

Conservation and Diversification of Dileucine Signal Recognition by Adaptor Protein (AP) Complex Variants*[§]

Received for publication, October 22, 2010; Published, JBC Papers in Press, November 19, 2010; DOI 10.1074/jbc.M110.197178

Rafael Mattera, Markus Boehm¹, Rittik Chaudhuri², Yogikala Prabhu, and Juan S. Bonifacino³

From the Cell Biology and Metabolism Program, NICHD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892

The clathrin-associated, heterotetrameric adaptor protein (AP) complexes, AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3, recognize signals in the cytosolic domains of transmembrane proteins, leading to their sorting to endosomes, lysosomes, lysosome-related organelles, and/or the basolateral membrane of polarized epithelial cells. One type of signal, referred to as “dileucine-based,” fits the consensus motif (D/E)XXXL(L/I). Previous biochemical analyses showed that (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals bind to a combination of two subunits of each AP complex, namely the AP-1 γ - σ 1, AP-2 α - σ 2, and AP-3 δ - σ 3 hemicomplexes, and structural studies revealed that an imperfect variant of this motif lacking the (D/E) residue binds to a site straddling the interface of α and σ 2. Herein, we report mutational and binding analyses showing that canonical (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals bind to this same site on AP-2, and to similar sites on AP-1 and AP-3. The strength and amino acid requirements of different interactions depend on the specific signals and AP complexes involved. We also demonstrate the occurrence of diverse AP-1 heterotetramers by combinatorial assembly of various γ and σ 1 subunit isoforms encoded by different genes. These AP-1 variants bind (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with marked preferences for certain sequences, implying that they are not functionally equivalent. Our results thus demonstrate that different AP complexes share a conserved binding site for (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. However, the characteristics of the binding site on each complex vary, providing for the specific recognition of a diverse repertoire of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals.

Sorting of transmembrane proteins to endosomes, lysosomes, lysosome-related organelles, and the basolateral plasma membrane of polarized epithelial cells is driven by the recognition of signals in the cytosolic domains of the transmembrane proteins by adaptor proteins that are components of membrane coats (1–4). Key components of this system are the heterotetrameric adaptor protein

(AP)⁴ complexes, AP-1 (γ - β 1- μ 1- σ 1), AP-2 (α - β 2- μ 2- σ 2), AP-3 (δ - β 3- μ 3- σ 3), and AP-4 (ϵ - β 4- μ 4- σ 4) (subunit composition shown in parentheses) (see Fig. 1) (1–4). AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 associate with clathrin, whereas AP-4 is most likely part of a nonclathrin coat. Another property common to AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3, but not AP-4 is their potential heterogeneity due to the existence of multiple subunit isoforms encoded by different genes, including two γ (γ 1 and γ 2), two μ 1 (μ 1A and μ 1B), and three σ 1 (σ 1A, σ 1B and σ 1C) for AP-1; two α (α A and α C) for AP-2; and two β 3 (β 3A and β 3B), two μ 3 (μ 3A and μ 3B), and two σ 3 (σ 3A and σ 3B) for AP-3 (1). In addition, β 1 can substitute for β 2 in the AP-2 complex (5–6), the only known case in which a subunit of one AP complex can be incorporated into another. Thus, combinatorial assembly of different subunit isoforms could give rise to twelve AP-1, four AP-2, and eight AP-3 complexes (see Fig. 1). It is not known, however, whether most of these combinations occur in cells and whether particular subunit isoforms endow the complexes with different functional properties.

AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 recognize sorting signals fitting the “tyrosine-based,” YXX \emptyset , and “dileucine-based,” (D/E)XXXL(L/I) consensus motifs (where \emptyset is an amino acid with a bulky hydrophobic side chain, *i.e.* leucine, isoleucine, methionine, valine, or phenylalanine) (2–4, 7). Although both types of signals play similar roles in protein sorting, they bind to different sites on the AP complexes. Yeast two-hybrid and other protein interaction assays showed that YXX \emptyset signals bind to the μ subunits of AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 (8–14). (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals, on the other hand, do not bind to any single AP subunit but to combinations of γ - σ 1, α - σ 2, and δ - σ 3 subunits, as demonstrated by the use of yeast three-hybrid (Y3H) and *in vitro* binding assays (15–17).

X-ray crystallographic analyses have shed light on the structural basis for the interactions of YXX \emptyset and (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with the AP-2 complex (18–20). Both binding sites are located on the AP-2 “core,” a domain formed by the amino-terminal regions of α and β 2, and the entire μ 2 and σ 2 subunits (Fig. 1). The YXX \emptyset -binding site comprises two hydrophobic pockets on μ 2 that accommodate the Y and \emptyset residues of the signals (18). The binding site for (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals likely corresponds to that of a dileucine-containing “Q-peptide” from CD4, (RMpSQIKRLLSE), which was recently identified by Kelly *et al.* (20). The Q-peptide does not strictly conform to the definition of a (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signal, although the Gln residue at position –4 or the phos-

* This work was supported by the Intramural Program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health.

[§] The on-line version of this article (available at <http://www.jbc.org>) contains supplemental Fig. 1.

¹ Present address: Oncology Translational Medicine, Novartis Pharma AG, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland.

² Supported by National Institutes of Health-Cambridge and Gates-Cambridge graduate scholarships.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed: Cell Biology and Metabolism Program, NICHD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892. Tel.: 301-496-6368; Fax: 301-402-0078; E-mail: juan@helix.nih.gov.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: AP, adaptor protein; Y3H, yeast three-hybrid; 3-AT, 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole; HIV-1, human immunodeficiency virus, type 1.




FIGURE 1. Subunit heterogeneity of heterotetrameric AP complexes. The schematic shows the subunit composition and isoforms of the four AP complexes (for review, see Ref. 1). Combinatorial assembly of the various subunit isoforms could result in up to twelve AP-1 complexes, four AP-2, eight AP-3, and one AP-4. The inclusion of AP-1 $\beta 1$ as an AP-2 subunit is based on the observed formation of $\beta 1$ -containing AP-2 complexes upon knockdown of AP-2 $\beta 2$ (5) or disruption of the corresponding gene (6). The AP complexes have been represented according to the structures of the AP-1 and AP-2 core complexes (47, 50) and of the ear domains of AP-1 γ (51, 52), AP-2 α (53–54) and AP-2 $\beta 2$ (55). The schematic depicts a core comprising the trunk domains of the large subunits (γ , α , δ , or ϵ and $\beta 1$ – $\beta 4$ for AP-1, -2, -3, or -4, respectively) together with the corresponding medium (μ) and small subunits (σ). The hinge and ear domains of the large subunits are shown protruding from the core of the complexes (see the AP-4 schematic). The depiction of two subdomains (an N-terminal IgG-like β sandwich and a C-terminal platform) in the ear domains of AP-1 $\beta 1$, AP-3 δ , AP-3 $\beta 3$, and AP-4 ϵ is based on alignment with AP-2 α and $\beta 2$ subunits and secondary structure predictions. The prediction of a single C-terminal platform in AP-4 $\beta 4$ is based on the lack of conservation of the N-terminal IgG-like β sandwich in this subunit as compared with the corresponding subunits in other AP complexes.

phorylated Ser residue at position -5 in the CD4 peptide could behave similar to the Asp or Glu residue at position -4 in the canonical signals (the first critical leucine is considered position 0). This site consists of hydrophobic pockets on $\sigma 2$ that fit the Leu and (L/I) residues, and a basic patch straddling the boundary of α and $\sigma 2$ that might bind the Gln, phosphorylated Ser, or (D/E) residues of the signals (20). The AP-2 core occurs in two conformations: an inactive conformation in which both signal-binding sites are occluded by interaction with different parts of $\beta 2$ and an active conformation in which both binding sites are exposed on a surface that is coplanar with a PtdIns-4,5- P_2 -binding site on α (21). This conformational change is triggered by phosphorylation of a threonine residue in $\mu 2$ (22) and results in increased affinity of AP-2 for PtdIns-4,5- P_2 -enriched domains of the plasma membrane, thus allowing simultaneous recognition of both types of signal (23). The exact location of the signal-binding sites on AP-1 and AP-3, and the mechanistic details of the interactions have not been determined.

Herein, we report the use of site-directed mutagenesis and Y3H assays to map the binding sites for (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals on AP-1 and AP-3. We find that these AP complexes have a (D/E)XXXL(L/I)-binding site similar to that of AP-2. Analysis of the fine specificity of interactions of various (D/

E)XXXL(L/I) signals with AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 subunits, however, reveals both signal- and AP-complex-dependent differences. We also investigated the assembly of different AP-1 subunit isoforms in cells and the ability of these isoforms to recognize (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. We demonstrate that heterotetramers containing all possible combinations of γ and $\sigma 1$ isoforms, with the exception of $\gamma 2$ - $\beta 1$ - $\mu 1$ - $\sigma 1C$, are assembled *in vivo*. Finally, we show that the AP-1 $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1A$, $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1B$, and $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1C$ hemicomplexes recognize all (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals tested, whereas $\gamma 2$ - $\sigma 1A$ and $\gamma 2$ - $\sigma 1B$ have a more restricted specificity. These findings indicate that AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 share a conserved binding site for (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals, albeit with distinct specificity determined by the exact nature of the signal, as well as the particular AP complex and subunit isoforms involved in the interactions. Based on these observations, we argue that a proper definition of AP complexes must include the specific composition of subunit isoforms.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

DNA Constructs—Complementary DNAs encoding HA- or Myc-tagged human $\sigma 1A$, $\sigma 1B$, and $\sigma 1C$ (C-terminal tags in all cases) were subcloned in the pXS modification of the pCDL-SR $\alpha 296$ vector (24) or in pCR3.1 (Invitrogen) expression plasmids, respectively.

Antibodies—Mouse monoclonal antibodies to $\gamma 1$ (clone 100/3), $\beta 1/\beta 2$ (clone 100/1), and α (clone 100/2) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Mouse monoclonal antibodies to the HA (HA.11) and Myc (clone 9E10) epitopes were purchased from Covance Research Products (Princeton, NJ). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies to $\mu 1$ (RY/1) and $\sigma 1A$ (DE/1) were gifts from L. Traub (University of Pittsburgh). The rabbit polyclonal antibody to $\beta 3$ ($\beta 3C1$) was described by Dell'Angelica *et al.* (25) and the rabbit polyclonal anti- ϵ by Boehm *et al.* (26).

Northern Blot Analysis—Two commercial nylon membranes (Human 12-lane MTNTM Blot and Human MTNTM Blot III, Clontech, Mountain View, CA) with immobilized human poly(A)⁺ RNA (1 μ g) from different tissues were used for Northern blot analysis. Membranes were hybridized with a ³²P-labeled human $\sigma 1C$ probe (splice variant 1) prepared using the MegaprimeTM DNA labeling system (GE Healthcare) and [α -³²P]dCTP (GE Healthcare).

Y3H Analysis—Y3H vector construction and assays were performed as described previously (see Fig. 2A) (15, 27). Double transformants were selected in medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and methionine but containing histidine (+His) and subsequently plated in +His medium, as well as in the same medium lacking histidine (−His). Interactions between dileucine signals and AP subunits result in activation of the GAL4 promoter and activation of *HIS3* gene transcription as evidenced by growth on −His plates. Experiments were also performed on −His plates containing 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT), a competitive inhibitor of the His3 protein to minimize background growth due to nonspecific interactions and also to detect differences in the avidity of interactions. Parallel plating on +His plates provided a control for loading and viability of double transformants.

Dileucine Signal Recognition by Different AP Complexes

Cell Transfection—M1 human fibroblasts (a gift from Eric Long, NIAID, National Institutes of Health) were stably transfected with pXS-human σ 1A-HA, pXS-human σ 1B-HA, pXS-human σ 1C-HA, or pcDNA3-HA-human γ 2 (a gift from K. Nakayama, Kyoto University). Selection of cells stably transfected with pXS was achieved by co-transfection with pCI-neo (Promega, Madison, WI). M1 cells stably transfected with pcDNA3-HA-human γ 2 were subsequently subjected to transient transfection with pCR3.1-human σ 1A-*myc*, human σ 1B-*myc* or human σ 1C-*myc* using the FuGENETM reagent (Roche Applied Science).

Metabolic Labeling and Immunoprecipitation-recapture Analysis—Transfected cells (two 150-mm plates per condition) were subjected to metabolic labeling for 12–15 h using EasyTag ExpressTM ³⁵S protein labeling mix (PerkinElmer Life Science, Waltham, MA). Preparation of Triton X-100 extracts and immunoprecipitation-recapture experiments were performed as described previously (28, 29). The composition of the solubilization and immunoprecipitation buffer was 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA supplemented before use with 10 mM iodoacetamide, 2 μ g/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride. The immunoprecipitates bound to protein A- or protein G-Sepharose beads were denatured in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1% SDS, 10 mM DTT, diluted ~20-fold with immunoprecipitation buffer and subjected to an additional round of immunoprecipitation (recapture step). The recapture beads were dissolved in Laemmli sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and phosphorautoradiography (Typhoon 9200 PhosphorImager, GE Healthcare).

RESULTS

Y3H Analysis of Interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) Signals with AP Hemicomplexes—We used a Y3H assay (Fig. 2A) (15, 27) to determine the pattern of interaction of different (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with AP complexes. The signals tested included ENTSSL (from a flexible loop in the Nef protein of HIV-1) (30–32), ERQPLL (from the mouse tyrosinase cytosolic tail) (33, 34), and ERAPLI (from the human LIMP-II cytosolic tail) (33) (Fig. 2B). In line with our previous studies (15, 35), we found that all of these signals interact with the AP-1 γ 1- σ 1A, AP-2 α C- σ 2, and AP-3 δ - σ 3A hemicomplexes but not with the homologous AP-4 ϵ - σ 4 hemicomplex (Fig. 2C). These interactions require that both subunits belong to the same AP complex, as mismatched pairs did not show interaction (Fig. 2C).

Mutational Mapping of Binding Site for Canonical (D/E)XXXL(L/I) Signals on σ 2—We next examined the structural determinants for interaction of AP-2 with the (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals described above. The crystal structure of the AP-2 core in complex with the dileucine-containing Q-peptide from CD4 showed that most of the residues involved in the interaction were on the σ 2 subunit (Fig. 3A) (20). To determine whether these σ 2 residues were required for interaction with canonical (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals, we mutated them and tested for interaction of the mutant proteins with the Nef and tyrosinase signals using the Y3H assay (Fig. 3B). The results showed that several σ 2 mutations, including




FIGURE 2. Y3H analysis of the interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with AP hemicomplexes. A, the schematic shows the two vectors used in the Y3H analysis. The cDNAs encoding the (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals (full-length HIV-1 Nef NL4–3 variant or the cytosolic tails of mouse tyrosinase or human LIMP-II) were subcloned into multiple cloning site 1 (MCS1) of the GAL4 binding domain vector pBridge, whereas the small AP subunits (σ 1, σ 2, σ 3, or σ 4) were subcloned into MCS2 of the same vector. The sequences encoding full-length mouse γ 1, human γ 2, rat α C, human δ , or human ϵ were subcloned into the GAL4 activation domain vector pGADT7. *ori*, origin of replication; *Amp*, ampicillin resistance gene; *NLS*, nuclear localization signal. B, sequences of the HIV-1 Nef (NL4–3 variant) flexible loop and mouse tyrosinase and human LIMP-II cytosolic tails with signals conforming to the (D/E)XXXL(L/I) are underlined. The diaspertate motif at +10 and +11 from the HIV-1 Nef ENTSSL dileucine signal that is required for binding to AP-2 (35) is also underlined. The mouse tyrosinase cytosolic tail contains a second (D/E)XXXL(L/I) motif (DYHSL) C-terminal to the ERQPLL shown in the schematic. Although this second sequence is present in mouse and rat tyrosinase, it is not conserved in other species such as humans, is not involved in lysosomal/melanosomal sorting (56), and is not required for interaction with AP complexes (34). C, all (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals tested interact with AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 but not AP-4. Double transformants were plated in medium lacking histidine, leucine, tryptophan, and methionine (–His), to detect interaction among constructs, and in medium lacking only leucine, tryptophan, and methionine (+His) as a control for loading and viability of double transformants. In this experimental set, the –His plates were supplemented with a low concentration (0.2 mM) of 3-AT (a competitive inhibitor of the His3 protein) to minimize background growth due to nonspecific interactions. The lack of interaction of AP-4 with the various (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals was also observed in –His plates lacking 3-AT. The image shown represents a composite of different plates from the same experiment. Results shown are representative of at least three experiments with similar results. *Tyrase*, tyrosinase. For details, see “Experimental Procedures.”

A63D, V88D, E100A, and L103S, largely abolished the interaction of α C- σ 2 with both signals (Fig. 3B). Other σ 2 mutations affected the interactions with Nef and tyrosinase differently. For instance, the N92A and L101A mutations had no




FIGURE 3. Analysis of AP-2 residues involved in the interaction with Nef and tyrosinase (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. *A*, residues in the α (Arg²¹) and $\sigma 2$ (Ala⁶³, Val⁸⁸, Asn⁹², Glu¹⁰⁰, Leu¹⁰¹, and Leu¹⁰³) subunits that were subjected to mutagenesis are shown in black on the surface representation of the three-dimensional structure of the AP-2 core complex (Protein Data Bank codes 1GW5 and 2VGL) (50). The α , $\beta 2$, $\mu 2$, and $\sigma 2$ subunits are depicted in light blue, green, magenta, and gold, respectively. Shown in red on the α subunit are residues Lys²⁹⁷ and Arg³⁴⁰, which are also required for the binding of the AP-2 α - $\sigma 2$ hemicomplex to HIV-1 Nef (27). *B*, Y3H assays showing the effect of $\sigma 2$ substitutions on the interaction of the AP-2 α - $\sigma 2$ hemicomplex with HIV-1 Nef and tyrosinase (Tyrase) (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. Experiments were performed as indicated in the legend to Fig. 2. Positive controls included the interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with the AP-1 γ - $\sigma 1$ and AP-2 α - $\sigma 2$ hemicomplexes, whereas double transformants expressing (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals and discordant γ and $\sigma 2$ pairs were used as negative controls. Double transformants were plated on $-$ His and $-$ His plus 1 mM 3-AT medium to analyze the interactions at different levels of stringency and on $+$ His medium as a control for loading and viability. The image shown represents a composite of different plates from the same experiment.

effect on the interaction with the Nef signal but decreased the interaction with the tyrosinase signal, particularly as seen in the presence of 1 mM 3-AT (Fig. 3B). From these results, we concluded that canonical (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals bind to the same site as the CD4 Q-peptide but exhibit distinct requirements for specific residues within the binding site. The lower sensitivity of the Nef dileucine signal to $\sigma 2$ substitutions may be due to a stabilizing effect of the previously described diaspertate motif at +10 and +11 from the ENTSL (Fig. 2B), which is absent in the tyrosinase signal and has been proposed to interact with a basic patch comprising Lys²⁹⁷ and Arg³⁴⁰ on the α subunit (Fig. 3A) (27).

Identification and Characterization of (D/E)XXXL(L/I)-binding Site on $\sigma 1$ and $\sigma 3$ —We next sought to determine whether AP-1 and AP-3 have a (D/E)XXXL(L/I)-binding site similar to that on AP-2. The $\sigma 2$ residues that participate in the interaction with (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals are conserved on $\sigma 1A$ and $\sigma 3A$ (Fig. 4A). We therefore tested the effect of mutating these $\sigma 1A$ and $\sigma 3A$ residues on the interaction with the

Nef and tyrosinase signals in Y3H assays. We found that most of these mutations affected the interactions of the corresponding $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1A$ and δ - $\sigma 3A$ hemicomplexes with both signals (Fig. 5, A and B). The specific requirements for interaction, however, were signal- and adaptor-dependent. As shown above for αC - $\sigma 2$, the interactions of $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1A$ and δ - $\sigma 3A$ with the Nef signal (Fig. 5, A and B, left panels) were generally less sensitive to substitutions than the corresponding interactions with the tyrosinase signal (Fig. 5, A and B, right panels). In addition, the interaction of both the Nef and tyrosinase signals with $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1A$ (Fig. 5A) was stronger and less sensitive to substitutions than the corresponding interactions with δ - $\sigma 3A$ (Fig. 5B). In this context, whereas the interaction with the signals was reduced by most substitutions in $\sigma 3A$ with the exception of L107A (for Nef) and D98A (for tyrosinase) (Fig. 5B), the interaction with $\sigma 1A$ was abolished only by V88D and I103S (for Nef) and also by A63D (for tyrosinase) (Fig. 5A). Among the substitutions that did not abolish binding of the Nef and tyrosinase signals to the $\gamma 1$ - $\sigma 1A$ hemicomplex was $\sigma 1A$ R15E (an effect on Nef was only detected in the presence of 1 mM 3-AT) (Fig. 5A). This substitution involves a basic residue equivalent to $\sigma 2$ Arg¹⁵ which, along with αC Arg²¹, is part of the positively charged patch that binds to the $-4/-5$ position of the CD4 Q-peptide (20), a position occupied by Asp or Glu in canonical (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. These results indicated that the general features of the AP-2 (D/E)XXXL(L/I)-binding site are conserved on AP-1 and AP-3, although the overall strength of the interactions and the requirement of specific residues on the σ subunits vary for different signals.

Distinct Requirements of Basic Residues on γ - $\sigma 1$, α - $\sigma 2$, and δ - $\sigma 3$ Hemicomplexes for Binding to (D/E)XXXL(L/I) Signals—We also analyzed the role of conserved basic residues on the $\gamma 1$ and δ subunits at positions equivalent to αC Arg²¹ (Fig. 4B), given the contribution of this residue to the positively charged patch interacting with the $-4/-5$ residue of the CD4 Q-peptide (20). To this end, we compared the binding of the Nef, tyrosinase, and LIMP-II signals to the $\gamma 1$ R15E, αC R21E, and δ R26E mutants and the corresponding wild-type proteins as hemicomplexes with $\sigma 1A$, $\sigma 2$, and $\sigma 3A$, respectively. The results showed that substitution of these basic residues generally decreased interactions with the signals (Fig. 6). The effects were more marked for the $\gamma 1$ R15E and δ R26E mutations than for the αC R21E mutation and were also more noticeable for the interaction with the Nef and LIMP-II signals than with the tyrosinase signal (Fig. 6). In addition, we observed that the effects were smaller for the αC R21E mutation than for the $\sigma 2$ R15E mutation (Fig. 6B), whereas similar reductions were observed when comparing the δ R26E and $\sigma 3A$ R15E mutations (Fig. 6C). This suggests that basic residues on both the α and $\sigma 2$ subunits of AP-2 and the δ and $\sigma 3$ subunits of AP-3 contribute to the electrostatic interaction with the acidic residue at position -4 of the signals. This is in line with the observation that mutation of both basic residues in the patch (αC Arg²¹ and $\sigma 2$ Arg¹⁵) is necessary to decrease binding of the AP-2 core to the CD4 Q-peptide (20). In contrast, mutation of $\gamma 1$ R15E caused a much greater reduction in binding to the (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals than mutation of $\sigma 1A$

Dileucine Signal Recognition by Different AP Complexes



FIGURE 4. Conservation of AP-1 and AP-3 residues potentially involved in interactions with (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. *A*, alignment of human σ 1A (RefSeq accession no. NP_001274), σ 2 (GenBankTM accession no. AAH06337), and σ 3A (GenBankTM accession no. CAG29337). The alignment shows the conservation of σ 2 residues (Arg¹⁵, Ala⁶³, Val⁸⁸, Asn⁹², Glu¹⁰⁰, Leu¹⁰¹, and Leu¹⁰³ shown in *black boxes*) that interact with residues at different positions of the CD4 Q-peptide (20). Shown in *black lettering* are the positions on the signal (residue at -4; LL, dileucine pair; or O, other) proposed to bind to the σ residues in the corresponding boxes. *B*, alignment of N-terminal sequences of human γ 1 (GenBankTM accession no. AAH36283), α C (Swiss-Prot accession no. O94973) and δ (GenBankTM accession number AAC51761) showing the conservation of the α subunit Arg residue (α Arg¹⁵, shown in the *black box*) proposed to stabilize the -4 position of the CD4 Q-peptide (20). Alignments were generated with CLC Sequence Viewer; decreasing conservation of residues is shown by red to blue rainbow coloring.

Arg¹⁵ (Fig. 6A), indicating that the basic residue contributed by γ 1 is the most critical for interaction with the (D/E) residue of the signals. A summary of the results of the mutational analyses is shown in Fig. 7.

Assembly of AP-1 Complexes Containing Different γ and σ Subunit Isoforms—Combinatorial assembly of AP subunit isoforms could generate additional diversity in the recognition of sorting signals. This is particularly the case for the AP-1 complex, which could occur in at least 12 varieties (Fig. 1). Of all of the AP-1 subunit isoforms, μ 1B is the only one that is restricted to a particular cell type, polarized epithelial cells (36), whereas the other isoforms appear to be widely expressed in mammalian tissues and cells (36–39). Thus, most cells could have up to six different AP-1 complexes containing common β 1 and μ 1A subunits and variable γ 1/ γ 2 and σ 1A/ σ 1B/ σ 1C isoforms. This potential heterogeneity begs the questions of whether all of these combinations do assemble *in vivo* and whether they exhibit any differences in the recognition of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals.

We used a biochemical approach to examine the formation of multiple AP-1 variants comprising different γ and σ 1 isoforms. To this end, we stably transfected M1 human fibroblasts with HA epitope-tagged σ 1A, σ 1B, or σ 1C constructs. Following metabolic labeling with [³⁵S]methionine, cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibody to the HA epitope and recapture of the solubilized immunoprecipitates with antibodies to other AP subunits. The results of these experiments showed that all three σ 1-HA isoforms are incorporated into AP-1 complexes containing γ 1, β 1, and μ 1 subunits but not into complexes including the α C subunit of AP-2, the β 3A subunit of AP-3, or the ϵ subunit of AP-4 (Fig. 8, A–C). A similar analysis of M1 cells stably transfected with HA-tagged γ 2 showed that this subunit is also specifically

incorporated into AP-1 complexes (Fig. 8D). In addition, we subjected these HA- γ 2 stable transfectants to transient transfection with Myc-epitope-tagged σ 1A, σ 1B, or σ 1C. The immunoprecipitation-recapture analysis showed that, whereas the γ 1 isoform was incorporated into AP-1 complexes containing either σ 1A, σ 1B, or σ 1C, the γ 2 isoform was incorporated into AP-1 complexes containing σ 1A or σ 1B, but not σ 1C (Fig. 8E). These experiments thus demonstrated that, of the six possible AP-1 complexes arising from combinations of different γ and σ 1 subunits, five can be formed in the transfected M1 cells.

Interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) Signals with AP-1 Hemicomplexes Containing Different γ and σ Isoforms—We next analyzed the ability of hemicomplexes that have different combinations of γ and σ 1 subunit isoforms to interact with (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals using Y3H assays. The results showed that all AP-1 hemicomplexes containing γ 1 (γ 1- σ 1A, γ 1- σ 1B, and γ 1- σ 1C) interact with similar avidities with the Nef, tyrosinase, and LIMP-II signals (Fig. 9). In contrast, the γ 2- σ 1A and γ 2- σ 1B hemicomplexes displayed signal-dependent interactions; they interacted very weakly with Nef, robustly (similar to the activity of the γ 1- σ 1A- and γ 1- σ 1B-containing complexes) with the tyrosinase signal, and not at all with the LIMP-II signal (Fig. 9). The absence of interactions with (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals observed in yeast transformed with vectors encoding γ 2 and σ 1C (Fig. 9) is consistent with the biochemical experiments demonstrating the lack of assembly of complexes containing this combination of subunits (Fig. 8E).

DISCUSSION

The results of our analyses demonstrate that canonical (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals bind to the same site on AP-2 as the non-canonical CD4 Q-peptide (20) and that AP-1 and AP-3 have a




FIGURE 5. Mapping of AP-1 and AP-3 residues involved in interactions with (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. The interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) motif-based signals with AP-1 γ - σ 1 or AP-3 δ - σ 3 mutant hemicomplexes is shown in A and B, respectively. Subcloning of cDNAs sequences encoding (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals and AP subunits and plating of double transformants were performed as indicated in the legend to Fig. 2. Positive controls included the interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with the AP-1 γ - σ 1 or AP-3 δ - σ 3 hemicomplexes, whereas double transformants expressing (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals and discordant γ - σ 3 or δ - σ 1 pairs were used as negative controls. The image shown represents a composite of different plates from the same experiment. *Tyrase*, tyrosinase.




FIGURE 6. Substitution of γ , α , δ , and σ residues potentially interacting with the -4 position of the (D/E)XXXL(L/I) motif affects the interaction with HIV-Nef, tyrosinase, and LIMP-II signals. The interaction of the different (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with the wild-type or mutant γ - σ 1, α - σ 2, and δ - σ 3 hemicomplexes is shown in A–C, respectively. Subcloning of cDNAs sequences encoding (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals and AP subunits was performed as indicated in the legend to Fig. 2. Double transformants were plated on –His, –His plus 0.2 mM 3-AT, –His plus 1 mM 3-AT and +His medium (only –His plus 1 mM 3-AT and +His plates shown for simplicity). Similar conclusions were drawn from the analysis of –His and –His plus 0.2 mM 3-AT plates. *Tyrase*, tyrosinase.

similar binding site. This is evidenced by the loss of signal binding by the σ 2 V88D or L103S substitutions and the homologous σ 1A V88D and I103S and σ 3A V94D and L109S




FIGURE 7. Graphic summary of the effects of substitutions in AP subunits on the interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) motif-based signals. A and B, relative effect of substitutions in σ 1A, σ 2, and σ 3 on the interaction with HIV-1 Nef (A) and tyrosinase signals (B) based on the results shown in Figs. 3 and 5. Numbering of residues indicated on top of schematics correspond to the σ 2 sequence (see Figs. 4 and 5 for numbering of corresponding positions in σ 1A and σ 3A). The effect of substitutions is depicted ranging from red (binding completely abolished) to violet (no effect) in a rainbow gradient (see relative color gradient below C). A “blue shift” (lower sensitivity to mutations) can be observed for σ 1A when comparing the effects of substitutions on this subunit with those at equivalent positions in σ 2 or σ 3A. C, relative effect of γ R15E, α C R21E, and δ R26E substitutions on the interaction with HIV-1 Nef, tyrosinase, and LIMP-II signals based on the results in Fig. 6. The effect of substitutions is depicted as indicated for A and B. *Tyrase*, tyrosinase.

substitutions. Nonetheless, there are also differences in the interactions that are dependent on both the signals and adaptors (see Fig. 7). The approach that we used to assess differences in (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signal recognition is the substitution of residues on AP-1 and AP-3 that are equivalent to those important for the interaction of AP-2 with the CD4 Q-peptide. Our results show that the binding of these signals to AP-1 is less sensitive to substitution of single residues in its putative binding site than the corresponding interactions with AP-2 and AP-3. Two residues that exemplify these differences are σ 1A Arg¹⁵ and Leu¹⁰¹, which can be substituted with relatively little impact on the ability of γ 1- σ 1A to recognize (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. In contrast, substitution of the corresponding residues in σ 3A (Arg¹⁵ and Leu¹⁰⁷) decreased the interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with δ - σ 3A (especially in the case of tyrosinase). A mixed outcome, inhibition by σ 2 R15E and (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signal-dependent effects with σ 2 L101A, was observed when analyzing the homologous substitutions in σ 2.

The differences in the interaction of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with AP complexes also extend to the interaction of the

Dileucine Signal Recognition by Different AP Complexes




FIGURE 8. In vivo assembly of multiple AP-1 complexes containing different γ or σ 1 subunit isoforms. A–D, M1 human fibroblasts were stably transfected with vectors driving the expression of σ 1A-HA, σ 1B-HA, σ 1C-HA, or HA- γ 2. Cells were metabolically labeled for 12–15 h with [³⁵S]methionine and [³⁵S]cysteine. Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-HA, and the immunoprecipitates were denatured, diluted, and subjected to additional rounds of immunoprecipitation (RC, recapture) using antibodies against the HA epitope, or μ 1, β 1, γ 1, α , β 3, or ϵ subunits (A–C) or σ 1A, μ 1, β 1, HA, γ 1, α , β 3, or ϵ subunits (D). The recaptured immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and fluorography. The analysis demonstrates that σ 1A, σ 1B, and σ 1C subunits are all incorporated into AP-1 complexes also containing μ 1, β 1, and γ 1 subunits, but not into AP-2, AP-3, or AP-4 (lack of recapture of σ 1A, σ 1B, or σ 1C by either anti- α , β 3, or ϵ) (A–C). The γ 2 subunit also assembles into AP-1 but not AP-2, AP-3, or AP-4 (D). E, M1 cells stably transfected with HA- γ 2 were subjected to transient transfection with vectors driving expression of σ 1A-Myc, σ 1B-Myc, or σ 1C-Myc (a Myc-tagged stonin 2 proline-rich domain (*Stn2 PRD*) was used as a negative control). Transfected cells were metabolically labeled and lysed, followed by immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc and recapture with either anti- γ 1 or anti-HA (for detection of γ 2). Note that γ 1 is incorporated into AP-1 complexes containing any of the three isoforms of σ 1, whereas γ 2 can only assemble into AP-1 complexes containing either σ 1A or σ 1B.

(D/E) residue with basic residues on both subunits of the hemicomplexes. We found that interaction with γ 1- σ 1A depends mainly on γ 1 Arg¹⁵, whereas interactions with the other hemicomplexes involve basic residues on both subunits, namely α Arg²¹ and σ 2 Arg¹⁵ in α - σ 2 and δ Arg²⁶ and σ 3A Arg¹⁵ in δ - σ 3A (Fig. 6). The requirement of residues in two subunits of each complex (γ and σ 1 for AP-1, α and σ 2 for AP-2, and δ and σ 3 for AP-3) explains why it was necessary to use Y3H assays to detect interactions of (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals with AP subunits (15). From these experiments, we con-




FIGURE 9. γ subunit- and motif-dependent interaction of AP-1 with (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. All γ 1-based AP-1 hemicomplexes (γ 1- σ 1A, γ 1- σ 1B, and γ 1- σ 1C) display similarly high avidities for (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signals. In contrast, γ 2- σ 1A and γ 2- σ 1B interact weakly with HIV-1 Nef, interact strongly with the tyrosinase tail, and do not recognize the LIMP-II signal. The lack of interaction detected for all double transformants expressing γ 2 and σ 1C is consistent with lack of assembly of AP-1 complexes comprising these two subunits, as evidenced by immunoprecipitation-recapture analysis of metabolically labeled cells (Fig. 8). This lack of interaction cannot be explained by lack of expression of γ 2 and σ 1C subunits in yeast given the binding of the tyrosinase signal to γ 2- σ 1A or γ 2- σ 1B and to γ 1- σ 1C, respectively. The image shown represents a composite of different plates from the same experiment. SV40 L T-Ag, SV40 large T-antigen (negative control for interaction with p53).

clude that although AP-1, AP-2, and AP-3 share a similar binding site, residues in both the signals and the adaptors make different contributions to the interactions, thereby defining the fine specificity of signal recognition events.

Genetic studies have begun to address the physiologic significance of the existence of AP subunit isoforms. AP-1 exhibits the greatest diversification of subunit isoforms because vertebrates express two γ (γ 1 and γ 2; both ubiquitous), two μ 1 (μ 1A and μ 1B; the first ubiquitous, the second epithelial-specific), and three σ (σ 1A, σ 1B, and σ 1C) paralogs (1). Targeted disruption of the μ 1A gene in mouse causes embryonic lethality at day 13.5 (during mid-organogenesis) and only polarized epithelial cells from early embryos exhibit membrane binding of AP-1, likely due to the expression of μ 1B (40). Inactivation of the γ 1 gene is also lethal and results in death of mouse embryos prior to implantation (41), indicating that γ 2 is unable to compensate for the lack of γ 1 expression. Recent findings also support specific requirements for the three σ 1 isoforms (supplemental Fig. 1A). A mutation in the human σ 1A gene leading to premature translation termination causes the neurocutaneous MEDNIK syndrome (mental retardation, enteropathy, deafness, peripheral neuropathy, ichthyosis, and keratoderma) (42). On the other hand, human σ 1B deficiency causes an X-linked mental retardation syndrome characterized by basal ganglia calcifications and elevated protein levels in cerebrospinal fluid (43–45). Moreover, mice deficient in σ 1B are viable and fertile but display altered synaptic vesicle recycling in hippocampal synapses, reduced motor coordination and long-term spatial learning and memory deficiencies (39). The σ 1C isoform was first identified in a bioinformatics analysis (1) and is also expressed in a variety of mouse (39) and human tissues (supplemental Fig. 1C). Interestingly, three splice variants of σ 1C exhibiting differences at their C termini

have been identified (supplemental Fig. 1B), but there is no information on the alterations brought about by their lack of expression.

Although these genetic studies have provided information on the requirement of specific AP-1 subunit isoforms at the organismal level, the molecular and cellular bases for these requirements are not known. Moreover, except for μ 1A and μ 1B (46), the assembly of different subunit isoforms into AP-1 had not been demonstrated prior to our study. Our observations provide the first assessment of the *in vivo* assembly of AP-1 heterotetramers formed by different combinations of γ and σ subunits. We found that σ 1A, σ 1B, and σ 1C can all assemble into AP-1 heterotetramers. In addition, we observed that γ 1 is incorporated into complexes containing any σ 1 isoform, whereas γ 2 can assemble into complexes containing σ 1A or σ 1B but not σ 1C. The structural basis for the incompatibility of γ 2 with σ 1C is unknown. Although the crystal structure of an AP-1 core complex (trunk of γ 1 and β 1 subunits along with μ 1A and σ 1A) has been solved (47), the contacts between the γ 1 trunk and σ 1A are too extensive to make inferences based on primary structure differences between γ 1/ γ 2 and between σ 1A/ σ 1C.

Our experiments also provide the first indication that certain combinations of subunit isoforms have intrinsically different recognition specificities, as exemplified by the differences in (D/E)XXXL(L/I) signal recognition by AP-1 hemicomplexes containing γ 1 or γ 2 (Fig. 9). The inability of γ 2-containing complexes to interact with signals that are recognized by γ 1-containing complexes might explain why γ 1-deficient mice are inviable despite the ubiquitous expression of γ 2. What might then be the specific role of γ 2, given the ubiquitous expression of γ 1 and the strong interaction of γ 1-based hemicomplexes with dileucine signals? The strong avidity of γ 2- σ 1A and γ 2- σ 1B hemicomplexes for the tyrosinase signal (as opposed to other (D/E)XXXL(L/I)-based signals) (Fig. 9) suggests that γ 2-containing AP-1 complexes might sort specific cargo to specialized cellular compartments such as melanosomes, a process in which AP-1 has been implicated (48).

AP-1 complex variants containing μ 1A or μ 1B have been referred to as AP-1A or AP-1B, respectively (49). In light of the broader repertoire of AP subunit combinations that can be assembled and the differences in the activity of some of these combinations, we submit that, whenever relevant, the proper definition of an AP complex must include information on the specific subunits that constitute it (e.g. AP-1 (γ 1- μ 1A- β 1- σ 1B) or AP-1 (γ 2- μ 1A- β 1- σ 1A)).

Acknowledgments—We thank H. Tsai for expert technical assistance and L. Traub and E. Long for kind gifts of reagents.

REFERENCES

- Boehm, M., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2001) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **12**, 2907–2920
- Bonifacino, J. S., and Traub, L. M. (2003) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **72**, 395–447
- Robinson, M. S. (2004) *Trends Cell Biol.* **14**, 167–174
- Traub, L. M. (2009) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 583–596
- Keyel, P. A., Thieman, J. R., Roth, R., Erkan, E., Everett, E. T., Watkins, S. C., Heuser, J. E., and Traub, L. M. (2008) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **19**, 5309–5326
- Li, W., Puertollano, R., Bonifacino, J. S., Overbeek, P. A., and Everett, E. T. (2010) *Cleft Palate Craniofac. J.* **47**, 566–573
- Braulke, T., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2009) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1793**, 605–614
- Ohno, H., Stewart, J., Fournier, M. C., Bosshart, H., Rhee, I., Miyatake, S., Saito, T., Gallusser, A., Kirchhausen, T., and Bonifacino, J. S. (1995) *Science* **269**, 1872–1875
- Ohno, H., Fournier, M. C., Poy, G., and Bonifacino, J. S. (1996) *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**, 29009–29015
- Boll, W., Ohno, H., Songyang, Z., Rapoport, I., Cantley, L. C., Bonifacino, J. S., and Kirchhausen, T. (1996) *EMBO J.* **15**, 5789–5795
- Rapoport, I., Miyazaki, M., Boll, W., Duckworth, B., Cantley, L. C., Shoelson, S., and Kirchhausen, T. (1997) *EMBO J.* **16**, 2240–2250
- Chuang, E., Alegre, M. L., Duckett, C. S., Noel, P. J., Vander Heiden, M. G., and Thompson, C. B. (1997) *J. Immunol.* **159**, 144–151
- Stephens, D. J., Crump, C. M., Clarke, A. R., and Banting, G. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 14104–14109
- Zhang, Y., and Allison, J. P. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **94**, 9273–9278
- Janvier, K., Kato, Y., Boehm, M., Rose, J. R., Martina, J. A., Kim, B. Y., Venkatesan, S., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2003) *J. Cell Biol.* **163**, 1281–1290
- Chaudhuri, R., Lindwasser, O. W., Smith, W. J., Hurley, J. H., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2007) *J. Virol.* **81**, 3877–3890
- Doray, B., Lee, L., Knisely, J., Bu, G., and Kornfeld, S. (2007) *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **18**, 1887–1896
- Owen, D. J., and Evans, P. R. (1998) *Science* **282**, 1327–1332
- Owen, D. J., Setiadi, H., Evans, P. R., McEver, R. P., and Green, S. A. (2001) *Traffic* **2**, 105–110
- Kelly, B. T., McCoy, A. J., Späte, K., Miller, S. E., Evans, P. R., Höning, S., and Owen, D. J. (2008) *Nature* **456**, 976–979
- Jackson, L. P., Kelly, B. T., McCoy, A. J., Gaffry, T., James, L. C., Collins, B. M., Höning, S., Evans, P. R., and Owen, D. J. (2010) *Cell* **141**, 1220–1229
- Ricotta, D., Conner, S. D., Schmid, S. L., von Figura, K., and Höning, S. (2002) *J. Cell Biol.* **156**, 791–795
- Höning, S., Ricotta, D., Krauss, M., Späte, K., Spolaore, B., Motley, A., Robinson, M., Robinson, C., Haucke, V., and Owen, D. J. (2005) *Mol. Cell.* **18**, 519–531
- Takebe, Y., Seiki, M., Fujisawa, J., Hoy, P., Yokota, K., Arai, K., Yoshida, M., and Arai, N. (1988) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **8**, 466–472
- Dell'Angelica, E. C., Ooi, C. E., and Bonifacino, J. S. (1997) *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 15078–15084
- Boehm, M., Aguilar, R. C., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2001) *EMBO J.* **20**, 6265–6276
- Chaudhuri, R., Mattera, R., Lindwasser, O. W., Robinson, M. S., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2009) *J. Virol.* **83**, 2518–2530
- Dell'Angelica, E. C., Ohno, H., Ooi, C. E., Rabinovich, E., Roche, K. W., and Bonifacino, J. S. (1997) *EMBO J.* **16**, 917–928
- Bonifacino, J. S., and Dell'Angelica, E. C. (2001) *Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol.* **1**, 7.2.1–7.2.21
- Bresnahan, P. A., Yonemoto, W., Ferrell, S., Williams-Herman, D., Gelezianus, R., and Greene, W. C. (1998) *Curr. Biol.* **8**, 1235–1238
- Craig, H. M., Pandori, M. W., and Guatelli, J. C. (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**, 11229–11234
- Greenberg, M., DeTulleo, L., Rapoport, I., Skowronski, J., and Kirchhausen, T. (1998) *Curr. Biol.* **8**, 1239–1242
- Höning, S., Sandoval, I. V., and von Figura, K. (1998) *EMBO J.* **17**, 1304–1314
- Theos, A. C., Tenza, D., Martina, J. A., Hurbain, I., Peden, A. A., Svider-skaya, E. V., Stewart, A., Robinson, M. S., Bennett, D. C., Cutler, D. F., Bonifacino, J. S., Marks, M. S., and Raposo, G. (2005) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **16**, 5356–5372
- Lindwasser, O. W., Smith, W. J., Chaudhuri, R., Yang, P., Hurley, J. H., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2008) *J. Virol.* **82**, 1166–1174
- Ohno, H., Tomemori, T., Nakatsu, F., Okazaki, Y., Aguilar, R. C., Foeslsch, H., Mellman, I., Saito, T., Shirasawa, T., and Bonifacino, J. S. (1999)

Dileucine Signal Recognition by Different AP Complexes

- FEBS Lett.* **449**, 215–220
37. Lewin, D. A., Sheff, D., Ooi, C. E., Whitney, J. A., Yamamoto, E., Chicione, L. M., Webster, P., Bonifacino, J. S., and Mellman, I. (1998) *FEBS Lett.* **435**, 263–268
 38. Takatsu, H., Sakurai, M., Shin, H. W., Murakami, K., and Nakayama, K. (1998) *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 24693–24700
 39. Glyvuk, N., Tsytsyura, Y., Geumann, C., D'Hooge, R., Hüve, J., Kratzke, M., Baltes, J., Boening, D., Klingauf, J., and Schu, P. (2010) *EMBO J.* **29**, 1318–1330
 40. Meyer, C., Zizioli, D., Lausmann, S., Eskelinen, E. L., Hamann, J., Saftig, P., von Figura, K., and Schu, P. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19**, 2193–2203
 41. Zizioli, D., Meyer, C., Guhde, G., Saftig, P., von Figura, K., and Schu, P. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 5385–5390
 42. Montpetit, A., Côté, S., Brusteine, E., Drouin, C. A., Lapointe, L., Boudreau, M., Meloche, C., Drouin, R., Hudson, T. J., Drapeau, P., and Cossette, P. (2008) *PLoS Genet.* **4**, e1000296
 43. Tarpey, P. S., Stevens, C., Teague, J., Edkins, S., O'Meara, S., Avis, T., Barthorpe, S., Buck, G., Butler, A., Cole, J., Dicks, E., Gray, K., Halliday, K., Harrison, R., Hills, K., Hinton, J., Jones, D., Menzies, A., Mironenko, T., Perry, J., Raine, K., Richardson, D., Shepherd, R., Small, A., Tofts, C., Varian, J., West, S., Widaa, S., Yates, A., Catford, R., Butler, J., Mallya, U., Moon, J., Luo, Y., Dorkins, H., Thompson, D., Easton, D. F., Wooster, R., Bobrow, M., Carpenter, N., Simensen, R. J., Schwartz, C. E., Stevenson, R. E., Turner, G., Partington, M., Gecz, J., Stratton, M. R., Futreal, P. A., and Raymond, F. L. (2006) *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **79**, 1119–1124
 44. Saillour, Y., Zanni, G., Des Portes, V., Heron, D., Guibaud, L., Iba-Zizen, M. T., Pedespan, J. L., Poirier, K., Castelnau, L., Julien, C., Franconnet, C., Bonthron, D., Porteous, M. E., Chelly, J., and Bienvenu, T. (2007) *J. Med. Genet.* **44**, 739–744
 45. Borck, G., Mollà-Herman, A., Boddaert, N., Encha-Razavi, F., Philippe, A., Robel, L., Desguerre, I., Brunelle, F., Benmerah, A., Munnich, A., and Colleaux, L. (2008) *Hum. Mutat.* **29**, 966–974
 46. Fölsch, H., Pypaert, M., Schu, P., and Mellman, I. (2001) *J. Cell Biol.* **152**, 595–606
 47. Heldwein, E. E., Macia, E., Wang, J., Yin, H. L., Kirchhausen, T., and Harrison, S. C. (2004) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 14108–14113
 48. Delevoeye, C., Hurbain, I., Tenza, D., Sibarita, J. B., Uzan-Gafsou, S., Ohno, H., Geerts, W. J., Verkleij, A. J., Salamero, J., Marks, M. S., and Raposo, G. (2009) *J. Cell Biol.* **187**, 247–264
 49. Fölsch, H., Ohno, H., Bonifacino, J. S., and Mellman, I. (1999) *Cell* **99**, 189–198
 50. Collins, B. M., McCoy, A. J., Kent, H. M., Evans, P. R., and Owen, D. J. (2002) *Cell* **109**, 523–535
 51. Nogi, T., Shiba, Y., Kawasaki, M., Shiba, T., Matsugaki, N., Igarashi, N., Suzuki, M., Kato, R., Takatsu, H., Nakayama, K., and Wakatsuki, S. (2002) *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **9**, 527–531
 52. Kent, H. M., McMahon, H. T., Evans, P. R., Benmerah, A., and Owen, D. J. (2002) *Structure* **10**, 1139–1148
 53. Owen, D. J., Vallis, Y., Noble, M. E., Hunter, J. B., Dafforn, T. R., Evans, P. R., and McMahon, H. T. (1999) *Cell* **97**, 805–815
 54. Traub, L. M., Downs, M. A., Westrich, J. L., and Fremont, D. H. (1999) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **96**, 8907–8912
 55. Owen, D. J., Vallis, Y., Pearse, B. M., McMahon, H. T., and Evans, P. R. (2000) *EMBO J.* **19**, 4216–4227
 56. Simmen, T., Schmidt, A., Hunziker, W., and Beermann, F. (1999) *J. Cell Sci.* **112**, 45–53