ORIGINAL PAPER

Selective COX-2 inhibitor versus nonselective COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitor in the prevention of heterotopic ossification after total hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomised trials

Deting Xue • Qiang Zheng • Hang Li • Shengjun Qian • Bo Zhang • Zhijun Pan

Received: 17 September 2009 / Revised: 23 September 2009 / Accepted: 23 September 2009 / Published online: 15 October 2009 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract Whether selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors are equally effective compared to nonselective NSAIDs for the prevention of heterotopic ossification (HO) after total hip arthroplasty (THA) is still unclear. We carried out a comprehensive search strategy, in which only randomised controlled trials were included. Two reviewers independently assessed methodological quality and extracted outcome data. Analyses were performed using Stata version 10.0. Four eligible randomised controlled trials totalling 808 patients were included. Meta-analysis results showed that no statistically significant difference was found in overall incidence of HO (RR 1.08; 95% CI 0.71-1.64), incidence of moderate severe HO (Brooker II and III) (RR 0.83; 95% CI 0.48-1.42) and any grade of Brooker classification between two groups. In summary, the selective COX-2 inhibitors are equally effective as nonselective NSAIDs for the prevention of HO after THA. Considering the gastrointestinal side effects of nonselective NSAIDs, we recommend selective COX-2 inhibitors for the prevention of HO after THA. However, future welldesigned, randomised controlled trials are still needed to further confirm our results.

Introduction

Heterotopic ossification (HO) is a frequent complication after surgical procedures such as total hip arthroplasty (THA) and acetabular trauma surgery. The exact aetiology

D. Xue · Q. Zheng · H. Li · S. Qian · B. Zhang · Z. Pan (⊠)
Department of Orthopaedics, 2nd Affiliated Hospital,
School of Medicine, Zhejiang University,
#88 Jiefang Road,
Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China
e-mail: zepzj@163.com

of HO is still unclear. Many factors are associated with the incidence of HO, even the surgical approach [1]. The incidence has been reported as high as 60–75% without prophylaxis [2].

Many prophylaxis measures have been used, including radiotherapy, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and diphosphonates [3, 4]. Among them, NSAIDs have been recommended as a general prophylaxis after surgery [5]. However, the common gastrointestinal side effects of traditional NSAIDs trouble the patients and limit their application. The exact mechanism of NSAIDs on inhibition of HO is not exactly clear.

The cyclo-oxygenase (COX) enzyme includes two isoforms, COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 is associated with gastrointestinal side effects of nonselective inhibitors of NSAIDs [6]. Selective COX-2 inhibitors seem without the disadvantages of gastrointestinal side effects associated with COX-1 inhibition. However, whether selective COX-2 inhibitors are equally effective compared to nonselective NSAIDs for the prevention of heterotopic ossification after THA is still unclear. Several randomised control trials have addressed this issue, but the results seem inconclusive [7–10].

In order to summarise available randomised control trials and make this issue clear, we performed a meta-analysis of available evidence comparing selective COX-2 inhibitors with nonselective COX inhibitors of NSAIDs for prevention of HO after THA.

Methods and materials

We searched Medline (1966–June 2009), Embase (1980– June 2009), Science Citation Index (1981–June 2009), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CEN- TRAL) and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Cochrane Library, Issue 2, 2009) for randomised clinical trials that compared selective COX-2 inhibitors with nonselective COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors in the prevention of HO after total hip replacement. We also searched for unpublished trials and those in progress using clinical trials repositories, including the National Institute of Health (June 2009), the National Research Register (June 2009), and Current Controlled Trials (June 2009). The following terms were used: "heterotopic ossification", "heterotopic bone formation", "total hip arthroplasty" and "fractures". Searches were not restricted by year of publication or language. Reference lists of all included studies were scanned to identify additional potentially relevant studies. Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts of identified papers, and full text copies of all potentially relevant studies were obtained.

Study selection and outcomes

We included studies if they were randomised trials of the selective COX-2 inhibitor compared with the nonselective COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors in the prevention of HO, regardless of the daily dose and duration of inhibitors.

The extent of HO was graded according to the classification of Brooker et al. [11] as follows:

- Grade 0: no ossification
- Grade I: islands of bone in the soft tissues about the hip
- Grade II: bone spurs from the pelvis or proximal end of the femur, with at least 1 cm between opposing bone surfaces
- Grade III: bone spurs from pelvis or proximal end of the femur, reducing the space between opposing bone surfaces to less than 1 cm
- Grade IV: apparent bone ankylosis of the hip

The primary outcome was the incidence of HO according to Brooker's classification. The secondary outcomes were gastrointestinal side effects and hip joint function.

Data extraction

Two reviewers independently extracted information concerning trial characteristics, patient data, outcome measures, and study quality using a standardised protocol and reporting document. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. To quantify the level of agreement between reviewers, a κ statistic was calculated. The κ statistic is a chance-corrected proportional index, with values ranging from +1 (perfect agreement) to -1 (complete disagreement). Information extracted included personal information, methodology, details on interventions, and reported outcomes.

Study quality assessment

We assessed the methods of every study according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, including reporting of the randomisation method, allocation concealment, blinding of outcome assessment, and completeness of follow-up.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was done in line with recommendations from the Cochrane Collaboration and the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses guidelines (QUOROM) [12] with standard software (Stata version 10.0) [13]. Analyses were on an intention-to-treat basis. Heterogeneity was assessed with I^2 statistics [14]. I^2 is the proportion of total variation observed between the trials which is attributable to differences between trials rather than sampling error (chance). Relative risk (RR) was used as the summary statistic to perform statistical analysis of dichotomous variables. A fixed-effect model was used for calculations of summary estimates and their 95% CI. However, when the heterogeneity was significant, a random-effects model was used.

Results

Of the 891 references screened, four randomised controlled trials were included in the final analysis (Fig. 1) [7-10]. Tables 1 and 2 summarise the baseline characteristics and quality assessment, respectively. All the included studies compared selective COX-2 inhibitors with nonselective COX inhibitors for prevention of HO after total hip arthroplasty, which involved 808 patients. Among the included patients, 22 patients from the study of Barthel et al. [7], 12 patients from the study of van der Heide et al. [8], four patients from Grohs et al. [9] and ten patients from Saudan et al. [10] failed to finish the study due to discontinuation of medication or were lost to follow-up; none of these patients were included in the final analysis in respective studies. In the study of Barthel et al. [7], assignment of patients to the 7.5 mg meloxicam group was discontinued due to high incidence of HO (8/24) after six months; thus this group was not comparable (the other group had a follow-up for 12 months). We excluded this group in our meta-analysis. Therefore, 736 patients were included in the final analysis. All groups in the included studies were comparable. All the studies evaluated HO with Brooker's classification. After adjustment for chance concerning the agreement between reviewers, the κ coefficient on the agreement of the included studies was 0.89 (95% CI

Fig. 1 Selection of studies



0.68–0.94), suggesting good agreement between reviewers in data extraction.

The results of the comparison between these two groups (selective COX-2 inhibitor vs. nonselective COX inhibitor) as as shown in Table 3.

Overall incidence of HO

This result was based on four studies [7-10] (736 participants). Because the examinations of overall incidence of HO were heterogeneous among the included trials, we used a random effects model. The pooled RR was 1.08 (95% CI 0.71–1.64). This result was not statistically significant (*P*=0.73) (Fig. 2).

Incidence of moderate severe HO (Brooker II and III)

This result was based on four studies [7-10] (736 participants). The test for homogeneity showed that results were consistent across trials (*P*=0.25, I²=27.0%). Metaanalysis showed the pooled RR was 0.83 (95% CI 0.48– 1.42). This result was not statistically significant (*P*=0.49).

Incidence of Brooker I HO

This result was based on four studies [7-10] (736 participants). Because the examinations of overall incidence of HO were heterogeneous among the included trials, we used a random effects model. The pooled RR was 1.08

Study (country)	Year	Total (<i>n</i>)	Mean age (y)	Setting	Selective COX-2 inhibitor			Nonselective COX inhibitor		
					Drug	Dose (per day)	Duration of treatment (days)	Drug	Dose (per day)	Duration of treatment (days)
Barthel et al. [7] (Germany)	2002	272	63	THA	Meloxicam	7.5 mg and 15 mg ^a	14	Indomethacin	2×50 mg	14
Van der Heide et al. [8] (Netherlands)	2007	186	Not reported	THA	Rofecoxib	2×25 mg	7	Indomethacin	3×50 mg	7
Grohs et al. [9] (Austria)	2007	100	60	THA	Rofecoxib	$1 \times 25 \text{ mg}$	7	Indomethacin	$2 \times 25 \text{ mg} + 1 \times 50 \text{ mg}^{b}$	7
Saudan et al. [10] (Switzerland)	2007	250	69.5	THA	Celecoxib	2×200 mg	10	Ibuprofen	3×400 mg	10

Table 1 Characteristics of included studies [7-10]

THA total hip arthroplasty

^a Two groups received meloxicam with 7.5 mg and 15 mg, respectively

^b 100 mg per day in doses of 25, 25, and 50 mg

Study	Randomization	Allocated concealment	Blinding	Length of follow-up	Withdrawal or lost to follow-up (%)
Barthel et al. [7]	Adequate	Unclear	Unclear	12 months	7
Van der Heide et al. [8]	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	12 months	6
Grohs et al. [9]	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	15 months	4
Saudan et al. [10]	Adequate	Adequate	Unclear	3 months	4

 Table 2 Quality assessment of included studies [7–10]

(95% CI 0.69–1.68). This result was not statistically significant (P=0.74).

Gastrointestinal side effects

Incidence of Brooker II HO

This result was based on three studies [7-9] (496 participants). The test for homogeneity showed that results were consistent across trials (*P*=0.38, I²=0.0%). Metaanalysis showed the pooled RR was 1.32 (95% CI 0.59– 2.96). This result was not statistically significant (*P*=0.50).

Incidence of Brooker III HO

This result was based on three studies [7-9] (496 participants). The test for homogeneity showed that results were consistent across trials (*P*=0.23, I²=30.6%). Metaanalysis showed the pooled RR was 1.43 (95% CI 0.29– 7.13). This result was not statistically significant (*P*=0.66).

Incidence of Brooker IV HO

This result was based on three studies [7–9] (496 participants). There was only one study reporting one case of Brooker IV HO. Meta-analysis showed RR 3.19 (95% CI 0.13–76.36). This result was not statistically significant (P=0.47).

Table 3 Meta-analysis results

Across the included studies, 16 patients receiving nonselective COX inhibitors (4.4%) discontinued treatment because of gastrointestinal side effects, whereas ten patients in the selective COX-2 inhibitor group (2.7%) discontinued for gastrointestinal side effects.

Hip joint function

Only one study [9] reported the hip joint function according to the Harris hip score, though it only provided median score and range. At 12-month follow-up, the median Harris hip score was 100 (63–100) in the selective COX-2 inhibitor group and 96 (46–100) in the nonselective COX inhibitors group. No correlation between Harris hip score and grade of ossification was found.

Discussion

The main objective of this meta-analysis was to assess whether selective COX-2 inhibitors were equally effective compared to nonselective NSAIDs for the prevention of HO after THA. Meta-analysis results showed that no

Outcomes	Number of studies	Number of participants (n)		Test of homogeneity		RR (95% CI)	P value
		S-COX-2	NS-COX	I ² (%)	P value		
Overall incidence of HO	4	113/367	122/369	64.5	0.04	1.0 (0.80, 1.24) ^a	0.98 ^a
				64.0	0.04	1.08 (0.71, 1.64) ^b	0.73 ^b
Incidence of moderate severe HO (Brooker II and III)	4	22/367	28/369	27.0	0.25	0.83 (0.48, 1.42) ^a	0.49 ^a
Incidence of Brooker I	4	90/367	94/369	55.4	0.08	1.02 (0.79, 1.32) ^a	0.89 ^a
				54.5	0.09	1.08 (0.69, 1.68) ^b	0.74 ^b
Incidence of Brooker II	3	13/250	10/246	0.0	0.38	1.32 (0.59, 2.96) ^a	0.50^{a}
Incidence of Brooker III	3	3/250	2/246	30.6	0.23	1.43 (0.29, 7.13) ^a	0.66 ^a
Incidence of Brooker IV	4	1/367	0/369	NA	NA	3.19 (0.13, 76.36) ^a	0.47 ^a

HO heterotopic ossification, S-COX-2 selective COX-2 inhibitor, NS-COX nonselective COX inhibitor, NA not available

^a Fixed-effect model

^b Random-effects model



Fig. 2 Overall incidence of heterotopic ossification (HO) in selective COX-2 inhibitor prophylaxis group and nonselective COX inhibitor prophylaxis group

statistically significant difference was found in overall incidence of HO, incidence of moderate severe HO (Brooker II and III) and any grade of Brooker classification between the two groups.

The prophylactic effect of traditional (nonselective) NSAIDs on HO after THA has been proven [5]. However, the main disadvantages are well-known gastrointestinal side effects, including gastric perforations, ulcers and bleeding. In order to reduce side effects, the period of prophylaxis has been shortened from six weeks to two weeks, and even one week, all with similar results [15, 16]. However, side effects were still frequently observed [17]. There are three types of gastric side effects: symptoms, endoscopic findings and complications. With short-term prophylactic use of NSAID, we expected the side effects of NSAID to be limited to mainly symptoms and superficial erosions. Gastro protection is COX-1 mediated, so selective COX-2 inhibitors provide a promising approach. Our analysis was consistent with the above hypothesis. In the COX-2 selective inhibitor group, only 2.7% of patients discontinued their medication due to gastrointestinal side effects.

Increasing intraoperative blood loss is another problem of traditional nonselective NSAIDs. Kristensen et al. [18] found that the use of indomethacin increased perioperation blood loss, which was mainly due to the reduction in platelet aggregation. Weber et al. [19] found that perioperative blood loss in the meloxicam group (selective COX-2 inhibitor group) was 17% less than that observed in the indomethacin group. So this is another advantage of selective COX-2 inhibitors.

There was rising concern about the effects of this class of drugs on fracture repair and bone ingrowth in both bone grafts and uncemented prostheses. There was both experimental and clinical evidence of inhibition of new bone formation by NSAIDs (both selective and non-selective) [20, 21]. However, a study in a bone chamber model in goats found no difference in bone ingrowth when comparing goats treated with either meloxicam or ketoprofen (nonselective NSAIDs) and goats not receiving any NSAID [22]. Wurnig et al. [23] found comparable results six years after uncemented THA implantation in two groups-one receiving indomethacin, the other not receiving any NSAID. So the effect of NSAIDs on bone ingrowth appears to be limited. Besides, some studies have suggested that long-term prescription of selective COX-2 inhibitors increased the risk of cardiovascular events [24]. The use of these drugs for prophylaxis of HO was mainly short term, so the risk of cardiovascular events would be extremely low.

Thus, we can see that the therapeutic effects of NSAIDs are largely the result of inhibition of the enzyme COX-2, whereas adverse effects are primarily due to the inhibition of COX-1. Our meta-analysis confirmed that the selective COX-2 inhibitors were equally effective as nonselective NSAIDs for the prevention of HO after THA. With fewer side effects than nonselective NSAIDs, the compliance of patients may be better in the selective COX-2 inhibitors treatment group.

All meta-analyses are subject to potential bias because of systematic and random errors [25]. Our meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials may have several limitations. First, the number of included studies and participants was relatively small. Second, two included studies [7, 9] used mucoprotection, so the gastrointestinal side effects were rare in both groups. The comparison of drugs safety was limited. Third, only one study [9] reported the hip joint

function using the Harris Hip Score. Functional analyses could provide complementary clinical information on the impact of HO. Unfortunately, there was not sufficient information for meta-analysis.

In summary, although there are some limitations in our meta-analysis, based on available data, the selective COX-2 inhibitors are equally effective as nonselective NSAIDs for the prevention of HO after THA. Considering the side effects of traditional nonselective NSAIDs, we recommend selective COX-2 inhibitors for the prevention of HO after THA. However, future well-designed, randomised controlled trials are still needed to further confirm our results.

References

- Guo JJ, Tang N, Yang HL, Qin L, Leung KS (2009) Impact of surgical approach on postoperative heterotopic ossification and avascular necrosis in femoral head fractures: a systematic review. Int Orthop. doi:10.1007/s00264-009-0849-3
- Schmidt SA, Kjaersgaard-Andersen P, Pedersen NW, Kristensen SS, Pedersen P, Nielsen JB (1988) The use of indomethacin to prevent the formation of heterotopic bone after total hip replacement. A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. J Bone Jt Surg Am 70:834–838
- 3. Thomas BJ (1992) Heterotopic bone formation after total hip arthroplasty. Orthop Clin North Am 23:347–358
- Pakos EE, Pitouli EJ, Tsekeris PG, Papathanasopoulou V, Stafilas K, Xenakis TH (2006) Prevention of heterotopic ossification in high-risk patients with total hip arthroplasty: the experience of a combined therapeutic protocol. Int Orthop 30:79–83
- Neal BC, Rodgers A, Clark T, Gray H, Reid IR, Dunn L, MacMahon SW (2000) A systematic survey of 13 randomized trials of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for the prevention of heterotopic bone formation after major hip surgery. Acta Orthop Scand 71:122–128
- Rahme E, Barkun AN, Adam V, Bardou M (2004) Treatment costs to prevent or treat upper gastrointestinal adverse events associated with NSAIDs. Drug Saf 27:1019–1042
- Barthel T, Baumann B, Noth U, Eulert J (2002) Prophylaxis of heterotopic ossification after total hip arthroplasty: a prospective randomized study comparing indomethacin and meloxicam. Acta Orthop Scand 73:611–614
- Van der Heide HJ, Rijnberg WJ, Van Sorge A, Van Kampen A, Schreurs BW (2007) Similar effects of rofecoxib and indomethacin on the incidence of heterotopic ossification after hip arthroplasty. Acta Orthop 78:90–94
- Grohs JG, Schmidt M, Wanivenhaus A (2007) Selective COX-2 inhibitor versus indomethacin for the prevention of heterotopic ossification after hip replacement: a double-blind randomized trial of 100 patients with 1-year follow-up. Acta Orthop 78:95–98
- Saudan M, Saudan P, Perneger T, Riand N, Keller A, Hoffmeyer P (2007) Celecoxib versus ibuprofen in the prevention of hetero-

topic ossification following total hip replacement: a prospective randomised trial. J Bone Jt Surg Br 89:155-159

- Brooker AF, Bowerman JW, Robinson RA, Riley LH Jr (1973) Ectopic ossification following total hip replacement. Incidence and a method of classification. J Bone Jt Surg Am 55:1629–1632
- Moher D, Cook DJ, Eastwood S, Olkin I, Rennie D, Stroup DF (1999) Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. Quality of reporting of meta-analyses. Lancet 354:1896–1900
- Bradburn M, Deeks J, Altman D (1998) Sbe24: metan-an alternative meta-analysis command. Stata Tech Bull Reprints 8:86–100
- Galbraith RF (1988) A note on graphical presentation of estimated odds ratios from several clinical trials. Stat Med 7:889–894
- Cella JP, Salvati EA, Sculco TP (1988) Indomethacin for the prevention of heterotopic ossification following total hip arthroplasty. Effectiveness, contraindications, and adverse effects. J Arthroplast 3:229–234
- Hofmann S, Trnka HJ, Metzenroth H, Frank E, Ritschl P, Salzer M (1999) General short-term indomethacin prophylaxis to prevent heterotopic ossification in total hip arthroplasty. Orthopedics 22:207–211
- Van der Heide HJ, Koorevaar RT, Schreurs BW, van Kampen A, Lemmens A (1999) Indomethacin for 3 days is not effective as prophylaxis for heterotopic ossification after primary total hip arthroplasty. J Arthroplast 14:796–799
- Kristensen SS, Pedersen P, Pedersen NW, Schmidt SA, Kjaersgaard-Andersen P (1990) Combined treatment with indomethacin and low-dose heparin after total hip replacement. A double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Bone Jt Surg Br 72:447–449
- Weber EW, Slappendel R, Durieux ME, Dirksen R, van der Heide H, Spruit M (2003) COX 2 selectivity of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs and perioperative blood loss in hip surgery. A randomized comparison of indomethacin and meloxicam. Eur J Anaesthesiol 20:963–966
- Goodman S, Ma T, Trindade M, Ikenoue T, Matsuura I, Wong N, Fox N, Genovese M, Regula D, Smith RL (2002) COX-2 selective NSAID decreases bone ingrowth in vivo. J Orthop Res 20:1164–1169
- Simon AM, O'Connor JP (2007) Dose and time-dependent effects of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition on fracture-healing. J Bone Jt Surg Am 89:500–511
- 22. Van der Heide HJ, Hannink G, Buma P, Schreurs BW (2008) No effect of ketoprofen and meloxicam on bone graft ingrowth: a bone chamber study in goats. Acta Orthop 79:548–554
- Wurnig C, Schwameis E, Bitzan P, Kainberger F (1999) Six-year results of a cementless stem with prophylaxis against heterotopic bone. Clin Orthop Relat Res 361:150–158
- 24. Bresalier RS, Sandler RS, Quan H, Bolognese JA, Oxenius B, Horgan K, Lines C, Riddell R, Morton D, Lanas A, Konstam MA, Baron JA (2005) Cardiovascular events associated with rofecoxib in a colorectal adenoma chemoprevention trial. N Engl J Med 352:1092–1102
- Cook DJ, Mulrow CD, Haynes RB (1997) Systematic reviews: synthesis of best evidence for clinical decisions. Ann Intern Med 126:376–380