

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Alzheimers Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 2.

Published in final edited form as:

J Alzheimers Dis. 2010; 21(3): 833–842. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-091711.

Validating predicted biological effects of Alzheimer's disease associated SNPs using CSF biomarker levels

John SK Kauwe^{1,*}, Carlos Cruchaga^{2,*}, Sarah Bertelsen², Kevin Mayo², Wayne Latu¹, Petra Nowotny², Anthony L Hinrichs², Anne M Fagan³, David M Holtzman³, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative^{**}, and Alison M Goate²

¹Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602

²Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110

³Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110

Abstract

Recent large-scale genetic studies of late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) have identified risk variants in *CALHM1, GAB2* and *SORL1*. The mechanisms by which these genes might modulate risk are not definitively known. *CALHM1* and *SORL1* may alter amyloid-beta (A β) levels and *GAB2* may influence phosphorylation of the tau protein. In this study we have analyzed disease associated genetic variants in each of these genes for association with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) A β or tau levels in 602 samples from two independent CSF series. We failed to detect association between CSF A β_{42} levels and SNPs in *SORL1* despite substantial statistical power to detect association. While we also failed to detect association between variants in *GAB2* and CSF tau levels, power to detect this association was limited. Finally, our data suggest that the minor allele of rs2986017, in *CALHM1*, is marginally associated with CSF A β_{42} levels. This association is consistent with previous reports that this non-synonymous coding substitution results in increased A β levels *in vitro* and provides support for an A β -related mechanism for modulating risk for AD.

Keywords

Alzheimer's disease; genetics; association; endophenotypes; amyloid; tau; *CALHM1*; *SORL1*; *GAB2*

Introduction

Although it has been demonstrated that late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) has a strong genetic component, until recently, only the ɛ4 allele of apolipoprotein E has been convincingly demonstrated to influence risk for LOAD[1]. Recent studies have identified several new and promising candidate genes[2–6]. In this manuscript we evaluate variation in GRB-associated binding protein 2 (GAB2), calcium homeostasis modulator 1 (*CALHM1*) and sortilin-related receptor (*SORL1*) for association with the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)

^{**}Some of the data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. ADNI investigators include (complete listing available at http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/About/About_Investigators.shtml).

Corresponding Author: Alison M. Goate, PhD, Samuel & Mae S. Ludwig Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110. goatea@psychiatry.wustl.edu, Phone: 314-362-8691 Fax: 314-747-2983. *Co-first authors with equal contributions.

Kauwe et al.

biomarkers that they are predicted to influence. As of February 24th, 2009 SNPs from each of these genes are ranked in the top 27 hits on Alzgene.org [1]. In 2007, Coon et al identified GAB2 (MIM#606203) as a genetic risk factor for LOAD especially in APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carriers[7]. GAB2 is the principal activator of phosphatidylinositol-3, which, through Akt, may regulate glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta, affecting tau phosphorylation. GAB2 is over-expressed in pathologically vulnerable neurons; and the GAB2 protein was detected in neurons, tanglebearing neurons and dystrophic neurites. Reiman et al [6] postulated that GAB2 could contribute to AD pathology through a tau-dependent mechanism, because inhibition of GAB2 expression with siRNA resulted in an increase in tau phosphorylation. Attempts to replicate these findings resulted in a mixture of negative and positive findings, with no present consensus [8-12]. The CALHM1 gene (MIM#612234) was identified as a novel AD susceptibility gene using a multifaceted approach combining information from gene expression and genetic studies[4]. Functional studies have shown that the risk variant results in decreased permeability to calcium ions, lowering the intracellular calcium ion levels, ultimately leading to an increase in amyloid- β (A β) peptide[4]. This finding was initially replicated in independent datasets[4]. Subsequent studies, however, have failed to replicate the association of CALHM1 with AD in case-control or in family-based analyses[11,13–16], and a recent study failed to detect association between rs2986017 in CALHM1 for association with CSF biomarker levels in a small sample of LOAD and other dementia cases[17]. Genetic association between SORL1 (MIM#602005) and AD was also identified because of its reduced expression in brain tissue from individuals with Alzheimer disease compared to healthy controls and its correlation between the SORL1 protein level and $A\beta$ production[18]. Intronic variants in SORL1 that regulate tissue-specific levels of SORL1 mRNA were later shown to be associated with risk for AD[5]. SORL1 can bind to APOE and, as a sorting and trafficking protein, guides β -amyloid precursor protein (APP) into the endosome recycling pathway, resulting in reduced A β production. Association of SORL1 has been replicated in several different populations including Caucasians[19–21], Asians[22], Africans[23] and Hispanics[24,25]. Several other studies have failed to detect association between SNPs in SORL1 and risk for AD[7,19,26,27]. Two studies have evaluated genetic variants in SORL1 for association with CSF A β levels[25,28] with one failing to detect association[28] and the other detecting some evidence of association[25]. Lack of consistent replication for each of these genes is a common occurrence in the study of complex phenotypes and may be indicative of inadequate power resulting from small sample size and/or genetic and environmental heterogeneity.

The use of CSF biomarker levels for genetic studies of AD may provide increased statistical power and important insight into the biological mechanisms by which these variants modulate risk for disease. Our previous results suggest that both 42 amino acid A β (A β_{42}) and tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (ptau_{181}) levels can be effectively used as endophenotypes, or intermediate traits, to study genetic risk for AD[29–31]. Based on previously reported functional studies, we have tested for association of SNPs in *CALHM1* and *SORL1* with CSF A β_{42} levels and SNPs in *GAB2* with CSF ptau₁₈₁ levels in a total of 602 samples from two independent CSF series.

Materials and Methods

Samples

CSF for the Washington University in St. Louis (WU) series was collected from 345 individuals by lumbar puncture after overnight fasting. CSF collection, processing, and CSF biomarker measurements were performed as described previously[32]. Sample characteristics, including age, clinical dementia rating, gender, *APOE c*4 status and mean and standard deviation of the CSF biomarkers can be found in table 1. Qualified scientists

may request these data through the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium (http://alois.med.upenn.edu/adgc/) or by direct request to the authors.

Data from 257 samples with biomarker data from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) were also used. Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the ADNI database (www.loni.ucla.edu\ADNI). The Principal Investigator of this initiative is Michael W. Weiner, M.D., VA Medical Center and University of California – San Francisco. ADNI is the result of efforts of many co-investigators from a broad range of academic institutions and private corporations, and subjects have been recruited from over 50 sites across the U.S. and Canada. The initial goal of ADNI was to recruit 800 adults, ages 55 to 90, to participate in the research -- approximately 200 cognitively normal older individuals to be followed for 3 years, 400 people with MCI to be followed for 3 years, and 200 people with early AD to be followed for 2 years." For up-to-date information see www.adni-info.org. Sample characteristics, including age, clinical dementia rating, gender, *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ status and mean and standard deviation of the CSF biomarkers can be found in table 1. ADNI phenotype and GWAS data are publically available (www.loni.ucla.edu\ADNI). The genotypes from this study will be provided upon request to the authors.

While both studies measured biomarker values in a rigorous manner with internal standards and controls that ensure consistent and reliable measurements[32,33] there are differences between the measured values in the WU and ADNI samples. This is due to differences in the antibodies and measurement technologies employed to measure the samples (e.g. standard ELISA with Innotest in the WU samples, Luminex with AlzInnoBio3 in the ADNI samples). In addition, differences in ascertainment (more AD cases, older individuals in the ADNI sample) or handling of the CSF after collection (e.g., number of freeze-thaw cycles prior to analysis) could account for some of the variation in the biomarker measurements between the two samples. CSF biomarkers in the two samples show association with similar covariates (table 2). Age is not a significant covariate in the ADNI samples, but this is likely due to the low variability in age in the ADNI samples.

SNP selection

SNPs in *CALHM1* and *GAB2* were selected directly from the initial reports of association. For *CALHM1* we genotyped rs2986017 which was reported to show association with risk for AD and shown to influence A β levels[4]. For *GAB2* we genotyped three SNPs (rs2373115, rs7115850 and rs4291702) that show significant association with risk for AD[6]. For *SORL1* a large number of SNPs were genotyped in the original report, and several of these variants have been reported to show association with AD in various reports[5,19,20,22,23,27,34–38]. We genotyped seven SNPs (rs668387, rs689021, rs641120, rs2070045, rs2282649, rs3824968 and rs661057) that showed significant association with AD in the AlzGene meta-analyses of published studies AD on October 27th, 2008[1].

Analysis

We used stepwise discriminant analysis to identify the significant covariates for CSF $A\beta_{42}$, ptau₁₈₁ levels. CDR and *APOE* ϵ 4 genotype were significantly associated with CSF $A\beta_{42}$ and ptau₁₈₁ in both the WU and ADNI series (table 2). In the WU sample age also showed association with CSF $A\beta_{42}$ and ptau₁₈₁ (table 2). In the WU CSF series $A\beta_{42}$ levels were tested for association with the additive genetic model of each SNP after adjustment for the appropriate covariates (age, APOE ϵ 4 genotype and CDR). In the ADNI series CSF $A\beta_{42}$ levels are not normally distributed and simple transformations failed to approximate a normal distribution. For analysis of this variable in the ADNI sample we used the SAS macro npar, a nonparametric method to include covariates (APOE ϵ 4 genotype and CDR) in

the test for association[39]. For the A β_{42} combined analysis the WU and ADNI series were each standardized to zero, then combined and analyzed using site, age, APOE ε 4 genotype and CDR as covariates in the SAS macro npar[39]. For the analyses in the ADNI and combined samples we report the ANOVA test statistic with covariates from npar.

CSF ptau₁₈₁ was log–log transformed to approximate a normal distribution. Analysis of the covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test for association between genotypes and CSF ptau₁₈₁ levels after adjustment for the appropriate covariates. Because the CSF ptau₁₈₁ levels in the WU and ADNI samples were measured using different platforms (Innotest plate ELISA and the AlzBia3 bead-based ELISA, respectively) we were not able to combine the raw data, rather we combined the residual values of the CSF ptau₁₈₁ obtained after correcting for the covariates then analyzed the data using ANCOVA with site as a covariate.

The evaluations of CSF biomarker associations for each gene are based on specific predictions from published papers concerning the SNP(s) in the report and the published biological data. Based on these gene specific hypotheses we applied a Bonferroni correction for multiple tests within each gene. This yielded a Bonferroni corrected alpha of 0.05 for *CALHM1*, 0.0083 for *GAB2* and 0.0071 for *SORL1*.

Power analyses

We used proc power in SAS to perform power analyses for the overall F test in a one-way, three group analysis of variance. Power was calculated separately for each SNP using genotype counts from the combined sample. All power analyses were performed specifying an alpha of 0.05 and the effect size we observed for rs2986017 (a 1.05 fold difference in mean biomarker levels). This effect size is equivalent to a delta (difference between the group means in units of standard deviation) of approximately 0.6. We also calculated the effect size (delta) at which there was 80% power to detect an association for each SNP using proc power in SAS.

Results

Analyses of rs2986017 in *CALHM1* detected marginally significant association with CSF A β_{42} levels. The minor allele, T, showed a correlation with increased CSF A β_{42} levels in the WU sample (p=0.042) and in the combined sample (p=0.0095; figure 1). This correlation was mainly driven by homozygous carriers of the "T" allele. The increase in sample size, and therefore statistical power, combined with the fact that the ADNI data shows a trend in the same direction in which significant association was observed in the WU series results in a more significant effect in the combined series than in the WU or ADNI series alone. We failed to detect significant associations in the SNPs from *SORL1* and *GAB2* in both the individual and combined samples (table 3; supplemental materials). We had greater than 90% power to detect a 1.05 fold difference in biomarker levels for rs661057, rs668387, rs689021, rs1010159, rs2282649 and rs3824968 from *SORL1* by our power calculations. For these variants we would have 80% power with delta values of 0.33–0.52. Due to differences in allele frequency, statistical power for rs2070045 was 75%. Power at delta of 0.6 in the *GAB2* SNPs was approximately 75% in the total sample but only 0.33 in the *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ carriers. Power values for all SNPs are shown in table 3.

Discussion

Our data suggest that rs2986017 is significantly associated with CSF $A\beta_{42}$ levels but failed to detect association between CSF $A\beta_{42}$ levels and SNPs in *SORL1* or CSF ptau₁₈₁ levels and SNPs in GAB2. Giedraitis et al. (2009) failed to detect association between rs2986017 and CSF biomarker levels in a sample of 186 individuals with AD or other cognitive

Kauwe et al.

disorders[17]. This study differs from our study in several important ways. First, the Giedraitis et al study did not include covariates, such as *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ genotype and CDR, which show strong association with CSF A β_{42} levels in our study (table 2) and other studies [40–42], in its analyses. Second, power to detect a 1.2-fold increase in CSF A β_{42} levels in the Giedraitis et al was reported to be 0.84. In contrast our study, which included more than three times as many samples, has power of 0.94 to detect a 1.05-fold difference in CSF A β_{42} levels (and power greater than 0.99 to detect a 1.20 fold difference). Finally, CSF A β_{42} levels are decreased in AD cases but not necessarily with other cognitive impairments due to other diseases or disorders. A sample of AD cases is likely to have lower overall CSF A β_{42} levels and less variance in CSF A β_{42} levels than a sample of controls (see table 1).

Several recent reports failed to detect evidence replicating the initial report of association between risk for LOAD and rs2986017. While our data do not directly address the association with AD risk, they do suggest that the minor allele", of rs2986017 is significantly associated with higher CSF A β_{42} . This finding is consistent with previous data suggesting that in vitro the "T" allele, which also increases risk for AD, results in increased A β_{42} levels[4]. The direction of this association is different from that of the APOE ε 4 allele, where carriers show a strong and highly significant decrease in CSF A β 42 levels, but similar to the effect observed for the PSEN1 A79V Familial AD mutation, where CSF data from a living mutation carrier (clinically unaffected) and findings from in vitro experiments showed significantly increased CSF A β_{42} levels in the presence of the mutation[30]. At present it is difficult to predict the expected direction of a genetic association with CSF A β levels as it appears that those changes may be specific to the risk mechanism of the variant and the point of progression through the disease process.

In 2008, a small study using data from 153 AD cases reported association between CSF $A\beta_{42}$ levels and SNPs in *SORL1*[25]. A more recent report using CSF from approximately 700 AD cases failed to detect association between CSF $A\beta_{42}$ and 6 SNPs in *SORL1*. Our study, which included CSF from 602 cases and controls, failed to detect evidence for association between SNPs in *SORL1* and $A\beta_{42}$. Power was excellent for an effect of the magnitude detected in *CALHM1* (delta=0.60) and 80% power extended down to delta of about half that size. In addition, the inclusion of a large number of controls in our analysis provides much greater variance in $A\beta_{42}$ levels than a cases-only sample. These calculations suggest it is likely that we would have detected effects of variants in *SORL1* on CSF $A\beta_{42}$. Unfortunately we did not have measurements of other $A\beta$ species, such as $A\beta_{40}$ in the ADNI series and therefore could not address the possibility of an effect on other $A\beta$ fragments.

We failed to detect evidence of association between SNPs in *GAB2* and ptau₁₈₁. Power was sufficient (80%) to detect a 1.05 fold difference in the total sample but is poor (0.33) in the *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ positive subgroup, making it unlikely that we would have detected any effects in this sub-group.

In summary, we have detected marginally significant association between rs2986017, a putative functional SNP within *CALMH1*, and CSF A β_{42} levels. Our result does not directly address association with risk for LOAD but is consistent with previous reports suggesting that this non-synonymous coding substitution results in increased A β levels *in vitro*. While the signal appears consistent in the combined WU and ADNI datasets, the association is modest and it remains possible that it represents a false positive association. We failed to detect association between SNPs in *SORL1* and CSF A β_{42} levels despite substantial statistical power. Both our findings and those of another report from a large CSF sample fail to detect association between *SORL1* and CSF A β_{42} levels. This report, along with our previous work further illustrates the possible utility of using CSF endophenotypes to

evaluate and understand the biological mechanisms by which variants might modulate risk for AD.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (P50-AG05681, J.C.M.; P01-AG03991, J.C.M.; P01-AG026276, J.C.M.; R01-AG16208, A.M.G.; P30-N5057105, D.M.H.; 1-TL1-RR024995-01 and 1-KL2-RR024994-01, Washington University) the Barnes Jewish Foundation and the American Health Assistance Foundation (A.M.G.). This publication was made possible in part by grant number UL1 RR024992 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of NCRR or NIH. For a portion of the period during which this research was performed J.S.K.K. was a Hope Center Fellow supported by the Hope Center for Neurological Disorders and National Institutes of Health Grant T32 MH14677. C.C. is supported by a fellowship from the "Fundacion Alfonso Martin Escudero". The Institutional Review Boards of each appropriate institution approved sample and data collection. The authors gratefully acknowledge the individuals who participated in this study. The authors also acknowledge the contributions of the Genetics, Clinical, Psychometric, Biomarker and Biostatistics Cores of the Washington University Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Manti Su'a of Brigham Young University.

Data collection and sharing for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI; Principal Investigator: Michael Weiner; NIH grant U01 AG024904) is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB), and through generous contributions from the following: Pfizer Inc., Wyeth Research, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly and Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck & Co. Inc., AstraZeneca AB, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Alzheimer's Association, Eisai Global Clinical Development, Elan Corporation plc, Forest Laboratories, and the Institute for the Study of Aging, with participation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Industry partnerships are coordinated through the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health. The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory of Neuro Imaging at the University of California, Los Angeles (http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/).

References

- Bertram L, McQueen MB, Mullin K, Blacker D, Tanzi RE. Systematic meta-analyses of Alzheimer disease genetic association studies: the AlzGene database. Nat Genet 2007;39:17–23. [PubMed: 17192785]
- 2. Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, Hiltunen M, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Bullido MJ, Tavernier B, Letenneur L, Bettens K, Berr C, Pasquier F, Fievet N, Barberger-Gateau P, Engelborghs S, De Deyn P, Mateo I, Franck A, Helisalmi S, Porcellini E, Hanon O, de Pancorbo MM, Lendon C, Dufouil C, Jaillard C, Leveillard T, Alvarez V, Bosco P, Mancuso M, Panza F, Nacmias B, Bossu P, Piccardi P, Annoni G, Seripa D, Galimberti D, Hannequin D, Licastro F, Soininen H, Ritchie K, Blanche H, Dartigues JF, Tzourio C, Gut I, Van Broeckhoven C, Alperovitch A, Lathrop M, Amouyel P. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 2009;41:1094–1099. [PubMed: 19734903]
- 3. Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Williams A, Jones N, Thomas C, Stretton A, Morgan AR, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Morgan K, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, Mann D, Smith AD, Love S, Kehoe PG, Hardy J, Mead S, Fox N, Rossor M, Collinge J, Maier W, Jessen F, Schurmann B, van den Bussche H, Heuser I, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Dichgans M, Frolich L, Hampel H, Hull M, Rujescu D, Goate AM, Kauwe JS, Cruchaga C, Nowotny P, Morris JC, Mayo K, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP, Van Broeckhoven C, Livingston G, Bass NJ, Gurling H, McQuillin A, Gwilliam R, Deloukas P, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Tsolaki M, Singleton AB, Guerreiro R, Muhleisen TW, Nothen MM, Moebus S, Jockel KH, Klopp N, Wichmann HE, Carrasquillo MM, Pankratz VS, Younkin SG, Holmans PA, O'Donovan M, Owen MJ, Williams J. Genome-wide

association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 2009;41:1088–1093. [PubMed: 19734902]

- 4. Dreses-Werringloer U, Lambert JC, Vingtdeux V, Zhao H, Vais H, Siebert A, Jain A, Koppel J, Rovelet-Lecrux A, Hannequin D, Pasquier F, Galimberti D, Scarpini E, Mann D, Lendon C, Campion D, Amouyel P, Davies P, Foskett JK, Campagne F, Marambaud P. A polymorphism in CALHM1 influences Ca2+ homeostasis, Abeta levels, and Alzheimer's disease risk. Cell 2008;133:1149–1161. [PubMed: 18585350]
- 5. Rogaeva E, Meng Y, Lee JH, Gu Y, Kawarai T, Zou F, Katayama T, Baldwin CT, Cheng R, Hasegawa H, Chen F, Shibata N, Lunetta KL, Pardossi-Piquard R, Bohm C, Wakutani Y, Cupples LA, Cuenco KT, Green RC, Pinessi L, Rainero I, Sorbi S, Bruni A, Duara R, Friedland RP, Inzelberg R, Hampe W, Bujo H, Song YQ, Andersen OM, Willnow TE, Graff-Radford N, Petersen RC, Dickson D, Der SD, Fraser PE, Schmitt-Ulms G, Younkin S, Mayeux R, Farrer LA, St George-Hyslop P. The neuronal sortilin-related receptor SORL1 is genetically associated with Alzheimer disease. Nat Genet 2007;39:168–177. [PubMed: 17220890]
- 6. Reiman EM, Webster JA, Myers AJ, Hardy J, Dunckley T, Zismann VL, Joshipura KD, Pearson JV, Hu-Lince D, Huentelman MJ, Craig DW, Coon KD, Liang WS, Herbert RH, Beach T, Rohrer KC, Zhao AS, Leung D, Bryden L, Marlowe L, Kaleem M, Mastroeni D, Grover A, Heward CB, Ravid R, Rogers J, Hutton ML, Melquist S, Petersen RC, Alexander GE, Caselli RJ, Kukull W, Papassotiropoulos A, Stephan DA. GAB2 alleles modify Alzheimer's risk in APOE epsilon4 carriers. Neuron 2007;54:713–720. [PubMed: 17553421]
- 7. Coon KD, Myers AJ, Craig DW, Webster JA, Pearson JV, Lince DH, Zismann VL, Beach TG, Leung D, Bryden L, Halperin RF, Marlowe L, Kaleem M, Walker DG, Ravid R, Heward CB, Rogers J, Papassotiropoulos A, Reiman EM, Hardy J, Stephan DA. A high-density whole-genome association study reveals that APOE is the major susceptibility gene for sporadic late-onset Alzheimer's disease. J Clin Psychiatry 2007;68:613–618. [PubMed: 17474819]
- Nacmias B, Tedde A, Bagnoli S, Cellini E, Guarnieri BM, Piacentini S, Sorbi S. Implication of GAB2 gene polymorphism in Italian patients with Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2009;16:513–515. [PubMed: 19276544]
- Schjeide BM, Hooli B, Parkinson M, Hogan MF, DiVito J, Mullin K, Blacker D, Tanzi RE, Bertram L. GAB2 as an Alzheimer disease susceptibility gene: follow-up of genomewide association results. Arch Neurol 2009;66:250–254. [PubMed: 19204163]
- Ikram MA, Liu F, Oostra BA, Hofman A, van Duijn CM, Breteler MM. The GAB2 gene and the risk of Alzheimer's disease: replication and meta-analysis. Biol Psychiatry 2009;65:995–999. [PubMed: 19118819]
- Sleegers K, Brouwers N, Bettens K, Engelborghs S, van Miegroet H, De Deyn PP, Van Broeckhoven C. No association between CALHM1 and risk for Alzheimer dementia in a Belgian population. Hum Mutat 2009;30:E570–E574. [PubMed: 19191332]
- Chapuis J, Hannequin D, Pasquier F, Bentham P, Brice A, Leber I, Frebourg T, Deleuze JF, Cousin E, Thaker U, Amouyel P, Mann D, Lendon C, Campion D, Lambert JC. Association study of the GAB2 gene with the risk of developing Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Dis 2008;30:103–106. [PubMed: 18272374]
- 13. Inoue K, Tanaka N, Yamashita F, Sawano Y, Asada T, Goto YI. The P86L common allele of CALHM1 does not influence risk for Alzheimer disease in Japanese cohorts. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2009
- Beecham GW, Schnetz-Boutaud N, Haines JL, Pericak-Vance MA. CALHM1 polymorphism is not associated with late-onset Alzheimer disease. Ann Hum Genet 2009;73:379–381. [PubMed: 19472444]
- Minster RL, Demirci FY, DeKosky ST, Kamboh MI. No association between CALHM1 variation and risk of Alzheimer disease. Hum Mutat 2009;30:E566–E569. [PubMed: 19191331]
- Bertram L, Schjeide BM, Hooli B, Mullin K, Hiltunen M, Soininen H, Ingelsson M, Lannfelt L, Blacker D, Tanzi RE. No association between CALHM1 and Alzheimer's disease risk. Cell 2008;135:993–994. author reply 994–996. [PubMed: 19070563]
- 17. Giedraitis V, Glaser A, Sarajarvi T, Brundin R, Gunnarsson MD, Schjeide BM, Tanzi RE, Helisalmi S, Pirttila T, Kilander L, Lannfelt L, Soininen H, Bertram L, Ingelsson M, Hiltunen M.

CALHM1 P86L polymorphism does not alter amyloid-beta or tau in cerebrospinal fluid. Neurosci Lett 469:265–267. [PubMed: 20005921]

- Scherzer CR, Offe K, Gearing M, Rees HD, Fang G, Heilman CJ, Schaller C, Bujo H, Levey AI, Lah JJ. Loss of apolipoprotein E receptor LR11 in Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2004;61:1200– 1205. [PubMed: 15313836]
- Li Y, Rowland C, Catanese J, Morris J, Lovestone S, O'Donovan MC, Goate A, Owen M, Williams J, Grupe A. SORL1 variants and risk of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Dis 2008;29:293–296. [PubMed: 17949987]
- Bettens K, Brouwers N, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP, Van Broeckhoven C, Sleegers K. SORL1 is genetically associated with increased risk for late-onset Alzheimer disease in the Belgian population. Hum Mutat 2008;29:769–770. [PubMed: 18407551]
- 21. Feulner TM, Laws SM, Friedrich P, Wagenpfeil S, Wurst SH, Riehle C, Kuhn KA, Krawczak M, Schreiber S, Nikolaus S, Forstl H, Kurz A, Riemenschneider M. Examination of the current top candidate genes for AD in a genome-wide association study. Mol Psychiatry. 2009
- Kimura R, Yamamoto M, Morihara T, Akatsu H, Kudo T, Kamino K, Takeda M. SORL1 is genetically associated with Alzheimer disease in a Japanese population. Neurosci Lett 2009;461:177–180. [PubMed: 19539718]
- Lee JH, Cheng R, Schupf N, Manly J, Lantigua R, Stern Y, Rogaeva E, Wakutani Y, Farrer L, St George-Hyslop P, Mayeux R. The association between genetic variants in SORL1 and Alzheimer disease in an urban, multiethnic, community-based cohort. Arch Neurol 2007;64:501–506. [PubMed: 17420311]
- 24. Birney E, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Dutta A, Guigo R, Gingeras TR, Margulies EH, Weng Z, Snyder M, Dermitzakis ET, Thurman RE, Kuehn MS, Taylor CM, Neph S, Koch CM, Asthana S, Malhotra A, Adzhubei I, Greenbaum JA, Andrews RM, Flicek P, Boyle PJ, Cao H, Carter NP, Clelland GK, Davis S, Day N, Dhami P, Dillon SC, Dorschner MO, Fiegler H, Giresi PG, Goldy J, Hawrylycz M, Haydock A, Humbert R, James KD, Johnson BE, Johnson EM, Frum TT, Rosenzweig ER, Karnani N, Lee K, Lefebvre GC, Navas PA, Neri F, Parker SC, Sabo PJ, Sandstrom R, Shafer A, Vetrie D, Weaver M, Wilcox S, Yu M, Collins FS, Dekker J, Lieb JD, Tullius TD, Crawford GE, Sunyaev S, Noble WS, Dunham I, Denoeud F, Reymond A, Kapranov P, Rozowsky J, Zheng D, Castelo R, Frankish A, Harrow J, Ghosh S, Sandelin A, Hofacker IL, Baertsch R, Keefe D, Dike S, Cheng J, Hirsch HA, Sekinger EA, Lagarde J, Abril JF, Shahab A, Flamm C, Fried C, Hackermuller J, Hertel J, Lindemeyer M, Missal K, Tanzer A, Washietl S, Korbel J, Emanuelsson O, Pedersen JS, Holroyd N, Taylor R, Swarbreck D, Matthews N, Dickson MC, Thomas DJ, Weirauch MT, Gilbert J, Drenkow J, Bell I, Zhao X, Srinivasan KG, Sung WK, Ooi HS, Chiu KP, Foissac S, Alioto T, Brent M, Pachter L, Tress ML, Valencia A, Choo SW, Choo CY, Ucla C, Manzano C, Wyss C, Cheung E, Clark TG, Brown JB, Ganesh M, Patel S, Tammana H, Chrast J, Henrichsen CN, Kai C, Kawai J, Nagalakshmi U, Wu J, Lian Z, Lian J, Newburger P, Zhang X, Bickel P, Mattick JS, Carninci P, Hayashizaki Y, Weissman S, Hubbard T, Myers RM, Rogers J, Stadler PF, Lowe TM, Wei CL, Ruan Y, Struhl K, Gerstein M, Antonarakis SE, Fu Y, Green ED, Karaoz U, Siepel A, Taylor J, Liefer LA, Wetterstrand KA, Good PJ, Feingold EA, Guyer MS, Cooper GM, Asimenos G, Dewey CN, Hou M, Nikolaev S, Montoya-Burgos JI, Loytynoja A, Whelan S, Pardi F, Massingham T, Huang H, Zhang NR, Holmes I, Mullikin JC, Ureta-Vidal A, Paten B, Seringhaus M, Church D, Rosenbloom K, Kent WJ, Stone EA, Batzoglou S, Goldman N, Hardison RC, Haussler D, Miller W, Sidow A, Trinklein ND, Zhang ZD, Barrera L, Stuart R, King DC, Ameur A, Enroth S, Bieda MC, Kim J, Bhinge AA, Jiang N, Liu J, Yao F, Vega VB, Lee CW, Ng P, Shahab A, Yang A, Moqtaderi Z, Zhu Z, Xu X, Squazzo S, Oberley MJ, Inman D, Singer MA, Richmond TA, Munn KJ, Rada-Iglesias A, Wallerman O, Komorowski J, Fowler JC, Couttet P, Bruce AW, Dovey OM, Ellis PD, Langford CF, Nix DA, Euskirchen G, Hartman S, Urban AE, Kraus P, Van Calcar S, Heintzman N, Kim TH, Wang K, Qu C, Hon G, Luna R, Glass CK, Rosenfeld MG, Aldred SF, Cooper SJ, Halees A, Lin JM, Shulha HP, Zhang X, Xu M, Haidar JN, Yu Y, Ruan Y, Iyer VR, Green RD, Wadelius C, Farnham PJ, Ren B, Harte RA, Hinrichs AS, Trumbower H, Clawson H, Hillman-Jackson J, Zweig AS, Smith K, Thakkapallayil A, Barber G, Kuhn RM, Karolchik D, Armengol L, Bird CP, de Bakker PI, Kern AD, Lopez-Bigas N, Martin JD, Stranger BE, Woodroffe A, Davydov E, Dimas A, Eyras E, Hallgrimsdottir IB, Huppert J, Zody MC, Abecasis GR, Estivill X, Bouffard GG, Guan X, Hansen NF, Idol JR, Maduro VV, Maskeri B, McDowell JC, Park M, Thomas PJ,

Young AC, Blakesley RW, Muzny DM, Sodergren E, Wheeler DA, Worley KC, Jiang H, Weinstock GM, Gibbs RA, Graves T, Fulton R, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Clamp M, Cuff J, Gnerre S, Jaffe DB, Chang JL, Lindblad-Toh K, Lander ES, Koriabine M, Nefedov M, Osoegawa K, Yoshinaga Y, Zhu B, de Jong PJ. Identification and analysis of functional elements in 1% of the human genome by the ENCODE pilot project. Nature 2007;447:798–816.

- 25. Kolsch H, Jessen F, Wiltfang J, Lewczuk P, Dichgans M, Kornhuber J, Frolich L, Heuser I, Peters O, Schulz JB, Schwab SG, Maier W. Influence of SORL1 gene variants: association with CSF amyloid-beta products in probable Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett 2008;440:68–71. [PubMed: 18541377]
- Minster RL, DeKosky ST, Neurosci MI. No association of SORL1 SNPs with Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett 2008;440:190–192. [PubMed: 18562096]
- 27. Liu F, Ikram MA, Janssens AC, Schuur M, de Koning I, Isaacs A, Struchalin M, Uitterlinden AG, den Dunnen JT, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Van Broeckhoven C, van Swieten J, Hofman A, Oostra BA, Aulchenko YS, Breteler MM, van Duijn CM. A study of the SORL1 gene in Alzheimer's disease and cognitive function. J Alzheimers Dis 2009;18:51–64. [PubMed: 19584446]
- Reynolds CA, Hong MG, Eriksson UK, Blennow K, Johansson B, Malmberg B, Berg S, Gatz M, Pedersen NL, Bennet AM, Prince JA. Sequence variation in SORL1 and dementia risk in Swedes. Neurogenetics. 2009
- Kauwe JS, Wang J, Mayo K, Morris JC, Fagan AM, Holtzman DM, Goate AM. Alzheimer's disease risk variants show association with cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta. Neurogenetics 2009;10:13–17. [PubMed: 18813964]
- 30. Kauwe JS, Jacquart S, Chakraverty S, Wang J, Mayo K, Fagan AM, Holtzman DM, Morris JC, Goate AM. Extreme cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta levels identify family with late-onset Alzheimer's disease presenilin 1 mutation. Ann Neurol 2007;61:446–453. [PubMed: 17366635]
- 31. Kauwe JS, Cruchaga C, Mayo K, Fenoglio C, Bertelsen S, Nowotny P, Galimberti D, Scarpini E, Morris JC, Fagan AM, Holtzman DM, Goate AM. Variation in MAPT is associated with cerebrospinal fluid tau levels in the presence of amyloid-beta deposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:8050–8054. [PubMed: 18541914]
- 32. Fagan AM, Mintun MA, Mach RH, Lee SY, Dence CS, Shah AR, LaRossa GN, Spinner ML, Klunk WE, Mathis CA, DeKosky ST, Morris JC, Holtzman DM. Inverse relation between in vivo amyloid imaging load and cerebrospinal fluid Abeta42 in humans. Ann Neurol 2006;59:512–519. [PubMed: 16372280]
- 33. Shaw LM, Vanderstichele H, Knapik-Czajka M, Clark CM, Aisen PS, Petersen RC, Blennow K, Soares H, Simon A, Lewczuk P, Dean R, Siemers E, Potter W, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker signature in Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative subjects. Ann Neurol. 2009
- 34. K TC, Lunetta KL, Baldwin CT, McKee AC, Guo J, Cupples LA, Green RC, St George-Hyslop PH, Chui H, DeCarli C, Farrer LA. Association of distinct variants in SORL1 with cerebrovascular and neurodegenerative changes related to Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2008;65:1640–1648. [PubMed: 19064752]
- 35. Kolsch H, Jessen F, Wiltfang J, Lewczuk P, Dichgans M, Teipel SJ, Kornhuber J, Frolich L, Heuser I, Peters O, Wiese B, Kaduszkiewicz H, van den Bussche H, Hull M, Kurz A, Ruther E, Henn FA, Maier W. Association of SORL1 gene variants with Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res 2009;1264:1–6. [PubMed: 19368828]
- Lee JH, Cheng R, Honig LS, Vonsattel JP, Clark L, Mayeux R. Association between genetic variants in SORL1 and autopsy-confirmed Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2008;70:887–889. [PubMed: 17978276]
- 37. Meng Y, Lee JH, Cheng R, St George-Hyslop P, Mayeux R, Farrer LA. Association between SORL1 and Alzheimer's disease in a genome-wide study. Neuroreport 2007;18:1761–1764. [PubMed: 18090307]
- Tan EK, Lee J, Chen CP, Teo YY, Zhao Y, Lee WL. SORL1 haplotypes modulate risk of Alzheimer's disease in Chinese. Neurobiol Aging 2009;30:1048–1051. [PubMed: 18063222]
- 39. Brunner E, Dette H, Munk A. Box-type approximations in nonparametric factorial designs. Journal of the American Statistical Association 1997;92:1494–1502.

Kauwe et al.

- 40. Smach MA, Charfeddine B, Lammouchi T, Harrabi I, Ben Othman L, Dridi H, Bennamou S, Limem K. CSF beta-amyloid 1-42 and tau in Tunisian patients with Alzheimer's disease: the effect of APOE epsilon4 allele. Neurosci Lett 2008;440:145–149. [PubMed: 18555606]
- 41. Li G, Sokal I, Quinn JF, Leverenz JB, Brodey M, Schellenberg GD, Kaye JA, Raskind MA, Zhang J, Peskind ER, Montine TJ. CSF tau/Abeta42 ratio for increased risk of mild cognitive impairment: a follow-up study. Neurology 2007;69:631–639. [PubMed: 17698783]
- 42. Fagan AM, Roe CM, Xiong C, Mintun MA, Morris JC, Holtzman DM. Cerebrospinal fluid tau/ beta-amyloid(42) ratio as a prediction of cognitive decline in nondemented older adults. Arch Neurol 2007;64:343–349. [PubMed: 17210801]



Figure 1.

Standardized CSF $A\beta_{42}$ levels and rs2986017 genotypes. Standardized CSF $A\beta_{42}$ levels by rs2986017 genotype for the WU CSF and ADNI CSF samples. Horizontal lines show the mean from the *standardized, covariate adjusted* $A\beta_{42}$ levels for the combined samples for each genotype (CC=0.95, TC=1.03, TT=1.31).

Table 1

Sample Characteristics. Sample size (N), mean and standard deviation for age, Clinical Dementia Ratings (CDR), the percentage of females in the sample (% female), percentage of the sample that carries at least one $APOE \varepsilon 4$ allele (% $\varepsilon 4$ pos) and the mean and standard deviation for A β_{42} , tau and ptaul 81 for the complete Washington University CSF sample (WU: All), cases and controls and the complete Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI: All), cases and controls are shown. CSF biomarker values are in pg/mL.

	мU			ADNI		
	ИІ	Cases	Controls	All	Cases	Controls
Z	345	97	248	257	154	103
age (SD)	68 (11)	74 (8)	66 (11)	76 (7)	75 (8)	77 (5)
CDR	0=72% 0.5=20%	All>0	All=0	0=40% 0.5=27%	All>0	All=0
	1=7% 2=1%			1=28% 2=3%		
% female	60	46	66	56	59	50
% £4pos	39	51	35	47	64	23
$A\beta_{42}(SD)$	941 (548)	676 (392)	1041 (568)	173 (58)	149 (46)	208 (55)
ptau181 (SD)	62 (33)	82 (44)	54 (23)	34 (19)	40 (19)	24 (14)

Table 2

CSF biomarkers and covariates. Associations between CSF $A\beta_{42}$ and ptau181 and age, *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ genotype ($\varepsilon 4$) and Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR). Associations in the WU sample were calculated using ANCOVA. Associations in the ADNI sample were calculated using the SAS macro npar.

		age	ε4	CDR
WU	$A\beta_{42}$	0.015	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
	ptau ₁₈₁	< 0.0001	0.0006	< 0.0001
ADNI	$A\beta_{42}$	0.79	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
	ptau ₁₈₁	0.84	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

Table 3

Association with CSF A β_{42} levels in the WU, ADNI and Combined samples. For each SNP the gene, p-value in the Washington University sample (WU), p-value in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative sample (ADNI), p-value in the combined WU and ADNI samples (COMB), Power in the combined sample when delta equals 0.6 (1.05 fold difference in biomarker levels) and delta level at which power is 80% shown.

	1													I
Delta (power=80%	0.53	0.63	1.07	0.64	1.07	0.63	1.06	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.43	0.64	0.52	0.48
Power (delta=0.6, 1.05 fold diff)	0.93	0.76	0.33	0.75	0.33	0.76	0.33	>0.99	>0.99	>0.99	0.98	0.75	0.91	0.95
COMB	0.0095	0.88	0.30	66.0	0.16	0.82	0.29	0.21	0.32	0.34	60.0	0.29	0.10	0.23
ADNI	0.68	0.46	0.43	0.38	0.17	0.38	0.32	0.53	0.65	0.53	0.17	0.43	0.16	0.18
ΜŪ	0.042	0.45	0.25	0.50	0.21	0.28	0.31	0.75	0.23	0.21	06.0	0.33	0.79	0.80
Gene	CALHMI	GAB2	GAB2	GAB2	GAB2	GAB2	GAB2	SORLI	SORLI	SORLI	SORLI	SORLI	SORLI	SORLI
٩P	\$2986017	rs2373115	**rs2373115	rs4291702	^{.*} rs4291702	^k rs7115850	**rs7115850	s661057	s668387	s689021	^{***} rs1010159	s2070045	s2282649	s3824968

J Alzheimers Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 2.

** Results are for association with CSF ptau181 levels in $APOE \varepsilon 4$ positive subgroup.

*** Results for association between this SNP and CSF biomarker levels from a subset of the WU sample were previously reported in Kauwe et al 2009.