

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Peri-operative blood transfusion and operative time are quality indicators for pancreatoduodenectomy

Chad G. Ball¹, Henry A. Pitt¹, Molly E. Kilbane¹, Elijah Dixon², Francis R. Sutherland² & Keith D. Lillemoe¹

Departments of Surgery, ¹Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, USA, ²University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

Abstract

Background: Minimization of blood loss during pancreatoduodenectomy requires careful surgical technique and specific preventative measures. Therefore, red blood cell (RBC) transfusions and operative time are potential surgical quality indicators. The aim of the present study was to compare peri-operative RBC transfusion and operative time with 30-day morbidity/mortality after pancreatoduodenectomy.

Methods: All pancreatoduodenectomies (2005 to 2008) were identified using the American College of Surgeons-National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP). RBC transfusions and operative time were correlated with 30-day morbidity/mortality.

Results: Pancreatoduodenectomy was completed in 4817 patients. RBC transfusions were given to 1559 (32%) patients (1–35 units). Overall morbidity and mortality rates were 37% and 3.0%, respectively. Overall 30-day morbidity increased in a stepwise manner with the number of RBC transfusions ($R = 0.69$, $P < 0.01$). Although RBC transfusions and operative times were not statistically linked ($P = 0.87$), longer operative times were linearly associated with increased 30-day morbidity ($R = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$) and mortality ($R = 0.65$, $P < 0.01$). Patients who were not transfused also displayed less morbidity (33%) and mortality (1.9%) ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion: Peri-operative RBC transfusion after pancreatoduodenectomy is linearly associated with 30-day morbidity. Longer operative time also correlates with increased morbidity and mortality. Therefore, blood transfusions and prolonged operative time should be considered quality indicators for pancreatoduodenectomy.

Keywords

pancreatoduodenectomy, whipple, NSQIP, transfusion

Received 27 April 2010; accepted 23 June 2010

Correspondence

Henry A. Pitt, 535 Barnhill Drive, RT 130D, Department of Surgery, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA.
Tel: 317 274 2304; Fax: 317 274 4554; E-mail: hapitt@iupui.edu

Introduction

Despite a history now approaching 100 years,^{1,2} pancreatoduodenectomy remains a formidable and lengthy procedure with substantial risks. While the mortality rate associated with this operation has been dramatically reduced from more than 20% in the 1970s to less than 3% in modern reports,^{3–5} peri-operative morbidity has remained relatively consistent at approximately

40%.^{6,7} A clear improvement in outcomes is also observed when pancreatoduodenectomies are performed in high volume centers by experienced pancreatic surgeons.^{8,9}

Allogenic blood transfusions increase the risk of infection, acute lung injury and potentially cancer recurrence.^{10–15} While the precise mechanism of transfusion-induced immunosuppression is debated,^{16,17} significant operative blood loss that leads to a subsequent need for blood transfusion has both short- and long-term negative consequences. Because surgical blood loss is often considered a modifiable factor that may reflect surgical technique, rates of peri-operative blood transfusion have been advocated as robust quality indicators.¹⁷

This paper was presented at the International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Meeting, 18–22 April 2010, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Extended operative duration has also been identified to negatively impact outcomes after general surgical procedures.^{18–20} Both an increased rate of infectious complications (surgical site infections, sepsis, septic shock, pneumonia and urinary tract infections), as well as a longer duration of hospital stay correlate with operative time in patients undergoing both pancreatectomies and biliary procedures.²¹ Moreover, these risks appear to increase in a linear manner with lengthening operative times at 90-min intervals.²¹ Therefore, the aim of this analysis was to identify the associations between both peri-operative red blood cell (RBC) transfusion and operative time, with 30-day morbidity/mortality after pancreatoduodenectomy using the American College of Surgeons-National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP).²²

Methods

ACS-NSQIP

The ACS-NSQIP is a nationally validated, outcomes-based, risk-adjusted, peer-controlled programme for the measurement and enhancement of the quality of surgical care.^{23–26} One hundred and thirty-six pre-operative (patient characteristics), intra-operative (processes of care) and post-operative (adverse outcomes) variables were prospectively collected by trained, certified nurse reviewers in the ACS-NSQIP. Patients younger than 16 years of age were excluded. Quality is ensured by inter-rater reliability audits, as well as online decision support so that the level of disagreement is currently only 1.53% for all variables. Surgical clinical nurse reviewers also ensured the validity of their data by assessing physician documentation, and/or contacting patients directly. Outcomes are assessed at 30 days after the index operation (e.g. pancreatoduodenectomy), and highly standardized and validated definitions were employed. Because all ACS-NSQIP data undergoes a logistic regression analysis, observed-to-expected (O/E) ratios can be calculated for morbidity and mortality. While low ratios indicate superior quality of care, high ratios confirm inferior care. ACS-NSQIP uses a systematic random sampling process that employs an 8-day cycle, whereby the first 40 cases are used to fulfil the inclusion and exclusion criteria at each participating centre. This process therefore excludes an over-sampling of minor cases (i.e. cholecystectomy, inguinal hernia and breast procedures).

Participant use file

The ACS-NSQIP Participant Use File (PUF) was queried to find all patients who had undergone a pancreatoduodenectomy from 1 January 2005 to 31 December 2008. The pancreatoduodenectomy procedure codes (48150, 48152, 48153, 48154) were used to identify all patients for analysis. Patient demographics and comorbidities were summarized. Operative procedure duration was queried in 60-min intervals. Blood transfusions were quantified by the specific number of transfused RBC units within 72 h of the operative procedure. Morbidity and mortality observed within 30 days of a pancreatoduodenectomy were analysed. Morbidity included

organ space infections, wound disruption, cerebrovascular accident or stroke, myocardial infarction, cardiac arrest, pulmonary embolism, ventilator dependence longer than 48 h, acute renal failure, bleeding complications, sepsis, septic shock, superficial surgical site infections, pneumonia, unplanned intubation, progressive renal insufficiency, urinary tract infection and deep vein thrombosis. The overall complication pool is composed of approximately two-thirds serious morbidity and one-third minor complications. Expected morbidity and mortality are available in the PUF based on logistic regressions of all procedures in the database. Observed/expected (O/E) ratios for morbidity and mortality were calculated for comparisons with regards to both RBC transfusion and operative duration.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed using Stata version 8.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Normally or near-normally distributed variables were reported as means and non-normally distributed variables as medians. Means were compared using the Student's *t*-test and medians using the Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Differences in proportions among categorical data were assessed using Fischer's exact test. Correlations were determined with Pierson's coefficients. A *P*-value less than 0.05 was considered to represent statistical significance for all comparisons.

Results

Patient demographics and comorbidities

Over the 4-year study interval, 4817 patients who underwent a pancreatoduodenectomy were tracked using the ACS-NSQIP registry. Three additional patients were excluded from the analysis as a result of missing patient data. As seen in Table 1, the majority of patients were functionally independent prior to their procedure. Most patients also had 1 (29.2%), 2 (23.6%), 3 (14.3%), 4 (7.0%) or more (5.5%) comorbidities. Specific definitions for these comorbidities may be found at <http://www.acsnsqip.org>. A minority of patients received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (3.6%) or used chronic steroids (2.0%). Moreover, 20% of patients had lost at least 10% of their pre-illness body weight prior to their pancreatoduodenectomy.

Blood transfusions

Thirty-two per cent (1559/4817) of patients received a RBC transfusion within 72 h of their pancreatoduodenectomy. A median of three units was utilized (range: 1–35) amongst patients who actually received a blood transfusion (Fig. 1). The most frequent number of units transfused was two followed by one.

Operative duration

Figure 2 displays the distribution of operative time. The mean operative duration for a pancreatoduodenectomy was between 5 and 6 h. Fifty-nine per cent of the operations took between 4 and 7 h to perform.

Table 1 Patient demographics and comorbidities

Demographic	
N	4782
Age, mean, y	64.3 ± 4.3
BMI, kg/m ²	26.6 ± 5.8
General (%)	
Diabetes	22.7
Smoking	21.9
Functionally independent (%)	
Pre-illness	98.3
Pre-surgery	96.5
Cardiac (%)	
HTN	52.1
CHF	0.3
MI	0.4
Respiratory (%)	
Vent dependent	0.2
Dyspnea	9.0
Pneumonia	0.3
COPD	4.5
Renal (%)	
Dialysis	0.3
Renal insufficiency	0.3
Ascites (%)	0.9
Bleeding disorder (%)	2.5

CHF, congestive heart failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HTN, hypertension; MI, myocardial infarction; Vent dependent, pre-operative ventilator dependent.




Figure 1 Number of red blood cell units for patients who received a transfusion

Outcomes – transfusion

Of the 4817 patients undergoing a pancreatoduodenectomy, overall morbidity was 37% (1775/4817). Overall mortality within 30 days was 3.0% (145/4817). The expected 30-day morbidity was 42% and the expected mortality was 3.3%. Thus, the




Figure 2 Distribution of operative duration





Figure 3 (a) Morbidity associated with blood transfusions ($R = 0.69$, $P < 0.01$). (b) Morbidity and mortality without and with blood transfusions. * $P < 0.00001$ (no transfusion vs. transfusion)

morbidity O/E ratio was 0.89, and the mortality O/E ratio was 0.91. Overall 30-day morbidity increased in a stepwise manner with the number of RBC transfusions ($R = 0.69$, $P < 0.01$), as seen in Fig. 3a. Overall mortality was not statistically associated

with transfusion of blood ($R = 0.07$, $P = 0.44$). These relationships remained consistent in comparisons for observed/expected morbidity ($R = 0.82$, $P < 0.001$) and mortality ($R = 0.03$, $P = 0.59$) ratios, respectively. Patients who were not transfused also displayed a lower morbidity (33%) and mortality (1.9%) than patients receiving RBC transfusions (morbidity = 46%; mortality = 5.3%) ($P < 0.00001$ for both comparisons), as seen in Fig. 3b.

Outcomes – duration

Longer operative duration was linearly associated with both increased 30-day morbidity ($R = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$) and mortality ($R = 0.65$, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4a,b). Similar results were noted in comparisons for observed/expected morbidity ($R = 0.84$, $P < 0.0001$) and mortality ($R = 0.74$, $P < 0.001$). Although no statistically significant association was observed between RBC transfusion and operative duration ($R = 0.001$, $P = 0.87$), there was




Figure 4 (a) Morbidity associated with operative duration ($R = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$). (b) Mortality associated with operative duration ($R = 0.65$, $P < 0.01$)

a significant increase in the data variation at the extremes of operative times (i.e. very brief, as well as extended duration cases).

Discussion

Analysis of the ACS-NSQIP for 4817 patients who underwent a pancreatoduodenectomy from 2005 to 2008 demonstrated that peri-operative RBC transfusion was associated with 30-day morbidity in a stepwise manner. Moreover, longer operative times also linearly correlated with increases in both 30-day morbidity and mortality. This analysis suggests that peri-operative blood transfusions and prolonged operative time should be considered quality indicators for performance of pancreatoduodenectomy.

Throughout the nearly 100-year history of the pancreatoduodenectomy procedure,^{1,2} numerous modifications have been reported. The goal of each update has been to decrease the morbidity and mortality rates that often accompany this complex procedure. Potential complications include, but are not limited to, post-operative sepsis, haemorrhage, delayed gastric emptying, as well as leakage from the pancreatico-enteric anastomosis. With modern improvements in critical care, nutritional support and percutaneous drainage techniques, peri-operative mortality has been dramatically reduced from 20% to less than 3%.³⁻⁵ Unfortunately, despite both technical and medical advancements, peri-operative morbidity rates have remained relatively consistent at approximately 40%.⁵⁻⁷

The NSQIP concept of prospectively collecting data on major operations and then providing risk adjusted 30-day morbidity and mortality outcomes for quality of care feedback originated in the Department of Veterans Affairs (National Veterans Affairs Surgical Risk Study).²⁷⁻²⁹ Subsequently, private sector health systems adopted NSQIP in an attempt to reduce morbidity, mortality and costs for participating hospitals.³⁰ In 2004, the American College of Surgeons initiated a modified version of NSQIP which currently includes data from approximately 250 hospitals, and is being utilized for both quality of care assessment, as well as for surgical research.^{31,22} Approximately 60% of these hospitals are large academic medical centres. As a result, more than half of the pancreatoduodenectomies being performed annually in the United States are captured in this database.

Despite advances in surgical technique and peri-operative care, a blood transfusion is still required in a significant number of patients who undergo pancreatoduodenectomy. In this ACS-NSQIP analysis, 32% of patients received a RBC transfusion within 72 h of their operative procedure (median = 3 units). The risks of allogenic blood transfusions are well documented and include an increased incidence of post-operative infection, acute lung injury and cancer recurrence.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Unlike the potential transmission of infectious agents,³² these post-operative effects appear to be the direct result of transfusion-related immunosuppression.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ As a consequence of these data, as well as results from a multicentre randomized trial indicating that a transfusion trigger haemoglobin level of 7.0 g/dL is as safe as more

liberal thresholds in nearly all patients,³³ 'bloodless' surgery is being encouraged more frequently.¹⁷

In the context of hepato-pancreato-biliary (HPB) surgery, a near paucity of literature explores the relationship between RBC transfusion and outcomes. On univariate analysis, the Johns Hopkins group identified blood loss as a predictor of subsequent pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy.³⁴ Unfortunately, this relationship was not maintained in a multivariate analysis. In elective hepatic surgery, 10% to 33% of patients typically receive a blood transfusion.³⁵⁻³⁹ On retrospective review, these transfusions were shown to be associated with both an increase in peri-operative morbidity and mortality, as well as in the length of hospital stay.⁴⁰ In a study attempting to predict the need for transfusion in elective liver resections, a median of two units were transfused when needed.⁴¹ Given the strong negative associations between RBC transfusion and outcomes in non-pancreatic surgery, it is not surprising that the ACS-NSQIP population displayed a stepwise increase in 30-day morbidity with increasing RBC transfusions after pancreatoduodenectomy. Although a statistical increase in 30-day mortality was not observed, we believe this observation is most likely the result of small sample sizes in patient subgroups who died after receiving varying numbers of RBC unit transfusions. This concept is strengthened by the observation that patients who received absolutely no blood had a significantly lower 30-day morbidity and mortality rate than those who were transfused with any volume of RBCs.

Clearly, pancreatoduodenectomies are technically challenging procedures. As a result, intra-operative blood loss and, therefore, subsequent RBC transfusions, are robust quality indicators because they are 'specific and measurable elements of practice that can be used to assess quality of care'.^{17,42} Moreover, this element of care is also modifiable because it is often surgeon or anaesthesiologist determined. As a result, it is one of the few modifiable factors that we have available for both short- and long-term outcomes.¹⁷ The need for a transfusion can also be altered by technical modifications such as minimizing blunt dissection, ensuring intra-operative haemostasis and maintaining normothermia. Non-technical approaches to reduce blood loss are also helpful and include pre-operative improvement of haemoglobin levels (iron and erythropoietin), minimizing redundant blood tests (pre- and post-operative), reducing central venous pressures for hepatic surgery, employing blood cell salvage devices and following evidence-based guidelines for lower transfusion triggers.¹⁷

After general surgical procedures, increased operative duration has been shown to predict infectious complications (surgical site infections, sepsis, septic shock, pneumonia and urinary tract infections).¹⁸⁻²⁰ This association, as well as a longer duration of hospital stay, has also been reported in patients undergoing both pancreatectomies and biliary procedures.²¹ The specific operative time needed to produce an increase in subsequent morbidity is debated.^{18,20,21} Although no previous studies related to pancreatic resection were available for comparison, the ACS-NSQIP study cohort showed a strong linear correlation between operative

duration and increased 30-day morbidity and mortality. While it could be argued that operative time is most commonly a direct result of patient anatomy and local disease progression, rather than surgical technique, it is interesting to note that there was no statistical association between operative duration and RBC transfusion. If patient variables were the primary determinant of operative time, one might also expect to see an increase in the rate of blood transfusion with lengthened operative duration.

This study has several limitations. First, it is retrospective and registry based; therefore the possibility of bias cannot be eliminated. Second, the ACS-NSQIP is not designed to track and evaluate pancreatoduodenectomy specific outcomes. As a result, relevant complications such as pancreatic fistula and exocrine or endocrine pancreatic dysfunction are not available for analysis. An HPB-specific NSQIP option is currently under development.⁴³ Third, the small number of patients who actually died within 30 days of their pancreatoduodenectomy under-powered our statistical evaluation of the potential relationship between RBC transfusion and mortality. Fourth, we were unable to analyse the precise impact of additional operative components (i.e. diagnostic laparoscopy and/or concurrent vein resection) because of a lack of detail within the ACS-NSQIP registry. Fifth, although morbidity and mortality are powerful indicators of quality, additional metrics that may also be helpful in assessing quality (i.e. hospital and surgeon volume, cost and emergency status) are not yet available in ACS-NSQIP.^{44,45} Finally, although a RBC transfusion is considered a reasonable surrogate for peri-operative blood loss, it remains an indirect measure and may be potentially influenced by individual surgeon, anaesthesiologist, intensivist and trainee transfusion thresholds (i.e. defiance of current level-one data regarding indicated transfusion triggers³³). However, peri-operative transfusion does reduce the known inaccuracies in surgeon-determined estimations of blood loss.⁴⁶

In conclusion, 30-day morbidity is associated with both RBC transfusion and extended operative duration in patients undergoing a pancreatoduodenectomy. A similar stepwise relationship is present for 30-day mortality and operative duration. As a result, limiting blood loss and avoiding prolonged operative times should be goals for the pancreatic surgeon. These variables are robust quality indicators for pancreatoduodenectomy.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

References

1. Kaush W. (1912) Das carcinoma der papilla und seine radikale entfernung. *Beitr Klinischen Chirurgie* 78:29–33.
2. Whipple AO. (1941) The rationale of radical surgery for cancer of the pancreas and ampullary region. *Ann Surg* 114:612–615.
3. Crile G Jr. (1970) The advantage of bypass operations over radical pancreaticoduodenectomy in the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 130:1049–1053.
4. Herter FP, Cooperman AM, Ahlborn TN, Antinori C. (1982) Surgical experience with pancreatic and periampullary cancer. *Ann Surg* 195:274–281.
5. Poon RTP, Fan ST. (2008) Decreasing the pancreatic leak rate after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Adv Surg* 42:33–48.
6. Yeo CJ, Cameron JL, Sohn TA, Lillemoe KD, Pitt HA, Talamini MA *et al.* (1997) Six hundred fifty consecutive pancreaticoduodenectomies in the 1990s: pathology, complications, and outcomes. *Ann Surg* 226:248–260.
7. Cameron JL, Pitt HA, Yeo CJ, Lillemoe KD, Kaufman HS, Coleman J. (1993) One hundred and forty-five consecutive pancreaticoduodenectomies without mortality. *Ann Surg* 217:430–435.
8. Langer B. (2007) Role of volume outcome data in assuring quality in HPB surgery. *HPB* 9:330–334.
9. Sosa JA, Bowman HM, Gordon TA, Bass EB, Yeo CJ, Lillemoe KD *et al.* (1998) Importance of hospital volume in the overall management of pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg* 228:429–438.
10. Agarwal N, Murphy JG, Cayten CG, Stahl WM. (1993) Blood transfusion increases the risk of infection after trauma. *Arch Surg* 128:171–177.
11. Hill GE, Frawley WH, Griffith KE, Forestner JE, Minei JP. (2003) Allogenic blood transfusion increases the risk of postoperative bacterial infection: a meta-analysis. *J Trauma* 54:908–914.
12. McAlister FA, Clark HD, Wells PS, Laupacis A. (2001) Perioperative allogenic blood transfusion does not cause adverse sequelae in patients with cancer: a meta-analysis of unconfounded studies. *Br J Surg* 85:171–178.
13. Busch OR, Hop WC, Marquet RL, Jeekel J. (1994) Blood transfusions and local tumor recurrence in colorectal cancer: evidence of a noncausal relationship. *Ann Surg* 220:791–797.
14. Miki C, Hiro J, Ojima E, Inoue Y, Mohri Y, Kusunoki M. (2006) Perioperative allogenic blood transfusion, the related cytokine response and long-term survival after potentially curative resection of colorectal cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 18:60–66.
15. Crowe JP, Gordon NH, Fry DE, Shuck JM, Hubay CA. (1989) Breast cancer survival and perioperative blood transfusion. *Surgery* 106:836–841.
16. Nielson HJ. (1995) Detrimental effects of perioperative blood transfusion. *Br J Surg* 82:582–587.
17. Dixon E, Datta I, Sutherland FR, Vauthey J. (2009) Blood loss in surgical oncology: neglected quality indicator? *J Surg Oncol* 99:508–512.
18. Pessaux P, Msika S, Atalla D, Hay JM, Flamant Y. (2003) Risk factors for postoperative infectious complications in noncolorectal abdominal surgery: a multivariate analysis based on a prospective multicenter study of 4718 patients. *Arch Surg* 138:314–324.
19. Cruse PJ, Foord R. (1973) A five-year prospective study of 23 649 surgical wounds. *Arch Surg* 107:206–210.
20. Galandiuk S, Polk HC, Jagelman DG, Fazio VW. (1989) Re-emphasis of priorities in surgical antibiotic prophylaxis. *Surg Gyencol Obstet* 169:219–222.
21. Proctor LD, Davenport DL, Bernard AC, Zwischenberger JB. (2010) General surgical operative duration is associated with increased risk-adjusted infectious complication rates and length of hospital stay. *J Am Coll Surg* 210:60–65.
22. Birkmeyer JD, Shahian DM, Dimick JB, Finlayson SR, Flum DR, Ko CY *et al.* (2008) Blueprint for a new American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *J Am Coll Surg* 207:777–782.
23. Daley J, Khuri SF, Henderson W, Hur K, Gibbs JO, Barbour G *et al.* (1997) Risk adjustment of the postoperative morbidity rate for comparative assessment of the quality of surgical care. *J Am Coll Surg* 185:315–327.
24. Khuri SF. (2005) The NSQIP: a new frontier in surgery. *Surgery* 138: 837–843.

- 25.** Fink AS, Campbell DA, Mentzer RM, Henderson WG, Daley J, Bannister J *et al.* (2002) The National Surgical Quality Improvement Program in non-Veterans Administration hospitals: initial demonstration of feasibility. *Ann Surg* 236:344–354.
- 26.** Khuri SF, Henderson WG, Daley J, Jonasson O, Jones RS, Campbell DA *et al.* (2007) The patient safety surgery study: background, study design, and patient populations. *J Am Coll Surg* 204:1089–1102.
- 27.** Khuri SF, Daley J, Henderson WG, Hur K, Demakis J, Aust JB *et al.* (1995) The National Veterans Administration Surgical Risk Study: risk adjustment for the comprehensive assessment of the quality of surgical care. *J Am Coll Surg* 180:519–531.
- 28.** Khuri SF, Daley J, Henderson WG, Barbour G, Lowry P, Irvin G *et al.* (1998) The Department of Veterans Affairs' NSQIP: the first national, validated, outcome-based, risk adjusted, and peer-controlled programme for the measurement and enhancement of the quality of surgical care. *Ann Surg* 228:491–507.
- 29.** Daley J, Khuri SF, Henderson WG, Hur K, Gibbs JO, Barbour G *et al.* (1997) Risk adjustment of the postoperative mortality rate for the comparative assessment of the quality of surgical care. *J Am Coll Surg* 185:328–340.
- 30.** Henderson WG, Khuri SF, Mosca C, Fink AS, Hutter MM, Neumayer LA. (2007) Comparison of risk-adjusted 30-day postoperative mortality and morbidity in Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals and selected university medical centers: general surgical operations in men. *J Am Coll Surg* 204:1103–1114.
- 31.** Parikh PY, Pitt HA, Kilbane M, Howard TJ, Nakeeb A, Schmidt CM *et al.* (2010) Pancreatic necrosectomy: North American mortality is much lower than expected. *J Am Coll Surg* 209:712–719.
- 32.** Goodnough LT. (2003) Risks of blood transfusion. *Crit Care Med* 31:S678–S686.
- 33.** Hebert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, Marshall J, Martin C, Pagliarello G *et al.* (1999) A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators, Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med* 340:409–417.
- 34.** Lin JW, Cameron JL, Yeo CJ, Riall TS, Lillemoe KD. (2004) Risk factors and outcomes in postpancreaticoduodenectomy pancreaticocutaneous fistula. *J Gastrointest Surg* 8:951–959.
- 35.** Bui LL, Smith AJ, Bercovici M, Szalai JP, Hanna SS. (2002) Minimising blood loss and transfusion requirements in hepatic resection. *HPB* 4:5–10.
- 36.** Mariette D, Smadja C, Naveau S, Borgonovo G, Vons C, Franco D. (1997) Preoperative predictors of blood transfusion in liver resection for tumor. *Am J Surg* 173:275–279.
- 37.** Nagimo M, Kamiya J, Arai R, Nishio H. (2005) One hundred consecutive hepatobiliary resections for biliary malignancy: preoperative blood donation, blood loss, transfusion, and outcome. *Surgery* 137:148–155.
- 38.** Pulitano C, Arru M, Bellio L, Rossini S, Ferla G, Aldrighetti L. (2007) A risk score for predicting perioperative blood transfusion in liver surgery. *Br J Surg* 94:860–865.
- 39.** Verma V, Schwartz RE. (2007) Factors influencing perioperative blood transfusions in patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *J Surg Res* 141:97–104.
- 40.** Kooby DA, Stockman J, Ben-Porat L, Gonen M, Jarnagin WR, Dematteo RP *et al.* (2003) Influence of transfusions on perioperative and long-term outcome in patients following hepatic resection for colorectal metastases. *Ann Surg* 237:860–869.
- 41.** Cockbain AJ, Masudi T, Lodge PA, Toogood GJ, Prasad KR. (2010) Predictors of blood transfusion requirement in elective liver resection. *HPB* 12:50–55.
- 42.** Gagliardi AR, Fung MF, Langer B, Stern H, Brown AD. (2005) Development of ovarian cancer surgical quality indicators using a modified Delphi approach. *Gynecol Oncol* 97:446–456.
- 43.** Pitt HA, Kilbane M, Strasberg SM, Pawlik TM, Dixon E, Zyromski NJ *et al.* (2009) ACS-NSQIP has the potential to create an HPB-NSQIP option. *HPB* 11:405–413.
- 44.** Vollmer CM, Pratt W, Vanounou T, Maithel SK, Callery MP. (2007) Quality assessment in high-acuity surgery. *Arch Surg* 142:371–380.
- 45.** Howard TJ, Krug JE, Yu J, Zyromski NJ, Schmidt CM, Jacobson LE *et al.* (2006) A margin-negative R0 resection accomplished with minimal postoperative complications is the surgeon's contribution to long-term survival in pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 10:1338–1346.
- 46.** Sutherland FR, Mackenzie S, Bathe O *et al.* Measuring blood loss during hepatectomy. *HPB* in press.