

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 February 4.

Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 February 4; 13(3): 540–542. doi:10.1021/ol102890k.

Rh(III)-Catalyzed Oxidative Coupling of Unactivated Alkenes via C–H Activation

Andy S. Tsai[†], Mikaël Brasse[‡], Robert G. Bergman^{§,*}, and Jonathan A. Ellman^{†,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

[§]Division of Chemical Sciences, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

MON CONTRACTOR CONTRAC

Oxime directed aromatic C–H bond activation and oxidative coupling to alkenes is reported using a cationic Rh(III) catalyst. Significantly, the method can be used to oxidatively couple unactivated, aliphatic alkenes.

Directed C–H bond activation and oxidative coupling with alkenes provide an atom economical alternative to traditional transformations such as the Heck reaction. Palladium,1 ruthenium,2 and rhodium3 have been reported to effect the former transformation. However, nearly all oxidative couplings have employed "activated" alkenes such as acrylates and styrenes.1e,f Herein we report a general method for the oxidative coupling of aryl *O*-methyl oximes with unactivated alkenes via C–H bond functionalization using a cationic Rh(III) catalyst.

We began our exploration with attempts to oxidatively couple 1-hexene with imine **1a**. We initially employed conditions reported by Miura for the oxidative coupling of 1phenylpyrazole with acrylates using [Cp*RhCl2]2 as the catalyst in the presence of Cu(OAc)₂ as an oxidant and with DMF as the solvent (Table 1, entry 1).3f Only trace amounts of the coupled product were observed, and none of the desired product was obtained with other solvents (entries 2 and 3). Prompted by reports that noted a positive effect of halide abstractors for rhodium catalysis, AgSbF₆ was added4 and resulted in improved yields (entries 4-7) with the highest yield being obtained with ethanol as the solvent (entry 6). The combination of rhodium with silver was next tested without copper acetate or using other oxidants (Ag_2CO_3 , benzoquinone, PhI(OAc)_2), bases (NaOAc, lutidine) or acids (AcOH), but all of these modifications resulted either in a reduced yield or no coupled product (not shown). A survey of other directing groups established that Omethyl oxime **1b** (entries 8-10) is superior to the corresponding *N*-benzyl imine **1a**, providing good yields of the trans alkene product in THF (entry 10). Trace amounts of the cis product could also be detected under these conditions (5-10%) and no migration of the double bond was observed. Of note, while the reaction is water sensitive, it is not air sensitive. The reaction mixture could be exposed to the atmosphere with no decrease in

rbergman@berkeley.edu; jonathan.ellman@yale.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details, characterization data, and copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

yield. No coupled product was observed for acetanilide which has been reported to direct the oxidative coupling of styrenes using the same [Cp*RhCl₂]₂/AgSbF₆ system (entry 11).3e

Alkene scope was next explored (Table 2). A β -branched alkene provided the coupled product in high yield (entry 2), while an α -branched alkene resulted in a somewhat lower yield (entry 3). The reaction is compatible with chloro and ester functionalities (entries 4 and 5). Notably, diene **2e** preferentially couples at the terminal alkene position in preference to the more electronically activated α , β -unsaturated ester (entry 5). Reactions with activated alkenes such as styrene and ethyl acrylate proceed well to give good yields of the alkenylated products (entries 6 and 7). Interestingly, use of allyl acetate as the coupling partner lead to formation of the unconjugated terminal alkene **3h** (entry 8). This allylated product presumably forms via a concerted elimination from a rhodium-acetate complex obtained upon insertion of the alkene in the initally generated rhodium-aryl species (eq 1).5 While this transformation is redox neutral, only trace amounts of product are obtained when Cu(OAc)₂ is omitted. However, the reaction can be performed using substoichiometric amounts of Cu(OAc)₂ (40 mol %) without a loss in yield.

May Bort _____ May ____ May ____ May ____ May ____

(1)

Under the optimized conditions, a variety of different aryl *O*-methyl oximes could be coupled with alkenes in good yield (Scheme 1). Substrates with *para* (**4d**–**g**) or *meta* (**4c**) substitution patterns and both electron withdrawing (**4e**–**g**) and releasing (**4d**) substituents were effective coupling partners. However, the electron poor substrates **4f** and **4g** required longer reaction times to achieve full conversion. The brominated analogue **4e** not only coupled efficiently but also did not suffer any Heck coupling or proto-debromination. In contrast, the *para*-cyano aryl oxime **4h** gave only a poor yield of coupled product (10% by NMR), probably due to coordination of the nitrile to the catalyst. A small amount of the bisalkenylated product, less than 10% by NMR, was observed for oximes **4a** and **4e**, and under the standard reaction conditions **4d** resulted in 30% of the bisalkenylated product. However, this undesired product could be reduced to trace amounts using 1.5 equiv of the alkene. *Ortho*-methyl substituted aryl oxime **4b** resulted in poor conversion, likely due to steric congestion between the directing group and the methyl substituent.3e

Recent reports have shown that Rh(III) complexes are efficient catalysts for the oxidative coupling of C–H bonds with acrylates and styrenes. However, the analogous transformation with non-activated olefins has not previously been demonstrated for Rh catalysts and is generally unknown. We have shown that given an appropriate directing group, the coupling of unactivated as well as functionalized terminal alkenes can be accomplished in moderate to good yields and under conditions that are compatible with commonly encountered functional groups.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH grant GM069559 (to J.A.E) and by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, US Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 (to R.G.B). M.B. acknowledges the 7th European Community Framework Programme, for a Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship, that supported this research. A.S.T is grateful for an Eli Lilly Fellowship.

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 February 4.

References

- 1. For reviews see: (a) Chen X, Engle KM, Wang D-H, Yu J-Q. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:5094-5115. (b) Ferreira EM, Zhang H, Stoltz BM. Tetrahedron. 2008; 64:5987-6001. [PubMed: 18587498] For selected recent individual reports see: (c) Nishikata T, Lipshutz BH. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:1972–1975. [PubMed: 20364834] (d) Wasa M, Engle KM, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:3680–3681. [PubMed: 20187642] (e) Engle KM, Wang D-H, Yu J-Q. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49:6169-6173. (f) Shi B-F, Zhang Y-H, Lam JK, Wang D-H, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:460-461. [PubMed: 20017549] (g) Wang D-H, Engle KM, Shi B-F, Yu J-Q. Science. 2010; 327:315-319. [PubMed: 19965380] (h) Rubia-Garcia A, Arrayas RG, Carretero JC. Angew Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:6511-6515. (i) Zhang Y-H, Shi B-F, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:5072-5074. [PubMed: 19296661] (j) Cho SH, Hwang SJ, Chang S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:9254–9256. [PubMed: 18582040] (k) Würtz S, Rakshit S, Neumann JJ, Dröge T, Glorius F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:7230-7233. (1) Cai G, Fu Y, Li Y, Wan X, Shi Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:7666–7673. [PubMed: 17530847] (m) Grimster NP, Cauntlett C, Godfrey CRA, Gauntx MJ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005; 44:3125-3129. (n) Zhang H, Ferreira EM, Stoltz BM. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004; 43:6144-6148. (o) Ferreira EM, Stoltz BM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003; 125:9578–9579. [PubMed: 12904010] (p) Yokaota T, Tani M, Sakaguchi S, Ishii Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003; 125:1476–1477. [PubMed: 12568597] (q) Dams M, De Vos DE, Celen S, Jacobs PA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003; 42:3512–3515. (r) Boele MDK, van Strijdonck GPF, de Vries AHM, Kamer PCJ, de Vries JG, van Leeuwen PWNM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:1586-1587. [PubMed: 11853427] (s) Jia C, Lu W, Kitamura T, Fujiwara Y. Org. Lett. 1999; 1:2097–2100. (t) Miura M, Tsuda T, Satoh T, Pivsa-Art S, Nomura M. J. Org. Chem. 1998; 63:5211-5215. (u) Miura M, Tsuda T, Satoh T, Nomura M. Chem. Lett. 1997:1103-1104.
- 2. Weissman H, Song X, Milstein D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:337–338. [PubMed: 11456523]
- For a review see: (a) Satoh T, Miura M. Chem. Eur. J. 2010; 16:11212–11222. For recent selected individual reports see: (b) Patureau FW, Besset T, Glorius F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011 in press. (c) Wang F, Song G, Li X. Org. Lett. 2010:5426–5429. [PubMed: 21038875] (d) Chen J, Song G, Pan C-L, Li X. Org. Lett. 2010:5430–5433. [PubMed: 21038874] (e) Patureau FW, Glorius F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:9982–9983. [PubMed: 20593901] (f) Umeda N, Hirano K, Satoh T, Miura M. J. Org. Chem. 2009; 74:7094–7099. [PubMed: 19694411] (g) Ueura K, Satoh T, Miura M. Org. Lett. 2007; 9:1407–1409. [PubMed: 17346060] (h) Matsumoto T, Periana RA, Taube DJ, Yoshida H. J. Cat. 2002; 206:272–280.
- 4. (a) Stuart DR, Bertrand-Laperle M, Burgess KMN, Fagnou K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:16474–16475. [PubMed: 19554684] (b) Youn SW, Pastine SJ, Sames D. Org. Lett. 2004; 6:581–584. [PubMed: 14961628]
- (a) Song J, Shen Q, Xu F, Lu X. Tetrahedron. 2007; 63:5148–5153. (b) Williams BS, Leatherman MD, White PS, Brookhart M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:5132–5146. [PubMed: 15810848] (c) Zhang Q, Xu W, Lu X. J. Org. Chem. 2005; 70:1505–1507. [PubMed: 15704997]



Scheme 1.

Aryl O-Methyl Oxime Scopea

^a All reactions were performed by heating oxime (1 equiv), 1-hexene (3 equiv), [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ (5 mol %), AgSbF₆ (20 mol %), Cu(OAc)₂ (2.1 equiv), and THF (0.1 M) in a sealed vial for 20 h at 75 °C. Isolated yields after purification by chromatography are reported. ^b 1-hexene (1.5 equiv). ^c 36 h. ^d NMR yield relative to 2,6-dimethoxytoluene as an internal standard

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 February 4.

Table 1

Optimization of the Oxidative Coupling Reaction

NY	*	10% [Cp*RhCl ₂] ₂ Cu(OAc) ₂ (2.1 equiv) 75 °C solvent (0.1 M) additive (40 mol %)		Bu
entry	substrate	additive	solvent	yield ^a
1	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	-	DMF	Trace
2	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	-	THF	0
3	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	-	tAmOH	0
4	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	$AgSbF_6$	DMF	11%
5	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	AgSbF ₆	tAmOH	27%
6	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	AgSbF ₆	EtOH	45%
7	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Bn} \ (\mathbf{1a})$	AgSbF ₆	THF	10%
8	Y = OMe (1b)	AgSbF ₆	tAmOH	60%
9	Y = OMe (1b)	AgSbF ₆	DMF	30%
10	Y = OMe (1b)	AgSbF ₆	THF	85%
11	acetanilide	AgSbF ₆	THF	0%

 a NMR yield relative to 2,6-dimethoxytoluene as an internal standard

Table 2

Alkene Scope^a



Tsai et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 February 4.

Tsai et al.



^{*a*}All reactions were performed by heating the oxime (1 equiv), alkene (3 equiv), $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ (5 mol %), AgSbF₆ (20 mol %), Cu(OAc)₂ (2.1 equiv), and THF (0.1 M) in a sealed vial for 20 h at 75 °C.

 ${}^{b}\ensuremath{\mathsf{Isolated}}$ yields after purification by chromatography are reported.