

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Pharm Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 July 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Pharm Res. 2010 July ; 27(7): 1390–1397. doi:10.1007/s11095-010-0131-9.

Stability and biodistribution of a biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agent Gd-DTPA cystamine copolymers (GDCC) in

rats

Xueming Wu1, **Yuda Zong**2, **Zhen Ye**2, and **Zheng-Rong Lu**1

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio

²Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

Abstract

Purpose—The aim of this study was to evaluate stability and Gd tissue distribution of a biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agent, GDCC.

Methods—Kinetic stability of GDCC was evaluated based on transmetallation with endogenous metal ions Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} in rat plasma in comparison with Omniscan, MultiHance and ProHance. *In vivo* transmetallation of GDCC was evaluated by determining metal content in the urine samples of Spague-Dawley rats. The biodistribution of the agents was determined in rats at 48 h post-injection.

Results—A new method of using ultrafiltration was developed for study of kinetic stability against transmetallation of Gd(III)-based MRI contrast agents. Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* stability of the contrast agents towards transmetallation with Zn^{2+} was in the order of ProHance > MultiHance \approx GDCC > Omniscan. No significant transmetallation with Cu²⁺ was observed for the contrast agents. GDCC had comparable retention to the control agents in most organs and tissues with slightly high retention in the liver and kidneys at 48 hours post-injection.

Conclusions—Ultrafiltration is efficient and accurate for characterizing the kinetic stability of Gd(III)-based MRI contrast agents. The novel biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent GDCC is promising for further development for contrast enhanced MRI.

Keywords

MRI contrast agent; gadolinium; stability; biodistribution; GDCC

INTRODUCTION

Gadolinium-based contrast agents are routinely used to enhance image contrast in magnetic resonance imaging procedures. Currently, the clinical Gd(III) based MRI contrast agents are categorized into two distinct groups, the macrocyclic Gd(III) chelates and linear chelates. In general, macrocyclic chelates, including Gd-DOTA and Gd-(HP-DO3A), are more stable than linear molecules, e.g. Gd-DTPA and Gd-(DTPA-BMA). Macrocyclic Gd(III) chelates have a higher activation energy barrier for both the complexation and dissociation than the linear chelates (1). Transmetallation is considered as the main cause for *in vivo* dissociation

Correspondence to: Dr. Zheng-Rong Lu Department of Biomedical Engineering Wickenden Building, Room 427 Case Western Reserve University 10900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44106-7207 Phone: 216-368-0187 Fax: 216-368-4969 zxl125@case.edu.

of Gd(III)-based MRI contrast agents, especially for linear chelates, with endogenous metal ions, including Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Ca^{2+} (2-4). As a result, transmetallation can induce release of toxic Gd(III) ions from the chelates in the body and the depletion of the endogenous metal ions with subsequent elimination as a hydrophilic complex through the kidneys. Since Gd^{3+} ions are highly toxic, the stability of $Gd(III)$ chelates is a critical parameter for design and development of new Gd(III)-based MRI contrast agents. The stability of Gd(III) chelates should include both thermodynamic and kinetic stability. If a Gd(III) chelate has high thermodynamic stability and low kinetic stability, transmetallation with endogenous metal ions such as zinc (Zn^{2+}), copper (Cu^{2+}) and calcium (Ca^{2+}) in the plasma can result in the release of free gadolinium ions (Gd^{3+}) , which can deposit in the body (5). Long-term tissue accumulation of toxic Gd^{3+} can cause toxic side effects, including nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (1,6–11).

Recently, we have designed and developed a new class of biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agents based on polydisulfide Gd(III) chelates with improved pharmacokinetic properties (12–14). Our previous studies have shown that the biodegradable macromolecular contrast agents result in prolonged contrast enhancement in the cardiovascular system and tumor tissue, and then gradually degrade *in vivo* and excrete via renal filtration, resulting minimal long-term tissue accumulation (13–15). The agents are also effective to noninvasively characterize tumor vascularity and assess therapeutic efficacy in dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (16-18). In this study, we developed a new and efficient method for in vitro evaluation of the kinetic stability against transmetallation of Gd(III)-based MRI contrast agents and evaluated the kinetic stability of a lead biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent, Gd-DTPA cystamine copolymers (GDCC), both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Transmetallation of GDCC with endogenous ions Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} was evaluated in rat plasma and in rats in comparison with clinical contrast agents Omniscan, MultiHance and ProHance. The biodistribution of GDCC at 48 hours after administration was also evaluated in rats in comparison with the clinical agents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Contrast Agents

Omniscan (Gd-(DTPA-BMA), 574 Da) was purchased from GE Healthcare. MutiHance (Gd-BOPTA, 1,058 Da) and ProHance (Gd-(HP-DO3A), 558.6 Da) were obtained from Bracco Diagnostics. Gd-DTPA cystamine copolymers with molecular weight of 31, 35, 50 KDa (GDCC-31, GDCC-35, GDCC-50) were prepared as previously described.12 Isotonic saline (0.9 %) solution was used as a negative control.

Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing between 200-250 g were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA). All animals were housed with a 12-hour day-andnight rhythm and given tap water and standard diet. The animal study was performed according to an animal protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Utah.

Complexation stability of GDCC

GDCC-50 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was incubated with 2.5 mM of CaCl_2 , 0.11 mM of sodium citrate, 50 μM of ZnCl₂ and 1 μM of CuCl₂ (8 mM of Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM of KH_2PO_4 and 140 mM NaCl) at pH 7.4 and 37 °C. This incubation was replicated three times. After 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 120 and 180 min incubation, incubation mixtures were filtrated through 0.22 μM filters. Then the filtered incubation mixtures run through PD-10 columns and the polymer fractions were collected and freeze-dried. Gd^{3+} , Zn^{2+} , Cu^{3+} and

 $Ca²⁺$ contents in these freeze-dried samples were analyzed using inductive coupling plasmaoptical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES).

In vitro **transmetallation**

Aqueous solution (0.1 ml, 2 mM-Gd) of GDCC-31, Omniscan, MultiHance or ProHance was mixed with 0.9 ml fresh rat plasma and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. The plasma mixtures were transferred to the sample reservoir of a centrifugal filter CF-50 (molecular weight cut-off 50 KDa) and centrifuged at 4000 rpm and 25 $^{\circ}$ C for 120 min. Since all Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} ions bound to proteins, mainly albumin and globulins (>60 KDa), in the plasma, only the chelates smaller than 50 KDa, including Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} chelates after transmetallation could be filtered through (Figure 1). The protein bound Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} ions still remained in the upper reservoir of the filter. The efficiency of the ultrafiltration was tested with the aqueous solution of the contrast agents. Ultrafiltration was also performed for rat plasma to test the binding of Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} ions to plasma proteins. During centrifugation, the solution in the upper reservoir was agitated using a pipette every 20 min for the plasma mixtures. The content of metal ions in both upper reservoir and the filtrates was determined by ICP-OES after appropriate dilution. The degree of transmetallation of the contrast agents with Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} ions in the plasma was evaluated using the percentage of Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} ions filtered through the membrane, which was calculated as $Zn(Cu)\% = (concentration\ of$ Zn^{2+} or Cu²⁺ in the filtrates)/(total Zn^{2+} or Cu²⁺ concentration before centrifugal filtration) \times 100%.

In vivo **transmetallation**

The rats were randomly divided into 5 groups (n=5 each) for four contrast agents, GDCC-31, Omniscan, MutiHance, ProHance and a saline control. The rats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine (45 mg/kg) and xylazine (6 mg/kg). The contrast agents were injected at a dose of 0.1 mmol-Gd/kg via a tail vein. The rats were immediately placed into metabolic cages after the injection. Urine samples were collected at 12 h pre-injection, 8 h post-injection (0-8 h) and then 24 h postinjection (8-24 h) from the metabolic box. The collected urine samples were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 15 min. The contents of Gd(III), Zn(II), Cu(II) and Ca(II) in the supernatant of the urine samples were determined by ICP-OES after appropriate dilutions.

Biodistribution of the contrast agents in rats

The animals in above study were sacrificed with an overdose of isoflurane at 48 h postinjection. The organ and tissue samples, including femur, heart, lung, liver, muscle, spleen and kidney, were collected and weighed. The tissue samples were then cut into small pieces and mixed with ultra-pure nitric acid (1.0 ml, 70%, EMD, Gibbstown, NJ). The tissue samples were liquefied within 2 weeks and the solution was transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant (0.2 ml) was diluted 10 times with de-ionized water and further centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min. The Gd(III) concentration in the final supernatant was measured by ICP-OES. The average Gd(III) content in each organ or tissue was calculated from the measured Gd(III).

Storage stability of GDCC

The storage stability of solid and liquid forms of GDCC-35 was tested at different storage temperature for up to 14 months. For freeze-dried GDCC was stored in nitrogen at room temperature ($\sim 25 \text{ °C}$), in the refrigerator ($\sim 5 \text{ °C}$) and the freezer (\sim -20 °C). GDCC saline solution at a Gd concentration of 0.33 M was stored in nitrogen at room temperature (\sim 25 °C), in the refrigerator (\sim 5 °C). All samples were protected from light with aluminum foil. The molecular weight distributions of GDCC-35 under different storage conditions were

analyzed with fast protein liquid chromatograph (FPLC, AKTA®) equipped with an analytical Superose 12 column at 1, 2, 5 and 14 months in storage. Gd(III) content in the GDCC was also analyzed by ICP-OES.

Statistical evaluation

Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired two–tailed Student's *t*–test (GraphPad Prism; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). A confidence interval 95% (P < 0.05) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Complexation stability of GDCC

The complexation stability of GDCC and transmetallation effect was first evaluated in PBS buffer and under physiological concentrations with endogenous metal ions, including 2.5 mM of Ca^{2+} , 50 μM of Zn^{2+} , 1 μM of Cu^{2+} and 0.11 mM of citrate. The dynamic stability of the agent in PBS and in the presence of the metal ions is shown in Figure 2. GDCC gradually lost \sim 15% of Gd(III) ion within approximately 45 min incubation, and remained steady throughout the duration of study. In comparison, the Gd content in GDCC incubated with PBS did not change during the period of experiment, indicating high complexation stability of GDCC in the absence of the endogenous metal ions.

In vitro **transmetallation in rat plasma**

The percentage of GDCC-31, Omniscan, MultiHance and ProHance in aqueous solution filtered through was 96.0%, 98.7%, 96.8% and 98.6%, respectively (Table 1), indicating that the contrast agents could completely filter through CF-50 membrane in ultrafiltration. On the other hand, no Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} ions were detected in the filtrates of blank plasma, verifying that the Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} ions bound to the proteins in the plasma and could not filter through CF-50 membrane. The results of the control study validated the effectiveness of the ultrafiltration for evaluating the transmetallation of the contrast agents in the plasma. After transmetallation, the chelates of Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} formed with ligands of the contrast agents could be readily filtered through the filtration membrane. The degree of transmetallation could be readily determined by measurement of Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} contents in the filtrates.

Figure 3 shows the degree of transmetallation of the contrast agents with Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} in the rat plasma. The linear neutral contrast agent Omniscan resulted in the highest degree of transmetallation with Zn^{2+} (13.1% \pm 0.1%, Fig. 3A). The transmetallation of Omniscan was almost 10-fold higher than that of anionic linear agent MultiHance $(1.4\% \pm 0.6\%)$. No significant transmetallation with Zn^{2+} was observed for the macrocyclic agent ProHance. The biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent GDCC-31 resulted in similar degree of transmetallation (1.6% \pm 0.1%) with Zn²⁺ as MultiHance. Transmetallation of Omniscan, MultiHance and GDCC-31 with Cu^{2+} ions in the plasma was similar to that of ProHance, much less than transmetallation with Zn^{2+} (Fig. 3B).

In vivo **transmetallation**

In vivo transmetallation of the contrast agents with Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+} ions in the plasma was evaluated based on the metal ion contents in the urine samples after intravenous administration of the contrast agents. Figure 4 shows the Gd(III) concentration in the urine samples collected before and during 0–8 hours and 8–24 hours after injection of the contrast agents. It appears that the contrast agents mostly excreted in the first 8 hours post-injection. The macrocyclic agent ProHance had the highest concentration in the urine samples.

Figure 5 shows the Zn(II) concentration in the urine samples collected before and during 0– 8 hours and 8–24 hours after injection of the contrast agents. Significant increase of Zn(II) concentration was detected in the urine samples collected in the first 8 hours post-injection for the linear agents, Omniscan ($p < 0.001$) and MultiHance ($p < 0.005$), and GDCC-31 ($p <$ 0.001), as compared to the control group injected with saline and the samples collected before injection. Omniscan resulted in the highest Zn(II) concentration in the urine samples. GDCC-31 resulted in a slightly lower Zn(II) concentration than MultiHance in the urine samples. No significant difference was observed between the ProHance group and the control group ($p = 0.92$). The $Zn(II)$ concentration in the urine samples collected after 8 hours returned to the baseline level for all agents. No significant change of the Cu(II) or Ca(II) concentration was observed in the urine samples collected after injection of the contrast agents, Figures 6 and 7.

Biodistribution in rats

Figure 8 showed the biodistribution of Gd(III) in the major organs and tissues, including the femur, heart, kidneys, liver, lung, muscle, and spleen of rats 2 days after a single injection of MultiHance, ProHance, Omniscan and GDCC-31 at a dose of 0.1 mmol-Gd/kg. Approximately 1.5% and 0.8% of injected GDCC-31 was measured in the liver and kidneys at 48 hours post-injection, higher than the small molecular contrast agents ($p < 0.05$). The retention of GDCC-31 in other organs and tissues was at a comparable minimal level as the low molecular weight contrast agents. Omniscan also had a significantly higher retention in the liver and kidneys than other small molecular contrast agents ($p < 0.05$).

Storage stability of GDCC

Figure 10 shows the molecular weight distribution of the biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent GDCC after storage for 14 months under different conditions in both solid form and solution form. Since disulfide bonds may be sensitive to oxidation and photooxidation, the samples were stored in dark and in the presence of nitrogen. No significant change of the molecular weight distribution was observed for sample after the long-term storage, as shown in size exclusion chromatograms, indicating no breakdown of the polymer chains. The Gd content in GDCC did not change after storage for 14 months. The polydisulfides exhibited good stability for long-term storage in dark and in nitrogen.

DISCUSSION

Polydisulfide Gd(III) complexes have been designed as biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agents to alleviate the safety concerns related to the slow excretion of Gd(III)-based macromolecular MRI contrast agents. Macromolecular MRI contrast agents have shown superior enhancement for blood pool imaging and cancer imaging over low molecular weight contrast agents. However, the safety concern related to their slow excretion has limited further development of macromolecular contrast agents. The polydisulfide Gd(III) complexes provide strong blood pool enhancement and can readily breakdown into small Gd(III) chelates via reduction of the disulfide bonds in the polymer chains by endogenous free thiols in the plasma. The resulted small Gd(III) chelates can readily excreted via renal filtration with minimal long-term tissue accumulation after the contrast enhanced MRI.

Our previous studies have shown that Gd-DTPA cystamine copolymer (GDCC) is a promising lead biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agent. GDCC initially acts as a macromolecular contrast agent, resulting in superior contrast enhancement over low molecular weight contrast agent, e.g. Gd(DTPA-BMA), for blood pool imaging and tumor imaging. It is effective to determine vascular permeability of angiogenic tumor microvessels, while low molecular weight contrast agents often result in overestimated

vascular permeability (15-18). GDCC can also accurately assess therapeutic efficacy of antiangiogenic therapy and other cancer treatment. In vivo complexation stability of GDCC is a critical parameter for further development of biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent. The Gd(III) chelates approved for clinical applications have high a thermodynamic stability. However, transmetallation of these agents with endogenous Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Ca^{2+} may result in dissociation of the chelates and release of toxic Gd(III) ions (3,4).

In this study, we further investigated the transmetallation stability of a lead biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agent, Gd-DTPA cystamine copolymers (GDCC), both *in vitro* and *in vivo* in comparison with the clinical contrast agents, MultiHance, Ominscan and ProHance. The results have shown that the structure of the contrast agents have a significant effect on transmetallation with endogenous metal ions, consistent to previous reports $1-3$. The biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent GDCC had similar *in vitro* and *in vivo* kinetic stability as the linear agent MultiHance. GDCC also showed good stability for long-term storage in dark and the absence of oxygen.

The *in vitro* transmetallation of the agents was investigated by ultrafiltration in rat plasma, a newly developed method in this study. The control study showed that the endogenous metal ions Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} did not pass through the filtration membrane of the selected size because they bound to the proteins in the plasma. These ions were only detected in the filtrate when they were removed from the proteins after transmetallation. The method was validated by measuring the concentration of the contrast agents in the filtrate after filtration. Centrifugal filtration was a convenient and accurate alternate approach for the study of transmetallation in the plasma along with other reported methods, including instant thin-layer chromatography-silica gel (ITLC-SG) (19), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (20,21), and relaxometric method (22).

It has been reported that Zn(II) ions are the main cause of transmetallation of gadolinium based MRI contrast agents, especially the linear agents, both *in vitro* and *in* vivo (3,4,19– 22). We showed here that GDCC was stable in the absence of the endogenous metal ions. Zn(II) in rat plasma resulted in significant release of Gd(III) ions from Omniscan, MultiHance and GDCC via transmetallation. Omniscan resulted in the most transmetallation with $Zn(II)$ and GDCC had similar transmetallation as the MultiHance. The macrocyclic agent ProHance was stable against transmetallation. It was noticed that the degree of transmetallation induced by $Zn(II)$ in the plasma was much less than that by free $Zn(II)$ ions in buffer (19–22). The binding of $Zn(II)$ in the proteins might inhibit further transmetallation with the contrast agents. The order of transmetallation of all the tested agents with plasmic Zn(II) was Omniscan > MultiHance \approx GDCC > ProHance (Figure 3A).

It was speculated that Cu^{2+} in the plasma might cause significant transmetallation. The transmetallation of GDCC, MultiHance and Omniscan with plasmic Cu(II) was much less significant than that with Zn(II) (Figure 3B), although the concentrations of Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} in rat plasma was similar as determined by ICP-OES (data not shown). Slight transmetallation with Cu(II) was observed for the macrocyclic agent ProHance in the plasma. The results indicated that the Gd(III) based contrast agents were generally stable against transmetallation with Cu(II) in plasma. The transmetallation of the contrast agents with Ca^{2+} in the plasma could not be determined with centrifugal filtration because Ca^{2+} ions in plasma were mostly in free form and could readily filter through. Nevertheless, the thermodynamic stability constants of the chelates of Ca(II) and the ligands of the MRI contrast agent is approximately 10 orders of magnitude lower than those of corresponding Gd(III) chelates (2). It is considered that Ca^{2+} is inert for transmetallation with Gd(III) based contrast agents.

The results of *in vivo* transmetallation of GDCC and other tested agents were consistent with the *in vitro* data. Omniscan resulted in the highest Zn(II) concentration in the urine samples collected in the first 8 hours post-injection. GDCC resulted in slightly lower Zn(II) concentration than MultiHance. No increase of Zn(II) concentration was observed in the urine samples collected from the rats injected with ProHance. No increase of Ca(II) and Cu(II) concentration was observed in all urine samples, suggesting that the endogenous Zn(II) was the main cause for transmetallation of open-chain Gd(III) chelates. The order of *in vivo* stability of the contrast agents against transmetallation was the same as what was observed in the *in vitro* study (Figures 3A and 5). The stability of the contrast agents was clearly correlated to their structural characteristics (23–25). Macrocyclic molecules, where Gd^{3+} is caged in the pre-organized cavity of the ligand, are much more stable than linear molecules because a much higher activation energy barrier has to be overcome for both the complexation and de-complexation of macrocyclic agents. The linear open-chain Gd(III) chelates had relatively high conformational mobility, while the macrocyclic chelates had tight packing and high conformational rigidity.

The biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent showed similar stability as MultiHance against transmetallation with Zn(II) both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Although GDCC had similar non-ionic chelate structure as Omniscan, it resulted in significant less transmetallation with Zn(II) than Omniscan. One possible reason was that Omniscan had an excess of calcium chelated ligand (25 mM) in its formulation. Since the thermodynamic stability constant of Zn(DTPA-BMA) is much higher than that of Ca(DTPA-BMA) (2), the excess Ca(DTPA-BMA) could readily exchange with $Zn(\text{II})$ ions and remove $Zn(\text{II})$ ions from the plasma proteins. Another possible explanation was that GDCC was a polymeric complex with multiple chelating sites in its backbone, which might increase the stability of the agent via polydentate effect.

The short-term tissue retention of GDCC was investigated in rats at 48 hours after the injection. The long-term tissue accumulation of GDCC was reported previously in rats at 10 days post-injection (13). Due to its biodegradability, GDCC resulted in minimal long-term tissue retention similar to low molecular contrast agents independent of its molecular weight (13,14). Its biodistribution at 48 hours post-injection would be helpful to understand how rapid the agent could be eliminated from the body. The study showed that GDCC-31 had comparable low retention as the tested low molecular weight contrast agents in most organs and tissues, including the femur, heart, lung, muscle and spleen. Its retention in the liver and kidneys was only slightly higher than the small molecular agents at 48 hours post-injection (Figure 8), suggesting that *in vivo* degradation of GDCC macromolecules might be a gradual process. As demonstrated in our previous study, GDCC with molecular weights of 18 and 60 KDa resulted in minimal tissue accumulation comparable to Omniscan at 10 days after intravenous injection (13). In comparison, a nondegradable macromolecular agent, Gd-DTPA 1,6-hexanediamine copolymers, resulted in much higher tissue accumulation in rats at 10 days after injection (14). The results in study showed that over 95% of the injected GDCC was excreted in the first two days post-injection, which was much higher than the excretion of non-degradable macromolecular contrast agents after 10 days post-injection (14). The retention of GDCC was much lower than that of other macromolecular Gd(III) complexes. For example, a Gd-DTPA polypropyleneimine dendrimer (generation 2) conjugate (7 kDa) resulted in the retention of 45% of injected dose in rats 14 days after injection (26). Carboxymethyl hydroxyethyl starch-(Gd-DO3A) had approximately 47% of injected dose in the body of experimental animals seven days after injection (27). Although the biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent excreted a slightly slower than the small molecular contrast agents, it showed much rapid excretion than non-degradable macromolecular contrast agents and could be completely excreted in an extended period post-injection.

CONCLUSIONS

We have established a new method of using ultrafiltration to evaluate transmetallation of the Gd(III) based MRI contrast agents with endogenous metal ions in the blood plasma. The results showed that Omniscan was most sensitive to transmetallation with Zn(II) ions in the plasma and ProHance was most stable against transmetallation. The biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent GDCC demonstrated similar kinetic stability against transmetallation with Zn(II) as MultiHance. The same *in vivo* stability order was observed for the agents based on elevated excretion of $Zn(II)$ in the urine samples collected with 8 hours after the administration. GDCC resulted in similar short-term tissue retention as the small molecular contrast agents in most organs and tissues with slightly high retention in the kidneys and liver. The biodegradable macromolecular contrast agent GDCC showed good kinetic stability toward transmetallation and rapid excretion via renal filtration. GDCC was stable for storage up to 14 months in dark and the presence of nitrogen. GDCC is promising for further preclinical and clinical development as a biodegradable macromolecular MRI contrast agent.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Yong-En Sun for the animal handling. This work was supported in part by the NIH grant R01 EB00489.

Reference

- 1. Sieber MA, Lengsfeld P, Frenzel T, et al. Preclinical investigation to compare different gadoliniumbased contrast agents regarding their propensity to release gadolinium *in vivo* and to trigger nephrogenic systemic fibrosis-like lesions. Eur Radiol 2008;18:2164–2173. [PubMed: 18545998]
- 2. Idée JM, Port M, Raynal I, et al. Clinical and biological consequences of transmetallation induced by contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging: a review. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2006;20:563–576. [PubMed: 17109649]
- 3. Kimura J, Ishiguchi T, Matsuda J, et al. Human comparative study of zinc and copper excretion via urine after administration of magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents. Radiation Medicine 2005;23:322–326. [PubMed: 16342903]
- 4. Puttagunta NR, Gibby WA, Smith GT. Human *in vivo* comparative study of zinc and copper transmetallation after administration of magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents. Invest Radiol 1996;31:739–742. [PubMed: 8970874]
- 5. Spencer AJ, Wilson SA, Batchelor J, et al. Gadolinium chloride toxicity in the rat. Toxicol Pathol 1997;25:245–55. [PubMed: 9210255]
- 6. Sieber MA, Pietsch H, Walter J, et al. A preclinical study to investigate the development of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: a possible role for gadolinium-based contrast media. Invest Radiol 2008;43:65–75. [PubMed: 18097279]
- 7. Sieber MA, Lengsfeld P, Walter J, et al. Gadolinium-based contrast agents and their potential role in the pathogenesis of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: the role of excess ligand. J Magn Reson Imaging 2008;27:955–962. [PubMed: 18425843]
- 8. Galan A, Cowper SE, Bucala R. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy). Curr Opin Rheumatol 2006;18:614–617. [PubMed: 17053507]
- 9. Perazella MA. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, kidney disease and gadolinium: is there a link? Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;2:200–202. [PubMed: 17699407]
- 10. Morcos SK. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis following the administration of extracellular gadolinium-based contrast agents: is the stability of the contrast agent molecule an important factor in the pathogenesis of this condition? Br J Radiol 2007;80:73–76. [PubMed: 17392401]
- 11. Yerram P, Saab G, Karuparthi PR, et al. Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: a mysterious disease in patients with renal failure--role of gadolinium-based contrast media in causation and the beneficial

effect of intravenous sodium thiosulfate. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;2:258–263. [PubMed: 17699422]

- 12. Lu Z-R, Parker DL, Goodrich KC, et al. Extracellular biodegradable macromolecular gadolinium(III) complexes for MRI. Magn Reson Med 2004;51:27–34. [PubMed: 14705042]
- 13. Wang X, Feng Y, Ke T, et al. Pharmacokinetics and tissue retention of (Gd-DTPA)-cystamine copolymers, a biodegradable macromolecular magnetic resonance imaging contrast agent. Pharm Res 2005;22:596–602. [PubMed: 15846467]
- 14. Feng Y, Zong Y, Ke T, et al. Pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and contrast enhanced MR blood pool imaging of Gd-DTPA cystine copolymers and Gd-DTPA cystine diethyl ester copolymers in a rat model. Pharm Res 2006;23:1736–1742. [PubMed: 16850267]
- 15. Feng Y, Jeong E-K, Mohs A, et al. Characterization of tumor angiogenesis with dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and biodegradable macromolecular contrast agents in mice. Magn Reson Med 2008;60:1347–1352. [PubMed: 19025902]
- 16. Wu X, Feng Y, Jeong EK, et al. Tumor characterization with dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and biodegradable macromolecular contrast agents in mice. Pharm Res 2009;26:2202–8. [PubMed: 19597972]
- 17. Vaidya A, Sun Y, Feng Y, et al. Contrast-enhanced MRI-guided photodynamic cancer therapy with a pegylated bifunctional polymer conjugate. Pharm Res 2008;25:2002–11. [PubMed: 18584312]
- 18. Wu X, Jeong EK, Emerson L, et al. Noninvasive Evaluation of Antiangiogenic Effect in a Mouse Tumor Model by DCE-MRI with Gd-DTPA Cystamine Copolymers. Mol Pharm 2010;7:41–8. [PubMed: 19958031]
- 19. Tweedle MF, Hagan JJ, Kumar K, et al. Reaction of gadolinium chelates with endogenously available ions. Magn Reson Imaging 1991;9:409–415. [PubMed: 1881260]
- 20. Puttagunta NR, Gibby WA, Puttagunta VL. Comparative transmetallation kinetics and thermodynamic stability of gadolinium-DTPA bis-glucosamide and other magnetic resonance imaging contrast media. Invest Radiol 1996;31:619–624. [PubMed: 8889650]
- 21. Sarka L, Burai L, Brücher E. The rates of the exchange reactions between $\left[\text{Gd(DTPA)}\right]^2$ and the endogenous ions Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} : a kinetic model for the prediction of the *in vivo* stability of $\left[\text{Gd(DTPA)}\right]^2$, used as a contrast agent in magnetic resonance imaging. Chemistry 2000;6:719– 724. [PubMed: 10807182]
- 22. Laurent S, Elst LV, Copoix F, et al. Stability of MRI paramagnetic contrast media: a proton relaxometric protocol of transmetallation assessment. Invest Radiol 2001;36:115–112. [PubMed: 11224760]
- 23. Port M, Idée JM, Medina C, et al. Efficiency, thermodynamic and kinetic stability of marketed gadolinium chelates and their possible clinical consequences: a critical review. Biometals 2008;21:469–490. [PubMed: 18344005]
- 24. Tweedle MF, Wedeking P, Kumar K. Biodistribution of radiolabeled, formulated gadopentetate, gadoteridol, gadoterate, and gadodiamide in mice and rats. Invest Radiol 1995;30:372–380. [PubMed: 7490190]
- 25. Wang X, Jin T, Comblin V, et al. A kinetic investigation of the lanthanide DOTA chelates. Stability and rates of formation and of dissociation of a macrocyclic gadolinium (III) polyaza polycarboxylic MRI contrast agent. Inorg Chem 1992;31:1095–1099.
- 26. Wang SJ, Brechbiel M, Wiener EC. Characteristics of a new MRI contrast agent prepared from polypropyleneimine dendrimers, generation 2. Invest Radiol 2003;38:662–668. [PubMed: 14501494]
- 27. Helbich TH, Gossman A, Mareski PA, et al. A new polysaccharide macromolecular contrast agent for MR imaging: biodistribution and imaging characteristics. J Magn Reson Imaging 2000;11:694–701. [PubMed: 10862070]

Figure 1.

Schematic illustration of the separation mechanism of $Zn(II)$ or $Cu(II)$ exchanged with GDCC-31 in plasma.

Figure 2.

Complex stability of GDCC in PBS (\square) or in a PBS buffer containing 2.5 mM of CaCl₂, 0.11 mM of sodium citrate, 50 μM of ZnCl₂ and 1 μM of CuCl₂ at pH 7.4 and 37 °C (■). Data presented as mean ± SD.

A)

Figure 3. Transmetallation of Gd chelating agents with Zn^{2+} (A) and Cu^{2+} (B) in rat plasma.

Figure 4.

Concentrations of gadolinium(III) excreted in urine before and after administration of the contrast agents. Data presented as mean ± SD.

Wu et al. Page 14

Figure 5.

Concentrations of zinc(II) excreted in urine before and after administration of the contrast agents (** $P < 0.001$, * $P < 0.005$). Data presented as mean \pm SD.

Figure 6.

Concentrations of copper(II) excreted in urine before and after administration of the contrast agents. Data presented as mean ± SD.

Figure 7.

Concentrations of calcium(II) excreted in urine before and after administration of the contrast agents. Data presented as mean ± SD.

Wu et al. Page 17

Figure 8.

Biodistribution of gadolinium(III) in rats 2 days after intravenous injection of MultiHance, ProHance, Omniscan and GDCC-31 at a dose of 0.1 mmol Gd/kg. Data presented as mean ± SD.

Figure 9.

Size exclusion chromatograms of GDCC before and after 14 months storage under various conditions.

Table 1

 Gd^{3+} filtration ratio of different contrast agents from original aqueous solution and Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} filtration ratio from rat plasma.

