

Evolving promiscuously

Dan I. Andersson¹

Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, SE-751 23 Uppsala, Sweden

Of the various types of gene rearrangements that have been found in living organisms, gene duplication-amplification (GDA), a process that alters gene dosage, appears to be especially common and biologically important. GDA is significant from a fundamental evolutionary perspective because it serves as a primary genetic source for genetic innovation (i.e., evolution of new genes) and also plays an important role in the generation of genomic variability for cellular adaptation to conditions in which growth is constrained by various external (e.g., presence of toxic drugs) or internal (e.g., deleterious mutations) limitations (1–3). Furthermore, recent discoveries in medical genetics show that gene copy number variation in the human genome is an important contributor to many human diseases, phenotypic variability among individuals, and human susceptibility to infectious diseases (4, 5).

Increased Gene Dosage Can Confer Antibiotic Resistance

As shown by studies in eubacteria, eukaryotic microorganisms, insects, plants, and human tumors, a common and effective adaptive mechanism in response to toxic drug exposure is amplification of preexisting bona fide resistance genes (6–12). Bacteria adapt to antibiotics through several types of responses, including genetic changes that lead to the degradation or sequestration of the antibiotic, prevent its uptake or binding to the target molecule, or pump it out of the cell (13). GDA can, for example, confer resistance to antifolates, tetracyclines, and β -lactams by increasing the dosage of antibiotic-modifying enzymes, target molecules, and efflux pumps (2). Differently from previous work, the report by Soo et al. (14) in PNAS addresses how bacteria may adapt to toxic compounds by overexpression of proteins that are part of the proteome of a non-pathogenic antibiotic-susceptible *Escherichia coli* bacterium and without any known role in conferring drug resistance. Using a clever high-throughput screen, the authors identified 61 ORFs, from an *E. coli* library containing over 4,000 inducible genes (15), that could confer partial resistance to 86 of 237 antibiotic/toxin-containing environments when overexpressed from an inducible plasmid. Of the tested ORFs, most conferred moderate but significant increases (up to 16-fold) in the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) against such diverse antibiotics as tetracyclines, β -lactams, anti-

folates, aminoglycosides, and macrolides, which, in some cases, reached the clinical break points for resistance.

What types of proteins were identified in the screen? A priori, one might expect broad and nonspecific mechanisms to be common. Indeed, of the 61 ORFs identified, 18 were found to confer multidrug resistance (MDR) and several were identified as efflux pumps and transporters based on sequence similarities. Furthermore, several regulators, stress proteins, and capsule biosynthetic genes were found to increase the MICs in several toxin-containing environments, and the resistance-conferring effect could be rationalized in certain cases by the pleiotropic downstream effect on efflux and transport functions. It has been suggested that overexpression of efflux pumps

The work by Soo et al. shows that the IAD model is biochemically feasible.

contributes to the MDR observed in clinical isolates of several Gram-negative bacteria, for example, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Campylobacter jejuni* (16). Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that efflux pumps of the resistance-nodulation-division (RND) type are important for pathogenicity and survival in various host niches for pathogens such as *S. enterica*, *Neisseria gonorrhoea*, and *C. jejuni* (16). The mechanisms that give rise to increased efflux in clinical isolates are typically mutations in local repressor genes, global regulators, promoter mutations, or insertion mutations upstream of the transporter gene, but it is also conceivable that overexpression attributable to gene amplification and increased gene dosage of pump systems, as observed by Soo et al. (14), might be a significant contributor to altered resistance and pathogenicity in clinical isolates. Because tandem gene amplifications are generally highly unstable when selection is relaxed (17, 18), however, the contribution of GDA to antibiotic resistance and host adaptation could be difficult to detect because the GDA might already have been lost when the clinical isolates are genetically characterized. Thus, detection of GDA and its potential contribution to MDR might require analysis of the strains immediately after isolation from patients, without any preceding growth in the laboratory.

Evolution of New Genes

In 43 of 61 cases, a specific ORF improved growth in the presence of a single antibiotic/toxin. Among these compound-specific ORFs, 12 appeared to be cases in which metabolic enzymes showed catalytic substrate-binding promiscuity. These cases are especially interesting from the perspective of genetic innovation and the potential mechanisms involved in creation of new genes. The innovation-amplification-divergence (IAD) model posits that selection acts on weak promiscuous activities present in existing proteins (19). By duplication and subsequent higher order gene amplification, the promiscuous activity is increased and maintained in the population. The increase in copy number increases the likelihood of mutations that improve the secondary activity while also maintaining the primary parental activity in at least one gene copy. Thus, two central requirements of this model are that (i) gene amplifications are common and (ii) promiscuous activities are widespread among proteins.

The first requirement appears accurate because gene duplications are among the most common type of mutation found in eubacteria and many other organisms. For example, in a growing population of *S. enterica* bacteria, the frequency of tandem duplications ranges from 3×10^{-2} down to $\sim 10^{-5}$ depending on the particular gene and genomic region (20); with the exception of the replication terminus, duplications have been found in all regions of the chromosome showing a wide size range (from base pairs to several megabase pairs). From these frequencies and amplicon sizes, it has been estimated that at least 10% of all cells contain a duplication somewhere in the genome at any given time in a non-selectively growing culture (21). Considering the relative frequencies of GDA vs. point mutations, it is apparent that variants with an increased level of a promiscuous enzyme activity are much more likely to owe that increase to a change in gene copy number rather than a point mutation. Thus, up-regulation of a specific gene via a promoter mutation is perhaps five to eight orders of magnitude less frequent than a GDA event that increases the gene copy number.

With regard to the second requirement, until recently, most cases of promiscuity

Author contributions: D.I.A. wrote the paper.

The author declares no conflict of interest.

See companion article on page 1484.

¹E-mail: dan.andersson@imbim.uu.se.

have been discovered adventitiously (22) and systematic large-scale studies to explore a complete proteome for the prevalence of promiscuous activities have been lacking. The present study, as well as a similar study by Patrick et al. (23), fills an important gap and comprehensively shows that multifunctionality and promiscuity in proteins are common and that

gene overexpression can allow access to this reservoir of new activities. The multicopy suppression approach provides a powerful methodology to access this reservoir, and it is likely to be an important future tool to define the “promiscuome” in various organisms. In conclusion, the work by Soo et al. (14) shows that the IAD model is biochemically feasible. Nevertheless, it re-

mains to be shown by which mutational routes and rates the amplified copies diverge and acquire efficient novel enzymatic functions during continued selection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Work in my laboratory is supported by the Swedish Research Council, European Union Seventh Framework program [Predicting Antibiotic Resistance (PAR) project], Strategic Research Foundation, and Vinnova.

1. Andersson DI, Hughes D (2009) Gene amplification and adaptive evolution in bacteria. *Annu Rev Genet* 43:167–195.
2. Sandegren L, Andersson DI (2009) Bacterial gene amplification: Implications for the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 7:578–588.
3. Ohno S (1970) *Evolution by Gene Duplication* (Springer, New York).
4. Beckmann JS, Estivill X, Antonarakis SE (2007) Copy number variants and genetic traits: Closer to the resolution of phenotypic to genotypic variability. *Nat Rev Genet* 8:639–646.
5. Conrad B, Antonarakis SE (2007) Gene duplication: A drive for phenotypic diversity and cause of human disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 8:17–35.
6. Harms CT, et al. (1992) Herbicide resistance due to amplification of a mutant acetohydroxyacid synthase gene. *Mol Gen Genet* 233:427–435.
7. Papanikou E, Brotherton JE, Widholm JM (2004) Length of time in tissue culture can affect the selected glyphosate resistance mechanism. *Planta* 218:589–598.
8. Suh H, Hepburn AG, Kriz AL, Widholm JM (1993) Structure of the amplified 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase gene in glyphosate-resistant carrot cells. *Plant Mol Biol* 22:195–205.
9. Hemingway J (2000) The molecular basis of two contrasting metabolic mechanisms of insecticide resistance. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 30:1009–1015.
10. Raymond M, Chevillon C, Guillemaud T, Lenormand T, Pasteur N (1998) An overview of the evolution of over-produced esterases in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 353:1707–1711.
11. Albertson DG (2006) Gene amplification in cancer. *Trends Genet* 22:447–455.
12. Fojo T (2007) Multiple paths to a drug resistance phenotype: Mutations, translocations, deletions and amplification of coding genes or promoter regions, epigenetic changes and microRNAs. *Drug Resist Updat* 10:59–67.
13. Normark BH, Normark S (2002) Evolution and spread of antibiotic resistance. *J Intern Med* 252:91–106.
14. Soo VWC, Hanson-Manful P, Patrick WM (2011) Artificial gene amplification reveals an abundance of promiscuous resistance determinants in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:1484–1489.
15. Kitagawa M, et al. (2005) Complete set of ORF clones of *Escherichia coli* ASKA library (a complete set of *E. coli* K-12 ORF archive): Unique resources for biological research. *DNA Res* 12:291–299.
16. Piddock LJV (2006) Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance efflux pumps in bacteria. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 19:382–402.
17. Reams AB, Kofoed E, Savageau M, Roth JR (2010) Duplication frequency in a population of *Salmonella enterica* rapidly approaches steady state with or without recombination. *Genetics* 184:1077–1094.
18. Pettersson ME, Sun S, Andersson DI, Berg OG (2009) Evolution of new gene functions: Simulation and analysis of the amplification model. *Genetica* 135:309–324.
19. Bergthorsson U, Andersson DI, Roth JR (2007) Ohno's dilemma: Evolution of new genes under continuous selection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:17004–17009.
20. Anderson P, Roth J (1981) Spontaneous tandem genetic duplications in *Salmonella typhimurium* arise by unequal recombination between rRNA (*rrn*) cistrons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 78:3113–3117.
21. Roth JR, et al. (1996) Rearrangements of the bacterial chromosome: Formation and applications, 2nd Ed, *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology*, ed Neidhardt FC (American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC), 2nd Ed, pp 2256–2276.
22. Mortlock RP (1984) *Microorganisms As Model Systems for Studying Evolution* (Plenum Press, New York).
23. Patrick WM, Quandt EM, Swartzlander DB, Matsumura I (2007) Multicopy suppression underpins metabolic evolvability. *Mol Biol Evol* 24:2716–2722.