ARTICLE

Alternative splice variants of the USH3A gene *Clarin 1* (*CLRN1*)

Hanna Västinsalo^{*,1,2}, Reetta Jalkanen^{1,2}, Astra Dinculescu³, Juha Isosomppi^{1,2}, Scott Geller⁴, John G Flannery⁴, William W Hauswirth³ and Eeva-Marja Sankila^{1,5}

Clarin 1 (CLRN1) is a four-transmembrane protein expressed in cochlear hair cells and neural retina, and when mutated it causes Usher syndrome type 3 (USH3). The main human splice variant of *CLRN1* is composed of three exons that code for a 232-aa protein. In this study, we aimed to refine the structure of *CLRN1* by an examination of transcript splice variants and promoter regions. Analysis of human retinal cDNA revealed 11 *CLRN1* splice variants, of which 5 have not been previously reported. We studied the regulation of gene expression by several promoter domains using a luciferase assay, and identified 1000 nt upstream of the translation start site of the primary *CLRN1* splice variant as the principal promoter region. Our results suggest that the *CLRN1* gene is significantly more complex than previously described. The complexity of the *CLRN1* gene and the identification of multiple splice variants may partially explain why mutations in *CLRN1* result in substantial variation in clinical phenotype.

European Journal of Human Genetics (2011) 19, 30–35; doi:10.1038/ejhg.2010.140; published online 18 August 2010

Keywords: Clarin 1; CLRN1; Usher syndrome; USH3; alternative splicing; promoter

INTRODUCTION

Usher syndrome (USH) is the most common cause of hereditary combined deaf-blindness with the prevalence of 3–6 per 100 000.¹ USH is a clinically and genetically heterogeneous disease: the three subtypes (USH1, USH2, and USH3) are distinguished by the age of onset, severity, and type of the hearing loss. Usher syndromes are caused by mutations in at least 11 genetic loci within 9 identified genes.² USH3 is characterized by progressive hearing loss, retinitis pigmentosa, and variable vestibular dysfunction.³ Worldwide, USH3 is the rarest subtype accounting for only 1–6% of all Usher syndrome cases; however, among Ashkenazi Jews and in the Finnish population, up to 40% of the USH cases have the USH3 subtype.^{3–5} The causative gene for the USH3 phenotype is *Clarin 1 (CLRN1)*.^{6–8}

Initially, the gene was called *USH3A* and was reported to contain five exons and two splice variants: the main form contained exons 1, 2, 3 (exon 3a in this article), and 4 (exon 3b in this article) with a 120-aa open reading frame (ORF) (Figure 1, 4); the second variant also included exon 1b, between exons 1 and 2, and had an ORF of 30-aa (Figure 1, 5).⁶ Subsequent studies refined the gene structure and named the gene *CLRN1*. The initially identified four-exon form was determined to be a rare splice variant, with the main splice form containing exons 0 (named for its location upstream of exon 1), 2, and 3 (exon 3a continuing into the intron between exons 3a and 3b) with 232-aa ORF (Figure 1, 1).^{7,8} To date, all of the known mutations causing USH3 are located within this three-exon main variant.^{7–9}

The *CLRN1* gene is rather conserved throughout evolution and the primary three-exon variant is ubiquitously expressed in many human tissues.^{6,8} Computer analyses predict a four-transmembrane domain

tetraspanin-like secondary/tertiary structure for the CLRN1 protein.^{7,8} When transfected into and expressed in cultured baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells under CMV promoter, the wild-type full-length CLRN1 protein is trafficked to the plasma membrane,9-11 and endogenous CLRN1 protein in UB/OC-1 immortal auditory hair cell line is trafficked to the post-trans Golgi vesicles,¹² whereas the mutated forms are retained in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER).9,11 CLRN1 has also been reported to be involved with F-actin organization¹¹ and synaptic maturation.¹² The localization has been characterized in the mouse cochlea, where Clrn1 is expressed in hair cells and spiral ganglion cells,^{7,12} and the absence of *Clrn1* in null mice leads to disorganization of the hair cell stereocilia.^{10,13} In the murine retina, Clrn1 expression was found in Müller glia,¹⁰ whereas immunohistochemical analyses suggest protein localization in the photoreceptor connecting cilia, inner segments, and ribbon synapses.¹² The pathophysiology of USH3 remains unexplained. This study was conducted to elucidate the complete gene structure of CLRN1: its promoter regions, alternative splice variants, and the possible implications of these splice variants on CLRN1 function.

METHODS

Amplification and sequencing of alternative splice variants

Alternative *CLRN1* splice variants were amplified from Human retinal cDNA library (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) with several combinations of primers (The representative primers used to obtain the previously known and the novel splice variants reported in this study are given in Supplementary Table 1; others are available upon request.), variable annealing temperatures, and different DNA polymerases (Advantage-GC 2 (Clontech); AmpliTaq Gold

¹The Folkhälsan Institute of Genetics, Biomedicum Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; ²Department of Medical Genetics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; ³Powell Gene Therapy Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; ⁴Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA; ⁵Helsinki University Eye Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

^{*}Correspondence: H Västinsalo, Folkhälsan Institute of Genetics, Biomedicum Helsinki, PO BOX 63, 00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. Tel: +358-9-191 25076; Fax: +358-9-191 25073; E-mail: hanna.vastinsalo@helsinki.fi

Received 22 February 2010; revised 9 July 2010; accepted 16 July 2010; published online 18 August 2010




Figure 1 Known and novel splice variants of *CLRN1*. (1) NM_174878, the main *CLRN1* variant comprises exons 0, 2, and 3. The 5' UTR and 3' UTRs are not counted in the exon nucleotide number (in brackets). Known human *CLRN1* splice variants or EST sequences from gene databanks: (4) NM_052995, the original *CLRN1* variant with exons 1, 2, 3a, and 3b reported in 2001; (5) AF388368, coding region only 30-aa long; (6) BM666773 found from retina, exons 1 and 2 are coded in an alternative reading frame compared with the other splice variants, and a stop codon appears in this frame in exon 2 (presence of a stop codon marked with a red star); (10) BX491536, exon 0 open reading frame (ORF) continues to the intron until stop codon, potentially codes for 87-aa protein; and (11) CV570593, exon 2 continues to the intron, codes for a potentially 167-aa long protein. Novel splice variants found in human retina cDNA library (marked with an asterisk): (2) HM626132, main variant with added exon 2b; (3) HM626133, main variant with added exons 0b and 2b; and (7–9) HM626134, HM626135 and HM626136, splice variants between exons 0 and 1b. *CLRN1* exon 2 is also connected by splicing to three EST sequences downstream of *CLRN1*. Downstream EST sequences from databanks are (15) BE673203, (16) DV080481, and (17) DV080691. The 5' UTR is unknown, but presumed in this figure to start from exon 2. Possible ORFs in these variants (12–14: HM626137, HM626138, and HM626139) are depicted as arrows. ORFs that continue the same ORF as in *CLRN1* exon 2 are depicted as green arrows, reading frames in blue and red begin from exon 2, but are not in the same ORF as *CLRN1*. Two ORFs running in opposite direction than *CLRN1* ORF are depicted as orange in either solid or dashed line. The exon and intron sizes are not drawn in scale.

(Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA); FastStart Taq (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland); and Titanium Taq (Clontech)). cDNA from human retinal pigment epithelial cell line (ARPE-19) was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and isolated according to Roomets *et al*,¹⁴ human cochlear cDNA was a gift from Dr Frans Cremers, and additional tissue-specific cDNAs were from Human Multiple Tissue cDNA panels I and II (Clontech). These cDNAs were used to study the tissue-specific expression of alternative splice variants. The amplified products were electrophoresed through agarose gels and any amplified DNA sequences that differed in size from the main variant were collected using a Qiaquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The purified amplicons were cloned using TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and subsequently sequenced using BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems).

In silico studies of promoter regions

We searched for promoter region conservation between human and mouse with William Pearson's *lalign* program (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/LALIGN_form.html)¹⁵ and ClustalW2 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html).¹⁶ Transcription factor binding sites were predicted with TESS

(http://www.cbil.upenn.edu/tess)¹⁷ and EMBOSS CpG island detection prediction (http://emboss.ch.embnet.org/wEMBOSS/)¹⁸ software packages. Transmembrane regions of splice variants were predicted using TMHMM (http:// www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/)¹⁹ and TMpred (http://www.ch.embnet. org/software/TMPRED_form.html)²⁰ programs.

Promoter analysis

Candidate promoter regions that were identified *in silico* were further analyzed for promoter activity *in vitro*. The 1550 nt region upstream of the first exon of the primary transcript, exon 0, showed the most potential *in silico* and the rest of the *in vitro* studied promoters were set to the same size. We cloned the 1550 nt segments in front of exons 0, 1, 2, and 3a into a pGluc-Basic vector (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and used pCMV-Gluc control plasmid (New England BioLabs) as a positive control. The vector constructs were then transfected in triplicate with Fugene 6 transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostics) into BHK-21 cells (CCL-10, ATCC). The promoter activities in transfected cells were studied using Gaussian Luciferase Assay kit (New England Biolabs) and Victor 2 Wallac 1420 multilabel counter (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) at 48 h after transfection. 31

RESULTS

Alternative splice variants

We found 10 alternative CLRN1 splice variants in addition to the main variant containing exons 0, 2, and 3. Five of these variants were novel. Alternative splice variants either included new exons, excluded previously known ones, or were found to use alternative 3' splice sites (Figure 1, 2–11). All of these variants included at least one of the three exons belonging to the main variant (Figure 1, 1). Each of the exons 0, 2, and 3 have alternative 3' splice sites leading to elongated exon 0 (transcript 0 long, 0L; Figure 1, 10), elongated exon 2 (transcript 0-2 long, 2L; Figure 1, 11), and shortened exon 3 (3a in original 1-2-3a-3b transcript; Figure 1, 4), respectively. There are interrupting stop codons in exons 0b, 1b, and in exon 2 of the 0-1-2-3 splice variant (Figure 1, 3, 5–9). Splice variants, 0-2-3, 1-2-3a-3b, 1-1b-2-3, 0-1-2-3, 0L, and 0-2L, were also identified in existing gene sequence databases. The splice variants 0-2-2b-3 (HM626132), 0-0b-2-2b-3 (HM626133), 0-1b (HM626134), 0-0b-1-1b (HM626135), and 0-0b-1b (HM626136) described in this study are novel (Table 1). We sequenced the additional CLRN1 exons (except 0b because of early stop codon) for sequence changes from approximately 65 USH patients with a phenotype compatible with USH3 and no mutations in the exons of the main variant (0, 2, and 3), but we were unable to identify mutations in exons not included in the main variant. We also found splice variants in human retinal cDNA that contained CLRN1 exon 2, which was connected by splicing to exons from EST sequences BE673203, DV080481, and DV080691 located downstream from CLRN1. The 5' ends of these transcripts remain unknown, and therefore it remains unclear as to whether these sequences are translated into protein. There are, however, ORFs in all these splice variants (Figure 1, 12-14). All the intron-exon splice sites in CLRN1 splice variants follow the GT-AG rule.²¹ Most of the splice variants within the CLRN1 gene contain predicted transmembrane regions (Supplementary Figure 1).

All the splice variants were initially detected from human retinal cDNA. The main variant was expressed in several tissues (Supplementary Figure 2) including retinal pigment epithelial ARPE-19 cells (data not shown), retina, and cochlea. Other tissues such as heart, lung, skeletal muscle, spleen, thymus, and peripheral blood leukocytes had either very weak or unmeasurable expression

Table	1	Splice	sites	and	sizes	of	alternative	exons	and	introns

(Supplementary Figure 2). Splice variant 0-2-2b-3, which most likely encodes a functional protein isoform as it only changes the primary product by 13-aa (Supplementary Figure 1b), was detected in the retina, cochlea, heart, brain, placenta, lung, skeletal muscle, pancreas, and ovary (Supplementary Figure 2).

Promoter region analysis

Apart from one CpG island that was detected -4400 nt 5' of exon 1, which is not included in the main splice variant, no detectable CpG islands were found from the studied *CLRN1* promoter regions (newcpgreport and newcpgseek; EMBOSS). *In silico* predictions (TESS) found several potential transcription factor binding sites, including C/EBP, GATA, H1, Sp1, TBP, YY1, and WT1-KTS upstream of exon 0; C/EBP, GATA, H1, TBP, and YY1 upstream of exon 2; CACCC, H1, Sp1, and YY1 upstream of exon 1; and CACCC, Sp1, and YY1 upstream of exon 3 (Figure 2).

Promoter constructs (5' 1550 nt long sequences upstream of translated regions of exons 0, 1, 2, and 3a) were transfected in triplicate into BHK-21 cells and luciferase activity was measured from the culture media (Figure 3). The strongest activity was induced by the 1000 nt region upstream of exon 0 (the canonical CLRN1 promoter). There was a significant drop in activity when the region between 1000 and 1550 nt upstream of exon 0 was included. In this region, (CA)₂₃ repeat was located 1107–1152 nt 5' of translation start site. The second strongest activity level was induced by the region upstream of exon 2 whereas the region upstream of exon 1 induced expression only slightly above the negative control (media), and less signal than the baseline control (unmodified pGluc vector; Figure 3). The experiment was replicated three times to confirm the results.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that the structure of *CLRN1* gene is significantly more complex than previously indicated. The complexity is especially evident in the first intron (3' of exon 0) the longest of the *CLRN1* introns, which is likely re-spliced at the 0b, 1, and 1b exon junctions before the main intron–exon junction at exon $2.^{22}$ The function of these splice variants remains unknown: some may be errors in the splicing process and some may generate functional molecules. Among other USH genes, for example, harmonin is

	Exon/intron size	5' splice site	3' splice site
Exon 0	253 nt (544 nt with 5' UTR)		CGGTTCTCAT/gtaagtagca
Exon OL	0L: 261 nt (598 nt)		
Intron (O to Ob]	26 792 nt		
Exon Ob	151 nt	ttgtgagaag/ATAAAGGAAA	CTTATTTCAT/gtatgtacat
Intron (Ob to 1)	1537 nt		
Exon 1	25 nt (172 nt as a cassette exon) (417 nt with 5' UTR)	gttgatgcag/GCTATTTTCT	CAGCAACCAG/gtaggggtgg
Intron (1 to 1b)	1182 nt		
Exon 1b	68 nt (86 nt as a cassette exon)	cccattaggt/TATAAGCTCT	CTGGCACATA/gtaggtgctc
Intron (1b to 2)	810 nt		
Exon 2	180 nt	ttatcttcag/TTTTTCCAGA	TTCATTTCAG/gtaagtacaa
Exon 2L	2L: 248 nt (347 nt with 3' UTR)		
Intron (2 to 2b)	1063 nt		
Exon 2b	39 nt	tctcctgaag/TTGCCCTTTG	CAGGCTCAAG/gtactttctt
Intron (2b to 3)	12 279 nt		
Exon 3	266 nt (1369 nt with main variant 3' UTR) (141 nt with 1b variant 3' UTR)	tctgttgcag/GCTCCTGTGG	
Exon 3a+3b	3a: 137 nt intron: 1192 nt 3b: 21 nt (689 nt with 3' UTR)	tgatctgcag/CTGACTAAAG	CTCATTCTGG/gtcattttct

Uncoding UTRs are calculated in brackets.




Figure 2 Potential sites of gene expression regulation in the proximal 1550 nt of each of CLRN1's primary exons.




Figure 3 *CLRN1* promoter region activity levels. Possible promoter regions were inserted in the pGLuc expression vector and transfected in triplicate into BHK cells. The luciferase activity was measured from the following constructs (upstream of translation start site or exon splice site): (1) exon 0: 1–500 nt, (2) exon 0: 1–1000 nt, (3) exon 0: 1–1550 nt, (4) exon 1: 1–1550 nt, (5) exon 2: 1–1550 nt, (6) exon 3: 1–1550 nt, and (7) unmodified pGluc vector. The relative activity levels were set to positive control pCMV-Gluc vector (New England BioLabs) as 100% and untransfected cell culture media signal level was subtracted from these values. Error bars reflect 1 SD. Promoter region ClustalW2 scores (sequence conservation between mouse and human) are displayed as an insert: (a) 500 nt upstream exon 0, (b) 500–1000 nt upstream exon 0, (c) 1000–1550 nt upstream exon 0, (d) 1–1550 nt upstream exon 0, (e) 1–1550 nt upstream exon 3.

known to undergo alternative splicing, a characteristic that is known to be important for proper gene function. Alternative harmonin isoforms localize to separate compartments in photoreceptor cells and, moreover, have also been found to exhibit different tissue specificities.²³

In CLRN1, some of the alternative splice variants have ORFs that could be translated into functional proteins. For example, the variant including exon 2b results in the addition of 13 extra amino acids onto the 232-aa main isoform, and thus very likely encodes a functional protein (Supplementary Figure 1b). Small, tissue-specific alternative exons that provide crucial functional modifications to the proteins are especially important in nervous system-specific isoforms.²⁴ The 232-aa CLRN1 isoform forms dimers and multimers when expressed in cell cultures,⁹ and there is a possibility that the alternative isoforms are also included in these multimer structures. Many CLRN1 splice variants have translation stop codons before the final exon (Figure 1, 3, 5–9). They are most likely untranslated, but may have a regulatory function at the RNA level, influencing the expression of the primary transcript, similar to other known premature termination codons within alternative splice variants.²⁵ Some of these untranslated variants may be degraded by nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) that is known to affect splice variants having a stop codon >50-55 nt upstream of the last spliced exon-exon boundary.^{26,27} In light of these data, the splice variants including exon 0b are most likely affected by NMD as the stop codon is -101 nt upstream of its exon–exon junction. Variants with exon 1b (stop codon -21 nt upstream of exon splice site), and 0-1-2-3 with a stop codon in exon 2 (stop codon -50 nt upstream of exon–exon splice site) may also be affected by NMD if the UTR continues splicing as in isoform 1-1b-2-3. Alternatively, NMD may not influence expression if the UTR does not continue past exon 2. The complete structure of the 3' UTR in all exon 1b splice variants is still unknown.

It is also plausible that the *CLRN1* splice variants exhibit different tissue specificities. Tissue-specific splicing requires unique combinations of negative and positive influences by transcription factors and other regulatory elements. These signals are difficult to accurately determine using *in silico* examination.²²

In the BHK cells that we studied, the main *CLRN1* promoter region is 1000 nt 5' to exon 0 and likely regulates the weak expression levels seen for the main *CLRN1* splice variant in most tissue types.^{7,8} We studied other possible promoter regions responsible for this activity and other possible promoter regions for the alternative splice variants in transfected cells to identify all regions required for the basal activity. Our studies showed that although promoter region activity levels varied among transfected cell culture sets according to cell culture age, stage, and other variables, the CMV promoter expression level (used as a positive control) also varied correspondingly and the relationship between weaker and stronger regulatory regions remained constant. Also, the promoter region conservation between human and mouse is in concordance with the observed higher activity level of the more conserved 5' region upstream of exon 0, when compared with the weaker region upstream of exon 1 (Figure 3). It is, however, unlikely that the proximal promoter contains all the required information for correct transcriptional control of CLRN1 expression in all tissues and developmental stages. Additional elements such as enhancers and/or silencers may be located more distantly, downstream or upstream. In the retina and cochlea where USH3 manifests itself, the studied CLRN1 promoter sequences are probably augmented by cell type and developmental stage-specific signals that could not be recapitulated here (perhaps with the exception of in silico studies). For example, the potential promoter regions for the main CLRN1 transcript contain the H1 core sequence (TAATC) that is thought to be a binding site for the photoreceptor-specific homeodomain transcription factor Crx²⁸ (Figure 2).

Our results suggest that the dominant promoter elements proximal to the CLRN1 gene are located within 1000 nt upstream of exon 0. When an additional 550 nt (5' to the 1000 nt) were added to the expression construct, expression decreased significantly, suggesting the presence of negatively acting control elements in the region between -1000 and -1550 nt 5' of the translation start site. The CA repeat region in this area has potential binding site for WT1-KTS that can function in transcriptional repression.²⁹ Similar results in cell culture studies have been reported, with extended promoter sequences having an inhibitory effect on active promoter regions: examples include Pcdh15, the gene associated with USH1F,³⁰ and RK (rhodopsin kinase), the gene associated with Oguchi disease.^{28,31,32} The situation is further complicated by the pseudogene CLRN1OS (AF388367) located on the opposite strand.^{6,7} CLRN1OS and the main CLRN1 splice variant have overlapping first exon 5' UTRs running in opposite directions (Figure 1). This pseudogene may have an important role in antisense transcriptional control of CLRN1 either by hybridizing to the CLRN1 coding DNA strand or interfering with transcription or mRNA stability similar to cases reported by Katayama et al.33

The main variant of *CLRN1* seems to be expressed rather ubiquitously,^{7,8} which would correlate with the presence of a CpG island that is often found in promoters of genes with no or little tissue specificity.³⁴ The only clear CpG island near *CLRN1* could be detected using *in silico* studies within –4400 nt upstream of exon 1. TATA boxes are usually associated with tissue specificity, but in *CLRN1*, the function of recognized TATA binding protein (TBP) binding sites may well be compensated by the presence of Sp1 and YY1 factor binding sites, which are either weakly or strongly, respectively, associated with less tissue-specific genes³⁴ (Figure 2).

Knowing the structure and function of the *CLRN1* gene is a prerequisite for understanding the pathophysiology of USH3, and for developing therapies for the disease. All the known mutations have been found from the exons included in the main splice variant (Figure 1, exons 0, 2, and 3), but most of the mutations occur in the exons 0 and 2,⁹ which are also included in some of the alternative CLRN1 protein isoforms (Supplementary Figure 1). Curiously, USH3 has highly variable progression and severity, even among siblings carrying the same mutations.^{3–5,35,36} Some of this phenotypic variety may be explained by the complex structure of *CLRN1*, the use of alternative promoters and the expression of alternatively spliced variants. As the CLRN1 protein is suggested to form multimers, the presence of alternative protein isoforms in these multimeric complexes may be crucial to the correct function of CLRN1 in human cochlea and retina.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Drs Frans Cremers, Eva Roomets, and Tiina Tyni for providing us with cDNA samples. This study was supported by the Finnish Eye and Tissue Bank Foundation, the Finnish RP Society, the Foundation Fighting Blindness, the Medicinska understödsföreningen Liv och Hälsa, Otto A. Malmin lahjoitusrahasto, the Research Foundation of the University of Helsinki, Sokeain Ystävät ry, and Hope for Vision.

- Spandau UH, Rohrschneider K: Prevalence and geographical distribution of Usher syndrome in Germany. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2002; 240: 495–498.
- 2 Saihan Z, Webster AR, Luxon L, Bitner-Glindzicz M: Update on Usher syndrome. Curr Opin Neurol 2009; 22: 19–27.
- 3 Pakarinen L, Tuppurainen K, Laippala P, Mäntyjärvi M, Puhakka H: The ophthalmological course of Usher syndrome type III. Int Ophthalmol 1995; 19: 307–311.
- 4 Ness SL, Ben-Yosef T, Bar-Lev A *et al*: Genetic homogeneity and phenotypic variability among Ashkenazi Jews with Usher syndrome type III. J Med Genet 2003; 40: 767–772.
- 5 Cohen M, Bitner-Glindzicz M, Luxon L: The changing face of Usher syndrome: clinical implications. Int J Audiol 2007; 46: 82–93.
- 6 Joensuu T, Hämäläinen R, Yuan B et al: Mutations in a novel gene with transmembrane domains underlie Usher syndrome type 3. Am J Hum Genet 2001; 69: 673–684.
- 7 Adato A, Vreugde S, Joensuu T *et al*: USH3A transcripts encode clarin-1, a fourtransmembrane-domain protein with a possible role in sensory synapses. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2002; **10**: 339–350.
- 8 Fields RR, Zhou G, Huang D *et al*: Usher Syndrome type III: revised genomic structure of the USH3 gene and identification of novel mutations. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; **71**: 607–617.
- 9 Isosomppi J, Västinsalo H, Geller S et al: Disease-causing mutations in the CLRN1 gene alter normal CLRN1 protein trafficking to the plasma membrane. *Mol Vis* 2009; 15: 1806–1818.
- 10 Geller SF, Guerin KI, Visel M et al: CLRN1 is nonessential in the mouse retina but is required for cochlear hair cell development. PLoS Genet 2009; 5: e1000607.
- 11 Tian G, Zhou Y, Hajkova D et al: Clarin-1, encoded by the Usher syndrome III causative gene, forms a membranous microdomain: possible role of Clarin-1 in organizing the actin cytoskeleton. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 18980–18993.
- 12 Zallocchi M, Meehan DT, Delimont D et al: Localization and expression of clarin-1, the Clrn1 gene product, in auditory hair cells and photoreceptors. *Hear Res* 2009; 255: 109–120.
- 13 Geng R, Geller SF, Hayashi T *et al*: Usher syndrome IIIA gene clarin-1 is essential for hair cell function and associated neural activation. *Hum Mol Genet* 2009; 18: 2748–2760.
- 14 Roomets E, Kivelä T, Tyni T: Carnitine palmitoyltransferase I and Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase 9 in retina: insights of retinopathy in mitochondrial trifunctional protein defects. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2008; 49: 1660–1664.
- 15 Huang X, Miller W: A time-efficient, linear-space local similarity algorithm. Adv Appl Math 1991; 12: 337–357.
- 16 Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP *et al*: Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 2007; **23**: 2947–2948.
- 17 Schug J: Using TESS to predict transcription factor binding sites in DNA sequence. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2008; **2**: 2.6.
- 18 Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A: EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open software suite. *Trends Genet* 2000; 16: 276–277.
- 19 Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL: Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 2001; 305: 567–580.
- 20 Hofmann K, Stoffel W: TMbase A database of membrane spanning proteins segments. *Biol Chem Hoppe-Seyler* 1993; 374: 166.
- 21 Breathnach R, Benoist C, O'Hare K, Gannon F, Chambon P: Ovalburnin gene: evidence for a leader sequence in mRNA and DNA sequences at the exon-intron boundaries. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1978; **75**: 4853–4857.
- 22 Black DL: Mechanisms of alternative pre-messenger RNA splicing. Annu Rev Biochem 2003; 72: 291–336.
- 23 Reiners J, Reidel B, El-Amraoui A et al. Differential distribution of harmonin isoforms and their possible role in Usher-1 protein complexes in mammalian photoreceptor cells. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003: 44: 5006–5015.
- 24 Stetefeld J, Ruegg MA: Structural and functional diversity generated by alternative mRNA splicing. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2005; **30**: 515–521.
- 25 Lamba JK, Adachi M, Sun D *et al*: Nonsense mediated decay downregulates conserved alternatively spliced ABCC4 transcripts bearing nonsense codons. *Hum Mol Genet* 2003; **12**: 99–109.
- 26 Behm-Ansmant I, Kashima I, Rehwinkel J, Sauliere J, Wittkopp N, Izaurralde E: mRNA quality control: an ancient machinery recognizes and degrades mRNAs with nonsense codons. *FEBS Lett* 2007; **581**: 2845–2853.

- 27 Lejeune F, Maquat LE: Mechanistic links between nonsense-mediated mRNA decay and pre-mRNA splicing in mammalian cells. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2005; **17**: 309–315.
- 28 Young JE, Vogt T, Gross KW, Khani SC: A short, highly active photoreceptor-specific enhancer/promoter region upstream of the human rhodopsin kinase gene. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003; **44**: 4076–4085.
- 29 Hewitt SM, Fraizer GC, Wu YJ, Rauscher III FJ, Saunders GF: Differential function of Wilms' tumor gene WT1 splice isoforms in transcriptional regulation. J Biol Chem 1996; 271: 8588–8592.
- 30 Alagramam KN, Miller ND, Adappa ND et al: Promoter, alternative splice forms, and genomic structure of protocadherin 15. Genomics 2007; 90: 482–492.
- 31 Yamamoto S, Sippel KC, Berson EL, Dryja TP: Defects in the rhodopsin kinase gene in the Oguchi form of stationary night blindness. *Nat Genet* 1997; 15: 175–178.
- 32 Hayashi T, Gekka T, Takeuchi T, Goto-Omoto S, Kitahara K: A novel homozygous GRK1 mutation (P391H) in 2 siblings with Oguchi disease with markedly reduced cone responses. *Ophthalmology* 2007; **114**: 134–141.
- 33 Katayama S, Tomaru Y, Kasukawa T et al: Antisense transcription in the mammalian transcriptome. Science 2005; 309: 1564–1566.
- 34 Schug J, Schuller WP, Kappen C, Salbaum JM, Bucan M, Stoeckert CJ: Promoter features related to tissue specificity as measured by Shannon entropy. *Genome Biol* 2005; 6: R33.
- 35 Herrera W, Aleman TS, Cideciyan AV et al: Retinal disease in Usher syndrome III caused by mutations in the clarin-1 gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008; 49: 2651–2660.
- 36 Plantinga RF, Kleemola L, Huygen PL et al: Serial audiometry and speech recognition findings in Finnish Usher syndrome type III patients. Audiol Neurootol 2005; 10: 79–89.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on European Journal of Human Genetics website (http://www.nature.com/ejhg)