

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 2.

Published in final edited form as: JAMA. 2010 June 23; 303(24): 2504–2512. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.843.

Association Between Adiposity in Midlife and Older Age and Risk of Diabetes in Older Adults

Mary L. Biggs, PhD, **Kenneth J. Mukamal, MD**, **Jose A. Luchsinger, MD**, **Joachim H. Ix, MD**, **Mercedes R. Carnethon, PhD**, **Anne B. Newman, MD**, **Ian H. de Boer, MD**, **Elsa S. Strotmeyer, PhD**, **Dariush Mozaffarian, MD, DrPH**, and **David S. Siscovick, MD** Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle (Dr Biggs); Division of General Medicine and Primary Care, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (Dr Mukamal); Taub Institute for Research in Alzheimer's Disease, and the Aging Brain and Department of Medicine, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York (Dr Luchsinger); Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of California, and the Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, California (Dr Ix); Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois (Dr Carnethon); Departments of Epidemiology and Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Dr Newman); Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle (Dr de Boer); Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Dr Strotmeyer); Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (Dr Mozaffarian); and Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle (Dr Siscovick)

Abstract

Context—Adiposity is a well-recognized risk factor for type 2 diabetes among young and middle-aged adults, but the relationship between body composition and type 2 diabetes is not well described among older adults.

Corresponding Author: Mary L. Biggs, PhD, CHSCC, Bldg 29, Ste 310, 6200 NE 74th St, Seattle, WA, 98115 (mlbiggs@u.washington.edu).

Author Contributions: Dr Biggs had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Luchsinger, Biggs, Siscovick, Mukamal, Newman, Carnethon.

Acquisition of data: Siscovick, Newman.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Biggs, Mukamal, Ix, Luchsinger, Newman, de Boer, Newman, Siscovick, Strotmeyer, Mozaffarian.

Drafting of manuscript: Biggs.

Critical revision of manuscript for important intellectual content: Biggs, Mukamal, Ix, Carnethon, Newman, de Boer, Newman, Siscovick, Luchsinger, Strotmeyer, Mozaffarian.

Statistical analysis: Biggs.

Obtained funding: Siscovick, Newman, Mukamal, Ix.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Newman.

Study supervision: Siscovick, Newman, Mukamal.

Financial Disclosures: None reported.

Role of the Sponsors: The study sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of this study; the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Cardiovascular Health Study Investigators and Institutions: A full list of principal Cardiovascular Health Study investigators and institutions can be found at <http://www.chs-nhlbi.org/pi.htm>.

Objective—To examine the relationship between adiposity, changes in adiposity, and risk of incident type 2 diabetes in adults 65 years of age and older.

Design, Setting, and Participants—Prospective cohort study (1989-2007) of 4193 men and women 65 years of age and older in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Measures of adiposity were derived from anthropometry and bioelectrical impedance data at baseline and anthropometry repeated 3 years later.

Main Outcome Measure—Incident diabetes was ascertained based on use of antidiabetic medication or a fasting glucose level of 126 mg/dL or greater.

Results—Over median follow-up of 12.4 years (range, 0.9-17.8 years), 339 cases of incident diabetes were ascertained (7.1/1000 person-years). The adjusted hazard ratio (HR) (95% confidence interval [CI]) of type 2 diabetes for participants in the highest quintile of baseline measures compared with those in the lowest was 4.3 (95% CI, 2.9-6.5) for body mass index (BMI [calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared]), 3.0 (95% CI, 2.0-4.3) for BMI at 50 years of age, 4.2 (95% CI, 2.8-6.4) for weight, 4.0 (95% CI, 2.6-6.0) for fat mass, 4.2 (95% CI, 2.8-6.2) for waist circumference, 2.4 (95% CI, 1.6-3.5) for waist-hip ratio, and 3.8 (95% CI, 2.6-5.5) for waist-height ratio. However, when stratified by age, participants 75 years of age and older had HRs approximately half as large as those 65 to 74 years of age. Compared with weight-stable participants (± 2 kg), those who gained the most weight from 50 years of age to baseline (\geq 9 kg), and from baseline to the third follow-up visit (\geq 6 kg), had HRs for type 2 diabetes of 2.8 (95% CI, 1.9-4.3) and 2.0 (95% CI, 1.1-3.7), respectively. Participants with a greater than 10-cm increase in waist size from baseline to the third follow-up visit had an HR of type 2 diabetes of 1.7 (95% CI, 1.1-2.8) compared with those who gained or lost 2 cm or less.

Conclusion—Among older adults, overall and central adiposity, and weight gain during middle age and after the age of 65 years are associated with risk of diabetes.

> Incidence of diabetes in the United States has doubled in the past 15 years, and is highest among adults 65 to 79 years of age.¹ Approximately 70% of US men and women 60 years of age and older are overweight or obese (body mass index [BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared] ≥ 25).² Adiposity is a well-recognized risk factor for type 2 diabetes among young and middle-aged adults, $3-10$ however, the relationships between different measures of body composition and diabetes in older adults $(\geq 65$ years of age) are not well described. Changes in body composition are known to occur with aging, including increase of fat mass, loss of muscle mass, redistribution of adipose tissue, and height shrinkage.

Given the high prevalence of obesity and diabetes in older adults, there is a need to clarify the relationship between adiposity and diabetes risk in this population. We examined the relationship between measures of overall body fat, fat distribution, changes in these measures, and diabetes risk among participants in the Cardiovascular Health Study, a large population-based study of adults 65 years of age and older.

Methods

Study Population

The Cardiovascular Health Study is a prospective, population-based cohort study of cardiovascular disease in older adults. In 1989-1990, a group of 5201 ambulatory, noninstitutionalized men and women 65 years of age and older was recruited from a random sample of Medicare-eligible residents in 4 US communities: Forsyth County, North Carolina (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem); Sacramento County, California (University of California, Davis); Washington County, Maryland (Johns Hopkins University, Hagerstown); and Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (University of Pittsburgh,

Pittsburgh). To increase the number of African American participants, a supplemental cohort of 687 predominantly African American men and women was recruited during 1992 and 1993 from 3 of the same communities (excepting Washington County) using the same sampling and recruitment methods. Each center's institutional review committee approved the study and all participants gave informed written consent. Details of the study design, sampling, and recruitment are published.^{11,12}

We excluded from the analysis participants who had prevalent diabetes at baseline $(n=925)$, or for whom prevalent diabetes status could not be determined due to missing information on blood glucose levels ($n=64$) or diabetes medication use ($n=6$), or missing or inadequate fasting times (<8 hours; n=28). We also excluded participants who had no follow-up beyond baseline (n=111), were missing baseline measurements of body composition (weight, height, waist circumference, hip circumference, bioelectrical impedance, or weight at 50 years of age $[n=303]$), or were missing covariate data $(n=250)$. An additional 8 participants were excluded due to fat-mass values outside the valid range. The final analysis sample included 4193 participants.

Data Collection

Comprehensive information on health-related variables was collected at baseline and annually thereafter in standardized fashion from Cardiovascular Health Study participants. Clinic examinations were performed annually from 1989-1990 (baseline) to 1998-1999, and again in 2005-2006. Telephone contact was made annually from 1989-1999 and 2005-2006 and twice per year from 2000-2004 and 2006-2007. Standardized questionnaires were administered at a baseline home interview, at annual clinic visits, and during telephone contacts. Descriptions of data collection methods, including instruments and protocols, have been reported previously.¹²

Body Composition Measures

Anthropometric measurements were performed by trained personnel using standardized protocols. Participants wore standard examination suits and no shoes. Standing height was measured using a stadiometer calibrated in centimeters. Body weight was measured using a balance beam scale calibrated in kilograms. Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus. Hip circumference was measured at the level of maximal protrusion of the gluteal muscles. Body weight was measured at each clinic examination. Standing height, waist circumference, and hip circumference were measured at the clinic examinations during 1989-1990, 1992-1993, and 1996-1997. Self-reported weight at 50 years of age was collected as part of the medical history questionnaire at baseline.

BMI at baseline was calculated using measured weight and height, but BMI at 50 years of age was calculated using self-reported weight at age 50 and measured height at baseline. Waist-hip ratio was calculated as the ratio of waist circumference to hip circumference. Waist-height ratio was calculated as the ratio of waist circumference to standing height.

Bioelectrical impedance was measured at baseline with participants in a supine position using a TVI-10 Body Composition Analyzer (Danninger Medical, Columbus, Ohio). Four adhesive electrocardiograph electrodes were placed in standard distal positions on the dorsum of the right hand and foot and resistance was measured at 50 kHz. Fat-free mass was calculated as $6710 \times ht^2/R + 3.1 \times S + 3.9$ (ht², standing height in meters squared; R, resistance in ohms; S, sex [0=women, 1=men]).13 Fat mass was calculated as body weight minus fat-free mass.

Assessment of Type 2 Diabetes

Glucose was measured on fasting serum samples obtained during the annual clinic examinations in 1989-1990, 1992-1993, 1996-1997, and 2005-2006. Medication use was assessed at baseline and annually thereafter by medication inventory¹⁴ through 2007. We classified participants as having diabetes if they used insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents, or had a fasting glucose level of 126 mg/dL or greater. We censored participants at the previous year's follow-up contact (last informative contact) if they had missing information on medication use or on fasting glucose levels at the 1992-1993, 1996-1997, or 2005-2006 examination.

Other Covariates

Age, sex, race, years of education, smoking status, physical activity, and diet (including alcohol consumption) were based on self-report. We assessed leisure-time physical activity as a weighted sum of kilocalories expended in specific physical activities.¹⁵ To assess the influence of dietary habits, we adopted a dietary score, derived in prior studies, of dietary factors and diabetes.^{16,17} To create the score, 4 dietary factors were selected based on their association with either an increased (higher intake of trans fat and higher glycemic load) or decreased (higher intake of cereal fiber and polyunsaturated fat) risk of diabetes. A dietary score for each participant was then computed by assigning a score from 1 to 5 corresponding with the participant's quintile of intake of higher dietary fiber, lower glycemic index, lower trans fat, and higher polyunsaturated to saturated fat ratio, and summing across the values (possible range for score, 5-20). Participants with higher composite diet scores were considered to be at lower risk of diabetes.

Statistical Analysis

We calculated Spearman correlation coefficients for each pair of body composition measures to assess the relationship between the measures. We categorized participants by sex-specific quintiles of BMI, BMI at 50 years of age, body weight, fat mass, waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and waist-height ratio, and used Cox proportional hazards regression to estimate the relative risk (RR) of incident diabetes associated with these categories using the lowest quintile as the reference group. Estimates were also calculated for a 1 standard deviation change in the adiposity measures. Time at risk was calculated as the interval in days from the date of the baseline visit to the earliest of: date of the follow-up contact at which diabetes was ascertained, date of last informative contact, or date of the 2006-2007 telephone contact.

We tested for heterogeneity in the association of adiposity measures with incident diabetes by sex, race, and age by evaluating the statistical significance of multiplicative interaction terms in models that also included lower order terms. To evaluate whether age modified the risk of diabetes associated with adiposity, we categorized participants by sex-specific tertiles of each body composition measure and stratified the cohort by age group ($\langle 75 \rangle$ years; ≥ 75) years). The age of 75 years was selected a priori as the cutoff value to maximize the age difference between the groups while retaining adequate statistical power. In these analyses stratified by age group, categories were based on tertiles rather than quintiles to maximize statistical power. We assessed the joint association of body size at midlife (BMI at 50 years of age) and weight change since midlife with risk of diabetes, as well as the joint association of baseline BMI and baseline waist circumference with risk of diabetes. For these latter analyses, we classified participants using commonly used BMI (<25, 25-29, ≥ 30)¹⁸ and waist circumference (women, <88 cm, \geq 88 cm; men, <102 cm, \geq 102 cm)¹⁹ categories.

Participants enrolled during the first wave in 1989-1990 ($N = 2807$) were also classified according to change in weight and change in waist circumference between the baseline

examination in 1989-1990 and the 1992-1993 examination. We calculated the RR of diabetes associated with categories of change in weight and waist using a stable range (± 2) kg for weight, and ± 2 cm for waist circumference) as the reference category. All multivariate models were adjusted for age, sex, race (African American, non-African American), current smoking status (yes, no), alcohol consumption (none, <7 drinks/week, ≥7 drinks/week), physical activity (kilocalories), and diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3). Covariates were selected a priori as potential confounders based on evidence from prior studies that they were associated with adiposity as well as with diabetes. Models of weight change were additionally adjusted for baseline BMI; and models of change in waist circumference were additionally adjusted for baseline BMI and baseline waist circumference. We evaluated the validity of the proportional hazards assumption using Schoenfeld residuals and found no evidence of nonproportionality.

All *P* values were based on 2-sided tests, were considered statistically significant at *P* less than .05, and were not adjusted for multiple comparisons. Because we tested highly correlated measures of a single exposure (adiposity) and a single outcome, adjustment for multiple comparisons would inappropriately reduce the power of our analyses. For the main analysis, we had 80% power to detect approximately a 70% increase in risk in women and 2 fold increase in risk in men, comparing any of quintiles 2, 3, or 4 to the lowest quintile. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata software version 10.1 (Stata-Corp, College Station, Texas).

Results

The mean age (SD) of participants at baseline was 72.6 (5.4) years, 58.6% were women, and 10.2% were African American. Mean values of anthropometric measures for men and women were: BMI, 26.2 and 26.1; BMI at 50 years of age, 24.7 and 25.7; weight, 66.4 kg and 78.3 kg; fat mass, 33.7 kg and 30.0 kg; fat-free mass, 32.7 kg and 48.2 kg; waist-hip ratio, 0.89 and 0.96; waist-height ratio, 0.57 and 0.56, respectively. Measures of BMI, waist circumference, and fat mass were strongly correlated among both men and women with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.75 to 0.90. Waist-height ratio was strongly correlated with BMI ($r=0.79$), while waist-hip ratio was weakly correlated ($r=0.33$). BMI at 50 years of age was moderately correlated with baseline measures of BMI $(r=0.70)$, waist circumference $(r = 0.58)$, and fat mass (0.50). BMI measured at baseline was positively associated with African American race, and inversely associated with age, education, current smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity (TABLE 1). Women with higher diet scores had lower average BMI, although there was no clear pattern between diet score and BMI among men. At baseline, 45% of participants had prediabetes (fasting glucose, 100-125 mg/dL).

Over a median follow-up of 12.4 years (range, 0.9-17.8 years), 339 new cases of diabetes were ascertained among the 4193 participants in our analysis sample. BMI at baseline, BMI at 50 years of age, weight, fat mass, waist circumference, waist-hip ratio, and waist-height ratio were all strongly related to the risk of diabetes (TABLE 2, TABLE 3). For each measure, there was a graded increase in the risk of diabetes with increasing quintiles of adiposity. Participants in the highest category of adiposity had an approximately 2- to 6-fold increased risk of developing diabetes compared with those in the lowest category. We found no evidence of significant statistical interaction by sex or race.

The risk of diabetes associated with adiposity was modified by age; the RR of diabetes associated with being in the upper third of the distribution for each adiposity measure was approximately half as large in participants 75 years of age and older compared with those younger than 75 years of age (TABLE 4).

The mean (SD) change in weight from 50 years of age to study entry (baseline) was 4.3 (8.8) kg for women and 1.3 (8.1) kg for men. The risk of diabetes increased monotonically with the amount of weight gained between 50 years of age and baseline (TABLE 5). Compared with participants whose weight remained stable $(\pm 2 \text{ kg})$ over the time period, those who gained 9 kg or more between the age of 50 years and study entry had an approximately 3 fold greater risk of developing diabetes during follow-up, regardless of their BMI at 50 years of age. Participants who were obese (BMI≥30) at 50 years of age and who experienced the most weight gain $(>9 \text{ kg})$ between the age of 50 years and study entry had 5 times the risk of developing diabetes compared with weight-stable participants with normal BMI (<25) at 50 years of age. We did not observe a decrease in diabetes risk with weight loss between 50 years of age and baseline except in participants in the lowest tertile of BMI at the age of 50 years who had a 40%-decreased risk of diabetes, which was not statistically significant (RR, 0.6; 95% CI, 0.3-1.3).

In a joint model of BMI (<25, 25-29, \geq 30) and waist circumference (men, <102 cm vs \geq 102 cm; women, $\langle 88 \text{ cm} \rangle$ vs $\geq 88 \text{ cm}$), the risk of diabetes was independently associated with both measures. However, examination of waist circumference estimates stratified by BMI revealed that the association was driven primarily by a strong association of waist circumference and diabetes in participants with a BMI of less than 25. Compared with participants with low waist circumference, the hazard ratios (HRs) for diabetes for those with high waist circumference were 1.8 (95% CI, 1.1 -3.0) for BMI less than 25, 1.2 (95% CI, 0.9-1.6) for BMI 25-29, and 1.4 (95% CI, 0.5-3.9) for BMI ≥30. Participants in the highest categories of both BMI and waist circumference had more than 4 times the risk of those in the lowest category of both measures (HR, 4.5; 95% CI, 3.3-6.1).

Estimates of the risk of diabetes associated with changes in weight and waist circumference were similar when we used measured weight and waist circumference change between the 1989-1990 and 1992-1993 examinations (TABLE 6). Compared with participants with stable measures, those who gained 6 kg or greater or in whom waist circumference increased more than 10 cm had a 2-fold increased risk of diabetes.

Comment

In this prospective analysis of a population-based sample of older adults, we found that measures of overall and central adiposity were strongly associated with the risk of incident diabetes in both men and women. Using longitudinal measures of weight from midlife, at study entry, and over follow-up, we were able to demonstrate that weight gain during midlife (after 50 years of age) and in late life (after 65 years of age) is an important risk factor for diabetes among older adults. Although the risk associated with adiposity appeared to wane with age, individuals in the highest category of BMI remained at twice the risk of diabetes compared with those in the lowest category among participants 75 years of age and older.

In the current analysis, simple anthropometric measures such as BMI, body weight, and waist circumference were as strongly associated with the risk of diabetes as were fat mass estimates derived from bioelectrical impedance measures. The 2 composite measures, waisthip ratio and waist-height ratio, had RR estimates for diabetes that were similar to those of waist circumference alone. While in certain populations, waist circumference^{6,20,21} or waist-to-hip ratio²² may offer better predictive power for diabetes risk than BMI, our findings are generally consistent with the findings of a meta-analysis of 32 population-based studies that found that RRs for diabetes were equivalent for standardized differences in BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio.²³

Age modified the risk of diabetes associated with adiposity in this analysis. For each of the various adiposity measures evaluated at baseline, RR estimates associated with higher adiposity were appreciably lower in individuals 75 years of age and older compared with those 65 to 74 years of age. The presence of effect modification by age has been noted for the relationship between body composition measures and outcomes such as mortality $24-26$ and coronary heart disease risk, $2⁷$ but we are unaware of previous studies reporting an age interaction of the association of adiposity with diabetes risk.

There are a number of potential explanations for a weaker association of body composition measures with diabetes risk among individuals 75 years of age and older. Among older adults, standard anthropometric measures may not adequately quantify body fat due to agerelated changes in body composition, including decreases in skeletal muscle mass and height.²⁸ However, the RRs associated with fat mass estimates from bioelectrical impedance measures showed reductions in magnitude similar to those of anthropometric measures among those 75 years of age and older. A second possibility is that regional fat distribution is more important in the etiology of diabetes than absolute fat mass. Visceral fat and intermuscular thigh fat are associated with impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes in older adults independent of total adiposity.29,30 Waist circumference is highly correlated with visceral fat in older adults in some $3^{1,32}$ but not all 3^{3} studies, and the strength of association may vary according to age, sex, race, overall adiposity, and cardiorespiratory fitness.^{34,35} This raises some uncertainty about whether waist circumference is a reliable surrogate for direct measurement of visceral fat. Intermuscular thigh fat can be measured reliably only through an imaging modality such as computed tomography. To the extent that these fat depots become more important to diabetes etiology as individuals age, the inability of the adiposity measures included in our study to measure such depots could explain the observed effect modification by age.

Another explanation for the effect modification by age is that the pathophysiology of diabetes in older adults differs from that of young and middle-aged adults. If, for instance, defects in insulin secretion played a larger role than insulin resistance in the development of diabetes in older individuals, one might expect there to be less of an association with adiposity. While possible, we know of no data that support a different pathophysiology of diabetes in older adults.

In addition, the observed age-adiposity interaction with diabetes risk may result from selective survival among older adults.³⁶ Individuals who are more susceptible to adverse health outcomes associated with adiposity may be less likely to survive into old age. Such an effect would be consistent with the uniform attenuation of all adiposity measures among the older age group observed in the current analysis.

We did not observe a reduction in diabetes risk associated with measured weight loss over a 3-year period. This contrasts with the results of studies in younger populations that found weight loss to be associated with a decreased risk of diabetes.^{37,38} Older adults may lose proportionately more muscle mass with weight loss than younger ones,39 decreasing the accuracy of weight loss as a surrogate for loss of adipose tissue in older adults. Furthermore, the loss of skeletal muscle mass may decrease insulin sensitivity, 40 negating the benefit derived from fat loss. Alternatively, weight loss associated with insulin resistance⁴¹ that preceded the onset of clinical diabetes may have obscured an association between weight loss and decreased diabetes risk. Because of these complexities, our results do not preclude the possibility that voluntary weight loss reduces the risk of diabetes in older adults.

Our analysis showed an association between waist circumference and diabetes risk in individuals with a BMI of less than 25, suggesting that measurement of waist circumference

may add important information beyond BMI regarding diabetes risk in normal-weight individuals. The observation that waist circumference was less strongly associated with diabetes risk at higher BMIs may reflect the fact that waist circumference is a better measure of visceral fat at a low BMI.³⁵

This study has several strengths. We used data from a well-characterized population-based cohort with long-term follow-up. Aside from self-reported weight at 50 years of age, all our anthropometry was based on direct measurement rather than self-report. We were able to examine both incident diabetes and body composition changes prospectively, analyzed both men and women, and had extensive covariate data. We examined multiple measures of adiposity—particularly valuable in light of heterogeneity among previous studies of adiposity, the marked changes in body composition that occur with aging, and the paucity of studies of this type in older adults.

Potential limitations should also be noted. The measurement of fasting glucose at limited time points may have resulted in misclassification of participants with untreated diabetes. Such misclassification would likely be non-differential and result in attenuation of risk estimates. Despite the wealth of covariate data that allowed us to adjust for well-known confounders, residual confounding due to unknown factors related to both adiposity and diabetes may be present.

Results of this study affirm the importance of maintaining optimal weight during middle age for prevention of diabetes and, while requiring confirmation, suggest that weight control remains important in reducing diabetes risk among adults 65 years of age and older.

Acknowledgments

Funding/Support: The research reported in this article was supported by contract numbers N01-HC-85079 through N01-HC-85086, N01-HC-35129, N01-HC-15103, N01-HC-55222, N01-HC-75150, N01-HC-45133, and grant numbers U01 HL080295, R01 HL-075366, and R01 HL-094555 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; R01 AG-023629, R01 AG-15928, R01 AG-20098, and AG-027058 from the National Institute on Aging; the University of Pittsburgh Claude. D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center P30-AG-024827, with additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke.

References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes data and trends. Mar 312008 [September 15, 2009].<http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/index.htm>
- 2. Wang Y, Beydoun MA. The obesity epidemic in the United States–gender, age, socioeconomic, racial/ethnic, and geographic characteristics. Epidemiol Rev 2007;29:6–28. [PubMed: 17510091]
- 3. Lundgren H, Bengtsson C, Blohme G, et al. Adiposity and adipose tissue distribution in relation to incidence of diabetes in women. Int J Obes 1989;13(4):413–423. [PubMed: 2793297]
- 4. Cassano PA, Rosner B, Vokonas PS, et al. Obesity and body fat distribution in relation to the incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Am J Epidemiol 1992;136(12):1474–1486. [PubMed: 1288277]
- 5. Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rotnitzky A, et al. Weight gain as a risk factor for clinical diabetes mellitus in women. Ann Intern Med 1995;122(7):481–486. [PubMed: 7872581]
- 6. Carey VJ, Walters EE, Colditz GA, et al. Body fat distribution and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. Am J Epidemiol 1997;145(7):614–619. [PubMed: 9098178]
- 7. Ford ES, Williamson DF, Liu S. Weight change and diabetes incidence. Am J Epidemiol 1997;146(3):214–222. [PubMed: 9247005]
- 8. Folsom AR, Kushi LH, Anderson KE, et al. Associations of general and abdominal obesity with multiple health outcomes in older women. Arch Intern Med 2000;160(14):2117–2128. [PubMed: 10904454]
- 9. Schulze MB, Heidemann C, Schienkiewitz A, et al. Comparison of anthropometric characteristics in predicting the incidence of type 2 diabetes in the EPIC-Potsdam study. Diabetes Care 2006;29(8): 1921–1923. [PubMed: 16873804]
- 10. Chan JM, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, et al. Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. Diabetes Care 1994;17(9):961–969. [PubMed: 7988316]
- 11. Tell GS, Fried LP, Hermanson B, et al. Recruitment of adults 65 years and older as participants in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Ann Epidemiol 1993;3(4):358–366. [PubMed: 8275211]
- 12. Fried LP, Borhani NO, Enright P, et al. The Cardiovascular Health Study: design and rationale. Ann Epidemiol 1991;1(3):263–276. [PubMed: 1669507]
- 13. Deurenberg P, van der Kooy K, Hautvast JG. The assessment of the body composition in the elderly by densitometry, anthropometry and bioelectrical impedance. Basic Life Sci 1990;55:391– 393. [PubMed: 2088298]
- 14. Psaty BM, Lee M, Savage PJ, et al. Assessing the use of medications in the elderly: methods and initial experience in the Cardiovascular Health Study. J Clin Epidemiol 1992;45(6):683–692. [PubMed: 1607909]
- 15. Taylor HL, Jacobs DR Jr, Schucker B, et al. A questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. J Chronic Dis 1978;31(12):741–755. [PubMed: 748370]
- 16. Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, et al. Diet, lifestyle, and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. N Engl J Med 2001;345(11):790–797. [PubMed: 11556298]
- 17. Mozaffarian D, Kamineni A, Carnethon M, et al. Lifestyle risk factors and new-onset diabetes mellitus in older adults. Arch Intern Med 2009;169(8):798–807. [PubMed: 19398692]
- 18. World Health Organization. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Consultation. Geneva, Stitzerland: World Health Organization; 1995. WHO Technical Report Series Number 854
- 19. National Cholesterol Education Program. Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Bethesda, MD: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; 2002. NIH Publication No. 02-5215
- 20. Wei M, Gaskill SP, Haffner SM, et al. Waist circumference as the best predictor of noninsulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) compared to body mass index, waist/hip ratio and other anthropometric measurements in Mexican Americans. Obes Res 1997;5(1):16–23. [PubMed: 9061711]
- 21. Stevens J, Couper D, Pankow J, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of anthropometrics for the prediction of diabetes in a biracial cohort. Obes Res 2001;9(11):696–705. [PubMed: 11707536]
- 22. Wang Z, Rowley K, Wang Z, et al. Anthropometric indices and their relationship with diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia in Australian Aboriginal people and Torres Strait Islanders. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2007;14(2):172–178. [PubMed: 17446794]
- 23. Vazquez G, Duval S, Jacobs DR Jr, et al. Comparison of body mass index, waist circumference, and waist/hip ratio in predicting incident diabetes. Epidemiol Rev 2007;29:115–128. [PubMed: 17494056]
- 24. Stevens J, Cai J, Pamuk ER, et al. The effect of age on the association between body-mass index and mortality. N Engl J Med 1998;338(1):1–7. [PubMed: 9414324]
- 25. Baik I, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, et al. Adiposity and mortality in men. Am J Epidemiol 2000;152(3):264–271. [PubMed: 10933273]
- 26. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, et al. Excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. JAMA 2005;293(15):1861–1867. [PubMed: 15840860]
- 27. Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci E, et al. Body size and fat distribution as predictors of coronary heart disease among middle-aged and older US men. Am J Epidemiol 1995;141(12): 1117–1127. [PubMed: 7771450]
- 28. Harris TB. Invited commentary: body composition in studies of aging. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156(2):122–124. [PubMed: 12117701]
- 29. Goodpaster BH, Krishnaswami S, Resnick H, et al. Association between regional adipose tissue distribution and both type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in elderly men and women. Diabetes Care 2003;26(2):372–379. [PubMed: 12547865]

- 30. Kanaya AM, Harris T, Goodpaster BH, et al. Adipocytokines attenuate the association between visceral adiposity and diabetes in older adults. Diabetes Care 2004;27(6):1375–1380. [PubMed: 15161791]
- 31. Snijder MB, Visser M, Dekker JM, et al. The prediction of visceral fat by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in the elderly. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002;26(7):984–993. [PubMed: 12080454]
- 32. Storti KL, Brach JS, FitzGerald SJ, et al. Relationships among body composition measures in community-dwelling older women. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2006;14(2):244–251. [PubMed: 16571849]
- 33. Harris TB, Visser M, Everhart J, et al. Waist circumference and sagittal diameter reflect total body fat better than visceral fat in older men and women. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2000;904:462–473. [PubMed: 10865790]
- 34. Kuk JL, Lee S, Heymsfield SB, et al. Waist circumference and abdominal adipose tissue distribution. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81(6):1330–1334. [PubMed: 15941883]
- 35. Snijder MB, Visser M, Dekker JM, et al. Low subcutaneous thigh fat is a risk factor for unfavourable glucose and lipid levels, independently of high abdominal fat. Diabetologia 2005;48(2):301–308. [PubMed: 15660262]
- 36. Diehr P, Bild DE, Harris TB, et al. Body mass index and mortality in nonsmoking older adults. Am J Public Health 1998;88(4):623–629. [PubMed: 9551005]
- 37. Koh-Banerjee P, Wang Y, Hu FB, et al. Changes in body weight and body fat distribution as risk factors for clinical diabetes in US men. Am J Epidemiol 2004;159(12):1150–1159. [PubMed: 15191932]
- 38. Hamman RF, Wing RR, Edelstein SL, et al. Effect of weight loss with lifestyle intervention on risk of diabetes. Diabetes Care 2006;29(9):2102–2107. [PubMed: 16936160]
- 39. Newman AB, Lee JS, Visser M, et al. Weight change and the conservation of lean mass in old age. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;82(4):872–878. [PubMed: 16210719]
- 40. Poehlman ET, Dvorak RV, DeNino WF, et al. Effects of resistance training and endurance training on insulin sensitivity in nonobese, young women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000;85(7):2463–2468. [PubMed: 10902794]
- 41. Wedick NM, Mayer-Davis EJ, Wingard DL, et al. Insulin resistance precedes weight loss in adults without diabetes. Am J Epidemiol 2001;153(12):1199–1205. [PubMed: 11415955]

 NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 2.

BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

 $^d\rm{Cu}$ points for dietary score quintiles are 10, 12, 14, and 16. a^a Cut points for dietary score quintiles are 10, 12, 14, and 16.

Association Between Measures of Adiposity and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes in Women (n = 2457) by Measures of Adiposity, the Cardiovascular Health Study, 1989-2007 **Association Between Measures of Adiposity and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes in Women (n = 2457) by Measures of Adiposity, the Cardiovascular Health Study, 1989-2007**

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

 a BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. *a*BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

 b All hazard ratios are adjusted for age (continuous), race (African American, non-African American), current smoking (yes, no), physical activity (continuous), diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3), and alcohol co *b*All hazard ratios are adjusted for age (continuous), race (African American, non-African American), current smoking (yes, no), physical activity (continuous), diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3), and alcohol consumption (none, <7 drinks/wk, ≥7 drinks/wk, HRs (95% CIs) per 1 standard deviation are: 1.5 (1.4-1.7) for BMI, 1.3 (1.2-1.5) for BMI at 50 years of age, 1.6 (1.4-1.9) for weight, 1.5 (1.4-1.7) for fat mass, 1.5 (1.4-1.7) for waist, 1.2 (1.1-1.3) for waist-hip ratio, and 1.5 (1.3-1.7) for waist-height ratio. for fat mass, 1.5 (1.4-1.7) for waist, 1.2 (1.1-1.3) for waist-hip ratio, and 1.5 (1.3-1.7) for waist-height ratio.

Table 3

Association Between Measures of Adiposity and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes in Men (n = 1736), the Cardiovascular Health Study, 1989-2007

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

 a BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. *a*BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

 b All hazard ratios are adjusted for age (continuous), race (African American, non-African American), current smoking (yes, no), physical activity (continuous), diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3), and alcohol co *b*All hazard ratios are adjusted for age (continuous), race (African American, non-African American), current smoking (yes, no), physical activity (continuous), diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3), and alcohol consumption (none, <7 drinks/wk, ≥7 drinks/wk, ≥7 drinks/wk). HRs (95% CIs) per 1 standard deviation are: 1.7 (1.4-2.0) for BMI, 1.4 00 years of age, 1.7 (1.4-2.0) for weight, 1.5 (1.3-1.8) for fat mass, 1.7 (1.4-2.1) for waist, 1.5 (1.2-1.8) for waist-hip ratio, and 1.7 (1.4-2.0) for waist-height ratio. for fat mass, 1.7 (1.4-2.1) for waist, 1.5 (1.2-1.8) for waist-hip ratio, and 1.7 (1.4-2.0) for waist-height ratio.

Table 4

Association Between Measures of Adiposity and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes by Age Group, the Cardiovascular Health Study, 1989-2007

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 2.

Waist *g*

a

les vs lower 3), and alcohol *c*All hazard ratios are adjusted for sex, race (African American, non-African American), current smoking (yes, no), physical activity (continuous), diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3), and alcohol consumption (none, <7 drinks/wk, ≥7 drinks/wk). $\ddot{}$ con

 $^{\circ}$ Cut points for weight (kg) are 59.7 and 70.5 for women; 72.8 and 82.6 for men. *e*Cut points for weight (kg) are 59.7 and 70.5 for women; 72.8 and 82.6 for men.

 $^f\!{\rm Cut}$ points for fat mass (kg) are 28.3 and 36.9 for women; 25.9 and 33.0 for men. *f*Cut points for fat mass (kg) are 28.3 and 36.9 for women; 25.9 and 33.0 for men.

 8 Cut points for waist circumference (cm) are 83.5 and 95.4 for women; 92.5 and 100.4 for men. ⁸Cut points for waist circumference (cm) are 83.5 and 95.4 for women; 92.5 and 100.4 for men.

 $h_{\rm Cut}$ points for waist-hip ratio are 0.84 and 0.93 for women; 0.94 and 0.98 for men. *h*Cut points for waist-hip ratio are 0.84 and 0.93 for women; 0.94 and 0.98 for men.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Patient Age Group by Tertile

 t Cut points for waist-height ratio are 0.53 and 0.60 for women; 0.54 and 0.58 for men. *i*Cut points for waist-height ratio are 0.53 and 0.60 for women; 0.54 and 0.58 for men. NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript Biggs et al. Page 18

Table 5 Association Between BMI at Midlife, Change in Weight Between Midlife and Older Age, and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes, the Cardiovascular Health Study

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

a All HRs are adjusted for age (continuous), sex, race (African American, non-African American), current smoking (yes, no), physical activity (continuous), diet score (upper 2 quintiles vs lower 3), and alcohol consumption (none, <7 drinks/wk, ≥7 drinks/wk).

b BMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

^{*c*}The greater than 2 kg to less than 6 kg and the 6 kg or greater to less than 9 kg categories for weight gain were pooled due to small numbers.

Table 6
Risk of Incident Diabetes During 1994-2007 Associated With Change in Waist Circumference and Weight Between 1989-1990 and
1992-1993, Among Participants Free of Diabetes at 1992-1993 Examination **Risk of Incident Diabetes During 1994-2007 Associated With Change in Waist Circumference and Weight Between 1989-1990 and 1992-1993, Among Participants Free of Diabetes at 1992-1993 Examination**

*b*Adjusted for age, sex, race, current smoking, physical activity, diet, alcohol consumption, and body mass index at 1989-1990 examination.

 b Adjusted for age, sex, race, current smoking, physical activity, diet, alcohol consumption, and body mass index at 1989-1990 examination.