

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Int Psychogeriatr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 17.

# Published in final edited form as:

Int Psychogeriatr. 2010 December; 22(8): 1240-1247. doi:10.1017/S1041610210001523.

# A comparison of the frequencies of risk factors for depression in older black and white participants in a study of indicated

# prevention

Roy Sriwattanakomen<sup>1</sup>, Jesse McPherron<sup>2</sup>, Jamie Chatman<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Q. Morse<sup>3</sup>, Lynn M. Martire<sup>3</sup>, Jordan F. Karp<sup>3</sup>, Patricia R. Houck<sup>3</sup>, Salem Bensasi<sup>3</sup>, Jill Houle<sup>3</sup>, Jacqueline A. Stack<sup>3</sup>, Mattie Woods<sup>4</sup>, Bruce Block<sup>3</sup>, Stephen B. Thomas<sup>1</sup>, Sandra Quinn<sup>1</sup>, and Charles F. Reynolds III<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, PA, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup>University of Alabama at Tuscaloosa, Department of Psychology, Tuscaloosa, AL, U.S.A.

<sup>3</sup>University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry; Pittsburgh, PA, U.S.A.

<sup>4</sup>Center for Healthy Hearts and Souls, Pittsburgh, PA, U.S.A.

# Abstract

**Background**—To compare the frequencies of risk factors, we describe risks for depression as a function of race among consecutively admitted participants in a randomized clinical trial of indicated depression prevention in later life.

**Methods**—Seventy-two black and 143 white participants were screened for risk factors for depression.

**Results**—Black participants were more likely to have fewer years of education and lower household income. They were more likely to be obese, live alone, experience functional disability, have a history of alcohol and drug abuse, and have lower scores on the Mini-mental State Examination and the Executive Interview (EXIT). White participants were not found to have greater prevalence or higher mean score on any risk factor. On average, black participants experienced approximately one more risk factor than white participants (t(213) = 3.32, p = 0.0011).

**Conclusions**—In our sample, black participants had higher frequencies of eight risk factors for depression and a greater mean number of risk factors compared to white participants.

# Keywords

indicated prevention; depression; subsyndromal; minority; risk factors

<sup>©</sup> International Psychogeriatric Association 2010

*Correspondence should be addressed to*: Charles F. Reynolds III, M.D.; Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, 3811 O'Hara Street; Pittsburgh, PA 15213, U.S.A. Phone: +1 412-246-6414; Fax: +1 412-246-5300. reynoldscf@upmc.edu. **Conflict of interest** 

None.

Description of authors' roles

All the authors participated in the drafting and critical revision of this paper. C. F. Reynolds was the principal investigator of the study, and J. Q. Morse and S. B. Thomas were co-investigators.

# Introduction

Depression is common, and adequate treatment is difficult to access and is at best only partially successful in averting years lived with disability (Andrews *et al.*, 2004). Thus, effective strategies for depression prevention, based upon an understanding of risk factors for depression, are sorely needed. Modifiable risk factors provide targets for psychosocial interventions. Such interventions are more likely to be preferred over medications for preventing depression and have proven effective in reducing the incidence of major depression (Cuijpers *et al.*, 2007). Because most black patients receive mental health care from their primary care physicians, if at all, and depression is underdiagnosed and undertreated in this population, it is imperative to detect risk factors both for diagnosis and intervention purposes (Cooper-Patrick *et al.*, 1999). This need is underscored by the fact that minority elders demonstrate a greater vulnerability to mental health problems and elderly blacks have been shown to endorse significantly greater depressive symptoms than elderly whites (Jang *et al.*, 2005).

Most studies and reviews that have examined risk factors for depression have focused on patient samples that are mostly white with few black patients included (Bruce, 2002; Cole and Dendukuri, 2003). However, there is growing recognition of the importance of specifically examining risk factors among black populations due to the different social worlds they may inhabit, which includes more disability, greater health risks, lower educational attainment, and lower likelihood of marriage compared to white people (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000). One recent review found that negative coping strategies, such as substance abuse, a lack of social support systems, low income, and racism/discrimination were major risk factors for depression in black men (Watkins et al., 2006). Miller and colleagues (Miller et al., 2004) found that among blacks with a mean age of 57 years living in the community, female sex, lower income, health limitations, obesity, and lower social support were factors that contributed to clinically relevant levels of depressive symptoms. In one study that compared depressive symptoms among communitydwelling black and white adults aged 60-84 years, lower education, greater functional disability, lower sense of mastery, and poorer satisfaction with support were common risk factors for depressive symptoms in both groups (Jang et al., 2005).

In order to compare the frequencies of previously established risk factors for depression (Bruce, 2002; Charney *et al.*, 2003) between subsyndromally depressed older blacks and whites, we present data from our ongoing NIH-funded randomized clinical trial [NCT 00326677] of prevention for depression (Sriwattanakomen *et al.*, 2008).

# Methods

#### Recruitment

This study was a cross-sectional study of baseline data from an ongoing randomized clinical trial – "Prevention of Depression in Older African Americans" (Sriwattanakomen *et al.*, 2008) – which examines the use of problem-solving therapy in primary care (PST-PC) for subsyndromally depressed older individuals. The study enrolls adults aged 50 years and over in metropolitan Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and surrounding communities. Briefly, "PREVENTION" recruitment strategies involve referrals from collaborating primary care physicians (PCPs) as described in the PROSPECT study (Bruce *et al.*, 2004). PREVENTION also recruits from: (1) community-based wellness initiatives such as the Healthy Black Family Project (HBFP: http://www.cmh.pitt.edu/hbfp.asp) and the Center for Healthy Hearts and Souls (CHHS: http://www.healthyheartsandsouls.com); (2) advertisements in both print and on-air media; (3) university-based research registries; (4) presentations to lay groups of older adults and their families; and (5) use of electronic

medical records providing "pop-up" information to PCPs who have recorded a diagnosis of depression in their patients' charts. In this study, the majority of white participants were recruited from primary care practices, while black participants were primarily recruited through community agencies such as HBFP and CHHS. These differential paths to study participation reflect the real-life experiences of blacks and whites and the practical necessity of using multiple strategies to recruit a racially diverse study group.

# Participants

PREVENTION addressed "indicated" preventive intervention, as defined by the Institute of Medicine (Mrazek and Haggerty, 1994). Indicated prevention targets those who currently have subsyndromal symptoms of depression. Enrolled participants were considered to have subsyndromal symptoms of depression if they scored 11 or more on the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) in the absence of Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) criteria for current major depressive disorder (MDD). Participants were required to have a Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE; Folstein *et al.*, 1975) score  $\geq$ 24. Potential participants were excluded from the study if they were using antidepressant medication or had concurrent mental health treatment. PREVENTION also excluded those who endorsed an episode of major depression or alcohol/substance abuse within the past 12 months, a lifetime history of bipolar disorder or other psychotic disorder, or a diagnosis of any neurodegenerative disorder such as dementia. Past or present anxiety disorders did not preclude participation.

This research project was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Pittsburgh.

#### Measures

**RISK FACTORS**—We compared the prevalence of known risk factors for depression and scores for continuous measures of factors associated with depression between black and white participants. Sociodemographic and psychosocial risk factors included: (1) *gender* (Cole and Dendukuri, 2003), (2) *educational attainment in years* (Ladin, 2008), (3) *household income* (Cohen *et al.*, 2006), (4) *bereavement within the past year* (Bruce, 2002; Schoevers *et al.*, 2000), (5) *living alone* (Wilson *et al.*, 2007; Weyerer *et al.*, 2008), (6) *being a caregiver* (Zivin and Christakis, 2007; Adams, 2008) and (7) *interpersonal support* (Bruce, 2002; McKnight-Eily *et al.*, 2009). Medical and health-related risk factors included: (8) *presence of functional disability* (Bruce, 2002; Schoevers *et al.*, 2000), (9) *chronic pain* (McCarthy *et al.*, 2009) and (10) *obesity* (Almeida *et al.*, 2009). Psychiatric risk factors included: (11) *history of major depressive disorder* (Cole and Dendukuri, 2003), (12) *chronic insomnia* (Taylor, 2008), (13) *current anxiety disorder* (Vink *et al.*, 2008), and (14) *history of substance abuse* (Bolton *et al.*, 2009). Cognitive risk factors included scores on tests of (15) *executive function* (Alexopoulos, 2003) and (16) *overall cognitive status* (Vinkers *et al.*, 2004; Weyerer *et al.*, 2008).

In addition to these 16 "core" measures of risk factors, the remaining six measures constituted a variety of clinical descriptors of patient health status, quantification of depression and anxiety symptoms, and measurement of sleep quality.

**SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC AND PSYCHOSOCIAL VARIABLES**—Age was calculated from the subject's reported date of birth. Education was measured in years as reported by respondents. Participants self-reported their gender. Household income was estimated using census block estimates (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000). Participants were asked about recent bereavement, defined as current distress over the loss of a loved one within the past year. Participants were asked whether they were currently living alone and if they provided

Sriwattanakomen et al.

caregiving to another. The Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL) provided a measure of the perceived availability of social support and self-esteem (Cohen *et al.*, 1985). It is broken up into four subscales: self-esteem, which measures the perceived availability of a positive comparison when comparing one's self to others; belonging, which measures the perceived availability of people one can do things with; appraisal, which measures the perceived availability of someone to talk to about one's problems; and tangible, which measures perceived availability of material aid.

### MEDICAL COMORBIDITY AND HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE—Body

mass index (BMI) was calculated for each participant. Obesity was defined as a BMI of 30 or greater. Chronic pain was self-reported and defined as pain of at least moderate intensity, more days than not, for at least the past three months. The presence or absence of functional disability was adjudicated by our nurse practitioner based upon a physical and neurological examination of each subject. Her decision was then confirmed by our diagnostic consensus process. The Cumulative Illness Rating Scale adapted for use in geriatrics (CIRS-G; Miller *et al.*, 1992) was used to assess chronic medical illness burden. The Medical Outcome Survey (MOS/RAND12; Ware and Sherbourne, 1992) was used to evaluate physical and mental health related quality of life. The Late Life Functional Disability Inventory (LL-FDI; Jette *et al.*, 2002) was administered to assess participant's limitations in activities of daily living and social role functioning. We used the disability subscale of the LL-FDI, which yields measures of activity limitation ("can do") and frequency ("does do").

PSYCHIATRIC AND PSYCHOLOGICAL MEASURES—The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV was administered before any study procedures to diagnose current and past mental illness. SCID diagnoses were categorized as follows: MDD and related conditions (e.g. dysthymia, depressive disorder not otherwise specified ("minor" depression)), anxiety disorders, adjustment disorders, primary insomnia or insomnia related to another disorder, breathing-related sleep disorder or sleep disorder due to a general medical condition, and substance use disorders. We assessed depression severity using the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression-17 item (HRSD; Hamilton, 1960). The Brief Symptom Inventory (anxiety subscale) (Derogatis and Melisaratos, 1983) was used to assess anxiety severity. Sleep quality was measured with the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; Buysse et al., 1989). The Social Problem Solving Inventory-Revised (SPSI-R;D'Zurilla et al., 2002) was used to assess subjects' perceptions of their approach toward solving problems in everyday living. This inventory consists of five scales. One of these scales, Positive Problem Orientation, measures an individual's orientation toward problems, including the tendency to view problems positively, to see them as challenges rather than threats, and to believe in one's ability to detect and implement effective solutions.

**COGNITIVE MEASURES**—The Executive Interview (EXIT) (Royall *et al.*, 1992) was used to measure executive function and corresponding deficits associated with frontal lobe and subcortical disease. The Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) provided a screening measure of overall cognitive status.

#### Statistical analysis

We examined associations between each risk factor and race using  $\chi^2$  or *t* tests. The mean number of risk factors between black and white participants was assessed only for categorical risk factors, of which there were 11. These included being female, bereavement, living alone, being a caregiver, obesity, chronic pain, functional disability, history of MDD, chronic insomnia, anxiety disorder, and a history of drug/alcohol abuse. The means were compared using the two-sample t-test.

# Results

Since September 2007, 215 participants have been recruited into the PREVENTION study: 72 are black and 143 are white. Black participants had significantly lower median income and years of education (see Table 1 for all numbers and p-values). They also had significantly greater rates of living alone but similar rates of bereavement and being a caregiver. Blacks and whites also had similar perceived availability of social support across all four domains of the ISEL. The prevalence of history of MDD, current anxiety disorder, and chronic insomnia was similar between the two groups, but black participants had significantly higher rates of past substance abuse. Although there was a similar prevalence of chronic insomnia, black participants reported having significantly worse quality of sleep. With regard to medical and health-related risk factors, black participants had significantly higher rates of functional disability and obesity, but similar rates of chronic pain. Accompanying the higher rates of functional disability, black subjects also had a significantly higher total score for medical comorbidity on the Cumulative Illness Rating scale, lower physical health related quality of life (MOS-SF36), and greater limitation in functional ability (LL-FDI). Cognitive assessments revealed that black participants had significantly lower MMSE and higher EXIT scores (more impaired executive function) than white participants.

Overall, black subjects experienced a greater mean number of risk factors (M = 4.3, SD = 1.69) than white subjects (M= 3.5, SD = 1.69) (t(213) = 3.32, p = 0.0011).

# Discussion

Black participants had higher frequencies of risk factors for depression and a greater mean number of risk factors compared to whites. The former were also found to have lower median income and years of education and higher rates of living alone, functional disability, obesity, and substance abuse as well as worse cognitive status and executive function. The findings of lower income and years of education and higher rates of living alone, functional disability, obesity, and substance abuse confirm previous literature, which describes the large societal gap that still exists between blacks and whites (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000; Williams and Williams-Morris, 2000). Those with lower socioeconomic status (SES) are at increased risk for onset of first depression and have a more severe and refractory course of depression (Cohen *et al.*, 2006). Individuals with lower income and fewer years of education are set-up to have increased psychosocial stressors, poorer health habits, and consequently poorer health (Blazer and Hybels, 2005).

The higher rates of functional disability in combination with the higher scores for comorbid medical conditions on the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, the worse physical health-related quality of life, and greater limitations on function as measured by the LL-FDI among the black participants, create a clear picture of the poor physical health and function experienced by the black subjects in our cohort. Functional disability is a common source of stress and important risk factor in depression (Bruce, 1999). However, functional disability has been shown to interact with the protective factor of sense of mastery in predicting depressive symptoms. One analysis determined that the correlation between functional disability and depressive symptoms was greatly attenuated in people with high sense of mastery compared to individuals with low mastery (Jang *et al.*, 2005). The importance of a strong sense of self-efficacy to cope with disability, buffer the effects of negative consequences from physical health problems, limit depressive symptoms, and possibly prevent major depression makes problem-solving therapy (the intervention arm of our trial) particularly applicable in our population. Although subjects scored relatively high on the SPSI, PST can assist patients in further strengthening their sense of self-efficacy as well as help them solve problems

stemming from disability. Furthermore, PST has proven efficacy in treating dual-diagnosis patients, including improvement in substance abuse outcomes (Bender *et al.*, 2006). Given that a quarter of black subjects have a history of substance abuse in our sample, it is important that our prevention strategy can target this risk factor.

Black participants endorsed significantly greater mood symptoms on the HRSD-17. The difference was small but agrees with previous literature demonstrating a small but significant difference in depressive symptoms endorsed by older adults by race (Jang *et al.*, 2005). Black and white participants' mean scores on the HRSD classify both groups as "mildly depressed," consistent with subsyndromal depression. Chronic insomnia was highly prevalent in both groups confirming the close association between insomnia and depression (Taylor, 2008). Although the two groups did not differ in the prevalence of this risk factor, black participants endorsed worse sleep quality. The fact that both groups had PSQI scores greater than 5 is indicative of significant sleep disturbance (Buysse *et al.*, 1989). The black group had poorer MMSE and EXIT scores, but the differences between blacks and whites on these measures were minimal and unlikely to be clinically significant. Scores were well within the normal range indicating non-impaired cognitive status and executive functioning.

It is likely that the probability of depression increases progressively with the accumulation of risk factors (Schoevers, 2003). In our study, black participants had on average about one greater risk factor than whites. This is a conservative estimate, as the continuous measures of years of education and income were not taken into account. Taken in the context of lower socioeconomic status, the higher prevalence and overall greater mean number of risk factors seems to place black participants in our sample at higher risk for depression than whites. This may explain the slightly higher depressive symptom burden experienced by black subjects. However, it is perhaps surprising that despite the greater number of risk factors and large discrepancy in socioeconomic status the difference in depressive symptoms between races is not greater. Although there were no significant differences in self-esteem, interpersonal support, and scores on the Social Problem Solving Inventory, black participants scored higher on each of these measures. Such coping skills and resources may buffer the effects of negative psychosocial factors on depressive symptoms (Williams and Williams-Morris, 2000). Given that black subjects are disadvantaged on most measures of physical health compared to whites, those who survive to old age are perhaps more robust or resilient than equally aged white people (Williams and Williams-Morris, 2000).

This study has several limitations. Because we used geographically defined samples, these findings cannot be generalized to the population at-large. Also, subjects who agree to participate in a prevention study that entails many tests, scales, and interviews are not necessarily representative of other subsyndromally depressed individuals. The study design is cross-sectional and recruited subjects suffer from subsyndromal depression; thus, we cannot make causal inferences. We do not know whether the examined factors led to the depression or if the depression led to the presence of these factors. Our study also had no measure of racial discrimination, which is an important risk factor among blacks (Watkins *et al.*, 2006).

In conclusion, black participants had a higher prevalence of multiple risk factors for depression as well as a greater mean number of risk factors compared to whites in our sample. Given the morbidity caused by depression and the limited effectiveness of depression treatments, future research should continue to examine the use of preventive interventions focused on specific risk factors. The differing risk profiles between black and white individuals may help physicians identify patients at risk for depression and inform the construction of targeted preventive interventions. As underscored by the National Comorbidity Survey-Replication (NCS-R), depression may be a greater contributor to

disability than many physical disorders (Kessler and Merikangas, 2004). These depression prevention efforts are particularly important in socially disadvantaged populations already burdened with greater medical comorbidity and disability.

# Acknowledgments

Funding for the work has been provided by: Doris Duke Charitable Foundation to RS; T32 MH19986 to RS; National Institutes of Health [P60 MD 000207] to SBT; [P30 MH071944] to CFR; the John A. Hartford Center of Excellence in Geriatric Psychiatry to CFR; the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) endowment in geriatric psychiatry to CFR, the Staunton Farms Foundation to CB, the Kellogg Health Scholars Program [P0117943] to JC, and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Health to CFR. We thank the research staff of the Clinical Trials Management Unit (P30 MH071944) for recruitment and assessment of study participants. We also thank participants themselves for volunteering to be subjects in "PREVENTION".

# References

- Adams KB. Specific effects of caring for a spouse with dementia: differences in depressive symptoms between caregiver and non-caregiver spouses. International Psychogeriatrics 2008;20:508–520. [PubMed: 17937825]
- Alexopoulos GS. Role of executive function in late-life depression. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 2003;64 Suppl. 14:18–23. [PubMed: 14658931]
- Almeida OP, Calver J, Jamrozik K, Hankey GJ, Flicker L. Obesity and metabolic syndrome increase the risk of incident depression in older men: the Health in Men Study. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2009;17:889–898. [PubMed: 19910877]
- Andrews G, Issakidis C, Sanderson K, Corry J, Lapsley H. Utilising survey data to inform public policy: comparison of the cost-effectiveness of treatment of ten mental disorders. British Journal of Psychiatry 2004;184:526–533. [PubMed: 15172947]
- Bender K, Springer DW, Kim JS. Treatment effectiveness with dually diagnosed adolescents: a systematic review. Brief Treatment and Crisis Intervention 2006;6:177–205.
- Blazer DG, Hybels CF. Origins of depression in later life. Psychological Medicine 2005;35:1241–1252. [PubMed: 16168147]
- Bolton JM, Robinson J, Sareen J. Self-medication of mood disorders with alcohol and drugs in the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Journal of Affective Disorders 2009;115:367–375. [PubMed: 19004504]
- Bruce ML. The association between depression and disability. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 1999;7:8–11. [PubMed: 9919315]
- Bruce ML. Psychosocial risk factors for depressive disorders in late life. Biological Psychiatry 2002;52:175–184. [PubMed: 12182924]
- Bruce ML, et al. Reducing suicidal ideation and depressive symptoms in depressed older primary care patients: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004;291:1081–1091. [PubMed: 14996777]
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Research 1989;28:193–213. [PubMed: 2748771]
- Charney DS, et al. Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance consensus statement on the unmet needs in diagnosis and treatment of mood disorders in late life. Archives of General Psychiatry 2003;60:664–672. [PubMed: 12860770]
- Cohen A, Houck PR, Szanto K, Dew MA, Gilman SE, Reynolds CF. Social inequalities in response to antidepressant treatment in older adults. Archives of General Psychiatry 2006;63:50–56. [PubMed: 16389196]
- Cohen, S.; Mermelstein, R.; Kamarck, T.; Hoberman, HM. Measuring the functional components of social support. In: Sarason, IG.; Sarason, B., editors. Social Support: Theory, Research and Applications. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff; 1985. p. 73-94.
- Cole MG, Dendukuri N. Risk factors for depression among elderly community subjects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. American Journal of Psychiatry 2003;160:1147–1156. [PubMed: 12777274]

- Cooper-Patrick L, Gallo JJ, Powe NR, Steinwachs DM, Eaton WW, Ford DE. Mental health service utilization by African Americans and Whites: the Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area Follow-Up. Medical Care 1999;37:1034–1045. [PubMed: 10524370]
- Cuijpers P, Smit F, Straten A. Psychological treatment of subthreshold depression: a systematic review. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 2007;115:434–441. [PubMed: 17498154]
- D'Zurilla, T.; Nezu, A.; Maydeu-Olivares, A. Social Problem-solving Inventory, Revised (SPSI-R): Manual. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems; 2002.
- Derogatis LR, Melisaratos N. The Brief Symptom Inventory: an introductory report. Psychological Medicine 1983;13:595–605. [PubMed: 6622612]
- Folstein MF, Folstein SW, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. Journal of Psychiatric Research 1975;12:189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]
- Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 1960;23:56–62.
- Jang Y, Borenstein AR, Chiriboga DA, Mortimer JA. Depressive symptoms among African American and white older adults. Journals of Gerontology: Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences 2005;60:313–319.
- Jette AM, et al. Late life function and disability instrument: I. Development and evaluation of the disability component. Journals of Gerontology: Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences 2002;57:M209–M216.
- Kessler RC, Merikangas KR. The National Comorbidity Survey-Replication (NCS-R): background and aims. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research 2004;13:60–68. [PubMed: 15297904]
- Ladin K. Risk of late-life depression across 10 European Union countries: deconstructing the education effect. Journal of Health and Aging 2008;20:653–670.
- McCarthy LH, Bigal ME, Katz M, Derby C, Lipton RB. Chronic pain and obesity in elderly people: results from the Einstein aging study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2009;57:115– 119. [PubMed: 19054178]
- McKnight-Eily L, et al. Prevalence and correlates of current depressive symptomatology and lifetime diagnosis of depression in Black women. Womens Health Issues 2009;19:243–252. [PubMed: 19589473]
- Miller DK, Malmstrom TK, Joshi SAEM, Morley JE, Wolinsky FD. Clinically relevant levels of depressive symptoms in community-dwelling middle-aged African Americans. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2004;52:741–748. [PubMed: 15086655]
- Miller MD, et al. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: Application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. Psychiatry Research 1992;41:237–248. [PubMed: 1594710]
- Mrazek, P.; Haggerty, R. Reducing Risks for Mental Disorders: Frontiers for Preventive Intervention Research. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1994.
- Royall DR, Mahurin RK, Gray KF. Bedside assessment of executive cognitive impairment: the Executive Interview. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 1992;40:1221–1226. [PubMed: 1447438]
- Schoevers MD, et al. Prevention of late-life depression in primary care: Do we know where to begin? American Journal of Psychiatry 2003;163:1611–1621. [PubMed: 16946188]
- Schoevers RA, Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, Geerlings MI, Jonker C, van TW. Risk factors for depression in later life; results of a prospective community based study (AMSTEL). Journal of Affective Disorders 2000;59:127–137. [PubMed: 10837881]
- Sriwattanakomen R, et al. Preventing depression in later life: translation from concept to experimental design and implementation. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2008;16:460–468. [PubMed: 18515690]
- Taylor DJ. Insomnia and depression. Sleep 2008;31:447-448. [PubMed: 18457230]
- U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF3)-Sample Data. 2000 [last accessed 28 April 2010]. Available at http://factfinder.census.gov

Sriwattanakomen et al.

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. McLean, VA: International Medical Publishing, Inc; 2000. Healthy People 2010.
- Vink D, Aartsen MJ, Schoevers RA. Risk factors for anxiety and depression in the elderly: a review. Journal of Affective Disorders 2008;106:29–44. [PubMed: 17707515]
- Vinkers DJ, Gussekloo J, Stek ML, Westendorp RG, Van Der Mast RC. Temporal relation between depression and cognitive impairment in old age: prospective population based study. BMJ 2004;329:881. [PubMed: 15345599]
- Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS-36-Item short form health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Medical Care 1992;30:473–483. [PubMed: 1593914]
- Watkins DJ, Green B, Rivers BM, Rowell KL. Depression and black men: implications for future research. Journal of Men's Health and Gender 2006;3:227–235.
- Weyerer S, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for depression in non-demented primary care attenders aged 75 years and older. Journal of Affective Disorders 2008;111:153–163. [PubMed: 18372049]
- Williams DR, Williams-Morris R. Racism and mental health: the African American experience. Ethnic Health 2000;5:243–268.
- Wilson K, Mottram P, Sixsmith A. Depressive symptoms in the very old living alone: prevalence, incidence and risk factors. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2007;22:361–366. [PubMed: 17044137]
- Zivin K, Christakis NA. The emotional toll of spousal morbidity and mortality. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry 2007;15:772–779. [PubMed: 17804830]

#### Table 1

# Risks in prevention group by race

	BLACK <i>N</i> = 72 MEAN (SD)	WHITE <i>N</i> = 143 MEAN (SD)	RATIO (BLACK: WHITE)	χ <sup>2</sup> or t / df /p
SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC AND PSYCHOSOCIAL ST	ATUS			
Age	66.6 (9.9)	65.5 (11.6)		0.71 / 213 / 0.48
Female, %	74	66	1.1:1	1.37 / 1 / 0.24
Education in years	13.5 (2.4)	15.2 (2.8)		4.34 / 210 / 0.0001
Census median income	22,917 (n = 71)	44,936 (n = 139)		Wilcoxon p < 0.0001
Bereavement, %	15	15	1:1	0.01 / 1 / 0.98
Living alone, %	46	31	1.5:1	4.27 / 1 / 0.04
Caregiver, %	25	21	1.2:1	0.45 / 1 / 0.50
Interpersonal Support Evaluation List				
(range = $0-12$ , higher better)				
Self-esteem	8.1 (2.0)	7.6 (2.2)		1.56 / 180 / 0.12
Belonging	9.2 (2.5)	8.5 (2.8)		1.73 / 179 / 0.09
Appraisal	9.2 (2.6)	8.7 (2.8)		1.28 / 180 / 0.20
Tangible	9.0 (2.6)	8.7 (2.6)		0.54 / 179 / 0.59
MEDICAL COMORBIDITY AND HEALTH-RELAT	ED QUALITY (	OF LIFE		
Obesity, %	64	41	1.6:1	9.82 / 1 / 0.002
Body Mass Index	33.6 (7.4)	29.0 (6.6)		4.44 / 201 / 0.0001
Chronic pain, %	28	29	1:1	0.02 / 1 / 0.89
Functional disability, %	25	11	2.3:1	6.86 / 1 / 0.01
Cumulative Illness Rating				
Total	8.6 (3.9)	7.2 (3.7)		2.51 / 210 / 0.02
Count	5.3 (2.1)	4.7 (2.2)		1.87 / 212 / 0.06
Medical Outcomes (MOS/RAND12)				
(range 0–100, higher better)				
Physical	39.6 (11.7)	43.9 (11.5)		2.51 / 199 / 0.02
Mental	46.4 (10.3)	47.0 (9.8)		0.42 / 199 / 0.68
Late Life Functional Disability Instrument				
(range 0–100, higher better)				
Frequency ("does do")	56.3 (9.5)	58.5 (8.0)		1.61 / 171 / 0.11
Limitation ("can do")	60.2 (13.6)	64.7 (11.1)		2.41 / 171 / 0.02
PSYCHIATRIC AND PSYCHOLOGICAL STATUS				
History of MDD, %	29	36	0.8:1	1.11 / 1 / 0.29
CES-D	22.1 (8.2)	20.7 (7.8)		1.17 / 209 / 0.24
Hamilton Rating Scale (HRSD-17)	12.1 (3.6)	10.8 (3.8)		2.38 / 209 / 0.02
Chronic insomnia, %	64	59	1.1:1	0.53 / 1 / 0.47
Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory				
(range = 0–21, higher = worse)	8.8 (3.4)	7.7 (3.6)		2.26 / 200 / 0.03
Anxiety disorder. %	33	27	1.2:1	0.85/1/0.36

	BLACK N = 72 MEAN	WHITE N = 143 MEAN	RATIO (BLACK:	
	( <b>SD</b> )	(SD)	WHITE)	$\chi^2$ or t / df /p
Brief Symptom Inventory	0.48 (0.47)	0.54 (0.51)		0.84 / 203 / 0.40
(range = 0–4, higher = worse)				
History of alcohol/drug abuse, %	25	10	2.5:1	8.74 / 1 / 0.003
Social Problem Solving Inventory				
(range 0–120, higher scores are better)				
Total (scaled score)	106.0 (13.1)	103.7 (13.4)		1.10 / 185 / 0.27
Positive Problem Orientation (scaled score)	102.0 (15.6)	98.6 (15.9)		1.45 / 200 / 0.15
COGNITIVE STATUS				
MMSE	27.3 (1.7)	28.8 (1.3)		6.68 / 209 / 0.0001
EXIT	7.7 (4.4)	6.1 (4.1)		2.57 / 185 / 0.02
Mean number of risk factors per subject	4.3 (1.7)	3.5 (1.7)		3.32 / 213 / 0.001

Bold indicates main risk factor.

MDD = major depressive disorder; CES-D = Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale; MMSE = Mini-mental State Examination; EXIT = Executive Interview