Note

Genetic Evidence That DNA Methyltransferase DRM2 Has a Direct Catalytic Role in RNA-Directed DNA Methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana

Ulf Naumann, Lucia Daxinger,¹ Tatsuo Kanno,² Changho Eun, Quan Long, Zdravko J. Lorkovic, Marjori Matzke³ and Antonius J. M. Matzke

Gregor Mendel Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, A-1030 Vienna, Austria

Manuscript received November 23, 2010 Accepted for publication January 3, 2011

ABSTRACT

RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) is a small RNA-mediated epigenetic modification in plants. We report here the identification of DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE 2 (DRM2) in a forward screen for mutants defective in RdDM in Arabidopsis thaliana. The finding of a mutation in the presumptive active site argues in favor of direct catalytic activity for DRM2.

RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) is a small
RNA-mediated epigenetic modification that is
highly developed in Georgian about (Murang developed highly developed in flowering plants (MATZKE et al. 2009; Law and Jacobsen 2010). Forward genetic screens in Arabidopsis thaliana have revealed that RdDM requires a complex transcriptional machinery that comprises two plant-specific, RNA polymerase II-related RNA polymerases, called Pol IV and Pol V. Additional requirements include specialized transcription factors, chromatin remodelling proteins, and other novel, plant-specific proteins whose functions in the RdDM mechanism are not well understood (MATZKE et al. 2009; Law and JACOBSEN 2010). A previous study based on a reverse genetics approach implicated the de novo DNA cytosine methyltransferase DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE2 (DRM2) in RdDM (Cao et al. 2003). So far, however, no publications have appeared reporting the identification of this protein in a forward genetic screen. In this communication, we report the identification of DRM2 in a forward screen for mutants defective in RdDM in A. thaliana ecotype Col-0. These findings substantiate the view that DRM2 is the major de

Supporting information is available online at [http://www.genetics.org/](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.110.125401/DC1) [cgi/content/full/genetics.110.125401/DC1.](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.110.125401/DC1)

¹Present address: Epigenetics Laboratory, Queensland Institute of Biomedical Research, Brisbane, Queensland 4006, Australia.

² Present address: Genetics and Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway, Aras de Brun, University Road, Galway, Ireland.

³ Corresponding author: Gregor Mendel Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Dr. Bohr-Gasse 3, A-1030 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: marjori.matzke@gmi.oeaw.ac.at

Genetics 187: 977–979 (March 2011)

novo DNA methyltransferase in the RdDM pathway and support a direct catalytic role for this enzyme in RdDM.

Our forward genetic screen is based on a twocomponent transgene silencing system (target and silencer) in which a target enhancer that is active in shoot and root meristem regions drives expression of a downstream gene encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP). Silencer-encoded 24-nt small RNAs trigger methylation of the target enhancer, leading to transcriptional silencing of the GFP gene. Following mutagenesis by ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS), mutants are identified by screening for reactivation of GFP expression in root meristems of seedlings (KANNO et al. 2008; DAXINGER et al. 2009). To date, seven dms (defective in meristem silencing) mutants have been retrieved in this screen (see supporting information, [Table S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.110.125401/DC1/1)).

To identify the mutated gene in a new mutant, $dms8$, we generated an F_2 mapping population by crossing homozygous *dms8* plants with ecotype Landsberg erecta followed by selfing of the resulting F_1 hybrids to produce F_2 progeny. F_2 seedlings that were GFP positive and hygromycin resistant (indicative of GFP reactivation in the presence of the silencer locus, which encodes resistance to hygromycin, Kanno et al. 2008) were used for mapping, using ATH1 microarrays (Hazen et al. 2005; Kanno et al. 2008) and codominant markers (KONEICZNY and AUSUBEL 1993). Using these techniques, the mutation in *dms8* was localized to an interval on the top arm of chromosome 5, which does not contain any of the seven DMS genes identified so far (see Table S1). Subsequent Illumina whole genome sequencing revealed a mutation in this region in the gene encoding the DRM2 (At5g14620). The mutation

Available freely online through the author-supported open access option.

Figure 1.—Structure of the DRM2 gene and positions of new point mutations. (A) Schematic presentation of the DRM2 gene with indicated positions of new drm2 mutations and previously identified T-DNA insertion mutants (triangles). Exons and introns are depicted by black boxes and lines, respectively. White boxes represent $5'$ and $3'$ untranslated regions. Red boxes and roman numerals with dashes above denote the eight conserved motifs of the DNA methyltransferase catalytic domain according to published nomenclature (CHANG 1995; CAO et al. 2000). The drm2-5 mutation (G to A) is located in the 5' splice site of intron 4 and results in premature stop codon due to intron retention. DNA sequence with A at the $5'$ splice site in red and translation of this region are shown below. Exonic and intronic sequences are in up-

per and lower case, respectively. Last three amino acids encoded by exon 4 are in boldface type and those encoded by intron are in italics. Asterisk denotes the stop codon. (B) Sequence alignment of DRM1, DRM2, and DRM3 proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana (At), Oryzae sativa (Os), and Populus trichocarpa (Pt). For simplicity only regions containing newly identified point mutations resulting in amino acids changes are shown. Positions of mutations are highlighted on black background ($dm2-3$, S585N, $dm2-4$, and E449K). Conserved DNA methyltransferase catalytic domain motifs 9, 3, and 4 (roman numerals above) are in boxes with catalytically important residues highlighted in red.

 $(C/G$ to T/A, chromosome 5, nucleotide 4,715,556), resulted in the substitution of a conserved serine (S) in motif IV of the catalytic domain by asparagine (N) at amino acid 585, which is adjacent to invariant proline (P) and cysteine (C) residues that are essential for DRM2 catalytic activity (CAO et al. 2000; HENDERSON et al. 2010) (Figure 1). We designated our allele $\text{d}r$ m2-3 in view of two existing drm mutants, both of which result from T-DNA insertions: $dm2-1$ in ecotype WS (CAO and JACOBSEN 2002) and drm2-2 in ecotype Col-0 (SALK_150863) (Chan et al. 2006) (Figure 1).

Two additional drm2 alleles, drm2-4 and drm2-5, were identified by whole genome Illumina sequencing of two further uncharacterized dms mutants. The drm2-4 allele $(C/G$ to T/A , chromosome 5, nucleotide 4,716,083) has a glutamic acid-to-lysine substitution at amino acid 449 just before motif IX of the catalytic domain (Figure 1). The dm2-5 allele (C/G to T/A, chromosome 5, nucleotide 4,717,922) has a splice site mutation that alters the open reading frame and produces a truncated protein lacking the entire catalytic domain (Figure 1). The $\text{d}rm2$ mutants do not display any obvious phenotypic abnormalities when grown under standard conditions (16 hr light, 8 hr dark, 23°).

Consistent with an essential role of DRM2 in RdDM, methylation of cytosines in all sequence contexts was largely eliminated at the target enhancer in the drm2-3 mutant (Figure 2A) despite the presence of silencerencoded 24-nt small RNAs (Figure 2B). In addition, methylation of cytosines in an asymmetric context (CHH, where H is A, T, or C) was reduced in 5S rDNA repeats, which are endogenous targets of RdDM (see lane "n.d." adjacent to "ddm1" in figure S7-d in GAO et al. 2010). These results substantiate the conclusion that DRM2 is the major DNA methyltransferase in the RdDM pathway and that it is essential for RNA-directed de novo methylation of cytosines in all sequence contexts.

The $dm2-3$ allele (S585N) represents the first amino acid substitution to be identified in a highly conserved residue of the active site of DRM2 (CHANG 1995; CAO et al. 2000). The finding of this allele indicates that DRM2 actually catalyzes de novo methylation as opposed to the alternative hypothesis that the DRM2 protein recruits another DNA methyltransferase to carry out this function (Damelin and Bestor 2005). Interestingly, the S585N substitution in $\text{d}rm2-3$ is also found in DRM3, a noncatalytic paralog of DRM2, in Arabidopsis, rice, and poplar (HENDERSON *et al.* 2010) (Figure 1). Thus, in addition to the absence of the invariant and essential P and/or C residues in DRM3 orthologs, the S-to-N change in these proteinsmay also contribute to the loss of catalytic activity. Despite its inability to catalyze DNA methylation, DRM3 is nevertheless needed for full methylation of repeats by DRM2 (HENDERSON et al. 2010).

In summary, we have identified three new alleles of drm2 in a screen for mutants defective in RdDM in A.

Figure 2.—DNA methylation and small RNA accumulation in the drm2-3 mutant. (A) Methylation of the target enhancer (black bar) and downstream region (gray bar) in the $\text{d}rm2-3$ mutant (top) and wild-type (WT; bottom) plants containing the target and silencerloci was analyzed using bisulfite sequencing (carried out as described by Kanno et al. (2008) and Daxinger et al. (2009). CG, CHG, and CHH methylation are indicated by black, blue, and red lines, respectively. (B) Northern blot analysis of silencer-encoded small RNAs. Three size classes of small RNA, 21, 22, and 24 nt, are visible in wild-type plants containing the target (T) and silencer (S) loci (DAXINGER et al. 2009) and, as shown here, in the $\text{d}rm2-3$ mutant. The small RNAs are not presentin nontransgenic wild-type plants (Col-0), wild-type plants containing only the T locus, or in a transgenic line in which most of the S locus has been deleted $(1.6\times)$. The bottom panel shows ethidium bromide staining of the major RNA species on the gel as a loading control. Isolation of small RNAs and Northern blotting were performed as described by KANNO et al. (2008) and DAXINGER et al. (2009).

thaliana. These new mutants, which result from EMSinduced point mutations in the Col-0 ecotype, will provide useful tools for further studies of DRM2 function and catalytic activity.

We thank Johannes van der Winden for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Austrian Academy of Sciences and by the Austrian Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftliche Forschung (grant no. P20702-B03).

Note added in proof: DRM2 has recently been identified in an independent forward genetic screen in M. V. Greenberg, I. Ausin, S. W. CHAN, S. J. COKUS, J. J. CUPERUS et. al., 2011, Identification of genes required for de novo methylation in Arabidopsis. Epigenetics. 6(3).

LITERATURE CITED

- Cao, X., and S. E. Jacobsen, 2002 Role of the Arabidopsis DRM methyltransferases in de novo methylation and gene silencing. Curr. Biol. 12: 1138–1144.
- Cao, X., W. Aufsatz, D. Zilberman, M. F. Mette, M. Huang et al., 2003 Role of the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferases in RNAdirected DNA methylation. Curr. Biol. 13: 2212–2217.
- Cao, X., N. M. Springer, M. G. Muszynski, R. L. Phillips, S. KAEPPLER et al., 2000 Conserved plant genes with similarity to mammalian de novo DNA methyltransferases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 4979–4984.
- Chan, S. W. L., I. R. Henderson, X. Zhang, G. Shah, J. S. C. Chien et al., 2006 RNAi, DRD1, and histone methylation actively target developmentally important non-CG methylation in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. 2: e83.
- Chang, F., 1995 Structure and function of DNA methyltransferases. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 2: 293–318.
- Damelin, M., and T. H. Bestor, 2005 Biological functions of DNA methyltransferase 1 require its methyltransferase activity. Mol. Cell. Biol. 27: 3891–3899.
- Daxinger, L., T. Kanno, E. Bucher, J. van Der Winden, U. Naumann et al., 2009 A stepwise pathway for biogenesis of 24-nt secondary siRNAs and spreading of DNA methylation. EMBO J. 28: 48–57.
- Gao, Z., H. L. Liu, L. Daxinger, O. Pontes, X. He et al., 2010 An RNA polymerase II- and AGO4-associated proteins acts in RNAdirected DNA methylation. Nature 465: 106–109.
- Hazen, S. P., J. O. Borevitz, F. G. Harmon, J. L. Pruneda-Paz, T. F. SCHULTZ et al., 2005 Rapid array mapping of circadian clock and developmental mutations in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 138: 990–997.
- Henderson, I. R., A. Deleris, W. Wong, X. Zhong, H. G. Chin et al., 2010 The de novo cytosine methyltransferase DRM2 requires intact UBA domains and a catalytically mutated paralog DRM3 during RNA-directed DNA methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet. 6: e1001182.
- KANNO, T., E. BUCHER, L. DAXINGER, B. HUETTEL, G. BÖHMDORFER et al., 2008 A structural maintenance of chromosomes hinge domain-containing protein is required for RNA-directed DNA methylation. Nat. Genet. 40: 670–675.
- KONEICZNY, A., and F. AUSUBEL, 1993 A procedure for mapping Arabidopsis mutations using co-dominant ecotype-specific PCRbased markers. Plant J. 4: 403–410.
- LAW, J., and S. E. JACOBSEN, 2010 Establishing, maintaining and modifying DNA methylation patterns in plants and animals. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11: 204–220.
- Matzke, M., T. Kanno, L. Daxinger, B. Huettel and A. J. M. Matzke, 2009 RNA-mediated chromatin-based silencing in plants. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 21: 357–376.

Communicating editor: C. S. Pikaard

GENETICS

Supporting Information

http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.110.125401/DC1

Genetic Evidence That DNA Methyltransferase DRM2 Has a Direct Catalytic Role in RNA-Directed DNA Methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana

Ulf Naumann, Lucia Daxinger, Tatsuo Kanno, Changho Eun, Quan Long, Zdravko J. Lorkovic, Marjori Matzke and Antonius J. M. Matzke

> Copyright © 2011 by the Genetics Society of America DOI: 10.1534/genetics.110.125401

TABLE S1

dms **mutants**

DAXINGER, L., T. KANNO, E. BUCHER, J. VAN DER WINDEN, U. NAUMANN *et al*., 2009 A stepwise pathway for biogenesis of 24-nt secondary siRNAs and spreading of DNA methylation. EMBO J. **28**:48-57.

GAO, Z., H.L. LIU, L. DAXINGER, O. PONTES, X. HE *et al*., 2010 An RNA polymerase II- and AGO4-associated proteins acts in RNA-directed DNA methylation. Nature **465**:106-109.

KANNO, T., E. BUCHER, L. DAXINGER, B. HUETTEL, G. BÖHMDORFER *et al*., 2008 A structural maintenance of chromosomes hinge domain-containing protein is required for RNA-directed DNA methylation. Nat. Genet. **40**:670-675.

KANNO, T., E. BUCHER, L. DAXINGER, B. HUETTEL, KREIL, D.P. *et al.*, 2010 RNA-directed DNA methylation and plant development require an IWR1-type transcription factor. EMBO Rep. **11**:65-71.

KANNO, T., B. HUETTEL, M.F. METTE, W. AUFSATZ, E. JALIGOT *et al*., 2005 Atypical RNA polymerase subunits required for RNA-directed DNA methylation. Nat. Genet. **37**:761-765.

KANNO, T., M.F. METTE, D.P. KREIL, W. AUFSATZ, M. MATZKE *et al*., 2004 Involvement of putative SNF2 chromatin remodelling protein DRD1 in RNA- directed DNA methylation. Curr. Biol. **14**:801-805.