

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 1

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Res. 2010 February 15; 70(4): 1496–1504. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3264.

Genetic polymorphisms in vitamin D receptor *VDR/RXRA* influence the likelihood of colon adenoma recurrence

Jan B. Egan¹, Patricia A. Thompson^{1,2}, Erin L. Ashbeck¹, David V. Conti³, David Duggan⁴, Elizabeth Hibler², Peter W. Jurutka^{5,6}, Elizabeth C. LeRoy^{1,2}, María Elena Martínez^{1,2}, David Mount¹, and Elizabeth T. Jacobs^{1,2}

¹Arizona Cancer Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ

²Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ

³Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

⁴Translational Genomics Research Institute, Phoenix, AZ

⁵Division of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, Arizona State University, Glendale, AZ

⁶Department of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Arizona College of Medicine-Phoenix, in partnership with Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ

Abstract

Low circulating levels of vitamin D have been implicated in colorectal cancer risk. The biological actions of the hormonal form of vitamin D, 1,25(OH)₂D₃, are mediated by the vitamin D receptor (VDR), which heterodimerizes with retinoid X receptors (RXRs). We applied a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) tagging approach to assess the association between genetic variation in RXRA and VDR and odds of metachronous colorectal neoplasia after polypectomy in a pooled population of two studies. A total of 32 tagSNPs in RXRA and 42 in VDR were analyzed in 1,439 participants. A gene-level association was observed for RXRA and any (P = 0.04), and proximal (P = 0.03) metachronous neoplasia, but no gene-level associations were observed for VDR. Although no single SNP in VDR was related to any metachronous adenoma after correction for multiple comparisons, the association between RXRA SNP rs7861779 and proximal metachronous neoplasia was of borderline statistical significance (OR=0.68; 95% CI=0.53-0.86; unadjusted p=0.001; adjusted p=0.06). This finding was observed in both of the individual studies when examined independently. Haplotypes within linkage blocks of RXRA support an approximately 30% significant reduction in odds of metachronous neoplasia arising in the proximal colon among carriers of specific haplotypes, which was strongest ($OR_{proximal} = 0.67$; 95% CI, 0.52 – 0.86) for carriers of a CGGGCA haplotype (rs1805352, rs3132297, rs3132296, rs3118529, rs3118536, rs7861779). These results represent a novel finding indicating that allelic variation in RXRA is related to metachronous colorectal neoplasia, and may be of particular importance in the development of proximal lesions.

Keywords

Vitamin D; colorectal adenoma; single nucleotide polymorphism; VDR; RXR

Corresponding Author, Elizabeth T. Jacobs, Ph.D., Arizona Cancer Center, University of Arizona, P.O. Box 245024, 1515 N Campbell Ave, Tucson, AZ 85724-5024, Ph: 520-626-0341, Fax: 520-626-9275, jacobse@email.arizona.edu.

Introduction

A protective effect of vitamin D on colorectal cancer (CRC) was first proposed in 1980 (1). Subsequent epidemiological studies have reported significant inverse associations between measured blood levels of 25(OH)D, a biomarker used to approximate systemic vitamin D levels, and either colorectal cancer (2–6) or its precursor, colorectal adenoma (7). Several mechanisms of action for the putative anti-tumor properties of vitamin D have been proposed from extensive cell culture studies. The hormone metabolite of vitamin D, 1,25(OH)₂D₃, has been shown to play a key role in the maintenance of cellular proliferation (8) and differentiation (9,10); modulation of the cell cycle (11), and regulation of members of the Bcl-2 family, which are involved in apoptosis (10,12). 1,25(OH)₂D₃ has also been demonstrated to upregulate E-cadherin, an important component of cellular adhesion (13,14) resulting in the translocation of β -catenin from the nucleus to the plasma membrane (13,15).

1,25(OH)₂D₃ mediates its action as a ligand by binding to the vitamin D receptor (VDR), which commonly forms a heterodimer with retinoid X receptors (RXRs) such as RXR α , an isoform of RXR which has been implicated in colorectal carcinogenesis (16). Formation of VDR-RXR heterodimers releases co-repressor proteins and recruits coactivators, resulting in increased VDR transcriptional activity at vitamin D-responsive elements (VDREs) in VDR target genes (17), including those involved in the regulation of vitamin D metabolites (18). Both VDR and RXR α are members of the steroid nuclear receptor superfamily (19), whose members and their ligands have been identified as potential targets for the prevention and treatment of several different cancers (17,20). To date, the biological role of RXR α in relation to cancer has not been investigated as thoroughly as that of VDR, although RXR binding is absolutely required for transcriptional activation by VDR (21), and RXR α dysregulation has been identified to have carcinogenic effects in the colon (16). Thus, RXR α likely has a major regulatory role in pathways related to VDR including effects on 1,25(OH)₂D₃-mediated anti-neoplastic activities in the colon.

Although a number of studies have evaluated limited candidate SNPs in the *VDR* gene for their association with the development of colorectal adenoma (22–26), none have used a tag SNP approach (27) to evaluate allelic variation in *VDR* and odds of metachronous colorectal adenoma. In addition, despite the clear biologic coupling of RXR α and VDR in mediating 1,25(OH)₂D₃ activity at the cellular level, association of genetic variation in *RXRA* with cancer outcomes is limited to studies of prostate and biliary cancers (28,29). Therefore, in the current work, a tag SNP approach was employed to probe common genetic variation in the *RXRA* and *VDR* genes as well as to construct haplotype blocks where appropriate to determine the role of these genes in the development of metachronous colorectal neoplasia.

Methods

Subjects were drawn from participants of the Wheat Bran Fiber (WBF) and Ursodeoxycholic Acid (UDCA) trials conducted at the University of Arizona as described previously (30,31). The WBF study was conducted to determine the effect of a high-fiber versus a low-fiber cereal supplement on metachronous colorectal neoplasia among participants in a randomized, double-blind clinical trial (30). Participants in the study had at least one histologically confirmed colorectal adenoma removed no more than 3 months before entry into the trial and were between 40 and 80 years of age. A follow-up colonoscopy was completed in 1310 participants (32) and no effect of the fiber supplement on metachronous neoplasia was observed. The UDCA trial was a phase III, double- blind, placebo controlled study conducted to determine the effect of UDCA on colorectal metachronous neoplasia (31). A total of 1,192 participants completed the UDCA trial (31) with no effect of UDCA on metachronous neoplasia. The University of Arizona Human

Subjects Committee and Institutional Review Board approved both the WBF and UDCA trials.

Endpoint ascertainment

Metachronous colorectal neoplasia was defined as adenomas or cancers (n=7) detected by colonoscopy at least six months after randomization to the parent trials. In the past, these lesions have been defined as 'recurrences'; however, due to the possibility of some of the 'recurrent' lesions having been those missed at baseline colonoscopy, it was recommended that the terminology be changed from 'recurrent' to 'metachronous'. Personnel at each study site reviewed endoscopy and pathology reports and extracted data regarding size, histology, number, and location, followed by central pathology review at each site. Lesions were classified as proximal if they were located at or proximal to the splenic flexure; those distal to the splenic flexure, including the rectum, were categorized as distal.

SNP Selection and Genotyping

SNP selection for this platform utilized Haploview Tagger to identify bin tags from a European Caucasian (CEU) population. Initial tag SNPs and LD blocks were identified from HapMap data release #16c.1, June 2005, on NCBI B34 assembly, dbSNP b124. Tag SNPs from these data were identified utilizing the following criteria: Minor allele frequency > 5%; pairwise $r^2 > 0.95$; and at least 60 base pairs between neighboring SNPs (33,34). SNPs located at the 5' and 3' ends of an LD block were also included. SNPs with little or no linkage disequilibrium were selected from HapMap or dbSNP at a density of 1 per kb. In addition to this tag SNP selection strategy, high-interest SNPs that have been reported in the literature were also chosen, including *BsmI* (rs1544410), *TaqI* (rs731236), and *FokI* (rs2228570) restriction endonuclease sites, as well as the *Cdx-2* binding site in the promoter region (rs11568820).

Genotyping of the samples was performed on the Illumina Golden Gate platform (Illumina[®], San Diego, CA). Briefly, DNA was activated with streptavidin/biotin and added to a hybridization mixture. After hybridization, the samples were washed followed by extension, ligation and cleanup. Universal primers labeled with Cy3 or Cy5 were utilized for PCR labeling of the DNA. Next, the labeled DNA was allowed to hybridize with the Sentrix Array Matrix (SAM) and finally, placed in the BeadArray Reader for quantitation of the fluorescence signal. Analysis of the output from the fluorescence reading was managed using Bead Studio software (Illumina[®], San Diego, CA). SNPs were considered to have failed genotyping if they met at least one of the following criteria: Illumina GenTrain Score < 0.4; 10% GC Score < 0.25; AB T Dev > 0.1239, call frequency < 0.95; intra-plate replicate errors > 2; parent-parent-child errors > 2; or discordance with HapMap > 3. Participants were genotyped for a total of 49 SNPs in *VDR* and 41 in *RXRA*.

The VDR *FokI* polymorphism (rs2228570, 27823C>T) failed both the Illumina GoldenGateTM and the Sequenom IplexTM technologies. We genotyped the sample set for high-interest SNPs that failed the Illumina GoldenGateTM platform using the GenomeLabTM SNPStream 12-plex technology from Beckman Coulter at the University of Arizona Genetics Core; because *FokI* is a commonly studied SNP and is not in high LD with any other SNP, it was included in the SNPStream platform. This technology couples base extension chemistry with a subsequent hybridization step to a glass slide. Genotype data passed quality control measures if they met the following criteria: distributed into three statistically significant clusters, failed to generate genotypes from all 181 blank wells, showed Mendelian consistency for Coriell CEPH trios, and showed >97% concordance among lab blinded replicates. Any samples that exhibited low fluorescence intensity or generated an ambiguous genotype call were classified as failed and not included in the data. Genotype frequencies were found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Statistical Analysis

Participants from the WBF and UDCA trials with both genetic data and trial endpoint data available were pooled, yielding 1,530 participants. Of these, a total of 91 participants reported a race/ethnicity other than white. Since the trials did not have adequate numbers of the other racial/ethnic groups to appropriately address the issue of population stratification, all genetic analyses were limited to the white participants, yielding a final sample size of 1,439 individuals. Prior to the statistical analysis, all SNPs which failed the criteria listed above, were monomorphic, or had an excessive heterogeneity score were excluded from the data set (VDR = 7; RXRA = 9), leaving at total of 42 VDR SNPs and 32 RXRA SNPs for inclusion in the final statistical analyses.

We used a multi-stage approach to test overall association of a gene via principal component analysis, finer resolution with a single SNP analysis, and characterization of effects via haplotype analysis. Principal components (PCs) were generated to capture the set of available SNPs within each gene (32 on *RXRA*,⁴² on *VDR*) where the PCs are linear transformations of the original SNP data. The PCs were modeled using logistic regression, utilizing an 80% explained-variance threshold in determining how many PCs to include in the models (35). Three different outcomes were modeled for each gene: any metachronous colorectal neoplasia, proximal metachronous neoplasia, and distal metachronous neoplasia. Proximal and distal lesions were evaluated as distinct outcomes based on *a priori* evidence that these may represent biologically disparate pathologies with potentially distinct genetic etiologies (36). Exclusion of rectal lesions from the distal endpoint did not result in any material changes to the point estimates; hence, they were included as distal colorectal lesions. A p-value for the overall gene-outcome association was obtained from a likelihood ratio test comparing the model with PCs versus an intercept-only model, with degrees of freedom equal to the number of PCs.

Individual SNPs were evaluated using logistic regression models, utilizing additive, dominant, and recessive modes of inheritance due to the lack of existing evidence regarding the true mode of inheritance for these SNPs. Preliminary statistical models were adjusted for age and sex; however, these covariates had no meaningful effect on the estimates, and crude models are presented in the Results. After obtaining uncorrected p-values for these associations, a multiple comparisons adjustment was utilized that is specifically designed for correlated tests due to linkage disequilibrium and the exploration of different modes of inheritance (37).

Linkage disequilibrium plots were generated using the mapLD package available as part of the R project (http://www.r-project.org) to identify haplotype blocks. Haplotypes within each block were estimated with fastPHASE version 1.2 using default iteration settings (38). Haplotypes were evaluated for associations with any metachronous colorectal neoplasia as well as by colorectal sub-site-using logistic regression to obtain odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. An overall p-value for each haplotype block was obtained with a likelihood ratio test. All statistical analyses were conducted with R version 2.7.2 or SAS version 9.1. All statistical tests were two-sided and considered significant at a value of p<0.05. D' values for SNPs were determined with Haploview 4.1 (http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview/). Significant SNPs and haplotypes identified in the uncorrected analysis were evaluated separately in WBF and UDCA to assess heterogeneity between the two populations.

Results

Characteristics of the genotyped participants included in the pooled analysis of metachronous neoplasia are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the pooled study population was 66.2 ± 8.2 , with 66.7% of the participants being male, 24.9% reporting a family history of colorectal cancer, and 43.7% having had a polyp prior to the baseline examination. At follow-up, 45.9% of the participants had a metachronous adenoma; of these, 33.1% had proximal lesions and 24.0% had distal neoplasia, with the remainder having had adenomas at both colon sub-sites. In order to evaluate the overall gene-level association of *VDR* and *RXRA*, PC analysis was conducted with any, proximal, and distal metachronous neoplasia as the primary endpoints (Table 2). At the gene level, *RXRA* was significantly associated with any (P = 0.04) and proximal (P = 0.03), but not with distal metachronous neoplasia. No significant association was observed at the gene level for *VDR* and metachronous neoplasia.

Next, the relationships between individual SNPs within each gene for any, proximal and distal metachronous neoplasia were evaluated using additive, dominant, and recessive inheritance models for each SNP. After evaluating 32 SNPs in RXRA and 42 in VDR, a total of five SNPs in RXRA and seven in VDR were significantly associated with metachronous neoplasia. Adjustment for multiple comparisons revealed one association of borderline statistical significance for RXRA (rs7861779) and proximal adenoma (OR=0.68; 95% CI=0.53–0.86; unadjusted p=0.001; adjusted p=0.06; Supplemental Table 1). Further, when this SNP was investigated in relation to proximal metachronous adenoma in the WBF and UDCA trials separately with unadjusted logistic regression models, this result was observed in each study separately, with an OR (95% CI) of 0.64 (0.45–0.91) in the WBF trial and 0.70 (0.50–0.98) in the UDCA trial. This SNP was also significantly associated with the presence of proximal lesions at baseline in cross-sectional analyses (p=0.05; data not shown). Considering the potential implications of the differing proportions of participants with a family history of colorectal cancer or who reported previous polyps between the WBF and UDCA trials, the analyses for RXRA rs7861779 were repeated in separate models stratified by these variables. No material differences in the point estimate were observed between those with a family history and those without, nor for those who reported having previous polyps versus those who did not. No significant relationships were observed for any SNPs in VDR and metachronous adenoma after adjustment for multiple comparisons (Supplemental Table 2), including the following high-interest SNPs: TaqI (OR=1.00; 95% CI=0.86-1.17), BsmI (OR=1.01; 95% CI=0.87-1.18), FokI (OR=0.93; 95% CI=0.80-1.09), or the Cdx-2 binding site (OR=0.95; 95% CI=0.79-1.13).

We next constructed linkage blocks within each gene using the available SNP data to derive haplotype groups within each blockable region in order to evaluate the possibility of epistatic effects (See Supplemental Figure 1 for the block structure using D' for both *VDR* and *RXRA*). Three major blocks on *RXRA* were identified, and *VDR* was also reduced to three blocks within which the SNPs were highly correlated. We next evaluated haplotype constructed within each of the primary blocks using the most common haplotype in that block as the referent group.

As shown in Table 3, for the *RXRA* gene, a GAGA haplotype in block 1 (rs11102986, rs11103473, rs10776909, and rs12004589) was associated with reduced odds for proximal metachronous neoplasia (OR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.54 – 0.92; block global p=0.02). In addition, a haplotype within block 2 of *RXRA* designated CGGGCA (rs1805352, rs3132297, rs3132296, rs3118529, rs3118536, and rs7861779) was similarly associated with a reduction in odds for any (OR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.59 – 0.92; block global p=0.03) and proximal (OR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.52 – 0.86; block global p=0.0097) metachronous neoplasia. A single

haplotype in block 1 of *VDR*, designated GAA (rs11574143, rs731236, rs1544410), was related to reduced odds of proximal adenoma (OR=0.42; 95% CI=0.19–0.96) and increased odds of distal adenoma (OR=2.05; 95% CI=1.08–3.88), though the overall block was not statistically significant for either sub-site (p=0.18 and p=0.15 for proximal and distal adenoma, respectively).

When examining associations in the WBF trial and UDCA trial independently, the magnitude of effect observed for each SNP or haplotype was similar for each study, although statistical significance was often demonstrated in only one study or neither study (data not shown). As described above, a notable exception was *RXRA* SNP rs7861779, which exhibited a statistically significant association with proximal metachronous neoplasia in the WBF (OR=0.64; 95% CI=0.45–0.91) and UDCA (OR=0.70; 95% CI=0.50–0.98) trials individually. In addition, *RXRA* block 2 haplotype CGGGCA, which includes rs7861779, was significantly associated with any and proximal metachronous neoplasia across the separate and pooled studies.

Because of the biological relationship between VDR and RXRA, interactions between the statistically significant SNP in *RXRA*, rs7861779, and all of the SNPs in *VDR* were evaluated. After correction for multiple comparisons, no statistically significant interactions were observed (data not shown).

Discussion

In the current work, a statistically significant association between the *RXRA* gene and any and proximal metachronous neoplasia was observed, while there was no relationship for *VDR* at the gene level and neoplasia. After adjustment for multiple comparisons, one SNP in *RXRA* (rs7861779) was of borderline statistical significance in relation to proximal metachronous adenoma. Haplotype analyses demonstrated that the *RXRA* block 1 haplotype GAGA, defined by rs11102986, rs11103473, rs10776909, rs12004589, was statistically significantly associated with a 24% reduced odds for proximal neoplasia. In addition, *RXRA* block 2 haplotype CGGGCA, defined by rs1805352, rs3132297, rs3132296, rs3118529, rs3118536, rs7861779, was significantly related to a similar 26% lower odds of both any and proximal metachronous neoplasia. A single *VDR* haplotype in block 1 of *VDR* was related to reduced odds for proximal adenoma and increased odds for distal adenoma; however, the overall block was not significantly associated with lesions at either sub-site.

Using a tagging approach, we found no consistent relationship between polymorphic variation in VDR and odds of metachronous colorectal adenoma, although there is substantial evidence for a role of VDR regulatory events in development of colorectal neoplasia (39). For example, VDR has been reported to interact with β -catenin and inhibit β catenin signaling events that are commonly disrupted in colonic cancers (13). In the nucleus, β-catenin binds to TCF/LEF enabling the transactivation of target genes as part of the Wnt signaling pathway. Significantly, the activation of this Wnt signaling network, as well as βcatenin-mediated upregulation of proliferative genes, is suppressed in response to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ via the nuclear VDR (13). Furthermore, while it is well-established that VDR heterodimerizes with RXR to exert transcriptional effects and promote cell differentiation (40), β -catenin also acts as a transcriptional co-activator of the RXR-VDR heterodimer resulting in increased transactivation of VDRE-driven genes in the presence of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, and causing enhanced antiproliferation (13,41). Moreover, RXR α , an isoform expressed in the colonic epithelium, acts via agonists that enhance the interaction between RXR and β -catenin as well as increase the degradation of β -catenin (42,43). RXR ligands have also been demonstrated to modify the antiproliferative response of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ in two colon cancer cell lines, exhibiting an increased proliferative response in Caco-2 cells, but

Egan et al.

blocking it in HT-29 cells (44). The reasons for these differential responses are unclear, but the data indicate that RXR acts as a potent modulator of VDR activity. Furthermore, an RXR ligand (9-cis-RA), can act synergistically with VDR-1,25D to induce VDR-mediated transactivation, enable recruitment of coactivators and upregulate E-cadherin expression (45). These actions of the VDR/RXR heterodimer may offer insight into the biological mechanisms by which retinoids and vitamin D metabolites influence the development of colorectal neoplasia, and how genetic variation in these genes may alter this activity (39). We therefore hypothesized that genetic variation in RXR likely affects the biological activity of VDR.

VDR is a highly polymorphic gene with over 100 identified SNPs, of which only a handful have been studied to determine an association between genetic variation and risk of colorectal adenoma and colorectal cancer. Previous association studies have included BsmI (rs1544410), TaqI (rs731236), ApaI (rs7975232), and FokI (rs2228570) restriction endonuclease sites, as well as the Cdx-2 binding site in the promoter region (rs11568820). None of these SNPs have been consistently independently associated with the risk of adenoma recurrence (23) or colorectal cancer (46); in a recent meta-analysis of Fokl and BsmI and colorectal cancer, no significant associations were reported (46), and another review of the literature indicated that the data for VDR SNPs and colorectal cancers may be weaker than for other malignancies (47). In the current work, no relationship between Cdx-2, BsmI, or TaqI and metachronous colorectal adenoma were observed, although a single haplotype in VDR block 1 including TaqI was observed to be statistically significantly associated with distal and proximal lesions, though in opposite directions. Although Apal was not directly assessed in this population, this SNP has been shown to be in high linkage disequilibrium with TaqI and Bsm I (47), neither of which was significantly related to metachronous neoplasia. Further, these results do not support a relationship between Fokl and the development of metachronous colorectal neoplasia, which is in line with recent reviews and meta-analyses of *FokI* which do not support a strong independent association with colorectal neoplasia (46,47). Nonetheless, as this SNP may be important in gene by environment interactions, future work will include analyses of interactions between FokI, circulating vitamin D concentrations, and other exposures.

While the association between VDR polymorphic variation and cancer has been studied extensively, only two other studies have investigated the association of polymorphic variation in RXRA and cancer, though neither included colorectal cancer as an endpoint (28,29). In the work by Ahn et al., no relationship was observed for SNPs in RXRA and risk for prostate cancer (28); however, the study included only 11 SNPs in RXRA. Further, it is likely that the expression and activity of nuclear receptors like RXR vary in a tissue-specific manner (48). Chang et al. reported no significant associations between two RXRA SNPs (rs1536475 and rs1805343) and biliary tract cancers (29). The results of the present study also found no relationship between these two SNPs and risk of metachronous colorectal adenoma (Supplemental Table 1), but did show that RXRA was significantly associated with colorectal neoplasia at the gene level. Further, after corrections for multiple comparisons, one high-interest SNP (rs7861779) was identified, and after characterizing haplotype block structure to assess the association between co-carriage of alleles and metachronous adenoma, RXRA block 1 was observed to be significantly associated with proximal metachronous adenoma. RXRA block 1 contains SNPs located in intron 1; while RXRA block 2, which was found to be significantly associated with any and proximal adenoma, contains SNPs located in introns 2, 4 and 5. SNPs located within introns can potentially affect alternative splicing of RNA (49-51).

Two RXRA haplotype blocks in our population demonstrated significant associations with metachronous adenoma of similar magnitude. The two SNPs of highest interest (rs12004589

and rs7861779) from blocks 1 and 2, respectively, demonstrate strong linkage disequilibrium (D'=0.87) with one another, and as such are not likely to confer independent information. Replication of this work in another population is necessary to determine if the haplotypes and SNPs of interest in our population are generalizable to other populations, and whether they are also related to cancer risk. When examined in the WBF and UDCA trials individually, the *RXRA* SNP rs7861779 was statistically significantly related to proximal metachronous neoplasia in both studies. Interestingly, rs7861779 was also statistically significantly associated with the presence of proximal adenomas at baseline in crosssectional analyses (p<0.05; data not shown). Of note, most of the SNPs selected for this investigation are tag SNPs; therefore, the SNPs in these haplotypes are likely not causal, but rather serve as indicators for regions of interest. Therefore, if these data replicate in another population, then further identification of potential causal variants and their functional implications is warranted.

Taken together, laboratory and epidemiological data support a protective role for vitamin D and its receptor, VDR, as well as the VDR heterodimeric partner, RXR α , in colorectal carcinogenesis. However, genetic variation in *VDR*, as measured here by a tagSNP approach, did not appear to play a major independent role in the formation of metachronous colorectal adenoma. In contrast, *RXRA* was significantly associated with colorectal neoplasia at the gene level, and these findings suggest a potentially important role of RXR α in the molecular processes leading to colorectal carcinogenesis, particularly of the proximal colon. Because no statistically significant interactions between *RXRA* SNP rs7861779 and any *VDR* SNP were observed in the current work, it is possible that the associations observed are mediated via other RXR α heterdimer partners; these pathways should be investigated in future work.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Robert Hunter and Ann Manziello of the Arizona Cancer Center Bioinformatics Core for their expert assistance, along with Betsy C. Wertheim for her invaluable contributions. We would also like to thank David Conti and Won Lee for providing a modified version of the PACT R code for the multiple comparisons adjustment.

Financial support: This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute [K07CA106269 (ETJ)], PO1CA41108, CA23074, CA77145, and CA95060 [ETJ, ELA, EH, MEM, DM], and a Technology and Research Initiative Fund (TRIF) award from the University of Arizona and Arizona State University (JBE, PAT, PWJ, ETJ).

References

- 1. Garland CF, Garland FC. Do sunlight and vitamin D reduce the likelihood of colon cancer? Int J Epidemiol 1980;9:227–231. [PubMed: 7440046]
- Feskanich D, Ma J, Fuchs CS, et al. Plasma vitamin D metabolites and risk of colorectal cancer in women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13(9):1502–1508. [PubMed: 15342452]
- Giovannucci E, Liu Y, Rimm EB, et al. Prospective study of predictors of vitamin D status and cancer incidence and mortality in men. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2006;98(7):451– 459. [PubMed: 16595781]
- Garland CF, Comstock GW, Garland FC, Helsing KJ, Shaw EK, Gorham ED. Serum 25hydroxyvitamin D and colon cancer: eight-year prospective study. Lancet 1989;2(8673):1176–1178. [PubMed: 2572900]

- Wactawski-Wende J, Kotchen JM, Anderson GL, et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of colorectal cancer. The New England journal of medicine 2006;354(7):684–696. [PubMed: 16481636]
- Freedman DM, Looker AC, Chang SC, Graubard BI. Prospective study of serum vitamin D and cancer mortality in the United States. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2007;99(21):1594– 1602. [PubMed: 17971526]
- Wei MY, Garland CF, Gorham ED, Mohr SB, Giovannucci E. Vitamin D and prevention of colorectal adenoma: a meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17(11):2958–2969. [PubMed: 18990737]
- Scaglione-Sewell BA, Bissonnette M, Skarosi S, Abraham C, Brasitus TA. A vitamin D3 analog induces a G1-phase arrest in CaCo-2 cells by inhibiting cdk2 and cdk6: roles of cyclin E, p21Waf1, and p27Kip1. Endocrinology 2000;141(11):3931–3939. [PubMed: 11089522]
- Halline AG, Davidson NO, Skarosi SF, et al. Effects of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 on proliferation and differentiation of Caco-2 cells. Endocrinology 1994;134(4):1710–1717. [PubMed: 8137734]
- Haussler MR, Whitfield GK, Haussler CA, et al. The nuclear vitamin D receptor: biological and molecular regulatory properties revealed. J Bone Miner Res 1998;13(3):325–349. [PubMed: 9525333]
- Diaz GD, Paraskeva C, Thomas MG, Binderup L, Hague A. Apoptosis is induced by the active metabolite of vitamin D3 and its analogue EB1089 in colorectal adenoma and carcinoma cells: possible implications for prevention and therapy. Cancer Res 2000;60(8):2304–2312. [PubMed: 10786699]
- 12. Lamprecht SA, Lipkin M. Chemoprevention of colon cancer by calcium, vitamin D and folate: molecular mechanisms. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3(8):601–614. [PubMed: 12894248]
- Palmer HG, Gonzalez-Sancho JM, Espada J, et al. Vitamin D(3) promotes the differentiation of colon carcinoma cells by the induction of E-cadherin and the inhibition of beta-catenin signaling. J Cell Biol 2001;154(2):369–387. [PubMed: 11470825]
- Palmer HG, Sanchez-Carbayo M, Ordonez-Moran P, Larriba MJ, Cordon-Cardo C, Munoz A. Genetic signatures of differentiation induced by 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 in human colon cancer cells. Cancer Res 2003;63(22):7799–7806. [PubMed: 14633706]
- Shah S, Pishvaian MJ, Easwaran V, Brown PH, Byers SW. The role of cadherin, beta-catenin, and AP-1 in retinoid-regulated carcinoma cell differentiation and proliferation. J Biol Chem 2002;277(28):25313–25322. [PubMed: 12000762]
- Yamazaki K, Shimizu M, Okuno M, et al. Synergistic effects of RXR alpha and PPAR gamma ligands to inhibit growth in human colon cancer cells--phosphorylated RXR alpha is a critical target for colon cancer management. Gut 2007;56(11):1557–1563. [PubMed: 17604322]
- D'Errico I, Moschetta A. Nuclear receptors, intestinal architecture and colon cancer: an intriguing link. Cell Mol Life Sci 2008;65(10):1523–1543. [PubMed: 18278436]
- Anderson P, May B, Morris H. Vitamin d metabolism: new concepts and clinical implications. The Clinical biochemist 2003;24(1):13–26. [PubMed: 18650961]
- Mangelsdorf DJ, Thummel C, Beato M, et al. The nuclear receptor superfamily: the second decade. Cell 1995;83(6):835–839. [PubMed: 8521507]
- Altucci L, Leibowitz MD, Ogilvie KM, de Lera AR, Gronemeyer H. RAR and RXR modulation in cancer and metabolic disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2007;6(10):793–810. [PubMed: 17906642]
- Haussler MR, Haussler CA, Jurutka PW, et al. The vitamin D hormone and its nuclear receptor: molecular actions and disease states. J Endocrinol 1997;154 Suppl:S57–S73. [PubMed: 9379138]
- Grau MV, Baron JA, Sandler RS, et al. Vitamin D, calcium supplementation, and colorectal adenomas: results of a randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95(23):1765–1771. [PubMed: 14652238]
- Hubner RA, Muir KR, Liu JF, Logan RF, Grainge MJ, Houlston RS. Dairy products, polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor gene and colorectal adenoma recurrence. International journal of cancer 2008;123(3):586–593.
- Ingles SA, Wang J, Coetzee GA, Lee ER, Frankl HD, Haile RW. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and risk of colorectal adenomas (United States). Cancer Causes Control 2001;12(7):607–614. [PubMed: 11552708]

Egan et al.

- Kim HS, Newcomb PA, Ulrich CM, et al. Vitamin D receptor polymorphism and the risk of colorectal adenomas: evidence of interaction with dietary vitamin D and calcium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2001;10(8):869–874. [PubMed: 11489753]
- Peters U, McGlynn KA, Chatterjee N, et al. Vitamin D, calcium, and vitamin D receptor polymorphism in colorectal adenomas. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2001;10(12):1267– 1274. [PubMed: 11751444]
- 27. Stram DO. Software for tag single nucleotide polymorphism selection. Human genomics 2005;2(2):144–151. [PubMed: 16004730]
- Ahn J, Albanes D, Berndt SI, et al. Vitamin D-related Genes, Serum Vitamin D Concentrations, and Prostate Cancer Risk. Carcinogenesis. 2009
- 29. Chang SC, Rashid A, Gao YT, et al. Polymorphism of genes related to insulin sensitivity and the risk of biliary tract cancer and biliary stone: a population-based case-control study in Shanghai, China. Carcinogenesis 2008;29(5):944–948. [PubMed: 18375961]
- Alberts DS, Martinez ME, Roe DJ, et al. Lack of effect of a high-fiber cereal supplement on the recurrence of colorectal adenomas. Phoenix Colon Cancer Prevention Physicians' Network. N Engl J Med 2000;342(16):1156–1162. [PubMed: 10770980]
- Alberts DS, Martinez ME, Hess LM, et al. Phase III trial of ursodeoxycholic acid to prevent colorectal adenoma recurrence. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97(11):846–853. [PubMed: 15928305]
- Martinez ME, Thompson P, Jacobs ET, et al. Dietary factors and biomarkers involved in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase genotype-colorectal adenoma pathway. Gastroenterology 2006;131(6):1706–1716. [PubMed: 17087956]
- 33. The International HapMap Project. Nature 2003;426(6968):789–796. [PubMed: 14685227]
- 34. de Bakker PI, Yelensky R, Pe'er I, Gabriel SB, Daly MJ, Altshuler D. Efficiency and power in genetic association studies. Nat Genet 2005;37(11):1217–1223. [PubMed: 16244653]
- 35. Gauderman WJ, Murcray C, Gilliland F, Conti DV. Testing association between disease and multiple SNPs in a candidate gene. Genet Epidemiol 2007;31(5):383–395. [PubMed: 17410554]
- Jacobs ET, Thompson PA, Martinez ME. Diet, gender, and colorectal neoplasia. J Clin Gastroenterol 2007;41(8):731–746. [PubMed: 17700421]
- 37. Conneely KN, Boehnke M. So Many Correlated Tests, So Little Time! Rapid Adjustment of P Values for Multiple Correlated Tests. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81(6)
- Scheet P, Stephens M. A fast and flexible statistical model for large-scale population genotype data: applications to inferring missing genotypes and haplotypic phase. Am J Hum Genet 2006;78(4):629–644. [PubMed: 16532393]
- Jacobs ET, Haussler MR, Martinez ME. Vitamin D activity and colorectal neoplasia: a pathway approach to epidemiologic studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14(9):2061–2063. [PubMed: 16172209]
- Haussler MR, Haussler CA, Bartik L, et al. Vitamin D receptor: molecular signaling and actions of nutritional ligands in disease prevention. Nutrition reviews 2008;66 10 Suppl 2:S98–S112. [PubMed: 18844852]
- Palmer HG, Anjos-Afonso F, Carmeliet G, Takeda H, Watt FM. The vitamin D receptor is a Wnt effector that controls hair follicle differentiation and specifies tumor type in adult epidermis. PLoS ONE 2008;3(1):e1483. [PubMed: 18213391]
- Xiao JH, Ghosn C, Hinchman C, et al. Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-independent regulation of beta-catenin degradation via a retinoid X receptor-mediated pathway. J Biol Chem 2003;278(32):29954–29962. [PubMed: 12771132]
- Dillard AC, Lane MA. Retinol Increases beta-catenin-RXRalpha binding leading to the increased proteasomal degradation of beta-catenin and RXRalpha. Nutr Cancer 2008;60(1):97–108. [PubMed: 18444141]
- Kane KF, Langman MJ, Williams GR. Antiproliferative responses to two human colon cancer cell lines to vitamin D3 are differently modified by 9-cis-retinoic acid. Cancer Res 1996;56(3):623– 632. [PubMed: 8564982]
- Sanchez-Martinez R, Castillo AI, Steinmeyer A, Aranda A. The retinoid X receptor ligand restores defective signalling by the vitamin D receptor. EMBO Rep 2006;7(10):1030–1034. [PubMed: 16936639]

- 46. Raimondi S, Johansson H, Maisonneuve P, Gandini S. Review and meta-analysis on vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and cancer risk. Carcinogenesis 2009;30(7):1170–1180. [PubMed: 19403841]
- 47. Kostner K, Denzer N, Muller CS, Klein R, Tilgen W, Reichrath J. The relevance of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms for cancer: a review of the literature. Anticancer research 2009;29(9):3511–3536. [PubMed: 19667145]
- Mulholland DJ, Dedhar S, Coetzee GA, Nelson CC. Interaction of nuclear receptors with the Wnt/ beta-catenin/Tcf signaling axis: Wnt you like to know? Endocrine reviews 2005;26(7):898–915. [PubMed: 16126938]
- 49. El Sharawy A, Manaster C, Teuber M, et al. SNPSplicer: systematic analysis of SNP-dependent splicing in genotyped cDNAs. Hum Mutat 2006;27(11):1129–1134. [PubMed: 16937379]
- Fujimaru M, Tanaka A, Choeh K, Wakamatsu N, Sakuraba H, Isshiki G. Two mutations remote from an exon/intron junction in the beta-hexosaminidase beta-subunit gene affect 3'-splice site selection and cause Sandhoff disease. Hum Genet 1998;103(4):462–469. [PubMed: 9856491]
- Webb KE, Martin JF, Cotton J, Erusalimsky JD, Humphries SE. The 4830C>A polymorphism within intron 5 affects the pattern of alternative splicing occurring within exon 6 of the thrombopoietin gene. Exp Hematol 2003;31(6):488–494. [PubMed: 12829024]

Table 1

Characteristics of the pooled population, WBF and UDCA.

Characteristics	WBF	UDCA	Pooled
	n=611	n=828	n=1,439
Mean age, $y \pm SD$	66.0 ± 8.2	66.4 ± 8.2	66.2 ± 8.2
Sex, Male, n (%)	403 (66.0%)	560 (67.6%)	963 (66.9%)
Family history of colorectal cancer ¹ , n (%)	113 (19.9%)	234 (28.3%)	347 (24.9%)
Previous polyps ² , n (%)	228 (41.2%)	355 (45.5%)	583 (43.7%)
Any metachronous neoplasia ³ , n (%)	313 (51.2%)	347 (41.9%)	660 (45.9%)
Proximal metachronous neoplasia, n (%)	225 (37.3%)	248 (30.1%)	473 (33.1%)
Distal metachronous neoplasia, n (%)	160 (26.5%)	183 (22.2%)	343 (24.0%)
Rectal metachronous neoplasia ⁴ , n (%)	15 (2.5%)	20 (2.4%)	35 (2.4%)

¹History of colorectal cancer in parent or sibling.

 2 History of colorectal polyps before qualifying colonoscopy.

³Colorectal adenoma or cancer detected during trial follow-up.

⁴Rectal adenoma only

Egan et al.

Table 2

Association between RXRA and VDR genes and metachronous colorectal neoplasia.

	Ar	ny metachronous		Proxi	imal metachronou	Di	stal metachron	sno
		neoplasia			neoplasia		neoplasia	
	OR	95%CI		OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	
RXRA								
PC1	1.01	(0.96 - 1.05)		1.01	(0.97 - 1.06)	0.97	(0.93 - 1.03)	
PC2	0.90	(0.83 - 0.99)		0.88	(0.80 - 0.97)	1.01	(0.91 - 1.12)	
PC3	1.12	(1.00-1.25)		1.10	(0.98 - 1.24)	1.03	(0.91 - 1.17)	
$PC4^{I}$	1.09	(0.94 - 1.28)		1.10	(0.94 - 1.30)	1.15	(0.96 - 1.38)	
LRT p-value ²)	0.04		0.0	3		0.49
VDR								
PC1	0.98	(0.93 - 1.03)		1.00	(0.95 - 1.06)	1.00	(0.94 - 1.06)	
PC2	0.97	(0.92 - 1.02)		0.96	(0.91 - 1.02)	1.00	(0.93 - 1.06)	
PC3	1.03	(0.95 - 1.10)		0.99	(0.92 - 1.07)	1.06	(0.98 - 1.16)	
PC4	0.96	(0.88 - 1.04)		0.94	(0.86 - 1.03)	0.97	(0.98 - 1.16)	
PC5	1.06	(0.93 - 1.19)		1.02	(0.89 - 1.16)	1.02	(0.88 - 1.18)	
PC6	1.13	(0.99 - 1.30)		1.14	(0.99 - 1.31)	1.01	(0.86 - 1.17)	
PC7	1.03	(0.89 - 1.20)		1.00	(0.85 - 1.16)	0.97	(0.82 - 1.15)	
$PC8^{I}$	1.19	(1.02 - 1.40)		1.21	(1.02 - 1.43)	0.98	(0.81 - 1.17)	
LRT p-value ²)	0.11		0.1	8		0.96

Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 1.

²P-value for each model is from a likelihood ratio test (LRT) with degrees of freedom equal to the number of principal components.

Table 3

Haplotypes on RXRA and VDR genes and association with metachronous colorectal neoplasia.

Haplotype	Count		Any	Metachron	ous	Proximal Neoplasia					Distal Neoplasia				
		N	(%)	OR	95%CI	N	(%)	OR	95%CI	N	(%)	OR	95%CI		
RXRA, bloc	:k 1 ¹														
GTGC	1857	857	(46.1)	1.00	ref	617	(33.5)	1.00	ref	428	(23.2)	1.00	ref		
AAAC	523	252	(48.2)	1.09	(0.89–1.32)	185	(35.6)	1.10	(0.89–1.34)	132	(25.4)	1.12	(0.90–1.41)		
GAGA	319	126	(39.5)	0.76	(0.60-0.97)	83	(26.1)	0.70	(0.54–0.92)	82	(25.8)	1.15	(0.87–1.51)		
GAAC	86	45	(52.3)	1.28	(0.83–1.97)	34	(40.5)	1.35	(0.86–2.11)	22	(26.2)	1.17	(0.71–1.93)		
GAGC	86	38	(44.2)	0.92	(0.60–1.43)	26	(30.2)	0.86	(0.54–1.38)	21	(24.4)	1.07	(0.64–1.77)		
rare	7	2	(28.6)	0.47	(0.09–2.41)	1	(14.3)	0.33	(0.04–2.75)	1	(14.3)	0.55	(0.07–4.59)		
				p=0.1145				p=0.0284				p=0.8075			
RXRA, bloc	k 2 ²														
AGAACG	1989	927	(46.6)	1.00	ref	674	(34.2)	1.00	ref	467	(23.7)	1.00	ref		
CAGGAG	482	230	(47.7)	1.05	(0.86–1.28)	165	(34.5)	1.02	(0.82–1.25)	121	(25.3)	1.09	(0.87–1.38)		
CGGGCA	383	150	(39.2)	0.74	(0.59–0.92)	98	(25.7)	0.67	(0.52–0.86)	91	(23.9)	1.01	(0.78–1.31)		
rare	24	13	(54.2)	1.35	(0.60-3.04)	9	(37.5)	1.16	(0.50–2.66)	7	(29.2)	1.33	(0.55–3.22)		
				p=0.0325				p=0.0097				p=0.8246			
RXRA, bloc	k 3 ³														
AAGGG	1853	861	(46.5)	1.00	ref	622	(33.8)	1.00	ref	429	(23.3)	1.00	ref		
GCAAA	501	234	(46.7)	1.01	(0.83–1.23)	169	(34.0)	1.01	(0.82–1.24)	122	(24.5)	1.07	(0.85–1.35)		
GAGGA	249	112	(45.0)	0.94	(0.72–1.23)	77	(31.2)	0.89	(0.67–1.18)	66	(26.7)	1.20	(0.89–1.62)		
GAGAA	186	73	(39.2)	0.74	(0.55–1.01)	49	(26.5)	0.70	(0.50-0.99)	45	(24.3)	1.06	(0.74–1.50)		
GAGGG	35	18	(51.4)	1.22	(0.62–2.38)	15	(42.9)	1.47	(0.75–2.88)	7	(20.0)	0.82	(0.36–1.89)		
AAAGG	23	9	(39.1)	0.74	(0.32–1.72)	4	(17.4)	0.41	(0.14–1.22)	8	(34.8)	1.75	(0.74–4.16)		
AAGGA	12	4	(33.3)	0.58	(0.17–1.92)	3	(25.0)	0.65	(0.18–2.42)	3	(25.0)	1.09	(0.30-4.06)		
rare	19	9	(47.4)	1.04	(0.42–2.56)	7	(36.8)	1.14	(0.45–2.91)	6	(31.6)	1.52	(0.57–4.01)		
				p=0.6040				p=0.2091				p=0.8086			
VDR, block	1 ⁴														
GAG	1407	655	(46.6)	1.00	ref	457	(32.8)	1.00	ref	332	(23.8)	1.00	ref		
GGA	1106	508	(45.9)	0.98	(0.83–1.14)	376	(34.2)	1.07	(0.90–1.26)	258	(23.5)	0.98	(0.82–1.18)		
AAG	306	130	(42.5)	0.85	(0.66–1.09)	101	(33.1)	1.02	(0.78–1.32)	73	(23.9)	1.01	(0.75–1.35)		
GAA	41	18	(43.9)	0.90	(0.48–1.68)	7	(17.1)	0.42	(0.19–0.96)	16	(39.0)	2.05	(1.08–3.88)		
rare	18	9	(50.0)	1.15	(0.45–2.91)	5	(27.8)	0.79	(0.28–2.23)	7	(38.9)	2.04	(0.78–5.29)		
				p=0.7591				p=0.1792				p=0.1537			
VDR, block	2 ⁵														
GCCGG	1018	482	(47.3)	1.00	ref	337	(33.4)	1.00	ref	253	(25.1)	1.00	ref		
GCAAG	956	426	(44.6)	0.89	(0.75–1.07)	300	(31.5)	0.92	(0.76–1.11)	236	(24.8)	0.98	(0.80–1.21)		
AACAA	381	169	(44.4)	0.89	(0.70–1.12)	120	(31.7)	0.92	(0.72–1.19)	93	(24.5)	0.97	(0.74–1.28)		
GACAA	368	178	(48.4)	1.04	(0.82–1.32)	134	(36.9)	1.17	(0.91–1.50)	76	(20.9)	0.79	(0.59–1.06)		
GCCAA	128	57	(44.5)	0.89	(0.62 - 1.29)	48	(37.5)	1.19	(0.82 - 1.75)	26	(20.3)	0.76	(0.48 - 1.20)		

Haplotype	Count	Any Metachronous					Proximal Neoplasia				Distal Neoplasia			
		Ν	(%)	OR	95%CI	Ν	(%)	OR	95%CI	Ν	(%)	OR	95%CI	
GACAG	18	6	(33.3)	0.56	(0.21–1.49)	5	(27.8)	0.77	(0.27–2.17)	1	(5.6)	0.18	(0.02–1.33)	
rare	9	2	(22.2)	0.32	(0.07–1.54)	2	(22.2)	0.57	(0.12–2.75)	1	(11.1)	0.37	(0.05-3.00)	
				p=0.3939				p=0.4642				p=0.1518		
VDR, block	3 ⁶													
AAGAA	1011	477	(47.2)	1.00	ref	334	(33.4)	1.00	ref	250	(25.0)	1.00	ref	
GGGGA	977	433	(44.3)	0.89	(0.75–1.06)	307	(31.6)	0.92	(0.76–1.11)	237	(24.4)	0.97	(0.79–1.19)	
AAAAG	649	291	(44.8)	0.91	(0.75–1.11)	224	(34.7)	1.06	(0.86–1.31)	148	(22.9)	0.89	(0.71–1.13)	
AGGGA	184	93	(50.5)	1.14	(0.84–1.57)	61	(33.7)	1.02	(0.73–1.42)	40	(22.1)	0.85	(0.58–1.25)	
rare	57	26	(45.6)	0.94	(0.55–1.60)	20	(35.1)	1.08	(0.62–1.89)	11	(19.3)	0.72	(0.37–1.41)	
				p=0.4719				p=0.7460				p=0.7207		

¹*RXRA*, block 1 includes: rs11102986, rs11103473, rs10776909, rs12004589

²*RXRA*, block 2 includes: rs1805352, rs3132297, rs3132296, rs3118529, rs3118536, rs7861779

³*RXRA*, block3 includes: rs3118571, rs3118570, rs1536475, rs3132293, rs877954

⁴*VDR*, block 1 includes: rs11574143, rs731236, rs1544410

⁵*VDR*, block 2 includes: rs11574026, rs10875695, rs11168293, rs4760655, rs7299460

⁶VDR, block 3 includes: rs4760658, rs4516035, rs11568820, rs7310552, rs7970314

 $^{7}\mathrm{Likelihood}$ ratio test p-value for a model with these haplotypes vs an intercept-only model