JS, Kelleher KJ. Ethnic and regional differences in primary care visits for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics* 2004;25(5):318. [PubMed: 15502548]
39. Disalver S. Unsuspected depressive mania in pre-pubertal Hispanic children referred for the treatment of ‘depression’ with history of social ‘deviance’. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2001;67(1–3):187–192. [PubMed: 11869767]
40. Gelhorn H, Hartman C, Sakai J, et al. Toward DSM-V: An Item Response Theory Analysis of the Diagnostic Process for DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Dependence in Adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2008;47(11):1329–1339. [PubMed: 18827724]
41. Wu LT, Ringwalt CL, Yang C, et al. Construct and Differential Item Functioning in the Assessment of Prescription Opioid Use Disorders Among American Adolescents. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2009;48(5):563–572.
42. Hong, V.; Pumariega, A.; Licata, C. Race, Minority Status, Cultural Isolation, and Psychiatric Diagnosis in Children in Public Mental Health. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida, Research and Training Institute for Children’s Mental Health; 2002.
43. Mandell DS, Listerud J, Levy SE, et al. Race differences in the age at diagnosis among Medicaid-eligible children with autism. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2002;41(12):1447.
44. Walrath C, Garraza LG, Stephens R, et al. Trends in Characteristics of Children Served by the Children’s Mental Health Initiative: 1994–2007. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research* 2009;36(6):361–373. [PubMed: 19641987]
45. Szczepura A. Access to health care for ethnic minority populations. *British Medical Journal* 2005;81(953):141–147.
46. Castaneda DM. A research agenda for Mexican-American adolescent mental health. *Adolescence Spring;1994* 29(113):225–239. [PubMed: 8036978]
47. Lieberman AF, Weston DR, Pawl JH. Preventive intervention and outcome with anxiously attached dyads. *Child Development* 1991;62(1):199–209. [PubMed: 2022136]

48. Constantino G, Malgady RG, Rogler LH, et al. Discriminant analysis of clinical outpatients and public school children by TEMAS: A thematic apperception test for Hispanics and Blacks. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 1988;52(4):670–678. [PubMed: 3210132]
49. Malgady RG, Rogler LH, Costantino G. Culturally sensitive psychotherapy for Puerto Rican children and adolescents: A program of treatment outcome research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1990;58(6):704–712. [PubMed: 2292620]
50. Szapocznik J, Santisteban D, Rio A, et al. Family effectiveness training: An intervention to prevent drug abuse and problem behaviors in Hispanic adolescents. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences* 1989;11(1):4.
51. Strug DL, Mason SE. Social Service Needs of Hispanic Immigrants. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Diversity in Social Work* 2001;10(3):69–88.
52. Kodjo CM, Auinger P. Predictors for emotionally distressed adolescents to receive mental health care. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2004;35(5):368–373. [PubMed: 15488430]
53. McCabe K, Yeh M, Hough R, et al. Racial/ethnic representation across five public sectors of care for youth. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* 1999;7:72–82.
54. Bui KV, Takeuchi DT. Ethnic minority adolescents and the use of community mental health care services. *Am J Community Psychol* Aug;1992 20(4):403–417. [PubMed: 1481784]
55. Sue S, Fujino DC, Hu L, Takeuchi D, et al. Community mental health services for ethnic minority groups. A test of the cultural responsiveness hypothesis. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 1991;59(4):533–540. [PubMed: 1918557]
56. Zahner G, Daskalakis C. Factors associated with mental health, general health, and school-based service use for child psychopathology. *American Journal of Public Health* 1997;87(9):1440–1448. [PubMed: 9314794]
57. Hough RL, Hazen AL, Soriano FI, et al. Mental health care for Latinos: Mental health services for Latino adolescents with psychiatric disorders. *Psychiatric Services* 2002;53(12):1556. [PubMed: 12461215]
58. Yeh M, McCabe K, Hough RL, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in parental endorsement of barriers to mental health services for youth. *Mental Health Services Research* Jun;2003 5(2):65–77. [PubMed: 12801070]
59. Pumariega, AJ. *Treating Child and Adolescent Depression*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2009. Disparities Among Age Groups; p. 117
60. Flores G. Devising, implementing, and evaluating interventions to eliminate health care disparities in minority children. *Pediatrics* 2009;124(Supplement):S214. [PubMed: 19861473]
61. US Census Bureau. Language Spoken at Home. American Community Survey; Fact Finder; p. S1601 http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/STTable?_bm=y&-geo_id=01000US&-qr_name=ACS_2008_3YR_G00_S1601&-ds_name=ACS_2008_3YR_G00_-&-redoLog=false
62. US Census Bureau. Characteristics of People by Language Spoken at Home. American Community Survey; Fact Finder; p. S1603 http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/STTable?_bm=y&-geo_id=01000US&-qr_name=ACS_2008_3YR_G00_S1603&-ds_name=ACS_2008_3YR_G00_-&-redoLog=false
63. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Mental Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Mental Health Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Mental Health; 1999.
64. West JC, Wilk JE, Rae DS, et al. Economic grand rounds: Financial disincentives for the provision of psychotherapy. *Psychiatric Services* 2003;54(12):1582. [PubMed: 14645792]
65. Huey SJ Jr, Polo AJ. Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for ethnic minority youth. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology* 2008;37(1):262–301. [PubMed: 18444061]
66. Wells R, Hillemeier MM, Bai Y, et al. Health service access across racial/ethnic groups of children in the child welfare system. *Child abuse and neglect* 2009;33(5):282–292. [PubMed: 19481260]
67. Mufson L, Dorta KP, Wickramaratne P, et al. A randomized effectiveness trial of interpersonal psychotherapy for depressed adolescents. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2004;61(6):577. [PubMed: 15184237]

68. Szapocznik J, Williams RA. Brief strategic family therapy: Twenty-five years of interplay among theory, research and practice in adolescent behavior problems and drug abuse. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review* 2000;3(2):117–134. [PubMed: 11227062]
69. Pumariega AJ, Rodriguez L, Kilgus MD. Substance abuse among adolescents: Current perspectives. *Addictive Disorders and Their Treatment* 2004;3(4):145.
70. Novins DK, Beals J, Moore LA, et al. Use of biomedical services and traditional healing options among American Indians: Sociodemographic correlates, spirituality, and ethnic identity. *Medical Care* 2004;42(7):670. [PubMed: 15213492]
71. Staller JA, Wade MJ, Baker M. Current prescribing patterns in outpatient child and adolescent psychiatric practice in central New York. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology* 2005;15(1):57–61. [PubMed: 15741786]
72. Rue DS, Xie Y. Disparities in treating culturally diverse children and adolescents. *The Psychiatric Clinics of North America* 2009;32(1):153. [PubMed: 19248922]
73. Leslie LK, Weckerly J, Landsverk J, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in the use of psychotropic medication in high-risk children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2003;42(12):1433. [PubMed: 14627878]
74. Snowden LR, Evans Cuellar A, Libby AM. Minority youth in foster care: Managed care and access to mental health treatment. *Medical Care* 2003;41(2):264. [PubMed: 12555054]
75. Chow JCC, Jaffee K, Snowden L. Racial/ethnic disparities in the use of mental health services in poverty areas. *American Journal of Public Health* 2003;93(5):792. [PubMed: 12721146]
76. Han E, Liu GG. Racial disparities in prescription drug use for mental illness among population in US. *Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics* 2005;8(3):131. [PubMed: 16278501]
77. Bussing R, Zima BT, Belin TR. Differential access to care for children with ADHD in special education programs. *Psychiatric Services* 1998;49(9):1226. [PubMed: 9735968]
78. Lasser KE, Himmelstein DU, Woolhandler SJ, et al. Do minorities in the United States receive fewer mental health services than whites? *International Journal of Health Services* 2002;32(3): 567–578. [PubMed: 12211293]
79. Zito J, Safer DJ, Dosreis S, et al. Racial disparity in psychotropic medications prescribed for youths with Medicaid insurance in Maryland. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1998;37(2):179. [PubMed: 9473914]
80. Alegria M, Atkins M, Farmer E, et al. One Size Does Not Fit All: Taking Diversity, Culture and Context Seriously. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research* 2010;37(1):48–60. [PubMed: 20165910]
81. Miranda J, Bernal G, Lau A, et al. State of the Science on Psychosocial Interventions for Ethnic Minorities. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 2005:113–142.
82. Baker, M. *Youth Clinical Outcomes: Does race/ethnicity matter?*. Vol. 17. Regional Research Institute for Human Services, Portland State University; 2003.
83. Santisteban D, Vega RR, Suarez-Morales L. Utilizing dissemination findings to help understand and bridge the research and practice gap in the treatment of substance abuse disorders in Hispanic populations. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2006;84(S1):S94–S101. [PubMed: 16828985]
84. Beal AC. Policies to reduce racial and ethnic disparities in child health and health care. *Health Affairs* 2004;23(5):171. [PubMed: 15371383]
85. Foster EM, Connor T. Public costs of better mental health services for children and adolescents. *Psychiatric Services* 2005;56(1):50. [PubMed: 15637192]
86. Miech R, Azur M, Dusablon T, et al. The potential to reduce mental health disparities through the comprehensive community mental health services for children and their families program. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services and Research* 2008;35(3):253–264.