# Important Characteristics of Sequence-Specific Recombination Hotspots in Schizosaccharomyces pombe

Walter W. Steiner,\*<sup>1</sup> Peter A. Davidow\* and Andrew T. M. Bagshaw<sup>†</sup>

\*Department of Biology, Niagara University, Lewiston, New York 14109 and <sup>†</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Otago, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

> Manuscript received October 26, 2010 Accepted for publication November 21, 2010

### ABSTRACT

In many organisms, meiotic recombination occurs preferentially at a limited number of sites in the genome known as hotspots. In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, simple sequence motifs determine the location of at least some, and possibly most or all, hotspots. Recently, we showed that a large number of different sequences can create hotspots. Among those sequences we identified some recurring motifs that fell into at least five distinct families, including the well-characterized *CRE* family of hotspots. Here we report the essential sequence for activity of two of the novel hotspots, the oligo-C and CCAAT hotspots, and identify associated *trans*-acting factors required for hotspot activity. The oligo-C hotspot requires a unique 8-bp sequence, CCCCGCAC, though hotspot activity is also significantly affected by adjacent nucleotides. The CCAAT hotspot requires a more complex and degenerate sequence, including the originally identified seven nucleotide CCAATCA sequence at its core. We identified transcription factors, the CCAAT-binding factor (CBF) and Rst2, which are required specifically for activity of the CCAAT hotspots and oligo-C hotspots, respectively. Each of these factors binds to its respective motifs *in vitro*. However, unlike *CRE*, the sequence required for hotspot activity is larger than the sequence required for binding, suggesting the involvement of additional factors.

EIOSIS is an essential process in the life cycle of M EIOSIS is an essential process sexually reproducing organisms. It differs from mitosis in that two successive cell divisions follow a single round of chromosome replication, resulting in the production of four haploid products from a single diploid precursor cell. The production of haploid cells occurs at the first meiotic division, during which homologous chromosomes from each parent pair and segregate to opposite poles. Prior to segregation, homologous chromosomes exchange genetic information through recombination. In both Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe, and probably other organisms, recombination is initiated by the formation of double strand DNA breaks (DSBs) (GERTON et al. 2000; MAHADEVAIAH et al. 2001; CROMIE et al. 2007). In many organisms, including humans, mice, and both the budding and fission yeasts, these DSBs occur preferentially at so-called hotspots (PETES 2001; KAUPPI et al. 2004; NISHANT and RAO 2005). Although the distribution of DSBs can vary widely across the genome, the distribution of interhomolog crossovers, at least in S. pombe, is considerably more uniform due to the preferential selection of the sister chromatid for DSB repair at strong DSB hotspots (Hyppa and SMITH 2010).

The factors determining the position of DSB hotspots have been the subject of research for many years, during which some common themes have emerged. Transcription factor binding, which may serve as a mechanism to open chromatin structure prior to initiation of DSBs, has been implicated in the activity of several hotspots in both the fission and budding yeasts. In S. cerevisiae, the HIS4 gene contains a hotspot whose activity requires binding of the Bas1, Bas2, and Rap1 transcription factors (WHITE et al. 1993). Bas1 is also associated with elevated levels of DSBs at four other sites in the S. cerevisiae genome. However, this is not a simple relationship, as Bas1 binding also appears to represses DSB formation at nine other sites (MIECZKOWSKI et al. 2006). Meiotic DSB hotspots occur primarily in promotercontaining intergenic regions (IGRs) (BAUDAT and NICOLAS 1997; GERTON et al. 2000). This observation suggests that, similar to HIS4, other hotspots require the binding of transcription factors, since transcription factors are expected to bind primarily in gene promoters, although their binding outside these regions has not been thoroughly investigated. However, other than the Bas1 target sequence, no other simple sequence motifs producing recombination hotspots have been identified in S. cerevisiae.

DSBs in the fission yeast *S. pombe* also occur primarily in IGRs, particularly in large IGRs (CROMIE *et al.* 2007). Many of these hotspots are sites of production of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Niagara University, Department of Biology, Box 2032, Lewiston, NY 14109. E-mail: wsteiner@niagara.edu

noncoding RNAs (WAHLS *et al.* 2008), which is again consistent with the binding of transcription factors at those sites, though a causative relationship has not been established. One well-characterized hotspot in *S. pombe*, *M26* (also referred to as *CRE*), requires a specific sequence, ATGACGT, and heterodimeric transcription factor, Atfl-Pcr1, for hotspot activity (SCHUCHERT *et al.* 1991; KoN *et al.* 1997). The *M26* motif generates a hotspot at many, but not all, sites in the *S. pombe* genome. This indicates that local DNA sequence alone is not sufficient for generating hotspots (PONTICELLI and SMITH 1992; STEINER and SMITH 2005), which has also been demonstrated in *S. cerevisiae*; for example the insertion of hotspot DNA into different chromosomal contexts does not always create a new hotspot (HARING *et al.* 2003).

In mammals, transcription factor binding may also be a factor in regulating the locations of recombination hotspots (ZHANG et al. 2004), but this has not been demonstrated, and the importance of factors other than local sequence motifs, which may include epigenetic modifications, *trans*-acting factors, and/or chromatin structure, is indicated by observations of sex-specific recombination rates (Kong et al. 2002) and changes in hotspot activity without changes to local sequence (NEUMAN and JEFFREYS 2006). However, interest in the general importance of specific motifs has continued due to observations of local base substitutions associated with changes in hotspot activity (JEFFREYS et al. 1998; JEFFREYS and NEUMANN 2002, 2005) and the discovery of a specific 13-bp motif, CCNCCNTNNCCNC, which may be necessary for up to 40% of human recombination hotspots (MYERS et al. 2008). More recently, it was shown that this motif is recognized by the PRDM9 methylase (BAUDAT et al. 2010; MYERS et al. 2010; PARVANOV et al. 2010), which trimethylates lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3), providing an interesting link with recombination in yeast, since H3K4 trimethylation was also shown to mark the location of some hotspots in S. cerevisiae (BORDE et al. 2009).

In *S. pombe*, we identified a large number of unique sequences that create meiotic recombination hotspots (STEINER *et al.* 2009). Many of these sequences contained common motifs that could be grouped into five distinct families, including the previously identified *CRE* family of hotspots (Fox *et al.* 2000). For two of the novel hotspots, the oligo-C and CCAAT motifs, we were able to identify potential transcription factors that may be required for their activity. In this report, we characterized the essential sequence of those hotspots and identify transcription factors required for their hotspot activity.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Strains and genetic procedures:** All strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Strains were constructed by linear transformation of WS129, which contains a *ura4*+*.kanMX6* construct inserted in the *ade6* gene (STEINER *et al.* 2009).

Transforming DNA was generated by overlap-extension PCR (VALLEJO *et al.* 1995) using wild-type (*ade6*<sup>+</sup>) genomic DNA as template and outside primers oWS202: 5'-ACGAACATCAT TAAGCGCGAAGCG-3' and oWS203: 5'-ACGCATGAGTTGT GGAAGTCGAGA-3' and inside primers containing the desired mutations. Transformation was performed using lithium acetate as previously described (STEINER *et al.* 2009). All transformants were verified by sequencing and Southern blot hybridization.

Homothallic crosses (Figure 1) were performed by growing cells in NBL [0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (Difco)] supplemented with 100 µg/ml adenine and 50  $\mu$ g/ml uracil at 32° to saturation. One milliliter of each culture was washed once in 1 ml 0.85% NaCl, resuspended in 50 µl of 0.85% NaCl, and plated onto sporulation agar (GUTZ et al. 1974) supplemented with 100 µg/ml adenine and 50 µg/ml uracil (SPA +AU). These plates were incubated for 2 days at 25° to allow for mating and sporulation. For heterothallic crosses (Figure 2) strains were grown in YEL (GUTZ et al. 1974) supplemented with adenine, uracil, leucine, lysine, and histidine (5S). rst2 mutants were grown in liquid EMM2 medium (NURSE 1975) with the same supplements, which produced a greater yield of viable spores compared to YEL. A total of 0.5 ml of each strain in the cross was mixed together, washed with 0.85% NaCl, and sporulated on SPA +5S. Asci were digested overnight in 1 ml of water containing 5 µl glusulase (Perkin-Elmer) followed by the addition of 450 µl of 95% ethanol for 15 min to kill remaining vegetative cells. The free spores were washed once in 1 ml of water and resuspended in 1 ml of water.

Each of the homothallic strains shown in Table 1 contains the plasmid pWS35, which carries a 613-bp fragment of the *ade6* gene (STEINER *et al.* 2009). Thus, the chromosomal copy of *ade6* can recombine with the plasmid to become *ade6*<sup>+</sup> during meiosis. This chromosome X plasmid recombination has previously been shown to be an accurate measure of hotspot activity in comparison to chromosome X chromosome recombination (PONTICELLI and SMITH 1989; STEINER *et al.* 2009). The frequency of *ade6*<sup>+</sup> recombinants for both homothallic and heterothallic crosses was determined by plating appropriate dilutions of spores onto YEA +5S (total spores) and YEA +4SG (Ade<sup>+</sup> spores) as previously described (STEINER and SMITH 2005). YEA +4SG is the same as YEA +5S except it contains 100  $\mu$ g/ml guanine in place of adenine, which inhibits growth of adenine auxotrophs.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays: For gel shift assays involving the CCAAT hotspot and related sequences (Figure 3), probes were generated by PCR using primers oWS302: 5'-TTGGGCCGAATGATGGTAGAG-3' and oWS303: 5'-GCCAA GGCATCAGTGTTAATATG-3', Taq polymerase (New England Biolabs), and the appropriate genomic DNA template (Table 1). The resulting 206-bp products were purified and end labeled using T4-polynucleotide kinase and  $\gamma^{-32}$ P-ATP(SAMBROOK and RUSSELL 2001). Whole cell protein extracts were prepared from strains WS4 (wild type) and WS284 ( $php2\Delta$ ::kan) as previously described (STEINER and SMITH 2005). Binding reactions were performed in a final volume of 10 µl at room temperature for 20 min. Each reaction contained 0.2 ng of probe, 10 µg protein extract, 0.2 µg poly dI-dC (Sigma), 12% glycerol, 12 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 0.6 mM EDTA, and 0.6 mM DTT. All ingredients except the probe were mixed and allowed to preincubate for 5 min prior to addition of the probe. Binding reactions were electrophoresed in a  $0.5 \times$ TBE (SAMBROOK and RUSSELL 2001) 5% polyacrylamide gel at 50 volts for 2 hr. Following electrophoresis, gels were transferred to Whatman 3MM paper, vacuum dried at 80° for 45 min, and analyzed using a Personal Molecular Imager (BioRad).

Gel shift assays involving the oligo-C hotspot and related sequences were performed as described above with the

Strain	Genotype	ade6 mutation <sup>a</sup>	Position <sup>b</sup>
WS4	$h^-$ lys4-95		
WS105	h <sup>+</sup> ade6-469 ura4-D18 his7-366	AGATGCCT <b>T</b> GAGGTGT	1460 - 1475
WS129	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4001 <sup>c</sup> ura4-D18 leu1-32 (pWS35)		
WS224	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4002 <sup>c</sup> ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS242	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4032 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTACTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS243	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4033 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGT <mark>G</mark> CTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS244	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4034 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTTCTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS246	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4036 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTC <mark>G</mark> TCCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS247	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4037 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCTTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS248	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4038 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCACCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS249	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4039 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCC <mark>C</mark> CCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125 - 140
WS250	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4040 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCC <mark>G</mark> CCA <u>A</u> TCAATTT	125-140
WS251	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4041 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTACAATTT	125-140
WS252	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4042 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTGCAATCAATTT	125-140
WS253	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4043 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTTCAATTT	125-140
WS254	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4044 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCAAATCAATTT	125-140
WS255	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4045 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCGAATCAATTT	125-140
WS256	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4046 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCTAATCAATTT	125-140
WS257	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4047 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCCATCAATTT	125-140
WS258	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4048 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCGATCAATTT	125-140
WS259	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4049 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCTATCAATTT	125-140
WS261	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4051 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAGTCAATTT	125-140
WS262	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4052 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCATTCAATTT	125-140
WS263	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4053 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAAACAATTT	125-140
WS264	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4054 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAACCAATTT	125 - 140
WS265	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4055 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAAGCAATTT	125-140
WS266	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4056 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATAAATTT	125-140
WS267	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4057 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATGAATTT	125-140
WS268	$h^{90}$ ade6-4058 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATTAATTT	125 - 140
WS269	$h^{90}$ ade6-4059 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCCATTT	125 - 140
WS270	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4060 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCGATTT	125 - 140
WS271	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4061 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCTATTT	125 - 140
WS272	$h^{90}$ ade6-4062 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCACTTT	125 - 140
WS273	$h^{90}$ ade6-4063 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAGTTT	125 - 140
WS274	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4064 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCATTTT	125 - 140
WS275	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4065 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAAATT	125 - 140
WS276	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4066 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAACTT	125 - 140
WS277	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4067 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAAGTT	125 - 140
WS278	$h^{90}$ ade6-4068 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAATAT	125 - 140
WS279	$h^{90}$ ade6-4069 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAATCT	125 - 140
WS280	$h^{90}$ ade6-4070 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAATGT	125 - 140
WS284	$h^-$ ura4-D18 leu1-32 his7-366 php2 $\Delta$ :: kan <sup>c</sup>		125 - 140
WS344	$h^{90}$ ade6-4050 yra4-D18 ley1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCACTCAATTT	125 - 140
WS345	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4035 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCATCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS346	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4080 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	AGTCCTCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS348	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4081 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	GGTCCTCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS350	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4082 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TGTCCTCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS352	$h^{90}$ ade6-4083 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CATCCTCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS354	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4084 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CCTCCTCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS356	$h^{90}$ ade6-4085 yra4-D18 ley1-32 pWS35	CTTCCTCCAATCAATTT	125 - 140
WS358	$h^{90}$ ade6-4086 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGACCTCCAATCAATTT	125-140
WS360	$h^{90}$ ade6-4087 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGCCCTCCAATCAATTT	125-140
WS369	$h^{90}$ ade6-4088 yra4-D18 lev1-32 pWS35	CGGCCTCCAATCAATT	125 - 140
WS389	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4099 ura4-D18 lev 1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTGA <sup>d</sup>	198_143
WS494	$h^-$ ade6-4099 yra4-D18 lou 1-32 her1A :: huoR <sup>e</sup>	TTGAACCCCGCACTGA	198_149
WS497	$h^+$ ade6-469 ura4-D18 his7-366 rst2A :: ura4+f	AGATGCCTTCACGTCT	1460 - 1475
WS499	$h^-$ ade6-4099 rsu1A::kang	TTGAACCCCGCACTGA	198_143
WS430	h <sup>-</sup> ade6-4099 ura4-D18 lou 1-32	TTGAACCCCGCACTGA	198_143
			140 110

(continued)

## (Continued)

Strain	Genotype	ade6 mutation <sup>a</sup>	Position <sup>b</sup>
WS431	h <sup>-</sup> ade6-4099 ura4-D18 leu1-32 scr1∷ura4 <sup>+h</sup>	TTGA <b>ACCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS433	$h^+$ ade6-469 ura4-D18 his7-366 rsv1 $\Delta$ ::kan	AGATGCCT <b>T</b> GAGGTGT	1460-1475
WS435	$h^+$ ade6-469 ura4-D18 his7-366 hsr1 $\Delta$ ::hygB	AGATGCCT <b>T</b> GAGGTGT	1460-1475
WS438	$h^-$ ade6-4099 ura4-D18 leu1-32 rst2 $\Delta$ :: ura4+	TTGA <b>ACCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS448	h <sup>+</sup> ade6-469 ura4-D18 his7-366 scr1∷ura4 <sup>+</sup>	AGATGCCT <b>T</b> GAGGTGT	1460-1475
WS452	$h^-$ ade6-M26 ura4-D18 leu1-32 rst2∆∷ura4 $^+$	TTGATGGA <b>T</b> GACGTGA	128-143
WS454	$h^-$ ura4-D18 rst2 $\Delta$ :: $ura4^+$		
WS460	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4109 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TT <mark>A</mark> AACCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS461	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4110 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TT <mark>C</mark> A <b>ACCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS462	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4111 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TT <b>T</b> A <b>ACCCC</b> G <b>CAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS463	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4112 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTG <mark>CACCCCGCAC</mark> TGA	128-143
WS464	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4113 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTG <mark>GACCCCGCAC</mark> TGA	128-143
WS465	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4114 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTG <b>TACCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS466	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4115 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGACCCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS467	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4116 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <mark>GCCCCGCAC</mark> TGA	128-143
WS468	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4117 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>TCCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS469	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4118 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAAACCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS470	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4119 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAAGCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS471	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4120 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ATCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS472	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4121 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACACCGCACTGA	128-143
WS473	$h^{90}$ ade6-4122 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACGCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS474	$h^{90}$ ade6-4123 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACTCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS475	$h^{90}$ ade6-4124 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCACGCACTGA	128-143
WS476	$h^{90}$ ade6-4125 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCGCGCACTGA	128–143
WS477	$h^{90}$ ade6-4126 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCTCGCACTGA	128-143
WS478	$h^{90}$ ade6-4127 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCAGCACTGA	128-143
WS479	$h^{90}$ ade6-4128 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCGGCACTGA	128-143
WS480	$h^{90}$ ade6-4129 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCTGCACTGA	128-143
WS481	$h^{90}$ ade6-4130 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCACACTGA	128-143
WS482	$h^{90}$ ade6-4131 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCCCACTGA	128-143
WS483	$h^{90}$ ade6-4132 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCTCACTGA	128-143
WS484	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4133 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ACCCCGAAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS485	$h^{90}$ ade6-4134 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGGACTGA	128-143
WS486	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4135 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ACCCCGTAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS487	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4136 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ACCCC</b> G <b>CC</b> TGA	128-143
WS488	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4137 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ACCCCGCGC</b> TGA	128-143
WS489	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4138 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCTCTGA	128-143
WS490	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4139 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ACCCCGCAA</b> TGA	128-143
WS491	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4140 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCAGTGA	128-143
WS492	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4141 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGA <b>ACCCCGCAT</b> TGA	128-143
WS493	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4142 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACAGA	128-143
WS494	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4143 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACCGA	128-143
WS495	$h^{90}$ ade6-4144 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACGGA	128-143
WS496	$h^{90}$ ade6-4145 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTAA	128–143
WS497	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4146 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTCA	128-143
WS498	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4147 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTTA	128-143
WS499	$h^{90}$ ade6-4148 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTGC	128-143
WS500	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4149 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTGG	128-143
WS501	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4150 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TTGAACCCCGCACTGT	128-143
WS594	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4194 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TAGAACCCCGCACTGA	128–143
WS595	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4195 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TCGAACCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS596	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4196 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	TGGAACCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS597	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4197 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	ATGAACCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS598	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4198 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CTGAACCCCGCACTGA	128-143
WS599	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4199 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	<b>G</b> TGA <b>ACCCCGCAC</b> TGA	128-143
WS602	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4200 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCAATCAATTA	125-140

388

(continued)

Strain	Genotype	ade6 mutation <sup>a</sup>	Position <sup>b</sup>
WS603	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4201 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATT <mark>C</mark>	125–140
WS604	h <sup>90</sup> ade6-4202 ura4-D18 leu1-32 pWS35	CGTCCTCCA <u>A</u> TCAATT <mark>G</mark>	125–140

<sup>*a*</sup> The nucleotide sequence within *ade6* for the indicated alleles. Insertions are underlined. Nucleotide substitutions are shown in boldface type. Red font indicates a single nucleotide substitution relative to either the *ade6-4002* or *ade6-4099* alleles.

<sup>*b*</sup> The nucleotide positions of the *ade6* sequence shown in the third column. Nucleotide positions are numbered from the beginning of the open reading frame.

<sup>c</sup> (Steiner *et al.* 2009)

<sup>*d*</sup> The *ade6-4099* allele, as well as all the other alleles based on *ade6-4099*, also contain the closely linked stop mutation, A121T, not shown.

<sup>e</sup> (Chen *et al.* 2008)

<sup>f</sup> (Kunitomo *et al.* 2000)

<sup>g</sup> (MATA *et al.* 2007)

<sup>h</sup> (HIROTA et al. 2006)

following differences. Probes were labeled with T4 DNA polymerase and  $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P-dCTP (SAMBROOK and RUSSELL 2001). The purified DNA binding domain of the Rst2 protein (Rst2ZF) was utilized in place of whole cell protein extracts. This protein was overexpressed in Escherichia coli and purified as described (KUNITOMO et al. 2000). Briefly, protein expression was induced in strain Rosetta 2(DE3)pLacI (Novagen) carrying the plasmid pET-Rst2ZF, which encodes the two zinc finger motifs of Rst2 along with a histidine tag. One hundred milliliters of these cells was centrifuged and resuspended in 6 ml of His-bind buffer (0.5 м NaCl, 20 mм Tris-HCl, 5 mм imidazole, pH 7.9). Cells were snap frozen and thawed in an ice water bath twice, followed by intermittent sonication on ice to disrupt the cells. The His-tagged Rst2ZF protein was purified using His-bind resin as described by the manufacturer (Novagen). The purified protein was concentrated by ultrafiltration and resuspended in 50 mM KCl at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. Ten-microliter binding reactions were performed in 50 mM KCl containing 2 µg Rst2ZF, 1 µg poly dI-dC, and 1 ng probe for 20 min at room temperature. These reactions were electrophoresed at room temperature in 5% polyacrylamide gels containing 4 mM Tris, 190 mм glycine, pH 8.0 for 90 min at 4 mA constant current.

**Bioinformatics:** We used the hotspot map reported by HYPPA *et al.* (2008), interpreting their data as indicating a hotspot where the hybridization ratio was elevated above background, on the basis of the statistical method used by the authors, in either of their replicate experiments with *rad50S* mutant strains. By these criteria there were 440 hotspots. These hotspots included 4781 probes covering 1.31 Mb, or ~10.4% of the 12.57 Mb of sequenced genome. This definition probably included some weaker hotspots not reported by CROMIE *et al.* (2007), since the DSB map in that report showed only 700 kb of hotspot DNA. However, false positive results are unlikely to explain the discrepancy, because we defined DSB hotspots using the program ChIPOT1e (v1.0; with a *P*-value cutoff of 0.001), which is designed to reduce noise by using sliding windows wide enough to cover several probes (BUCK *et al.* 2005).

We used an online version of MEME BAILEY and ELKAN (1994) for motif detection; URL: http://meme.nbcr.net/meme/cgi-bin/meme.cgi.

#### RESULTS

Note: the following standard degenerate nucleotide letter designations are used: R = A or G; Y = C or T; S = C

or G; W = A or T; B = C, G, or T; D = A, G, or T; H = A, C, or T; V = A, C, or G; N = any nucleotide.

The oligo-C hotspot: The oligo-C hotspot (*ade6-4099*) was originally constructed on the basis of a partially degenerate 11-bp motif, DACCCCGCACD, identified in a screen for recombination hotspots (STEINER et al. 2009). To determine whether this entire sequence is required for hotspot activity, we systematically mutagenized each nucleotide in and around the motif. Figure 1A shows that mutation of any nucleotide in the motif reduces recombination; however the central eight nucleotides (positions 6-13) are most critical for activity of this hotspot. Given that the S. pombe genome is 36% GC (EGEL 2004) and contains  $\sim 12.6$  Mb of sequenced DNA, one would predict  $\sim 25$  occurrences of this GCrich 8-nucleotide sequence, on the basis of random nucleotide association. However, it is found only 10 times, making it modestly underrepresented in the genome. This underrepresentation is similar to the 7bp M26 motif, which is also approximately threefold underrepresented (285 occurrences vs. 769 predicted). Three of the 8 nucleotide oligo-C motifs coincide with meiotic DSBs (Hyppa et al. 2008). Thus, the oligo-C hotspot may not contribute significantly to the overall frequency of meiotic recombination in S. pombe. However, these numbers may be an underestimate since variations of this motif, which may function by a similar mechanism, also create hotspots (STEINER et al. 2009).

A search of the TransFac database suggested that the oligo-C motif is a target for the *MIG1* transcription factor of *S. cerevisiae*, a Cys2His2 zinc finger protein (BADIS *et al.* 2008). The homologous proteins *MIG1*, *MIG2*, *and MIG3* of *S. cerevisiae* are all reported to bind the same sequence, CCCCGCA (BADIS *et al.* 2008), which matches perfectly the first seven nucleotides of the oligo-C hotspot. The four strongest orthologs of *MIG1* in *S. pombe* are *scr1*, *rsv1*, *hsr1*, and *rst2*, so we tested deletions in each gene for their effect on the activity of



FIGURE 1.-Essential sequence for the oligo-C (ade6-4099) and CCAAT (ade6-4002) hotspots. The frequency of ade6+ recombinants is shown for each nucleotide substitution at the indicated position. The relevant sequence of the ade6-4099 (A) and ade6-4002 (B) alleles is shown immediately beneath each graph. Each bar represents the frequency of recombination  $(\pm 1 \text{ SEM})$  observed in strains containing single nucleotide substitutions relative to the sequences shown. The sequence necessary to achieve recombination levels >1, 2, or 5 Ade<sup>+</sup>/10<sup>3</sup> spores is indicated for each of the two hotspots. The average recombination observed for the unsubstituted hotspots is indicated by the dashed lines. A minimum of three crosses was performed for each value shown.

the hotspot. Of the four, only deletion of rst2 had a significant effect, decreasing recombination ~15-fold. By comparison, the M26 hotspot showed only a modest, 1.6-fold reduction, suggesting that the effect on recombination is specific to the oligo-C hotspot (Figure 2A).

The Rst2 protein is required for full expression of the *ste11* and *fbp1* genes involved in sexual development and gluconeogenesis, respectively (KUNITOMO *et al.* 2000; HIGUCHI *et al.* 2002). As Ste11 is a crucial transcription factor responsible for regulating the expression of many



FIGURE 2.—Specific transcription factors are required for the oligo-C (A) and CCAAT (B) hotspots. Bars represent the frequency of recombination to  $ade6^+ \pm 1$  SEM for strains of the indicated genotype. A minimum of three crosses was performed to obtain each value.

genes involved in mating and meiosis, *rst2* mutants show similar meiotic defects (KUNITOMO *et al.* 2000). We were unable to detect any binding of the radiolabeled oligo-C probes by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) using whole cell extracts, so we used the purified N-terminal DNA binding domain of Rst2 described by KUNITOMO *et al.* (2000). Using purified protein, we observed binding to the oligo-C motif (*ade6-4099*, Figure 3A).

Because the *ade6-M26* hotspot of *S. pombe* shows a strict correlation between binding of its associated transcription factor, Atf1-Pcr1, and hotspot activity (WAHLS and SMITH 1994), we tested whether a similar correlation were also true of the oligo-C hotspot. Surprisingly, we observed only an imperfect correlation (Figures 1A and 3A). Though an eight-nucleotide sequence is required for hotspot activity (positions 6–13; Figure 1A), only five nucleotides (positions 6, 8, 9, 10, and 11) showed a significant decrease in binding relative to the



FIGURE 3.—Sequences required for transcription factor binding are less stringent than sequences required for hotspot activity. EMSA assays were performed as described in MA-TERIALS AND METHODS. The relevant portions of the *ade6-4099* (A) and *ade6-4002* (B) alleles are shown beneath each figure. Numbers correspond to nucleotide positions shown in Figure 1. The percentage of probe experiencing a mobility shift is indicated above each lane. The specific nucleotide substitution tested is indicated beneath each lane by arrows, for example an  $A \rightarrow G$  substitution at position 5. Each probe was tested two or three times with similar results.

unsubstituted *ade6-4099* allele. In some cases, (positions 5, 13, and 14), nucleotide substitutions actually increased binding. Thus, we conclude that binding of Rst2, at least on naked DNA, is necessary but not sufficient for activity of the oligo-C hotspot.

KUNITOMO *et al.* (2000) observed binding of Rst2 to a sequence similar to the *ade6-4099* hotspot, CCCCTCAT, which differs at positions 10 and 13 (Figures 1A and 3A). We observed only weak binding with a  $G \rightarrow T$  substitution at position 10. However, the  $C \rightarrow T$  substitution at position 13, though inactive as a hotspot, bound strongly to Rst2ZF (Figure 3A), which could potentially offset the reduced binding observed with the single  $G \rightarrow T$  substitution at position 10 and explain this apparent discrepancy.

The CCAAT hotspot: The CCAAT hotspot was originally identified as a 7-bp motif, CCAATCA, which appeared multiple times among a pool of  $\sim$ 400 larger

CCAATCA and derivative motifs show significant association with DSB hotspots in the genome

Motif	Total number in genome	Number within hotspots	Fraction within hotspots (see text)	Fractional enrichment within hotspots <sup>a</sup>
CCAAT	30,442	3086	0.101	-0.03
YCAATC	14,147	1528**	0.108	0.04
CCAATC	6413	679*	0.106	0.02
DCCAATC	4237	469**	0.111	0.06
VDCCAATC	2637	295*	0.112	0.08
DCCAATCA	1114	134**	0.120	$0.16^{b}$
CCAATCA	1408	172**	0.122	$0.17^{b}$
DCCAATCANND	887	112**	0.126	$0.21^{b}$
SVDCCAATC	938	121**	0.129	$0.24^{b}$
CCAATCANND	1119	145***	0.130	$0.25^{b}$
VDCCAATCA	652	87**	0.133	$0.28^{b}$
YSVDCCAATC	479	67**	0.140	$0.34^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$
VDCCAATCANND	524	76***	0.145	$0.39^{b}$
SVDCCAATCA	218	33**	0.151	$0.46^{b}$
SVDCCAATCANND	170	30**	0.176	$0.70^{b,c}$
YSVDCCAATCA	100	18**	0.180	$0.73^{b}$
YSVDCCAATCANND	83	16**	0.193	$0.85^{b,c}$
$\operatorname{ATGACGT}^d$	285	63***	0.221	1.12

\*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001; probability that the number of motifs falling within hotspots is due to chance. Probabilities are based on the binomial distribution formula with 10.4% of the genome found within DSB hotspots (see text).

<sup>*a*</sup> (Observed fraction -0.104)/0.104.

 ${}^{b}P < 0.05$ ;  $\chi^{2}$  probability that the increased fraction of the indicated motif found within hotspots is not different from the five-base CCAAT motif.

 $^{c}P < 0.05$ ;  $\chi^{2}$  probability that the increased fraction of the indicated motif found within hotspots is not different from the seven-base CCAATCA motif.

<sup>*d*</sup> The *M26* motif is provided for comparison.

sequences containing hotspots. A single base insertion was used to construct this motif in the ade6 gene, creating a hotspot of recombination (STEINER et al. 2009). Although this result was consistent with a requirement for only the 7-bp motif indicated, systematic mutagenesis revealed that a larger degenerate sequence is actually required, at least at the position in ade6 tested here. Figure 1B shows that the CCAAT hotspot is strongly dependent on the originally identified 7-bp motif (positions 7-13); however, neighboring nucleotides also significantly affect activity. Recombination frequencies >0.1% (approximately one-sixth the level of the unmutagenized hotspot) require a degenerate 10-bp sequence, SNNCCAATSR, but higher levels of recombination have increasingly stringent sequence requirements. For example, recombination >0.2%, requires at least a degenerate 14-bp sequence, YSVDC-CAATCANND. However, since nucleotide substitutions even at position 1 (Figure 1B) are still significantly below the level of the unmutagenized hotspot, the influence of even more distant nucleotides cannot be excluded. A few nucleotide substitutions even resulted in significant increases in hotspot activity, for example at positions 2, 5, 6, and 17. At least in one case, this is probably due to the formation of another hotspot motif; for example, the  $C \rightarrow A$  substitution at position 5 (Figure 1B) produces the complement of the M26 motif, ACGTCAT (the A to the left of position 1 is not shown). These results contrast with both the oligo-C and M26 hotspots, which show only modest effects of substitutions >3 bp from the central core sequence (Figure 1A and SCHUCHERT *et al.* 1991).

Unlike the oligo-C motif, the CCAATCA motif is abundant in the S. pombe genome. Of the 1408 occurrences of the motif, 172 (12%) fall within hotspots (Table 2), which is significant (P < 0.01), on the basis of the binomial distribution with 10.4% of the genome occurring in hotspots. In terms of frequency per unit distance, this corresponds to an excess of 17% in hotspots compared to the genome as a whole. Given that the CCAATCA motif occurs very frequently in the genome, it is reasonable to expect that some, but not all, of its 172 occurrences within hotspots are coincidental. With 10.4% of the genome present in regions enriched for DSBs, one would predict  $\sim 146$  (1408  $\times$  0.104) chance occurrences within hotspots, with a 95% confidence interval of 124-169 chance occurrences. Subtracting these values from 172, this suggests that the CCAATCA sequence is responsible for 3–48 of the 440 DSB hotspots in the genome.

For comparison, 63 of the 285 appearances of the M26 heptamer (22%) lie within hotspots. M26 also

	Total motifs		Hotspot-associated motifs	
Motif	CDS	NCR	CDS	NCR
CCAATCA	65% (915)	35% (493)	38% (65)	62% (107)
YSVDCCAATCA	50% (50)	50% (50)	17% (3)	83% (15)
YSVDCCAATCANND	51% (42)	49% (41)	19% (3)	81% (13)
M26 (ATGACGT)	45% (128)	55% (157)	22% (14)	78% (49)

Comparison of hotspot association in coding vs. noncoding regions

CDS, coding sequence; NCR, noncoding region. The actual numbers used for determination of percentages are shown in parentheses. A total of 66% of the nucleotides covered by all 440 hotspots are found in noncoding sequence.

shows considerably greater enrichment in hotspots compared with the genome as a whole (112%), suggesting that a higher proportion of M26 motifs, compared to CCAATCA motifs, create hotspots. By the same reasoning as above, it can be estimated that M26 is responsible for 23–43 hotspots in the genome. Thus, in spite of its considerably weaker association with hotspots, the CCAATCA motif, due to its abundance in the genome, may, nevertheless, be responsible for a number of DSB hotspots comparable to that of M26. It should be noted that our estimate for the number of M26-responsible hotspots in *S. pombe* is lower than another recent estimate (WAHLS and DAVIDSON 2010), given our assumption that some M26-DSB associations are coincidental.

Of course, our analysis of the CCAAT hotspot within *ade6* indicated that nucleotides flanking the CCAATCA heptamer are also critical for activity of that hotspot (Figure 1B). Inclusion of one or more of these flanking nucleotides significantly increases the association with genome-wide hotspots (Table 2). For example, the sequence YSVDCCAATCANND is found 83 times in the genome, 16 of which are associated with breaks. This corresponds to a frequency excess of 85% in hotspots

compared to the genome as a whole, which is significantly greater than the 7-base CCAATCA sequence alone, and closer to the enrichment observed for *M26*. Surprisingly, the 5-base CCAAT sequence alone, which is essential in most organisms for binding to the CCAATbinding factor CBF (see below) is modestly underrepresented in hotspots (Table 2).

Binding of the CCAAT-binding factor to the CCAAT hotspot: Given the sequence of the CCAAT hotspot, we tested whether the well-characterized CBF was required for activity of this hotspot. The CBF in S. *pombe* is a heterotrimer composed of the Php2, Php3, and Php5 subunits (McNABB et al. 1997). As in S. *cerevisiae*, the CBF in *S. pombe* is required mainly for the synthesis of mitochondrial proteins. Thus, mutations in any of the genes encoding subunits of the CBF result in disruption of the CBF complex and the inability to grow on nonfermentable carbon sources (OLESEN et al. 1991; MERCIER et al. 2006). Deletion of any of the three subunits of the CBF resulted in a >40-fold decrease in recombination of the CCAAT hotspot (Figure 2B and STEINER et al. 2009). This same decrease in recombination was not observed for ade6-M26, suggesting the CBF is required specifically for the CCAAT hotspot.

TABLE 4	
---------	--

Polypurine tracts are significantly enriched near hot noncoding regions and hotspot-associated motifs

	Mean % of nucleotides within 1 kb of hotspot-associated motifs composed of PPTs $\geq$ 15 bp <sup>4</sup>			
Motif	Hot NCRs	Nonhot NCRs	$P^c$	
Any motif or none <sup>b</sup>	1.408	0.598	$<\!\!6.76 imes 10^{-52}$	
CCAATCA	2.289	0.718	$<3.21 \times 10^{-8}$	
M26	2.232	0.710	${<}1.75 imes10^{-5}$	
YSVDCCAATCA	3.550	1.259	< 0.00218	
YSVDCCAATCANND	4.402	1.210	< 0.0134	

PPT, polypurine tract; NCR, noncoding region.

<sup>*a*</sup> For example, if 3% of nucleotides in a 2-kb region are composed of PPTs  $\geq$ 15 bp, they could be found in a single 60-bp PPT, three 20-bp PPTs, or other combinations, provided the minimum PPT length is 15 bp.

<sup>b</sup>Mean % of nucleotides within hotspots composed of PPTs  $\geq 15$  bp regardless of motif presence or absence.

<sup>c</sup> For each hotspot-associated motif, the *P*-values are for the comparison between PPT densities in hot NCRs regardless of motif presence and PPT densities within 1 kb of motifs in hotspots (Mann–Whitney test).

Previous studies of CCAAT-binding factors from other organisms have shown that binding has an almost absolute requirement for the 5-nucleotide CCAAT sequence (MANTOVANI 1998, 1999), though binding was also significantly influenced by nucleotides adjacent to that motif (DORN et al. 1987; HATAMOCHI et al. 1988; KIM and SHEFFERY 1990). Given the results of our recombination analysis, we tested whether the sequence required for high levels of recombination is also required for binding of the CBF. Figure 3 shows that the sequence required for binding in vitro is considerably smaller than the region required for hotspot activity. Surprisingly, we found that either a C or a T nucleotide at position 7 (Figure 3B) sufficed for binding of the CBF in vitro. (The presence of the T substitution at this position was confirmed and retested with the same result; data not shown). Furthermore, little or no binding of the CBF was observed for the  $C \rightarrow T$  mutation at position 12. Thus, the minimal sequence for binding of the S. pombe CBF in vitro appears to be YCAATC. However, given that we did not test every nucleotide substitution within this sequence, additional degeneracies are possible. As expected, no binding of the CCAAT hotspot sequence was observed using protein extracts obtained from a *php2* deletion strain (data not shown), indicating that the CBF is responsible for the observed mobility shifts.

Other genomic features associated with hot vs. nonhot CCAATCA motifs: We used the software MEME to investigate whether hotspot-associated occurrences of either the CCAATCA or M26 motifs shared common flanking sequences, but found no obvious patterns, suggesting that any influence of particular flanking nucleotides is context dependent. This has previously been shown for M26 (STEINER and SMITH 2005), and it is likely also true for CCAATCA, since the most active form of that motif found in *ade6*, CBYCVDCCAAT CAWTD (Figure 1B), is not even found in the *S. pombe* genome.

We also looked for additional features that might correlate with active vs. inactive occurrences of the hotspot-associated motifs CCAATCA, YSVDCCAATCA, YSVDCCAATCANND, and M26 (ATGACGT). All of these motifs are much more likely to be associated with hotspots in noncoding regions (NCRs) than in coding sequence (CDS) DNA. Although there is a significant bias for hotspots to occur in NCRs (66% of total hotspots), this bias is even greater for hotspot-associated motifs and does not reflect the general distribution of those motifs in the genome (Table 3). We found that NCRs containing a hotspot and at least one motif are on average about threefold larger than NCRs with hotspots and no motif. However, this trend toward motifs being present in larger NCRs was even greater when the analysis was applied to nonhot regions only, so it is not indicative of motif activity. Given that hotspot activity is often concentrated in promoter regions, we also asked whether motifs within hotspots were closer to transcriptional start sites (TSS) than nonhot motifs, but we found the distance between motif and TSS to be larger on average in hot than in nonhot regions for all motifs except YSVDCCAATCA, and the difference in this case was marginal (<3%).

Another feature previously found to correlate with recombination hotspots in both S. cerevisiae and humans is polypurine tract (PPT) density (Kong et al. 2002; BAGSHAW et al. 2006), and we found this also to be true in S. pombe. The density of PPTs of at least 15 bp is 2.35-fold greater in hot than nonhot NCRs ( $P < 10^{-51}$  by Mann– Whitney test), and this enrichment is even greater within 1 kb of each of the four hotspot-associated motifs considered (P < 0.014 by Mann–Whitney test; Table 4). Since PPTs can form non-B-DNA structures under physiological conditions (OHNO et al. 2002), we speculate that this correlation could reflect an activity of PPTs to render chromosome structure permissive to the binding of hotspot-activating proteins. However, any effect they may have must operate over some distance, since there is no PPT within 100 bp of a hotspot-associated YSVDCCAATCA motif.

### DISCUSSION

Here we have characterized two new sequence motifs that act as meiotic recombination hotspots. Like the previously characterized M26 (CRE) hotspot, both the oligo-C and CCAAT hotspots show an obvious nucleotide sequence requirement. The oligo-C hotspot requires an eight-nucleotide sequence with relatively well-defined borders, though immediately adjacent nucleotides also affect hotspot activity (Figure 1A). In contrast, the CCAAT hotspot has considerably less welldefined borders. Though there is an obvious requirement for a continuous and specific seven-nucleotide sequence, CCAATCA, substitutions at least six nucleotides distant from this motif show significant effects on recombination levels. These results indicate a large and degenerate sequence is required for hotspot activity, at least at this position within ade6.

We identified the transcription factors Rst2 and the CBF as essential *trans*-acting factors required for activity of the oligo-C and CCAAT hotspots, respectively. In this respect both of these hotspots conform to the paradigm established by the *M26* (*CRE*) hotspot, which also requires a transcription factor, Atf1-Pcr1, for activity. However the *M26* hotspot shows a much stricter correlation between hotspot activity and the ability to bind Atf1-Pcr1 *in vitro* (WAHLS and SMITH 1994; STEINER and SMITH 2005). In contrast, the sequence required for activity of both the oligo-C and CCAAT hotspots is more extensive than the sequence required for binding of their respective factors. Though hotspot activity is not observed in the absence of binding, binding (sometimes strong binding) is observed in the absence of hotspot activity.

This result implies that each of these hotspots requires one or more additional factors for activity, at least at the locations tested here. These could be cofactors that interact directly with Rst2 or the CBF or bind independently in adjacent intervals.

The oligo-C hotspot sequence identified here is found only 10 times in the sequenced portion of the S. pombe genome, only 3 of which are associated with DSB hotspots. However, the seven-base CCAATCA motif is abundant in the genome and is significantly enriched within meiotic DSBs. Notably, this enrichment increases when the flanking nucleotides required for hotspot activity within ade6 are included (Table 2). This implies that those flanking nucleotides also influence hotspot activity in other regions, though it is not clear whether they are required in all contexts. Compared to M26, the CCAATCA motif is less strongly associated with DSB hotspots; however, because it is much more abundant than M26, both motifs may be responsible for comparable numbers of DSBs in the genome. These two motifs alone are likely to be responsible for 26-91 (6-21%) of the 440 DSB hotspots observed in the genome.

We thank Jürg Baehler, Kunihiro Ohta, Masayuki Yamamoto, and the Yeast Genetic Resource Center of Japan for supplying strains and reagents used in this study, and we thank Marlo Brown for assistance with statistical analyses. This work was supported by a National Institutes of Health grant, R15GM078065 (to W.W.S.).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BADIS, G., E. T. CHAN, H. V. BAKEL, L. PENA-CASTILLO, D. TILLO et al., 2008 A library of yeast transcription factor motifs reveals a widespread function for Rsc3 in targeting nucleosome exclusion at promoters. Mol. Cell 32: 878-887.
- BAGSHAW, A. T. M., J. P. W. PITT and N. J. GEMMELL, 2006 Association of poly-purine/poly-pyrimidine sequences with meiotic recombination hotspots. BMC Genomics 7: 179-188.
- BAILEY, T. L., and C. ELKAN, 1994 Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology, AAAI Press, Menlo Park, CA, pp. 28-36.
- BAUDAT, F., and A. NICOLAS, 1997 Clustering of meiotic doublestrand breaks on yeast chromosome III. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 5213-5218.
- BAUDAT, F., J. BUARD, C. GREY, A. FLEDEL-ALON, C. OBER et al., 2010 PRDM9 is a major determinant of meiotic recombination hotspots in humans and mice. Science 327: 836-840.
- BORDE, V., N. ROBINE, W. LIN, S. BONFILS, V. GELI et al., 2009 Histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation marks meiotic recombination initiation sites. EMBO J. 28: 99-111.
- BUCK, M. J., A. B. NOBEL and J. D. LIEB, 2005 ChIPOTle: a userfriendly tool for the analysis of ChIP-chip data. Genome Biol. 6: R97.
- Chen, D., C. Wilkinson, S. Watt, C. J. Penkett, W. M. Toone et al., 2008 Multiple pathways differentially regulate global oxidative stress responses in fission yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 19: 308 - 317.
- CROMIE, G. A., R. W. HYPPA, H. P. CAM, J. A. FARAH, S. I. S. GREWAL et al., 2007 A discrete class of intergenic DNA dictates meiotic DNA break hotspots in fission yeast. PLOS Genet. 3: 1496-1507.
- DORN, A., J. BOLLEKENS, A. STAUB, C. BENOIST and D. MATHIS, 1987 A mulitiplicity of CCAAT Box-Binding Proteins. Cell 50: 863-872.
- EGEL, R. (Editor), 2004 The Molecular Biology of Schizosaccharomyces pombe: Genetics, Genomics, and Beyond. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- FOX, M. E., T. YAMADA, K. OHTA and G. R. SMITH, 2000 A family of CRE-related DNA sequences with meiotic recombination hotspot activity in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Genetics 156: 59-68.
- GERTON, J. L., J. DERISI, R. SHROFF, M. LICHTEN, P. O. BROWN et al., 2000 Global mapping of meiotic recombination hotspots and coldspots in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 11383-11390.
- GUTZ, H., H. HESLOT, U. LEUPOLD and N. LOPRIENO, 1974 Schizosaccharomyces pombe, pp. 395-446 in Handbook of Genetics, edited by R. C. KING. Plenum Press, New York.
- HARING, S., G. HALLEY, A. JONES and R. MALONE, 2003 Properties of natural double-strand-break sites at a recombination hotspot in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 165: 101–114.
- HATAMOCHI, A., P. T. GOLUMBEK, E. V. SCHAFTINGEN and B. D. CROMBRUGGHE, 1988 CCAAT DNA binding factor consisting of two different components that are both required for DNA binding. J. Biol. Chem. 263: 5940-5947.
- HIGUCHI, T., Y. WATANABE and M. YAMAMOTO, 2002 Protein kinase A regulates sexual development and gluconeogenesis through phosphorylation of the Zn finger transcriptional activator Rst2p in fisision yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 22: 1-11.
- HIROTA, K., C. S. HOFFMAN and K. OHTA, 2006 Reciprocal nuclear shuttling of two antagonizing Zn finger proteins modulates Tup family corepressor function to repress chromatin remodeling. Eukaryot. Cell 5: 1980–1989.
- HYPPA, R. W., G. A. CROMIE and G. R. SMITH, 2008 Indistinguishable landcapes of meiotic DNA breaks in rad50<sup>+</sup> and rad50S strains of fission yeast revealed by a novel rad50<sup>+</sup> recombination intermediate. PLoS Genet. 4: e1000267.
- HYPPA, R. W., and G. R. SMITH, 2010 Crossover invariance determined by partner choice for meiotic DNA break repair. Cell 142: 243-255.
- JEFFREYS, A. J., J. MURRAY and R. NEUMANN, 1998 High-resolution mapping of crossovers in human sperm defines a minisatelliteassociated recombination hotspot. Mol. Cell 2: 267-273.
- JEFFREYS, A. J., and R. NEUMANN, 2002 Reciprocal crossover asymmetry and meiotic drive in a human recombination hot spot. Nat. Genet. 31: 267–271.
- JEFFREYS, A. J., and R. NEUMANN, 2005 Factors influencing recombination frequency and distribution in a human meiotic crossover hotspot. Hum. Mol. Genet. 14: 2277-2287.
- KAUPPI, L., A. J. JEFFREYS and S. KEENEY, 2004 Where the crossovers are: recombination distributions in mammals. Nat. Rev. Genet. 5: 413-494
- KIM, C. G., and M. SHEFFERY, 1990 Physical characterization of the purified CCAAT transcription factor, α-CP1. J. Biol. Chem. 265: 13362-13369.
- KON, N., M. D. KRAWCHUK, B. G. WARREN, G. R. SMITH and W. P. WAHLS, 1997 Transcription factor Mts1/Mts2 (Atf1/Pcr1, Gad7/ Pcr1) activates the M26 meiotic recombination hotspot in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 13756-13770.
- Kong, A., D. F. Gudbjartsson, J. Sainz, G. M. Jonsdottir, S. A. Gudjonsson *et al.*, 2002 A high-resolution recombination map of the human genome. Nat. Genet. 31: 241-247.
- KUNITOMO, H., T. HIGUCHI, Y. IINO and M. YAMAMOTO, 2000 A zincfinger protein, Rst2p, regulates transcription of the fission yeast stell<sup>+</sup> gene, which encodes a pivotal transcription factor for sexual development. Mol. Biol. Cell 11: 3205-3217.
- Mahadevaiah, S. K., J. M. A. Turner, F. Baudat, E. P. Rogakou, P. DEBOER et al., 2001 Recombinational DNA double strand breaks in mice precede synapsis. Nat. Genet. 27: 271-276.
- MANTOVANI, R., 1998 A survey of 178 NF-Y binding CCAAT boxes. Nucleic Acids Res. **26:** 1135–1143. MANTOVANI, R., 1999 The molecular biology of the CCAAT-binding
- factor NF-Y. Gene 239: 15-27.
- MATA, J., A. WILBREY and J. BAHLER, 2007 Transcriptional regulatory network for sexual differentiation in fission yeast. Genome Biol. 8: R217.211-217.210.
- MCNABB, D. S., K. A.-S. TSENG and L. GUARENTE, 1997 The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Hap5p homolog from fission yeast reveals two conserved domains that are essential for assembly of heterotetrameric CCAAT-binding factor. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17: 7008-7018.
- MERCIER, A., B. PELLETIER and S. LABBE, 2006 A transcription factor cascade involving Fep1 and the CCAAT-binding factor Php4

regulates gene expression in response to iron deficiency in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Eukaryot. Cell **5:** 1866–1881.

- MIECZKOWSKI, P. A., M. DOMINSKA, M. J. BUCK, J. L. GERTON, J. D. LIEB *et al.*, 2006 A global analysis of the relationship between the binding of the Bas1p transcription factor and meiosis-specific double-strand DNA breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **26**: 1014–1027.
- MYERS, S., C. FREEMAN, A. AUTON, P. DONNELLY and G. MCVEAN, 2008 A common sequence motif associated with recombination hot spots and genome instability in humans. Nat. Genet. 40: 1124–1129.
- MYERS, S., R. BOWDEN, A. TUMIAN, R. E. BONTROP, C. FREEMAN et al., 2010 Drive against hotspot motifs in primates implicates the PRDM9 gene in meiotic recombination. Science **327**: 876– 879.
- NEUMAN, R., and A. J. JEFFREYS, 2006 Polymorphism in the activity of human crossover hotspot independent of local DNA sequence variation. Hum. Mol. Genet. 15:1401–1411.
- NISHANT, K. T., and M. R. S. RAO, 2005 Molecular features of meiotic recombination hot spots. Bioessays 28: 45–56.
- NURSE, P., 1975 Genetic control of cell size at cell division in yeast. Nature 256: 547–551.
- Ohno, M., T. Fukagawa, J. S. Lee and T. Ikemura, 2002 Triplexforming DNAs in the human interphase nucleus visualized in situ by polypurine/polypyrimidine DNA probes and antitriplex antibodies. Chromosoma **111:** 201–213.
- OLESEN, J. T., J. D. FIKES and L. GUARENTE, 1991 The Schizosaccharomyces pombe homolog of Saccharomyces cerevisiae HAP2 reveals selective and stringent conservation of the small essential core protein domain. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 611–619.
- PARVANOV, E. D., P. M. PETKOV and K. PAIGEN, 2010 Prdm9 controls activation of mammalian recombinatoin hotspots. Science **327**: 835.
- PETES, T. D., 2001 Meiotic recombination hot spots and cold spots. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2: 360–370.
- PONTICELLI, A. S., and G. R. SMITH, 1989 Meiotic recombinationdeficient mutants of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Genetics 123: 45–54.

- PONTICELLI, A. S., and G. R. SMITH, 1992 Context dependence of a eukaryotic recombination hotspot. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 227–231.
- SAMBROOK, J., and D. RUSSELL, 2001 Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- SCHUCHERT, P., M. LANGSFORD, E. KÄSLIN and J. KOHLI, 1991 A specific DNA sequence is required for high frequency of recombination in the ade6 gene of fission yeast. EMBO J. 10: 2157–2163.
- STEINER, W. W., and G. R. SMITH, 2005 Optimizing the nucleotide sequence of a meiotic recombination hotspot in *Schizosaccharomy*ces pombe. Genetics **169**: 1973–1983.
- STEINER, W. W., E. M. STEINER, A. R. GIRVIN and L. E. PLEWIK, 2009 Novel nucleotide sequence motifs that produce hotspots of meiotic recombinatoin in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Genetics **182**: 459–469.
- VALLEJO, A. N., R. J. POGULIS and L. R. PEASE, 1995 Mutagenesis by PCR, pp. 603–612 in *PCR Primer: A Laboratory Manual*. Edited by C. W. DIEFFENBACH and G. S. DVEKSLER. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- WAHLS, W. P., and G. R. SMITH, 1994 A heteromeric protein that binds to a meiotic homologous recombination hotspot: correlation of binding and hotspot activity. Genes Dev. 8: 1693–1702.
- WAHLS, W. P., and M. K. DAVIDSON, 2010 Discrete DNA sites regulate global distribution of meiotic recombination. Trends Genet. 26: 202–208.
- WAHLS, W. P., E. R. SIEGEL and M. K. DAVIDSON, 2008 Meiotic recombination hotspots of fission yeast are directed to loci that express non-coding RNA. PLOS One 3: e2887.
- WHITE, M. A., M. DOMINSKA and T. D. PETES, 1993 Transcription factors are required for the meiotic recombination hotspot at the *HIS4* locus in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **90:** 6621–6625.
- ZHANG, J., F. LI, J. LI, M. Q. ZHANG and X. ZHANG, 2004 Evidence and characteristics of putative human alpha recombination hotspots. Hum. Mol. Genet. 13: 2823–2828.

Communicating editor: S. KEENEY