

CORRESPONDENCE

Shift Work and Cancer: The Evidence and the Challenge

by Prof. Dr. med. Thomas C. Erren, Puran Falaturi, PD Dr. rer. medic. Peter Morfeld, Prof. Dr.-Ing. Peter Knauth, Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Dr. h. c. mult. Russel J. Reiter, Prof. em. Dr. med. Claus Piekarski in volume 38/2010

PD Dr. med. Thomas Behrens, MPH
 Dr. med. Birte Mester, MPH
 Sabrina Hense, M.A. Soz.
 Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Wolfgang Ahrens
 Bremer Institut für Präventionsforschung und Sozialmedizin
 Achterstr. 30
 28359 Bremen, Germany
 behrens@bips.uni-bremen.de

Further Potentially Carcinogenic Effects of Chronodisruption

In addition to the suppression of melatonin production and circadian disruption with deregulation of peripheral growth control functions as discussed in the article, we would like to mention further pathophysiological mechanisms that are fundamental to chronodisruption and may therefore promote the development of cancer (1).

- Sleep disruption as a consequence of shift work can result in disruption of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis, which in turn triggers an increased release of glucocorticoids. A resulting chronic suppression of immune response after years of exposure may increase the susceptibility to developing cancer.
- In addition to changes to activity periods and dietary habits, night work can cause further lifestyle changes, for example with regard to alcohol and tobacco consumption, and may thus indirectly affect the pathogenesis of cancer.
- Even though the evidence is inconclusive regarding the cancer-protective effects of vitamin D (2), night work may result in lower exposure to UV light and therefore reduced production of vitamin D, which, for example, may facilitate the development of colorectal cancer.

How molecular-biological mechanisms in nocturnal exposure to light and chronodisruption influence the risk of disease is an important research field in occupational epidemiology. In the future it will be importance to study which shift roster triggers relevant chronodisruption. The increasing use of longitudinal study designs with prospective assessment of shift-work systems—as it would be possible for example in the context of the national cohort—can make a valuable contribution to this important research field.

DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.2011.0008a

REFERENCES

1. Fritschi L: Shift work and cancer. *BMJ* 2009; 339: 2653.
2. Zeeb H, Greinert R: The role of Vitamin D in cancer prevention—does UV protection conflict with the need to raise low levels of Vitamin D? *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2010; 107(37): 638–43.
3. Erren TC, Falaturi P, Morfeld P, Knauth P, Reiter R, Piekarski C: Shift work and cancer—the evidence and the challenge. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2010; 107(38): 657–62.

In Reply:

Dr Behrens and colleagues mention further pathophysiological mechanisms that may contribute to the development of cancer via chronodisruption. The complements are appropriate. Following a reviewer’s suggestion, we focused on epidemiology in our review. However, mechanistic details are included in the cited publications.

IARC places considerable weight on biological plausibility in their preamble to the „IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans“ (1). Ultimately, however, epidemiological studies will have to show whether what appears biologically plausible is indeed relevant for female and male shift workers. Methodologically, the plethora of mechanistic ideas offers a unique situation with regard to considerations of causality such as undertaken by IARC in October of 2007. Indeed, in this important research area one can refer to „white-box“ epidemiology (2): a multitude of mechanisms of action are “waiting to be considered” to interpret associations, possibly observed in epidemiological studies in coming years, as being causal and relevant (3).

We agree with our colleagues’ expectations: shift work and chronodisruption will be an important research field for occupational epidemiology and occupational science. The National Cohort Study appears to be an appropriate means to provide insights into chronodisruption, due to different shift regimens, and possible links with cancer.

Overall, it is our expectation that coming years will bring numerous epidemiological studies regarding shift work, chronodisruption and cancer which also consider the pathophysiological mechanisms mentioned by Dr. Behrens et al. The primary objective must be to have high quality observational studies; it must be avoided that qualitatively inferior studies will be published by invoking the suggestive biological plausibility of the investigated relationships.

DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.2011.0008b

REFERENCES

1. International Agency for Research on Cancer: Preamble to the IARC Monographs (amended January 2006). <http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Preamble/index.php>
2. Erren TC, Bjerregaard P, Cocco P, Lerchl A, Verkasalo P: Re: „Invited commentary: electromagnetic fields and cancer in railway workers“. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001; 154: 977–9.

3. Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL: Modern epidemiology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2008.
4. Erren TC, Falaturi P, Morfeld P, Knauth P, Reiter R, Piekarski C: Shift work and cancer—the evidence and the challenge. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2010; 107(38): 657–62.

Prof. Dr. med. Thomas C. Erren, MPH

Puran Falaturi

PD Dr. rer. medic. Peter Morfeld

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Peter Knauth

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Dr. h. c. mult. Russel J. Reiter

Prof. em. Dr. med. Claus Piekarski

On behalf of the authors:

Prof. Dr. med. Thomas C. Erren, MPH

Institut und Poliklinik für Arbeitsmedizin,

Umweltmedizin und Präventionsforschung

der Universität zu Köln

Kerpener Str. 62

50937 Köln, Germany

tim.erren@uni-koeln.de

until January 2011: Visiting Scholar; School of Public Health

University of California, Berkeley

erren_tc@berkeley.edu

Conflict of interest statement

The authors of both contributions declare that no conflict of interest exists according to the guidelines of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.